Journal of Hospital Infection (1996) 33, 201-206

# Carriage of Staphylococcus aureus in the elderly

## R. M. Parnaby, G. O'Dwyer, H. A. Monsey and M. S. Shafi

Central Middlesex PHL and NHS Trust, Acton Lane, London, NW10 7NS, UK

Received 27 September 1995; revised manuscript accepted 6 March 1996

**Summary:** The point prevalence and incidence of *Staphylococcus aureus* (methicillin-sensitive and -resistant) carriage by inpatients on acute elderly care wards was estimated. The relationship to body site and to previous admissions to hospital or other institutions was determined. Fifty-five patients were included in the point prevalence study and 136 in the incidence study, which was performed over a two-month period. One in three patients carried *S. aureus* and 1 in 20 was infected. The incidence rate for MRSA was 2.9%. No endemic strain was found. Nostrils were significantly associated with carriage, and skin break isolates were significant in the point prevalence survey. Screening these sites alone would be most cost effective.

Keywords: Staphylococcus aureus; MRSA; elderly inpatients.

## Introduction

Staphylococcus aureus continues to be a major cause of infection in hospitals.<sup>1</sup> Strains of methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) were first reported in 1961<sup>2</sup> and their emergence has hampered the eradication of staphylococcal cross infection. Numerous epidemics of nosocomial infection, associated with considerable morbidity and mortality, have been reported worldwide.<sup>3</sup> Although MRSA are generally no more virulent than methicillin-sensitive S. aureus (MSSA),<sup>4</sup> the treatment options for colonization or infection are limited. Treatment of hospital-acquired infection has severe personal impact on the patient and considerable cost implications for the hospital.<sup>5</sup> The appearance of MRSA is associated with increased rates of hospital-acquired S. aureus infection overall.<sup>6</sup> This study was, therefore, undertaken to:

(1) examine the overall carriage and infection rates of *S. aureus*, including MRSA in patients on acute elderly care wards; (2) evaluate whether such carriage relates to body site in order to adopt a rational approach to future screening; and (3) investigate whether carriage and infection rates were influenced by previous admissions to hospital or other institutions, as has been found elsewhere.<sup>7</sup>

Correspondence to: Dr R. M. Parnaby, Department of Microbiology, George Eliot Hospital, Nuneaton, Warwickshire, CV10 7DJ, UK.

#### R. M. Parnaby et al.

## Patients and methods

## Point prevalence survey

Inpatients on four acute elderly care wards were screened for *S. aureus* over four consecutive days in February 1994 (one ward per day). The sites sampled were nostrils, throat, axillae, perineum, indwelling urinary catheter site (if present) and skin breaks (if present). A questionnaire (see Appendix) to establish the hospital admission and residential history of the patient and risk factors for staphylococcal colonization was completed at the time of sampling.

### Incidence survey

Consecutive admissions to the same wards during February and March 1994 were screened, within 24 h of admission, using the same protocol. Patients who were admitted after the weekend screen had been taken were screened on Monday morning.

## Laboratory procedures

Swabs from one patient were inoculated together into 10% salt Robertson's cooked-meat broth (Central Public Health Laboratory) and incubated at 37°C aerobically for 48 h. Subculture was made onto mannitol salt agar (Unipath), incubated at 37°C aerobically and examined at 24 and 48 h. If positive, the patient was rescreened and these swabs processed separately. Identification of staphylococci was by Staphylase reagent (Unipath), DNAse testing (Oxoid) and tube coagulase. Methicillin testing was performed on diagnostic sensitivity testing agar (Oxoid) at 30°C overnight, using 25  $\mu$ g strips (Mast Diagnostics). Phage-typing was performed by the Staphylococcal Reference Laboratory (Central Public Health Laboratory).

#### Results

The demographics of the patient populations, in the two surveys, are summarized in Table I.

The point prevalence survey (N=55) yielded 15 (29%) isolates of MSSA and no MRSA. Three (5.5%) patients were clinically infected, one with cellulitis and two with infected varicose ulcers. Nine (60%) patients were available for rescreening. Ten strains were typable and nine were single isolates. No clusters were identified.

The incidence survey (N=136) yielded 48 (35%) isolates of MSSA and four (2.9%) isolates of MRSA. Five (3.7%) were infected with MSSA (three with cellulitis, one with infected eczema and one with infected broken skin following a fall). No patient was infected with MRSA. Thirty (59%) patients were available for rescreening. Table II records the phage-types by ward.

Neither survey found a significant relationship between MSSA or MRSA

#### S. aureus in the elderly

	00007000			
Patient population	Point prevalence		Incidence	
	N=55	%	N = 136	%
Male:female	23:32	42:58	60:76	44:56
Age range (median)	62-96	(79)	66–99	(81)
Emergency admissions	50	91	133	97-8
Admitted from:				
Own home	43	78	108	80
Residential/warden aided	6	11	16	11.9
Other ward CMH*	6	11	9	6.7
Other hospital	0	0	2	1.5
Past admissions:				
CMH* previous month	9	16.4	19	14.1
CMH <sup>*</sup> preceding year	23	42	41	29.9
Other institution last year	5	9.1	22	16.2
Surgery in last year	8	14.5	14	10.3
Infective diagnosis	10	18	35	23.6
Catheterized before admission	1	1.8	4	3
Catheterized at swabbing	9	16.4	13	9.6
Skin breaks:	24	43.6	61	44.9
Intra vascular device site	8	14.5	42	31.1
Chronic ulcer	10	18·2	15	5.3
Cellulitis	3	5.5	7	5.3
Other skin breaks	8	14.5	12	8.8

Table I. Patient population in point prevalance and incidence surveys on acute elderly care wards

\* CMH denotes Central Middlesex Hospital.

carriage and place of residence, previous admission to hospital or other institution, surgery or urinary catheterization.

Nasal carriage of MSSA was significant in both surveys N=7/9 (78%), P=0.01 and N=15/30 (50%), P=0.018, respectively. The incidence of nasal and skin break carriage of MRSA was significant N=4/4 (100%), P=0.03. Skin breaks yielded MSSA isolates significantly in the point prevalence survey N=4/9 (44%), P=0.0003.

## Discussion

The unavailability of patients for a second screen after a positive isolate (40%) was high. Our findings should be considered as a pilot study: the design has been satisfactorily tested and could be applied in any setting. The point prevalence and incidence populations were broadly similar. The former were more likely to have been admitted from another ward in our hospital and to have a urinary catheter *in situ*.

Although the numbers in our surveys were small, the significant findings were identified by Fisher's exact test (for small sample sizes), and do suggest that screening nostrils and skin breaks alone is most cost effective. On initial screen this would reduce the number of swabs used by at least four (price 8p per swab). On follow up, when swabs are processed separately, a

Phage type	Ward 1	Ward 2	Ward 3	Ward 4
Not typable	6  incl  2 MRSA P=0.29	2	4 incl 1 MRSA P=0.72	2
Strain A		$4 \text{ incl } 1 \\ \text{MRSA} \\ P = 0.0009$		
Strain B Strain C	2	2	2 2	
Strain D	1		2	
Strain E		1		2
Strain F			1 1	
Strain G			1	
Strain H		1		1
Strain I	2			1
Strain J	P = 0.086			
Strain K	1 - 0 000		1	1
Strain L				1
Strain M				2
				P = 0.086
Strain N				1

Table II. Phage types of Staphylococcus aureus on four acute elderly care wards in the point prevalence survey. P values are included if more than one case has occurred on only one ward

MRSA, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus.

decision must be made as to whether to screen nostrils and skin breaks only, (using one broth for enrichment per swab, and half a plate for isolation per broth), or whether to then perform a full screen, which would use at least four extra broths (price 54p per broth) and two extra plates per patient (price 27p per plate). Previous work has indicated that over 80% of colonized patients are detected by nares culture alone,<sup>8</sup> which, taken in conjunction with our study, suggests that full screens are unnecessary except in specific cases when the index of suspicion is high but nose and skin break screening is negative. Targeting patients with urinary catheters is not worthwhile. Although we did not look at length of stay specifically, screening medium to long-stay patients with skin breaks (as represented by the point prevalence study) may be useful.<sup>2,9</sup>

Eighteen distinct phage types of S. aureus were identified across the two studies, and 18 (31.6%) isolates were not typable. Of the four Strain A isolates on one ward, three were MSSA and one was MRSA. All of these patients had skin breaks. Two were admitted from one other ward in our hospital and two from the Day Hospital. Their dates of admission spanned one month. Three of the patients with MRSA were admitted from home and one from another ward. One had been in our hospital previously, and one in another hospital. Three had skin breaks. Two patients were on one ward and their dates of admission were a month apart. To facilitate infection control it would seem appropriate, therefore, to screen all elderly patients with skin breaks on admission for carriage of S. *aureus* in nares and skin break site.

We wish to thank Drs C. Cayley, B. Kaufman and D. McCrea for permission to study their patients, Dr S. Hussein for his help, and the Staphylococcal Reference Laboratory at the Central Public Health Laboratory for phage-typing the isolates.

## References

- 1. Sheagren JN. Staphylococcus aureus. The persistent pathogen. N Engl J Med 1984; 110: 1368-1373.
- 2. Jevons MP. 'Celbenin' resistant staphylococci. BMJ 1961; i: 124-125.
- 3. Murphy S, Denman S, Bennett RG, Greenough WB, Lindsay J, Zelesnick LB. Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in a long-term care facility. *JAGS* 1992; **40**: 213–217.
- French GL, Cheng AFB, Ling MJL, Mo P, Donnan S. Hong Kong strains of methicillinresistant and methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* have similar virulence. *J Hosp Infect* 1990; 15: 117-125.
- Richards J, Williams H, Warner M, Johnson AP, Reith S, Woodford N, Marples RR, George RC. Nosocomial spread of *Staphylococcus aureus* showing intermediate resistance to methicillin. J Hosp Infect 1993; 25: 91-96.
- 6. Boyce JM, White RL, Spruill EY. Impact of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* on the incidence of nosocomial staphylococcal infections. *J Infect Dis* 1983; **148**: 763.
- 7. Muder RR, Brennan C, Wagener MM, Vickers RM, Rihs JD, Hancock GA, Yee YC et al. Methicillin resistant staphylococcal colonization and infection in a long-term care facility. Ann Intern Med 1991; 114: 107–112.
- Sewell DL, Potter SA, Jacobson CM, Strausbaugh LJ, Ward TT. Sensitivity of surveillance cultures for the detection of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in a nursing-home-care unit. *Diag Microbiol Infect Dis* 1993; 17: 53-56.
- 9. Bradley SF, Terpenning MŠ, Ramsay MA, Zarins LT, Jorgensen KA, Sottile WS, Schaberg DR et al. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus: colonization and infection in a long-term care facility. Ann Intern Med 1991; 115: 417-422.

## Appendix: Questionnaire

Study number Hospital	number Ward			
DOB DOA	Elective/Emergency			
Admitted from				
Reason for admission				
Relevant medical conditions:	1			
2	3			
4	5			
Previous admissions to CMH in last month? Y/N				
If yes, state ward(s) and date(s)				

## R. M. Parnaby et al.

Previous admissions to CMH in prec	eding year? Y/N			
If yes, state ward(s) and date(s):	1			
2	3			
4	5			
Admission to other hospital/institution in last 12 months? Y/N				
If yes, state place(s) and date(s):	1			
2	3			
4	5			
Any surgical procedure in the last twelve months? Y/N				
If yes, state what and where carried out				
Is the patient catheterised? Y/N				
If yes, was that before admission? Y/N				
Are there any skin breaks? Y/N				
If yes, state type, site and acute/chronic				
1	2			
3	4			
5	6			
Which, if any, were present on admission?				

## 206