*Research Article*

# Deposition of PEDOT: PSS Nanoparticles as a Conductive Microlayer Anode in OLEDs Device by Desktop Inkjet Printer

## S. Ummartyotin,[1] J. Juntaro,[2] C. Wu,[2] M. Sain,[2] and H. Manuspiya[1]

[1] *The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Center of Excellence for Petroleum, Petrochemicals and Advanced Materials,*
*Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand*
[2] *Center for Biocomposites and Biomaterials Processing, Faculty of Forestry, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada M5S 3B3*

Correspondence should be addressed to M. Sain, m.sain@utoronto.ca and H. Manuspiya, hathaikarn.m@chula.ac.th

A simple microfabrication technique for delivering macromolecules and patterning microelectrode arrays using desktop inkjet printer was described. Aqueous solution of nanoparticle of poly (3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT) doped with polystyrene sulfonic acid (PSS) was prepared while its particle size, the surface tension, and the viscosity of the solution were adjusted to be suitable for deposition on a flexible cellulose nanocomposite substrate via inkjet printer. The statistical average of PEDOT: PSS particle size of 100 nm was observed. The microthickness, surface morphology, and electrical conductivity of the printed substrate were then characterized by profilometer, atomic force microscope (AFM), and four-point probe electrical measurement, respectively. The inkjet deposition of PEDOT: PSS was successfully carried out, whilst retained its transparency feature. Highly smooth surface (roughness ∼23–44 nm) was achieved.

## 1. Introduction

Reliable, low cost and optimized properties are fundamental prerequisites for researches in advanced surface coating and technology. Especially, in the field of electronic and photonic devices, there have been numerous publications on thin film formation via chemical vapor deposition (CVD) [1–3], physical vapor deposition (PVD) [4], vacuum deposition [5, 6], and plasma technique [7]. Even though these methods are excellent for thin film deposition, their major drawbacks are their requirement for special instrument accessory, high cost, and high energy consumption.

In contrast, inkjet printing [8–13] is a commonly used deposition technique for direct patterning or row-column pixel assemblies. It is rapid and simple, with lower operating cost than any vapor deposition technique. In addition, it offers a noncontact patterning feature. This technique has been applied in a variety of materials including ceramics, metals, polymers, and composite. A standard desktop computer and a standard inkjet printer can control the delivery of small volume of ink for precise patterns. Inkjet printing technique has attracted interests of many academia and industries for commercial market of electronic device such as organic light emitting diodes (OLED) [14], thin film transistors [15], and solar cells [16]. To effectively apply the inkjet printing technique, parameters such as substrate properties, process parameters, and ink solution properties have to be optimized. In general, inkjet printers often require conductive polymer size below 200 nm in order to prevent the clogging of print-head nozzles and to avoid the agglomeration among particle, as suggested by inkjet printer supplier.

Furthermore, ink formulation is also a key parameter. Several literatures propose conductive inks dedicated to inkjet printing process by using conductive polymer such as PEDOT: PSS (poly (3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) poly(styrenesulfonate)) [17, 18]. This conductive polymer is commonly used in optoelectronic device due to its solution processability, high transparency in the visible wavelength, and excellent thermal stability. PEDOT: PSS is a promising candidate to replace indium tin oxide (ITO) as a transparent electrode in optoelectronic device. There is an imminent need to find new transparent conductive materials to replace

ITO, since indium is a scarce material in earth while market for optoelectronic devices has been rapidly expanding [19]. In addition, as PEDOT: PSS has high mechanical flexibility, it could be used in flexible electronic devices that are expected to be the next generation of electronic devices. However, PEDOT: PSS has a problem of low conductivity. The film obtained from the neat PEDOT: PSS aqueous solution usually has remarkably lower conductivity than ITO. Therefore, it is important to significantly enhance the conductivity of PEDOT: PSS. According to several literatures, the conductivity enhancement can be achieved by adding small amount of solvent to the ink formulation [20–22]. The ink solution properties such as viscosity and surface tension also have to be controlled by appropriate solvent and surfactant.

Our earlier work has preliminarily evaluated the physical and electrical properties of flexible cellulose nanocomposite for its potential use as a substrate for optoelectronic device, especially for OLED application. Additional information on the preparation of flexible nanocomposite substrate, its characterization and scientific properties has been reported elsewhere [23]. In this work, the deposition of PEDOT: PSS solution on flexible cellulose nanocomposite substrate was evaluated. Process parameters and ink solution properties were investigated for printed electronic applications. Conductive patterns were printed using a PEDOT: PSS solution modified with organic solvent for electrical conductivity enhancement.

## 2. Experimental

*2.1. Materials.* Poly (3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT) doped with polystyrene sulfonic acid (PSS) and Triton X-100 was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Company (Oakville, ON, Canada). They were used as ink suspension and surfactant, respectively. Surfynol DF-1100 defoamer was from Air Products Company (Allentown, PA, USA). Glycerol in reagent grade (>99% Reagent Plus) was purchased from Bioshop Canada Inc. (University of Toronto, Canada).

The flexible substrate preparation was successfully prepared by Wu [23]. The nanoscale cellulose was reinforced by polyurethane-based resin (Sartomer Company Inc., Exton, PA, USA) as nanocomposite substrate. The polyurethane-based resin was stored in the darkroom in order to preferably avoid light sensitive problem prior to use. All of them were used as received.

*2.2. Instruments.* The Dimatix DMP-2800 inkjet printer (Fujifilm Dimatix, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) was used to deposit conductive solution on $50 \times 50$ mm$^2$ substrate with a disposable piezo inkjet cartridge. The cartridge reservoir contained 2 mL of conductive polymer solution. The temperature of vacuum plate, which secured the substrate in place, was adjusted to 60°C. The conductive solution was diluted with 50 wt% deionized water and filtered by nanosize filter before usage.

The particle size of conductive polymer was investigated by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) Hitachi H-7000. The image obtained was processed with computer for the

identification of the domains in which certain lattice fringes appear.

The film thickness was measured by Talysurf 10 profilometer, (Taylor Hobson Ltd., Leicester, UK) equipped with a diamond cursor running on the object surface and following local surface irregularities. The cursor was linked with an optical transductor. The vertical displacements were recorded by a photoelectric cell. The diamond point was 2.5 $\mu$m in thickness. Each measurement was 2.5 mm in length with an interval of 50 $\mu$m separating two parallel measurements. For the given test line, the roughness was defined in micrometer as the arithmetical mean of peaks above and under baseline. The mean thickness was an average of five successive measurements.

The electrical conductivity was measured by the four-point probe technique. This device was specified to have in-line fixed four probes made of solid tungsten carbide needles with 0.4 mm diameter. The nominal radius of a probe tip was 100 $\mu$m. Equal probe spacing, $S$, was 1 mm, and downward force was approximately 0.2 kg per probe. A constant current, $I$, was applied to the bar specimen through two outside probes, and, $V$, was then determined. A DC precision power source and nanovoltmeter were used for all measurements. The electrical current $I = 1$ mA was maintained in all measurements.

The surface tension was measured by tensiometer technique with Wilhelmy plate. The paper was cut into 10 mm wide and 1 mm thick. For each withdrawing velocity of the Wilhelmy plate, at least ten times of dynamic meniscus were recorded and the coordinates of their contours were obtained.

The viscosity was investigated by Ubbelohde canon-type viscometer. The measurement used a capillary-based method of measuring viscosity. The measurement was repeated five times, and the statistical average was reported. This experiment was conducted at room temperature. Water was used as reference.

The surface morphology and topology were investigated by AFM (Digital Instruments Nanoscope III Scanning Probe Microscope, Digital Instruments, CA, USA).

The instrument was equipped with silicon nitride tip and operated in the noncontact mode. The scanning parameters were as follows: scanning rate 5 $\mu$m/s, resolution 300, and scan range at $50 \times 50$ $\mu$m. The image was taken from the optimized force between tip and sample at ambient temperature.

### 2.3. Methods

*2.3.1. Physical Chemistry of PEDOT: PSS Solution.* Poly (3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT) doped with polystyrene sulfonic acid (PSS) in aqueous solution as received was diluted with 50 wt% of deionized water. 5 wt% of Triton X-100 was added into the solution as a surfactant, followed by 1 wt% of Surfynol DF-1100 as a defoamer. Glycerol in reagent grade was consequently dropped in 1 wt% to enhance the conductivity of PEDOT: PSS. Then, the conductive polymer solution was homogeneously stirred and stored in the refrigerator. TEM, tensiometer and Ubbelohde canon

2. tif
Cal: 2.411 pix/nm
11:42 07/19/10

100 nm
HV = 100.0 kV
Direct Mag: 50000x

FIGURE 1: TEM image of PEDOT: PSS nanoparticle in aqueous solution.

type viscometer were employed to investigate particle size, surface tension, and viscosity, respectively.

*2.3.2. PEDOT: PSS Film Formation by Desktop Inkjet Printer.* 1 mL of the ink solution was loaded into inkjet cartridge reservoir. The plate temperature was set to 50°C. 1, 3, 5 and 10 layers were deposited on substrate. Film thickness and electrical conductivity were subsequently determined by profilometer and four-point probe measurement, respectively.

## 3. Results and Discussion

*3.1. Physical Chemistry of PEDOT: PSS Solution.* Nanoscale size of PEDOT: PSS particle in aqueous solution was observed by TEM. Figure 1 exhibits the particles in irregular shape with good homogeneity in aqueous solution. After filtering, the particle size is in the range of ~100–200 nm as the nozzle size of cartridge printhead is 200 nm in diameter [24]. In general, the wide particle size distribution was preferred to fulfill the free space between each particle. Higher packing efficiency of particle with different sizes offers higher electrical conductivity [25]. Higher packing efficiency will also help to avoid the problem of crack phenomena [26] in electronic device fabrication.

*Remark 1.* 1 wt% of Surfynol DF-1100 was added as defoamer in order to be well compatible with substrate and to prevent form during load into cartridge reservoir, suggested by supplier.

Table 1 shows contact angle, viscosity, surface tension, and conductivity of PEDOT: PSS in 3 different formulations. The deionized water was added in order to dilute conductive polymer solution, following the PEDOT: PSS

supplier's suggestion, as well as to adjust the viscosity of the ink for inkjet printing. On the other hand, glycerol was added to improve electrical conductivity [21, 22, 27]. Kim et al. [22] suggested that adding small amount of organic solvent could make PEDOT chain shifted from a coil structure to an expanded coil or a linear structure, based on electron spin resonance (ESR) microscopy and electrochemical experiments. Such conformational changes may lead to a change in the morphology of the PEDOT: PSS film. The free ion generated from organic solvent can be trapped with polymer chain, so called "polyelectrolyte", enhancing the mobility of free ion along polymer main chain [28]. However, it is important to note that only the appropriate amount organic solvent can be added for conductivity enhancement. Excessive solvent can lead to network structure formation among linear polymer chain and reduce the overall conductivity.

The surface tension of PEDOT: PSS decreased from 71 to 48 mN/m after adding surfactant, defoamer, and conductivity enhancer. In general, adding low concentration of surfactant is useful where micelle formation or microemulsion dominates. In this experiment, PEDOT was preferentially dissolved in the micelle assembly because of its hydrophobic nature. Adding the surfactant led to PEDOT particle connection as a consequence of the decrease in surface energy and interfacial tension between PEDOT: PSS solution and substrate.

The decrease in the surface tension of PEDOT: PSS was supported by the decrease in its contact angle. In this experiment, a small liquid was dropped on a flat horizontal solid glass surface. The shape of the droplet is determined by the Young's relation [29, 30]. The contact angle of PEDOT: PSS in aqueous solution at ambient temperature decreased from 44 to 38°. The liquid was strongly attracted to the solid surface and completely spread on the solid surface.

On the other hand, the viscosity decreased from 15 to 6 cP after the surfactant, the defoamer, and the conductivity enhancer were added to PEDOT: PSS solution. The as-received PEDOT: PSS solution offered viscosity of ~15 cP while the acceptable range of viscosity of ink for use in our particular inkjet printer is between 2–10 cP, as suggested by Dimatix DMP-2800 supplier. The role of deionized water as diluent was added to decrease the viscosity of fluid. The viscosity of the ink solution was in the range of acceptable viscosity for Dimatix DMP-2800.

*3.2. PEDOT: PSS Film Formation by Desktop Inkjet Printer.* Table 2 exhibits the film thickness as a function of printing layers. Here, PEDOT: PSS diluted with 50 wt% of deionized water + 5 wt% Triton X + 1 wt% Surfynol DF-1100 + 1 wt% Glycerol was used as this formulation offered the highest conductivity. The film was successfully deposited by inkjet printer. It is a general practice that the film thickness is controlled to be as thin as possible to prevent the accumulation of electric charge mobility at the interface layer [31, 32]. As traditional electronic devices are fabricated on rigid material [33, 34], the preferred nanoscale thickness can be achieved to avoid the accumulation of electric charge mobility. Our fabrication was expected to find application in

TABLE 1: Contact angle, viscosity, surface tension, and conductivity of PEDOT: PSS ink formulation.

| Ink formulation | Contact angle (°) | Viscosity (cP) | Surface tension (mN/m) | Conductivity (S/m) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| PEDOT: PSS as received | 44 | 15 | 62 | 0.1 |
| PEDOT: PSS diluted with 50 wt% of deionized water | 40 | 8 | 71 | 0.05 |
| PEDOT: PSS diluted with 50 wt% of deionized water + 5 wt% Triton X + 1 wt% Surfynol DF-1100 + 1 wt% Glycerol | 38 | 6 | 48 | 3.4 |

TABLE 2: The numbers of layer and film thickness measured by profilometer.

| Number of layer | Thickness (micron) |
|---|---|
| 1 | 1.45 |
| 3 | 4.94 |
| 5 | 8.23 |
| 10 | 15.23 |



FIGURE 3: The linear relationship between number of layer and conductivity value.

TABLE 3: The relationship of numbers of layer and resistivity and conductivity.

| Numbers of layer | 3 | 5 | 10 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Resistivity ($\Omega$-cm) | 25 | 0.76 | 0.07 |
| Conductivity (S/cm) | 0.04 | 1.31 | 14.89 |



FIGURE 2: Schematic diagram of test circuit for measuring bar specimen resistivity with the four-point probe method.

flexible display device. Therefore, the thickness of anode layer was increased from nano- to microscale range to maintain the device lifetime and to avoid crack phenomena when the device is bended [26].

Furthermore, electrical conductivity samples with different thickness were determined by four-point probe method [35, 36]. The schematic diagram of the apparatus is exhibited in Figure 2. By passing a current through two outer probes and measuring the voltage through the inner probes, the substrate resistivity can be determined.

Conductivity, denoted by $\sigma$, was determined from sheet resistance data according to the definition of resistivity ($\rho$) [37].

$$\text{Conductivity} = \frac{1}{(\text{Resistivity})}. \tag{1}$$

Table 3 illustrates the electrical resistivity and conductivity of samples printed with 3–10 layers of PEDOT: PSS. Electrical conductivity of PEDOT: PSS anode layer depends on a number of factors including conformation structure of polymer chain, content and distribution as well as the processing method and conditions. However, the most significant parameter determining the properties of PEDOT: PSS anode is the number of deposited layer. As a rule, the conductivity of film increases with increasing the number of deposited layer. In our experiment, in case of one deposited layer, the resistivity was beyond the capability of the four-point probe apparatus as the deposition was not sufficient. Three, five, and ten deposited layers were successfully measured by the electrical properties.

Figure 3 exhibits the number of layer and conductivity relationship. In the case of OLEDs device [38], the optimization between the small amount of number of layer and conductivity must be considered in order to preserve high transparency. In OLEDs theory, light is generated after the combination of positive and negative charge at emissive layer. The direction of light is passed through the transparent anode. According to Figure 4, the photograph exhibited that 3 and 5 deposited layers still yielded transparent properties. In the case of 1 deposited layer, the transparency is clear, although its electrical conductivity is too low to be detected. As for 10 deposited layers, the electrical conductivity is high,

(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

Figure 4: The photograph of PEDOT: PSS as anode by desktop inkjet printer.



Figure 5: AFM investigation of PEDOT: PSS coated on resin in (a) 3 and (b) 5 layers, respectively.

but the transparency became too low to be useful for OLEDs application.

Figure 5 illustrates AFM image taken with lateral contact mode. AFM image with scan size of $50 \times 50\,\mu m^2$ was acquired for the surface morphological observation. In Figure 5, three and five layers of deposited PEDOT: PSS film was investigated. The root mean square (rms) surface roughness values of these 3 and 5 layers are 23 and 44 nm, respectively, indicating that smooth surface of PEDOT: PSS can be deposited on cellulose nanocomposite substrate. Legnani et al. [39] reported the roughness of bacterial cellulose sheet as $\sim$223.5 nm with scan size of $5 \times 5\,\mu m^2$; therefore, the PEDOT: PSS deposition has significantly improved the smoothness of the substrate surface. It can be concluded that desktop inkjet printer was successfully applied to carry out the coating of uniform surface on anode for OLEDs device.

## 4. Conclusion

The excellent uniformity of PEDOT: PSS thin film has been successfully deposited on cellulose nanocomposite substrate by desktop inkjet printer. The conductivity of adjusted PEDOT: PSS solution with adding 1 wt% of glycerol can be enhanced up to 10 S/cm when multilayer was deposited, indicating the benefit of desktop inkjet printing technique in anode deposition for a flexible OLED device.

## Acknowledgments

## References

[1] A. C. Jones, H. C. Aspinall, and P. R. Chalker, "Molecular design of improved precursors for the MOCVD of oxides used in microelectronics," *Surface and Coatings Technology*, vol. 201, no. 22-23, pp. 9046–9054, 2007.

[2] D. Pavlidis, K. Hong, K. Hein, and Y. Kwon, "Material and device properties of MOCVD grown InAlAs/InGaAs HEMTs," *Solid-State Electronics*, vol. 38, no. 9, pp. 1697–1701, 1995.

[3] Y. K. Ko, D. S. Park, B. S. Seo et al., "Studies of cobalt thin films deposited by sputtering and MOCVD," *Materials Chemistry and Physics*, vol. 80, no. 2, pp. 560–564, 2003.

[4] Y. Bao, J. Gao, and D. T. Gawne, "Crack formation and its prevention in PVD films on epoxy coatings," *Surface and Coatings Technology*, vol. 205, no. 1, pp. 15–21, 2010.

[5] L. R. Pederson, P. Singh, and X. D. Zhou, "Application of vacuum deposition methods to solid oxide fuel cells," *Vacuum*, vol. 80, no. 10, pp. 1066–1083, 2006.

[6] J. Affinito, "A new method for vacuum deposition of polymer films," *Thin Solid Films*, vol. 420-421, pp. 1–7, 2002.

[7] H. Kim, M. D. Foster, H. Jiang, S. Tullis, T. J. Bunning, and C. F. Majkrzak, "Interface structure of photonic multilayers prepared by plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition," *Polymer*, vol. 45, no. 10, pp. 3175–3184, 2004.

[8] T. S. Hansen, O. Hassager, N. B. Larsen, and N. B. Clark, "Micropatterning of a stretchable conductive polymer using inkjet printing and agarose stamping," *Synthetic Metals*, vol. 157, no. 22-23, pp. 961–967, 2007.

[9] B. Chen, T. Cui, Y. Liu, and K. Varahramyan, "All-polymer RC filter circuits fabricated with inkjet printing technology," *Solid-State Electronics*, vol. 47, no. 5, pp. 841–847, 2003.

[10] Y. Liu, T. Cui, and K. Varahramyan, "All-polymer capacitor fabricated with inkjet printing technique," *Solid-State Electronics*, vol. 47, no. 9, pp. 1543–1548, 2003.

[11] H. S. Koo, M. Chen, P. C. Pan et al., "Fabrication and chromatic characteristics of the greenish LCD colour-filter layer with nano-particle ink using inkjet printing technique," *Displays*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 124–129, 2006.

[12] D. Deganello, J. A. Cherry, D. T. Gethin, and T. C. Claypole, "Patterning of micro-scale conductive networks using reel-to-reel flexographic printing," *Thin Solid Films*, vol. 518, no. 21, pp. 6113–6116, 2010.

[13] N. E. Sanjana and S. B. Fuller, "A fast flexible ink-jet printing method for patterning dissociated neurons in culture," *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, vol. 136, no. 2, pp. 151–163, 2004.

[14] B. Geffroy, P. le Roy, and C. Prat, "Organic light-emitting diode (OLED) technology: Materials, devices and display technologies," *Polymer International*, vol. 55, no. 6, pp. 572–582, 2006.

[15] S. Wagner, H. Gleskova, I. C. Cheng, and M. Wu, "Silicon for thin-film transistors," *Thin Solid Films*, vol. 430, no. 1-2, pp. 15–19, 2003.

[16] K. X. Steirer, J. J. Berry, M. O. Reese et al., "Ultrasonically sprayed and inkjet printed thin film electrodes for organic solar cells," *Thin Solid Films*, vol. 517, no. 8, pp. 2781–2786, 2009.

[17] Y. Yoshioka and G. E. Jabbour, "Desktop inkjet printer as a tool to print conducting polymers," *Synthetic Metals*, vol. 156, no. 11–13, pp. 779–783, 2006.

[18] B. Ballarin, A. Fraleoni-Morgera, D. Frascaro, S. Marazzita, C. Piana, and L. Setti, "Thermal inkJet microdeposition of PEDOT: PSS on ITO-coated glass and characterization of the obtained film," *Synthetic Metals*, vol. 146, no. 2, pp. 201–205, 2004.

[19] A. Chipman, "A Commodity no more, the flat-screen television boom has materials scientists scrambling to replace the valuable metal oxide that coats the screens," *Nature*, vol. 449, no. 13, 2007.

[20] A. Onorato, M. A. Invernale, I. D. Berghorn, C. Pavlik, G. A. Sotzing, and M. B. Smith, "Enhanced conductivity in sorbitol-treated PEDOT-PSS. Observation of an in situ cyclodehydration reaction," *Synthetic Metals*, vol. 160, no. 21-22, pp. 2284–2289, 2010.

[21] J. Ouyang, Q. Xu, C. W. Chu, Y. Yang, G. Li, and J. Shinar, "On the mechanism of conductivity enhancement in poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene):poly(styrene sulfonate) film through solvent treatment," *Polymer*, vol. 45, no. 25, pp. 8443–8450, 2004.

[22] J. Y. Kim, J. H. Jung, D. E. Lee, and J. Joo, "Enhancement of electrical conductivity of poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene)/poly(4-styrenesulfonate) by a change of solvents," *Synthetic Metals*, vol. 126, no. 2-3, pp. 311–316, 2002.

[23] C. Wu, *Production and Characterization of Optically Transparent Nanocomposite Film*, Faculty of Forestry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada, 2010.

[24] P. D. Angelo and R. R. Farnood, "Poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene): poly(styrene sulfonate) inkjet inks doped with carbon nanotubes and a polar solvent: the effect of formulation and adhesion on conductivity," *Journal of Adhesion Science and Technology*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 643–659, 2010.

[25] A. K. Amert, D.-H. Oh, and N.-S. Kim, "A simulation and experimental study on packing of nanoinks to attain better conductivity," *Journal of Applied Physics*, vol. 108, no. 10, Article ID 102806, 4 pages, 2010.

[26] S. Olliges, P. A. Gruber, S. Orso et al., "In situ observation of cracks in gold nano-interconnects on flexible substrates," *Scripta Materialia*, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 175–178, 2008.

[27] Y. Xia and J. Ouyang, "Anion effect on salt-induced conductivity enhancement of poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene):poly (styrenesulfonate) films," *Organic Electronics: Physics, Materials, Applications*, vol. 11, pp. 1129–1135, 2010.

[28] Y. Xu, J. Feng, Y. Shang, and H. Liu, "Molecular dynamics simulation for the effect of chain length of spacer and tail of cationic surfactant on the complex with anionic polyelectrolyte," *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 560–565, 2007.

[29] P. Roura and J. Fort, "Local thermodynamic derivation of Young's equation," *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, vol. 272, no. 2, pp. 420–429, 2004.

[30] A. I. Rusanov, A. K. Shchekin, and D. V. Tatyanenko, "The line tension and the generalized Young equation: the choice of dividing surface," *Colloids and Surfaces A*, vol. 250, no. 1–3, pp. 263–268, 2004.

[31] K. Book, H. Bässler, V. R. Nikitenko, and A. Elschner, "Transient behaviour of charge carriers in multilayer organic light-emitting diodes: experiment and theory," *Synthetic Metals*, vol. 111-112, no. 1, pp. 263–267, 2000.

[32] G. Paasch, A. Nesterov, and S. Scheinert, "Simulation of organic light emitting diodes: influence of charges localized near the electrodes," *Synthetic Metals*, vol. 139, no. 2, pp. 425–432, 2003.

[33] Z. B. Wang, M. G. Helander, X. F. Xu et al., "Optical design of organic light emitting diodes," *Journal of Applied Physics*, vol. 109, no. 5, Article ID 053107, 2011.

[34] D. P. Puzzo, M. G. Helander, P. G. Obrien et al., "Organic light-emitting diode microcavities from transparent conducting metal oxide photonic crystals," *Nano Letters*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 1457–1462, 2011.

[35] M. A. Green and M. W. Gunn, "Four point probe Hall effect and resistivity measurements upon semiconductors," *Solid State Electronics*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 577–585, 1972.

[36] L. J. Swartzendruber, "Four-point probe measurement of non-uniformities in semiconductor sheet resistivity," *Solid State Electronics*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 413–422, 1964.

[37] M. Heaney, *Electrical Conductivity and Resistivity*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla, USA, 2000.

[38] B. Geffroy, P. le Roy, and C. Prat, "Organic light-emitting diode (OLED) technology: materials, devices and display technologies," *Polymer International*, vol. 55, no. 6, pp. 572–582, 2006.

[39] C. Legnani, C. Vilani, V. L. Calil et al., "Bacterial cellulose membrane as flexible substrate for organic light emitting devices," *Thin Solid Films*, vol. 517, no. 3, pp. 1016–1020, 2008.