

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Illustrations.....	
Foreword.....	
Acknowledgments.....	
Introduction	
The purpose of this book.....	
Part I	
Identity and Voice.....	
Chapter 1	
The Challenge to Write: The Warp, The Weft and The Space between.....	
Chapter 2	
Uisge Beatha: The ebb and flow of four tides.....	
Chapter 3	
Beginnings, Endings and the Journey Between.....	
Chapter 4	
Finding my Voice.....	
Part II	
Writing the Wor(l)d.....	
Chapter 5	
Dinawan Dreaming: Seeing the darkness or the stars.....	
Chapter 6	
Conflict, Adversity and Reconciliation.....	
Chapter 7	
Understanding and acting through conversational spaces.....	

Chapter 8	
Relationships: Darkness, Light and Shades in Between.....	
Chapter 9	
Writers' journeys: Ways of being, knowing and doing.....	
Part III	
Writing for Personal and Professional Transformation.....	
Chapter 10	
Constraints, Creativity and Challenges: Educators and students writing together.....	
Chapter 11	
Seeming, Being and Becoming.....	
Chapter 12	
Seeming, Being and Becoming: Lifelong Learning and Teacher Transformation.....	
Chapter 13	
Reconceptualising meaning-making and embracing disruptive inquiry.....	
Chapter 14	
The Writer's Journey – Research and Transformation.....	
Afterword.....	
List of Contributors.....	

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

- 2-1 Uisge Beatha as a metaphor: the water of life
- 2-2 Extract from original birth certificate
- 2-3 Extract from the front page of 'Bulletin'
- 2-4 Labels used in Auschwitz
- 2-5 Tattoos used to label prisoners, Auschwitz
- 2-6 Writing as research: A reflective process
- 5-1 Indigenous research and teaching approach
- 5-2 Dinawan Dreaming by Donna Moodie
- 10-1 First layout (A4 canvas size on Photoshop CS5)
- 10-2 Simplified layout
- 10-3 Diagonal panel creates a more dynamic feel
- 10-4 The map
- 10-5 The character ageing
- 10-6 Reversing stereotypes – 8 year old student's writing
- 10-7 Year 2 student's poem

FOREWORD

At the heart of all imaginative writing – and all writing makes demands on the imagination to a certain extent – is a mystery: whatever it is that the writer is trying to reach or touch in herself/himself in the process of writing.

One way of naming this mystery is to call it ‘voice’ – the voice of the writer that is making itself heard in the world, or is already a powerful presence, or whose small utterances are all but stifled, or are audible mostly as the echoes of other voices; but nonetheless possessing the idiosyncratic rhythms and timbre of its owner-maker, what the poet and novelist Helen Dunmore calls each writer’s ‘linguistic register’, more vital in establishing his or her distinctiveness than content (Dunmore 2012). Think how a baby’s cry, devoid of any words, is instantly recognisable to the baby’s mother.

Writers, unlike other artists, Dunmore reminds us, use the common or garden medium of language, a doughty work-horse of an instrument, pressed into service by everybody for everything from shopping lists to car manuals, news bulletins, protestations of love, of rage. Despite the instantaneousness of digital communication, ours remains a text-based, in the old sense, society – in our education systems, credentials are still awarded on the basis of discursive text in forms like the one-hour essay composed under examination conditions or a doctoral thesis painstakingly put together over several years. For those employed in higher education, in the civil service and in most professions, the authored text – journal article, ministerial speech, application for grant-funding, end-of-project report, edited book, counsel’s brief, detailed lesson plan – continues to serve as a principal marker of status attained or credibility sought. Because such discourses are by and large instrumental, people may experience writing within them as imposed, performative, straitening, even as they become adept at (re)producing the desired texts, and even as they undoubtedly take pleasure in doing so. They are joining a club, learning to speak the language; they are becoming authors, acquiring authority.

Perhaps inevitably, then, people often assume that it is only in ‘personal’ or ‘creative’ writing that one’s own voice can be truly, authentically expressed – the still small voice above the thunder of the crowd, the chatter of the club. Myself, I’ve come – over many years of writing for academic and policy audiences, as well writing poetry,

sometimes both at the same time – to doubt that, or at least not to take it for granted. First, there is no guarantee that ‘personal’ or ‘creative’ writing *per se* will be fresh and new, uncontaminated by received ideas or ingrained habits of thought. The spontaneous, untutored self can find it all too easy to reproduce clichés of emotion as well as of linguistic expression. The cultivation of a true, truthful ‘voice’ takes hard work, practice, trial and error, deliberate immersion in the best of what has been written in a diversity of traditions, the ability to take and use criticism. Richard Sennett reckons that it takes 10,000 hours of disciplined practice to become proficient in a skill, however brilliant one’s initial talent (Sennett 2008).

Secondly, a good place to learn some of the craft of writing is in wrestling with that funding proposal or briefing paper. Having to convince other people that you have a sound rationale and a sense of logical progression, that you can put complex facts or ideas into comprehensible, persuasive language within restrictions of word-length or time-frame, that you have a story to tell in your own words, is a really useful discipline. So I think we should beware of polarising ‘personal’ and ‘professional’ writing, and allow ourselves the little wriggle of satisfaction that comes from finishing a well-structured, cogently-expressed school policy or student handbook – it’s qualitatively no different from the gift of realising, as we read it aloud, that our poem has, at last, on the *n*th draft, come out ‘right’... This means, of course, that we must also learn to *listen*.

But what does all this have to do with the business of teaching and teaching teachers? Well, on the most superficial level, teachers have to get on with the production of routine ‘educational’ texts like the above-mentioned lesson plans, student reports, academic assignments, and so forth; exerting ownership over even these fairly routine pieces of writing contributes to professional satisfaction. On another level, it’s obvious that if young people in schools are being expected or invited to spend time and effort on ‘creative writing’ then their teachers should have some direct experience of what this entails – at the very least, so as to avoid putting students in the emotional or intellectual impasse of feeling that they ‘have nothing to say’ or that the words they’ve managed to type are ‘pathetic’ because the preparation, context, environment, support and/or feedback have been inadequate. This much we should take as read.

But the book raises some deeper and very challenging questions about the role of imaginative writing in teaching and teacher education, including:

- if (as I'm inclined to think) the *aesthetic criteria* for assessing a poem (or short story) within the discourse of literary criticism are different from – even contrary to – the *developmental criteria* for assessing the self-same poem (or story) within the discourse of professional learning, can we make those differences explicit and meaningful? what are the implications for how tutors and students approach imaginative writing?
- similarly, what are the assessment criteria for deploying 'creative' writing as *a mode of investigation* and/or *data representation* within the discourse of research? and what are the implications for how people can and should approach imaginative writing in this context?
- what are teachers trying to achieve when they invite children to write poems (or stories)? Are they, for example, teaching elements of literature through direct experience of the genre; introducing and supporting the disciplined practice of imaginative work; encouraging 'self-expression' – and *for what educational purpose?* the current 'creativity agenda' is not sufficient justification, as I've argued elsewhere (Saunders 2011).

There aren't easy answers to these questions, naturally, but I'd like to spend just a few moments thinking about other possible kinds of connection between teaching and writing. The writer and radical educator Peter Abbs has laid out what he sees as the four tasks of the poet (Abbs 2006) – the existential, linguistic, cultural and metaphysical tasks, respectively. Applying poetic licence to his notion of 'poet', let's use it here to mean 'writer'. In summary, the first task of the writer is to be *existentially grounded* – the writer's work is to understand and make manifest his/her own vision, give cognitive shape and expressive form to a particular human state of being.

The second task is to be hyper-aware of *language*. A poem is something made in language, for the love of language. But because our language is polluted and impotent, says Abbs, a poet's task is also to cleanse the language – which may be achieved as much through silences and stutterings as through articulation and articulacy. Silence has a power, a presence, from which every poem arises and into which it passes; a poem is cleansed by the silence on either side of it, it does not seek to occupy or colonise the silence.

The third task of the poet/writer is to engage with the *cultural dimension*, the collective tradition, ‘the ancestors’, whoever they may be. Poets are archaeologists of the imagination, where all poems co-exist in a simultaneous present. A writer therefore lives in a vast echo-chamber of multiple cadences, an entire symbolic world, which gives her/him freedom from the politics of oppression or the hegemonies of fashion.

The fourth and final writerly task is to be unashamedly *metaphysical*: poetry is the evocation of forms of life and ways of living offered for our shared contemplation. Writers are ecologists, they keep alive species of creative consciousness in a confused, sad, diminished, trammelled world. If ‘philosophy begins in shipwreck’, poetry can help us find rescue and meaning; poetry helps us bear witness to ourselves and each other.

Having taken enormous liberties in summarising Abbs’ four tasks so baldly, I now want to go even further and add a fifth task to the list, based on what the poet Seamus Heaney says in his essays about the function of poetry (Heaney 1988, 1995). Heaney too believes in the ‘poet as witness’, emphasising ‘*poetry’s solidarity with the doomed, the deprived, the victimised, the under-privileged*’. So we could call this the *ethical* task of the writer. Taking the Russian poet Osip Mandelstam as exemplar, Heaney reads his work as liberating the spirit:

The essential thing about lyric poetry, Mandelstam maintained, was its unlooked-for joy in being itself... Mandelstam implied that it was the poet’s responsibility to allow poems to form in language inside him, the way crystals formed in a chemical solution... Mandelstam’s witness [was] ... to the art of poetry as an unharnessed, non-didactic, non-party-dictated, inspired act. (Heaney 1988, page xix.)

And all those negatives – the ‘uns’ and ‘nons’ – are themselves, perhaps, an echo of what the poet John Keats called ‘negative capability’: the pulsating, receptive, resolving silence that always surrounds the thing that is made of, in, words.

So my proposition about the relationship between teaching and writing is this: in a world full of the noise of militancy – aims, targets, impact, wars on low standards, and all the rest of the battle-talk and derring-do – the ability to be open and stilled, to practise the interior disciplines of the imagination and to convey these things to young people by example as well as by precept, might be what the moral purpose of education looks and sounds like. If that is the case, then imaginative writing is not only not a luxury, but is integral to the enactment of human existential, aesthetic and ethical values. This book shows us how such an educational environment might come into being.

Lesley Saunders

Lesley Saunders is Visiting Professor at the Institute of Education, London, and Research Fellow at the Oxford University Department of Education. She is also an award-winning and published poet.
www.lesleysaunders.org.uk

References

- Abbs, P. (2006). The four tasks of the contemporary poet. *Agenda*, 42(2), 123-121.
- Dunmore, H. (2012). Foreword. In S. Norgate & E. Piddington (Eds.) *Poetry and Voice: A Book of Essays* (xi-xiii). Newcastle upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars Publishing.
- Heaney, S. (1988). The Government of the Tongue. *The 1986 T.S. Eliot Lectures and Other Critical Writings* 165, 168. London: Faber.
- Heaney, S. (1995). The Redress of Poetry. *Oxford Lectures*. London: Faber.
- Saunders, L. (2012). Silence and silences in ‘creativity’, *London Review of Education*, 10(2), 215–25.
- Sennett, R. (2008). *The Craftsman*. London: Allen Lane/Penguin Press.

PREFACE

A preface is usually written by the author. In this case, however, the editor Dr Janice K. Jones, who is also a contributor to four of the book chapters has asked me to write this brief introduction, which I am hugely delighted to do. I have celebrated with Janice over the years her own personal and professional transformation brought about through powerful reflexive and charming creative writing. She is a passionate advocate for the importance of creating a space for writing as transformative practice in education, a theme which features extensively in her teaching and scholarship. Janice is an engaging and gifted writer who has gathered together in this book a diverse and exciting mix of very experienced and neophyte writers. In so doing, she admirably embodies the book's intent to talk to and invite academic researchers and practitioners as well as undergraduate and postgraduate students to consider and question the privilege and value usually attributed to different forms of writing in a host of multifarious ways – as a tool for inquiry, as a product of research, as a reflexive device in professional development and as a creative endeavour. As educators, we must never lose sight of the importance of writing for personal expression, storytelling and creativity. There is room in the curriculum for both the development of an understanding of the 'mechanics' of writing as well as the practice of writing as a personal transformative learning process.

Weaving Words is an attention-grabbing book that will definitely stimulate and maybe reshape your thinking as it traverses contemporary philosophies, practices and products of writing for teaching, professional development and research in education. It compliments and furthers the evolving discussion about the position of creative and reflective writing within 21st century epistemologies and practices of research, in education, and most especially within teacher education. At the same time it brings fresh viewpoints to this discussion. Each chapter addresses aspects to do with personal and professional beliefs and understandings about the construct, purposes and forms of writing including as a creative process, as a means for self-knowledge and as a means for research. The writers' conversations are framed within contemporary critical perspectives about creativity, language, culture, identity, power and authority. While most exemplars are Australia based, the arguments put and challenges raised transcend geographical boundaries. This is a book equally relevant to

university academics and postgraduate students in Australia, the UK, the USA and beyond.

I encourage all educators who read this well-crafted book to embrace the questions and issues raised within *Weaving Words* and to maybe rethink the role that writing in all its forms has in your classroom, and how it is represented in teacher education and in research.

Nita Temmerman

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the traditional custodians of the lands where this writing and research was conducted: the Gaibal, Jarowair, Ugarapul and Butchulla peoples of Queensland. They honour the wisdom of Elders past, present and future, seeking to walk together in the spirit of reconciliation.

The editor and authors express their gratitude to the many individuals whose support and advice has made this book possible. Particular thanks go to:

- Ms Carol Koulikourdi and colleagues at Cambridge Scholars Publishing for their support and encouragement in facilitating the publication of this book
- The authors, researchers and pre-service teachers who have contributed their fine works to this chapter
- Nita Temmerman for writing the preface to this book, and for her encouragement and support throughout
- Lesley Saunders Visiting Professor at the Institute of Education, London, and Research Fellow at the Oxford University Department of Education, for her advice and for her writing of the foreword to this book
- Patrick Blessinger, executive director of the International Higher Education Teaching and Learning Association and co-founder of the Center for Meaning-Centered Education for writing the afterword to this book.
- The Faculty of Education, and the newly formed School of Linguistics, Adult and Specialist Education at the University of Southern Queensland for their funding and support of this publication.
- Dr Lindy Abawi of the School of Teacher Education and Early Childhood, University of Southern Queensland, Australia for her advice on final edits.

The scholars who provided double-blind peer reviews for one or more of the research chapters in this book:

- Dr Margaret Baguley, University of Southern Queensland, Australia

- Dr Sharon Bickle, University of Queensland, Australia
- Susan Carter, School of Linguistics, Adult and Specialist Education, University of Southern Queensland, Australia
- Patrick Blessinger, Fulbright Scholar and Research Fellow St John's University, N.Y.
- Dr Jenny Grenfell, Higher Education Research Group, Deakin University, Australia
- Dr Andrew Hickey, School of Linguistics, Adult and Specialist Education University of Southern Queensland, Australia
- Dr Warren Midgley, School of Linguistics, Adult and Specialist Education, University of Southern Queensland, Australia
- Dr Shirley O'Neill, School of Linguistics, Adult and Specialist Education, University of Southern Queensland, Australia
- Dr Stewart Riddle, School of Teacher Education and Early Childhood, University of Southern Queensland, Australia
- Dr Rebecca Scollen, Artsworx, University of Southern Queensland, Australia

For her care and skill in typesetting the book

- Jodie Gunders of the University of Southern Queensland, Australia

INTRODUCTION: THE PURPOSE OF THIS BOOK

Weaving Words: Personal and Professional Transformation through Writing as Research brings together the writings of researchers in education and pre-service teachers to engage with emerging debates around what forms of writing are valued and supported within 21st century teaching and research. It focuses upon the importance of writing for personal expression, finding a voice, storytelling and for personal and professional transformation.

The book's chapters elaborate one or more of the following organising questions:

- What are researchers' and educators' beliefs and understandings of the purposes and forms of writing as a creative process, for self-knowledge and for research?
- How are pre-service teachers' and researchers' personal and professional understandings and beliefs about writing constructed, shared and challenged?
- What connections, gaps and contradictions occur at the intersections between the written words of researchers in this text and those of pre-service teachers?
- What are the implications of those intersections, and the gaps and silences between the voices of the authors, for practices of education more broadly, and for research in education in particular?

In addressing these questions, the book interweaves contributions by researchers in education with chapters where poems, plays and short stories created by undergraduate pre-service teachers are presented with the writers' reflections on their experience. Further, accounts by pre-service teachers of the challenges they experienced in transferring their learning to working with younger students as writers, and their reflections on those experiences raise challenging questions about the impact of professional habitus: effecting change is increasingly difficult within systemic processes of curriculum and pedagogy.

Weaving: the metaphor for this book

Kathryn Kruger (2001) portrays the fine threads of history and practice that connect spinning, weaving, storytelling and writing as means by which personal and cultural narratives have been, and continue to be preserved and shared by ancient and modern cultures worldwide. Weaving, according to Kruger (20001) is “akin to speaking” (p.28), for the Dogon people of Africa, and for the Dine people of the Southwest United States the great mother, Spider Woman weaves the world (p. 25) into being. Weaving and spinning have ancient connections with oral storytelling and, much later, with writing for cultural and spiritual exchange, although the earliest written texts had a commercial rather than a narrative or reflective purpose. Twist-method weaving has been found in clay fragments, pre-dating the neolithic era (Adovasio, Soffer, & Page, 2007; Carr & Adovasio, 2012). Against this historical context, the authors have sought to create a layered textual fabric where writing weaves ideas and the world into being.

Cultural context

This book includes contributions from pre-service teachers studying in Australia and from educational researchers and writers based in Australia, the USA and the UK. While the writers acknowledge that their voices emerge from a personal history, place and culture, their values and ideas, networked affiliations, personal connections and life-experiences represent the “fluid world” of intercultural and mobile learners discussed by Kovbasyuk and Blessinger (2013, pp. 4-5). For Yvonne Findlay in Chapter 2, concepts of identity are relational, liquid and contextual as discussed by Dervin (2011) who cites Jack (2009) in his rejection of “fixed categories of analysis that essentialize culture” (p.38) in relation to heritage. Yet, for Findlay as for author Donna Moodie in Chapter 5, and for several of the pre-service teachers in this work, speaking from a place of belonging in the land is fundamental to making meaning. Their writings interweave across real and imagined borders of belonging, acculturation, delegitimation and assimilation (Dervin, 2013, p. 368).

Writing in education – contested territory

Weaving Words contributes to the emerging debate concerning the nature of graduate attributes and professional skills for educators in transnational contexts (Australian Institute for Teaching and School Leadership, 2011), and in relation to how current practices of teacher education impact upon future educators' understandings, experiences of, and ability to support writing as a creative process. This is of particular importance in the light of Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) reports of a seeming decline in performance on tests of literacies in Australia (Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development, 2009, p. 21) and a similar turn in the United Kingdom (Office for Standards in Education Children's Services and Skills, 2012).

Weaving Words raises important questions about the impact of 21st century practices of education upon human creativity and joy in learning (MCEETYA, 2008) in and through writing. It questions how writing is experienced and valued as a process and product of research; as a means for personal and professional learning; and how it is taught and experienced in the classroom. In doing so, it brings together a range of critical perspectives upon writing within global agendas for international competitiveness in education and research, and the capacity for writing and reflection to disrupt and transform personal and professional understandings (Ellis, Bochner, Denzin et al., 2008). Finally, this work considers the power of writing for greater personal and professional understanding of self and the world, and for making meaning.

Writing for teachers and researchers

Weaving Words' contribution to knowledge and practice is in the neglected but important area of teachers as creators of writing, and more specifically of forms of writing where the intent is literary rather than academic. In this text, the works of pre-service teachers are shared in chapters 3, 6, 8 and 11, with other writing and reflections upon learning and teaching interwoven into research chapters 1 and 10. Writing for teachers is important. There has long been a focus upon developing future educators' skills and knowledge for supporting others' writing skills (Cremin & Myhill, 2012). However, a wealth of evidence from research and from established practices such as those of Montessori and Reggio Emilia (Gutek, 2004; New, 1993) suggests that for educators to create spaces for others' imaginative and creative works, a "pedagogy of risk" (Wilson, 2009, p. 398) there is a need for them to engage in the lived experience of creative writing. Increasingly, government curricula and internet sources for educators include model lesson plans for the teaching

of writing through step-by-step approaches. Research suggests that where teachers are not themselves confident as writers, this encourages conservative approaches (Myhill & Wilson, 2013). There is a scarcity of research and practice into teachers and pre-service teachers' learning of the craft and art of writing. The works created and shared by pre-service teachers as authors in this book begin a conversation that seeks to redress that gap. Pre-service teachers and the younger authors with whom they have worked are named as authors, and their writings are presented without any commentary in four chapters of this book. In chapter 1 and 10 pre-service teachers are named as supporting writers within those research chapters.

Second, the research chapters in this text (chapters 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13 and 14) examine epistemologies that appear to shape pre-service teachers', university educators' and researchers' beliefs about the forms of writing that are privileged and valued, in teacher education and in educational research, in theory and in practice. They consider questions around an ethics of voice, agency, authenticity and authority in reflexive writing for teaching, personal and professional development and research. In doing so, they engage with issues of disclosure, representation and knowledge of the self and others in and through writing. In addressing those questions, the authors contend with the contradictory and troubling values and judgements writers and readers of works whose original purpose may have been to disrupt rather than to meet accepted standards bring to the texts. Beyond this, the writers in all chapters consider whether and how reflection may be attendant upon the processes of shaping, editing and presenting the written word, and how far writing as reflection may be transformative of the writer's understandings and practice, creating rather than representing the known. Within that exploration they engage with issues relating to voice, culture and identity. The reader is a third strand in this interweaving of ideas, making meaning within and between the works of authors in the book

Pre-service teacher writings – the context

The writings and reflections of pre-service teachers were created during an elective study (a course chosen by undergraduates seeking to enhance their skills and knowledges in that subject area). The course synopsis describes its intent:

Pre-service teachers will focus upon poetry, prose and drama from a range of traditional and contemporary contexts, so as to enhance their personal repertoires of practice and knowledge of literature and language. Through reading, creating and sharing literary texts participants will have opportunities to enhance their personal understanding, skills and knowledge of grammar and literacy for teaching in Australian contexts, bringing the potential for learning to be transferred to global contexts (University of Southern Queensland, 2013).

Where other courses in the Bachelor of Education program brought a literacies and multiliteracies focus to the teaching of English, this course emphasised the reading of works of literature from diverse cultural and historical contexts and the creation of written works for adult readers. The first part of the assessment task was to create a portfolio of works including a poem, and short story or scene from a play within any style or genre. The teaching team encouraged writers to consider performative approaches and the use of digital technologies, but few authors chose this option. The assessment task also required participants to transfer their knowledge and experience to working with a younger writer, (discussed in Chapter 10), and to reflect upon how their personal learning in writing may have informed their practice of teaching.

Students in the fourth year of a Primary Years specialism were the largest cohort in the course. Those taking the course in their third year did so as part of the Early Childhood specialism. A small number undertook the course during their first year of study within the Secondary Years specialism (Table 1). Writings are drawn from three offers of the elective where participants who passed the course ($n=145/147$) were invited to consider publishing their work after the release of final grades. Many did not respond ($n=97$). Students were seeking employment and may have stopped accessing university emails. Others responded ($n=22$) indicating that although they had enjoyed the course they did not wish to share their work.

Year	Mode	Enrol	Year	Domestic /Int'nl	Nil response/ Rejected	Agreed to publish
2011	On campus	25	4 (17) 3 (7) 2 (1)	25 Dom	Nil: 8 Reject: 3	14
	Web	25	5 (2) 4 (16) 3 (7)	25 Dom	Nil: 21 Reject: 3	1
2012	On campus	13	4 (9) 3 (3) 1 (1)	13 Dom	Nil: 8 Reject: 4	1
	Web	41	4 (25) 3 (11) 2 (1) 1 (2)	39 Dom	Nil: 26 Reject: 8	5
2013	Web	43	4 (24) 3 (15) 2 (2) 1 (2)	41 Aus 2 Intn'l	Nil: 34 Reject: 4	5

Table 1: Student numbers and mode of study over three offers of the course

Online students were encouraged to share draft works and to provide feedback to one another in discussion forums. On-campus students were encouraged to share their works online, but most preferred to work in pairs and not to share their works with the group. As course examiner I shared my own draft works, and adjusted these in line with undergraduate feedback. Although the power difference between examiner/student could not be reduced, my intent was to model the generative process of writing, listening to feedback and trust building. Virtual classroom sessions allowed small groups of online students to discuss issues and challenges. To encourage the giving of feedback, I adjusted the assessment for the second offer of the course to require authors to include examples of feedback they had given and received from a colleague or trusted peer, and to discuss how and whether this feedback had been used.

The structure of this book

The book is structured in three parts, each including writings by researchers and pre-service teachers upon a theme.

- Four chapters constitute Part 1, under the theme *Identity and voice*. Pre-service teachers' creative writings focus upon on beginnings, endings and the journey between, and researcher writings explore the writing as a means of discovering and developing personal and professional identity.
- Part 2, *Writing the wor(l)d* includes five chapters, three of which have been written by researchers bringing a close focus upon writing as generative process, and as a way of negotiating complex issues of identity and culture, power and agency. Two chapters of literary works created by pre-service teachers engage with relationships and power through poems, short stories and plays.
- Part 3, *Writing for personal and professional transformation* engages with the troubling and transformative power of writing as research and as reflection, transferring personal learning into practice, and the challenge of writing as a way of becoming.

Each of the three parts is preceded by an introduction.

References

- Adovasio, J., Soffer, O., & Page, J. (2007). *The invisible sex: uncovering the true roles of women in pre-history*. New York: Smithsonian Books.
- Carr, K., & Adovasio, J. (2012). Shades of gray redux: The Paleoindian/Early Archaic "Transition" in the Northeast. In C. B. Bousman & B. J. Vierra (Eds.), *From the Pleistocene to the Holocene: Human organization and cultural transformations in prehistoric North America* (pp. 273-318). Texas: A & M University Press.
- Cremin, T., & Myhill, D. (2012). *Writing voices: Creating communities of writers*. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge.
- Dervin, F. (2011). A plea for change in research on intercultural discourses: A 'liquid' approach to the study of the acculturation of Chinese students. *Journal of Multicultural Discourses*, 6(1), 37-52. doi: 10.1080/17447143.2010.532218

- Dervin, F. (2013). Rethinking the acculturation and assimilation of 'others' in a 'monocultural' country: forms of intercultural pygmalionism in two Finnish novels. *Journal of Intercultural Studies*, 34(4), 356-370. doi: 10.1080/07256868.2013.807229
- Gutek, G. (Ed.). (2004). *The Montessori Method - The origins of an educational innovation: Including an abridged and annotated edition of Maria Montessori's The Montessori Method*. New York: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Kovbasyuk, O., & Blessinger, P. (2013). *Meaning-centered education: International perspectives and explorations in higher education*. New York: Routledge.
- Kruger, K. (2001). *Weaving the word: the metaphors of weaving and female textual production*. London: Associated University Press.
- Myhill, D., & Wilson, A. (2013). Playing it safe: Teachers' views of creativity in poetry writing. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 10(0), 101-111. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2013.07.002>
- New, R. (1993). *Reggio Emilia: New ways to think about schooling* (Vol. 60): Association for Supervision & Curriculum Development.
- Office for Standards in Education Children's Services and Skills. (2012). *Moving English forward* (110118). Retrieved from <http://www.ofsted.gov.uk/resources/moving-english-forward>.
- Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development. (2009). *PISA 2009 Results: Overcoming social background: equity in learning opportunities and outcomes*, (Vol. 2, pp. 220). Paris, France: Program for International Student Assessment (PISA).
- University of Southern Queensland. (2013). EDU3377 Teaching English - The National Curriculum: Course specification.
- Wilson, A. (2009). Creativity confidence and challenge: The Write Team research report. In R. Beard, D. Myhill, M. Nystrand & J. Riley (Eds.), *The SAGE Handbook of Writing Development* (pp. 387-402). London: SAGE Publications Ltd.

Part I Introduction

Identity and Voice

The chapters that constitute Part 1 of this text share a focus upon processes and practices of writing as a means of forming, making meaning and speaking from a unique personal and professional voice.

Chapter 1 *The Challenge to Write: The warp, the weft and the space between* is co-authored by Janice Jones and undergraduate pre-service teachers. It considers the experience of teachers as writers, attending to issues of power, agency, disclosure and safety in the experience of writing. This chapter is counterbalanced by Chapter 10 in the last section of this book, where pre-service teachers consider their personal and professional practices as teachers of writing.

In Chapter 2 *Uisge Beatha: The ebb and flow of four tides* researchers Yvonne Findlay and Janice Jones employ narrative autoethnographic methods, and a phenomenological theoretical framework to deconstruct Yvonne's life story and its potential meanings. Using the metaphor of the cycle of water from its starting point in the highlands of Scotland the authors interweave Yvonne's texts and transcripts of their critical conversations to explore the power of labelling.

Chapter 3 brings together poems, short stories and reflections created by pre-service teachers, under the title *Beginnings, endings and the journey between*, under the unifying themes of love, loss and forgiveness.

Chapter 4 *Finding my voice* concludes the first part of this book. Reprising the metaphor of a river of life, Anne Jasman draws upon writings created across her academic career to critically evaluate writing as a means of generating a unique and authentic voice. The chapter attends to the physical, emotional, social and cultural contexts of writing as research.

Part II Introduction

Writing the Wor(l)d

Part two of this book builds upon the themes of writing for personal and professional identity and voice that constitute Part one, engaging with the dynamic and generative power of the oral and written traditions where text creates and re-presents the world.

Writing *about* education and research assumes a degree of authorial distance from both product and experience, in that its purpose is to present evidence in support of, or counter to the author's discoveries about the world. In contrast, and sometimes in parallel, writing *as* an educative process and as a method of research is a mode of creating the world. Moreover, such writing is reflective, relational and contextual revealing the author and his or her agency in the field. In the following chapters, the authors engage with practices of writing within the generative mode. This mode of writing is inherently troubling for the author, and perhaps also for the reader, because of its capacity to reveal the forces at work in creating our perceptions of the world, and the seeming of reality. Embracing these challenges, the authors of the following chapters engage with the process of writing, discovering that writing is of itself a construction of theory: writing creates the wor(l)d.

Chapter 5, *Dinawan Dreaming: Seeing the darkness or the stars* employs Indigenous research methodologies that are reflective of Australia's Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in generating, representing, protecting and sharing knowledge. Meaning making, community, the natural world, event time rather than 'clock' time and other ways of seeing and understanding self-in-the-world are central to this chapter by Janice K. Jones and Donna Moodie, who with Nicole Hobson interweave yarns from their visit to a site of cultural significance for the Jarowair Aboriginal people, and consider the intersections and spaces between those narratives.

In Chapter 6 *Conflict, adversity and reconciliation* the connecting theme of pre-service teachers' works is conflict and rapprochement. The authors present multiple ways of reading the world, the land, culture and belonging. Kirra O'Brien explores the lived experience of a child whose passion is to achieve a new life through education. Leanne Hubbert's writings are a response to the devastating floods of 2010/11 in Queensland and the tsunami that devastated Japan in 2011. Catherine Somerfield's

short story draws upon the recent history of Australia's lost and stolen generations to suggest the lasting damage to family and belonging. Julia Grieve's short play concludes the chapter. Revealing the tensions that split a family during an alcohol-fuelled wedding-day argument, it closes with a subtle call for wisdom, tolerance and reconciliation.

In Chapter 7 *Understanding and acting through conversational spaces* authors Erin Christensen, Benjamin Williams and Joseph Occhino use a collective interweaving of narratives to make meaning of their separate but connected journeys as postgraduates becoming early career researcher and teacher educators. Through shared and reflective conversations they build a cooperative partnership, using electronic means to overcome the distance between them. Technologies support the researchers' planning and testing of strategies that will allow them to survive and thrive in their respective and challenging professional contexts.

Chapter 8, *Relationships: Darkness, light and shades between* explores the complex human territory of love, hope and fear through the writings of pre-service teachers. Natalie Romanet's love story is an escape from turmoil, whereas Lorrae Charles' darkly humorous story is of revenge within marriage. Jaclyn Fitzgerald offers a tender portrayal of the longing, hope and fear of a family separated by war. Claire D'Arcy's short play reveals the struggle for power behind seemingly idle chatter, and Kathleen Parkes' writings portray the Janus-faced aspect of devotion: rage and fear at the prospect of losing a loved one is contrasted against the wonder and generosity of parental love.

Robyn Henderson's Chapter 9 closes this section presenting *The Writer's Journey - Ways of being, knowing and doing*, through the metaphor of a journey where identity and knowledge are re-generated and shared. Questioning what it is means for novice writers as future educators to engage in the process of creating texts that have value for self and others, the author considers the implications for their learning and future practices as professionals.

Part III Introduction

Writing for Personal and Professional Transformation

In the chapters that constitute Part 3 of this work, the authors bring a close focus to writing as a transformative and knowledge-creating experience. They suggest that writing makes transparent the complex layers of thought and experience that form personal and professional identity, making visible the hidden processes of power in research partnerships.

The authors recognise moments of flow (Csikszentmihalyi, 1997a, 1997b, 2002) where cognitive, affective and artistic knowledges and processes of thinking align; they acknowledge the power of writing for transforming knowledge into practices of teaching and learning (Dressel & Langreiter, 2003; Pack, 2011; Schon, 1987; Wegerif, 2010), and consider the potential for those processes to give birth to wisdom.

In Chapter 10, *Constraints, creativity and challenges for educators and students writing together*, Janice Jones brings together the writings of undergraduate teachers as they overcome constraints to translate their personal experience of creative writing into practices of teaching that are relational, constructivist and transformative. She offers the caveat that personal and institutional habitus act as breaks upon this important and liberating approach to writing with others.

The authors of Chapter 11 challenge the ‘seeming’ of everyday life, offering layered representations of what seems to be and what is believed to be whilst hinting at the space and freedom of becoming. Melissa Andrews’ play power dynamics disrupt the quotidian. Matthew Hunt’s teenage protagonist finds escape as the hero of a multiplayer game. John Mulrone’s poem re-presents the turbulent and confusing world of adulthood from a quieter space, and Stephanie Rex takes form as an imagined self with greater power over the world. Natalie Romanet’s concluding and meditative poem creates a place of shared stillness.

Calling upon concepts raised in the preceding chapter, in Chapter 12 Patrick Danaher locates pre-service teachers’ writings within broader frameworks of contemporary educational scholarship, linking the themes of seeming, being and becoming with the relationship between lifelong learning and writing as research.

Ali Black’s Chapter 13 interweaves the voices of experienced early childhood teachers with her own self-questioning as she considers meaning-making, dissonance and disruptive inquiry. For Black, a

troubling awareness of disequilibrium and dissonance in research bring a re-examination of relationships of power in research, and the potential for transformation.

Part three, and this body of writings by pre-service teachers and researchers concludes with Roberta (Bobby) Harreveld's wise and delicately considered re-framing of key issues for writing and research in Chapter 14.

Patrick Blessinger's Afterword enacts a dialogic conversation with Lesley Saunders' Foreword to this book, confirming the power and importance of writing as a measure of our humanity and as a mode of creating and challenging what is. Blessinger speaks to processes of education and research that give meaning to what it is to be human in the 21st century, forefronting writing as having an important role in our individual and shared creative futures.

References

- Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1997a). Finding flow. *Psychology Today*, 30(4), 5.
- Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1997b). Flow and creativity. *NAMTA Journal* 22(2), 38. doi: EJ547968
- Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2002). *Flow : the classic work on how to achieve happiness* (Rev. ed. ed.). London: Rider.
- Dressel, G., & Langreiter, N. (2003). When "We Ourselves" become our own field of research. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Social Research* 4(2), 27.
- Pack, M. (2011). More than you know: critically reflecting on learning experiences by attuning to the 'community of learners'. *Reflective Practice*, 12(1), 115-125. doi: 10.1080/14623943.2011.542080
- Schon, D. A. (1987). *Educating the reflective practitioner: Towards a new design for teaching and learning in the professions* (First ed.). San Francisco, CA.
- Wegerif, R. (2010). *Mind expanding: teaching for thinking and creativity in primary education*. Berkshire, England: Open University Press.

AFTERWORD

Weaving Words shows how writing can be a useful mechanism for students to make more meaningful sense of their life-worlds - in both their personal lives and their professional lives as pre-service teachers. Creative writing, as discussed by the book's contributors, serves as a means to allow our personal identities and our unique voices to come into sharper focus. In doing so, it not only helps to free us from the fetters of unquestioned mass conformity and mass identity impressed upon us through various hegemonic or propagandic means but it also provides a useful mechanism to help us transform ourselves.

The types of writing explored in *Weaving Words*, make certain demands on us as instructors and learners. In cultivating the imagination, literary forms of writing allow us to get in touch with the most personally meaningful aspects of our thoughts, feelings, and experiences and to express and articulate their deeper meanings in more authentic and experiential ways. There is no doubt that these types of writing can, at times, be a difficult practice (cognitively and emotionally) because in the process of writing we are obligated to confront our true selves (e.g., our attitudes, assumptions, beliefs, values, and convictions).

In an age where many educational systems and curricula seem overly focused on standardised and de-contextualised approaches, *Weaving Words* raises meaningful questions about the role and value of writing in teaching and teacher education, and in research. In so doing, it expresses the transformative potential of creative writing - writing where instructors and students learn to assess their roles as future teachers with greater agency and responsibility to self and to others. This type of meaningful learning is transformative because it is authentic and experiential in its process and purpose.

Weaving Words speaks to the human condition, in all its complexity and potentiality, and it illustrates the importance of creativity in writing for personal expression and cultivation of imagination. The expression of imagination seems to be finding decreasing space in educational systems that are increasingly characterised by standardised approaches (e.g., curricula and assessments) and where knowledge and skills are increasingly viewed as mere marketable commodities and where education is used increasingly as a measure of economic and political benchmarking. Unfortunately, in such an environment, the moral, humanistic, and socio-

cultural purposes of education can be easily overshadowed. However, writing that is expressed through literary forms in particular, as with the arts and humanities in general, should not be viewed as a luxury but rather as a necessary element in maintaining and cultivating the aesthetic and human values that define humanity and make our lives meaningful.

Writing that takes a creative and reflective form is an expression of the self and it can provide an incubative space for being and becoming. Yet this space finds itself in a tenuous situation in some learning environments. Creative writing challenges us to go beyond the mechanics of language and encourages us to venture into a space where one's voice carries moral authority and passion. *Weaving Words* illustrates how the writing process can be used as a vehicle for reflective inquiry and personal and professional transformation.

Thus, writing emerges as a means of knowing and understanding the world and the self (our life-world) – a self that is continually re-framing our experiences to generate new perspectives of identity, agency, language, culture, space, and time. *Weaving Words* challenges educators to confront their assumptions about teaching and research and the nexus between the two spaces and it encourages us to reframe our notions of meaning making in teacher education and educational research. *Weaving Words* contributes to the emerging dialogues around the space for creative writing within twenty-first century theories and practices of research and teacher education. By presenting the creative and personally meaningful works of undergraduate educators alongside those of researchers *Weaving Words* creates a third space for meaning making for readers.

Patrick Blessinger
Executive Director

**International Higher Education Teaching and Learning Association
and Co-founder, Center for Meaning-Centred Education**

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

Janice K. Jones is Senior Lecturer in Arts Education in the School of Linguistics, Adult and Specialist Education in the Faculty of Business, Education, Law and Arts at the Toowoomba campus of the University of Southern Queensland, Australia. A member of the Capacity-Building Research Network at that institution, Janice's leadership and teaching experience spans 30 years' of international teaching and management in schools and in the tertiary sector in the UK, Canada, Korea, Turkey and Australia and as Lecturer and Program Coordinator for the Bachelor of Education at the University of Southern Queensland. A Churchill Fellow, Janice's research and teaching interests include the arts, creativity, literacies and technologies in schools and in teacher education, and her publications in these areas include book chapters, refereed journal articles and conference proceedings. Email: jonesja@usq.edu.au

Nita Temmerman was the Executive Dean of the Faculty of Education at the University of Southern Queensland, Australia until June 2013. Other executive positions occupied at USQ from 2007 to 2013 included Pro Vice Chancellor Partnerships, Pro Vice Chancellor Community Engagement and Pro Vice Chancellor Academic Quality. Nita has also held senior managerial roles in the University sector at the University of Wollongong, Wollongong Conservatorium of Music, NSW; and Deakin University in Victoria. Nita's research/scholarship is exemplified by: 14 sole authored books; over 70 publications in refereed international and national journals; numerous citations; invited keynote presentations for educators in India, Japan, the Middle East, Vietnam, Malaysia, Singapore, Thailand, Vanuatu, the United Kingdom, Canada and the USA; editorial board membership of international and national education journals; regular conference presentations and media commentary. Her research areas of interest are principally Arts Education and Teacher Education. She is currently involved in education consultancies in the university sector in SE Asia and the Middle East. Email: nita@hwcpc.com.au

Lesley Saunders is a Visiting Professor at the Institute of Education, London, a Research Fellow at the Oxford University Department of Education, and an Honorary Fellow of the College of Teachers. After qualifying as a teacher, she worked at the National Foundation for

Educational Research from 1987 to 2000, where she set up and headed the School Improvement Research Centre. From 2000 to 2008, she was senior policy adviser for research at the General Teaching Council for England, where she promoted the idea of teaching as a research-informed profession. She started the Special Interest Group on educational research and policy-making for the British Educational Research Association, and served as a user member on the education sub-panel for the UK Research Assessment Exercise 2008. She is now an independent research consultant. Lesley is also a much-published and award-winning poet. E-mail: lesley@lesleysaunders.org.uk

Anne Jasman has over 35 years' experience in initial and continuing teacher education as researcher, lecturer and senior policy adviser working in Australia, the US and the UK. In 2011 she was awarded a Fellowship of the International Professional Development Association and currently works at the University of Southern Queensland as Associate Professor (Teacher Education). She has led research and development projects on collaborative working, professional knowledge, learning and development. These include the creation and implementation of teacher career path based on accomplished classroom practice (1997-8) and a research study into teacher professional expertise: its nature, development, enhancement and assessment for recognition and reward (2001-2) whilst Research Fellow at the Department of Education, Science and Training in Australia. She has contributed to policy development in the UK and Australia for Continuing Professional Development, Leadership and the development of Teaching Standards. More recently her research interests have focussed on futures-focussed education across compulsory and post-compulsory contexts, including auto-ethnographic studies and scenario building for academic work in higher education. Email: jasman523@gmail.com

Yvonne S. Findlay brings a wealth of international experience in community work, social justice, the law and education to her research and teaching. Yvonne is an associate lecturer teaching into courses in philosophy and pedagogy of education in the Faculty of Education at the University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba Campus. Her research interests include narrative autoethnography, and the use of metaphors in negotiating and constructing identity. Email: Yvonne.Findlay@usq.edu.au

Donna Moodie is a descendent of the Goomeri/Gamillraay/Kamillaroi people. Her research and advocacy centres upon traditional ecological

knowledge and natural resource management, Indigenous art education pedagogies, and advocacy for social justice. A recognised artist, and with a Bachelor of Arts in Journalism, Donna's current role is as Widening Participation (Indigenous Community Engagement) Officer at the University of Southern Queensland. She has served as the multicultural development officer with Toowoomba City Council, and has worked with the local traditional custodians to preserve, protect and promote the Gummingurru stone arrangement site. Email: Donna.Moodie@usq.edu.au

Nicole Hobson has undertaken studies in education at the University of Southern Queensland. An alumnus of the School of Total Education in Warwick, Queensland, which was established by Vijayadev Yogendra, Nicole brings an holistic focus to her studies: her interests include education, the natural world and human wellbeing. Email: nicolehobson16@gmail.com

Erin Christensen is a Lecturer in Health and Physical Education (HPE) in the School of Education at the University of Newcastle, New South Wales. Erin completed her undergraduate degree in Human Movement Studies at The University of Queensland and has several years' teaching experience at secondary school level in HPE, Science and Outdoor Education. Erin's PhD focused on beginning teachers' micropolitical experiences of the staffroom. During the final year of her doctoral study, Erin taught Senior Health and Health and Physical Education at a Private Girl's school where she also spent time as an acting Head of Department (Sport). Erin's research interests include beginning teachers' experiences of the staffroom/office contexts, teaching and learning in HPE contexts, secondary-tertiary and early career academic transition. Email: Erin.M.Christensen@newcastle.edu.au

Benjamin Williams A graduate of the Human Movement Studies and doctoral programs at The University of Queensland, Ben has several years' Health and Physical Education teaching experience at secondary and tertiary level. Ben's doctoral study inquired into the outsourcing of Health, Sport and Physical Education. A lecturer in Health and Physical Education in the School of Education and Professional Studies at Griffith University, Ben is also a member of the Critical Research Methodologies Group and a member at Griffith Institute of Educational Research. Ben's research interests include social theoretical investigations of health and physical education pedagogy, and the implications of blended learning strategies for the (re)production of body knowledge in performative

learning areas such as health and physical education. Email: benjamin.williams@griffith.edu.au

Joseph Occhino is a lecturer in Health, Physical Education and Sports Studies in the School of Education at the University of New England, Australia. Joseph is a completed his undergraduate and postgraduate studies at The University of Queensland in the School of Human Movement Studies. His research interests include coach learning and behavior with a particular focus upon motivation within the framework of self-determination theory (SDT); autonomy-supportive learning environments; creating positive motivational climates with teams, understanding and developing coaches' work; how high performance coaches learn, and developing coaching practice. Email: jocchino@une.edu.au

Robyn Henderson is an Associate Professor in Literacies Education at the Toowoomba campus of the University of Southern Queensland, Australia. She is a member of the Capacity-Building Research Network Faculty Research Centre. Her research interests are in the fields of literacies and literacy education, family mobility and its effects on schooling, and the student learning journey in higher education. Themes related to pedagogies, diversity and capacity-building run through her work. Email: robyn.henderson@usq.edu.au

Patrick A. Danaher is Professor in Educational Research, and a member of the Capacity-Building Research Network Faculty Research Centre, in the Faculty of Education at the Toowoomba campus of the University of Southern Queensland. His research interests include the education of mobile communities; education research ethics, methods, politics and theories; and academics', educators' and researchers' work and identities. Email: patrick.danaher@usq.edu.au

Ali Black is a Senior Lecturer in the School of Education and the Arts at Central Queensland University Australia. An educator for more than twenty years, her experience spans contexts early childhood to tertiary. Ali's areas of research expertise include education research, curriculum theorising, arts-based and narrative inquiry, and early childhood education. Ali has published for a variety of national and international audiences and has been involved in a number of research projects that inquire into teachers work and what it means to teach; that explore ways of knowing

and identities; and that promote understanding of self and context. Lately, her work seeks to foster connectedness through the building of reflective, aesthetic and creative lives, and exploring the conditions that enhance meaning making, wellbeing, and community. Email: a.black@cqu.edu.au

Roberta (Bobby) Harreveld is an Associate Professor in the School of Education and the Arts, and the Foundation Director of the Learning and Teaching Education Research Centre at CQUniversity Australia. Bobby has been awarded a Potter Foundation Scholarship, the Vice-Chancellor's award for excellence in research supervision, and an Australian Research Council Linkage grant. She has managed a number of large externally funded research project and produced over forty scholarly reports and peer reviewed publications in the last five years as well as editorial advisory board membership of six journals. Bobby has taught in schools, colleges and university undergraduate, postgraduate and higher degree by research students. Her professional interest are in the areas of adult language and literacy, work integrated learning, transitions and pathways, policy and practitioner leadership, socially networked technologies, curriculum development and evaluation. Email: b.harreveld@cqu.edu.au

Patrick Blessinger is the founder and executive director of the International Higher Education Teaching and Learning Association and co-founder of the Center for Meaning-Centered Education. His scholarly contributions are in the areas of educational philosophy, meaningful lifelong and lifewide learning, creativity and happiness in education, professional learning communities, and instructional design. He has co-edited and co-authored seven textbooks on learning-centered teaching using innovative technologies and one textbook on meaningful education and learning and he is the editor of three academic journals. During his academic career, he has taught over 170 college and university courses and he has managed academic programs at colleges and universities in the USA and EU. He has over twenty years of experience in leadership, management, capacity building, and innovation and he consults with academic institutions on learner engagement, instructional technologies, leadership development, and accreditation. Email: patrickblessinger@gmail.com.