Calcif Tissue Int (2015) 96:401–409 DOI 10.1007/s00223-015-9968-6

ORIGINAL RESEARCH



Effect of Vitamin B₁₂ and Folic Acid Supplementation on Bone Mineral Density and Quantitative Ultrasound Parameters in Older People with an Elevated Plasma Homocysteine Level: B-PROOF, a Randomized Controlled Trial

Anke W. Enneman · Karin M. A. Swart · Janneke P. van Wijngaarden · Suzanne C. van Dijk · Annelies C. Ham · Elske M. Brouwer-Brolsma · Nikita L. van der Zwaluw · Rosalie A. M. Dhonukshe-Rutten · Tischa J. M. van der Cammen · Lisette C. P. G. M. de Groot · Joyce van Meurs · Paul Lips · André G. Uitterlinden · M. Carola Zillikens · Natasja M. van Schoor · Nathalie van der Velde

Received: 19 November 2014/Accepted: 9 February 2015/Published online: 25 February 2015 © The Author(s) 2015. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract High plasma homocysteine (Hcy) levels are associated with increased osteoporotic fracture incidence. However, the mechanism remains unclear. We investigated the effect of Hcy-lowering vitamin B_{12} and folic acid treatment on bone mineral density (BMD) and calcaneal quantitative ultrasound (QUS) parameters. This randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial included participants aged ≥ 65 years with plasma Hcy levels between 12 and 50 µmol/L. The intervention comprised 2-year supplementation with either a combination of 500 µg B_{12} , 400 µg folic acid, and 600 IU vitamin D or placebo with 600 IU vitamin D only. In total, 1111 participants underwent repeated dualenergy X-ray assessment and 1165 participants underwent QUS. Femoral neck (FN) BMD, lumbar spine (LS)

Anke W. Enneman and Karin M. A. Swart contributed equally.

A. W. Enneman · S. C. van Dijk · A. C. Ham ·

T. J. M. van der Cammen · J. van Meurs ·

A. G. Uitterlinden · M. C. Zillikens · N. van der Velde (⊠) Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam, P.O. Box 2040, 3000 CA Rotterdam, The Netherlands

e-mail: n.vandervelde@erasmusmc.nl

K. M. A. Swart · P. Lips · N. M. van Schoor Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics and the EMGO Institute for Health and Care Research, VU University Medical Center, Van der Boechorststraat 7, 1081 BT Amsterdam, The Netherlands

J. P. van Wijngaarden · E. M. Brouwer-Brolsma ·

N. L. van der Zwaluw · R. A. M. Dhonukshe-Rutten · L. C. P. G. M. de Groot

L. C. P. G. M. de Groot

Division of Human Nutrition, Wageningen University, P.O. Box 8129, 6700 EV Wageningen, The Netherlands BMD, calcaneal broadband ultrasound attenuation (BUA), and calcaneal speed of sound (SOS) were assessed. After 2 years, FN-BMD and BUA had significantly decreased, while LS-BMD significantly increased (all p < 0.01) and SOS did not change in either treatment arm. No statistically significant differences between the intervention and placebo group were present for FN-BMD (p = 0.24), LS-BMD (p = 0.16), SOS (p = 0.67), and BUA (p = 0.96). However, exploratory subgroup analyses revealed a small positive effect of the intervention on BUA at follow-up among compliant persons >80 years (estimated marginal mean 64.4 dB/MHz for the intervention group and 61.0 dB/MHz for the placebo group, p = 0.04 for difference). In conclusion, this study showed no overall effect of treatment with vitamin B₁₂ and folic acid on BMD or QUS parameters in elderly, mildly hyperhomocysteinemic persons, but suggests

P. Lips

Department of Endocrinology, VU University Medical Center, P.O. Box 7057, 1007 MB Amsterdam, The Netherlands

N. van der Velde

Department of Internal Medicine, Section of Geriatric Medicine, Academic Medical Center, P.O. Box 22700, 1100 DD Amsterdam, The Netherlands a small beneficial effect on BUA in persons >80 years who were compliant in taking the supplement.

Introduction

Approximately a decade ago, plasma levels of homocysteine (Hcy) were discovered to be positively associated with incident osteoporotic fractures [1, 2]. Vitamin B_{12} and/or folate are important co-factors in the remethylation of Hcy to methionine, and high plasma Hcy levels are often caused by vitamin B_{12} and/or folate deficiency [3]. Subsequent supplementation with these vitamins has been shown to be effective in reducing levels of Hcy [4]. Supplementation was, therefore, hypothesized to be associated with a lower fracture incidence as well. However, intervention studies with B-vitamin supplementation observed inconsistent effects on fracture prevention [5–8].

The potential mechanism underlying the association between Hcy and fractures remains to be determined. One of the hypotheses concerns the role of bone mineral density (BMD) in this association. Previously, cross-sectional studies on the relation between Hcy and BMD showed conflicting results (e.g., [9–11]). Moreover, two trials investigated the effect of B-vitamin supplementation on BMD, and both observed no effects [6, 12]. However, these trials were limited either in size (n = 47) [12] or in generalizability (hemiplegic post-stroke patients) [6] and both used fairly high doses of B-vitamins.

Alternatively, Hcy is thought to interfere with collagen cross-linking in bone, thereby reducing bone quality. This suggestion is supported by clinical observations in patients with homocystinuria, among whom bone collagen profiles are disturbed [13]. Previous cross-sectional data indeed observed inverse associations between Hcy and bone quality, as reflected by quantitative ultrasound (QUS) parameters [14–16]. However, intervention studies on the effect of B-vitamin supplementation on those QUS parameters are lacking.

The current study investigated the effects of vitamin B_{12} and folic acid supplementation on BMD and QUS parameters, that is broadband ultrasound attenuation (BUA) and speed of sound (SOS), in a large, mildly hyperhomocysteinemic, but otherwise general elderly population.

Materials and Methods

Study Design

The B-PROOF study is a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled multicenter trial. It was primarily

designed to investigate the effect of 2-year oral supplementation with 400 μ g folic acid and 500 μ g vitamin B₁₂ on osteoporotic fracture incidence in hyperhomocysteinemic persons aged 65 years and over [17]. Participants in both treatment arms additionally received 600 IU of vitamin D daily. Participants (n = 2919) were randomly assigned to the treatment groups in a 1:1 ratio while stratifying for study center, sex, age (65-80, >80 years), and Hcy level (12–18, \geq 18 µmol/L). The random allocation sequence and randomization were generated and performed using SAS 9.2 by an independent research dietician. Intervention and placebo tablets were indistinguishable in taste, smell, and appearance. Both the participants and all researchers and research assistants were blinded to the study treatment. Treatment effects on BMD and QUS were predefined secondary outcomes of the B-PROOF study [17]. Recruitment of participants took place between September 2008 and March 2011. Details of the B-PROOF study were described previously [17]. The B-PROOF study has been registered with the Netherlands Trial Register http://www.trialregister.nl under identifier NTR 1333 since June 1, 2008 and with ClinicalTrials.gov under identifier NCT00696514 since June 9, 2008. The Medical Ethics Committee of Wageningen University (WU) approved the study and local feasibility was given by the Medical Ethics Committees of VU University Medical Center (VUmc) and Erasmus MC. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and all individual participants gave written informed consent.

Study Population

Inclusion criteria were an age of 65 years or over at baseline and a plasma Hcy level between 12.0 and 50.0 μ mol/L. Exclusion criteria were a serum creatinine level >150 μ mol/L, the presence of cancer in the past 5 years (excluding non-melanoma skin cancer), use of high doses of B-vitamins (intramuscular injections of vitamin B₁₂ and/or folic acid intake >300 μ g/day) or permanent use of a wheel chair. For BMD measurements, participants had to be able to visit one of the study centers. Figure 1 shows the flow-chart of the study sample.

Basic Characteristics

At baseline, height was measured without shoes to the nearest millimeter using a stadiometer. Weight was measured while the participant wore light clothes and no shoes. Body mass index was calculated as weight/height². Structured questionnaires were used to assess fracture history, current use of medication and supplements, level of education, use of alcohol, and current smoking behavior [17]. Anti-osteoporotic medication use was defined as the use of



Fig. 1 Flow-chart regarding DXA and QUS-measurements in the B-PROOF study

bisphosphonates, strontium ranelate, selective estrogen-receptor modulators, estrogens, androgens, denosumab, or teriparatide. Blood was withdrawn when the participant was in a fasted state or had consumed a light, restricted breakfast. EDTA-blood was placed on ice immediately after being withdrawn. Plasma Hcy, serum creatinine, folate, vitamin B_{12} , holotranscobalamin, 25OH-vitamin D and methylmalonic acid, and methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR)-genotype were determined; details of the methods used have been described previously [8, 17].

Dual-Energy X-ray (DXA) Assessment

In a subsample of 1227 participants, DXA was performed at baseline. Of these participants, 1111 persons also underwent a DXA after the 2 years of intervention (Fig. 1). DXA was performed in two of the three study centers. In VUmc, a Hologic QDR 4500 Delphi device (Hologic Inc., USA, CV = 0.45 %) was used. In Erasmus MC, a GE Prodigy device (GE Healthcare. Lunar USA. CV = 0.08 %) was used. A scan of the femur was made to determine the BMD at the femoral neck (FN). The left hip was scanned, but in case of a prosthesis, the right hip was scanned. A scan of the lumbar spine (LS) was made to assess BMD in the vertebrae L1 to L4. Measurements were performed according to the manufacturer's protocols.

In Erasmus MC, during the intervention period, a new scanner of the same type was installed. Follow-up measurements for participants who were measured using the new device at follow-up were adjusted for results of a cross-calibration with the old system. A participant's baseline and follow-up measurement always took place in the same study center.

QUS Parameters

QUS parameters of the calcaneus were measured using the portable Hologic Sahara bone densitometer (Hologic, USA) (Erasmus MC, VUmc, WU) or the portable CUBA Clinical system (McCue Ultrasonics, UK) (VUmc). At baseline, QUS-measurements were performed in 1405 participants. Repeated QUS was available in 1165 participants (Fig. 1). Measurements of both the left and right calcaneus were performed in duplo. Mean broadband ultrasound attenuation (BUA, CV = 3.7 %) and speed of sound (SOS, CV = 0.22 %) were calculated as the average of these four measurements. Measurements were excluded if the expected linear frequency-attenuation relation was violated, because this indicates invalid results.

Compliance

Participants were asked to return the remaining study tablets every 6 months during their 2-year intervention period. Participants were regarded as compliant to the study treatment when at least 80 % of the tablets had been taken during the intervention period, as indicated by the number of returned tablets. Compliance of participants who dropped out of the study was calculated over the planned full study period of 2 years.

Adverse Events

Adverse events were reported by the participants on their study calendar or via telephone, as has been described previously [8].

Sample Size Calculation and Statistical Analyses

Based on an expected increase in BMD of 0.027 g/cm^2 (extrapolated from [18], who observed a 1-year-change in spinal BMD of 0.0135 when folate levels increased with 15 nmol/L) between the two treatment groups, an SD of 0.18 g/cm² and a power of 80 % to detect this difference, we estimated that 541 participants had to be included in both treatment arms. Similarly, a decline in BUA of 2.1 dB/MHz is expected in 2 years in the placebo group, and we expect this decline to be prevented in the intervention group (extrapolated from [19]). With a difference of 2.1 dB/MHz and an SD of 9.4, 316 participants per group would be needed.

All statistical analyses were performed according to a predefined analysis plan. Differences in baseline characteristics between the two treatment groups were tested using a *t* test for continuous traits and a Chi-squared test for categorical traits. If a variable was non-normally distributed, a Mann–Whitney *U* test was used. Two-year changes in markers of B-vitamins (Hcy, folate, vitamin B_{12} , methylmalonic acid, and holotranscobalamin) within treatment groups were tested using Wilcoxon signed-rank tests. Changes between treatment groups were tested with independent samples *t* tests.

In the primary intention-to-treat analyses, all participants of whom both baseline and follow-up data were available were included. In the secondary per-protocol analyses, only compliant participants were included. Paired t tests were done to assess the difference within treatment groups between baseline and follow-up for all outcomes. To test the difference in outcomes after 2 years of treatment between the intervention group and the placebo group, analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was performed. In addition to the baseline value of the outcome of interest (FN-BMD, LS-BMD, BUA, or SOS), sex and age were entered as covariate in the basic model. This was defined as the primary analysis. Next, other potential confounders, defined by a p-value of the difference between the treatment arms <0.2, were entered in the model. They were retained in the fully adjusted model if they changed F of the treatment in the basic model with at least 10 %. This was done for each outcome separately. For BMD, analyses were repeated after stratification for study center, since both centers used different DXA-devices, which are known to produce systematically different results.

Interactions between treatment and baseline age, sex, and Hcy were investigated in exploratory analyses. Stratified analyses were performed if the interaction term was statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 20. Level of significance was set at $\alpha = 0.05$.

Results

Table 1 shows the general characteristics at baseline of 1111 participants with repeated DXA and of 1165 participants with repeated QUS. At baseline, LS-BMD was higher in the intervention group compared with the placebo group (1.14 vs 1.11 g/cm², respectively, p = 0.03). In the BMD-sample, levels of serum holotranscobalamin were slightly higher in the intervention group (70 vs 65 µmol/L, p = 0.03). In the QUS-sample, participants in the placebo group more often had a positive fracture history (45 vs 35 %, p < 0.01).

A total of 611 participants had both FN-BMD as well as QUS available at baseline and at follow-up. At baseline, FN-BMD correlated significantly with both BUA (r = 0.48, p < 0.01) and SOS (r = 0.42, p < 0.01).

Changes in levels of Hcy, folate, vitamin B_{12} , methylmalonic acid, and holotranscobalamin are shown in Table 2. Hcy changed significantly in the intervention group only. The other markers changed in both the intervention (improvements only) and placebo group (both improvements and deteriorations). *p* for differences in change between the groups was <0.001 for all markers, indicating that the compliance was good. Similar findings were observed in the QUS-sample.

BMD Effects

Baseline and follow-up BMD per treatment group are shown in Table 3. FN-BMD significantly decreased in both treatment groups. On the contrary, LS-BMD increased significantly in both treatment groups. BMD in both the FN (0.84 g/cm² (95 % CI 0.834–0.839) in the intervention group vs 0.83 g/cm2 (95 % CI 0.831-0.837) in placebo p = 0.24), and LS (1.14 g/cm² (95 % CI 1.134–1.142) vs 1.13 g/cm² (95 % CI 1.130–1.138), respectively, p = 0.16) were not significantly different between treatment groups (Fig. 2). This did not change after adjusting for other potential confounders (holotranscobalamin and vitamin B_{12}). No statistically significant interaction was observed. When the analyses were stratified for study center, as pre-specified, similar results were obtained. For FN-BMD, in VUmc, estimated means after 2 years were 0.717 (95 % CI 0.712–0.722) and 0.719 (95 % CI 0.714–0.724) g/cm² in the placebo and intervention groups, respectively. In

Table 1 Baseline characteristics for B-PROOF participants with DXA at baseline and follow-up (N = 1111) and for participants with QUS at baseline and follow-up (n = 1165)

Placebo $N = 563$ Intervention $N = 548$ Placebo $N = 587$ Intervention $N = 587$ Age (years) ⁴ 72.8 (5.4)72.4 (5.6)73.3 (73.3)73.4 (73.4)Age (sers) ⁴ 48.348.257.453.8Hoy (mol/L) ⁶ 14.3 [12.9-16.3]14.3 [12.9-16.0]14.3 [12.9-16.4]14.2 [10.0-16.1]Creatinine (mol/L) ⁶ 80 (71-93)28 (71-93)79 (70-92)82 (70-93)Folace (mol/L) ⁶ 209 (204-343)286 (218-348)10.1 [14.8-24.5]18.9 [15.6-24.6]Bi ₁ (pmol/L) ⁶ 209 (204-343)206 (218-348)208 (204-322)270 (216-346.3)Holytrasloci exid (pmol/L) ⁶ 22.6 (77.1-0.2]0.23 (0.17-0.28]0.22 (0.18-0.30)0.23 (0.16-0.30)Holytrasloci exid (pmol/L) ⁶ 25.6 (17.7-0.3]53.3 (37.2-73.0)55.7 (19.2-72.4)56.0 (73.4-75.1)HTHR genotype (%)T11.1 (4.5.274.474.4CT41.940.146.339.2TT15.012.010.513.4Height (kgn ⁴)169.9 (8.9)170.4 (9.0)168.5 (8.8)168.9 (9.2)Weight Kgh ⁴ 177 (12.9)75.10.07.6 (12.2)7.6 (12.5)BM (kgm ²) ⁴ 26.9 (3.9)27 (3.8)27.0 (3.9)2.6 (2.5)BM (kgn ⁴) ⁴ 29.944.75.110.0Formar58.656.955.256.2Nordight62.93.1.23.73.7Moderate31.831.230.72.8Locardo (%)Locardo (%) <th></th> <th>BMD</th> <th></th> <th>QUS</th> <th></th>		BMD		QUS	
Age (years) ^a 72.8 (5.4) 72.4 (5.6) 73.3 (73.3) 73.4 (73.4) Sex (% fenale) 48.3 48.2 57.4 55.8 Key (mnolL) ^b 14.3 [12.9-16.0] 14.3 [12.9-16.4] 14.3 [12.9-16.4] 14.3 [12.9-16.4] Creatinine (umolL) ^b 80 [71-93] 82 [71-93] 79 [70-92] 82 [70-93] Folate (umolL) ^b 19.1 [14.8-25.4] 19.8 [15.4-24.8] 19.1 [14.8-45.5] 66 [149-83] Methylmalonic acid (umolL) ^b 0.21 [0.17-0.29] 0.21 [0.17-0.28] 0.22 [0.18-0.30] 0.23 [0.18-0.30] Holtramscobalami (molL) ^b 52 [37.1-70.3] 53.3 [37.2-73.0] 55.7 [9372.4] 56.0 [37.4-75.1] Vitamin D (2501 (umolL) ^b 52 [37.1-70.3] 33.3 [37.2-73.0] 55.7 [9372.4] 56.0 [37.4-75.1] MTHRE-genotype (%)		Placebo $N = 563$	Intervention $N = 548$	Placebo $N = 587$	Intervention $N = 578$
Sac (6 renule)48.348.27.45.3.8Hay (umol/L) ^b 14.3 [12.9-16.3]14.9 [12.9]14.3 [12.9-16.3]14.9 [12.9]<	Age (years) ^a	72.8 (5.4)	72.4 (5.6)	73.3 (73.3)	73.4 (73.4)
Hey (µmol/1) ^b H.3 (12.9-16.3)H.3 (12.9-16.4)H.3 (12.9-16.4)H.2 (13.0-16.4)Creatine (µmol/1) ^b 80 (71-93)82 (71-93)79 (70-92)82 (70-93)Folaer (µmol/1) ^b 10.1 [14.8-25.4]19.8 [15.4-24.8]10.1 [14.8-24.5]82 [15.6-24.6Br_2 (µmol/1) ^b 269 (204-343)266 (218-348)268 (204-352)270 (216.3-6.3)Methylmaloric aci (µmol/1) ^b 0.21 [0.17-0.29]0.21 [0.17-0.28]0.20 [10.8-0.30]0.23 [0.18-0.30]Holtoranscobalamin (µmol/1) ^b 52.6 (37.1-70.3)53.3 (37.2-73.0)55.7 (39.2-72.4)56.0 (37.4-75.1)MTHR-genotype (%)77.943.247.4C45.147.943.247.4C T15.010.010.513.4Height (cm) ³ 15.9 (9.8)170.4 (9.0)168.5 (8.8)13.4C Height (cm) ³ 16.9 (9.8)170.4 (9.0)168.5 (8.8)168.9 (9.2)Weight (kg) ^a 77.7 (12.9)75.5 (13.0)75.7 (12.2)76.6 (12.5)BMI (kg/m ³) ⁴ 26.9 (3.9)27.3 (3.8)23.73.7Stocking status (%)3.73.73.7Current8.78.47.510.05Former2.9.73.63.23.73.7Alcoho1.83.23.73.73.7Alcohor5.55.25.25.25.2Nordight6.95.33.63.23.5Very excessive4.83.63.2 <t< td=""><td>Sex (% female)</td><td>48.3</td><td>48.2</td><td>57.4</td><td>53.8</td></t<>	Sex (% female)	48.3	48.2	57.4	53.8
Creatmine (µmo/L)b80 [71–93]82 [71–93]79 [70–92]82 [70–93]Folar (µmo/L)b191 [14.8–25.4]198 [15.4–24.8]191 [14.8–24.5]18.9 [15.6–24.6]Folar (µmo/L)b0.9 [204.343]26 [218-348]268 [204-352]20 [216-34.63]Methylmalonic acid (µmo/L)b0.21 [0.17-0.29]0.21 [0.17-0.28]0.22 [0.18-0.30]0.23 [0.18-0.30]Holoranacobalamin (µmo/L)b65 [47-88]*70 [50-91]*65 [47-88]*00.03 [37.47-51]55.7 [39.2–72.4]50 [37.47-51]Mitamin D (2500) (µmo/L)b65 [47-88]*70 [50-91]*65 [47-88]*70 [50-91]*55.7 [39.2–72.4]50 [37.47-51]Mitamin D (2500) (µmo/L)b65 [47-88]*70 [50-91]*61 [49-88]60 [39.8]71.47-5171.47-51Mitamin D (2501) (µmo/L)b25.2 [37.17-0.3]15.7 [39.2–72.4]70 [39.2]71.47-5171.47-51Mitamin D (2501) (µmo/L)b55.2 [50 [30.10]15.7 [39.2–72.4]71.47-5171.47-51Mitamin D (2501) (µmo/L)b15.010.013.413.415.013.4TT15.010.015.010.015.010.0Status (%)77.7 [12.9]73.5 (13.0)75.7 [32.5]56.256.2Current87.48.47.510.015.2Former88.656.955.256.250.2Never31.831.230.725.256.2Never31.831.230.725.250.6Moderato31.831.230.725.2<	Hcy (µmol/L) ^b	14.3 [12.9–16.3]	14.3 [12.9–16.0]	14.3 [12.9–16.4]	14.2 [13.0–16.1]
Folae (mod/L) ⁶ 19, [14.8-25.4] 19, [15.4-24.8] 19, [14.8-24.5] 18, 9 [15.6-24.6] Br ₁ (mod/L) ⁶ 269 (204-343) 268 (218-348) 268 (204-323) 270 [216-346.3] Methylmalonic acid (µmod/L) ⁶ 0.21 [0.17-0.29] 0.1 [0.17-0.29] 0.21 [0.17-0.29] 0.21 [0.17-0.29] 0.51 [0.17-0.29] 0.51 [0.17-0.29] 0.51 [0.17-0.29] 0.51 [0.17-0.29] 0.51 [0.17-0.29] 0.51 [0.17-0.29] 0.51 [0.17-0.29] 0.51 [0.17-0.29] 0.51 [0.17-0.29] 0.51 [0.17-0.29] 0.51 [0.17-0.29] 0.51 [0.17-0.29] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.56 [0.15.7] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.56 [0.15.7] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.56 [0.17] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.51 [0.17-0.27] 0.51 [0.17-0.27]	Creatinine (µmol/L) ^b	80 [71–93]	82 [71–93]	79 [70–92]	82 [70-93]
B12 (pmol/L)h269 [204-343]286 [218-348]268 [204-352]270 [216-346.3Methylmalonic acid (µmol/L)h0.21 (0.17-0.28)0.21 (0.17-0.28)0.22 (0.18-0.30)0.23 (0.18-0.30)Notamin D (250H) (µmol/L)h52.6 [37.1-70.3]53.3 [37.2-73.0]55.7 [39.2-72.4]56.0 [37.4-75.1]Witamin D (250H) (µmol/L)h52.6 [37.1-70.3]53.3 [37.2-73.0]55.7 [39.2-72.4]56.0 [37.4-75.1]MTHFR-genotype (%)45.339.247.4C43.147.943.247.4CT15.012.010.513.4Height (cm)h169.9 (8.9)170.4 (9.0)165.5 (8.8)168.9 (9.2)Weight (kg)h77.7 (12.9)75.5 (13.0)76.7 (12.2)76.6 (12.5)Smoking status (%)27.0 (3.9)28.8 (3.8)Current87.784.656.955.256.2Never32.034.737.337.7Nolight62.964.464.967.3Moderate31.831.230.728.4Nolight62.964.464.96.952.6Nolight64.93.53.53.7Very excessive0.519.918.822.20.4Nolight19.918.822.20.4High35.735.436.22.6Niddle19.918.822.20.4High35.735.436.23.5Nuby excessive55.735.436.2 <td>Folate (nmol/L)^b</td> <td>19.1 [14.8-25.4]</td> <td>19.8 [15.4-24.8]</td> <td>19.1 [14.8-24.5]</td> <td>18.9 [15.6–24.6]</td>	Folate (nmol/L) ^b	19.1 [14.8-25.4]	19.8 [15.4-24.8]	19.1 [14.8-24.5]	18.9 [15.6–24.6]
Methylmalonic acid (µmol/L) ^b 0.21 [0.17-0.29] 0.21 [0.17-0.28] 0.22 [0.18-0.30] 0.23 [0.18-0.30] Holornascobalami (µmol/L) ^b 65 [47-88]* 70 [50-9]* 65 [45-85] 66 [49-88] Vitamin D (250H) (µmol/L) ^b 56 [37.1-70.3] 53.3 [37.2-73.0] 55.7 [39.2-72.4] 50.0 [37.4-75.1] MTHFR-gentype (%) 41.2 47.4 47.4 CT 41.9 40.1 46.3 39.2 37.4 TT 15.0 12.0 10.5 13.4 Height (µm) ^a 16.9 9 (8.9) 170.4 (9.0) 168.5 (8.8) 168.9 (9.2) Weight (kg) ^a 77.1 (1.9) 78.5 (13.0) 7.7 (12.9) 26.0 (3.2) Smoking status (%) 7.5 10.0 Current 8.7 8.4 7.5 10.2 Noright 6.2.9 64.4 64.9 52.2 52.2 Never 3.1 3.6 3.9 3.5 Norght 5.2 3.6 52.6 1.4 1.4 <tr< td=""><td>B₁₂ (pmol/L)^b</td><td>269 [204–343]</td><td>286 [218-348]</td><td>268 [204–352]</td><td>270 [216-346.3]</td></tr<>	B ₁₂ (pmol/L) ^b	269 [204–343]	286 [218-348]	268 [204–352]	270 [216-346.3]
Holotranscobalamin (pmol/L) ^b 65 [47-88]* 70 [50-91]* 65 [45-85] 66 [49-88] Vitamin D (250H) (mmol/L) ^b 26 [37.1-70.3] 53.3 [37.2-73.0] 55.7 [392-27.4] 50.0 [37.4-75.1 MTHFE-genotype (%) 43.1 47.9 43.2 47.4 CT 41.9 40.1 46.3 39.2 TT 15.0 12.0 10.5 13.4 Height (cm) ^a 169.9 (8.9) 170.4 (9.0) 168.5 (8.8) 168.9 (9.2) Weight (kg) ^a 77.7 (12.9) 78.5 (13.0) 76.7 (12.2) 76.6 (12.5) Sunoking status (%)	Methylmalonic acid (µmol/L) ^b	0.21 [0.17-0.29]	0.21 [0.17-0.28]	0.22 [0.18-0.30]	0.23 [0.18-0.30]
Vitamin D (25OH) (nmo/L) ^b 52.6 [37.1–70.3]53.3 [37.2–73.0]55.7 [39.2–72.4]56.0 [37.4–75.1]MTHRegenetype (%)CC43.147.942.247.4CT41.940.146.339.2TT15.012.010.513.4Height (cm) ⁴ 169.9 (8.9)170.4 (9.0)168.5 (8.8)168.9 (9.2)Weight (kg) ⁴ 77.7 (12.9)78.5 (13.00)76.7 (12.2)76.6 (12.5)BMI (kg/m ²) ^a 26.9 (3.9)27.0 (3.8)27.0 (3.9)26.8 (3.8)Smoking status (%)55.256.256.2Current8.78.47.510.07.7Current8.78.47.510.27.6Noderate31.831.230.73.73.7Nolight6.96.46.46.96.35.5Noderate31.83.1230.72.83.5Very excessive4.83.63.93.55.26.2Nolight6.90.70.50.92.11.5Uevel of ducation (%)1.22.02.12.63.6Widgeningen UR5.53.5.73.5.63.53.63.6Widgeningen UR64.36.7.54.1.14.273.6Wurgeningen UR6.43.53.53.63.6Wurgeningen UR6.47.54.1.14.274.1Vurgen of fice acid andor vit. B1.2 (%)	Holotranscobalamin (pmol/L) ^b	65 [47-88]*	70 [50–91]*	65 [45-85]	66 [49-88]
MTHFR-genotype (%)CC43.147.943.247.4CT43.140.146.339.2CT15.012.010.513.4Height (cm) ⁸ 169.9 (8.9)170.4 (9.0)168.5 (8.8)168.9 (9.2)Weight (kg) ⁶ 77.12.9)78.5 (13.0)76.7 (12.2)76.6 (12.5)BM (kg/m ²) ⁶ 26.9 (3.9)27.3 (3.9)26.8 (3.8)26.8 (3.8)Smoking status (%)7.7 (3.8)7.510.010.0Former87.68.47.510.0Nover32.73.73.73.7Actolo consumption (%)4.464.93.3Nolight62.964.464.93.5Nolight6.50.70.93.7Kevers0.50.70.90.9Level of ducation (%)1.83.20.9Level of ducation (%)1.82.20.4Light1.9.91.82.20.4High2.53.53.63.6Succenter (%)1.82.20.43.6Vunc3.73.53.63.6Magening UK2.44.4Oscenter (%)1.11.64.14.7User of ficit acid and/or vit. B12 (%)1.71.64.14.7Note of ficit acid and/or vit. B12 (%)1.41.61.43.5Postive fictuate history (%)1.11 (0.2)%1.16 (0.2)%Sup o	Vitamin D (250H) (nmol/L) ^b	52.6 [37.1-70.3]	53.3 [37.2-73.0]	55.7 [39.2-72.4]	56.0 [37.4–75.1]
CC43.147.943.247.4CT41.940.146.339.2TT15.010.010.534.4Height (cm) ⁴ 169.0 (8.9)170.4 (9.0)168.5 (8.8)168.9 (9.2)Weight (kg) ⁿ 326.0 (3.9)27.0 (3.9)26.6 (1.2.5)BM (kg/m ³) ³ 26.0 (3.9)7.7 (12.9)7.6 (12.2)7.6 (12.2)Current8.78.47.510.0Former58.656.955.256.2Never30.73.473.73.7Alcoho consumption (%)14.464.96.9Very excessive0.50.70.52.8Very excessive0.50.70.92.4Idedication (%)11.83.63.93.5Level of education (%)11.82.220.4High19.918.82.220.41.1High19.52.53.62.12.1Vure35.73.52.61.11.1Wageninge UR2.12.12.1Farsmus MC6.47.53.5.43.63.9Vure35.75.53.63.63.9Vure35.71.14.61.43.1Vure6.43.14.14.1Vure6.43.51.43.5Pistive facture history (%)1.14.61.43.5Vure6.43	MTHFR-genotype (%)				
CT41.940.146.339.2T15.012.010.513.4Height (cm) ^a 15.012.0168.5 (8.8)168.9 (9.2)Weight (kg) ^a 77.7 (2.9)78.5 (13.0)76.7 (12.2)76.6 (12.5)BMI (kg/m ²) ^a 26.9 (3.9)27 (3.8)77.0 (3.9)26.8 (3.8)Smoking status (%)7.78.78.47.510.0Former58.656.955.256.2Never32.734.737.333.7Alcohol consumption (%)4.83.1230.728.4Kexessive4.83.63.93.5Very excessive0.50.70.50.9Level of education (%)18.82.220.4Level of education (%)14.83.63.63.6Very excessive5.45.73.53.63.2Ididle19.918.82.220.4High5.73.53.53.63.2Suddinger UR3.43.2Vunc5.73.53.53.63.2Vunc5.73.53.53.63.2Vunc5.73.53.53.63.2Vunc5.73.53.53.63.2Vunc5.73.53.53.63.2Vunc5.73.53.53.63.3Suddinger UR3.53.5Suddinger	CC	43.1	47.9	43.2	47.4
TT15.012.010.513.4Height (cm) ^a 169.9 (8.9)170.4 (9.0)168.5 (8.8)168.9 (9.2)Weight (kg) ^a 26.9 (3.9)27.3 (3.9)76.7 (12.2)76.6 (12.5)BMI (kg/m ³) ^a 26.9 (3.9)27.3 (3.9)28.8 (3.8)Smoking status (%)	СТ	41.9	40.1	46.3	39.2
Height (en) ⁸ 169.9 (8.9)170.4 (9.0)168.5 (8.8)168.9 (9.2)Weight (kg) ⁶ 77.7 (12.9)78.5 (13.0)76.7 (12.2)76.6 (12.5)BM (kg) ⁿ²) ^a 26.9 (3.9)27 (3.8)20.0 (3.9)26.8 (3.8)Smoking status (%)7.7 (12.9)77.3 (3.9)20.8 (3.8)Current8.78.47.510.0Former58.656.955.256.2Never32.734.737.33.7Alcohot consumption (%)7.17.33.73.7Moderate61.964.464.967.3Moderate31.831.230.728.4Excessive4.83.63.93.5Very excessive0.50.70.50.9Level of education (%)19.918.822.220.4High25.320.024.220.4High55.735.652.63.6Middle19.918.822.20.4High25.735.436.22.0Vumc55.735.436.21.1Vumc55.735.436.21.1Vumc64.367.58.91.0Osteoportic medication use (%)647.58.91.0Osteoportic medication use (%)647.58.91.0Alsonortic medication use (%)64.01.16 (0.25)*FN-BMD (g(cm ²) ^a)1.11 (0.22)*1.14 (0.25)* <td>TT</td> <td>15.0</td> <td>12.0</td> <td>10.5</td> <td>13.4</td>	TT	15.0	12.0	10.5	13.4
Weight (kg) ^à 77.7 (12.9) 78.5 (13.0) 76.7 (12.2) 76.6 (12.5) BMI (kg/m ²) ^a 26.9 (3.9) 27 (3.8) 27.0 (3.9) 26.8 (3.8) Smoking status (%) Current 8.7 8.4 7.5 10.0 Former 58.6 56.9 55.2 56.2 Never 32.7 34.7 37.3 33.7 Alcohol consumption (%) 64.4 64.9 67.3 Moderate 31.8 31.2 30.7 28.4 Kreessive 4.8 3.6 3.9 3.5 Very excessive 0.5 0.7 0.5 0.9 Level of education (%) 2.2 0.4 14.1 Low 54.8 52.2 53.6 52.6 14.1 14.6 1.4 1.4 Vume 35.7 32.5 35.4 36.2 2.6 14.1 1.1 1.1 1.2	Height (cm) ^a	169.9 (8.9)	170.4 (9.0)	168.5 (8.8)	168.9 (9.2)
BMI (kg/m²j²26.9 (3.9)27 (3.8)27.0 (3.9)26.8 (3.8)Smoking status (%)Current8.78.47.510.0Former38.656.955.256.2Never32.734.737.333.7Alcohol consumption (%) </td <td>Weight (kg)^a</td> <td>77.7 (12.9)</td> <td>78.5 (13.0)</td> <td>76.7 (12.2)</td> <td>76.6 (12.5)</td>	Weight (kg) ^a	77.7 (12.9)	78.5 (13.0)	76.7 (12.2)	76.6 (12.5)
Smoking status (%) Strep 8.7 8.4 7.5 10.0 Former 58.6 56.9 55.2 56.2 Never 32.7 34.7 37.3 33.7 Alcohol consumption (%) 34.7 37.3 33.7 Nolight 62.9 64.4 64.9 67.3 Moderate 31.8 31.2 30.7 28.4 Excessive 4.8 3.6 3.9 3.5 Very excessive 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.6 Level of education (%) 1 1.8 2.2 2.4 Middle 19.9 18.8 2.2.2 0.4 Middle 19.9 18.8 2.2.2 0.4 High 25.3 2.5.5 35.4 36.2 Vume 35.7 3.2.5 35.4 36.2 Wageningen UR - - 1.16 Ersamus MC 64.3 67.5 44.1 42.7 Users of folic acid and/or vit. B12	BMI (kg/m ²) ^a	26.9 (3.9)	27 (3.8)	27.0 (3.9)	26.8 (3.8)
Current8.78.47.510.0Former58.656.955.256.2Never32.734.737.333.7Alcohi consumption (%) 32.7 44.7 7.3 33.7 Alcohi consumption (%) 62.9 64.4 64.9 67.3 Moderate 31.8 31.2 30.7 28.4 Excessive 4.8 36 3.9 3.5 Very excessive 0.5 0.7 0.5 0.9 Level of education (%) 1.8 52.2 53.6 52.6 Middle 19.9 18.8 22.2 20.4 High 25.3 29.0 27.0 27.0 Study center (%) 1.1 25.5 35.4 36.2 VUmc 45.3 67.5 44.1 42.7 Users of folic acid and/or vit. B_{12} (%) 17.1 14.6 17.4 14.4 Osteoporotic medication use (%) 64.4 39.1 45.0^* 35.3^* Positive fracture history (%) 41.4 39.1 45.0^* 35.3^* FN-BMD (g/cm ²) ⁶ $-1.23 (0.93)$ $-1.15 (1.04)$ -1.24 $-1.23 (0.93)$ $-1.15 (0.4)$ $-1.25 (0.1)$ Pos (t-th ⁰) $-1.23 (0.93)$ $-1.14 (0.25)^*$ $-1.25 (0.1)$ $-1.25 (0.1)$ $-1.25 (0.1)$ Pos (t-th ⁰) $-1.23 (0.93)$ $-1.15 (1.04)$ $-1.25 (0.1)$ $-1.25 (0.1)$ Pos (t-th ⁰) $-1.23 (0.93)$ $-1.15 (1.94)$ $-1.25 (0.1) (0.1)$ Pos (t-th ⁰) $-1.$	Smoking status (%)				
Former58.656.955.256.2Never32.734.737.333.7Alcohol consumption (%) 32.7 34.7 37.3 33.7 Alcohol consumption (%) 52.9 64.4 64.9 67.3 Moderate 31.8 31.2 30.7 28.4 Store serve 48.8 3.6 3.9 3.5 Very excessive 0.5 0.7 0.5 0.9 Level of education (%) 1.8 22.2 20.4 Low 54.8 52.2 53.6 52.6 Middle 19.9 18.8 22.2 20.4 High 25.3 25.5 35.4 36.2 Study center (%) V 25.5 35.4 36.2 Vunc 46.3 67.5 44.1 42.7 Users of folic acid and/or vit. B_{12} (%) 17.1 14.6 17.4 14.4 Osteoporotic medication use (%) 64.4 $55.0(17)$ $ -$ Positive fracture history (%) 41.4 39.1 45.0° 35.3° FN-BMD (g/cm ²) ⁴ $-1.23 (0.93)$ $-1.15 (1.04)$ $ -$ <i>I</i> -Score FN-BMD ⁶ $-1.23 (0.93)$ $-1.15 (1.04)$ $ -$ <i>I</i> -Score FN-BMD ⁶ $-1.23 (0.93)$ $-1.15 (1.04)$ $ -$ <i>I</i> -Score FN-BMD ⁶ $-1.23 (0.93)$ $-1.15 (1.04)$ $ -$ <i>I</i> -Score FN-BMD ⁶ $-0.3 (1.7)$ $-0.1 (1.9)$ $ -$ <i>BOA</i> (dB/MHz) ⁶ $-0.3 (1.7)$	Current	8.7	8.4	7.5	10.0
Never32.734.737.333.7Alcohol consumption (%)No/light62.964.464.967.3Moderate31.831.230.728.4Excessive4.836.03.93.5Very excessive0.50.70.50.9Level of education (%)52.253.652.6Middle19.918.822.220.4High25.329.024.227.0Study center (%)55.732.535.436.2Vume35.732.535.436.2Wageningen UR20.421.1Ersmus MC64.367.544.142.7Users of folic acid and/or vit. B12 (%)17.114.617.414.4Osteoporotic medication use (%)6.47.58.910.4Positive fracture history (%)14.439.145.0%35.3*FN-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a -1.23 (0.93)-1.15 (1.04)LS-BMD ^a -0.3 (1.7)-0.1 (1.9)BUA (dB/MHz) ^a BUA (dB/MHz) ^a BUA (dB/MHz) ^a	Former	58.6	56.9	55.2	56.2
Alcohol consumption (%)No/light62.964.464.967.3Moderate31.831.230.728.4Excessive4.83.63.93.5Very excessive0.50.70.50.9Level of education (%)52.253.652.6Middle19.918.822.220.4High25.329.024.227.0Study center (%)25.735.436.2VUmc35.732.535.436.2Mageningen UR20.421.1Ersmus MC64.367.544.142.7Users of folic acid and/or vit. B ₁₂ (%)17.114.617.414.4Osteoporotic medication use (%)6.47.58.910.4Positive fracture history (%)14.439.145.0*35.3*FN-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a -1.23 (0.93)-1.15 (1.04) <i>I</i> -Score FN-BMD ^a -0.3 (1.7)-0.1 (1.9)BUA (dB/MHz) ^a BUA (dB/MHz) ^a BUA (dB/MHz) ^a <t< td=""><td>Never</td><td>32.7</td><td>34.7</td><td>37.3</td><td>33.7</td></t<>	Never	32.7	34.7	37.3	33.7
No/light62.964.464.967.3Moderate31.831.230.728.4Excessive4.83.63.93.5Very excessive0.50.70.50.9Level of education (%) V V V V Low54.852.253.652.6Middle19.918.822.220.4High25.329.024.227.0Study center (%) V V V V VUmc35.732.535.436.2Wageningen UR $ -$ 20.421.1Erasmus MC64.367.544.142.7Users of folic acid and/or vit. B_{12} (%)17.114.617.414.4Osteoporotic medication use (%)6.47.58.910.4Positive fracture history (%)41.439.145.0*35.3*FN-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a -1.23 (0.93) -1.15 (1.04) $ -$ LS-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a 1.11 (0.22)* 1.14 (0.25)* $ -$ FX-score LS-BMD ^a -0.3 (1.7) -0.1 (1.9) $ -$ BUA (dB/MHz) ^a $ -$ Score (x^{a} $ -$ BUA (dB/MHz) ^a $ -$ BUA (dB/MHz) ^a $ -$ Score (x^{a} $ -$ Score (x^{a} $ -$ <td< td=""><td>Alcohol consumption (%)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Alcohol consumption (%)				
Moderate31.831.230.728.4Excessive4.83.63.93.5Very excessive0.50.70.50.9Level of education (%) $ -$ Low54.852.253.652.6Middle19.918.822.220.4High25.329.024.227.0Study center (%) $ -$ 20.421.1VUmc35.732.535.436.2Wageningen UR $ -$ 20.421.1Erasmus MC64.367.544.142.7Users of folic acid and/or vit. B_{12} (%)17.114.617.414.4Osteoporotic medication use (%)6.47.58.910.4Positive fracture history (%)41.439.145.0*35.3*FN-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a 0.84 (0.15)0.85 (0.17) $ -$ <i>T</i> -score FN-BMD ^a $-1.23 (0.93)$ $-1.15 (1.04)$ $ -$ LS-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a 1.11 (0.22)*1.14 (0.25)* $ -$ BUA (dB/MHz) ^a $-0.3 (1.7)$ $-0.1 (1.9)$ $ -$ BUA (dB/MHz) ^a $ -$ BUA (dB/MHz) ^a $ -$ BUA (dB/MHz) ^a $ -$ BUA (dB/MHz) ^a $ -$ BUA (dB/MHz) ^a $ -$ BUA (dB/MHz) ^a $ -$	No/light	62.9	64.4	64.9	67.3
Excessive4.83.63.93.5Very excessive0.50.70.50.9Level of education (%) 150 150 150 150 Low54.852.253.652.6Middle19.918.822.2 20.4 High25.329.024.2 27.0 Study center (%) 150 25.5 35.4 36.2 VUmc 35.7 32.5 35.4 36.2 Wageningen UR $ 20.4$ 21.1 Erasmus MC 64.3 67.5 44.1 42.7 Users of folic acid and/or vit. B_{12} (%) 17.1 14.6 17.4 14.4 Osteoporotic medication use (%) 6.4 7.5 8.9 10.4 Positive fracture history (%) 41.4 39.1 45.0^* 35.3^* FN-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a 0.84 (0.15) 0.85 (0.17) $ -$ <i>T</i> -score FN-BMD ^a -1.23 (0.93) -1.15 (1.04) $ -$ LS-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a 1.11 (0.22)* 1.14 (0.25)* $ -$ <i>T</i> -score LS-BMD ^a -0.3 (1.7) -0.1 (1.9) $ -$ BUA (dB/MHz) ^a $ -$ BUA (dB/MHz) ^a $ -$ BUA (dB/MHz) ^a $ -$ BUA (dB/MHz) ^a $ -$ BUA (dB/MHz) ^a $ -$ BUA (dB/MHz) ^a $-$	Moderate	31.8	31.2	30.7	28.4
Very excessive0.50.70.50.9Level of education (%)54.852.253.652.6Low54.852.220.420.4High19.918.822.220.4High25.329.024.27.0Study center (%)57.732.535.436.2Wageningen UR20.421.1Erasmus MC64.367.544.142.7Users of folic acid and/or vit. B_{12} (%)17.114.617.414.4Osteoporotic medication use (%)6.47.58.910.4Positive fracture history (%)41.439.145.0*35.3*FN-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a 0.84 (0.15)0.85 (0.17)T-score FN-BMD ^a -1.23 (0.93)-1.15 (1.04)LS-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a 1.11 (0.22)*1.14 (0.25)*BUA (dB/MHz) ^a -0.3 (1.7)-0.1 (1.9)ENC (cf.b ^a)SDE (cf.b ^a)DO (cf.b ^a)SDE (cf.b ^a)	Excessive	4.8	3.6	3.9	3.5
Level of education (%)54.852.253.652.6Middle19.918.822.220.4High25.329.024.227.0Study center (%)735.732.535.436.2Wageningen UR20.421.1Erasmus MC64.367.544.142.7Users of folic acid and/or vit. B ₁₂ (%)17.114.617.414.4Osteoporotic medication use (%)6.47.58.910.4Positive fracture history (%)41.439.145.0*35.3*FN-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a 0.84 (0.15)0.85 (0.17)T-score FN-BMD ^a -1.23 (0.93)-1.15 (1.04)LS-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a 1.11 (0.22)*1.14 (0.25)*BUA (dB/MHz) ^a -0.3 (1.7)-0.1 (1.9)BUA (dB/MHz) ^a -0.3 (1.7)-0.1 (1.9)BUA (dB/MHz) ^a EOS (SON (EOS (SON (SON (SON (SON (SON (</td <td>Very excessive</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>0.7</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>0.9</td>	Very excessive	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.9
Low54.852.253.652.6Midde19.918.822.220.4High25.329.024.227.0Study center (%) $ -$ VUmc35.732.535.436.2Wageningen UR $ -$ 20.421.1Erasmus MC64.367.544.142.7Users of folic acid and/or vit. B_{12} (%)17.114.617.414.4Osteoporotic medication use (%)6.47.58.910.4Positive fracture history (%)41.439.145.0*35.3*FN-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a 0.84 (0.15)0.85 (0.17) $ -$ <i>T</i> -score FN-BMD ^a $-1.23 (0.93)$ $-1.15 (1.04)$ $ -$ LS-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a 1.11 (0.22)*1.14 (0.25)* $ -$ BUA (dB/MHz) ^a $ -$ SOE ($-$ ($-$) ^a $ -$ SOE ($-$ ($-$) ^a $ -$ SOE ($-$) ^a $ -$ SOE ($-$ ($-$) ^a $ -$ SOE ($-$ ($-$) ^a $ -$ SOE ($-$) ^a $ -$ SOE ($ -$ SOE ($ -$ SOE ($ -$ SOE ($ -$ S	Level of education (%)				
Middle19.918.822.220.4High25.329.024.227.0Study center (%) 5.7 32.535.436.2Wageningen UR20.421.1Erasmus MC64.367.544.142.7Users of folic acid and/or vit. B12 (%)17.114.617.414.4Osteoporotic medication use (%)647.58.910.4Positive fracture history (%)41.439.145.0*35.3*FN-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a 0.84 (0.15)0.85 (0.17) <i>I</i> -score FN-BMD ^a -1.23 (0.93)-1.15 (1.04) <i>I</i> -score FN-BMD ^a -0.3 (1.7)-0.1 (1.9)BUA (dB/MHz) ^a -0.3 (1.7)-0.1 (1.9)SOS (m (m ^a)71.8 (17.6)-	Low	54.8	52.2	53.6	52.6
High25.329.024.227.0Study center (%) 35.7 32.5 35.4 36.2 Wageningen UR $ 20.4$ 21.1 Erasmus MC 64.3 67.5 44.1 42.7 Users of folic acid and/or vit. B_{12} (%) 17.1 14.6 17.4 14.4 Osteoporotic medication use (%) 6.4 7.5 8.9 10.4 Positive fracture history (%) 41.4 39.1 45.0^* 35.3^* FN-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a 0.84 (0.15) 0.85 (0.17) $ -$ <i>I</i> -score FN-BMD ^a -1.23 (0.93) -1.15 (1.04) $ -$ LS-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a 1.11 (0.22)* 1.14 (0.25)* $ -$ BUA (dB/MHz) ^a -0.3 (1.7) -0.1 (1.9) $ -$ SOS (m (n ^b) $ 70.9$ (16.8) 71.8 (17.6)	Middle	19.9	18.8	22.2	20.4
Study center (%)VUmc 35.7 32.5 35.4 36.2 Wageningen UR $ 20.4$ 21.1 Erasmus MC 64.3 67.5 44.1 42.7 Users of folic acid and/or vit. B_{12} (%) 17.1 14.6 17.4 14.4 Osteoporotic medication use (%) 6.4 7.5 8.9 10.4 Positive fracture history (%) 41.4 39.1 45.0^* 35.3^* FN-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a 0.84 (0.15) 0.85 (0.17) $ -$ T-score FN-BMD ^a -1.23 (0.93) -1.15 (1.04) $ -$ LS-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a 1.11 (0.22)* 1.14 (0.25)* $ -$ BUA (dB/MHz) ^a $ 70.9$ (16.8) 71.8 (17.6)SOS (m (n) ^a) $ 70.9$ (16.8) 71.8 (17.6)	High	25.3	29.0	24.2	27.0
VUnc 35.7 32.5 35.4 36.2 Wageningen UR $ 20.4$ 21.1 Erasmus MC 64.3 67.5 44.1 42.7 Users of folic acid and/or vit. B_{12} (%) 17.1 14.6 17.4 14.4 Osteoporotic medication use (%) 6.4 7.5 8.9 10.4 Positive fracture history (%) 41.4 39.1 45.0^* 35.3^* FN-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a 0.84 (0.15) 0.85 (0.17) $ -$ T-score FN-BMD ^a -1.23 (0.93) -1.15 (1.04) $ -$ LS-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a 1.11 (0.22)* 1.14 (0.25)* $ -$ T-score LS-BMD ^a -0.3 (1.7) -0.1 (1.9) $ -$ BUA (dB/MHz) ^a $ 70.9$ (16.8) 71.8 (17.6)	Study center (%)				
Wageningen UR $ 20.4$ 21.1 Erasmus MC 64.3 67.5 44.1 42.7 Users of folic acid and/or vit. B_{12} (%) 17.1 14.6 17.4 14.4 Osteoporotic medication use (%) 6.4 7.5 8.9 10.4 Positive fracture history (%) 41.4 39.1 45.0^* 35.3^* FN-BMD $(g/cm^2)^a$ 0.84 (0.15) 0.85 (0.17) $ -$ T-score FN-BMD ^a -1.23 (0.93) -1.15 (1.04) $ -$ LS-BMD $(g/cm^2)^a$ 1.11 (0.22)* 1.14 (0.25)* $ -$ BUA $(dB/MHz)^a$ $ 70.9$ (16.8) 71.8 (17.6)	VUmc	35.7	32.5	35.4	36.2
Erasmus MC64.367.544.142.7Users of folic acid and/or vit. B_{12} (%)17.114.617.414.4Osteoporotic medication use (%)6.47.58.910.4Positive fracture history (%)41.439.145.0*35.3*FN-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a 0.84 (0.15)0.85 (0.17)T-score FN-BMD ^a -1.23 (0.93)-1.15 (1.04)LS-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a 1.11 (0.22)*1.14 (0.25)*T-score LS-BMD ^a -0.3 (1.7)-0.1 (1.9)BUA (dB/MHz) ^a 70.9 (16.8)71.8 (17.6)	Wageningen UR	_	_	20.4	21.1
Users of folic acid and/or vit. B_{12} (%)17.114.617.414.4Osteoporotic medication use (%)6.47.58.910.4Positive fracture history (%)41.439.145.0*35.3*FN-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a 0.84 (0.15)0.85 (0.17) <i>T</i> -score FN-BMD ^a -1.23 (0.93)-1.15 (1.04)LS-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a 1.11 (0.22)*1.14 (0.25)* <i>T</i> -score LS-BMD ^a -0.3 (1.7)-0.1 (1.9)BUA (dB/MHz) ^a 70.9 (16.8)71.8 (17.6)	Erasmus MC	64.3	67.5	44.1	42.7
Osteoporotic medication use (%)6.47.58.910.4Positive fracture history (%)41.439.145.0*35.3*FN-BMD $(g/cm^2)^a$ 0.84 (0.15)0.85 (0.17)T-score FN-BMD ^a -1.23 (0.93)-1.15 (1.04)LS-BMD $(g/cm^2)^a$ 1.11 (0.22)*1.14 (0.25)*T-score LS-BMD ^a -0.3 (1.7)-0.1 (1.9)BUA $(dB/MHz)^a$ 70.9 (16.8)71.8 (17.6)	Users of folic acid and/or vit. B_{12} (%)	17.1	14.6	17.4	14.4
Positive fracture history (%)41.439.145.0* $35.3*$ FN-BMD $(g/cm^2)^a$ 0.84 (0.15)0.85 (0.17)T-score FN-BMD ^a -1.23 (0.93)-1.15 (1.04)LS-BMD $(g/cm^2)^a$ 1.11 (0.22)*1.14 (0.25)*T-score LS-BMD ^a -0.3 (1.7)-0.1 (1.9)BUA $(dB/MHz)^a$ 70.9 (16.8)71.8 (17.6)	Osteoporotic medication use (%)	6.4	7.5	8.9	10.4
FN-BMD $(g/cm^2)^a$ 0.84 (0.15)0.85 (0.17)T-score FN-BMDa-1.23 (0.93)-1.15 (1.04)LS-BMD $(g/cm^2)^a$ 1.11 (0.22)*1.14 (0.25)*T-score LS-BMDa-0.3 (1.7)-0.1 (1.9)BUA $(dB/MHz)^a$ 70.9 (16.8)71.8 (17.6)SOS $(m/z)^a$	Positive fracture history (%)	41.4	39.1	45.0*	35.3*
T -score FN-BMD ^a $-1.23 (0.93)$ $-1.15 (1.04)$ $ -$ LS-BMD $(g/cm^2)^a$ $1.11 (0.22)^*$ $1.14 (0.25)^*$ $ T$ -score LS-BMD ^a $-0.3 (1.7)$ $-0.1 (1.9)$ $ -$ BUA $(dB/MHz)^a$ $ 70.9 (16.8)$ $71.8 (17.6)$ SOS $(m/z)^a$ $ 1627 (21)$ $1522 (22)$	FN-BMD (g/cm ²) ^a	0.84 (0.15)	0.85 (0.17)	_	_
LS-BMD $(g/cm^2)^a$ 1.11 $(0.22)^*$ 1.14 $(0.25)^*$ T-score LS-BMD ^a -0.3 (1.7) -0.1 (1.9) BUA $(dB/MHz)^a$ 70.9 (16.8) 71.8 (17.6) SOS $(m/z)^a$ 1572 (21) 1572 (22)	T-score FN-BMD ^a	-1.23 (0.93)	-1.15 (1.04)	_	_
T-score LS-BMD ^a $-0.3 (1.7)$ $-0.1 (1.9)$ $ -$ BUA (dB/MHz) ^a $ -$ 70.9 (16.8) 71.8 (17.6) SOS (m (x) ^a $ -$	LS-BMD $(g/cm^2)^a$	1.11 (0.22)*	1.14 (0.25)*	_	_
BUA $(dB/MHz)^a$ - 70.9 (16.8) 71.8 (17.6) SOS $(m/z)^a$ 1522 (21) 1522 (22)	T-score LS-BMD ^a	-0.3 (1.7)	-0.1 (1.9)	_	_
	BUA (dB/MHz) ^a	_	_	70.9 (16.8)	71.8 (17.6)
- $ 1537(31)$ $1539(33)$	$SOS (m/s)^a$	_	_	1537 (31)	1539 (33)

BMD bone mineral density, QUS quantitative ultrasound, BMI body mass index, FN femoral neck, LS lumbar spine, MTHFR methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase

* *p*-value < 0.05

^a Presented as mean (standard deviation)

^b Presented as median [interquartile range]

Fable 2 Baseline, foll	dn-mo	, and change levels	of B-vitamin mark	ers in the B-F	ROOF DXA-si	ample					
	Place	bo				Inter	vention				p for difference
	n^{a}	Baseline ^b	Follow-up ^b	Change ^c	p for change	n^{a}	Baseline ^b	Follow-up ^b	Change ^c	p for change	in change
Hcy (µmol/L)	561	14.3 [12.9–16.3]	14.4 [12.7–16.9]	0.2 (3.8)	0.522	545	14.3 [12.9–16.0]	10.5 [9.2–12.0]	-4.2 (3.0)	<0.001	<0.001
Folate (nmol/L)	553	19.1 [14.8–25.3]	24.6 [20.0–31.4]	6.5 (9.9)	<0.001	541	19.8 [15.4–24.8]	51.7 [41.2-64.2]	33.3 (24.3)	<0.001	<0.001
Vitamin B ₁₂ (pmol/L)	553	268 [104–343]	289 [226–392]	70 (585)	<0.001	541	272 [218–348]	592 [461–736]	327 (186)	<0.001	<0.001
MMA (µmol/L)	551	0.21 [0.17–0.29]	0.23 [0.18-0.30]	0.02 (0.15)	<0.001	540	0.21 [0.17-0.28]	0.18 [0.15-0.22]	-0.07 (0.17)	<0.001	<0.001

<0.001

<0.001

(54)

3

26 [95-180]

70 [50-91]

545

<0.001
<0.001

-4 (34)

62 [44-82]

65 [47-88]

557

HoloTC (pmol/L)

^a Participants from the DXA-sample with both a baseline and follow-up determination of a marker were included

MMA methylmalonic acid, HoloTC holotranscobalamin

[interquartile range]

Presented as median

Presented as mean (standard deviation)

Erasmus MC, these values were 0.896 (95 % CI 0.892–0.899) and 0.898 (95 % CI 0.895–0.902) g/cm², respectively. For LS-BMD, in VUmc, estimated means after 2 years were 1.018 (95 % CI 1.011–1.024) and 1.017 (95 % CI 1.010–1.024) g/cm² in the placebo and intervention groups, respectively. In Erasmus MC, corresponding values were 1.202 (95 % CI 1.197–1.207) and 1.208 (95 % CI 1.203–1.212) g/cm². All differences were non-significant.

In the per-protocol analyses, 1069 participants were included, and results were similar to the intention-to-treat analyses (data not shown).

QUS Effects

A significant 2-year decline in BUA was observed in both the intervention group and the placebo group (both p < 0.01), whereas SOS levels did not change significantly in any of the groups (Table 3). Changes in BUA and SOS were not significantly different between treatment groups after adjustments for age, sex, and baseline values of BUA/ SOS (Fig. 3a, b). The estimated marginal means for BUA were 69.0 dB/MHz (95 % CI 68.4-69.6) in both the intervention group and in the placebo group (p = 0.96), and the estimated marginal means for SOS were 1538.1 m/s (95 % CI 1536.6–1539.6) in the intervention group versus 1537.6 m/s (95 % CI 1536.2-1539.1) in the placebo group (p = 0.67). Additional adjustments for fracture history, holotranscobalamin, smoking, vitamin B supplement use and MTHFR-genotype (BUA), or fracture history, smoking, and MTHFR-genotype (SOS) did not change the findings (data not shown). No interactions with age, sex, and baseline Hcy concentration were observed.

Results of the per-protocol analyses, including 1097 participants, did not substantially differ from the intentionto-treat analyses (data not shown). Yet, in the analyses with BUA as outcome, the interaction with age was significant (p = 0.02). Exploratory, stratified analyses showed no effect among persons ≤ 80 years, but among persons >80 years, a significant beneficial effect of the treatment was observed (p = 0.04, Fig. 4). The estimated marginal means were 64.4 dB/MHz (95 % CI 62.1–66.6) in the intervention group versus 61.0 dB/MHz (95 % CI 58.8–63.3) in the placebo group.

Discussion

This randomized controlled trial did not show an overall effect of 2-year oral folic acid and vitamin B_{12} supplementation on BMD and QUS parameters compared with the placebo. In a subgroup of persons >80 years who were compliant with the study protocol, a small but statistically

Table 3	Bone mineral	density (n	= 1111) and	quantitative ult	trasound parameter	s (n =	1165) at	baseline	and follow-up)
---------	--------------	------------	-------------	------------------	--------------------	--------	----------	----------	---------------	---

	Placebo			Intervention			
	Baseline	Follow-up	<i>p</i> -value	Baseline	Follow-up	<i>p</i> -value	
FN-BMD (g/cm ²)	0.84 (0.15)	0.83 (0.15)	< 0.01	0.85 (0.17)	0.85 (0.17)	< 0.01	
LS-BMD (g/cm ²)	1.11 (0.22)	1.12 (0.22)	< 0.01	1.14 (0.29)	1.15 (0.25)	< 0.01	
BUA (dB/MHz)	70.9 (16.8)	68.5 (17.4)	< 0.01	71.8 (17.6)	69.4 (17.9)	< 0.01	
SOS (m/s)	1537 (31)	1537 (33)	0.25	1540 (34)	1539 (35)	0.46	

FN femoral neck, LS lumbar spine, BMD bone mineral density, BUA broadband ultrasound attenuation, SOS speed of sound. Presented as mean (standard deviation)



Fig. 2 Estimated mean FN-BMD (a) and LS-BMD (b) after 2 years of intervention, adjusted for baseline FN-BMD/LS-BMD, age, and sex

significant positive effect of the B-vitamin intervention was observed on BUA.

This study is the first trial investigating the effects of vitamin B₁₂ and folic acid on QUS. Moreover, effects on BMD have not been studied before in a large, mildly hyperhomocysteinemic, but otherwise general older population. Two previous trials have been conducted, showing results that are in concordance with our findings. A Japanese trial investigated the effect of 1.5 mg vitamin B_{12} and 5 mg folic acid on hip fracture incidence and metacarpal BMD in hemiplegic post-stroke patients. In that study, no effect of a 2-year treatment on BMD was observed, while fracture incidence was strongly and significantly reduced in this specific population [6]. In addition, a small trial (n = 47) has been performed which investigated the effect of a 1-year treatment with vitamin B₁₂, B₆, and folic acid on BMD among osteoporotic patients [12]. Overall, no effects were observed in that study.



Fig. 3 Estimated mean BUA (a) and SOS (b) after 2 years of intervention, adjusted for baseline BUA/SOS, age, and sex



Fig. 4 Estimated mean BUA among compliant persons >80 years after 2 years of intervention, adjusted for baseline BUA, age, and sex

However, in participants with Hcy >15 μ mol/L (n = 8 in the intervention group), a significant increase in *T*-score was seen. In our study, no interaction effect of the treatment with baseline Hcy levels was observed. It should be

noted that in comparison to our study, Herrmann et al. used higher doses (2.5 mg folic acid, 25 mg B_6 , and 500 μ g B_{12}) [12].

QUS parameters are largely determined by BMD, but bone microarchitecture is an important determinant as well, independent of BMD [20]. QUS has been shown to be an independent predictor for fracture risk [21]; a decrease of 1 SD in BUA has been associated with a 1.4 fold increased risk of any clinical fracture [21]. We observed a mean difference in BUA of 3.4 dB/MHz (5.2 % of mean baseline BUA) between the intervention and placebo group among compliant persons >80 years. The observed difference between the groups was larger than the coefficient of variation (5.2 vs 3.4 %). However, because the spreading of BUA is relatively large (SD = 17.1), the observed effect will be of minor importance on population level. However, when applying a longer duration of intervention, it might become clinically relevant.

We observed an effect on BUA in the subgroup of compliant persons >80 years, but no effect on SOS was observed. Although the correlation between BUA and SOS is strong (R = 0.7), their measurement is based on different constructs. In addition, they have been shown to be influenced by a different set of independent determinants [22]. These differences may explain the different effects on BUA and SOS. However, a chance finding cannot be ruled out either.

Recently, we have shown within the B-PROOF study that fracture incidence was lower in the intervention group compared with placebo only when specifically addressing compliant participants aged 80 years or over [8]. The currently reported change in BUA might partly explain this age-specific treatment effect, and supports the suggestion of the role of homocysteine in bone collagen cross-linking. Unfortunately, we were not able to test the hypothesis of BUA as mediator in the age-specific treatment effect on fractures, due to a too low absolute number of fractures among participants >80 years of whom BUA data were available (n = 23). Alternatively, the lack of an effect on BMD does not completely rule out the possibility of BMD as a mediator. Participants of the DXA-subsample had to be able to visit one of the study centers and may therefore not be fully representative of the complete study population: as compared to the total sample, the DXA-subsample was significantly younger (mean age 72.6 vs 74.1, p < 0.01), with a lower percentage of persons aged >80 years (9.0 vs 16.9 %, p < 0.01). In line with this, the subgroup of persons aged >80 years with DXA was also significantly younger than the subgroup of the complete study population (mean age 83.9 vs 85.1, p < 0.01). The somewhat selective sample hampers definite conclusions about the absence of an effect of B-vitamins on BMD in persons >80 years.

It should be noted that LS-BMD increased in both treatment groups during 2 years of intervention, while FN-BMD decreased. In older persons, an increase in LS-BMD can be expected due to, for instance, degenerative changes of the spine [23, 24]. Our observation therefore supports the presumption that LS-BMD may not be a valid indicator of osteoporosis at high age [25]. It could be regarded as a limitation that baseline levels of BMD in this randomized controlled trial differed significantly between the intervention and placebo group. However, we adjusted for baseline BMD, and therefore we assume that this did not influence the results of the analyses. Another limitation of the study is the fact that all participants received 600 IU vitamin D daily, which is in line with the guidelines of the Dutch Health Council [26]. In the past, vitamin D supplementation with 400 IU daily has been shown to influence BMD up to 2.6 % [27, 28]. Effects of vitamin D may therefore have masked the possibly small effects of vitamin B_{12} and folic acid on BMD.

From the current study, we conclude that there is no overall effect of 2-year treatment with vitamin B_{12} and folic acid on BMD or QUS in hyperhomocysteinemic elderly people. Among elderly >80 years who were compliant in taking the supplement, a positive effect of the treatment on BUA was observed. This might partly explain the previously reported reduction in fracture risk in the same subgroup [8]. It is important to note that an adverse effect of our treatment with vitamin B₁₂ and folic acid on cancer incidence was observed, as has been published previously [8], implying caution in designing further research. Nonetheless, research on effects of B-vitamin treatment on other mechanisms, for instance on bone markers, computed tomography, or potentially the relatively new assessment of trabecular bone score, is warranted to reveal the additional pathways by which vitamin B₁₂ and folic acid exert a potential anti-fracture effect in hyperhomocysteinemic elderly.

Acknowledgments B-PROOF is supported and funded by The Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (ZonMw, Grant 6130.0031), the Hague; unrestricted grant from NZO (Dutch Dairy Association), Zoetermeer; Orthica, Almere; NCHA (Netherlands Consortium Healthy Ageing) Leiden/Rotterdam; Ministry of Economic Affairs, Agriculture, and Innovation (Project KB-15-004-003), the Hague; Wageningen University, Wageningen; VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam; Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam. All organizations are based in the Netherlands. The sponsors do not have any role in the design or implementation of the study, data collection, data management, data analysis, data interpretation, or in the preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript. We thank the participants of the B-PROOF study for their enthusiasm and cooperation. Furthermore, we thank the dedicated research team that conducted the study. We especially would like to thank Sandra Smits, Sadaf Oliai Araghi, Jopie Sluimer, Ans Nicolaas, Nicolette Pliester, Jos Burcksen, Paulette in 't Veld, and Miranda Hillen for their contributions to the collection of the data and their advises.

Conflict of interest PL and NMvS declare to have received an unconditional grant of Merck and Co for vitamin D assessment in Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam and PL received personal fees from Merck and Co and Bristol-Myers Squibb. The other authors (AWE, KMAS, JPvW, SCvD, ACH, EMBB, NLvdZ, RAMDR, TJMvdC, LCPGMdG, JvM, AGU, MCZ, and NvdV) all state they have no conflict of interest to declare.

Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000. Informed consent was obtained from all patients for being included in the study.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

- McLean RR, Jacques PF, Selhub J et al (2004) Homocysteine as a predictive factor for hip fracture in older persons. N Engl J Med 350:2042–2049
- van Meurs JB, Dhonukshe-Rutten RA, Pluijm SM et al (2004) Homocysteine levels and the risk of osteoporotic fracture. N Engl J Med 350:2033–2041
- Selhub J, Jacques PF, Wilson PW, Rush D, Rosenberg IH (1993) Vitamin status and intake as primary determinants of homocysteinemia in an elderly population. JAMA 270:2693–2698
- Homocysteine Lowering Trialists C (2005) Dose-dependent effects of folic acid on blood concentrations of homocysteine: a meta-analysis of the randomized trials. Am J Clin Nutr 82:806–812
- Gommans J, Yi Q, Eikelboom JW, Hankey GJ, Chen C, Rodgers H (2013) The effect of homocysteine-lowering with B-vitamins on osteoporotic fractures in patients with cerebrovascular disease: substudy of VITATOPS, a randomised placebo-controlled trial. BMC Geriatr 13:88. doi:10.1186/1471-2318-13-88
- Sato Y, Honda Y, Iwamoto J, Kanoko T, Satoh K (2005) Effect of folate and mecobalamin on hip fractures in patients with stroke: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 293:1082–1088
- Sawka AM, Ray JG, Yi Q, Josse RG, Lonn E (2007) Randomized clinical trial of homocysteine level lowering therapy and fractures. Arch Intern Med 167:2136–2139
- Van Wijngaarden JP, Swart KMA, Enneman AW et al (2014) Effect of daily vitamin B12 and folic acid supplementation on fracture incidence in elderly individuals with an elevated plasma homocysteine level: B-PROOF, a randomized controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr 100:1578–1586
- Baines M, Kredan MB, Usher J et al (2007) The association of homocysteine and its determinants MTHFR genotype, folate, vitamin B12 and vitamin B6 with bone mineral density in postmenopausal British women. Bone 40:730–736
- Gjesdal CG, Vollset SE, Ueland PM et al (2006) Plasma total homocysteine level and bone mineral density: the Hordaland Homocysteine Study. Arch Intern Med 166:88–94
- Perier MA, Gineyts E, Munoz F, Sornay-Rendu E, Delmas PD (2007) Homocysteine and fracture risk in postmenopausal women: the OFELY study. Osteoporos Int 18:1329–1336
- 12. Herrmann M, Umanskaya N, Traber L et al (2007) The effect of B-vitamins on biochemical bone turnover markers and bone

mineral density in osteoporotic patients: a 1-year double blind placebo controlled trial. Clin Chem Lab Med 45:1785–1792

- Lubec B, Fang-Kircher S, Lubec T, Blom HJ, Boers GH (1996) Evidence for McKusick's hypothesis of deficient collagen crosslinking in patients with homocystinuria. Biochim Biophys Acta 1315:159–162
- Dhonukshe-Rutten RA, Pluijm SM, de Groot LC, Lips P, Smit JH, van Staveren WA (2005) Homocysteine and vitamin B12 status relate to bone turnover markers, broadband ultrasound attenuation, and fractures in healthy elderly people. J Bone Miner Res 20:921–929
- Gerdhem P, Ivaska KK, Isaksson A et al (2007) Associations between homocysteine, bone turnover, BMD, mortality, and fracture risk in elderly women. J Bone Miner Res 22:127–134
- Enneman AW, Swart KM, Zillikens MC et al (2014) The association between plasma homocysteine levels and bone quality and bone mineral density parameters in older persons. Bone 63:141–146. doi:10.1016/j.bone.2014.03.002
- van Wijngaarden JP, Dhonukshe-Rutten RA, van Schoor NM (2011) Rationale and design of the B-PROOF study, a randomized controlled trial on the effect of supplemental intake of vitamin B12 and folic acid on fracture incidence. BMC Geriatr 11:80. doi:10.1186/1471-2318-11-80
- Cagnacci A, Bagni B, Zini A, Cannoletta M, Generali M, Volpe A (2008) Relation of folates, vitamin B12 and homocysteine to vertebral bone mineral density change in postmenopausal women. A five-year longitudinal evaluation. Bone 42:314–320. doi:10. 1016/j.bone.2007.10.022
- Krieg MA, Jacquet AF, Bremgartner M, Cuttelod S, Thiebaud D, Burckhardt P (1999) Effect of supplementation with vitamin D3 and calcium on quantitative ultrasound of bone in elderly institutionalized women: a longitudinal study. Osteoporos Int 9:483–488
- Cortet B, Boutry N, Dubois P, Legroux-Gerot I, Cotten A, Marchandise X (2004) Does quantitative ultrasound of bone reflect more bone mineral density than bone microarchitecture? Calcif Tissue Int 74:60–67
- Moayyeri A, Adams JE, Adler RA, Krieg M-A, Hans D, Compston J, Lewiecki EM (2012) Quantitative ultrasound of the heel and fracture risk assessment: an updated meta-analysis. Osteoporos Int 23:11. doi:10.1007/s00198-011-1817-5
- Canhao H, Lucas R, Fonseca JE, Costa L, Romeu JC, Branco J, Barros H (2008) Factors influencing calcaneus quantitative ultrasound measurements in an urban population. Clin Exp Rheunatol 26:67–72
- Masud T, Langley S, Wiltshire P, Doyle DV, Spector TD (1993) Effect of spinal osteophytosis on bone mineral density measurements in vertebral osteoporosis. BMJ 307:172–173
- 24. Wang Y, Boyd SK, Battie MC, Yasui Y, Videman T (2011) Is greater lumbar vertebral BMD associated with more disk degeneration? A study using microCT and discography. J Bone Miner Res 26:2785–2791. doi:10.1002/jbmr.476
- Richtlijn Osteoporose en Fractuurpreventie (2011) derde herziening. Kwaliteitsinstituut voor de Gezondheidszorg CBO, Utrecht
- 26. Gezondheidsraad. Evaluatie van de voedingsnormen voor vitamine D (2012) The Hague: Gezondheidsraad
- Dawson-Hughes B, Dallal GE, Krall EA, Harris S, Sokoll LJ, Falconer G (1991) Effect of vitamin D supplementation on wintertime and overall bone loss in healthy postmenopausal women. Ann Intern Med 115:505–512
- Ooms ME, Roos JC, Bezemer PD, van der Vijgh WJ, Bouter LM, Lips P (1995) Prevention of bone loss by vitamin D supplementation in elderly women: a randomized double-blind trial. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 80:1052–1058

Deringer