# **A Current-Mode FDNR Circuit Element Using Capacitive Gyrators**

**Vladimir I. Prodanov** & **Michael M. Green Department of Electrical Engineering College** of **Engineering and Applied Sciences State University of New York at Stony Brook Stony Brook, NY 11794-2350** 

## **ABSTRACT**

A current-mode implementation of a frequency-dependent negative resistance *(FDNR)* element is presented using a novel capacitive gyrator. This **FDNR** element lends itself well to the design of low-pass ladder filters and its use will result in a more efficient integrated circuit implementation **than** filters that simulate floating inductors using resistive gyrators.

# **INTRODUCION**

It is well known that analog filters based on LC ladder networks exhibit very low sensitivity with respect to component variations in both the passband and the stopband [ **11.** A number of techniques have been developed that allow design of these filters without requiring the use of inductors which, in the design of discrete filters, tend to be bulky and have unfavorable characteristics, and, in the *case* of monolithic filters, simply cannot be used.

The most common technique presently used is the connection of a gyrator and a capacitor to realize a oneport or two-port that behaves like a grounded or floating inductor. The current-mode realization of a gyrator shown in Fig. 1 has a number of desirable characteristics for IC design including low power, small chip **area** and tunability [2]. A circuit that behaves like a grounded inductor using a current-mode realization of the gyrator **is** shown in Fig. la. The floating inductor realization, **shown** in Fig. lb, requires twice **as** many transconductance blocks. This is a disadvantage for the design of active low-pass ladder networks since series inductors are always required. Nontheless, filters using these structures have been successfully designed and fabricated **[31.** 

Another approach to designing **ladder** filters without inductors **has** been to multiply the impedance of each



Figure 1: Active Realizations of **(a.)** Grounded and (b.) Floating Inductors

two-terminal element in the passive prototype filter by *k/s,* **where** *k* is a scaling factor. This transformation results in the element substitutionsshown in [Fig. 2.](#page-1-0) The element **that** results from performing this substitution on a capacitor is known **as** afrequency-dependent *negative* re*sistance* (FDNR) element. Fig. 3 illustrates the transformation on a low-pass filter structure. The large-valued resistors  $R'_S$  and  $R'_L$  placed in parallel with the terminating capacitors **are** required for proper low-frequency response'. The advantage of the *FDNR* approach is that all active elements in this circuit **are** grounded. However, the most common *FDNR* active realization, **shown**  in Fig. *5,* requires two voltage-mode op-amps and is not easily tunable; hence, this approach does not lend itself well **to** a monolithic realization.

In this paper we present **a** new two-port element called a capacitive gyrator and show how it can be used

**CORE** [Metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk](https://core.ac.uk/display/19136538?utm_source=pdf&utm_medium=banner&utm_campaign=pdf-decoration-v1)

*<sup>&#</sup>x27;An* **alternative to this requirement is to begin with a** *singlyremainured* **ladder filter which results, after the transformation, in a circuit with a dc path from the input to the output [4]. However, singly-terminated ladder filters do not have the same desirable tolerance to component variations as the doubly-terminated filters [l].** 

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Figure 2: Element Substitutions for FDNR Realization



Figure 3: Transformation of Low-Pass Filter for **FDNR**  Realization

to construct **a** compact current-mode grounded **FDNR**  element **that** *can* **be** made tunable. **An** elliptic low-pass ladder filter is used as an example.

#### **NEW CIRCUIT ELEMENTS**

Fig. *6a* shows **a** current-mode **two-port that** behaves **as a**  capacitive gyrator. Its operation is identical to a standard resistive gyrator except **that** the gyration *resistance* **has been replaced** by **a** gyration *cupucifance.* The conduc**tance** matrix for **this hvo-port** is given by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c} i_1 \\ i_2 \end{array}\right) = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & sC \\ -sC & 0 \end{array}\right] \left(\begin{array}{c} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{array}\right) (1)
$$

It *can* easily **be shown that** if **port2** is terminated by an impedance  $Z_L$ , then the impedance  $Z_1$  looking into port



Figure 4: Minimum Inductor Low-Pass Structure



Figure *5:* Conventional Active Realization of **FDNR Element** 

**1** is given by **<sup>1</sup>**

$$
Z_1 = \frac{1}{s^2 C^2 Z_L} \tag{2}
$$

If we make *ZL* **a resistor as shown** in Fig. *6b,* then the resulting one-port behaves like a grounded **FDNR. Notice that** this circuit uses the same number of **active tran**simpedance blocks as the realization of a grounded inductor **shown** in Fig. **la** and **half** the number of active **transimpedance** blocks **as** the realization of **a** floating inductor **shown** in **Fig. 16.** Comparing the Fig. **36** lilter, which uses **two grounded FDNR** elements **(realized** by four transcapacitance blocks), with the Fig. 4 filter which uses two floaring inductors, **(realized** by *eight* transconductance blocks<sup>2</sup>), we see that in general, low-pass lad**der** filters using **the FDNR** elements **shown in** Fig. *6* result in circuits with less chip area and less power dissipation than **filters** using floating gyrator inductors.

A simple **BiCMOS** implementation of the capacitive transconductance block, where  $i_{out} = sC(V_{+} - V_{-}),$ is **shown** in Fig. *6c.* A tunable realization using a pchannel **differential pair** and **a** Gilbert gain cell is **shown**  in Fig. *6d.* **me** transfer *characterr* 'stic for **this circuit** is given by

$$
i_{out} = \left(1 + \frac{I_x}{I_y}\right) sCV_{in} \tag{3}
$$

Hence **all transcapacitances** in **a** filter *can* **be** tuned uniformly by varying the ratio  $I_x/I_y$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Tunable active realizations of both circuits would require active **blocks far the input md output terminating elements as well, result**ing in six transcapacitance blocks and ten transconductance blocks, **respectively.** 

#### **FILTER EXAMPLE**

The fifth-order elliptic filter based on Fig. 3b and shown in Fig. 7a was designed and simulated using the models from the MOSIS 2-micron ORBIT BiCMOS process. The transcapacitance block shown in Fig. *6c* was used. Deviations from ideal behavior in the active circuit, illustrated in Fig. 8, come from the  $r_e$  effectively in series with the capacitor in the Fig. 6c transcapacitance block which results in **added** loss in the FDNR element.

### **CONCLUSIONS**

We have presented a new circuit element called **a** capacitive gyrator and have shown **that** it *can* **be** used **to** make FDNR elements suitable for making tunable integrated low-pass ladder filters. A floating inductor realization requires four transconductance blocks and a capacitor; a grounded *FDNR* requires only two transconductance blocks and two capacitors. Hence the filter design techniques presented in this paper provide a suitable method for realizing efficient analog integrated low-pass filters.

Research is now under way on an improved tunable transcapacitance block **based** on the circuit shown in Fig. 6d. A fully tunable version of the filter **shown** in Fig. 7b is currently being designed and will be tested in Spring 1994.

# **REFERENCES**

- [l **1** G. C. Temes and J. **W.** LaPatra, *Introduction to Circuit Synthesis andDesign.* New York: McGraw-Hill, 1977, Ch. 6.
- 121 A. *S.* Sedra and P. Prackett, *Filter mory and Design: Active and Passive.* Forest Grove, OR Matrix Publishers.
- **131 C.** Toumazou, F. J. Lidgey and **D.** G. Haigh, Analog IC *Design: The Current-Mode Approach.*  London: Peregrinus Ltd., Ch. 5.
- **[4]** F. Krummenacher and **N. Joehl,** "A4-MHz CMOS continuous-time filter with on-chip automatic tuning," IEEE *Journal* on *Solid-State Circuits,* vol. **23,** June 1988, pp. 751-758.
- *[5]* J. Hutchison and F. E Lee, "Some notes on practive FDNR filters," IEEE *Transactions on Circuits and Systems,* vol. 28, pp. 242-245, March 1981.





*b.* 





**C.** 





Figure 7: Fifth-Order Elliptic Filter: (a.) Fixed-Frequency Version; (b.) Tunable Version



Figure 8: Magnitude vs. Frequency Plot of Passive Prototype Filter (Solid Line) and Active FDNR Filter (Dashed Line)

 $\Delta \sim 10^{-12}$