Technical University of Denmark



Current density - overvoltage relations for solid oxide electrodes

Mogensen, Mogens Bjerg; Frandsen, Henrik Lund; Nielsen, Jimmi; Li, W.; Jacobsen, T.; Graves, Christopher R.

Publication date: 2013

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):

Mogensen, M. B., Frandsen, H. L., Nielsen, J., Li, W., Jacobsen, T., & Graves, C. R. (2013). Current density overvoltage relations for solid oxide electrodes [Sound/Visual production (digital)]. Smart Energy Conversion and Storage Conference, Krynica, Poland, 01/10/2013

DTU Library Technical Information Center of Denmark

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



CURRENT DENSITY - OVERVOLTAGE RELATIONS FOR SOLID OXIDE ELECTRODES

<u>M.B. Mogensen</u>⁺, H.L. Frandsen, J. Nielsen, W. Li*, T. Jacobsen and C. Graves Department of Energy Conversion and Storage, Technical University of Denmark DTU Risø Campus, Frederiksborgvej 399 DK-4000 Roskilde, Denmark *Tsinghua University, China $\Delta E = 0 \Delta E$

*Responsible for the content

Smart Energy Conversion and Storage IV Polish Forum, October 1 – 4, 2013

DTU Energy Conversion Department of Energy Conversion and Storage



Outline

- Motivation
- Introduction
- Charge transfer limitations Butler-Volmer or other i V relations?
- Some experimental findings
- Sketching a realistic model type
- Concluding remarks

Motivation: Energy plan for Denmark

2020: 50 % electricity from Renewables

2035: 0 % fossil energy in power and heat production

2050: 100 % renewable energy

Challenges

- 1) Increasing share of fluctuating production
- 2) Liquid fuels will still be needed; biomass is limited

Solid oxide electrolysers and fuel cell are needed for conversion of renewable electricity into hydrocarbon fuels and back again



Vision: Biomass + CO₂ recycling





Introduction I



DTU Energy Conversion = Department of Energy Conversion and Storage

- Sustainable technologies for energy conversion and storage
- Located on two campuses near Copenhagen, Denmark: Lyngby and Risø
- 230+ employees
- Our research span from fundamental investigations to component manufacture
- Focus on industrial collaboration and industrially relevant processes
- Created 2012, ringing together research groups from
 - Risø DTU National Laboratory for Sustainable Energy
 - DTU Chemistry



Introduction II

- Extensive Solid oxide electrolysis and fuel cells (SOCs) research during the latest 2 – 3 decades
- Electrode kinetics have been studied intensively as the understanding of the kinetics is believed to be the key to major improvements of the SOC technology
- Several possible electrode mechanisms have been proposed and discussed together with the current density (cd) overvoltage characteristics, and the area have been reviewed in many papers
- In spite of this there are serious disagreements about how the measured cd overvoltage (i V) curves should be interpreted and modeled. As an important example, many (most?) authors both experimentalists and modelers continue to assume that a Butler –Volmer type equation describes the full i-V curve well in spite of no direct experimental support for this hypothesis

Introduction III

"The observation of Tafel behavior at moderate to high polarization states does not imply a charge-transfer-limited reaction at the solid/solid interface.

Reactions limited by gas-exposed surface chemistry and/or transport from the surface to the solid/solid interface often mimic Butler–Volmer response.

Furthermore, since surface processes are often activated, and can have similar partial-pressure dependencies as interfacial charge transfer, validation of Butler–Volmer parameters (extracted empirically from *i–V* data) based on these criteria is insufficient to confirm/deny domination by interfacial charge transfer."

Quote from: S. Adler, W.G. Bessler, "Elementary kinetic modeling of solid oxide fuel cell electrode reactions" Chapter 29 in *Handbook of Fuel Cells -Fundamentals, Technology and Applications*, W. Vielstich, H. Yokokawa, and H. A. Gasteiger, Eds., Vol. 5, p. 441, John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester (2009).



i - η relations for composite electrodes

- As current density (i) vs. overvoltage (η) results for composite electrodes are often interpreted using the Butler-Volmer equation (or similar exponential relations), let us see how it will look like if we assume B-V equation valid for the Ni-YSZ interface in a composite electrode like a Ni-YSZ cermet obeys B-V in <u>the single TPB</u>
- The equation:

$$i = i_0 \cdot \left\{ \exp\left[\frac{\alpha_a F \eta}{RT}\right] - \exp\left[-\frac{\alpha_c F \eta}{RT}\right] \right\}$$

exchange c.d. i_{0} , symmetry factors α , and overpotential η . It describes charge transfer across a single well-defined barrier

• We assume that porous electrode theory is valid for composite electrodes

Porous electrodes with Butler-Volmer kinetics



DC response of porous electrodes with the Butler-Volmer equation incoorporated:

An analytic solution has been derived for the semi-infinite case:

$$I = 4\pi r \sqrt{\frac{rj_0}{\rho_s b}} sin h\left(\frac{b\eta}{2}\right)$$

r= radius of electrolyte particle j_0 =exchange current density from the Butler-Volmer equation ρ_s =resistivity of the electrolyte $b=\alpha nF/RT$

F. A. Posey, J. Electrochem. Soc. 111 (1964) 1173.

A. Winsel, Z. Elektrochem. 66 (1962) 331.

A. Lasia, J. Electroanal. Chem. 397 (1995) 27.

Linearity of electrodes with Butler-Volmer kinetics

Butler-Volmer

Equations:

$$j = j_{\mathbf{0}} \left[e^{\frac{(1-\alpha)nF}{RT}\eta} - e^{\frac{-\alpha nF}{RT}\eta} \right]$$

Taylor expansion:

$$e^x = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \cdots$$

Criteria for linearity:

The linear term should be larger than the nonlinear term giving:

$$\downarrow \qquad x > \frac{x^2}{2!}$$

$$2 > x = \frac{(1 - \alpha)nF\eta}{RT}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \eta < \frac{2RT}{(1 - \alpha)nF}$$

Porous electrode with Butler-Volmer

Equations:

$$T = 4\pi r \sqrt{\frac{rj_0}{\rho_s b}} sinh\left(\frac{b\eta}{2}\right)$$

Taylor expansion:

$$sinh(x) = x + \frac{x^2}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!} + \cdots$$

Criteria for linearity:

The linear term should be larger than the nonlinear term giving:

$$\downarrow \qquad x > \frac{x^3}{3!}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad 6 > x^2 = \left(\frac{\alpha n F \eta}{2RT}\right)^2$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \eta < \sqrt{6} \left(\frac{2RT}{\alpha n F}\right)$$

Conclusion: The response of porous electrodes is a factor 2.45 "more linear" than simple B-V

r= radius of pore, j_0 =exchange current density from the Butler-Volmer equation, ρ_s =resistivity of the electrolyte in the pore, b= α nF/RT

Porous electrodes with Butler-Volmer kinetics

A. Lasia has calculated impedance spectra with the Butler-Volmer equation incorporated by a numerical approach.

A. Lasia, J. Electroanal. Chem. 397 (1995) 27:

Tafel slope is changed



Tafel plots for the pore characterized by $I = 0.05 \text{ cm}, r = 10^{-4} \text{ cm}, \rho = 10 \Omega \text{ cm}$ and ($\alpha = 0.5$: Exchange current densities j_0 /A cm⁻²: (a) 10⁻⁷, (b) 10⁻⁶, (c) 10⁻⁵, (d) 10⁻⁴, (e) 10⁻³, (f) 10⁻², (g) 10⁻¹ and (h) 1.



Geometry Ni YSZ Ni Ni YSZ Ni r $i = -\sigma \nabla V$ $\nabla \cdot (-\sigma \nabla V) = 0$ $\theta = 15^{\circ}$ *r*=0.5µm 1000°C Т σ_YSZ 3.34e4*exp(-10300/T)=10.243µm $(1/(\Omega m))=0.102 (S/cm)$ σ_Ni 3.27e6-1063.5*T=1.92e6 (1/(Ω m)) YSZ Electrolyte DTU Energy Conversion, Technical University of Denmark (S/CM)



Ni YSZ Ni

Boundary condition

- Set the proton voltage on the surface of Ni (The red curves in the right figure) • Set the boundary $V_0=0$ (V) (The blue curves in the right figure) Symmetry
- Set the proton voltage on the outside surface of electrolyte (The orange line in the right figure)







Results——i-V curves





Experimental observations

- The performance results for solid oxide cells (SOC) reported in the literature are "all over the place".
- Absolute values varies tremendously:
- Current density voltage (i–V) curves more 10 times in values and also varies in shape
- Activation energies of both anodes and cathodes more than 2 times (3-4 for some); Ni-YSZ from 0.5 eV to 1.8 eV; O₂ LSM from 1.1 – 2.2 eV
- Degradation rates very different and failure modes very different
- Why?
- Different workers are obviously studying substantially different Ni-YSZ-electrodes even though they are intended to be identical

YSZ ele	ctrolyte	surface
----------------	----------	---------

Surface

ΠΤΠ	
DIU	

	Sullace
Impurity layer ~ 0.5 nm	Impurity layer ~ 0.5 nm
	Layer enriched in $Y_2O_3 \sim 1 \text{ nm}$
Layer enriched in $Y_2O_3 \sim 5 - 10 \text{ nm}$	Layer depleted in $Y_2O_3 \sim 5 \text{ nm}$
Bulk phase YSZ	Bulk phase YSZ
a)	b)

Curfooo

YSZ with glassy surface layers of compositions of SiO₂, Na₂O, CaO +++.

a) Near equilibrium (> 1200 °C) for several hours.

b) <u>Not</u> near equilibrium - the original surface removed + short time (1 h) at 500 °C.

The exact YSZ surface depend on initial composition and on sample history

Similar segregations on perovskite surfaces, i.e. ceramic electrodes – for instance Sr enrichment on LSM and LSCo surfaces

Effects of materials impurity



Atomic force microscope pictures of: rim ridge (1) of impurity phases at TPB of Ni point electrodes on a YSZ after ca. 1 week test and removal Ni. A."Impure" Ni = 99.8% Ni. B. "Pure" Ni = 99.99% Ni. (2) the YSZ surface exposed to the H₂, and (3) the YSZ side of the YSZ-Ni. After K.V. Hansen, J. Electrochem. Soc., 151 (2004) A1436

Risø DTU National Laboratory for Sustainable Energy

Ni Point Electrode i-V curves H_2/H_2O





J. Høgh, K.V. Hansen and M. Mogensen, in *Proc. 26th Risø Internat. Symp. Mat. Science*, S. Linderoth et al., Editors, p. 235, Risø National Laboratory, Roskilde, Denmark (2005).

Ni-YSZ supported cell





SOE/FC Cell Reversible Performance



i - V curves for a Ni-YSZ-supported Ni/YSZ/LSM SOC: electrolyzer (negative current density) and fuel cell (positive current density) at different temperatures and steam or CO_2 partial pressures - balance is H_2 or CO.

S.H. Jensen, P.H. Larsen, M. Mogensen, International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 32 (2007) 3253

Effect of materials impurity

Temperature [°C]



Courtesy of Dr. Jens Høgh, DTU

Surface composition





TOF-SIMS of two 100 µm microelectrodes, a not tested reference (upper row) and an electrochemical tested (lower row) for the 4 signals from Si, Mn, Sr and LaO. The lighter, the higher is the signal (the counts) of a given species

Arguments against Butler-Volmer relation being descriptive of the full i-V curve of SOC electrodes



- 1. There will not be any single simple potential barrier to charge transfer: valid for all SOC electrodes including simple model electrode. The segregated surface layers and several types of surface sites make the charge transfer (ion transfer) limited by surface diffusion and transport through the surface layers
- 2. Electron transfer is across the non-controlled interface
- 3. Composite electrodes does not follow a Butler-Volmer expression
- 4. Complicated structures with several particle types involved will probably have a multistep reaction mechanisms with more than one process contributing to polarization resistance

So, how to model instead?

Sketch of a model for proton diffusion in the YSZ - segregated phase interface being rate limiting Assumption: Segregations/impurity is only on the YSZ

DTU



 $J = -D\nabla c, \nabla \cdot (-D\nabla c) = 0$

D_Ni	2.5e-3*exp(-14650/R/T) =6.26e-4 (cm2/s)@1000°C
D_YSZ	1.5*10 ⁻⁶ (cm²/s)@1000°C
D_block	To be calculated from R _p



Boundary condition

• Proton coverage at Ni surface

$$\theta_{Ni} = 0.23$$
 $rac{10^{-9}}{10^{-9}} c_{Ni} = 5.98 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol/cm}^2$
 $\Gamma = 2.6 \times 10^{-9} \text{ mol/cm}^2$ [2]

Proton concentration at TPB in YSZ

$$c_{\text{YSZ,TPB}} = c_{\text{YSZ,0}} \frac{P_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}}{p_{\text{H}_2\text{O},0}}$$
$$E = E_0 + \frac{RT}{2F} \ln\left(\frac{p_{H_2O}}{p_{H_2}\sqrt{p_{O_2}}}\right)$$
$$E_0 = \frac{\Delta G}{2F} = 0.920V @ 1000^{\circ}C$$

There is a concentration drop between the 2 phases at TPB



Proton concentration at TPB in YSZ

DTU

Assumption:

- 1. The chemical potential difference of H⁺ across the TPB is the same as in the bulk across Ni-YSZ interface, which is known from literature
- 2. The density of adsorption sites, Γ, on Ni and YSZ surfaces is the same (not necessarily correct)

$$\Delta \mu = RT \ln \frac{c_{YSZ,0}(\text{mol/cm}^3)}{c_{Ni,0}(\text{mol/cm}^3)} = RT \ln \frac{c_{YSZ,0}(\text{mol/cm}^2)}{c_{Ni,0}(\text{mol/cm}^2)} = RT \ln \frac{\theta_{YSZ,0}}{\theta_{Ni,0}}$$

$$c_{\rm YSZ,0} = 2.07 \times 10^{-3} \cdot \Gamma = 5.382 \times 10^{-12} \, {\rm mol/cm^2}$$

Resistance at OCV

$$LSR_{P} = dE / dI = 3.16 \times 10^{4} \Omega \times cm$$





Results——i-V curves



$$I(A/cm^{2}) = I(A/cm) \frac{2\pi r \sin \theta}{\pi (r \sin \theta)^{2}}$$

Concluding remarks



- Butler-Volmer not feasible to describe SOC electrode kinetics
- SOC interfaces are very sensitive to history in general and thermal history in particular poor reproducibility difficult to make identical cells in different institutes /companies
- Thus, searching for one universal rate limiting reaction step for a given type of SOC composite electrode may not be very useful
- Instead the study of which TPB structures and of which physical and chemical properties of solids that give best electrocatalytic activity– like in Joachim Maier's example with perovskites yesterday - is recommended
- Furthermore, better three-dimensional micro-models describing charge transport to and from the electrodes are needed
- Descriptions/modeling of specific SOC electrodes may be very useful if benchmarked against experimental date from the same electrode
- The wise strategy is to gain insight though understanding many examples as deep as possible

Acknowledgement We acknowledge

- Support from our sponsors
- Danish Energy Authority
 Danish Energy Authority
- Energinet.dk ENERGINET/DK
- EU 🚫
- Topsoe Fuel Cell A/STOPSOE FUEL CELL

 Clean, efficient and reliable
- Danish Programme Committee for Sustainable Energy and Environment
- The work of <u>many</u> colleagues over the years

Thank you for your attention!