



Gordon-Maclean, C; Nantayi, LK; Quinn, H; Ngo, TD (2013) Safety and acceptability of tubal ligation procedures performed by trained clinical officers in rural Uganda. *International journal of gynaecology and obstetrics*. ISSN 0020-7292 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2013.07.016>

Downloaded from: <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/1300577/>

DOI: [10.1016/j.ijgo.2013.07.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2013.07.016)

Usage Guidelines

Please refer to usage guidelines at <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html> or alternatively contact researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.

Available under license: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/>



www.figo.org

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijgo



CLINICAL ARTICLE

Safety and acceptability of tubal ligation procedures performed by trained clinical officers in rural Uganda

Cristin Gordon-Maclean^{a,*}, Lois K. Nantayi^b, Heidi Quinn^c, Thoai D. Ngo^a^a Research, Monitoring and Evaluation Team, Health System Department, Marie Stopes International, London, UK^b Research, Monitoring and Evaluation Team, Marie Stopes Uganda, Kampala, Uganda^c USAID Support for International Family Planning Organizations (SIFPO) Team, Marie Stopes International, London, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 25 March 2013

Received in revised form 10 July 2013

Accepted 27 September 2013

Keywords:

Family planning
Permanent method
Task sharing
Tubal ligation
Uganda

ABSTRACT

Objective: To assess safety associated with tubal ligation performed by trained clinical officers (COs) in rural Uganda. **Methods:** Between March and June 2012, 518 women in 4 regions of Uganda were recruited into a prospective cohort study and followed at days 3, 7, and 45 after undergoing tubal ligation performed by a trained CO. Intraoperative and postoperative adverse events (minor, moderate, or major), and acceptability were assessed. **Results:** Mean age was 36 years (range, 20–49 years) and mean number of living children was 6.7 (range, 0–15). The overall rate of major adverse events was 1.5%: 0.4% intraoperatively; 1.9% at day 3; and 0.2% at day 7. The majority of women who underwent tubal ligation reported a good/very good experience at the facility (range, 94%–99%) and would recommend the health services to a friend (range, 93%–98%). **Conclusion:** In the present study, task sharing of tubal ligation to trained COs in private facilities was safe. Women reported high levels of satisfaction with the procedure. Training COs could be an effective strategy for expanding family-planning services to rural Uganda.

© 2013 International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics. Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The provision and expansion of family-planning services are a public-health need and a national development priority for many countries across Sub-Saharan Africa. In Uganda, the contraceptive prevalence rate for married women is only 30%. Of the 26% of women using modern contraceptives, 19.6% rely on short-acting methods and 5.7% use long-acting reversible contraception and permanent methods (LARC-PM) [1]. There is a high discontinuation rate (43% at 12 months), resulting in a high total fertility rate: 6.2 overall; 3.8 urban; 6.8 rural [1]. Additionally, 21% of married women have unmet need for spacing and 14% have unmet need for limiting [1]. These levels and dynamics signify the importance of increasing access to quality services for LARC-PM and expanding the role of providers to meet women's contraceptive needs.

Many countries across Sub-Saharan Africa still rely on physicians to perform permanent family-planning services, despite recent WHO guidelines recommending that the performance of these services is within the competency of associate clinicians such as clinical officers (COs) [2]. Uganda is experiencing a shortage of trained health providers in the public sector, with an estimated ratio of 1 physician per 1000 people [3]. There is also an inequitable distribution of health workers between rural and urban areas. Furthermore, Ugandan health workers'

duties are skewed toward curative care owing to high disease burden. This has led to a shortage of trained health workers able to provide preventive health services such as permanent family-planning methods in rural settings.

Task sharing—the redistribution of clinical tasks or procedures between health workers—can address the healthcare provider shortages faced by many African countries such as Uganda. Training lower cadres of providers to perform specific medical tasks such as tubal ligation is a means of addressing the demand and unmet need for LARC-PM among women who wish to limit their family size. Many low- and high-income countries already utilize non-physician clinicians (NPCs) to take on diagnostic and clinical functions of physicians [4]. In several countries across Africa, nurses, midwives, and auxiliary nurses insert intrauterine devices and implants, and associate clinicians perform tubal ligation [5,6].

Although task sharing has been advocated for and has gained policy support in Uganda, there is a lack of evidence regarding the safety and acceptability of NPCs performing tubal ligation in rural settings. Previous studies on task shifting of tubal ligation from Bangladesh, Malawi, Mozambique, and Thailand were carried out in hospitals in capital cities [7–11]. In Uganda, Marie Stopes International (MSI) provides short-term and LARC-PM family-planning services in rural areas through its mobile outreach teams in rural clinical settings such as government health clinics.

Clinical officers are allowed to perform tubal ligation in Uganda, as specified by national policy guidelines and family-planning service

* Corresponding author at: Marie Stopes International, 1 Conway Street, Fitzroy Square, London W1T 6LP, UK. Tel.: +44 0207 034 2070; fax: +44 020 7034 2369.

E-mail address: cristin.gordon-maclean@mariestopes.org (C. Gordon-Maclean).

standards; however, the procedure is not included in the national CO training curriculum [12]. Clinical officers undergo 3 years of clinical training at specialist schools, provide diagnosis and treatment in primary healthcare, and are able to conduct minor surgical procedures. The Ugandan Ministry of Health is seeking locally generated evidence on the safety and acceptability of task sharing of tubal ligation to COs. To address this evidence gap, we conducted a study to assess intraoperative and postoperative adverse events, and satisfaction among patients who underwent tubal ligation performed by trained COs in mobile outreach settings in rural Uganda.

2. Materials and methods

From March 1 to June 30, 2012, a single-arm prospective cohort study was conducted. Data were collected at baseline (day of procedure) and at follow-up visits on days 3, 7, and 45 (intervals selected to capture adverse events). The study was approved by The AIDS Support Organization, the Uganda National Council of Science and Technology, and the President's Office for Security Clearance.

Four COs, who were qualified and eligible for minor surgical training, were selected for inclusion in the study. Additional requirements included being interested in receiving tubal ligation training; having the opportunity to practice the procedure regularly post-training; and possessing some minor/major surgical skills, experience, and a dexterous aptitude (measured by observation/assessment from over a year of work on minor surgeries with Marie Stopes Uganda [MSU]).

The Ugandan Ministry of Health curriculum for tubal ligation—comprising 1 week each of theoretical and practical training—was used to train the COs, who had to pass the theoretical element before proceeding to the practical component. The training was led by 2 physicians (more than 13 years of tubal ligation experience) and 2 MSU medical officers (physician trainers with more than 6 years of tubal ligation experience).

The 4 trainers closely supervised the COs during the practical training, which began with pre-procedure counseling, obtaining consent from patients, and observing the trainer. As their competencies increased, the COs became more involved in the procedure until they were able to perform tubal ligation independently (under trainer supervision). The COs were assessed in 3 areas of competency: effective administration of local anesthesia; surgical techniques of the procedure (e.g. opening the layers of the skin, standard incision type [1.5–2 cm], retrieving the tubes, closing the incision); and preparedness to manage adverse events. Trainees also needed to perform tubal ligation within 20 minutes (MSI standard). The COs performed at least 50 tubal ligation procedures under the observation and assessment of the trainers. They were required to be fully competent in all areas before becoming certified to perform tubal ligation alone and participate in the study. It took 6 weeks for the COs to complete this training and achieve competency.

Each of the 4 COs was assigned to an MSU mobile outreach team (medical officer, 2 nurses, and driver) providing family-planning services in 4 regions (central, western × 2, and eastern). The study teams consisted of a research nurse, research assistant, CO, and supervising physician. Women presenting at MSU mobile outreach clinics for family-planning services were screened using an eligibility checklist after undergoing comprehensive family-planning counseling and opting for tubal ligation. Women were assessed for any adverse health conditions such as sexually transmitted infections, coagulation disorders, history of complicated abdominal/pelvic surgery, or previous caesarean scar. The study team had no prior contact with the women to ensure that the women had independently chosen tubal ligation.

To participate in the study, women had to independently opt for tubal ligation; provide informed consent to undergo tubal ligation; be at least 18 years of age; and be more than 3 weeks post-childbirth. Women who provided written informed consent to participate in the study were informed that COs were performing the tubal ligation

procedure, although a physician was available for those who preferred that type of provider.

There were 2 study outcomes: safety of tubal ligation provided by a trained CO; and patient acceptability of the tubal ligation procedure. Safety was assessed by capturing the prevalence of adverse effects intraoperatively at baseline and then postoperatively at 3 follow-up visits (days 3, 7, and 45). Postoperative adverse events were categorized as minor, moderate, or major—following MSI definitions for tubal ligation adverse events. Minor adverse events cause minor injury/illness that requires a minimal level of intervention (e.g. taking pain medication) and symptoms are managed at home. Moderate adverse events require clinical intervention from medical personnel. Major adverse events cause long-term incapacity or disability and require hospitalization; this category also includes failed procedures.

Research nurses did not categorize intraoperative adverse events into the 3 levels, but instead recorded whether they occurred. Postoperative adverse events were self-reported by women at follow-up visits and were validated and categorized by the research nurses. Major adverse events were reported to the MSU and MSI Medical Development Teams through the existing internal incident-reporting structures.

Patient acceptability was measured in 3 ways: women's satisfaction with the tubal ligation procedure; women's satisfaction with the overall experience at the facility; and whether women would recommend the procedure to a friend. Satisfaction was measured based on a 5-point Likert scale.

Study data were entered into EpiData (EpiData Association, Odense, Denmark); data management and analysis were performed using Stata version 21 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). Descriptive statistics were used to ascertain the prevalence of adverse events and acceptability.

3. Results

In total, 547 women were screened and 518 women who underwent tubal ligation (minilaparotomy under local anesthesia) were included in the study (Fig. 1). Almost half of the study population were 35–39 years of age (41%) and had 5–7 living children (49%). More than half of the women had used an injectable within the past month, and approximately 20% had not been using any family-planning methods (Table 1).

The most common intraoperative adverse event was pain (53%). A small proportion of women experienced a vasovagal reaction (3%). Additionally, 2 women were unable or refused to have the tubal ligation procedure completed: 1 woman experienced a bowel perforation and 1 woman became uncooperative during the procedure. The supervising physician repaired the bowel perforation, and both women opted to have an implant inserted.

The COs were able to complete 93% (n = 484) of the 518 procedures competently. Of these 484 procedures, nearly 70% were performed independently by the COs, while 29% involved some verbal instructions from the supervisors. The types of intraoperative adverse events that occurred during the procedures in which COs received verbal instructions were pain (38%), vasovagal reaction (43%), failure to mobilize 1 or both tubes (100%), and other adverse events (50%). The supervising physician took over the clinical tools and assisted with only 6 of the 484 procedures.

Postoperative adverse events were categorized by level of severity (mild, moderate, or major; Table 2). At the follow-up visit on day 45, there were no major adverse events. The overall prevalence of postoperative major and moderate adverse events was 1.5% and 10.6%, respectively.

The majority of women gave good or very good ratings for their tubal ligation (range, 92%–99%) and for their overall experience at the facility (range, 94%–99%). Most women (range, 93%–98%) would also recommend the facility to a friend, based on their experience at the time of tubal ligation (Table 3).




Fig. 1. Cohort profile.

4. Discussion

In the present study, tubal ligation performed by trained COs in rural mobile outreach settings was safe. Women in the study also reported high levels of acceptability of the tubal ligation procedure. The study findings fill a gap in the evidence regarding the safety and acceptability of tubal ligation performed by NPCs in the private sector, particularly in rural settings. Furthermore, the study generated locally owned evidence on the safety of task sharing of tubal ligation to trained COs, as requested by the Ugandan Ministry of Health.

There were several limitations to the study. Intraoperative adverse events could not be categorized, which might have led to underestimation of the overall rate of major adverse events. Adverse events were self-reported by women, which created the potential for miscategorization of such events or recall bias. Owing to resource constraints, we were unable to conduct a comparison study that would have enabled safety data to be measured among physicians versus

COs. The present study was not powered to detect a true rate of adverse events, which would require a substantially larger sample size. We were unable to document the specific reasons for the COs receiving verbal instructions from supervising physicians. The inclusion of only 4 COs makes it difficult to generalize the safety and acceptability data to all COs, although each CO performed more than 100 tubal ligation procedures during the study. Finally, the present results are not generalizable to COs working in Ugandan public-sector facilities because their skills, training, and supportive supervision may be different from those of COs in the present study.

The overall rate of major adverse events was 1.5%, which is comparable to results from previous studies. A small number of studies collected safety data for NPCs performing tubal ligation, with complication rates falling between 0.1% and 7% [7,9–11]. However, the lack of standardization for the safety outcome measurements in these studies makes comparison difficult. A study in Mozambique documented a complication rate of 0.1% but this proportion was derived from 3178 NPC-performed elective surgeries of which only 200 were tubal ligation procedures [9]. The rates in the other studies were categorized and reported as minor complications, infection rates, and postoperative morbidity [7,10,11]. Only 1 study, from Thailand, reported the rate of minor complications (1.6%) at the 6-week follow-up visit [11]. The severity level of the complications in these studies is unknown.

Several studies conducted across Africa have assessed the safety of tubal ligation performed by physicians in hospitals [13–18]. Although the type of healthcare provider and the clinical environment differed from those in the present study, it is worth noting that complication rates in these studies were 0.87%–24% (0.87%–24% intraoperative; 0.7%–3.4% postoperative). A Kenyan study also reported a postoperative major complication rate of 1.5% [15].

Few studies have evaluated the safety of tubal ligation performed in rural settings, outside of hospitals in large cities. A study conducted by MSI in Malawi recorded a 0% overall complication rate for major adverse events [8]; however, that study had a smaller sample size than the present study (97 vs 518).

Patient satisfaction levels in the present study were similar to those from previous studies of tubal ligation performed by physicians. Studies from Nigeria, Ethiopia, and Kenya all had higher than 95% satisfaction with physician-performed tubal ligation [15,17,18]. A field trial of postpartum tubal ligation performed by nurse-midwives in Thailand also found very high levels of satisfaction (97.4%) [11].

Table 1
Description of cohort (n = 518).^a

Item	Value
Study sites	
Central	115 (22.2)
Western (Kabale)	127 (24.5)
Eastern (Mbale)	153 (29.5)
Western (Mbarara)	123 (23.8)
Age, y	35.8 ± 6.2
20–29	55 (10.7)
30–34	134 (26.0)
35–39	210 (40.7)
40–49	117 (22.7)
Number of children alive	6.7 ± 2.6
≤4	67 (12.9)
5–7	255 (49.2)
≥8	196 (37.8)
Family-planning method used in the past month	
Condoms (male/female); foam/jelly	6 (1.2)
Oral contraceptives	38 (7.4)
Injectables	265 (51.4)
Long-acting (implant; intrauterine device)	51 (9.9)
Lactational amenorrhoea method	51 (9.9)
No method	104 (20.2)
Don't know	1 (0.2)

^a Values are given as mean ± SD or number (percentage).

Table 2
Post-procedure adverse events at follow-up visits.^a

Adverse event ^b	Day 3 (n = 484)			Day 7 (n = 485)			Day 45 (n = 484)		
	Minor	Moderate	Major	Minor	Moderate	Major	Minor	Moderate	Major
Heavy bleeding	7 (1.4)	2 (0.4)	–	4 (0.8)	–	–	1 (0.2)	–	–
Pain	282 (58.3)	49 (10.1)	5 (1.0)	119 (24.5)	10 (2.1)	1 (0.2)	15 (3.1)	2 (0.4)	–
Fever	23 (4.8)	1 (0.2)	1 (0.2)	5 (1.0)	2 (0.4)	–	–	–	–
Poor wound healing	10 (2.1)	3 (0.6)	1 (0.2)	14 (2.9)	6 (1.2)	–	1 (0.2)	–	–
Infection	3 (0.6)	2 (0.4)	1 (0.2)	3 (0.6)	3 (0.6)	–	1 (0.2)	–	–
Hematoma	2 (0.4)	–	–	4 (0.8)	–	–	–	–	–
Other	6 (1.2)	2 (0.4)	1 (0.2)	2 (0.4)	–	–	–	2 (0.4)	–
Overall adverse event rate	68.8	12.2	1.9	31.1	4.3	0.2	3.7	0.8	0

^a Values are given as number (percentage) or percentage.^b Self-reported at follow-up interviews.**Table 3**
Women's acceptability of tubal ligation performed by clinical officers.^a

Acceptability	Day 3 (n = 484)	Day 7 (n = 485)	Day 45 (n = 484)
Good/very good rating of the tubal ligation procedure performed	443 (91.5)	454 (93.8)	475 (99.2)
Good/very good rating of overall experience at the facility	451 (94.2)	466 (96.5)	475 (99.0)
Would recommend facility to a friend based on experience	449 (92.8)	463 (95.5)	471 (97.5)

^a Values are given as number (percentage).

The Uganda National Development Plan promotes affordability, availability, and accessibility of family-planning information and services, with a focus on making family-planning services available for all women, especially those in rural areas [19]. In rural Uganda, where there is a shortage of trained physicians, training COs to provide LARC-PM might expand access to family planning for women. In 2011, MSU providers completed 33 154 tubal ligation procedures through the mobile outreach program in an effort to expand access to LARC-PM. Task sharing of tubal ligation to COs in private-sector facilities could enable the Ugandan national family-planning program to expand its services further and help reduce the unmet need for family planning [1].

The present study assessed the safety and acceptability of task sharing of tubal ligation among trained COs with access to supportive supervision within the MSU mobile outreach program. Further studies could examine what type of training, quality assurance, and supportive supervision COs in the public sector would require to roll out a tubal ligation task-sharing program. Future studies comparing the safety of tubal ligation performed by NPCs versus physicians would also be useful. Furthermore, the use of standardized safety measures would greatly improve the generation of comparable evidence for tubal ligation task sharing.

In the present study, task sharing of tubal ligation to trained COs in private facilities in rural Uganda was safe, and women reported high levels of acceptability of the procedure. Training COs to provide LARC-PM could help to address the high unmet need for permanent contraception in Uganda, particularly in remote settings where there is a shortage of trained healthcare providers.

Acknowledgments

The USAID Support for International Family Planning Organizations (SIFPO) project provided support for the present study. The manuscript contents are the sole responsibility of MSI and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the US Government. SIFPO is a 5-year program funded by USAID under Cooperative Agreement No. AID-OAA-A-10-00059.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Uganda Bureau of Statistics, ICF International. Uganda Demographic and Health Survey 2011. <http://www.ubos.org/onlinefiles/uploads/ubos/UDHS/UDHS2011.pdf>. Published 2012.
- [2] World Health Organization. WHO recommendations: optimizing health worker roles to improve access to key maternal and newborn health interventions through task shifting. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/77764/1/9789241504843_eng.pdf. Published 2012.
- [3] Government of Uganda Ministry of Health. Health Sector Strategic Plan III 2010/11–2014/15. http://www.health.go.ug/docs/HSSP_III_2010.pdf. Published 2010.
- [4] World Health Organization, HIV/AIDS Programme. Strengthening health services to fight HIV/AIDS: Taking stock. http://www.who.int/healthsystems/task_shifting_booklet.pdf. Published 2007.
- [5] Janowitz B, Stanback J. Task Sharing in Family Planning. http://amec.glp.net/c/document_library/get_file?p_l_id=850754&folderId=754745&name=DLFE-18691.pdf.
- [6] Andrews H, Corby N. Marie Stopes International. Improving access to tubal ligation in Ethiopia by task sharing services to mid-level providers. <http://www.mariestopes.org/sites/default/files/improving-access-to-tubal-ligation-in-ethiopia-by-task-sharing-services-to-mid-level-providers-10931.pdf>.
- [7] Chowdhury S, Chowdhury Z. Tubectomy by paraprofessional surgeons in rural Bangladesh. *Lancet* 1975;2(7935):567–9.
- [8] Chipeta-Khonje A, Ghosh S, Corby N, Ngo T. Marie Stopes Ligations: complication rates and client satisfaction in Malawi. http://www.mariestopes.org/sites/default/files/Marie_Stopes_Ligations_complication_rates_and_client_satisfaction_in_Malawi.pdf. Published 2009.
- [9] Vaz F, Bergström S, Vaz Mda L, Langa J, Bugalho A. Training medical assistants for surgery. *Bull World Health Organ* 1999;77(8):688–91.
- [10] Dusitsin N, Chalapati S, Varakamin S, Boonsiri B, Ningsanon P, Gray RH. Post-partum tubal ligation by nurse-midwives and doctors in Thailand. *Lancet* 1980;1(8169):638–9.
- [11] Satyapan S, Varakamin S, Suwannan P, Chalapati S, Onthum Y, Dusitsin N. Postpartum tubal ligation by nurse-midwives in Thailand: a field trial. *Stud Fam Plann* 1983;14(4):115–8.
- [12] Reproductive Health Division, Department of Community Health, Ministry of Health. National Policy Guidelines and Service Standards for Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights; 2012. Kampala.
- [13] Cisse CT, Kerby K, Cisse ML, Diallo D, Faye EO, Moreira PM, et al. Complications of tubal sterilization by minilaparotomy under local anesthesia. *Dakar Med* 1997;42(2):96–8.
- [14] Ruminjo JK, Ngugi F. Safety issues in voluntary female surgical contraception: peri-operative complications. *J Obstet Gynaecol East Cent Afr* 1993;11(1):24–8.
- [15] Ruminjo JK, Ngugi F. Early and medium-term morbidity of minilaparotomy female sterilisation in Kenya. *East Afr Med J* 1992;69(11):636–9.
- [16] Doh AS, Kamdom-Moyo J, Kouam L. Minilaparotomy tubal ligation in the immediate post-partum after vaginal delivery. A retrospective analysis of 253 cases in the maternity service of the Yaounde University Hospital Center (Cameroon). *Contracept Fertil Sex* 1996;24(1):49–51.
- [17] Jack KE, Chao CR. Female voluntary surgical contraception via minilaparotomy under local anesthesia. *Int J Gynecol Obstet* 1992;39(2):111–6.
- [18] Kidan KG, Azeze B, Ismail S. Female sterilisation through mini-laparotomy at Gondar College of Medical Sciences. *East Afr Med J* 2001;78(8):414–7.
- [19] The Republic of Uganda. National Development Plan (2010/11–2014/15). http://npa.ug/docs/NDP_April_2010-Prot.pdf. Published April 2010.