

College of Arts and Sciences



Drexel E-Repository and Archive (iDEA)
<http://idea.library.drexel.edu/>

Drexel University Libraries
www.library.drexel.edu

The following item is made available as a courtesy to scholars by the author(s) and Drexel University Library and may contain materials and content, including computer code and tags, artwork, text, graphics, images, and illustrations (Material) which may be protected by copyright law. Unless otherwise noted, the Material is made available for non profit and educational purposes, such as research, teaching and private study. For these limited purposes, you may reproduce (print, download or make copies) the Material without prior permission. All copies must include any copyright notice originally included with the Material. **You must seek permission from the authors or copyright owners for all uses that are not allowed by fair use and other provisions of the U.S. Copyright Law.** The responsibility for making an independent legal assessment and securing any necessary permission rests with persons desiring to reproduce or use the Material.

Please direct questions to archives@drexel.edu

Mapping the Cosmic Web with the Sloan Digital Sky Survey

Michael S. Vogeley, Fiona Hoyle, Randall R. Rojas, & David M. Goldberg

Department of Physics, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA 19104, email: vogeley@drexel.edu

Abstract. Wide-angle, moderately deep redshift surveys such as that conducted as part of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) allow study of the relationship between the structural elements of the large-scale distribution of galaxies – including groups, cluster, superclusters, and voids – and the dependence of galaxy formation and evolution on these environments. We present a progress report on mapping efforts with the SDSS and discuss recently constructed catalogs of clusters, voids, and void galaxies, and evidence for a $420h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ supercluster or “Great Wall.” Analysis of multi-band photometry and moderate-resolution spectroscopy from the SDSS reveals environmental dependence of the star formation history of galaxies that extends over more than a factor of 100 in density, from clusters all the way to the deep interiors of voids. On average, galaxies in the rarified environments of voids exhibit bluer colors, higher specific star formation rates, lower dust content, and more disk-like morphology than objects in denser regions. This trend persists in comparisons of samples in low vs. high-density regions with similar luminosity and morphology, thus this dependence is not simply an extension of the morphology-density relation. Large-scale modulation of the halo mass function and the temperature of the intergalactic medium might explain this dependence of galaxy evolution on the large-scale environment.

1. Introduction: Cities, Suburbs, and Countryside of the Universe

The varied geography and demography of human civilization provides a colorful analogy for the dependence of galaxy formation and evolution on the large-scale environment of the universe. The dense population of cities promotes a frenetic lifestyle and facilitates many fleeting interactions. Only the very richest get richer (cD’s?), while many, drawn to the bright lights, are quickly stripped of all that they arrived with. At the other extreme lie the rural stretches, where interactions are few but which tend to be tightly-bound when they occur. Without the competition of others, a few can live surprisingly well off the steady, albeit sometimes meager, offerings of the land. This meeting has been called to study the suburbs of the universe, including the outskirts of clusters. “Intense life in the suburbs” results from living where interactions are frequent, but lasting enough to form families (groups?) and the city’s pull is always felt. This environment is of particular interest because this is the lowest density in which halos with multiple galaxies are common.

Galaxy clusters, groups, and filaments surround the voids in a cosmic web that large galaxy redshift surveys reveal to be a ubiquitous pattern in the universe. Below we report on progress in mapping the nearby universe using the SDSS (§2) and describe results of objective identification of individual structures in the survey. We then discuss analyses of photometric and spectroscopic data for galaxy samples that are now large enough to statistically reveal dependence of galaxy evolution on environment from clusters all the way to voids (§3). We conclude by reviewing physical mechanisms that may explain this dependence (§4).

2. Large-Scale Structures in the SDSS

To study structure formation in this wide range of environments requires large statistically-controlled samples of galaxies over a wide area of sky. The SDSS was designed to accomplish this goal. It employs a special-purpose 2.5m telescope with a 3-degree field of view, a mosaic CCD camera, and dual fiber-fed spectrographs, to obtain five-band digital photometry and moderate-resolution spectroscopy over essentially the full range of optical wavelengths. The completed survey will cover approximately 10^4 square degrees. York et al. (2000) provides an overview of the SDSS and Stoughton et al. (2002) describes the early data release (EDR) and details about the photometric and spectroscopic measurements made from the data. Technical articles providing details of the SDSS include descriptions of the photometric camera (Gunn 1998), photometric analysis (Lupton et al. 2004), the photometric system (Fukugita et al. 1996; Smith et al. 2002), the photometric monitor (Hogg et al. 2001), astrometric calibration (Pier et al. 2003), and spectroscopic tiling (Blanton et al. 2003). A thorough analysis of possible systematic uncertainties in the galaxy samples is described in Scranton et al. (2002).

2.1. SDSS Galaxy Redshift Surveys

The SDSS is now the largest galaxy redshift survey to date. When completed, it will include 10^6 galaxies over 10^4 deg² of sky. The second major public release of SDSS data (DR2: Abazajian et al. 2004; www.sdss.org/dr2 and skyserver.sdss.org), in March 2004, includes 3324 deg² of imaging (88 million unique objects) and spectroscopy over 2627 deg² (including 260,490 galaxies).

The main spectroscopic galaxy sample of the SDSS (Strauss et al. 2002) includes objects selected to have Petrosian magnitudes of $r < 17.77$ after correction for Galactic extinction. The median depth of this sample is approximately $z = 0.1$. Analyses of these data include measurement of the power spectrum, correlation function, luminosity function, topology, galaxy properties, etc. (among 389 SDSS papers so far from collaboration participants; see www.sdss.org/publications/).

To obtain a deeper tracer of structure in the universe, a spectroscopic target sample of luminous red galaxies (LRG's), is selected by color and has $r < 19.5$ (Eisenstein et al. 2001). These criteria yield a nearly volume-limited sample out to $z = 0.45$. The LRG selection criteria ensure that this sample includes all brightest cluster galaxies, as well as slightly less luminous objects in clusters and groups. The LRG's are an efficient tracer of dense regions in the universe and have selection criteria that are quite simple compared to, e.g., cluster or group-finding algorithms. The ease of measuring redshifts to such galaxies (they have strong absorption lines) makes them an excellent choice for deep mapping of the universe.

2.2. An Even Greater Wall

A novel approach to plotting the distribution of objects over large ranges of cosmic time and distance (Gott et al. 2003) clearly shows the largest structure seen to date, a ‘‘Sloan Great Wall’’ that extends over roughly $420h^{-1}$ Mpc. This supercluster-scale structure may be compared with the Great Wall found in the Center for Astrophysics Redshift Survey (Geller & Huchra 1989), which has a linear scale of roughly $240h^{-1}$ Mpc. The size of the CfA Great Wall was limited by the extent of that survey. In contrast, the SDSS is large enough that a more extensive structure could have been found.

The mapping method employed by Gott et al. is conformal, i.e., shapes of structures are preserved locally, thus we can compare great walls and other structures seen at different distance. The x-axis is right ascension in degrees, while the vertical axis plots $y = \ln(r)$ where r is the comoving coordinate distance from Earth. In such a plot, the

entire universe, from the surface of the Earth to the epoch of the big bang, may be shown in one plot.

2.3. Cluster Catalogs

Several algorithms have been applied to SDSS photometric and spectroscopy data to identify clusters of galaxies (see, e.g., Nichol 2003 and references therein). Cluster catalogs have been constructed from the SDSS imaging data alone using color criteria (maxBCG: Annis et al.), adaptive matched filtering (AMF; Kim et al. 2002), and a combination of these two methods (Bahcall et al. 2003). Perhaps the most useful for the purpose of placing clusters in the context of the cosmic web is the C4 method which identifies clusters within the volume sampled by the main galaxy spectroscopic sample. The C4 algorithm looks for density enhancements in the 7-dimensional space of four colors (generated by comparison of the five SDSS bands), right ascension, declination, and redshift. 800 clusters have been identified in the DR2 sample using this method (Miller et al. 2004).

3. Galaxy Properties and Environment

The environmental dependence of galaxy properties has typically been described in terms of the well-known morphology-density relation (Dressler 1980; Postman & Geller 1984). Study of the morphology-density relation using SDSS data (Goto et al. 2003) shows that this relation extends into the field population. Recent analyses of large spectroscopic samples of galaxies from the 2dFGRS and SDSS reveal variation of galaxy properties, in particular dependence of star formation rates, on density and/or clustercentric distance well beyond the virial radii of clusters. Analyses of galaxies in voids shows that this trend continues down to a small fraction of the mean density. Evidence suggests that the star formation rate depends not only on the local density (on scales of 1 Mpc or so) but also on the larger-scale environment. Here we highlight some recent results (also see Tanaka et al. 2004 in this proceedings) and describe some possible mechanisms for this large-scale variation.

3.1. Star Formation Rates as a Function of Clustercentric Distance

Lewis et al. (2002) estimate star formation rates for galaxies in the 2dFGRS that lie near clusters. They find that the mean star formation rates of galaxies, as well as the fraction of galaxies with high star formation rates, increases with clustercentric distance, with a sharp rise out to $\sim 2r/R_{\text{virial}}$. Analysis of SDSS galaxies near clusters and groups found in SDSS (using the C4 method described above) clearly shows a rise of the fraction of high star-forming galaxies out to several virial radii. Gomez et al. (2003) find similar results from analysis of the SDSS EDR sample and show that a “break” appears in the density-SFR relation around a projected local density of $\sim 1h_{75}^{-1}\text{Mpc}$.

3.2. Star Formation Rate as a Function of Density

Balogh et al. (2004) examine the variation of $H\alpha$ emission equivalent width as a function of density for galaxies in volume-limited samples of both 2dFGRS and SDSS ($M_r < -20.6$ for $h = 0.7$). They estimate galaxy density using both a projected density to the fifth-nearest neighbor and a Gaussian kernel smoothing and find that the fraction of galaxies with $W(H\alpha) > 4\text{\AA}$ increases with decreasing local density down to the lowest density that they can reliably examine. Further, when they examine the fraction of high $W(H\alpha)$ galaxies as a function of density estimated on both 1.1 and 5.5 Mpc scales, they find that, for fixed small-scale density, high star formation fractions are larger for galaxies that lie in

large-scale underdensities. This result appears to disagree with Kauffmann et al. (2004), who find no discernable trend of star formation rate with large-scale environment. This discrepancy may result from the different range of densities probed (the lowest density bin probed by Kauffmann et al. includes over 30% of the galaxies). In the next section we discuss what happens at truly low densities.

3.3. Void Galaxy Properties

To examine whether the trends identified near cluster continue all the way into voids, we compare properties of void galaxies with those of galaxies in denser regions (Rojas 2004; Rojas et al. 2004a,b; Hoyle et al. 2003, Hao et al. 2004). What happens to star formation rates and related galaxy properties at a small fraction of the mean density?

To identify void galaxies, we measure the distance from each galaxy in the flux-limited sample to the third nearest neighbor, and require $d_3 > 7h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ for void galaxies in a volume-limited sample ($M_r < -19.87 - 5 \log h$). This yields 1010 void galaxies (6-8% of the sample after removing objects near the survey boundary) with $\delta\rho/\rho < -0.6$ on a $7h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ scale. Comparison of this criterion with results of the voidfinder algorithm (Hoyle & Vogeley 2002) show that it reliably identifies galaxies that lie in large voids. At small redshift, we use the nearby UZC and SSRS2 catalogs to map local voids, and identify an additional 194 fainter void galaxies. This is the first sample of true void galaxies that is large enough to allow division into statistically-significant sub-samples.

Statistical analyses of the distribution functions of color, surface brightness profile, and emission line equivalent widths, show that void galaxies are, on average, bluer, more disklike, and have higher specific (per unit stellar mass) star formation rates than objects in denser regions (“wall” galaxies hereafter). K-S tests typically yield a probability $P < 10^{-4}$ of the void and wall galaxies being drawn from the same parent population. These same trends obtain when we compare void galaxies with the lowest-density 20% of wall galaxies, thus these differences are apparent not only between “cluster” and “void” samples but also between true “field” and “void” populations.

Several analyses of SDSS photometry have shown that galaxies have a nearly bimodal distribution of properties, either red and bulge-dominated, or blue and disk-like (Blanton et al. 2003; Baldry et al. 2004). To test the possibility that void galaxies differ from wall galaxies simply because of a demographic shift in the relative fractions of objects in these two populations (fewer elliptical in low-density regions), we compare void and wall galaxies in sub-samples with similar morphology and luminosity. We find that void galaxies persist in having bluer average colors. Thus, the morphology-density relation does not explain this density-dependence.

In summary, we find that at fixed luminosity and surface brightness profile, galaxies in voids have average colors that are bluer and higher average specific star formation rates. These trends are generally consistent with predictions of semi-analytic modelling of galaxy formation (Benson et al. 2003).

4. Discussion: Possible Causes of Large-Scale Environmental Dependence

What could cause these large-scale variations? Is galaxy formation a purely local process? Or does the large-scale environment contribute to the fate of a galaxy? Environment can affect galaxy formation and evolution through a number of factors that vary with density:

- (a) The dark halo mass function (shifts to lower masses at lower density)
- (b) The formation epoch of halo assembly

(c) The rate of galaxy-galaxy interactions/mergers (including tidal effects and induced turbulence)

(d) Temperature of the IGM

The question of whether environment determines galaxy properties is mostly physical, but partly semantic. The first point makes this clear: large-scale environment affects the properties of the objects found at different large-scale density through the mass function. Likewise for the second point: for objects of fixed total mass today but in different large-scale environments, the merging history of halos is likely to be different. On the other hand, if we compare galaxies of fixed total mass in regions of fixed small-scale density, but in different large-scale environments, their properties are likely to be similar (as found by Kauffmann et al. 2004), but perhaps not identical, as shown by the evidence cited above.

4.1. The Mass Function and Environment

Following the Press-Schechter formalism, it is straightforward to predict how the mass function of galaxies varies with large-scale environment. In voids, the number of small halos decreases in proportion to the lower overall matter density, while the exponential cutoff at the high mass end shifts to lower mass. This variation is consistent with the interior of voids acting like very low density universes (Goldberg & Vogeley 2004). In Goldberg et al. (2004), we study this dependence, compute the mass function for voids with varying δ_v , estimate the mass function of SDSS galaxies, and estimate δ_v .

Several paradoxical results relevant to the question of environmental dependence on large-scales arise from this study. The growth factor $D(z|\delta)$ in voids is larger at high redshift than in the background universe, suggesting that void galaxy halos should be relatively old. However, detailed analysis shows that, because the mass function of void galaxies is quite steep at the relevant mass scale, a small amount of growth at the present epoch yields a larger fractional growth rate at the high mass end than in denser regions. In other words, the few high mass galaxies in voids may have a high fraction of recently accretion. This may explain why massive void galaxies have relatively large star formation rates, while faint void galaxies exhibit smaller differences from higher-density objects.

4.2. Temperature, Environment, and Star Formation

A consequence of the temperature dependence of the Jeans mass and cooling rate of baryons is that we expect star formation to be more efficient where the IGM temperature is lower. In trying to explain the scale-dependence of bias in N-body/hydrodynamical simulations, Blanton et al. (1999) examine how bias depends on gas temperature. They find that, at fixed dark matter overdensity, the overdensity of baryonic matter that can form stars is larger in region of cooler gas temperature.

Because the gas temperature reflects fluctuations in density on scales much larger than the galaxy mass scale, star formation may be modulated by the large-scale density field. When the gas is virialized, its temperature reflects the local gravitational potential. Poisson's equation implies that $\tilde{\delta}_T(k) \propto k^{-2}\tilde{\delta}(k)$ (Blanton et al. 1999). In other words, the extra two powers of k cause fluctuations in temperature to depend on much larger wavelength fluctuations in density than the density field itself. Thus, the large-scale environment strongly influences the IGM temperature, through which it may strongly affect the efficiency of star formation.

Acknowledgements

M.S.V. acknowledges support from NSF grant AST-0071201.

Funding for the creation and distribution of the SDSS Archive has been provided by

the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the Participating Institutions, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the National Science Foundation, the U.S. Department of Energy, the Japanese Monbukagakusho, and the Max Planck Society. The SDSS Web site is <http://www.sdss.org/>.

The SDSS is managed by the Astrophysical Research Consortium (ARC) for the Participating Institutions. The Participating Institutions are The University of Chicago, Fermilab, the Institute for Advanced Study, the Japan Participation Group, The Johns Hopkins University, Los Alamos National Laboratory, the Max-Planck-Institute for Astronomy (MPIA), the Max-Planck-Institute for Astrophysics (MPA), New Mexico State University, University of Pittsburgh, Princeton University, the United States Naval Observatory, and the University of Washington.

References

- Abazajian, K. et al. 2004, *AJ*, 128, 502
 Bahcall, N.A. et al. 2003, *ApJS*, 148, 243
 Baldry et al. 2004, *ApJ*, 600, 681
 Balogh, M., et al. 2004, *MNRAS*, 348, 1355
 Benson, A. J., Hoyle, F., Torres, F & Vogeley, M. S., 2003, *MNRAS*, 340, 160
 Blanton, M., Cen, R., Ostriker, J.P., & Strauss 1999, *ApJ*, 522, 590
 Blanton et al. 2003, *ApJ*, 594, 186
 Blanton, M. R., Lin, H., Lupton, R. H., Maley, F. M., Young, N., Zehavi, I., & Loveday, J. 2003, *AJ*, 125, 2276
 Dressler, A. 1980, *ApJ*, 236, 351
 Eisenstein, D., et al. 2001, *AJ*, 122, 2267
 Fukugita, M., Ichikawa, T., Gunn, J. E., Doi, M., Shimasaku, K., & Schneider, D. P. 1996, *AJ*, 111, 1748
 Geller, M. J., & Huchra, 1989, *Science*, 246, 897
 Goldberg, D. M., & Vogeley, M. S. 2004, *ApJ*, 605, 1
 Goldberg, D. M., et al. 2004, *ApJ*, submitted, astro-ph/0406527
 Gomez, P., et al. 2003, *ApJ*, 584, 210
 Gott, J. R., et al. 2003, *ApJ*, submitted, astro-ph/0310571
 Goto, T., et al. 2003, *MNRAS*, 346, 601
 Gunn, J. E., et al. 1998, *ApJ*, 116, 3040
 Hao, L., Strauss, M. A., Rojas, R. R., & Vogeley, M. S. 2004, in preparation
 Hogg, D. W., Finkbeiner, D. P., Schlegel, D. J., & Gunn, J. E. 2001, *AJ*, 122, 2129
 Hoyle, F. & Vogeley, M. S., 2002, *ApJ*, 566, 641
 Hoyle, F., Rojas, R., Vogeley, M.S., & Brinkmann, J. 2003, *ApJ*, submitted, astro-ph/0309728
 Kauffmann, G., et al. 2004, *MNRAS*, submitted, astro-ph/0402030
 Kim, R. S. J. et al. 2002, *AJ*, 123, 20
 Lewis, I. et al. 2002, *MNRAS*, 673, 683
 Lupton, R. H., et al. 2004, in preparation
 Miller, C., et al. 2004, in preparation
 Nichol, R.C. 2003, in *Cluster of Galaxies*, Carnegie Obs. Astro. Series 3, eds. J. Mulchaey, A. Dressler, & A. Oemler (Cambridge: Cambridge U. Press)
 Pier, J. R., Munn, J. A., Hindsley, R. B., Hennessy, G. S., Kent, S. M., Lupton, R. H., & Ivezić, Ž. 2003, *AJ*, 125, 1559
 Postman, M., & Geller, M. J. 1984, *ApJ*, 281, 95
 Rojas, R., Vogeley, M. S., Hoyle, F. & Brinkmann, J. 2004a, *ApJ* (accepted for Dec. 10, 2004 issue), astro-ph/0307274
 Rojas, R. 2004, Ph.D. thesis, Drexel University
 Rojas, R., Vogeley, M. S., Hoyle, F., & Brinkmann, J. 2004b, to be submitted to *ApJ*
 Scranton, R. et al. 2002, *ApJS*, 579, 48

Smith, J. A., et al. 2002, *AJ*, 123, 2121

Stoughton, C. et al. 2002, *AJ*, 123, 485

Strauss, M. A., et al. 2002, *AJ*, 124, 1810

Tanaka, M., Goto, T., Okamura, S., Shimasaku, K., & Brinkman, J. 2004, this proceedings

York, D. G. et al. 2000, *AJ*, 120, 1579