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Based on two inversion formulas for enumerating words in the free monoid by adjacencies, we present a new approach to a class of permutation problems having Eulerian-type generating functions. We also show that a specialization of one of the inversion formulas gives Diekert's lifting to the free monoid of an inversion theorem due to Cartier and Foata.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

There are a number of powerful theories of inversion $[9,10,13,16]$ for dealing with combinatorial objects having generating functions of Eulerian-type

$$
\frac{1}{1+\sum_{n \geq 1}(-1)^{n}(1-t)^{n-1} c_{n} z^{n}} .
$$

Using two such inversion formulas, we present new derivations of Stanley's [13] generating functions for generalized $q$-Eulerian and $q$-Euler polynomials on $r$-tuples of permutations. We further indicate how one of the inversion formulas gives Diekert's [5] lifting to the free monoid of an
inversion theorem of Cartier and Foata [4]. The inversion theorems we use enumerate words in the free monoid by adjacencies.

An alphabet $X$ is a non-empty set whose elements are referred to as letters. A finite sequence (possibly empty) $w=x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}$ of $n$ letters is said to be a word of length $n$. The empty word will be denoted 1 . The set of all words formed with letters in $X$ along with the concatenation product is known as the free monoid generated by $X$ and is denoted by $X^{*}$. We let $X^{+}$be the set of words having positive length.

From $X$, we construct the adjacency alphabet $A=\left\{a_{x y}:(x, y) \in\right.$ $X \times X$ ). The adjacency monomial and the sieve polynomial for $w=x_{1} x_{2}$ $\cdots x_{n} \in X^{*}$ of length $n \geq 2$ are defined respectively as $a(\dot{w})=a_{x_{1} x_{2}} a_{x_{2} x_{3}}$ $\cdots a_{x_{n-1} x_{n}}$ and $\bar{a}(w)=\left(a_{x_{1} x_{2}}-1\right)\left(a_{x_{2} x_{3}}-1\right) \cdots\left(a_{x_{n-1} x_{n}}-1\right)$. For $0 \leq n \leq$ 1, we set $a(w)=\bar{a}(w)=1$. In $Z[A] \ll X \gg$, the algebra of formal series of words in $X^{*}$ with coefficients from the commutative ring of polynomials in $A$ having integer coefficients, the following inversion formulas hold:

THEOREM 1. According to adjacencies, the words in $X^{*}$ are generated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{w \in X^{*}} a(w) w=\left(1-\sum_{w \in X^{+}} \bar{a}(w) w\right)^{-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

THEOREM 2. For non-empty subsets $U, V \subseteq X$, the words according to adjacencies in $U^{*} V=\left\{u v: u \in U^{*}, v \in V\right\}$ are generated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{w \in U^{*} V} a(w) w=\left(1-\sum_{w \in U^{+}} \bar{a}(w) w\right)^{-1}\left(\sum_{w \in U^{*} V} \bar{a}(w) w\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1 may be deduced from Stanley's [14, p. 266] synthesis of an inversion formula on clusters due to Goulden and Jackson [10, p. 131] with a related result of Zeilberger's [16] that enumerates words by mistakes. Theorem 2 bears comparison to (but is not equivalent to either) Viennot's [15] formula that counts heaps of pieces with restricted maximal elements and with a theorem of Goulden and Jackson [10, p. 238] for strings with distinguished final string. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 are deferred to Section 6. In passing, we mention that Hutchinson and Wilf [11] have given a closed formula for counting words by adjacencies.

The applications we give rely on the fact that setting $a_{x y}=1$ eliminates all words containing $x y$ as a factor from the right-hand sides of (1) and (2).

For instance, suppose that $X=\{x, y, z\}$. Set $a_{x x}=a, a_{x y}=b$, and the remaining $a_{i j}=1$. Theorem 1 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{w \in\{x, y, z\}^{*}} a(w) w \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{1-y-z-\sum_{n \geq 1}(a-1)^{n-1} x^{n}-\sum_{n \geq 1}(a-1)^{n-1}(b-1) x^{n} y} \\
& \quad=(1+x-a x)(1-a x-y-z+(a-b) x y+(a-1) x z)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. A KEY BIJECTION

In applying Theorems 1 and 2 to the enumeration of permutations, we make repeated use of a bijection that associates a pair $(\sigma, \lambda)$, where $\sigma$ is a permutation and $\lambda$ is a partition, to a finite sequence $w$ of non-negative integers. Let $N=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$ and $N^{n}$ be the set of words of length $n$ in $N^{*}$. The rise set, rise number, inversion number, and norm of $w=i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{n}$ $\in N^{n}$ are respectively defined to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Ris } w=\left\{k: 1 \leq k<n, i_{k} \leq i_{k+1}\right\}, & \text { ris } w=|\operatorname{Ris} w|, \\
\text { inv } w=\left|\left\{(k, m): 1 \leq k<m \leq n, i_{k}>i_{m}\right\}\right|, & \|w\|=i_{1}+\cdots+i_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The set of non-decreasing words in $N^{n}$ (i.e., partitions with at most $n$ parts) will be denoted by $P_{n}$. A permutation $\sigma$ in the symmetric group $S_{n}$ on $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ will be viewed as the word $\sigma(1) \sigma(2) \cdots \sigma(n)$. The key bijection used in Sections 3 and 4 may be described as follows.

Lemma 1. For $n \geq 1$, there exists a bijection $f_{n}: S_{n} \times P_{n} \rightarrow N^{n}$ such that Ris $\sigma=$ Ris $w$ and $\operatorname{inv} \sigma+\|\lambda\|=\|w\|$ whenever $f_{n}(\sigma, \lambda)=w$.

Proof. First, for $\sigma \in S_{n}$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$, let $c_{k}$ be the cardinality of the set $\{j: k+1 \leq j \leq n, \sigma(k)>\sigma(j)\}$. The number $c_{k}$ counts the inversions in $\sigma$ due to $\sigma(k)$. The word $c=c_{1} c_{2} \cdots c_{n}$ is known as the Lehmer code [12] of $\sigma$. Note that inv $\sigma=c_{1}+\cdots+c_{n}=\|c\|$ and that Ris $\sigma=\operatorname{Ris} c$. As an illustration, the Lehmer code of $\sigma=51342 \in S_{5}$ is $c=40110$. Also, inv $\sigma=6=\|c\|$ and Ris $\sigma=\{2,3\}=$ Ris $c$.

Next, for $(\sigma, \lambda)=\left(\sigma(1) \sigma(2) \cdots \sigma(n), \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \cdots \lambda_{n}\right) \in S_{n} \times P_{n}$, define $f_{n}(\sigma, \lambda)$ to be the word $w=i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{n} \in N^{n}$, where $i_{k}=c_{k}+\lambda_{\sigma(k)}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. When $f_{n}(\sigma, \lambda)=w$, we clearly have the properties

$$
\begin{gathered}
k \in \operatorname{Ris} \sigma \text { iff } c_{k}+\lambda_{\sigma(k)} \leq c_{k+1}+\lambda_{\sigma(k+1)} \text { iff } k \in \operatorname{Ris} w, \\
\operatorname{inv} \sigma+\|\lambda\|=c_{1}+\cdots+c_{n}+\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{n}=\|w\| .
\end{gathered}
$$

For example, the map $f_{5}$ sends the pair $(\sigma, \lambda)=(51342,11112) \in$ $S_{5} \times P_{5}$ to the word $w=61221 \in N^{5}$. Note that Ris $\sigma=\{2,3\}=\operatorname{Ris} w$ and that inv $\sigma+\|\lambda\|=6+6=\|w\|$.

The inverse of $f_{n}$ may be realized by applying the insertion-shift bijection presented in [6] to the word $w$ to obtain $\left(\sigma^{-1}, \lambda\right)$. The description of $f_{n}$ given above was suggested by Foata (personal communication).

## 3. $q$-EULERIAN POLYNOMIALS

As the first application of Theorem 1, we derive a generating function for the sequence

$$
A_{n}(t, q)=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} t^{\mathrm{ris} \sigma} q^{\mathrm{inv} \mathrm{\sigma}}
$$

The polynomial $A_{n}(t, 1)$ is the $n$th Eulerian polynomial. We further obtain the generating function for Stanley's [13] generalized $q$-Eulerian polynomials on $r$-tuples of permutations.

The first step in obtaining a generating function for the distribution of (ris, inv) on $S_{n}$ is to appropriately define the adjacency monomial and sieve polynomial for the alphabet $N$. Toward this end, we set $a_{i j}=t$ if $i \leq j$ and $a_{i j}=1$ otherwise. For $w=i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{n}$, note that $a(w)=t^{\text {ris } w}$ and that

$$
\bar{a}(w)= \begin{cases}(t-1)^{n-1} & \text { if } i_{1} \leq i_{2} \leq \cdots \leq i_{n} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 1 reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{w \in N^{*}} t^{\mathrm{ris} w} w=\frac{1}{1-\sum_{n \geq 1}(t-1)^{n-1} \sum_{0 \leq i_{1} \leq i_{2} \leq \cdots \leq i_{n}} i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{n}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we assign the weight $W(i)=z q^{i}$ to each $i \in N$ and extend W to a multiplicative homomorphism on $N^{*}$. Let $(q ; q)_{0}=1$ and, for $n \geq 1$, set $(q ; q)_{n}=(1-q)\left(1-q^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-q^{n}\right)$. Then, Lemma 1 and (3) justify the calculation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{A_{n}(t, q) z^{n}}{(q ; q)_{n}} & =\sum_{n \geq 0} z^{n} \sum_{(\sigma, \lambda) \in S_{n} \times P_{n}} t^{\mathrm{ris} \sigma} q^{\mathrm{inv} \sigma+\|A\|}=\sum_{w \in N^{*}} t^{\mathrm{ris} w} W(w) \\
& =\frac{1}{1-\sum_{n \geq 1}(t-1)^{n-1} z^{n} \sum_{0 \leq i_{1} \leq \cdots \leq i_{n}} q^{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{n}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{1-\sum_{n \geq 1}(t-1)^{n-1} z^{n} /(q ; q)_{n}} \\
& =\frac{1-t}{e(-z(1-t), q)-t} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $e(z, q)=\sum_{n \geq 0} z^{n} /(q ; q)_{n}$ is a well-known $q$-analog of $e^{z}$.
The common rise number of an $r$-tuple $\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{r}\right)$ of permutations in $S_{n}^{r}=S_{n} \times \cdots \times S_{n}$ is defined to be $\operatorname{cris}\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{r}\right)=\mid \cap_{j=1}^{r}$ Ris $\sigma_{j} \mid$. The argument in (4) is readily adapted to deriving Stanley's [13] generating function for the polynomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n, r}\left(t, q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{r}\right)=\sum_{\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{r}\right) \in S_{n}^{r}} t^{\operatorname{cris}\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{r}\right)} q_{1}^{\operatorname{inv} \sigma_{1}} q_{2}^{\operatorname{inv} \sigma_{2}} \cdots q_{r}^{\operatorname{inv} \sigma_{r}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We sketch the details for $r=2$ and then state the general result.
For letters $\mathbf{i}=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)$ and $\mathbf{j}=\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)$ in the alphabet $N \times N$, we define

$$
a_{\mathrm{ij}}= \begin{cases}t & \text { if } i_{1} \leq i_{2} \text { and } j_{1} \leq j_{2} \\ 1 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

For $(v, w)=\left(i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{n}, j_{1} j_{2} \cdots j_{n}\right) \in(N \times N)^{*}$, we have $a(v, w)=$ $t^{\text {cris }(l, w)}$, where $\operatorname{cris}(v, w)=|\operatorname{Ris} v \cap \operatorname{Ris} w|$. Also,

$$
\bar{a}(v, w)= \begin{cases}(t-1)^{n-1} & \text { if } i_{1} \leq i_{2} \leq \cdots \leq i_{n} \text { and } j_{1} \leq j_{2} \leq \cdots \leq j_{n} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The map of Lemma 1 applied component-wise to $\left(S_{n} \times P_{n}\right) \times\left(S_{n} \times P_{n}\right)$,

$$
f_{n} \times f_{n}\left(\sigma_{1}, \lambda ; \sigma_{2}, \mu\right)=\left(f_{n}\left(\sigma_{1}, \lambda\right), f_{n}\left(\sigma_{2}, \mu\right)\right)=(v, w)
$$

is a bijection to $N^{n} \times N^{n}$ with $\operatorname{cris}\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)=\operatorname{cris}(v, \boldsymbol{w}), \operatorname{inv} \sigma_{1}+\|\lambda\|=\|\nu\|$, and inv $\sigma_{2}+\|\mu\|=\|w\|$. Repeating (4) with appropriate modifications gives

$$
\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{A_{n, 2}\left(t, q_{1}, q_{2}\right) z^{n}}{\left(q_{1} ; q_{1}\right)_{n}\left(q_{2} ; q_{2}\right)_{n}}=\frac{1-t}{J\left(z(1-t), q_{1}, q_{2}\right)-t}
$$

where $J\left(z, q_{1}, q_{2}\right)=\sum_{n \geq 0}(-1)^{n} z^{n} /\left(q_{1} ; q_{1}\right)_{n}\left(q_{2} ; q_{2}\right)_{n}$ is a bibasic Bessel function. We note that replacing $z$ by $z\left(1-q_{1}\right)\left(1-q_{2}\right)$ and letting $q_{1}, q_{2} \rightarrow 1^{-}$give the original result of Carlitz, Scoville, and Vaughan [3] that initiated the study of statistics on $r$-tuples of permutations.

If we let $\mathbf{q}=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{r}\right)$ and $(\mathbf{q} ; \mathbf{q})_{n, r}=\left(q_{1} ; q_{1}\right)_{n}\left(q_{2} ; q_{2}\right)_{n} \cdots\left(q_{r} ; q_{r}\right)_{n}$, it follows in general that
Theorem 3 (Stanley). For $r \geq 1$, the sequence $\left\{A_{n, r}(t, q)\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is generated by

$$
\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{A_{n, r}(t, \mathbf{q}) z^{n}}{(\mathbf{q} ; \mathbf{q})_{n, r}}=\frac{1-t}{F_{r}(z(1-t), \mathbf{q})-t}
$$

where $F_{r}(z, \mathbf{q})=\sum_{n \geq \|}(-1)^{n} z^{n} /(\mathbf{q} ; \mathbf{q})_{n, r}$.
Further consideration of statistics on $r$-tuples of permutations is given in [7, 8]. In [7], we extend the technique of Carlitz et al. [3] and present recurrence relationships that refine Theorem 3. We also discuss several related distributions. In [8], we obtain a stronger version of Theorem 3 by using Theorems 1 and 2 in combination with a map that carries more information than does the bijection of Lemma 1.

## 4. $q$-EULER POLYNOMIALS

André [1] shows that if $E_{n}$ is the number of up-down alternating permutations in $S_{n}$ (that is, $\sigma \in S_{n}$ such that $\sigma(1)<\sigma(2)>\sigma(3)<\sigma(4)$ $>\cdots$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{E_{n} z^{n}}{n!}=\frac{1+\sin z}{\cos z} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number $E_{n}$ is known as the $n$th Euler number.
We now apply Theorems 1 and 2 to the more general problem of counting the set of odd-up permutations

$$
\theta_{n}=\left\{\sigma \in S_{n}: \sigma(1)<\sigma(2), \sigma(3)<\sigma(4), \ldots\right\}
$$

by inversion number and by the number of even indexed rises

$$
\operatorname{ris}_{2} \sigma=\mid\{k \in \operatorname{Ris} \sigma: k \text { is even }\} \mid .
$$

Toward this end, let

$$
E_{n}(t, q)=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathscr{\theta}_{n}} t^{\mathrm{is}_{2} \sigma} q^{\text {inv } \sigma} .
$$

Note that $E_{n}(0,1)=E_{n}$. The analysis is split into two cases: $n$ odd and $n$ even. We only present the odd case, which requires use of Theorem 2.

Let $U=\left\{\mathbf{i}=i_{1} i_{2}: i_{1}, i_{2} \in N\right.$ with $\left.i_{1} \leq i_{2}\right\}, V=N$, and $X$ be the union of $U$ and $V$. For $\mathbf{i}=i_{1} i_{2}, \mathbf{j}=j_{1} j_{2} \in U$, and $k \in V$, we set

$$
a_{\mathrm{ij}}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
t & \text { if } i_{2} \leq j_{1} \\
1 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad a_{i k}= \begin{cases}t & \text { if } i_{2} \leq k \\
1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}\right.
$$

Viewing a word $w \in U^{*} V$ as being in $N^{*}$, let $\operatorname{ris}_{2} w$ denote the number of rises in $w$ having even index. Theorem 2 implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{w \in U^{* V}} t^{\mathrm{ris}}{ }_{2} w w=\frac{\sum_{m \geq 0}(t-1)^{m} \sum_{0 \leq i_{1} \leq i_{2} \leq \cdots \leq i_{2 m}+1} i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{2 m+1}}{1-\sum_{m \geq 1}(t-1)^{m-1} \sum_{0 \leq i_{1} \leq i_{2} \leq \cdots \leq i_{2 m}} i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{2 m}} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again set $W(i)=z q^{i}$ for $i \in N$ and multiplicatively extend $W$ to $N^{*}$. Let $U^{m} V=\left\{u v: u \in U^{*}\right.$ is of length $\left.m, v \in V\right\}$. From Lemma 1 , the bijection $f_{2 m+1}: O_{2 m+1} \times P_{2 m+1} \rightarrow U^{m} V$ satisfies the properties ris ${ }_{2} \sigma=$ $\operatorname{ris}_{2} w$ and inv $\sigma+\|\lambda\|=\|w\|$ whenever $f_{2 m+1}(\sigma, \lambda)=w$. It then follows from (7) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{E_{2 m+1}(t, q) z^{2 m+1}}{(q ; q)_{2 m+1}} \\
& =\sum_{m \geq 0} z^{2 m+1} \sum_{(\sigma, \lambda) \in \mathcal{P}_{2 m+1} \times P_{2 m+1}} t^{\text {ris }{ }^{\text {r }}} q^{\text {inv } \sigma+\|\lambda\|} \\
& =\sum_{w \in U^{*} V} t^{r s_{2} w} W(w) \\
& =\frac{\sum_{m \geq 0}(t-1)^{m} z^{2 m+1} \sum_{0 \leq i_{1} \leq \cdots \leq i_{2 m+1}} q^{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{2 m+1}}}{1-\sum_{m \geq 1}(t-1)^{m} z^{2 m} \sum_{0 \leq i_{1} \leq \cdots \leq i_{2 m}} q^{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{2 m}}} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{m \geq 0}(t-1)^{m} z^{2 m+1} /(q ; q)_{2 m+1}}{1-\Sigma_{m \geq 1}(t-1)^{m-1} z^{2 m} /(q ; q)_{2 m}} \\
& =\frac{(1-t)^{1 / 2} \sin _{q}(z \sqrt{1-t})}{\cos _{q}(z \sqrt{1-t})-t} \text {, } \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\cos _{q} z=\sum_{n \geq 0}(-1)^{n} z^{2 n} /(q ; q)_{2 n}$ and $\sin _{q} z=\sum_{n \geq 0}(-1)^{n} z^{2 n+1} /$ $(q ; q)_{2 n+1}$. As the even case is essentially contained in the analysis above, we have

$$
\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{E_{n}(t, q) z^{n}}{(q ; q)_{n}}=\frac{(1-t)\left(1+(1-t)^{-1 / 2} \sin _{q}(z \sqrt{1-t})\right)}{\cos _{q}(z \sqrt{1-t})-t}
$$

Setting $t=0$, replacing $z$ by $z(1-q)$, and letting $q \rightarrow 1^{-}$give (6).
Generalization to $r$-tuples of $m$-permutations is relatively straightforward. Let $S_{n, m}$ denote the set of $\sigma \in S_{n}$ satisfying the property that $\sigma(k)>\sigma(k+1)$ implies $k$ is a multiple of $m$. Note that $S_{n, 2}=\sigma_{n}$. For $\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{r}\right) \in S_{n, m}^{r}$, define $\operatorname{cris}_{m}\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{r}\right)$ to be the number of $k \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{r}$ Ris $\sigma_{j}$ such that $k$ is a multiple of $m$. Combining the ideas behind Theorem 3 and (8) gives

THEOREM 4. For $m, r \geq 1$, the sequence of polynomials

$$
E_{n, m, r}(t, \mathbf{q})=\sum_{\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{r}\right) \in S_{n, m}^{r}} t^{\text {cris }{ }_{m}\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{r}\right)} q_{1}^{\operatorname{inv} \sigma_{1}} q_{2}^{\operatorname{inv} \sigma_{2}} \cdots q_{r}^{\operatorname{inv} \sigma_{r}}
$$

is generated by

$$
\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{E_{n, m, r}(t, \mathbf{q}) z^{n}}{(\mathbf{q} ; \mathbf{q})_{n, r}}=\frac{(1-t)\left(1+\sum_{\rho=1}^{m-1}(1-t)^{-\rho / m} \Phi_{m, \rho, r}\left(z^{m} \sqrt{1-t}, \mathbf{q}\right)\right)}{\Phi_{m, 0, r}(z \sqrt{1-t}, \mathbf{q})-t}
$$

where $\Phi_{m, \rho, r}(z, \mathbf{q})=\Sigma_{\nu \geq 0}(-1)^{\nu} z^{\nu m+\rho} /(\mathbf{q} ; \mathbf{q})_{\nu m+\rho, r}$.
Theorem 4 is essentially due to Stanley [13]. Note that $E_{n, 1, r}(t, q)$ is equal to the generalized $q$-Eulerian polynomial defined in (5). Thus, taking $m=1$ in Theorem 4 gives Theorem 3 as a corollary. We further remark that $\Phi_{m, p, 1}(z, q)$ is a $q$-Olivier function. When $r=1$ and $t=s=0$, replacing $z$ by $z(1-q)$ and letting $q \rightarrow 1^{-}$give the initial result of Carlitz [2] on $m$-permutations.

## 5. FROM THE TRACE TO THE FREE MONOID

As the final application, we use Theorem 1 to obtain Diekert's [5, pp. 96-99] lifting to the free monoid of an inversion formula due to Cartier and Foata [4] from a partially commutative monoid (or trace monoid) in which the defining binary relation admits a transitive orientation.

Let $\theta$ be an irreflexive symmetric binary relation on $X$. Define $\equiv_{\theta}$ to be the binary relation (induced by $\theta$ ) on $X^{*}$ consisting of the set of pairs ( $w, v$ ) of words such that there is a sequence $w=w_{0}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}=v$, where each $w_{i}$ is obtained by transposing a pair of letters in $w_{i-1}$ that are consecutive and contained in $\theta$. For instance, if $X=\{x, y, z\}$ and $\theta=$ $\{(x, y),(y, x)\}$, then the sequence $z y y x, z y x y, z x y y$ implies that $z y y x \equiv_{\theta} z x y y$.

Clearly, $\equiv_{\theta}$ is an equivalence relation on $X^{*}$. The quotient of $X^{*}$ by $\equiv_{\theta}$ gives the partially commutative monoid induced by $\theta$ and is denoted by $M(X, \theta)$. The equivalence class $\hat{w}$ of $w \in X^{*}$ is referred to as the trace of $w$.

A word $w=x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n} \in X^{*}$ is said to be a basic monomial if $x_{i} \theta x_{j}$ for all $i \neq j$. A trace $\hat{w}$ is said to be $\theta$-trivial if any one of its representatives is a basic monomial. If one lets $\mathscr{F}^{+}(X, \theta)$ be the set of $\theta$-trivial traces, the inversion formula of Cartier and Foata reads as follows.

Theorem 5 (Cartier and Foata). For $\theta$ an irreflexive symmetric binary relation on $X$, the traces in $M(X, \theta)$ are generated by

$$
\sum_{\hat{w} \in M(X, \theta)} \hat{w}=\frac{1}{1+\sum_{\hat{i} \in \mathscr{F}(X, \theta)}(-1)^{(i f)} \hat{i}},
$$

where $l(\hat{t})$ denotes the length of any representative of $\hat{t}$.
A natural question to ask is whether $\hat{w}$ and $\hat{i}$ can be replaced by some canonical representatives so that Theorem 5 remains true as a formula in the free monoid $X^{*}$. As resolved by Diekert [5], such canonical representatives exist if and only if $\theta$ admits a transitive orientation.
To be precise, a subset $\vec{\theta}$ of $\theta$ is said to be an orientation of $\theta$ if $\theta$ is a disjoint union of $\vec{\theta}$ and $\{(x, y):(y, x) \in \vec{\theta}\}$. The set of $t=t_{1} t_{2} \cdots t_{n} \in X^{*}$ satisfying $t_{1} \vec{\theta} t_{2} \vec{\theta} \cdots \vec{\theta} t_{n}$ is denoted by $T^{+}(X, \vec{\theta})$. Note that $T^{+}(X, \vec{\theta})$ is a set of representatives for the $\theta$-trivial traces $\mathscr{F}^{+}(X, \theta)$ whenever $\vec{\theta}$ is transitive. A word $w=x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n} \in X^{*}$ is said to have a $\vec{\theta}$-adjacency in position $k$ if $x_{k} \vec{\theta} x_{k+1}$. We denote the number of $\vec{\theta}$-adjacencies of $w$ by $\theta$ adj $w$. Although Diekert did not explicitly introduce the notion of a $\vec{\theta}$-adjacency, his lifting theorem may be paraphrased as follows.

Theorem 6 (Diekert). Let $\theta$ be an irreflexive symmetric binary relation on $X$ and let $\vec{\theta}$ be an orientation of $\theta$. Then, $\vec{\theta}$ is transitive if and only if there exists a complete set $W$ of representatiues for the traces of $M(X, \theta)$ such that

$$
\sum_{w \in W} w=\frac{1}{1+\sum_{t \in T^{*}(X, \theta)}(-1)^{[t)_{t}}} .
$$

Moreover, $W=\left\{w \in X^{*}: \vec{\theta} \operatorname{adj} w=0\right\}$.
To see how Theorem 1 intervenes in the matter, suppose that $\vec{\theta}$ is an orientation of $\theta$ (not necessarily transitive for now). If for $x, y \in X$ we set $a_{x y}=a$ when $x \vec{\theta} y$ and $a_{x y}=1$ otherwise, then Theorem 1 reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{w \in X^{*}} a^{\dot{\theta} \operatorname{adj}^{w} w} w=\frac{1}{1+\sum_{t \in T^{\prime}(X, \theta)}(-1)^{n}(1-a)^{I(t)-1} t} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\vec{\theta}$ is transitive, setting $a=0$ in (9) gives the lifting of Theorem 5 to the free monoid as stated in Diekert's theorem. We close this section with two examples.

Transitive Example. Let $X=\{x, y, z\}$ with $\theta=\{(x, y),(y, x),(x, z)$, ( $z, x)\}$. Among other possibilities, $\vec{\theta}=\{(y, x),(z, x)\}$ is a transitive orientation of $\theta$. The $\vec{\theta}$-adjacencies of a word correspond to factors $y x$ and $z x$. Note that $T^{+}(X, \vec{\theta})=\{x, y, z, y x, z x\}$ is a complete set of representatives for the $\theta$-trivial traces $\mathscr{F}^{+}(X, \theta)$. Also, the only word in

$$
\widehat{x z y x y}=\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
x z x y y, & x z y x y, & x z y y x, & x x z y y, \\
z x y x y, & z x y y x, & z y x x y, & z y x y x, \\
z y y x x
\end{array}\right\}
$$

having no $\vec{\theta}$-adjacencies is $x x z y y$. From (9), we have

$$
\sum_{w \in\{x, y, z\}^{*}} a^{\dot{\theta} \mathrm{adj} w} w=\frac{1}{1-(x+y+z)+(1-a)(y x+z x)}
$$

Setting $a=0$ gives an identity that can be viewed as having been lifted from the trace monoid as in Theorem 6.

Non-transitive Example. Let $X$ and $\theta$ be as in the previous example. The orientation $\vec{\theta}=\{(y, x),(x, z)\}$ is not transitive. Observe that the word $y x z$ in $T^{+}(X, \vec{\theta})=\{x, y, z, y x, x z, y x z\}$ is not a $\theta$-trivial trace. Also, $\widehat{y x z}=\{y x z, x y z, y z x\}$ contains two words having no $\vec{\theta}$-adjacencies. Nevertheless, (9) implies

$$
\sum_{w \in\{x, y, z\}^{*}} a^{\dot{\theta} \operatorname{adj} w} w=\frac{1}{1-(x+y+z)+(1-a)(y x+x z)-(1-a)^{2} y x z}
$$

## 6. PROOFS FOR THEOREMS 1 AND 2

To establish Theorem 1, we begin by noting that (1) is equivalent to

$$
\sum_{w \in X^{*}} a(w) w-\sum_{w \in X^{+}}\left(\sum_{w=u v, v \neq 1} a(u) \bar{a}(v)\right) w=1
$$

Thus, by equating coefficients, it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(w)=\sum_{w=u^{\prime}, v \neq 1} a(u) \bar{a}(v) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $w \in X^{+}$. We proceed by induction on the length $l(w)$ of $w$. For $l(w)=1$, (10) is trivially true. Suppose $l(w) \geq 2$. Then $w$ factorizes as $w=w_{1} x y$, where $w_{1} \in X^{*}$ and $x, y \in X$. Assuming (10) holds for words of length smaller than $w$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(w) & =a_{x y} a\left(w_{1} x\right)=a\left(w_{1} x\right)+\left(a_{x y}-1\right) a\left(w_{1} x\right) \\
& =a\left(w_{1} x\right) \bar{a}(y)+\bar{a}(x y) \sum_{w_{1} x=u v_{1} x} a(u) \bar{a}\left(v_{1} x\right) \\
& =a\left(w_{1} x\right) \bar{a}(y)+\sum_{w_{1} x y=u c_{1}, x y} a(u) \bar{a}\left(v_{1} x y\right) \\
& =\sum_{w=u c, v \neq 1} a(u) \bar{a}(v),
\end{aligned}
$$

and the proof is complete.
We use an alternate approach to prove Theorem 2. Let $W$ denote the left-hand side of (2) and define

$$
W_{n+1}=\sum_{w} a(w) w,
$$

where the sum is over words $w=x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n+1} \in U^{*} V$ of length ( $n+1$ ). Note that $W=\sum_{n \geq 0} W_{n+1}$. Since $\bar{a}\left(x_{1}\right)=1$ and $\bar{a}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)=a_{x_{1} x_{2}}-1$, it is a triviality that

$$
W_{n+1}=\sum_{w} \bar{a}\left(x_{1}\right) a\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right) w+\sum_{w} \bar{a}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) a\left(x_{2} \cdots x_{n+1}\right) w
$$

for $n \geq 1$. Similarly, the second sum on the above right may be split as

$$
\sum_{w} \bar{a}\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) a\left(x_{3} \cdots x_{n+1}\right) w+\sum_{w} \bar{a}\left(x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\right) a\left(x_{3} \cdots x_{n+1}\right) w
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{n+1}= & \sum_{k=1}^{2} \sum_{w} \bar{a}\left(x_{1} \cdots x_{k}\right) a\left(x_{k+1} \cdots x_{n+1}\right) w \\
& +\sum_{w} \bar{a}\left(x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\right) a\left(x_{3} \cdots x_{n+1}\right) w
\end{aligned}
$$

Iterating the above argument and then factoring give

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{n+1} & =\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{w} \bar{a}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) a\left(x_{k+1} \cdots x_{n+1}\right) w+\sum_{w} \bar{a}(w) w \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{u} \bar{a}(u) u\right) W_{n+1-k}+\sum_{w} \bar{a}(w) w
\end{aligned}
$$

where the sum to the immediate left of $W_{n+1-k}$ is over words $u=x_{1} \cdots x_{k}$ $\in U^{+}$of length $k$. As the above recurrence relationship for $W_{n+1}$ is valid for $n \geq 0$, it follows that

$$
W=\left(\sum_{w \in U^{+}} \bar{a}(w) w\right) W+\sum_{w \in U^{*} V} \bar{a}(w) w
$$

which implies Theorem 2.
Either of the preceding arguments may be easily modified to give an inversion formula for words in $X^{*}$ that end in a fixed word $v$. Without giving the details, we have

Theorem 7. According to adjacencies, words ending in a word $v=$ $b_{1} b_{2} \cdots b_{m} \in X^{*}$ of length $m$ are generated by

$$
\sum_{w \in X^{*}} a(w v) w v=\left(1-\sum_{w \in X^{*}} \bar{a}(w) w\right)^{-1}\left(a(v) \sum_{w \in X^{*}} \bar{a}\left(w b_{1}\right) w v\right) .
$$
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