Revised Manuscript no. 07-1633 version 4

Low Circulating IGF-I Bioactivity in Elderly Men is associated with Increased Mortality

Brugts MP¹, Van den Beld AW¹, Hofland LJ¹, van der Wansem K¹, van Koetsveld PM¹, Frystyk J², Lamberts SWJ¹, Janssen JAMJL¹

- 1 Division of Endocrinology, Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
- 2 Medical Research Laboratories, Clinical Institute and Medical Department M, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus C, Denmark

Short title: IGF-I bioactivity in human survival

Key Words: IGF-I, total IGF-I, Free IGF-I, IGF-I bioactivity, IGFBPs, IGFBP-1, IGFBP-3, survival, longevity, CRP

Word count:

Text: 3600 Abstract: 241 Figures: 2 Tables: 4

Corresponding author:

Michael Pascal Brugts, Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus MC, Room Ee569, Dr. Molewaterplein 50, 3015 GE Rotterdam, The Netherlands E-mail: m.brugts@erasmusmc.nl Tel. +31 107 03 43 94 Fax. +31 107 03 54 30

Disclosure informations:

The following authors have nothing to declare: Brugts MP, Van den Beld AW, Hofland LJ, van der Wansem K, van Koetsveld PM, Lamberts SWJ and Janssen JAMJL. Frystyk J. received lecture fees from Hoffmann-La Roche.

Abstract

Context: Low IGF-I signaling activity prolongs lifespan in certain animal models, but the precise role of IGF-I in human survival remains controversial. The IGF-I kinase receptor activation assay (IGF-I KIRA) is a novel method for measuring IGF-I bioactivity in human serum. We speculated that determination of circulating IGF-I bioactivity is more informative than levels of immunoreactive IGF-I.

Objective: To study IGF-I bioactivity in relation to human survival.

Design: Prospective observational study.

Setting: A clinical research center at a university hospital.

Study participants: 376 healthy elderly men (aged 73 to 94 years).

Main outcome Measures: IGF-I bioactivity was determined by the IGF-I KIRA. Total and free IGF-I were determined by IGF-I immunoassays. Mortality was registered during follow-up (mean 82 months).

Results: During the follow-up period of 8.6 years 170 men (45%) died. Survival of subjects in the highest quartile of IGF-I bioactivity was significantly better than in the lowest quartile, both in the total study group (HR = 1.8, (95% CI: 1.2 - 2.8, p = 0.01) as well as in subgroups having a medical history of cardiovascular disease (HR = 2.4 (95% CI: 1.3 - 4.3, p = 0.003) or a high inflammatory risk profile (HR = 2.3 (95% CI: 1.2 - 4.5, p = 0.01). Significant relationships were not observed for total or free IGF-I.

Conclusion: Our study suggests that a relatively high circulating IGF-I bioactivity in elderly men is associated with extended survival and with reduced cardiovascular risk.

Introduction

Insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I) has important anabolic and mitogenic effects, and is involved in mechanisms of function. maintenance, and repair of many tissues (1). Involvement of IGF-I in the process of aging has been studied extensively in the last two decades. Studies in invertebrates have suggested that disruption of signalling pathways similar to the IGF-I pathway extends lifespan (2-5). Growth hormone/IGF-I deficient mice and rats have increased longevity compared to controls (3, 6, 7). Also, decreased IGF-I receptor (IGF-IR) signalling activity in female heterozygous knockout mice was shown to retard the process of aging (8). However, the precise role played by IGF-I in human survival remains controversial (9).

IGF-I is part of a complex system consisting of two growth factors (IGF-I and IGF-II), at least six high-affinity IGF binding proteins (IGFBP-1 to -6) and several IGFBP proteases (1, 10). It is believed that only 0.5-1% of total IGF-I levels circulates in a free form, whereas about 99% of circulating IGF-I is bound by IGFBPs. Only free IGF-I is considered to interact with the IGF-IR (11).

Most of what is known about regulation of circulation is based on IGF-I in the specific measurements using IGF-I immunoassays. Since IGFBPs interfere with the accurate determination of IGF-I by immunoassay, various techniques have been developed to remove IGFBPs from samples or neutralize their influence on IGF-I immunoreactivity (11, 12). However, it is important to note that IGFBPs are important modulators of IGF-I bioactivity (1). IGFBPs are able to alter IGF-I bioactivity without changing the extractable concentrations of total IGF-I (11).

Recently, a highly sensitive and IGF-I specific kinase receptor activation assay (IGF-I KIRA) was developed to determine IGF bioactivity in human serum (13, 14). This bioassay determines the ability of circulating IGF-I to activate the IGF-IR by quantification of intracellular receptor auto-phosphorylation upon IGF-I binding. Unlike an immunoassay, the IGF-I KIRA does not disregard modifying effects of IGFBPs and IGFBP proteases on the interaction between IGF-I and the IGF-IR (15, 16). Therefore, the IGF-I KIRA method is a new tool that could help broaden our understanding of the IGF-I system in humans, in both normal and pathological conditions.

The objective of the present study was to investigate whether IGF-I bioactivity was related to survival in a cohort of healthy elderly men. In addition, we studied the relationship between IGF-I bioactivity and cardiovascular disease (CVD) in this cohort.

Research Design and Methods

Study Population

The design of the Zoetermeer study, a prospective cohort study conducted in clinically healthy independently living Caucasian elderly men, has been reported previously (17). Individuals were drawn from the municipal register of Zoetermeer, the Netherlands. Inclusion criteria were male sex, age \geq 70 years and a sufficient physical and mental status to visit the study center independently. The Medical Ethics Committee of the Erasmus MC approved the study. Out of 1567 invited men, 403 participated and gave written informed consent. At baseline, medical histories were obtained from all participants, and serum samples were collected from 376 were individuals. General practitioners contacted about the status of participants in subsequent years. Cause of death was derived from death certificates and could only be verified in a limited number of subjects. The maximum follow-up time was 8.6 years. Information on nonfatal events was not registered.

Anthropometric measurements

Height and weight were measured. Systolic (SBP) and diastolic (DBP) blood pressures were measured in duplicate. Hypertension was defined as SBP > 160 mmHg and/or DBP > 90 mmHg or antihypertensive treatment. Lean body mass and fat mass were measured using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (Lunar Corp., Madison, Wisconsin) (18).

Assays

At baseline, a venous blood sample was collected after an overnight fast. Serum and plasma aliquots were stored immediately after processing at -40°C. Total IGF-I levels were measured by radioimmunoassay (RIA) (Mediagnost GmbH, Tübingen, Germany) (19). Intra-assay and inter-assay coefficients of variation (CVs) were 1.6% and 6.4%, respectively. Free IGF-I levels were measured non-competitive, by a two-site immunoradiometric assay (Beckman Coulter, Inc., Webster, Texas). Intra-assav and inter-CVs were 10.3% and assay 10.7% respectively. IGFBP-1 and IGFBP-3 levels were determined by specific RIA as previously described (19). For IGFBP-1 and IGFBP-3, intra-assay CVs were 3.4% and 1.9%, respectively, and inter-assay CVs were 8.1% and 9.2%, respectively. Insulin was measured by a commercially available RIA (Medgenix Diagnostics, Brussels, Belgium). Intra-assay and inter-assay CVs were 8.0% and 13.7%, respectively. Immunoassays were performed soon after sample collection (in 1998) to reduce the possibility of analyte degradation. Insulin sensitivity was calculated according to the Homeostasis Model Assessment (HOMA) model 2 (HOMA Calculator v2.2, Oxford Centre for Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism). Total and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) and triglycerides were measured using a commercially available kit (17). Low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol levels were calculated. C-reactive protein levels (CRP) were determined with a highly sensitive method (hs-CRP) using a latex-enhanced immunoephelometric assay on a BN II Behring, analyzer (Dade Liederbach, Germany).

IGF-I Kinase receptor activation assay (IGF-I KIRA)

IGF-I bioactivity was measured using an inhouse IGF-I KIRA as previously described (13). Human embryonic renal cells (293 Invitrogen, Germany) EBNA. stably transfected with copy DNA of the human IGF-IR gene were used as read-out after stimulation with either recombinant IGF-I standards (Austral Biologicals, San Ramon, CA) or 1/10 diluted serum samples. IGF-I standards, two control samples, and serum samples from study participants were measured in duplicate on each culture plate. Intra-assay and inter-assay CVs were 6.0% 10.9%, respectively. IGF-I and KIRA measurements were performed in 2005. There are no data on the effects of long-term storage on sample IGF-I activity in the KIRA assay. However, since all samples were analyzed following an equal period of storage, any variable effect of storage time is likely removed.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS for Windows, release 12.0 (Chicago, Illinois). Only data from the 376 participants for whom serum samples were available were included in the analyses. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test normality of variables (data were considered to be normally distributed when p > 0.05). For data that did not meet the criteria for normality, logarithmic transformations were applied. Baseline characteristics are presented as means \pm SD, medians with 25th and 75^{th} percentiles (P₂₅ – P₇₅), or numbers. Correlation coefficients (r_s) between IGF-I parameters were calculated using non-(Spearman's correlation parametric tests coefficient). Univariate general linear models were used to calculate adjusted differences in means of variables between groups (F-tests were used to evaluate significance). Linear regression was used to calculate the relation between IGF-I bioactivity and age. A multiple linear regression model was used to test equality of slopes (IGF-I bioactivity = $\beta_0 + \beta_1$ \times age + $\beta_2 \times Z$ + $\beta_3 \times$ age $\times Z$ + E), where Z = 1 for non-survivors; Z = 0 for survivors and E denoting independent, identically normally distributed error terms. IGF-I bioactivity and free IGF-I were calculated as percentage of total IGF-I according to the formula: X (pmol/L) / total IGF-I $(pmol/L) \ge 100\%$ (X = IGF-I bioactivity or free IGF-I level, respectively).

Cox proportional hazard models were used to analyse survival (Wald tests were used to evaluate significance of variables in the hazard model). The time-to-event variable was specified as time from baseline examination to death denoted in months. Individuals who did not have an event during the time that the subject was part of the study (N = 206) were censored. Continuous IGF-I risk factors were grouped (group 1: $\leq 25^{\text{th}}$, group 2-3: $25^{\text{th}} - 75^{\text{th}}$ and group 4: $\geq 75^{\text{th}}$ percentiles) and used as categorical covariates in survival analyses. For all IGF-I parameters risk was calculated relative to the highest quartile. Within the final model, age, BMI, smoking, SBP, diabetes, LDL and HDL were considered possible confounders and were used as baseline timeconstant covariates. Both crude and adjusted hazard ratios (HR) were estimated. Assumptions of proportionality of hazards were verified by graphical inspection. To control for confounding by cardiovascular disease (CVD) or inflammatory risk status. crude data were stratified and survival analysis was repeated as described previously. To correct for CVD, crude data were stratified into two groups split by the presence (N =133) or absence (N = 242) of prevalent CVD. Presence of CVD was defined as having a medical history of myocardial infarction or cerebrovascular disease, and/or being treated or having symptoms of angina pectoris, congestive heart failure or claudicatio intermittens (17). To correct for inflammatory risk status continuous baseline hs-CRP levels were split at 3 mg/L, resulting in subjects with a low to medium inflammatory risk profile (IRP) (hs-CRP \leq 3 mg/L, N = 238) and subjects with a high IRP (hs-CRP > 3 mg/L, N = 138) (20, 21). Survival analysis using IGF-I risk groups as categorical covariates was performed in IRP and CVD strata as described previously.

In general: cause of death was based on death certificates that could not be verified in the majority of subjects. Therefore, cause of death was not controlled for in all Cox proportional hazard models. Trends across IGF-I risk groups were based on models with linear effect of the risk factors (Armitage trend test). Two-sided P-values are reported and P-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Baseline characteristics of participants, categorized by survivors (N = 206, (55%)) and non-survivors (N = 170, (45%)), are shown in Table 1. Mean age at baseline was 77.7 ± 3.5 (mean \pm SD) years (range, 73 to 94). Mean time to death was 81.9 months (range, 3 to 103).

Baseline levels of IGF system parameters are shown in Table 2. Overall, IGF-I bioactivity and free IGF-I levels accounted for 2.6% (range, 0.2–5.9) and 0.6% (range, 0.2 – 2.9) of circulating total IGF-I levels, respectively (p < 0.001). These fractions were not significantly correlated with each other ($r_s = 0.04$, p = 0.48). At baseline, only mean IGFBP-1 levels differed significantly between survivors and non-survivors (Table 2). In addition, the mean calculated bioactive IGF-I fraction was significantly higher in survivors compared to non-survivors (mean \pm SEM: 2.7 \pm 0.06% vs. 2.4 \pm 0.07%, adjusted for age p = 0.04), whereas the calculated mean free IGF-I fraction did not differ between these groups (p = 0.62). Also the mean total IGF-I / IGFBP3 ratio did not differ between survivors and nonsurvivors (adjusted for age p = 0.21).

IGF-I bioactivity was significantly correlated with all studied parameters of the IGF system (Table 3) and to the total IGF-I / IGFBP-3 ratio ($r_s = 0.26$, p < 0.001). Furthermore, mean baseline IGF-I bioactivity was negatively related to age (slope (β) = -4.5 pmol/L/year, p = 0.01, data not shown). However, the rate of decline in IGF-I bioactivity with age did not survivors ($\beta = -3.5$ differ between pmol/L/year) and non-survivors ($\beta = -4.1$ pmol/L/year, p = 0.77). We found no significant correlations between IGF-I bioactivity and BMI, WHR, lean mass, fat mass, fasting glucose or insulin (data not shown).

Survival analyses for risk factor groups (1, 2-3 and 4) of total IGF-I, free IGF-I and IGF-I bioactivity were performed. Hazard ratios (HR) for mortality rate between groups are shown in Table 4.

Cox proportional hazard plots are shown in Figures 1A, B and C, respectively. A significant relationship was found between groups of IGF-I bioactivity and mortality (Table 4, Figure 1C). Subjects within the highest quartile of IGF-I bioactivity (group 4) had a lower mortality rate than subjects in groups with lower IGF-I bioactivity (groups 1 and 2-3). This remained significant after adjustment for age, BMI, smoking, SBP, diabetes, LDL and HDL (Table 4). For total IGF-I and free IGF-I no significant relationships were found (Table 4, Figure 1A and 1B respectively). In addition, when survival was analysed using quartiles of total IGF-I / IGFBP3 ratios no significant relationship was found (adjusted for age p for trend = 0.41, data not shown).

The data were then stratified into subgroups with either positive (N = 133) or negative medical history of CVD (N = 242). Mean baseline levels of IGF-I bioactivity and all other measured parameters of the IGF system did not differ between these two CVD groups (data not shown).

There was a significant relationship between groups of IGF-I bioactivity and mortality in subjects with prevalent CVD (Table 4, Figure 2A).

Subjects in the lowest quartile (group 1) of IGF-I bioactivity had a significantly higher mortality rate than subjects in the highest quartile (group 4) (unadjusted p for trend = 0.003; adjusted p for trend = 0.004).

For group 1 *vs.* 2-3 the HR for mortality rate was significant (unadjusted HR = 2.3 (CI 95%: 1.4 - 3.8, p = 0.001); adjusted HR = 2.1 (CI 95%: 1.3 - 3.6, p = 0.005).

IGF-I bioactivity was significantly inversely related to hs-CRP levels ($r_s = -0.17$, p < 0.001, adjusted for age and BMI). Of all other measured parameters of the IGF-I system, only IGFBP-1 levels were significantly positively correlated with hs-CRP levels (r = 0.15, p = 0.04, adjusted for age and BMI).

Using hs-CRP as a marker of inflammation and mortality risk, we stratified data into a subgroup with a low to medium (N = 238) and with a high (N = 138) inflammatory risk profile (IRP). At baseline, mean IGF-I bioactivity was lower in subjects with a high IRP, than in subjects with a low to medium IRP (mean \pm SEM: 312 \pm 11.6 vs. 344 \pm 7.8 pmol/L, p = 0.01, adjusted for age and BMI). Means of other parameters of the IGF-I system did not differ between IRP subgroups.

The relationship between IGF-I bioactivity groups and mortality was significant, but only in subjects with a high IRP (Table 4, Figure 2B). Subjects in the highest quartile (group 4) had significantly better survival than subjects in the lowest quartile (group 1) (p = 0.01). This relationship remained significant after adjustment for age, BMI, smoking, SBP, diabetes, LDL and HDL (p = 0.03). Exclusion of the first year of follow-up, did not affect this relationship as the difference in mortality rate remained significant for group 1 vs. 4 (unadjusted HR = 2.0, 95% CI: 1.1 - 4.3, p =0.02; adjusted HR = 2.0, 95% CI: 1.0-4.0, p < 0.05). For group 1 vs. 2-3 the HR for mortality rate was not significant (unadjusted HR = 1.2(CI 95%: 0.8 - 2.0, p = 0.38; adjusted HR = 1.0 (CI 95%: 0.6 – 1.8, p = 0.88).

Lastly, IRP and CVD data were combined. At baseline, mean IGFBP-1 levels were significantly higher in subjects with a positive

medical history of CVD and/or a high IRP (N = 211) compared to individuals without prevalent CVD and a low/medium IRP, p = 0.004, data not shown).

A significant trend for mortality rates was found across IGF-I bioactivity groups in subjects with a high IRP and/or a positive medical history of CVD (unadjusted p for trend = 0.003; adjusted p for trend = 0.005; Figure 2C). Mortality rate was highest in group 1, the quartile with the lowest IGF-I bioactivity (for estimated HRs see legends of Figure 2C).

Neither total nor free IGF-I showed any significant relationships with mortality rate in CVD and IRP subgroups (Table 4).

Discussion

This 8-year prospective study in elderly men showed that higher circulating IGF-I bioactivity is associated with better overall survival. Individuals in the lowest quartile of IGF-I bioactivity had a 1.8-fold increased mortality risk compared with individuals in the highest quartile. Interestingly, for total and free IGF-I measurements as well as for the total IGF-I / IGFBP-3 ratios we could not find such relationships.

Although men with lower IGF-I bioactivity might have died earlier or might have been excluded from the study because their physical condition (illness, frailty or other causes) prevented a visit to the research center (22), the strength of our study is its prospective design, which is likely to reduce this form of selection bias.

The IGF-I KIRA was used to measure IGF-I bioactivity (13, 14), which was significantly associated with other IGF-I system parameters measured by immunoassay. However, none of these associations had correlation coefficients greater than 0.5. This suggests that, in comparison with immunoassays, the KIRA produces different information about circulating IGF-I.

In most IGF-I immunoassays, various techniques are used to remove IGFBPs (15). However, one of the major functions of IGFBPs is to modulate IGF-I bioavailability. IGFBP-1 is thought to be an important direct modulator of IGF-I bioactivity (10). In our study IGF bioactivity correlated better with circulating IGFBP-1 levels than with either

total or free IGF-I levels, suggesting that IGFBP-1 indeed influences IGF-I bioactivity.

Of interest is the observed discrepancy between IGF-I bioactivity and free IGF-I in our study. Both parameters are believed to be informative about the fraction of circulating total IGF-I that interacts with the IGF-IR. Mean IGF-I bioactivity was significantly greater than mean free IGF-I level and correlation between these parameters was poor. An explanation could be that the IGF-I KIRA is more sensitive than free IGF-I levels in estimating the concentration of circulating IGF-I that interacts with the IGF-IR, since the KIRA is probably better at detecting the modulatory effects of IGFBPs and IGFBP proteases. In addition, as the IGF-I KIRA measures the overall ability of serum to activate the IGF-IR in vitro, our data do not allow us to discriminate between the relative contributions of IGF-I and IGF-II to the IGF-I KIRA signal. Therefore, IGF-II mediated effects could also have contributed to the discrepancy between the IGF-I KIRA and free IGF-I levels. From previous experiments it is known that IGF-II has a cross-reactivity of about 12% to the IGF-I receptor (13). However, as has been suggested previously, from a biological point of view it is not important whether IGF-IR activation is caused mostly by IGF-I or IGF-II (23).

In this study a relatively high circulating IGF-I bioactivity was associated with a lower mortality risk. This is in contrast to results reported in animal studies, where low circulating IGF-I levels were associated with increased survival. An explanation could be that in these animal studies effects of IGF-I on the rate of aging were studied during lifelong exposure, whereas our study only provides insight into IGF-I activity towards the end of life. In addition, insulin and IGF-I have very different functions and signalling pathways in mammals compared with their homologs in species С. elegans lower (e.g. and Drosophila). Furthermore, lifespan in humans is measured in decades as opposed to months or days in rodents, flies and nematodes (24). Another explanation could be that catabolism and/or a systemic inflammatory response as a consequence of subclinical (undiagnosed) diseases may have induced resistance to IGF-I production. It could be that in our study low IGF bioactivity may not be a cause but rather

an effect, serving as a reporter for disease or catabolism.

Humans have the potential to live for over 100 years and the precise interactions of all factors that influence aging is complex. In the Western world cardiovascular disease (CVD) and cancer are the most important determinants of human survival. Although cancer is also a common cause of death in animal models used to study longevity, CVD is not and is more specific to humans. When we stratified for the presence or absence of CVD, we found that low IGF-I bioactivity was an important independent risk factor for mortality rate in individuals with CVD. Interestingly, comparative results were found when data were stratified according to IRP (based on CRP levels), and the observed trend became even stronger when both groups were combined.

Of note, CRP is a non-specific marker of systemic inflammatory responses and has emerged as the most powerful predictor of mortality due to CVD events among eleven other biomarkers (21). In our study CRP levels were significantly inversely related to IGF-I bioactivity and positively to IGFBP-1. Although a decline in IGF-I bioactivity, as previously discussed, could be a component of the hormonal alterations that occur in any illness or catabolic state (37), the difference in mortality rate between the highest and the lowest quartile of IGF-I bioactivity in our study remained significant when all mortalities in the first year of follow-up were excluded from the analyses. Thus, undiagnosed illness or catabolic states at baseline probably did not cause the relationship between IGF-I bioactivity and survival.

Interestingly, there are different clinical models that support the negative regulation of CRP and other inflammatory markers by GH/IGF-I administration (25, 26) For example, Sesmilo et al. found low levels of CRP in patients with active acromegaly, which rose when IGF-I levels normalized after administration of a GH receptor antagonist (27). Verma et al. found that CRP negatively influences proliferation, differentiation and survival of isolated endothelial progenitor cells *in vitro* and their ability to produce nitric oxide (NO), whereas for IGF-I opposite effects have been reported (28, 29). Altogether these

findings point to the possibility of a relationship between IGF-I bioactivity, CRP and CVD. However, it remains to be seen whether reduced IGF-I bioactivity is an endocrine contributor to mortality risk or simply an epiphenomenon related to overall health/resistance to inflammation.

In conclusion, this prospective study provides evidence that low circulating IGF-I bioactivity in elderly men is associated with increased mortality, especially in those individuals in which an age-related pro-inflammatory state exists, with its attendant higher risk of mortality from CVD. Remarkably, for total and free IGF-I measurements we could not find such relationships. Compared to IGF-I immunoassays the IGF-I KIRA may offer the unique possibility of measuring the net modulating effects of IGFBPs and IGFBP proteases on IGF-IR activation by bioactive IGF-I available in human serum. In this respect, our study suggests that determination of IGF-I bioactivity may help to clarify the controversies that exist about the precise role of IGF-I in human survival.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Professor Pierre de Meyts (Receptor Biology Laboratory, Hagedorn Research Institute, Novo Nordisk, Gentofte, Denmark) for his help in establishing the IGF-I KIRA in our laboratory. In addition, the authors thank Dr. Patric Delhanty for his contribution to the manuscript. This study was in part supported by Zon-MW, Organization for Health Research and Development the Netherlands, (948-00-003).

References

- 1. Le Roith D, Bondy C, Yakar S, Liu JL, Butler A 2001 The somatomedin hypothesis: 2001. Endocr Rev 22:53-74
- 2. Kenyon C 2001 A conserved regulatory system for aging. Cell 105:165-168
- 3. **Carter CS, Ramsey MM, Sonntag WE** 2002 A critical analysis of the role of growth hormone and IGF-1 in aging and lifespan. Trends Genet 18:295-301
- 4. **Barbieri M, Bonafe M, Franceschi C, Paolisso G** 2003 Insulin/IGF-I-signaling pathway: an evolutionarily conserved mechanism of longevity from yeast to humans. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 285:E1064-1071
- 5. Lin K, Hsin H, Libina N, Kenyon C 2001 Regulation of the Caenorhabditis elegans longevity protein DAF-16 by insulin/IGF-1 and germline signaling. Nat Genet 28:139-145
- 6. **Bartke A** 2005 Minireview: role of the growth hormone/insulin-like growth factor system in mammalian aging. Endocrinology 146:3718-3723
- 7. Shimokawa I, Higami Y, Utsuyama M, Tuchiya T, Komatsu T, Chiba T, Yamaza H 2002 Life span extension by reduction in growth hormone-insulin-like growth factor-1 axis in a transgenic rat model. Am J Pathol 160:2259-2265
- 8. Holzenberger M, Dupont J, Ducos B, Leneuve P, Geloen A, Even PC, Cervera P, Le Bouc Y 2003 IGF-1 receptor regulates lifespan and resistance to oxidative stress in mice. Nature 421:182-187
- 9. Janssen JA, Lamberts SW 2004 Igf-I and longevity. Horm Res 62 Suppl 3:104-109
- 10. **Collett-Solberg PF, Cohen P** 2000 Genetics, chemistry, and function of the IGF/IGFBP system. Endocrine 12:121-136
- Frystyk J 2004 Free insulin-like growth factors -- measurements and relationships to growth hormone secretion and glucose homeostasis. Growth Horm IGF Res 14:337-375
- 12. **Clemmons DR** 2001 Commercial assays available for insulin-like growth factor I and their use in diagnosing growth hormone deficiency. Horm Res 55 Suppl 2:73-79
- 13. Chen JW, Ledet T, Orskov H, Jessen N, Lund S, Whittaker J, De Meyts P, Larsen MB, Christiansen JS, Frystyk J 2003 A highly sensitive and specific assay for determination of IGF-I bioactivity in human serum. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 284:E1149-1155
- 14. **Sadick MD, Intintoli A, Quarmby V, McCoy A, Canova-Davis E, Ling V** 1999 Kinase receptor activation (KIRA): a rapid and accurate alternative to end-point bioassays. J Pharm Biomed Anal 19:883-891
- 15. Frystyk J 2007 Utility of Free IGF-I Measurements. Pituitary 10:181-187
- 16. **Clemmons DR** 2007 IGF-I assays: current assay methodologies and their limitations. Pituitary 10:121-128
- 17. **van den Beld AW, Bots ML, Janssen JA, Pols HA, Lamberts SW, Grobbee DE** 2003 Endogenous hormones and carotid atherosclerosis in elderly men. Am J Epidemiol 157:25-31
- 18. **Gotfredsen A, Jensen J, Borg J, Christiansen C** 1986 Measurement of lean body mass and total body fat using dual photon absorptiometry. Metabolism 35:88-93
- 19. **Blum WF, Breier BH** 1994 Radioimmunoassays for IGFs and IGFBPs. Growth Regul 4 Suppl 1:11-19
- 20. **de Ferranti SD, Rifai N** 2007 C-reactive protein: a nontraditional serum marker of cardiovascular risk. Cardiovasc Pathol 16:14-21

- 21. **Ridker PM, Hennekens CH, Buring JE, Rifai N** 2000 C-reactive protein and other markers of inflammation in the prediction of cardiovascular disease in women. N Engl J Med 342:836-843
- 22. **Rincon M, Muzumdar R, Atzmon G, Barzilai N** 2004 The paradox of the insulin/IGF-1 signaling pathway in longevity. Mech Ageing Dev 125:397-403
- 23. **Stoving RK, Chen JW, Glintborg D, Brixen K, Flyvbjerg A, Horder K, Frystyk J** 2007 Bioactive insulin-like growth factor (IGF) I and IGF-binding protein-1 in anorexia nervosa. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 92:2323-2329
- 24. **Rincon M, Rudin E, Barzilai N** 2005 The insulin/IGF-1 signaling in mammals and its relevance to human longevity. Exp Gerontol 40:873-877
- 25. Andreassen M, Vestergaard H, Kristensen LO 2007 Concentrations of the acute phase reactants high-sensitive C-reactive protein and YKL-40 and of interleukin-6 before and after treatment in patients with acromegaly and growth hormone deficiency. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 67:909-916
- 26. **Jeschke MG, Barrow RE, Suzuki F, Rai J, Benjamin D, Herndon DN** 2002 IGF-I/IGFBP-3 equilibrates ratios of pro- to anti-inflammatory cytokines, which are predictors for organ function in severely burned pediatric patients. Mol Med 8:238-246
- 27. Sesmilo G, Fairfield WP, Katznelson L, Pulaski K, Freda PU, Bonert V, Dimaraki E, Stavrou S, Vance ML, Hayden D, Klibanski A 2002 Cardiovascular risk factors in acromegaly before and after normalization of serum IGF-I levels with the GH antagonist pegvisomant. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 87:1692-1699
- 28. Thum T, Hoeber S, Froese S, Klink I, Stichtenoth DO, Galuppo P, Jakob M, Tsikas D, Anker SD, Poole-Wilson PA, Borlak J, Ertl G, Bauersachs J 2007 Agedependent impairment of endothelial progenitor cells is corrected by growthhormone-mediated increase of insulin-like growth-factor-1. Circ Res 100:434-443
- 29. Verma S, Kuliszewski MA, Li SH, Szmitko PE, Zucco L, Wang CH, Badiwala MV, Mickle DA, Weisel RD, Fedak PW, Stewart DJ, Kutryk MJ 2004 C-reactive protein attenuates endothelial progenitor cell survival, differentiation, and function: further evidence of a mechanistic link between C-reactive protein and cardiovascular disease. Circulation 109:2058-2067

Figure legends

Figure 1: Cox proportional hazard plots (%) for groups of (A) circulating total IGF-I levels, (B) circulating free IGF-I levels and (C) IGF-I bioactivity levels. P for trend only reached statistical significance in the IGF-I bioactivity groups. Groups of IGF-I bioactivity: Group 1 (-): $\leq 25^{\text{th}}$ percentile; Group 2-3 (-): between 25th and 75th percentile; Group 4 (-): $\geq 75^{\text{th}}$ percentile. Trends across IGF-I bioactivity risk groups were based on Cox proportional hazard models with linear effect of the risk factor (Armitage trend test). Maximum time of follow-up was 103 months.

Figure 2: Crude incidence rates (number of events (deaths) per 100 person-years) are shown for groups of circulating IGF-I bioactivity levels according to strata of (**A**) absence or presence of a medical history of CVD (CVD - *vs.* CVD +, respectively), (**B**) low/medium or high inflammatory risk profile (IRP_{low/medium} *vs.* IRP_{high}, respectively) and (**C**) combined subgroups of CVD and IRP (CVD+ and/or IRP_{high} *vs.* CVD- and IRP_{low/medium}). A significant linear trend (*) between groups of IGF-I bioactivity was only found in subjects (**A**) with prevalent CVD, (**B**) a high IRP or (**C**) prevalent CVD and/or a high IRP. Figure 2C; for group 1 *vs.* 2-3 unadjusted HR = 1.5 (95% CI: 1.0 - 2.3, p < 0.05) for group 1 *vs.* 4: HR = 2.3 (95% CI: 1.3 - 3.8, p = 0.002); and for group 2 *vs.* 3: HR = 1.5 (95% CI: 0.9 - 2.5, p = 0.11). HRs adjusted for age, BMI, smoking, SBP, diabetes, LDL and HDL were 1.4 (95% CI: 0.9 - 2.4, p = 0.15); 2.2 (95% CI: 1.3 - 3.8, p = 0.004); and 1.6 (95% CI: 0.9 - 2.6, p = 0.08), respectively.

Maximum time of follow-up was 103 months. Trends across IGF-I bioactivity risk groups were based on Cox proportional hazard models with linear effect of the risk factor (Armitage trend test). Groups of IGF-I bioactivity: Group 1: IGF-I bioactivity $\leq 25^{th}$ percentile; Group 2-3: IGF-I bioactivity between 25^{th} and 75^{th} percentile; Group 4: IGF-I bioactivity $\geq 75^{th}$ percentile. CVD = cardiovascular disease, IRP = inflammatory risk profile. IRP subgroups were based on hs-CRP levels: IRP_{low/medium} = CRP ≤ 3 mg/L, IRP_{high} = CRP > 3 mg/L.

Variable	Survivors (N = 206)	Non-Survivors (N = 170)	P-value
	N	/lean ± SD	
Age (year)	77.1 ± 3.0	78.5 ± 3.9	< 0.001
BMI (kg/m^2)	25.8 ± 2.9	25.2 ± 3.0	< 0.29
Lean mass (kg)	52.3 ± 5.5	51.4 ± 5.4	< 0.21 [§]
Fat mass (kg)	21.3 ± 5.7	21.0 ± 5.7	0.84 §
SBP (mmHg)	157 ± 25	156 ± 24	0.85 #
DBP (mmHg)	84 ± 11	83 ± 11	0.49 #
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	5.8 ± 1.0	5.7 ± 1.1	0.53 #
Glucose (mmol/L) [≈]	5.5 ± 1.2	5.4 ± 1.0	0.82 #

Table 1	Baseline	characteristics	of survivors	and non-su	rvivors in	the study	cohort ((N - 376)
Table 1	• Dasenne	characteristics	of survivors	and non-su	IVIVOIS III	the study	CONDIC	(11 - 570)

Insulin (IU/L) [≈]	8.0	(6.0 - 10.0)	8.3	(6.2 - 10.6)	0.27 #				
HOMA (%S)	96	(71 – 124)	94	(75 – 124)	0.73 #				
		Num	Number (%)						
Smoking	37	(18%)	33	(19%)	0.89				
Hypertension	114	(55%)	116	(68%)	0.01 #				
Myocardial Infarction	24	(12%)	39	(23%)	0.002				
Malignancy	18	(9%)	14	(8%)	0.91				
Diabetes mellitus	14	(6.8%)	17	(10%)	0.30				

All values are unadjusted. *P* values were adjusted for age. §, P values for lean mass and fat mass were additionally adjusted for height. #, §, P values for blood pressures, lipids, glucose, insulin, HbA1c and HOMA (%S), were additionally adjusted for body mass index. \approx , Diabetics were excluded in the analysis of means for glucose, insulin and HbA1c. BMI – body mass index, SBP = systolic blood pressure, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, P₂₅ – P₇₅ = range between the 25th and 75th percentile, Insulin sensitivity in percentage (%S) was calculated according to the Homeostasis Model Assessment (HOMA) model 2 (HOMA Calculator v2.2, Oxford Centre for Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism).

Variable	Unit	All su	ubjects	Survi	ivors	Non-	Survivors	P-value*			
		(14 -	570)	(14 – .	(11 = 200)		170)				
			Mean or geometric mean (CI 95%)								
				-		1		-			
IGF-I											
Bioactivity	pmol/L	333	(320 – 346)	344	(328 – 361)	317	(296 - 337)	0.09			
Total IGF-I	nmol/L	13.3	(12.9 – 13.6)	13.3	(12.7 – 13.7)	13.2	(12.6 - 13.9)	0.72			
Free IGF-I	pmol/L	76.2	(72.2 - 80.3)	77.0	(72.1 – 82.2)	75.4	(69.1 – 82.2)	0.58			
IGFBP-1	nmol/L	1.1	(1.1 - 1.2)	1.1	(1.0 - 1.1)	1.2	(1.1 - 1.3)	0.03			
IGFBP-3	nmol/L	90.5	(88.0 - 93.0)	93.4	(90.1 - 96.9)	86.7	(83.1 – 90.2)	0.20			

Table 2. Means at baseline of parameters of the IGF-I system in the total study population, in survivors and in non-survivors after follow-up

For Free IGF-I and IGFBP-1 geometric means are shown and CI 95% intervals were calculated by forwardbackward log-transformation. *, Age adjusted P-values were calculated between survivors vs. non-survivors.

Table 3. Correlation coefficients between parameters of the IGF-I system in the study population (N = 376)

	IGF-I bioactivity	Total IGF-I	Free IGF-I	IGFBP-1	
Total IGF-I	0.49^{**}				
Free IGF-I	0.19**	0.37^{**}			
IGFBP-1	- 0.25**	- 0.15**	- 0.10*		
IGFBP-3	0.34**	0.52^{**}	0.17^{**}	- 0.23**	

Correlations are presented as Spearman coefficients. *, P < 0.05, **, P < 0.01.

Group*			All Subject	ts		CVD -			CVD +		-	IRP _{low/med}			IRP _{high}	
		HR	CI 95%	P_{for}	HR	CI 95%	P_{for}	HR	CI 95%	P_{for}	HR	CI 95%	P_{for}	HR	CI 95%	P_{for}
				trend			trend			trend			trend			trend
A. Unadjusted	l															
IGF-I	1	1.8	1.2 - 2.8		1.6	0.9 - 2.9		2.4	1.3 - 4.3		1.4	0.8 - 2.5		2.3	1.2 - 4.5	
Bioactivity	2-3	1.2	0.8 - 1.8		1.4	0.8 - 2.5		1.1	0.6 – 1.9		1.0	0.6 – 1.6		1.9	1.0 - 3.6	
	4	Ref		0.01	Ref		0.12	Ref		0.01	Ref	•••	0.32	Ref	•••	0.01
Total	1	0.9	0.6 - 1.4		0.8	0.4 - 1.4		1.2	0.7 - 2.1		0.8	0.5 - 1.8		1.0	0.5 - 1.8	
IGF-I	2-3	0.6	0.4 - 0.9		0.7	0.4 - 1.2		0.6	0.4 – 1.1		0.6	0.3 – 0.9		0.9	0.5 – 1.6	
	4	Ref		0.36	Ref		0.29	Ref		0.92	Ref		0.12	Ref	•••	0.25
Free	1	1.1	0.8 - 1.7		1.2	0.7 - 2.1		1.2	0.7 - 2.2		0.8	0.5 – 1.3		1.8	1.0 - 3.4	
IGF-I	2-3	0.7	0.5 - 1.1		0.8	0.5 – 1.3		0.8	0.5 – 1.3		0.7	0.4 - 0.9		1.1	0.6 - 2.1	
	4	Ref		0.93	Ref		0.75	Ref		0.76	Ref		0.15	Ref		0.25
B. Adjusted																
IGF-I	1	1.6	1.0 - 2.5		1.3	0.7 - 2.5		2.5	1.4 - 4.8		1.3	0.7 - 2.3		2.1	1.1 - 4.1	
Bioactivity	2-3	1.4	0.8 - 1.8		1.4	0.8 - 2.5		1.2	0.7 - 2.1		1.0	0.6 – 1.7		2.0	1.0 - 3.8	
	4	Ref		0.04	Ref		0.31	Ref		0.01	Ref		0.47	Ref		0.03
Total	1	0.9	0.6 – 1.3		0.8	0.4 - 1.4		1.1	0.6 – 1.9		0.7	0.4 – 1.3		1.1	0.6 - 2.1	
IGF-I	2-3	0.7	0.5 - 1.0		0.8	0.5 - 1.4		0.6	0.3 - 1.0		0.6	0.4 - 0.9		1.0	0.5 - 1.9	
	4	Ref		0.25	Ref		0.37	Ref		0.77	Ref		0.10	Ref		0.87
Free	1	1.0	0.7 – 1.6		1.3	0.7 – 2.3		1.1	0.6 – 2.0		0.9	0.5 – 1.6		1.7	0.9 – 3.4	
IGF-I	2-3	0.7	0.5 – 1.1		0.9	0.5 - 1.5		0.7	0.4 - 1.2		0.6	0.4 - 1.0		1.0	0.6 – 1.9	
	4	Ref		0.81	Ref		0.94	Ref		0.98	Ref		0.39	Ref		0.15

Table 4. Estimated hazard ratios between groups of IGF-I bioactivity, total IGF-I and free IGF-I in all subjects and after stratification for CVD + and CVDand IRP_{high} , respectively, during a follow-up period of 8.6 years

Individuals were grouped according to their baseline levels of three different IGF-I parameters (IGF-I bioactivity, Total IGF-I and Free IGF-I). Group 1: IGF-I $\leq 25^{th}$ percentile, Group 2-3: IGF-I between 25th and 75th percentile, Group 4: IGF-I $\geq 75^{th}$ percentile. *, In all models group 4 is the reference group (HR = 1.0). (A) Unadjusted

models, (**B**) models adjusted for age, BMI, smoking, SBP, diabetes, LDL and HDL. CVD- = absence of cardiovascular disease, CVD+ = presence of cardiovascular disease, $IRP_{low/med}$ = low to medium inflammatory risk profile, IRP_{high} = high inflammatory risk profile.









Number of events / 100 person-years

FIG. 2A





FIG. 2B

