Anal Bioanal Chem (2013) 405:5687–5695 DOI 10.1007/s00216-013-7011-1

TRENDS

# LC-MS/MS-based multibiomarker approaches for the assessment of human exposure to mycotoxins

Benedikt Warth · Michael Sulyok · Rudolf Krska

Received: 14 March 2013 / Revised: 18 April 2013 / Accepted: 22 April 2013 / Published online: 18 June 2013 © The Author(s) 2013. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract Mycotoxins are toxic fungal secondary metabolites that frequently contaminate food and feed worldwide, and hence represent a major hazard for food and feed safety. To estimate human exposure arising from contaminated food, so-called biomarker approaches have been developed as a complementary biomonitoring tool besides traditional food analysis. The first methods based on radioimmunoassays and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays as well as on liquid chromatography were developed in the late 1980s and early 1990s for the carcinogenic aflatoxins and in the last two decades further tailor-made methods for some major mycotoxins have been published. Since 2010, there has been a clear trend towards the development and application of multianalyte methods based on liquid chromatographyelectrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry for assessment of mycotoxin exposure made possible by the increased sensitivity and selectivity of modern mass spectrometry instrumentation and sophisticated sample cleanup approaches. With use of these advanced methods, traces of mycotoxins and relevant breakdown and conjugation products can be quantified simultaneously in human urine as so-called biomarkers and can be used to precisely describe the real exposure, toxicokinetics, and bioavailability of the toxins present. In this article, a short overview and comparison of published multibiomarker methods focusing on the determination of mycotoxins and relevant excretion products in human urine is presented. Special attention is paid to the main challenges when analyzing these toxic food contaminants in urine, i.e., very low analyte concentrations, appropriate sample preparation, matrix effects, and a lack of authentic, NMR-confirmed calibrants and reference materials. Finally, the progress in

B. Warth · M. Sulyok · R. Krska (🖂)

Center for Analytical Chemistry, Department for

Agrobiotechnology (IFA-Tulln), University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna (BOKU), Konrad-Lorenz-Str. 20, 3430 Tulln, Austria

e-mail: rudolf.krska@boku.ac.at

human exposure assessment studies facilitated by these analytical methods is described and an outlook on probable developments and possibilities is presented.

**Keywords** Liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry · Mycotoxin · Biomarker · Exposure assessment · Human urine · Glucuronide conjugate

## Introduction

Toxic fungal secondary metabolites, so-called mycotoxins, are a global hazard for food safety by frequently contaminating food and feed. To estimate the risk of exposed populations, traditional exposure assessment comprises the analysis of foodstuff and evaluation of dietary recalls or the estimation of average consumption patterns. To overcome the disadvantages of this indirect approach, such as a lack of information on individual exposure, toxicokinetics, and bioavailability, biomarker approaches were developed as a biomonitoring tool for some major mycotoxins (Fig. 1). Baldwin et al. [1] reviewed biomarker research for the commercially most important mycotoxins and defined biomarkers as measurable biochemical or molecular indicators of either exposure (exposure biomarker) or biological response (effect biomarker) to a mycotoxin that can be specifically linked to the proximate cause. Typical biomarkers of exposure are the parent toxins themselves, protein or DNA adducts, and/or major phase I or phase II metabolites (e.g. glucuronide conjugates), which are measured in biological fluids such as urine or plasma/serum, and are related to the actual intake of the toxin through contaminated food. In an excellent review, the role of biomarkers in the evaluation of human health concerns caused by mycotoxins was published recently. Here a biomarker of exposure was defined as a biological measure which is correlated with the quantity of the xenobiotic ingested, resulting in improved

exposure classification over more traditional approaches [2]. It was highlighted that validation of such a biomarker requires demonstration of (a) assay robustness, (b) intake versus biomarker level, and (c) stability of stored samples.

Biomarker research for human exposure assessment entered the mycotoxin research arena in the late 1980s and early 1990s when extensive studies on the carcinogenic aflatoxins were conducted [3–5]. They have been essential for the establishment of the etiologic role of aflatoxins in human disease through better estimates of exposure, expanded knowledge of the mechanisms of disease pathogenesis, and as tools for implementing and evaluating preventive interventions [5]. Three aflatoxin biomarkers were validated by the establishment of a dose-response relationship: in urine the level of the hydroxylated metabolite aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> (AFM<sub>1</sub>) was between 1.2 and 2.2 % of that of ingested aflatoxin  $B_1$  (AFB<sub>1</sub>) [6], while the level of the aflatoxin– $N^7$ -guanine adduct ranged from 0.05 to 3.25 µg/L, with approximately 0.2 % of ingested AFB<sub>1</sub> excreted during a 3day period [7]. AFM<sub>1</sub> was analyzed by a competitive direct enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) whereas aflatoxin $-N^7$ -guanine was measured by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) following elution from an antibody affinity column. In serum the aflatoxin-lysine adduct can be obtained through digestion of the aflatoxin-albumin adduct [8]. Later in the 1990s work on ochratoxin A (OTA) [9] and the fumonisins [10] was conducted mainly based on HPLC with fluorescence detection. However, occasionally radioimmunoassays, ELISA, and liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) have been applied as well. Excretion of fumonisin  $B_1$  (FB<sub>1</sub>) in urine was recently estimated to be on average 0.075 % of the FB1 intake in South African women (n=22) [11], whereas the estimates were significantly higher (0.5 %) in a US study (n=8) [12]. Despite

Fig. 1 Mycotoxin exposure assessment: traditional food analysis compared with the innovative, complementary biomarker approach this very low excretion rate and issues associated with interindividual variability and rapid clearance, urinary FB<sub>1</sub> was recommended as a valuable biomarker for fumonisin exposure and risk assessment. Most fumonisin biomarker research conducted within the last two decades was related to the inhibition of the sphinganine N-acetyltransferase (ceramide synthase) and subsequent sphingolipid biosynthesis disruption initiated by fumonisins. A correlation between fumonisin intake and the sphinganine-to-sphingosine ratio or an elevated sphinganine level was found to be useful in animals but not in humans and constitutes a typical biomarker of effect [10]. The first biomarker research on the trichothecene deoxynivalenol (DON, vomitoxin) was initiated in 2003 when Meky et al. [13] developed an LC-MS-based assay to measure the sum of free DON and DON glucuronides (DON-GlcAs) combined after enzymatic hydrolysis and use of an immunoaffinity column (IAC) as a sum parameter in human and rat urine. Further LC-MS/MS methods were developed for the determination of DON and DON-GlcA using either a synthetically produced authentic reference standard [14] or the hypothetical mass [15] for the detection of the glucuronide(s). A major limitation of proper exposure assessment including ideally all relevant mycotoxins and their biotransformation products was the lack of sufficient sensitivity and selectivity.

As a result of the advent of the latest generation of highperformance LC-MS/MS instruments, a clear trend towards the development and application of multianalyte methods in mycotoxin biomarker research can be observed. Purification of the analytes is often achieved by using sophisticated sample cleanup approaches with subsequent separation by liquid chromatography and detection using triple-quadrupole analyzers coupled via an electrospray ionization (ESI) interface. However, the latest studies have also successfully applied the so-called dilute and shoot approach by omitting any cleanup



step [16]. This article provides a short overview and comparison of published multibiomarker methods, discusses challenges associated with very low analyte concentrations, sample preparation, matrix effects, and a lack of calibrants and certified reference materials, and describes the progress in human exposure assessment studies facilitated by these methods.

### LC-MS/MS-based multibiomarker methods

The first method described for the determination of various mycotoxin biomarkers in human urine was developed by Ahn et al. [17]. To achieve sufficient sensitivity and selectivity,  $AFM_1$ , OTA,  $FB_1$ , and fumonisin  $B_2$  were concentrated using three separate IACs. The eluates were pooled, dried under a stream of nitrogen, and resolved in a mixture of acetonitrile and water. Also two other published multibiomarker methods used the selectivity of antibodies by applying a novel multi-IAC column (Myco6in1<sup>TM</sup>, Vicam) which comprises antibodies specific for aflatoxins, OTA, fumonisins, DON, zearalenone (ZEN), T-2 toxin, and HT-2 toxin [18, 19]. The first method did not include AFM<sub>1</sub>, but instead included the aflatoxins B<sub>1</sub>, B<sub>2</sub>, G<sub>1</sub> and G<sub>2</sub>, for which no correlation with food intake had been achieved in the past [2]. In addition, no enzymatic hydrolysis was performed despite the extensive glucuronidation of DON [13] and ZEN [20] one can expect in such studies. In constrast, the method of Solfrizzo et al. [19] used β-glucuronidaseassisted hydrolysis, resulting in increased levels of the parent toxins. Besides the IAC enrichment, a second step of sample preparation using solid-phase extraction (SPE; Oasis HLB, Waters) was conducted to overcome issues associated with low DON and deepoxy-DON recoveries. The advanced cleanup procedure resulted in lower limits of detection (LODs) of this method compared with that of Rubert et al. [18] although a less sensitive mass spectrometer was used (Table 1). Our group chose a time- and cost-effective "dilute and shoot" approach for sample preparation, where the urine sample is simply diluted 1:10 with acetonitrile/water (10:90) and injected directly into the LC-MS/MS system, to facilitate the quantification of 15 analytes [16]. A chromatogram of a blank urine sample spiked with reference standards is illustrated in Fig. 2. Besides the simplification, the advantage of this workflow is the full recovery of the polar conjugates such as glucuronides which are frequently lost during sample cleanup [21]. By implementation of these key excretion metabolites in a method using authentic reference standards, it is possible to investigate the metabolism of a certain mycotoxin as successfully exemplified for DON in vitro [22] and in vivo [23, 24]. The disadvantage of the dilute and shoot approach is the prerequisite of the latest state-of-the art triple-quadrupole mass analyzer to achieve the very low LODs required. Even when these highly advanced instruments are used, it is moderate to high exposure rather than very low background traces that is detectable. A method developed by Njumbe Ediage et al. [25] covers seven mycotoxins and several important conjugation and breakdown products (in total 18 analytes). Sample cleanup was optimized in a progressive procedure where urine samples were extracted with ethyl acetate/formic acid (99:1, v/v) followed by strong anion exchange (SAX) SPE cleanup of the acidified aqueous fraction. The combined extracts of the evaporated organic phase and the SAX eluate were injected into the LC-MS/MS system. Owing to the high concentration factor, the reported recovery was between approximately 45 and 100 %. In contrast to results obtained by various groups [15, 23, 26, 27], no DON-GlcA was detected in urine samples naturally contaminated with DON. This might indicate a loss of those conjugates during cleanup despite successful validation. However, this could also be because DON-3-GlcA was analyzed exclusively rather than DON-15-GlcA which was recently suggested as the human main excretion product [23]. The analytes included and the performance characteristics of the five multibiomarker methods described above are compared in Table 1. For quantitative analysis of urine samples, all methods were performed in selected reaction monitoring (SRM) mode. Methods 3 and 4 recently showed good agreement for most of the investigated analytes in a mini interlaboratory comparison [28]. Although in all the methods developed urine was the matrix of choice, there are limitations related to this approach, e.g., differing urine excretion owing to different fluid intakes. This can be overcome partially by normalization for the creatinine concentration of a urine sample. In exposure studies it is recommended to collect 24-h urine instead of first morning or spot urine samples if possible as spot samples are usually not representative of the excretion throughout a day [24]. In addition, urinary excretion mainly represents recent mycotoxin intake, whereas measurements in plasma/serum are more likely to represent long-term exposure.

#### Analytical challenges

## Sample preparation

A major challenge in mycotoxin biomarker research are the extremely low analyte concentrations present in biological fluids following dietary exposure. Hence, appropriate sample preparation protocols are crucial to obtain acceptable LODs. This is, however, hampered by the great chemical diversity of analytes typically included in multibiomarker methods. This issue becomes even more complex once polar conjugates such as glucuronides are included as they are frequently lost during common cleanup approaches such as SPE or IAC procedures [16, 21]. The five methods presented in the previous section and in Table 1 illustrate different

relevant	metabolites in human urine					I		
No. of analytes	Analytes included in the method	Sample preparation and cleanup	Instrument	Total chromatographic run time (min)	Injection volume (µL) <sup>a</sup>	LOD range (μg/L)	Country of pilot study and no. of participants	References
4	AFM <sub>1</sub> , OTA, FB <sub>1</sub> , FB <sub>2</sub>	IAC (AflaMPrep, Ochraprep, Fumonitest) + SIDA (OTA and FB <sub>1</sub> ) + B-glucuronidase	QTrap 3200 (AB Sciex)	22	50 (500)	0.001-0.045	Korea, <i>n</i> =12	Ahn et al. [17]
11	AFB1, AFB2, AFG1, AFG2, OTA, FB1, FB2, DON, T-2, HT-2, ZEN	IAC (Myco6in1)	QTrap 3200 (AB Sciex)	20	20 (200)	0.4-10	Spain, $n=27$	Rubert et al. [18]
٢	AFM <sub>1</sub> , OTA, FB <sub>1</sub> , DON, DOM-1, α-ZEL, β-ZEL	IAC (Myco6in1) + C <sub>18</sub> SPE + β-glucuronidase	QTrap 2000 (AB Sciex)	38	20 (600)	0.01-2.2	Italy, $n=10$ South Africa, n=54	Solfrizzo et al. [19]
15	AFM1, OTA, FB1, FB2, DON, DON-3-GlcA, DON-15-GlcA, DOM-1, T2, HT-2, NIV, ZEN, ZEN-14-GlcA, α-ZEL, β-ZEL	None, "dilute and shoot"	QTrap 5500 (AB Sciex)	18	5 (0.5)	0.05-20	Austria, $n=27$ Cameroon, n=175 South Africa, n=54	Warth et al. [16]
18	AFM1, AFB1, AFB1-N <sup>7</sup> -Gua, OTA, OTa, 4-OH-OTA, FB1, HFB1, DON, DON-3-GICA, DOM-1, T-2, HT-2, ZEN, ZEN-14-GICA, α-ZEL, β-ZEL, CIT	Liquid-liquid extraction + SAX SPE	QqQ (Micromass Quattro Micro, Waters)	28	20 (1,000)	0.01-3.65	Belgium, <i>n</i> =40	Njumbe Ediage et al. [25]
$AFB_{I}$ af fumonisi	latoxin $B_1$ , $AFB_2$ aflatoxin $B_2$ , $AFG_1$ afl n $B_2$ , $GlcA$ glucuronide, $Gua$ guanine, $LA$	latoxin G <sub>1</sub> , <i>AFG</i> <sub>2</sub> aflatoxin G <sub>2</sub> , <i>A</i> . <i>4C</i> immunoaffinity column, <i>LOD</i> 1	$FM_1$ aflatoxin M <sub>1</sub> , 6 limit of detection, $N_1$	<i>CIT</i> citrinin, <i>DOM</i> de-epo <i>IV</i> nivalenol, <i>OTA</i> ochrato	xy deoxynival xin A, $OT\alpha$ oc	lenol, <i>DON</i> de hratoxin α, Qq	oxynivalenol, $FB_I$ f Q triple quadrupole.	umonisin $B_1$ , $FB_2$ SAX strong anion

exchange, SIDA stable-isotope standard-dilution assay, SPE solid-phase extraction, ZEN zearalenone, ZEL zearalenol

<sup>a</sup> Values in *parentheses* represent the amount of urine injected taking the sample enrichment/dilution into account.



Fig. 2 Chromatogram from selected reaction monitoring (SRM) of a blank urine sample spiked with reference standards. Between 5 and 10 min, the analytes were monitored in negative ionization mode only *(period I)*, whereas between 10 and 15 min both polarity modes were measured simultaneously using fast polarity switching *(period II)*.

 $AFM_1$  aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub>,  $FB_1$  fumonisin B<sub>1</sub>,  $FB_2$  fumonisin B<sub>2</sub>, DON deoxynivalenol, DOM de-epoxy deoxynivalenol, GlcA glucuronide, NIV nivalenol, OTA ochratoxin A, ZEL zearalenol, ZEN zearalenone. (Adapted from [16])

concepts in an excellent way. The great advantage of the methods using IAC cleanup is the specific retention of the target compounds only. Thereby, high enrichment factors are obtained without concentrating also potentially interfering matrix compounds as they are removed efficiently. The major disadvantage is the preselection of analytes by the column chosen depending on the antibodies used. Therefore, usually no conjugates or other biomarkers/analytes of interest can be included in a method. Furthermore, enzymatic hydrolysis should be performed to include conjugates, and the overall procedure is time-consuming and costly and requires a labor-intensive sample preparation. This is in contrast to the dilute and shoot approach, where a urine sample is centrifuged, diluted, and analyzed without further pretreatment. However, to overcome matrix effects and interfering matrix peaks, eluents, the chromatographic gradient, and the dilution factor need to be carefully optimized [14, 16]. Njumbe Ediage et al. [25] investigated different procedures including dilute and shoot, dilute, evaporate, and shoot, liquid-liquid extraction, and two different SPE cartridges (SAX and Oasis HLB). They concluded that the LODs obtained with SAX columns were threefold to ninefold lower compared with those obtained with Oasis HLB columns, whereas the approaches based on sample dilution yielded unfeasibly high LODs and significant signal enhancement for ZEN and FB1. Various SPE cartridges (Oasis HLB and MAX, Sigma Supel-Select HLB, Sequant ZIC-HILIC, Bakerbond Polar Plus) have also been tested during method development of the established dilute and shoot method but failed to retain the polar glucuronide conjugates, with the exception of the Oasis HLB [16] and the ZIC-HILIC cartridges when using optimized protocols.

#### Matrix effects and peaks

Co-eluting matrix components can negatively influence the accuracy of quantitative methods through ion suppression or enhancement in the ion source. This is particularly true for ESI, where the competition for electrical charges or the effect on the evaporation of ESI droplets can lead to significant ion suppression [29]. Hence, it is of great importance to thoroughly investigate these effects during method development and validation. Ion suppression can be reduced efficiently by careful optimization of the eluents and gradient. However, this is not trivial and is a particular issue in multianalyte methods, where compromises are unavoidable. Matrix effects can be controlled by using matrix-matched calibration [19], inclusion of internal standards [17, 30], or correction of results with the apparent recovery [16]. However, when matrix-matched calibration or apparent recovery for the correction of results is used, it still needs to be considered that urine samples can differ in their concentration, thereby influencing matrix effects. This depends largely on the volume of drinks consumed by an individual prior to sample donation. Therefore, the blank urine which is used for preparation of matrix-matched standards or the spiked samples, respectively, needs to be chosen with the greatest care and the effect of differing urine sample concentrations should be investigated during validation.

Another major issue is the frequent co-elution of matrix compounds. This requires careful selection of SRM transitions in order to minimize background noise as well as interfering peaks that might trigger false-positive results. Descriptive examples are illustrated for an AFM<sub>1</sub> interference by Ahn et al. [17] and for zearalenone-14-glucuronide (ZEN-14-GlcA) in Fig. 3. During common tandem mass spectrometric compound optimization, usually the two most abundant fragment ions are chosen as quantifier and qualifier ions, respectively. However, in challenging biomarker applications, one should consider several SRM candidates in order to select specific fragment ions. This evaluation must include the injection of spiked matrix samples to identify potential interferences and is particularly required if no proper sample cleanup was performed. This issue is visualized in Fig. 3.

Lack of authentic reference standards and certified reference materials

In the past, most biomarker methods focused on parent mycotoxins rather than on conjugated forms as no (certified) calibrants are commercially available for these metabolites. Despite this caveat, considerable progress has been achieved in the direct quantification of mycotoxin conjugates without the need for enzymatic hydrolysis. By application of this direct approach, problems such as the loss of information on the analyte's structure and its detoxification potential, but also incomplete hydrolysis and the time-consuming sample preparation can be overcome. Glucuronide conjugates have been synthesized either using chemical synthesis as in the case of DON-3-GlcA [31] and ZEN-14-GlcA [32] or by in vitro assays using liver microsomes. With use of this approach, GlcAs of DON [27, 33], ZEN and metabolites [34], and T-2 toxin and HT-2 toxin [35] were obtained in small quantities. An important quality control measure is the use of certified reference materials including well-characterized calibrants to monitor the performance of a certain laboratory. However, for



Fig. 3 SRM chromatogram of a blank urine sample spiked at a level of 12.5  $\mu$ g/L ZEN-14-GlcA. It is obvious that the transition m/z 493 [M-H] $\rightarrow$ 131 results in a far better signal-to-noise ratio than the other product ions despite its lower absolute abundance. Hence, this fragment should be chosen as a quantifier ion. In addition, the more intense transitions comprise many interferences which mimic ZEN-14-GlcA and thus potentially may lead to false-positive results

mycotoxin biomarkers, i.e., mycotoxins and their conjugates, there is no matrix reference material available that would make it possible to assess the measurement performance in the analysis of biologically important matrices such as human or animal urine, plasma/serum, or feces. This is critical especially in view of the complex biological matrices and makes efforts such as a recent interlaboratory comparison [28] even more important to ensure analytical accuracy. The preliminary results obtained in this study which determined up to eight mycotoxin biomarkers in human urine showed good agreement between most analytes. The overall rate of satisfactory z scores [36] ( $|z| \le 2$ ) was 85 % (68 of 80 results), with unsatisfactory or questionable z scores obtained for FB<sub>1</sub>, OTA, and  $\alpha$ -zearalenol.

### Application of LC-MS/MS methods in exposure studies

The multibiomarker methods presented have been applied in several pilot studies to prove their applicability and to estimate mycotoxin exposure in the populations/individuals tested. In general, the application of these methods resulted in advanced data on exposure patterns and revealed new findings on co-exposure to the mycotoxin combinations reported in Table 2. This is a significant advancement compared with the results presented in the only reported co-exposure study in which three separate methods based on ELISA, HPLC with fluorescence detection, and LC-MS/MS were applied to reveal exposure to aflatoxin and DON in pregnant women from Egypt [37]. An example of the relevance of the reported new exposure data is the extent of co-exposure observed in samples from Cameroonian individuals [16]. Overall, in 110 samples (63 %, n=175) at least one analyte was detected, with a maximum of six analytes (AFM<sub>1</sub>, FB<sub>1</sub>, OTA, DON, DON-15-GlcA, nivalenol) detected in a single individual simultaneously, a severe co-exposure that had never been reported before (see also Table 2). In this study additionally the first quantification of ZEN-14-GlcA and nivalenol in naturally contaminated human urine was described. In a very recent South African survey among women living in a rural, high esophageal cancer region, two different multibiomarker methods and, in addition, two single-target LC-MS/MS methods were used and indicated frequent mycotoxin co-exposure for the first time in South Africa. Furthermore, the first finding of urinary DON, ZEN, their conjugates, and OTA in this region and an advanced understanding of toxicokinetic patterns by direct determination of conjugation and hydroxylation products of DON and ZEA was achieved [38]. In an Austrian pilot survey, the structure of DON-15-GlcA was tentatively

Table 2 Hull	ian exposure to r	inycoloxins: results and che	tracteristics of pilot studies col	nauciea using the novel LC-IMIS/MIS III		
Country	No. of subjects	Individuals investigated	No of positive samples $(\%)$	Analytes detected	Co-exposure in a single individual <sup>a</sup>	References
Korea	12	11 adults, 1 child	12 (100 %)	AFM <sub>1</sub> , OTA	AFM <sub>1</sub> -OTA	Ahn et al. [17]
Spain	27	Adults	Not stated	AFG <sub>2</sub> , OTA, DON	Not stated	Rubert et al. [18]
Italy	10	Adults	10 (100 %)	OTA, DON	OTA-DON	Solfrizzo et al. [19]
Austria	27	Adults	26 (96 %)	DON, DON-3-GlcA, DON-15-GlcA	DON-DON-3-GlcA-DON-15-GlcA	Warth et al. [23]
Cameroon	175	145 HIV-positive adults 30 HIV-negative adults	110 (63 %)	AFM <sub>1</sub> , OTA, FB <sub>1</sub> , FB <sub>2</sub> , DON, DON-3-GleA, DON-15-GleA,	AFM <sub>1</sub> -OTA-FB <sub>1</sub> -DON-DON- 15-GlcA-NIV	Warth et al. [16]
Belgium	40	Adults	9 (23 %)	NIV, ZEN, ZEN-14-GICA, α-ZEL DON, OTA, OTα, 4-OH-OTA, ZEN, CIT 8 7FI	OTA-OTα-DON-ZEN-β-ZEL	Njumbe Ediage et al. [25]
South Africa	53	Adult women	53 (100 %)	OTA, FB1, DON, DON-3-GICA, DON-15-GICA, NIV, ZEN, ZEN- 14-GICA, α-ZEL, β-ZEL	OTA-FB1-DON-DON-3-GlcA-DON- 15-GlcA-ZEN-ZEN-14-GlcA- &-ZEL-β-ZEL	Shephard et al. [38] and unpublished results
<sup>a</sup> Only the mos	st severe co-cont	amination is reported				

0.01

elucidated and identified as the major conjugation product in human urine. Furthermore, it was estimated that a significant number of study participants exceeded the tolerable daily intake established for DON [23]

## Outlook

The current trend of multianalyte methods in mycotoxin biomarker research will certainly continue. We expect these methods to be optimized and validated for even more challenging matrices such as feces and plasma as done for single-target methods in the past [2]. The methods developed will significantly contribute to improved exposure assessment. Thereby, they offer a new innovative and complementary way of quantifying the risks associated with mycotoxins, and will be of increasing importance besides traditional food analysis.

Driven by the increasing sensitivity of modern mass spectrometers, more detailed in vivo toxicokinetic studies will be performed directly in humans following low toxin intake via naturally contaminated food. These experiments have mainly been restricted to animals in the past because of high doses. Thereby, metabolism and detoxification routes will be discovered as recently demonstrated for DON and ZEN [24] to support advanced risk assessment. Furthermore, it is expected that more biomarkers of mycotoxin exposure will be validated using these methods by means of a dose–response relationship.

We also expect more laboratories to be involved in efforts to synthesize novel mycotoxin conjugates such as  $\alpha$ zearalenol glucuronide,  $\beta$ -zearalenol glucuronide, OTA glucuronide, and ochratoxin  $\alpha$  glucuronide as calibrants and implement them in multianalyte methods. This includes regulated toxins but also mycotoxins which have rarely or not been addressed yet by biomarker research, such as T-2/HT-2 toxin, nivalenol, citrinin, *Alternaria* toxins, and moniliformin. The quest for new key metabolites will be supported by highresolution mass spectrometry and increasingly sensitive triplequadrupole analyzers.

Ultimately, the multibiomarker approach could serve in the identification of what are some of the most important mycotoxin mysteries: the role of mycotoxins in chronic disease caused by low-dose long-term background exposure through the intake of contaminated food and the toxicological risks posed by combinations of mycotoxins of frequent natural occurrence.

Acknowledgments The authors acknowledge the support of the EC (KBBE-2007-22269-2 MYCORED) and the graduate school program Applied Bioscience Technology (AB-Tec) of Vienna University of Technology in cooperation with the University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna (BOKU).

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

#### References

- Baldwin TT, Riley RT, Zitomer NC, Voss KA, Coulombe RA Jr, Pestka JJ, Williams DE, Glenn AE (2011) The current state of mycotoxin biomarker development in humans and animals and the potential for application to plant systems. World Mycotoxin J 4:257–270
- Turner PC, Flannery B, Isitt C, Ali M, Pestka J (2012) The role of biomarkers in evaluating human health concerns from fungal contaminants in food. Nutr Res Rev 25:162–179
- Leong YH, Latiff AA, Ahmad NI, Rosma A (2012) Exposure measurement of aflatoxins and aflatoxin metabolites in human body fluids. A short review. Mycotoxin Res 28:79–87
- 4. Wild CP, Turner PC (2002) The toxicology of aflatoxins as a basis for public health decisions. Mutagenesis 17:471–481
- Kensler TW, Roebuck BD, Wogan GN, Groopman JD (2011) Aflatoxin: a 50-year odyssey of mechanistic and translational toxicology. Toxicol Sci 120:28–48
- Zhu JQ, Zhang LS, Hu X (1987) Correlation of dietary aflatoxin B1 levels with excretion of aflatoxin M1 in human urine. Cancer Res 47:1848–1852
- Groopman JD, Kensler TW (1993) Molecular biomarkers for human chemical carcinogen exposures. Chem Res Toxicol 6:764–770
- Walton M, Egner P, Scholl PF, Walker J, Kensler TW, Groopman JD (2001) Liquid chromatography electrospray-mass spectrometry of urinary aflatoxin biomarkers: characterization and application to dosimetry and chemoprevention in rats. Chem Res Toxicol 14:919–926
- 9. Scott PM (2005) Biomarkers of human exposure to ochratoxin A. Food Addit Contam 22:99–107
- Shephard GS, van der Westhuizen L, Sewram V (2007) Biomarkers of exposure to fumonisin mycotoxins: a review. Food Addit Contam 24:1196–1201
- 11. Van Der Westhuizen L, Shephard GS, Burger HM, Rheeder JP, Gelderblom WCA, Wild CP, Gong YY (2011) Fumonisin B1 as a urinary biomarker of exposure in a maize intervention study among South African subsistence farmers. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 20:483–489
- Riley RT, Torres O, Showker JL, Zitomer NC, Matute J, Voss KA, Gelineau-van Waes J, Maddox JR, Gregory SG, Ashley-Koch AE (2012) The kinetics of urinary fumonisin B1 excretion in humans consuming maize-based diets. Mol Nutr Food Res 56:1445–1455
- Meky FA, Turner PC, Ashcroft AE, Miller JD, Qiao YL, Roth MJ, Wild CP (2003) Development of a urinary biomarker of human exposure to deoxynivalenol. Food Chem Toxicol 41:265–273
- 14. Warth B, Sulyok M, Berthiller F, Schuhmacher R, Fruhmann P, Hametner C, Adam G, Fröhlich J, Krska R (2011) Direct quantification of deoxynivalenol glucuronide in human urine as biomarker of exposure to the Fusarium mycotoxin deoxynivalenol. Anal Bioanal Chem 401:195–200
- Lattanzio VMT, Solfrizzo M, De Girolamo A, Chulze SN, Torres AM, Visconti A (2011) LC-MS/MS characterization of the urinary excretion profile of the mycotoxin deoxynivalenol in human and rat. J Chromatogr B Anal Technol Biomed Life Sci 879:707–715
- 16. Warth B, Sulyok M, Fruhmann P, Mikula H, Berthiller F, Schuhmacher R, Hametner C, Abia WA, Adam G, Fröhlich J, Krska R (2012) Development and validation of a rapid multibiomarker liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry

method to assess human exposure to mycotoxins. Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom 26:1533–1540

- Ahn J, Kim D, Kim H, Jahng KY (2010) Quantitative determination of mycotoxins in urine by LC-MS/MS. Food Addit Contam Part A 27:1674–1682
- Rubert J, Soriano JM, Mañes J, Soler C (2011) Rapid mycotoxin analysis in human urine: a pilot study. Food Chem Toxicol 49:2299–2304
- Solfrizzo M, Gambacorta L, Lattanzio VMT, Powers S, Visconti A (2011) Simultaneous LC-MS/MS determination of aflatoxin M1, ochratoxin A, deoxynivalenol, de-epoxydeoxynivalenol, α and βzearalenols and fumonisin B1 in urine as a multi-biomarker method to assess exposure to mycotoxins. Anal Bioanal Chem 401:2831–2841
- Mirocha CJ, Pathre SV, Robison TS (1981) Comparative metabolism of zearalenone and transmission into bovine milk. Food Cosmet Toxicol 19:25–30
- 21. Veršilovskis A, Huybrecht B, Tangni EK, Pussemier L, De Saeger S, Callebaut A (2011) Cross-reactivity of some commercially available deoxynivalenol (DON) and zearalenone (ZEN) immunoaffinity columns to DON- and ZEN-conjugated forms and metabolites. Food Addit Contam Part A 28:1687–1693
- 22. Maul R, Warth B, Kant J-S, Schebb NH, Krska R, Koch M, Sulyok M (2012) Investigation of the hepatic glucuronidation pattern of the Fusarium mycotoxin deoxynivalenol in various species. Chem Res Toxicol 25:2715–2717
- Warth B, Sulyok M, Fruhmann P, Berthiller F, Schuhmacher R, Hametner C, Adam G, Fröhlich J, Krska R (2012) Assessment of human deoxynivalenol exposure using an LC-MS/MS based biomarker method. Toxicol Lett 211:85–90
- Warth B, Sulyok M, Berthiller F, Schuhmacher R, Krska R (2013) New insights into the human metabolism of the Fusarium mycotoxins deoxynivalenol and zearalenone. Toxicol Lett 220(1):88–94
- 25. Njumbe Ediage E, Diana Di Mavungu J, Song S, Wu A, Van Peteghem C, De Saeger S (2012) A direct assessment of mycotoxin biomarkers in human urine samples by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. Anal Chim Acta 741:58–69
- Turner PC, Hopton RP, White KL, Fisher J, Cade JE, Wild CP (2011) Assessment of deoxynivalenol metabolite profiles in UK adults. Food Chem Toxicol 49:132–135
- Wu X, Murphy P, Cunnick J, Hendrich S (2007) Synthesis and characterization of deoxynivalenol glucuronide: its comparative immunotoxicity with deoxynivalenol. Food Chem Toxicol 45:1846–1855
- Solfrizzo M, Gambacorta L, Warth B, White K, Srey C, Sulyok M, Krska R, Gong YY (2013) Comparison of single and multi-analyte methods based on LC-MS/MS for mycotoxin biomarker determination in human urine. World Mycotoxin J. doi:10.3920/ WMJ2013.1575
- Schuhmacher R, Sulyok M, Krska R (2008) Recent developments in the application of liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry for the determination of organic residues and contaminants. Anal Bioanal Chem 390:253–256
- Turner PC, Burley VJ, Rothwell JA, White KL, Cade JE, Wild CP (2008) Dietary wheat reduction decreases the level of urinary deoxynivalenol in UK adults. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol 18:392–399
- Fruhmann P, Warth B, Hametner C, Berthiller F, Horkel E, Adam G, Sulyok M, Krska R, Fröhlich J (2012) Synthesis of deoxynivalenol-3-β-D-O-glucuronide for its use as biomarker for dietary deoxynivalenol exposure. World Mycotoxin J 5:127–132
- Mikula H, Hametner C, Berthiller F, Warth B, Krska R, Adam G, Fröhlich J (2012) Fast and reproducible chemical synthesis of zearalenone-14-β, D-glucuronide. World Mycotoxin J 5:289–296
- Uhlig S, Ivanova L, Fæste CK (2013) Enzyme-assisted synthesis and structural characterization of the 3-, 8-, and 15-glucuronides of deoxynivalenol. J Agric Food Chem 61:2006–2012

- 34. Stevenson DE, Hansen RP, Loader JI, Jensen DJ, Cooney JM, Wilkins AL, Miles CO (2008) Preparative enzymatic synthesis of glucuronides of zearalenone and five of its metabolites. J Agric Food Chem 56:4032–4038
- 35. Welsch T, Humpf H-U (2012) HT-2 toxin 4-glucuronide as new T-2 Toxin metabolite: enzymatic synthesis, analysis, and species specific formation of T-2 and HT-2 toxin glucuronides by rat, mouse, pig, and human liver microsomes. J Agric Food Chem 60:10170–10178
- Thompson M, Ellison SLR, Wood R (2006) The international harmonized protocol for the proficiency testing of analytical chemistry laboratories. Pure Appl Chem 78:145–196
- 37. Piekkola S, Turner PC, Abdel-Hamid M, Ezzat S, El-Daly M, El-Kafrawy S, Savchenko E, Poussa T, Woo JCS, Mykkänen H, El-Nezami H (2012) Characterisation of aflatoxin and deoxynivalenol exposure among pregnant Egyptian women. Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess 29:962–971
- 38. Shephard GS, Burger H-M, Gambacorta L, Gong Y, Krska R, Rheeder JP, Solfrizzo M, Srey C, Sulyok M, Visconti A, Warth B, Van der Westhuizen L (2012) Urinary biomarkers of multiple mycotoxin exposure in rural subsistence farmers in former Transkei, South Africa. In: Book of abstracts MycoRed North America, June 24–28 (2012). Carleton University, Ottawa