

Biogeochemistry (2014) 121:551–564  
DOI 10.1007/s10533-014-0022-y

# Different effects of warming and cooling on the decomposition of soil organic matter in warm–temperate oak forests: a reciprocal translocation experiment

Junwei Luan · Shirong Liu · Scott X. Chang ·  
Jingxin Wang · Xueling Zhu · Kuan Liu ·  
Jianghua Wu

Received: 16 September 2013 / Accepted: 1 August 2014 / Published online: 5 September 2014  
© The Author(s) 2014. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

**Abstract** A reciprocal soil monolith-transfer experiment was conducted along an altitude gradient to investigate the effect of climate change on soil carbon (C) processes in two warm–temperate oak forests in Baotianman Nature Reserve, Henan Province, China. Microclimate conditions, soil surface CO<sub>2</sub> flux, and labile organic C were measured for in-situ and transferred soils at both high and low-elevation sites. The soil temperature at 5 cm depth was, on average, 3.27 °C warmer at the low-elevation site than at the high-elevation site. Net CO<sub>2</sub> flux (911 g C m<sup>-2</sup>

13 months<sup>-1</sup>, 4.7 % of total C) of soil monoliths transferred from the high to the low-elevation site (simulating warming) was substantially (44 %) greater than for high-elevation soil monoliths incubated in situ (633 g C m<sup>-2</sup> 13 months<sup>-1</sup>, 3.3 % of total C) during 13 months of incubation. Increased extractable organic C (K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-C) supply with warming partly explained the increase of soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux. Simulated warming also significantly increased the temperature sensitivity ( $Q_{10}$  values) of soil organic matter decomposition. The positive linear relationship between microbial metabolic quotient ( $qCO_2$ ) and  $Q_{10}$  suggests a connection between microbial population

Responsible Editor: Kathleen Lohse.

J. Luan · S. Liu (✉)

The Research Institute of Forest Ecology, Environment and Protection, Chinese Academy of Forestry, Key Laboratory of Forest Ecology and Environment, China's State Forestry Administration, Beijing 100091, People's Republic of China  
e-mail: liusr@caf.ac.cn

J. Luan  
e-mail: junweiluan@gmail.com

J. Luan · J. Wu  
Sustainable Resource management, Grenfell Campus, Memorial University of Newfoundland, Corner Brook, NL A2H 6P9, Canada  
e-mail: Jwu@grenfell.mun.ca

S. X. Chang  
Department of Renewable Resources, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB T6G 2E3, Canada  
e-mail: scott.chang@ualberta.ca

J. Wang

Division of Forestry and Natural Resources, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA  
e-mail: jxwang@wvu.edu

X. Zhu

Baotianman Natural Reserve Administration, Neixiang 474350, Henan, People's Republic of China  
e-mail: zhutb110@hotmail.com

K. Liu

Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences, ELL-108, 800 Commissioners Road, London, ON N6A 5W9, Canada  
e-mail: kuan.liu@ices.on.ca

and  $Q_{10}$  under warming conditions. Transfer of soil monoliths from the low to the high-elevation site (simulating cooling) substantially (30 %) reduced soil  $\text{CO}_2$  flux ( $383 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ 13 months}^{-1}$ , 2.5 % of total C) compared with those incubated in situ ( $550 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ 13 months}^{-1}$ , 3.5 % of total C). However, this was not accompanied by consistently opposite changes, to a similar extent, in labile organic C (microbial biomass carbon and  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4\text{-C}$ ) and decomposition results (i.e.,  $Q_{10}$  and  $R_{10}$ , soil respiration at  $10^\circ\text{C}$ ), indicating that the soil organic matter decomposition process may not respond equally to cooling and warming. Different soil organic matter decomposition responses to cooling and warming should be considered for paleoecological modeling when both warming and cooling are involved in the changes in regional and global climates, particularly during the Holocene.

**Keywords** Climate warming · Simulated cooling ·  $\text{CO}_2$  flux ·  $Q_{10}$  · Soil organic carbon decomposition · Microbial metabolic quotient

## Introduction

The decomposition of organic matter (OM) is a fundamental global biogeochemical process, because it is important in the recycling of nutrients between soil and plant communities. Changes in the rates of OM decomposition can have profound effects on ecosystem function and productivity (Salinas et al. 2011). Because the soil carbon (C) pool is much larger than the atmospheric C pool, even a small change in the rate of decomposition of the large soil organic C pool could have a significant effect on atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations (Bottner et al. 2000). However, soil OM decomposition (Davidson and Janssens 2006) and microbial population size and functional group composition (Zhang et al. 2005; Zogg et al. 1997) are strongly temperature dependent. Therefore, an increase in the global mean annual temperature of  $1.5\text{--}4.5^\circ\text{C}$  over the next 50–100 years is likely to have a substantial effect on the terrestrial ecosystem C cycle (IPCC 2007). Understanding the response of soil OM decomposition to climate change is a critical aspect of ecosystem responses to global changes (Conant et al. 2011).

A large number of warming experiments have been conducted to elucidate the effects of global warming on soil OM decomposition (Hopkins et al. 2012; Knorr et al. 2005; Luo et al. 2001; Melillo et al. 2002). However, the possibility of a cooler and wetter future climate is rarely considered in global climate change research, although rapid cooling events have occurred during the Holocene (Mayle and Cwynar 1995) and seasonal cooling is also frequently a part of the inter-annual variability of the climate (Brando et al. 2010; Le Barbé et al. 2002; Liang et al. 2011). It is still not clear whether or not this opposite process (i.e., cooling) will have an effect on soil OM decomposition opposite to that of warming.

Several field experimental approaches have been used to investigate potential effects of global warming on the ecosystem, for example field warming using external heat inputs (Luo et al. 2001; Melillo et al. 2002), observing differences in ecosystems along natural climatic gradients (Conant et al. 2000; Rodeghiero and Cescatti 2005), or transferring ecosystem components to a new site with different climatic conditions (Breeuwer et al. 2010; Hart 2006; Link et al. 2003; Rey et al. 2007). Especially, reciprocal treatment (simulating climate cooling) to that of warming can strengthen the conclusions drawn from warming treatment in which temperature has a dominant effect on soil–plant processes (Hart and Perry 1999). Reciprocal soil translocation experiments have been widely used to understand the potential effects of climate change on C and nitrogen (N) cycles (Hart 2006; Hart and Perry 1999; Link et al. 2003; Rey et al. 2007; Zimmermann et al. 2009) and soil microbial communities (Budge et al. 2011).

The Baotianman Nature Reserve in Henan Province, China, is located in the transitional region between the northern subtropics and the warm-temperate zone. Previous research in this region revealed that the seasonality of soil temperature was the main condition affecting seasonal variation of soil  $\text{CO}_2$  flux (Luan et al. 2011a); its effect was even larger than that of soil moisture availability (Luan et al. 2012). This is a region in which the effects of climate change are expected to be substantial but the effect of warming on soil OM decomposition is poorly understood. The reserve has elevation range in excess of 1,400 meters with a  $3\text{--}5^\circ\text{C}$  difference in soil temperature between low and high-elevation sites. We used the altitude gradient along the slopes of the

Baotianman Nature Reserve to conduct a reciprocal soil monolith translocation experiment to simulate temperature changes (i.e., warming and cooling). We hypothesized that both warming and cooling (with the same extent of temperature change) will affect soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux, labile organic C, and decomposition behavior, and that changes in labile organic C could explain the effect of soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux caused by simulated climate change. Our specific objectives were to: (1) investigate the response of soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux to reciprocal soil monolith transfer treatments; and (2) assess how labile organic C and decomposition parameters (i.e., soil respiration at 10 °C,  $R_{10}$ ; temperature sensitivity of soil OM decomposition,  $Q_{10}$ ; microbial metabolic quotient,  $qCO_2$ ) respond to simulated cooling as compared with simulated warming treatments.

## Materials and methods

### Study sites

Two sites (a high-elevation site and a low-elevation site) located in the Baotianman Natural Reserve in Henan Province, China, were selected to conduct the reciprocal transfer experiment. The high-elevation site (33°29′51″N, 111°55′58″E) was located approximately 1,400 m.a.s.l., with a slope <8 %. The site was occupied by secondary forest regenerated from a clear-cut harvest approximately 50 years ago, with *Quercus aliena* var. *acuteserrata* as the dominant species. In addition to the dominant species, other abundant tree species include *Carpinus cordata*, *Cornus controversa* Hemsl., and *Tilia americana*. Annual average precipitation and air temperature measured at a nearby weather station were 900 mm and 15.1 °C, respectively. Precipitation mainly falls from June to August. Soils were dominated by a Ferric Luvisols, on the basis of the FAO system of soil classification (FAO 1990). The low-elevation site (33°28′55″N, 111°52′52.8″E) was located at approximately 620 m.a.s.l., with a slope <10 %. The forest at this site was regenerated from a clear-cut harvest approximately 30 years ago. The dominant species at this site was *Quercus variabilis* B. Other abundant tree species include *C. controversa* Hemsl., *Toxicodendron vernicifluum* (Stokes) F. A. Barkl., and *Quercus serrata* var. *brevipetiolata* (A. DC.) Nakai. Annual average precipitation and air temperature were ca. 800 mm and 19.8 °C, respectively. Precipitation mainly occurs from

June to August. Soils were dominated by a Chromic Luvisol, on the basis of the FAO system of soil classification (FAO 1990). The basic stand attributes of the two sites are summarized in Table 1.

### Experimental design

In July 2008, eight 3 × 3 m plots were established randomly at both the high and low-elevation sites. Three intact soil monoliths (30 cm diameter, 40 cm deep from the surface of the O horizon) were collected from adjacent locations within each plot, with the least possible disturbance, by use of PVC cylinders. The first monolith collected was returned to the laboratory for processing, the second monolith was returned to its original location within the site (in-situ soil monolith, IS<sub>HE</sub>: high-elevation soil monoliths incubated in situ; IS<sub>LE</sub>: low-elevation soil monoliths incubated in situ), and the third monolith was transferred to the other forest site for incubation (transferred soil monolith, TR<sub>H-L</sub>: a soil monolith transferred from the high to the low-elevation site; TR<sub>L-H</sub>: a soil monolith transferred from the low to the high-elevation site). At each site, four 1 × 1 m plots trenched to 0.6 m depth on the perimeter were established to check on the soil coring effect (trenched). One PVC collar (19.6 cm in diameter) was installed permanently in each soil monolith (centric avoiding edge effect) or trenched plot. Because one of the soil monoliths to be transferred from the low to the high-elevation site was lost during the translocation process, this experimental design had eight replicates for the high-elevation site and seven replicates for the low-elevation site, per treatment (incubated in situ or transferred) and four replicates for the trenching treatment. Vegetation (grasses and saplings) which occasionally grew in the monolith at the beginning of and during the experiment was manually removed. The soil water content of the monoliths incubated in the high-elevation site was much higher than that of those incubated in the low-elevation site. Therefore, we conducted a precipitation exclusion experiment at the high-elevation site for both the IS<sub>HE</sub> ( $n = 4$ ) and TR<sub>L-H</sub> ( $n = 3$ ) treatments to further investigate the effect of soil water content on soil CO<sub>2</sub> emission, starting from August 15, 2009. Translucent roofs, each 3 × 3 m, were constructed and placed 1.6 m above the soil monoliths to exclude the rainfall.

**Table 1** Stand characteristics and basic soil properties of the high and low-elevation sites used for the reciprocal soil monolith transfer experiment in Baotianman National Nature Reserve

Site	DBH (cm)	Density (trees ha <sup>-1</sup> )	LAI (cm <sup>2</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> )	BD (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	SOC (g C kg <sup>-1</sup> soil)	TN (g N kg <sup>-1</sup> soil)	C:N (g g <sup>-1</sup> )	pH
High-elevation	13.72 <sup>a</sup> (1.09)	1833 <sup>a</sup> (89)	2.81 <sup>a</sup> (0.08)	0.76 <sup>a</sup> (0.02)	63.47 <sup>a</sup> (3.59)	4.36 <sup>a</sup> (0.23)	14.56 <sup>a</sup> (0.18)	5.03 <sup>a</sup> (0.11)
Low-elevation	5.46 <sup>b</sup> (0.26)	3900 <sup>b</sup> (141)	4.25 <sup>b</sup> (0.11)	1.17 <sup>b</sup> (0.05)	33.11 <sup>b</sup> (4.36)	2.16 <sup>b</sup> (0.25)	15.55 <sup>a</sup> (0.76)	5.43 <sup>a</sup> (0.16)

Values in parentheses are SE. Different lowercase letters in each column indicate a significant difference at  $P = 0.05$  level  
*DBH* diameter at breast height, *LAI* leaf area index, *BD* bulk density, *SOC* soil organic carbon, *TN* total nitrogen, *C:N* carbon to nitrogen ratio, *pH* pH value

### Soil respiration, temperature, and moisture content

Soil respiration was measured from September 2008 to November 2009, by use of an Li-8100 soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux system (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE, USA) for each soil monolith. Soil temperature at 5 cm depth from the soil surface ( $T_5$ ) was measured adjacent to each respiration collar with a portable temperature probe provided with the Li-8100. Soil volumetric water content at 0–5 cm depth (SWC) was measured by use of an MPKit-B portable time domain reflectometer soil moisture meter (NTZT, Nantong, China) at three points close to each collar. Measurements were made twice a month, but no measurements were made in December 2008 and January and February 2009 because the ground was covered with snow.

### Soil and forest stand characteristics

Soil bulk density, organic C, total N, and pH were determined for the initial samples collected in July 2008 ( $n = 8$ ). Soil bulk density every 20 cm for the 40-cm-long monoliths was determined by use of 100 mL (50.46 mm diameter, 50 mm high,  $n = 3$ ) sampling corers and placing intact samples in an oven at 105 °C for 24 h to determine soil water content. Organic C content was determined by the wet oxidation method with 133 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> K<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> and digestion at 170–180 °C. Soil N concentration was determined by a micro-Kjeldahl method (DK-152 Kjeltec Auto Analyzer; VELP, Italy) (Lu 2000).

At the end of the study period (August 29, 2010), soil samples were collected from the 0–15 cm layer of all monoliths, by use of a 2.54 cm diameter corer, to determine soil microbial biomass C (MBC) and K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-extractable C (K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-C) after 13 months of treatment. Three cores were taken from each monolith and mixed to form a composite sample. The samples were kept in a cooler and delivered immediately to the laboratory for analysis. The samples were kept at 4 °C in a refrigerator before determination of MBC by use of the chloroform fumigation–extraction method; MBC was calculated by dividing the fumigation C-flush by a  $K_{EC}$  factor of 0.45 (Sparling et al. 1990). Each sample was extracted with 0.5 mol L<sup>-1</sup> potassium sulfate (K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) to determine K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-C, as described by Weintraub et al. (2007).

Tree diameter at breast height (DBH) was measured 1.3 m from the ground for each tree in both sites. Leaf

area index (LAI) was measured in August 2009 along a 25 m transect in each stand, by use of WinSCAN-OPY (Regent Instruments, Quebec, Canada).

### Data analysis

Numerous equations have been developed to express the temperature sensitivity of respiration (Kirschbaum 2000; Janssens and Pilegaard 2003). We first used the most common expression (Davidson et al. 2006), the van't Hoff equation (Eq. 1), to describe the relationship between soil respiration ( $R_S$ ) and soil temperature at 5 cm depth ( $T_5$ ):

$$R_S = ae^{bT_5}, \quad (1)$$

where  $R_S$  is soil respiration rate,  $T_5$  is the soil temperature at 5 cm depth,  $a$  and  $b$  are fitted variables, and  $R_{10}$  is defined as the respiration rate at 10 °C. The temperature-sensitivity variable,  $Q_{10}$ , was calculated by use of the equation:

$$Q_{10} = e^{10b}. \quad (2)$$

We then used the Lloyd and Taylor (1994) function:

$$R_S = a \times e^{-E_0/(T_5-T_0)}, \quad (3)$$

where  $a$ ,  $E_0$ , and  $T_0$  are fitted variables, and  $T_5$  is the soil temperature at 5 cm depth.

It has been suggested that this function is a better and unbiased expression of the relationship between respiration and soil temperature than the standard Arrhenius function (Fang and Moncrieff 2001) for fitting measured soil respiration rates and soil temperature data. Temperature sensitivity, as expressed by  $Q_{10}$  values, was then calculated by comparing respiration rates at 5 °C above and below the site specific mean annual temperature ( $T_5$ ), as described in Zimmermann et al. (2010):

$$Q_{10} = R_{T_5+5}/R_{T_5-5}. \quad (4)$$

Soil microbial respiration (the total soil respiration reported in this study, because vegetation was excluded so there was no autotrophic respiration in our monoliths) and soil microbial biomass was used to calculate the microbial metabolic quotient ( $qCO_2$ ), which is the amount of  $CO_2$ -C produced per unit of microbial biomass carbon (Anderson and Domsch 1993; Wardle and Ghani 1995).


Comparisons of soil monoliths collected from the high-elevation site and incubated in situ with those transferred and incubated at the low-elevation site were made to assess the potential effects of global warming on soil pools and processes. The soil monoliths collected from the low-elevation site and incubated in situ was compared with those transferred to the high-elevation site to evaluate the simulated cooling effect on these pools and processes. Comparison of measurements made on soil monoliths incubated in situ with those made on ambient trenched soil was used to test the potential effect of soil containment on soil microclimate and on  $CO_2$  flux at each site.

A repeated-measures general linear model (GLM) was used to evaluate the effects of the treatments (ambient trenched, in situ, and transferred) on  $R_S$ , SWC, and  $T_5$ . One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to evaluate the effects of the treatments (in situ, transferred) on MBC and  $K_2SO_4$ -C measured at the end of the study period, and  $R_{10}$ ,  $Q_{10}$ , and  $qCO_2$  values. General linear models were used to assess the effect of soil origin, incubation site, and their interaction effects. Linear regression analysis was performed between  $CO_2$  flux (average between August 13 and September 17, 2009, which were the days closest to the soil sampling day for  $K_2SO_4$ -C and MBC analysis) and  $K_2SO_4$ -C and MBC, and between  $Q_{10}$  values and  $qCO_2$ . All statistical analysis was performed by use of SPSS 13.0 software for Windows (SPSS, Chicago, USA).

## Results

### Soil micro-environment

$T_5$ , measured at the same time as  $CO_2$  flux, was higher (a warming effect) for  $TR_{H-L}$  (mean = 16.54 °C) than for  $IS_{HE}$  (13.27 °C) ( $P < 0.01$ , Fig. 1a) and lower (a cooling effect) for  $TR_{L-H}$  (13.31 °C) than for  $IS_{LE}$  (16.99 °C) ( $P < 0.01$ , Fig. 1b). SWC was lower for  $TR_{H-L}$  (0.23  $cm^3 cm^{-3}$ ) than for  $IS_{HE}$  (0.27  $cm^3 cm^{-3}$ ) ( $P < 0.01$ , Fig. 1c) but higher for  $TR_{L-H}$  (0.27  $cm^3 cm^{-3}$ ) than for  $IS_{LE}$  (0.24  $cm^3 cm^{-3}$ ) ( $P < 0.01$ , Fig. 1d), indicating wetter conditions in the high-elevation site than in the low-elevation site.  $T_5$  for trenched and in-situ treatments for both sites was no different (Fig. 1a, b), and we did not find



**Fig. 1** Seasonal patterns of soil temperature at 5 cm depth ( $T_5$ ) and soil water content (SWC) among different treatments for the high and low-elevation sites. Soils were subjected to three treatments: transferred (soil monoliths incubated at the other forest site), in-situ (soil monoliths incubated in situ), and trenched (trenched to exclude root growth). The difference between transferred and in situ measurements is plotted as bars.


significant differences between SWC for in-situ and trenched treatments at the low-elevation site (Fig. 1d). No clear seasonal trend was observed for  $T_5$  or SWC differences between the transferred and in-situ treatments for monoliths from high and low-elevation sites (Fig. 1a–d).

For a given soil sample, treatments followed by different superscript letters denote significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ) among treatments (RM ANOVA, Tukey's test). Vertical bars denote SE of the mean ( $n = 8$  or  $7$  for high or low-elevation sites, respectively). Net CO<sub>2</sub> flux from soils for e high and f low-elevation oak forest sites. Vertical bars denote SE of the mean ( $n = 8$  or  $7$  for high or low-elevation sites, respectively)

## CO<sub>2</sub> flux

Transfer of soil monoliths from the high to the low-elevation site resulted in an increase in CO<sub>2</sub> flux of approximately 44 % over the 13-month incubation period compared with IS<sub>HE</sub> (911 and 633 g C m<sup>-2</sup>

**Fig. 2** Relationships between soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux and soil temperature at 5 cm depth for soil monoliths originally from **a** the high-elevation site and **b** the low-elevation site. Relationships between soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux and soil water content for soil monoliths originally from **c** the high-elevation site and **d** the low-elevation site



13 months<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). Transfer of soil monoliths from the low to the high-elevation site, however, resulted in a decrease in net CO<sub>2</sub> flux of approximately 30 % compared with  $IS_{LE}$  (383 and 550 g C m<sup>-2</sup> 13 months<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). Soil containment had no effect on net CO<sub>2</sub> flux from soil monoliths at the high-elevation site, whereas a reduction was found at the low-elevation site (Fig. 1e, f). The difference between soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux for the transferred and in-situ treatments for both the high and low-elevation sites had similar seasonal patterns to those of soil temperature (Fig. 1e, f).  $T_5$  is a good indicator for explaining the seasonal variation of CO<sub>2</sub> flux in the transferred and in-situ treatments for both the high and low-elevation sites ( $R^2 = 0.84\text{--}0.89$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ; Fig. 2a, b), whereas SWC had no relationship with seasonal variations of CO<sub>2</sub> flux for each treatment (Fig. 2c, d). Exclusion of precipitation led to a rapid decrease of SWC and soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux, which were significantly different between the control and the precipitation exclusion treatments (Fig. 3).

#### Results for soil decomposition and labile organic C


Transfer of soil monoliths from the high to the low-elevation site reduced soil MBC and increased  $Q_{10}$

values (calculated on the basis of both the van't Hoff and Lloyd and Taylor equations),  $K_2\text{SO}_4\text{-C}$ , and  $q\text{CO}_2$  compared with the  $IS_{HE}$  treatment ( $P < 0.05$ , Table 2). In contrast, transferring soil monoliths from the low to the high-elevation site did not affect  $Q_{10}$ ,  $K_2\text{SO}_4\text{-C}$ , and MBC (Table 2). Soil origin significantly affected  $K_2\text{SO}_4\text{-C}$ , MBC, and  $R_{10}$ , but not  $Q_{10}$  values (calculated on the basis of both the van't Hoff and Lloyd and Taylor equations) and  $q\text{CO}_2$  (Table 3). In contrast, incubation site significantly affected  $Q_{10}$ , MBC, and  $q\text{CO}_2$  (Table 3). Significant linear relationships were found between  $q\text{CO}_2$  and  $Q_{10}$  values calculated by use of both the van't Hoff and Lloyd and Taylor equations (Fig. 4).

## Discussion

### Soil micro-environment

In our study, significant exponential relationships between soil respiration and soil temperature (Fig. 2a, b) and lack of relationships between soil respiration and soil moisture content (Fig. 2c, d) illustrate that soil temperature was the dominant factor regulating soil organic matter decomposition in the forest ecosystems



**Fig. 3** Seasonal pattern of soil water content (a) and soil respiration (b) in control and precipitation exclusion treatments for high-elevation soil monoliths incubated *in situ* (bars denote SE of the mean,  $n = 4$ ). Seasonal pattern of soil water content (c) and soil respiration (d) in control and precipitation exclusion

treatments for low-elevation soil monoliths incubated at the high-elevation site (bars denote SE of the mean,  $n = 3$ ). \* and \*\* denote significantly different SWC or  $R_s$  between the control and precipitation exclusion treatments at  $P < 0.05$  and  $P < 0.01$ , respectively

studied, similar to previous studies of those ecosystems (Luan et al. 2011a, b). Therefore, the appreciable response of soil respiration to the translocation treatment (Table 2) was mainly caused by changes in soil temperature rather than soil moisture content, even though there was a significant treatment effect on soil moisture content (Fig. 1c, d). In this study, SWC ranged between 0.15 and 0.35  $\text{cm}^3 \text{cm}^{-3}$  (except on July 4th when it dropped below 0.10  $\text{cm}^3 \text{cm}^{-3}$ ), which was likely to be within the optimum range for soil microbial activity (Luan et al. 2012). In our precipitation exclusion experiment,  $\text{CO}_2$  flux decreased after the SWC dropped to a critically low value (e.g., 0.15  $\text{cm}^3 \text{cm}^{-3}$ ; Fig. 3). These results further illustrate that soil temperature change had a crucial effect on responses of soil organic matter decomposition to the transfer treatment. In addition, the lack of containment effects on soil temperature in either the high or low-elevation sites indicates that we can exclude the containment effect on soil respiration

from our analysis, enabling us to conduct the following more detailed analyses.

### Soil $\text{CO}_2$ flux

Our hypothesis that both warming and cooling affect soil  $\text{CO}_2$  flux is supported by the results of this study (Table 2). Soils in the high-elevation site will be vulnerable to large C losses under future warming conditions. Simulated warming led to 44 % more C being released as  $\text{CO}_2$  whereas simulated cooling led to 30 % less C being released as  $\text{CO}_2$  during the 13 months incubation, indicative of different effects of cooling and warming of the soils studied. Different soil characteristics between the high and low-elevation sites (Table 1) might have led to the different responses. Higher  $q\text{CO}_2$  in the warmer site (Table 2) might also explain the increase of  $\text{CO}_2$  flux, as a positive linear relationship was found between  $q\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{CO}_2$  flux, irrespective of soil origin and incubation



**Table 2** Reciprocal soil monolith transfer effects on soil respiration rates at 10 °C ( $R_{10}$ ),  $Q_{10}$ ,  $K_2SO_4$  extractable C, microbial biomass C (MBC), and microbial metabolic quotient $(qCO_2)$  of the high and low-elevation sites in Baotianman National Nature Reserve

Soil treatment	$CO_2$ g C m <sup>-2</sup> 13 months <sup>-1</sup>	$R_{10}$ $\mu$ mol CO <sub>2</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	van't Hoff $Q_{10}$	Lloyd and Taylor $Q_{10}$	$K_2SO_4$ C mg g <sup>-1</sup> soil	MBC mg g <sup>-1</sup> soil	$qCO_2$ $\mu$ g CO <sub>2</sub> h <sup>-1</sup> $\mu$ g microbial C <sup>-1</sup>
High-elevation soil							
In situ (IS <sub>HE</sub> )	633 <sup>a</sup> (34)	1.12 <sup>a</sup> (0.05)	2.73 <sup>a</sup> (0.46)	2.72 <sup>a</sup> (0.19)	0.23 <sup>a</sup> (0.03)	1.14 <sup>a</sup> (0.48)	0.010 <sup>a</sup> (0.002)
Transferred (TR <sub>H-L</sub> )	911 <sup>b</sup> (85)	0.97 <sup>a</sup> (0.07)	3.42 <sup>b</sup> (0.48)	3.75 <sup>b</sup> (0.33)	0.27 <sup>b</sup> (0.03)	0.73 <sup>b</sup> (0.08)	0.023 <sup>b</sup> (0.003)
<i>F</i>	9.107	2.976	8.74	6.08	10.332	5.472	12.14
<i>P</i> value	0.009	0.107	0.01	0.007	0.006	0.035	0.004
Low-elevation soil							
In situ (IS <sub>LE</sub> )	550 <sup>a</sup> (23)	0.61 <sup>a</sup> (0.03)	3.10 <sup>a</sup> (0.28)	3.31 <sup>a</sup> (0.11)	0.09 <sup>a</sup> (0.04)	0.40 <sup>a</sup> (0.21)	0.019 <sup>a</sup> (0.003)
Transferred (TR <sub>L-H</sub> )	383 <sup>b</sup> (23)	0.54 <sup>a</sup> (0.06)	2.69 <sup>a</sup> (0.65)	3.03 <sup>a</sup> (0.42)	0.10 <sup>a</sup> (0.04)	0.59 <sup>a</sup> (0.19)	0.0065 <sup>b</sup> (0.0006)
<i>F</i>	22.43	1.215	2.278	0.429	0.109	3.348	16.32
<i>P</i> value	<0.001	0.292	0.157	0.525	0.746	0.092	0.002

IS<sub>HE</sub>, high-elevation soil monoliths incubated in situ; IS<sub>LE</sub>, low-elevation soil monoliths incubated in situ; TR<sub>H-L</sub>, high-elevation soil monoliths transferred to the low-elevation site; TR<sub>L-H</sub>, low-elevation soil monoliths transferred to the high-elevation site

Values in parentheses are SE. Different lowercase letters within each column indicate significant difference at  $P = 0.05$  level

site ( $CO_2$  flux =  $399.6 + 14081 \times qCO_2$ ,  $R^2 = 0.33$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ).

Interestingly,  $CO_2$  flux rates were similar for the two soils in their initial elevations even though there were apparent differences in soil characteristics (i.e., lower SOC,  $K_2SO_4$ -C and MBC at the low-elevation site, Table 2). In addition, if we do not consider the direction of soil monolith transfer, both high and low-elevation soils had 44 % greater  $CO_2$  flux when they were incubated in the low-elevation site than those incubated in the high-elevation site, indicating that the temperature difference between the two sites determined the different  $CO_2$  flux rates. In combination with higher soil C stock at the higher-elevation site (Table 1), similar  $CO_2$  flux rate between both soils incubated in situ implies that more C would be stored in the soil at the high-elevation site (Zimmermann et al. 2009, 2010).

Soil heterotrophic respiration is determined by temperature and many other factors other than temperature, for example C substrate supply, microbial biomass, and climatic conditions (Davidson et al. 2006). In our study, a 3.27 °C soil temperature increase led to a 44 % increase in soil  $CO_2$  flux, which was much less than the 120 % increase reported


in a spruce–fir forest as the result of a 2.5 °C increase in soil temperature (Hart 2006). The different responses might be caused by different soil quality. For example, the soil in the Hart (2006) study had a higher C:N ratio (24.4–41) than that in our study (14.6–15.6). Increased substrate availability was also linked to the increase of  $CO_2$  flux caused by warming in our study (Fig. 5a).

The transferred soils underwent a change in air and soil temperatures, and soil  $CO_2$  flux rates. However, in our reciprocal soil-transfer experiment, the transfer of soil monoliths to either a warmer or cooler site resulted in comparably stable seasonal warming or cooling effects (soil temperature difference between transferred and in-situ treatments; Fig. 1a, b) and it was not accompanied by similar stable seasonal variation of differences in soil  $CO_2$  flux between the transferred and in-situ treatments (Fig. 1e, f). Both warming and cooling effects on soil  $CO_2$  flux were more apparent during the summer, which could be attributed to higher microbial activity in the summer when soil moisture availability was not limiting (Martin and Bolstad 2005). The effect was clearly demonstrated at the high-elevation site (Table 2) where more substrate supply occurred and substrate availability (e.g.,

**Table 3** Significance of general linear model (two-factor ANOVA) for evaluation of soil origin (i.e., high-elevation vs. low-elevation), incubation site (i.e. high-elevation vs. low-elevation) effects, and their interactions with soil decomposition conditions ( $df = 1$  for all factors)

Factor	CO <sub>2</sub>		K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> extractable C		MBC		R <sub>10</sub>		Van't Hoff Q <sub>10</sub>		Lloyd and Taylor Q <sub>10</sub>		qCO <sub>2</sub>	
	P value	F	P value	F	P value	F	P value	F	P value	F	P value	F	P value	F
Soil origin effect	0.011	7.6	<0.001	17.17	0.07	3.59	0.003	10.66	0.47	0.55	0.95	0.005	0.646	0.216
Incubation site	<0.001	17.6	0.19	1.82	0.01	7.81	0.504	0.459	0.005	9.27	0.034	5.03	0.001	14.79
Soil origin × Incubation site	0.304	1.1	0.072	3.5	0.32	1.04	0.065	3.74	0.43	0.644	0.21	1.64	0.976	0.001

C was included in the GLM as a covariate variable



**Fig. 4** Relationships between average CO<sub>2</sub> flux (samples were collected on Aug. 13 and Sept. 17 near fresh soil sampling day) and K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-C (a), and MBC (b) for soil monoliths originally from the high and low-elevation sites


K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-C) has a strong effect on soil respiration (Curiel Yuste et al. 2007; Grogan and Jonasson 2005).

#### Labile organic carbon

The significant reduction in MBC in the soil caused by the warming treatment (Table 2) was consistent with the effect of 12 years of soil warming of approximately 5 °C at the Harvard Forest (Bradford et al. 2008; Smith et al. 2004). However, the reduction in microbial biomass did not imply a reduction in substrate supply as there was a significant increase in K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-C, or a reduction in microbial activity as CO<sub>2</sub> flux increased after transfer from the high to the low-elevation site (Fig. 5a). Our results partially support the idea that the reduction in the increment of respiration rates after prolonged soil warming was caused by substrate loss (Kirschbaum 2004; Knorr et al. 2005), because the increase of CO<sub>2</sub> flux eventually reduced substrate availability. Rey et al. (2007) found that relative emissions per unit of C depended mostly on climate and there was a clear reduction of absolute emissions with decreasing labile C content. The changes in CO<sub>2</sub> flux after translocation may also be attributed to the changes in microbial metabolic quotient (Table 2) and shifts in microbial community composition (Budge et al. 2011).

In contrast, transferring soil monoliths from the low to the high-elevation site (i.e., cooling) did not result in expected changes in MBC and K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-C. It is

**Fig. 5** Relationships between  $q\text{CO}_2$  and  $Q_{10}$  values calculated on the basis of both the van't Hoff and Lloyd and Taylor equations



plausible that soils from the low-elevation site with poor labile-C and a smaller microbial population, were less responsive to the change in temperature, and required a longer time to adapt to the new (cooler) environment. We found that neither MBC nor K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-C could explain the reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> flux after transferring soil monoliths from the low to the high-elevation site (Fig. 5a, b). Therefore, only part of our hypothesis was supported—that warming will affect labile organic C and subsequently CO<sub>2</sub> flux; however, the corresponding responses did not occur in the cooling treatment, rejecting part of the hypothesis.

#### Temperature sensitivity of soil respiration

$Q_{10}$  values increased when soil monoliths were transferred from the high to the low-elevation site (Table 2) and were affected by incubation site but not by soil origin (Table 3), indicating a higher risk of C loss under future warming conditions. We found a significant linear relationship between  $Q_{10}$  values and  $q\text{CO}_2$  (Fig. 4), suggesting a connection between temperature sensitivity of soil organic matter decomposition and soil microbial function under warming, because  $q\text{CO}_2$  is a useful measure of microbial efficiency in conserving C (Wardle and Ghani 1995). Soil microbes that were adapted to the higher elevation (lower temperature) reduced their biomass and increased  $q\text{CO}_2$  when the soil was transferred to the lower-elevation (higher-temperature) site. A moderate shift of microbial communities toward new environmental conditions 11 years after soil translocation was observed by Budge et al. (2011). The increased  $Q_{10}$  values with warming might also be linked to the greater amount of respired biochemically recalcitrant

SOM, which has greater temperature sensitivity (Craine et al. 2010). It was very likely that labile C would drastically decline at the end of the warming experiment, forcing microbes to rely on more recalcitrant soil C.

In contrast,  $Q_{10}$  and  $R_{10}$  were not affected by transferring soil monoliths from the low to the high-elevation site (Table 2), suggesting that the temperature reduction might be within the range of tolerance limits for normal microbial activity, or the phenomenon represented a chronic response (or acclimation, adaptation) of microbial community to cooling. The fact that soils from the high and low-elevation sites differ in their properties, for example soil C and N content, could also cause the different warming and cooling effects observed. However, we did not find significant effect of soil origin on both  $Q_{10}$  and  $q\text{CO}_2$  (Table 3). Therefore,  $Q_{10}$  was mainly affected by transfer of the soils. Although different methods used to calculate  $Q_{10}$  might lead to different results (Zimmermann et al. 2009),  $Q_{10}$  calculated by use of both the van't Hoff (the most commonly used equation) and the Lloyd and Taylor (suggested to give an unbiased estimate of  $Q_{10}$ ) equations had consistent responses to the treatments in our study.

The  $Q_{10}$  calculation can be affected by the range of temperature considered (Kätterer et al. 1998; Paz-Ferreiro et al. 2012). However, the positive warming effect on  $Q_{10}$  in our study was apparently not caused by the different temperature range, because lower temperature sensitivity were estimated at higher temperatures (Kätterer et al. 1998). The positive warming effects on  $Q_{10}$  values must be further studied, because this translocation experiment was conducted for one year only. It is reported that a sustained temperature increase may reduce the

temperature sensitivity of soil OM decomposition (Craine et al. 2013). Therefore, the response of soil OM decomposition to warming might be a nonlinear process, along with depletion of soil labile carbon (Bradford et al. 2008) and the adaptation of microbes to the new environment (Bradford et al. 2008; Crowther and Bradford 2013; Luo et al. 2001). The acclimation or adaptation of microbes to warming has been widely reported (Luo et al. 2001; Bradford et al. 2008), and it is important to understand microbial response to cooling, with implications for modeling of paleoclimate (e.g., Holocene), particularly during periods of regional or global cooling. Further research is needed to broaden our understanding of possible mechanisms causing different responses to cooling and warming.

## Conclusions

The simulated warming treatment (transfer of soils from the high to the low-elevation site) altered soil OM decomposition processes, including increased soil surface CO<sub>2</sub> flux and labile organic C (i.e., K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-C) production. Therefore, a large amount of soil C loss occurred in the early stage of warming and such C losses were linked to the increased extractable organic C (K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-C) supply under warming. The simulated cooling reduced CO<sub>2</sub> flux, but the extent of decrease in C loss (30 %) was lower than the extent of increase in C loss (44 %) caused by simulated warming. Furthermore, cooling did not cause the expected opposite changes in labile organic C and decomposition behavior. We therefore conclude that cooling and warming had different effects on soil organic C processes. Such different effects should be taken into consideration in paleoecological modeling when both warming and cooling are involved in changes in the regional and global climate.

**Acknowledgments** We gratefully acknowledge the support of Yin Wu, Ye Tian, Xinfang Yang, Xiaojing Liu, Song Yao, and Jiguo Liu of the Baotianman National Nature Reserve for their assistance in field monitoring and sampling. We are grateful to professor Pu Mu of Beijing Normal University and the three anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments on an earlier version of the manuscript. This study was jointly funded by China's National Natural Science Foundation (No. 31290223), the Ministry of Finance (No. 201104006, 201404201), the Ministry of Science and Technology (2012BAD22B01), and CFERN and GENE Award Funds on Ecological Paper.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

## References

- Anderson T-H, Domsch KH (1993) The metabolic quotient for CO<sub>2</sub> ( $qCO_2$ ) as a specific activity parameter to assess the effects of environmental conditions, such as pH, on the microbial biomass of forest soils. *Soil Biol Biochem* 25(3):393–395
- Bottner P, Couteaux M-M, Anderson JM, Berg B, Billes G, Bolger T, Casabianca H, Romanya J, Rovira P (2000) Decomposition of <sup>13</sup>C-labelled plant material in a European 65–40° latitudinal transect of coniferous forest soils: simulation of climate change by translocation of soils. *Soil Biol Biochem* 32(4):527–543
- Bradford MA, Davies CA, Frey SD, Maddox TR, Melillo JM, Mohan JE, Reynolds JF, Treseder KK, Wallenstein MD (2008) Thermal adaptation of soil microbial respiration to elevated temperature. *Ecol Lett* 11(12):1316–1327
- Brando PM, Goetz SJ, Baccini A, Nepstad DC, Beck PSA, Christman MC (2010) Seasonal and interannual variability of climate and vegetation indices across the Amazon. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107:14685–14690
- Breeuwer A, Heijmans M, Robroek B, Berendse F (2010) Field simulation of global change: transplanting northern bog mesocosms southward. *Ecosystems* 13(5):712–726
- Budge K, Leifeld J, Egli M, Fuhrer J (2011) Soil microbial communities in (sub)alpine grasslands indicate a moderate shift towards new environmental conditions 11 years after soil translocation. *Soil Biol Biochem* 43(6):1148–1154
- Conant RT, Klopatek JM, Klopatek CC (2000) Environmental factors controlling soil respiration in three semiarid ecosystems. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 64(1):383–390
- Conant RT, Ryan MG, Ågren GI, Birge HE, Davidson EA, Eliasson PE, Evans SE, Frey SD, Giardina CP, Hopkins FM, Hyvönen R, Kirschbaum MUF, Lavallee JM, Leifeld J, Parton WJ, Megan Steinweg J, Wallenstein MD, Martin Wetterstedt JÅ, Bradford MA (2011) Temperature and soil organic matter decomposition rates—synthesis of current knowledge and a way forward. *Glob Change Biol* 17(11):3392–3404
- Craine J, Fierer N, McLauchlan K, Elmore A (2013) Reduction of the temperature sensitivity of soil organic matter decomposition with sustained temperature increase. *Biogeochemistry* 113(1–3):359–368
- Craine JM, Fierer N, McLauchlan KK (2010) Widespread coupling between the rate and temperature sensitivity of organic matter decay. *Nat Geosci* 3(12):854–857
- Crowther TW, Bradford MA (2013) Thermal acclimation in widespread heterotrophic soil microbes. *Ecol Lett* 16(4):469–477
- Curriel Yuste J, Baldocchi DD, Gershenson A, Goldstein A, Misson L, Wong S (2007) Microbial soil respiration and its dependency on carbon inputs, soil temperature and moisture. *Glob Change Biol* 13(9):2018–2035

- Davidson EA, Janssens IA (2006) Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and feedbacks to climate change. *Nature* 440:165–173
- Davidson EA, Janssens IA, Luo Y (2006) On the variability of respiration in terrestrial ecosystems: moving beyond  $Q_{10}$ . *Glob Change Biol* 12(2):154–164
- Fang C, Moncrieff JB (2001) The dependence of soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux on temperature. *Soil Biol Biochem* 33(2):155–165
- FAO (1990) FAO-UNESCO Soil Map of the World, vol VIII. FAO, Paris
- Grogan P, Jonasson S (2005) Temperature and substrate controls on intra-annual variation in ecosystem respiration in two subarctic vegetation types. *Glob Change Biol* 11(3):465–475
- Hart SC (2006) Potential impacts of climate change on nitrogen transformations and greenhouse gas fluxes in forests: a soil transfer study. *Glob Change Biol* 12(6):1032–1046
- Hart SC, Perry DA (1999) Transferring soils from high- to low-elevation forests increases nitrogen cycling rates: climate change implications. *Glob Change Biol* 5(1):23–32
- Hopkins FM, Torn MS, Trumbore SE (2012) Warming accelerates decomposition of decades-old carbon in forest soils. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 109(26):E1753–E1761. doi:10.1073/pnas.1120603109
- IPCC (2007) Summary for policymakers. In: Solomon S, Qin D, Manning M, Chen Z, Marquis M, Averyt KB, Tignor M, Miller HL (eds) *Climate change 2007: The physical science basis. Contribution of working group I to the fourth assessment report of the Intergovernmental panel on climate change*, Cambridge university press, Cambridge, New York
- Janssens IA, Pilegaard KIM (2003) Large seasonal changes in  $Q_{10}$  of soil respiration in a beech forest. *Glob Change Biol* 9(6):911–918
- Kätterer T, Reichstein M, Andrén O, Lomander A (1998) Temperature dependence of organic matter decomposition: a critical review using literature data analyzed with different models. *Biol Fertil Soils* 27(3):258–262
- Kirschbaum MUF (2000) Will changes in soil organic carbon act as a positive or negative feedback on global warming? *Biogeochemistry* 48:21–51
- Kirschbaum MUF (2004) Soil respiration under prolonged soil warming: are rate reductions caused by acclimation or substrate loss? *Glob Change Biol* 10(11):1870–1877
- Knorr W, Prentice IC, House JI, Holland EA (2005) Long-term sensitivity of soil carbon turnover to warming. *Nature* 433(7023):298–301
- Le Barbé L, Lebel T, Tapsoba D (2002) Rainfall variability in West Africa during the Years 1950–90. *J Clim* 15(2):187–202
- Liang CK, Eldering A, Gettelman A, Tian B, Wong S, Fetzer EJ, Liou KN (2011) Record of tropical interannual variability of temperature and water vapor from a combined AIRS-MLS data set. *J Geophys Res* 116:D06103
- Link SO, Smith JL, Halvorson JJ, Bolton H (2003) A reciprocal transplant experiment within a climatic gradient in a semiarid shrub-steppe ecosystem: effects on bunchgrass growth and reproduction, soil carbon, and soil nitrogen. *Glob Change Biol* 9(7):1097–1105
- Lloyd J, Taylor JA (1994) On the temperature dependence of soil respiration. *Funct Ecol* 8:315–323
- Lu R (2000) *Soil and agricultural chemistry analysis methods (in chinese)*. Chinese Agricultural Scientific and Technology Press, Beijing
- Luan J, Liu S, Wang J, Zhu X, Shi Z (2011a) Rhizospheric and heterotrophic respiration of a warm-temperate oak chronosequence in China. *Soil Biol Biochem* 43(3):503–512
- Luan J, Liu S, Zhu X, Wang J (2011b) Soil carbon stocks and fluxes in a warm-temperate oak chronosequence in China. *Plant Soil* 347(1):243–253
- Luan J, Liu S, Zhu X, Wang J, Liu K (2012) Roles of biotic and abiotic variables in determining spatial variation of soil respiration in secondary oak and planted pine forests. *Soil Biol Biochem* 44(1):143–150
- Luo Y, Wan S, Hui D, Wallace LL (2001) Acclimatization of soil respiration to warming in a tall grass prairie. *Nature* 413(6856):622–625
- Martin JG, Bolstad PV (2005) Annual soil respiration in broadleaf forests of northern Wisconsin: influence of moisture and site biological, chemical, and physical characteristics. *Biogeochemistry* 73(1):149–182
- Mayle FE, Cwynar LC (1995) Impact of the younger dryas cooling event upon lowland vegetation of maritime Canada. *Ecol Monogr* 65(2):129–154
- Melillo JM, Steudler PA, Aber JD, Newkirk K, Lux H, Bowles FP, Catricala C, Magill A, Ahrens T, Morrisseau S (2002) Soil warming and carbon-cycle feedbacks to the climate system. *Science* 298(5601):2173–2176
- Paz-Ferreiro J, Medina-Roldán E, Ostle NJ, McNamara NP, Bardgett RD (2012) Grazing increases the temperature sensitivity of soil organic matter decomposition in a temperate grassland. *Environ Res Lett* 7(1):014027
- Rey M, Guntinas E, Gil-Sotres F, LeirOs MC, Trasar-Cepeda C (2007) Translocation of soils to stimulate climate change: CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and modifications to soil organic matter. *Eur J Soil Sci* 58(6):1233–1243
- Rodeghiero M, Cescatti A (2005) Main determinants of forest soil respiration along an elevation/temperature gradient in the Italian Alps. *Glob Change Biol* 11(7):1024–1041
- Salinas N, Malhi Y, Meir P, Silman M, Roman Cuesta R, Human J, Salinas D, Huaman V, Gibaja A, Mamani M, Farfan F (2011) The sensitivity of tropical leaf litter decomposition to temperature: results from a large-scale leaf translocation experiment along an elevation gradient in Peruvian forests. *New phytol* 189(4):967–977
- Smith H, Frey S, Knorr M (2004) Microbial responses to soil warming: Harvard University LTER and NIGEC Programs. In: Abstracts from the 15th annual harvard forest ecology symposium
- Sparling GP, Feltham CW, Reynolds J, West AW, Singleton P (1990) Estimation of soil microbial c by a fumigation-extraction method: use on soils of high organic matter content, and a reassessment of the kec-factor. *Soil Biol Biochem* 22(3):301–307
- Wardle DA, Ghani A (1995) A critique of the microbial metabolic quotient ( $qCO_2$ ) as a bioindicator of disturbance and ecosystem development. *Soil Biol Biochem* 27(12):1601–1610
- Weintraub M, Scott-Denton L, Schmidt S, Monson R (2007) The effects of tree rhizodeposition on soil exoenzyme activity, dissolved organic carbon, and nutrient availability

- in a subalpine forest ecosystem. *Oecologia* 154(2): 327–338
- Zhang W, Parker KM, Luo Y, Wan S, Wallace LL, Hu S (2005) Soil microbial responses to experimental warming and clipping in a tallgrass prairie. *Glob Change Biol* 11(2):266–277
- Zimmermann M, Meir P, Bird MI, Malhi Y, Cahuana AJQ (2009) Climate dependence of heterotrophic soil respiration from a soil-translocation experiment along a 3000 m tropical forest altitudinal gradient. *Eur J Soil Sci* 60(6):895–906
- Zimmermann M, Meir P, Bird MI, Malhi Y, Cahuana AJQ (2010) Temporal variation and climate dependence of soil respiration and its components along a 3,000 m altitudinal tropical forest gradient. *Glob Biogeochem Cycles* 24(4):GB4012
- Zogg GP, Zak DR, Ringelberg DB, MacDonald NW, Pregitzer KS, White DC (1997) Compositional and functional shifts in microbial communities due to soil warming. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 61:475–481