

# pH effects on the electrochemical reduction of CO(2) towards C2 products on stepped copper

Liu, Xinyan; Schlexer, Philomena; Xiao, Jianping; Ji, Yongfei; Wang, Lei; Sandberg, Robert B.; Tang, Michael; Brown, Kristopher S.; Peng, Hongjie; Ringe, Stefan; Hahn, Christopher; Jaramillo, Thomas F.; Nørskov, Jens Kehlet; Chan, Karen

*Published in:* Nature Communications

Link to article, DOI: 10.1038/s41467-018-07970-9

Publication date: 2019

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link back to DTU Orbit

*Citation (APA):* Liu, X., Schlexer, P., Xiao, J., Ji, Y., Wang, L., Sandberg, R. B., ... Chan, K. (2019). pH effects on the electrochemical reduction of CO(2) towards C2 products on stepped copper. Nature Communications, 10(1), [32]. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-018-07970-9

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



## ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07970-9

**OPEN** 

# pH effects on the electrochemical reduction of $CO_{(2)}$ towards $C_2$ products on stepped copper

Xinyan Liu<sup>1,2</sup>, Philomena Schlexer <sup>1,2</sup>, Jianping Xiao<sup>1,3</sup>, Yongfei Ji<sup>1,2,4</sup>, Lei Wang <sup>1,2</sup>, Robert B. Sandberg<sup>1,2</sup>, Michael Tang<sup>1,2</sup>, Kristopher S. Brown<sup>1,2</sup>, Hongjie Peng <sup>1,2</sup>, Stefan Ringe<sup>1,2</sup>, Christopher Hahn<sup>2</sup>, Thomas F. Jaramillo <sup>1,2</sup>, Jens K. Nørskov<sup>1,2,5</sup> & Karen Chan <sup>2,5</sup>

We present a microkinetic model for  $CO_{(2)}$  reduction  $(CO_{(2)}R)$  on Cu(211) towards  $C_2$  products, based on energetics estimated from an explicit solvent model. We show that the differences in both Tafel slopes and pH dependence for  $C_1$  vs  $C_2$  activity arise from differences in their multi-step mechanisms. We find the depletion in  $C_2$  products observed at high overpotential and high pH to arise from the  $2^{nd}$  order dependence of C-C coupling on CO coverage, which decreases due to competition from the  $C_1$  pathway. We further demonstrate that  $CO_{(2)}$  reduction at a fixed pH yield similar activities, due to the facile kinetics for  $CO_2$  reduction to CO on Cu, which suggests  $C_2$  products to be favored for  $CO_2R$  under alkaline conditions. The mechanistic insights of this work elucidate how reaction conditions can lead to significant enhancements in selectivity and activity towards higher value  $C_2$  products.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> SUNCAT Center for Interface Science and Catalysis, Department of Chemical Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA. <sup>2</sup> SUNCAT Center for Interface Science and Catalysis, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, 2575 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park, California 94025, USA. <sup>3</sup> Institute of Natural Sciences, Westlake Institute for Advanced Study, Westlake University, Hangzhou 310024, China. <sup>4</sup> Department of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou 510006, China. <sup>5</sup> CatTheory Center, Department of Physics, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to K.C. (email: kchan@fysik.dtu.dk)

Electrochemical  $CO_2$  reduction is a potential candidate for sustainable energy conversion and storage<sup>1</sup>. If this process could be realized at a reasonable efficiency and cost, fuels and basic chemicals can then be made in a sustainable way, thus allowing for a zero-emission energy conversion cycle<sup>2-4</sup>. In particular, the production of  $C_2$  products, which are usually of higher value and have higher energy densities than simpler products like H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>, is especially attractive for applications in energy storage, transportation, and the chemical industry<sup>4,5</sup>.

 $CO_2$  reduction to these products, however, presents major challenges<sup>6,7</sup>. Existing catalysts require large overpotentials to give significant reaction rates and the selectivity toward the desired products is often low, with hydrogen evolution being the major competing process<sup>6,8–10</sup>. Copper-based materials are the only catalysts that show significant selectivity toward more reduced hydrocarbons and alcohols<sup>11,12</sup>, but they still require high overpotentials<sup>13–15</sup>. The complexity of the reaction network, the importance of electrochemical activation energies<sup>16</sup>, and the influence of ion-adsorbate interactions also pose major challenges toward the development of a mechanistic understanding of the activity and selectivity toward  $C_{2+}$  products.

Recently, many experimental<sup>17-25</sup> research efforts have been devoted to shed light on possible reaction mechanisms toward C2 products on Cu. In in situ-infrared spectroscopic<sup>18,20,22,23,25</sup> studies, CO\* has been consistently observed<sup>20,22,23,25</sup>, suggesting CO\* to be a key reaction intermediate. Additionally, a OCCOH\* signal on Cu(100) was reported during CO reduction (COR) suggestive of a CO dimerization pathway, followed by proton-electron transfer<sup>23</sup>. Investigations on the change of product distribution with variations in reactant feed have also been carried out to help elucidate possible pathways<sup>17,21</sup>. CO<sup>\*26</sup>, glyoxal<sup>17</sup>, and acetaldehyde<sup>21</sup>, for example, have been proposed as reaction intermediates toward further reduced oxygenates using this approach. All of the above approaches, however, are limited in that the intermediates probed must be relatively stable in order to be detected spectroscopically, or exist in stable aqueous or gaseous forms to act as reaction feeds. Furthermore, observable adsorbed species may only be spectators to the dominant reaction pathways.

Computationally, various models of the electrochemical interface have recently been applied to explore the reaction mechanisms and the dominant pathways, in attempts to rationalize the overall activities<sup>14,27,28</sup>, the facet dependence<sup>21,29-32</sup>, product selectivities toward hydrocarbons and alcohols<sup>30,33,34</sup>, or the rates toward the formation of various  $C_{2(+)}$  products<sup>32,35</sup>. Despite these intensive research efforts, there has been little consensus in the literature regarding  $C_{2(+)}$  reaction pathways. For example, Luo et al.<sup>30</sup> suggest that the formation of C<sub>2</sub> intermediates on Cu(100) has insurmountable barriers, and that the experimentally observed production of C2 intermediates/products on Cu(100) surfaces occurs due to surface reconstruction, while several other studies<sup>27,35-37</sup> have reported C-C coupling to be facile in the presence of solvent and ions. Furthermore, the dominant coupling step that leads up to C<sub>2</sub> intermediates is also under debate. Both OC-CO dimerization14,27,28,30,35 and OC-CHO coupling<sup>27,30,32</sup> have been suggested by various theoretical studies to dominate on Cu(100). After the formation of  $C_2$ intermediates, the further steps are also controversial. For instance, both Calle-Vallejo et al.<sup>14</sup> and Cheng et al.<sup>35</sup> have reported OCCOH\* to be one of the reaction intermediates on Cu (100). However, Calle-Vallejo et al.<sup>14</sup> have suggested that OCCOH\* is reduced to OCC\*, while Cheng et al.35 suggest HOCCOH<sup>\*</sup> to be more favorable. The pH dependence of  $C_2$ product formation has been suggested to arise from rate-limiting electron transfer during CO dimerization<sup>14,32</sup>, but adsorbateinduced states on metals are broad (~1 eV) which give instantaneous electron transfer on the timescale of atomic motion during reaction events<sup>36</sup>.

The different outcomes of the various mechanistic works stem to a large extent from the theoretical challenges in determining electrochemical activation barriers, and the differences in the simplifying assumptions made<sup>27–29,33,35,38,39</sup>. Furthermore, certain C<sub>2</sub> intermediates with large dipole moments are dramatically affected by the electric field at the interface, which adds additional complexity<sup>36,37</sup>. Finally, the combined effects of adsorbate–adsorbate interactions, competing reaction pathways, and adsorbate coverages require kinetic modeling to elucidate the dominant pathways, while most previous theoretical studies have emphasized reaction energetics and in some cases their correlation to onset potentials for various products<sup>28,30,32,35</sup>. The effect of the electrolyte pH<sup>13,14,26,40–42</sup>, which has dramatic effects on C<sub>2</sub> activity and selectivity, also requires the consideration of kinetics.

In this work, we present a pH-dependent microkinetic model of electrochemical  $CO_2$  reduction kinetics over Cu(211) to  $C_1$  and C<sub>2</sub> products, based on reaction energetics estimated via explicitsolvent<sup>38</sup> simulations. With the developed kinetic model, we investigate the effects of potential and pH on the C1 and C2 product activities and selectivities. We find the simulated results to be in agreement with experimental findings:<sup>9,26,40</sup> the differences in Tafel slopes between C2 and C1 products at low overpotential, the depletion of C2 product activity at high overpotential, the dramatic impact of pH on C<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>1</sub> product activity and selectivity, and the similarities in CO2 and CO reduction activity. We find the differences in the pH dependence between the C<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>1</sub> pathways to arise from differences in their rate-determining proton-electron transfer steps with water as the proton source. We also show that, given the facile kinetics for CO<sub>2</sub> conversion to CO on Cu, there is little difference between the activities for CO<sub>2</sub> vs. CO reduction. The original mechanistic insights supplied in this work elucidate how reaction conditions can lead to significant enhancements in selectivity and activity toward higher-value C<sub>2</sub> products, which have major implications for electrolyzer design.

#### Results

**Reaction pathways for CO reduction**. To comprehensively model  $CO_{(2)}$  reduction, we estimated the reaction energetics for various reaction pathways using explicit- solvent simulations. According to recent experimental spectroscopic<sup>25</sup> and computational work<sup>43</sup>, the presence of CO increases the density of highly active, low-coordinated step sites on polycrystalline copper. Given the generally lower activation barriers associated on steps vs. terraces<sup>16,44</sup>, the activity of steps would, from a simple consideration of the Arrhenius equation, dominate the overall activity. This idea echoes what has been found in seminal single-crystal studies of various heterogeneous reactions<sup>45,46</sup>. We therefore focus our analysis on the stepped Cu(211) facet, since its surface contains three-atom-wide (111) terraces separated by single-atom (100) facets at the step edges<sup>47</sup>, which allows us to incorporate the geometric effect of the (100) facets as well.

The main reactions considered in this work are shown in Fig. 1. As in our previous study on  $CO_{(2)}$  reduction toward  $C_1$  products<sup>16</sup>, we find the barrier for proton–electron transfer to CO\* through the CHO\* path to be lower than the one via COH\*. The further protonation to form  $C_1$  products can take place via either CHOH\* or CH<sub>2</sub>O\*. For  $C_2$  production, we have included the coupling for CO\* with various carbon species, such as CO\*, CHO\*, and CHOH\*. As demonstrated previously<sup>37</sup>, the presence of a field can largely facilitate the coupling step(s), and therefore in this work, all the coupling barriers are calculated with



**Fig. 1** Reaction schemes of major pathways considered for CO reduction toward  $C_1$  and  $C_{2+}$  products. The green path denotes  $C_2$  production via OC-CHO coupling; the blue and red path represents  $C_2$  production via protonation of OCCO to form OCCHO and OCCOH, respectively; the yellow path represents  $C_2$  production via OC-CHOH coupling. The black path denotes  $C_1$  production via CHOH and the dashed CH<sub>2</sub>O

the presence of a field. We have also assumed all the reaction steps after the formation of OCCH\*, OCCHO\*, and OCCHOH\* to be downhill in energy. This assumption is made on the basis of a previous thermodynamic analysis which suggested all intermediates to be downhill from OCCHO\*<sup>24</sup>, and, as discussed below, is consistent with the experimental Tafel slope which suggests an early rate-limiting proton–electron transfer. Finally, we have also included the Tafel, Heyrovsky, and Volmer elementary steps for the hydrogen evolution reaction, a major competing reaction under  $CO_{(2)}RR$  conditions<sup>9</sup>. More calculation details and the full set of elementary reactions and the corresponding energetics can be found in the Methods section and Supplementary Information.

Despite the simplifying assumptions made, Fig. 1 shows a rather complex reaction network with many competing steps. Furthermore, the reaction is likely to take place at a substantial coverage of CO\*16, which requires the consideration of adsorbate-adsorbate interactions. Kinetic modeling, which provides the steady-state intermediate coverages and the corresponding reaction energetics from adsorbate-adsorbate interaction models, is therefore necessary to elucidate the dominant, rate-determining steps. Trends in the calculated rates can also be directly compared with experiment. We note that the inherent uncertainties related to DFT energies<sup>48,49</sup> (~0.2 eV), to the potential reference (reported values range from 4.89 to 5.17 eV)<sup>50</sup> and the effects of the water structure result in larger uncertainties in electrochemical activation barriers than reaction thermochemistry. The parameterization of adsorbate-adsorbate interactions<sup>51,52</sup>, and the density of step-like sites in polycrystalline metal foil (estimated to be  $\sim 5\%^{46}$ , which can be used to scale our simulated curves) introduce additional uncertainties. Our focus here is therefore on a qualitative, not quantitative comparison with trends in the experiment.

**CO reduction at pH** = 13. We first examine the simple case of CO reduction at pH = 13. Figure 2a and b shows the experimentally measured<sup>26</sup> and simulated polarization curves toward the formation of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  products on polycrystalline Cu

(pc-Cu) and Cu(211), respectively (see Supplementary Figure 1 and Supplementary Note 2 for polarization curves toward HER). Our description shows comparable trends to experiment, both in terms of a steeper Tafel slope for  $C_1$  vs. that for  $C_2$  products and the decrease in  $C_2$  activity at high overpotential. As annotated in Fig. 2a, experimentally different Tafel slopes are observed for the formation of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  products.  $C_2$  formation has a Tafel slope of 43 mV/dec at low overpotential.

The differences in Tafel slopes arise from differences in dominant pathways. Due to the interplay between adsorbate coverages and adsorbate-adsorbate interactions, dominant pathways are not obvious from the energetics alone and must be determined through the full kinetic model. Supplementary Figure 2 shows the decomposition analysis for the various C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> pathways, and the free energy diagrams for the dominant pathways at the low coverage limit (total coverage < 0.25 ML) are shown in Fig. 2c and d (see Supplementary Figure 3 for free energy diagrams of the minor pathways). At high coverages (>0.25 ML) where adsorbate-adsorbate interactions set in, the barriers may increase or decrease depending on the relative strengths of interactions of the transition and initial states (see Supplementary Note 1 and Supplementary Figure 4). The influence of adsorbate-adsorbate interactions is determined by the full kinetic model self-consistently. In the potential window of interest, the model suggests the CHOH\* pathway to dominate for  $C_1$  formation, and the OCCOH<sup>\*</sup> pathway to dominate for  $C_2$ production, similar with some previous experimental reports on  $C_1^{16,34}$  and  $C_2^{14,23,35}$  formation.

We note that, due to the inherent sensitivity of rates to energetics, the uncertainties in the energetics translate to uncertainties in the decomposition analysis. The distribution of pathway contributions may also vary under different circumstances, for example, under different pH (see Supplementary Figure 2) or gas pressures. Nevertheless, as discussed below, these two pathways are sufficient to rationalize the main features of the  $C_1$  vs.  $C_2$  activity and selectivity.



**Fig. 2** Polarization curves and free energy diagrams for  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  products on Cu. **a** Experimental polarization curves on pc-Cu of CO reduction toward  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  products at pH = 13. Data are taken from ref. <sup>26</sup>. **b** Predicted polarization curves from the full microkinetic model and the associated analytical approximation on Cu(211) of CO reduction toward  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  products at pH = 13. **c** Free energy diagram for a dominant pathway at low coverage for  $C_1$  formation at -0.5 V and -1.0 V vs. RHE. **d** Free energy diagram for the dominant pathway at low coverage for  $C_2$  formation at -0.5 V and -1.0 V vs. RHE.



**Fig. 3** The effect of pH on multistep proton-electron transfers from  $H_2O$ . **a** Schematic of the reaction energy landscape of a process with multiple proton-electron steps. **b** Shift in the onset with pH for reactions with n = 0 and n > 0

The Tafel slopes in the low overpotential region can be rationalized by considering the potential dependence of the ratedetermining steps in the dominant pathways. As shown in Fig. 3a, in the case of a rate-determining proton–electron transfer to an adsorbate X<sup>\*</sup> from water<sup>53,54</sup> (i.e., alkaline or neutral conditions),

$$X^* + H_2O + e^- - \rightarrow XH^* + OH^-$$

the corresponding rate of the forward reaction is given by the

Butler-Volmer equation

$$R = A\theta_{X*} \exp\left(-\frac{G_a^0 + e\beta U_{SHE}}{k_B T}\right),\tag{1}$$

where A is the prefactor,  $\theta_{X*}$  the coverage of X\*,  $G_a^0$  the activation energy of the process at 0 V vs. SHE,  $U_{\text{SHE}}$  the potential vs. SHE,  $\beta$  the transfer coefficient,  $k_{\text{B}}$  the Boltzmann constant, and T the reaction temperature.  $\beta$  is a measure for the amount of charge transferred to the adsorbate at the transition state and gives the potential dependence of the barrier for the rate-determining step<sup>40,55</sup>. We note that the bulk pH does not play a role in *R* since neither solvated protons nor hydroxide ions are the initial or transition state in this reaction (see Supplementary Note 3 for details). The elementary reactions before the rate-determining step can be considered quasi-equilibrated, and the coverage of X is given by the following equilibrium:

$$mCO^* + n(H_2O + e^- -) \leftrightarrow X^* + nOH^-,$$

where m = 1 or 2 depending on whether C–C coupling has occurred, *n* is the number of proton–electron transfers before the rate-limiting step, and

$$\theta_{X^*} = \theta_{CO^*}^m \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_0 + enU_{RHE}}{k_B T}\right),\tag{2}$$

where  $\Delta G_0$  is the free energy of the process at 0 V vs. RHE, and  $U_{\text{RHE}}$  is the potential vs. RHE. Note that  $\theta_{X*}$ , in this quasiequilibrium limit, shows the Nernstian dependence on pH on the SHE scale, i.e., Eq. 2 can equivalently be written

$$\theta_{X^*} = \theta_{CO^*}^m \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_0 + enU_{SHE}}{k_B T} - 2.3npH\right).$$
(3)

The overall rate can be written either as a function of the potential relative to either RHE or SHE:

$$R = A\theta_{CO^*} m \exp\left(-\frac{G_a^0 + \Delta G_0}{k_B T} - \frac{n+\beta}{k_B T} \left(eU_{RHE} - \frac{2.3\beta k_B T}{n+\beta} pH\right)\right)$$
$$= A\theta_{CO^*} m \exp\left(-\frac{G_a^0 + \Delta G_0}{k_B T} - \frac{n+\beta}{k_B T} \left(eU_{SHE} + \frac{2.3nk_B T}{n+\beta} pH\right)\right)$$
(4)

The Tafel slope  $\frac{\partial U}{\partial \log(R)}$  is given by  $-\frac{2.3k_BT}{(n+\beta)e}$  (Fig. 3b) and therefore the later the proton transfer (and higher *n*), the steeper the corresponding slope. In the case of n = 0, i.e., the rate-determining step from the first proton–electron transfer to CO<sup>\*</sup> or OCCO<sup>\*</sup>, the rate shows a dependence only on  $U_{SHE}$ .

The analytical approximations are shown as dotted lines in Fig. 2b. No additional barrier is found for CO dimerization, and therefore the energy difference between the adsorption energies of 2CO\* and OCCO\* serves as the barrier for this process. C2 formation is limited by the protonation of OCCO\* at low overpotential, which presents an additional barrier that needs to be overcome after the formation of OCCO\*. The energetic difference between G<sub>TS</sub> of this step and 2G<sub>CO\*</sub> presents the largest barrier along this pathway (Fig. 2d). Since this is the first proton-electron transfer step (n = 0), the corresponding Tafel slope should then be 119 mV/dec, which closely resembles the experimental observation (116 mV/dec). For the production of  $C_1$ (Fig. 2c), on the other hand, the rate-limiting step at low overpotential is suggested to be the proton-electron transfer to CHOH<sup>\*</sup>. In this case, n = 2, and the Tafel slope should be around 24 mV/dec. The experimental data in Fig. 2a also show a considerably smaller value than for the C<sub>2</sub> products but not quite as small. We note that this discrepancy may stem from uncertainties in simulations and in the experimental mass transport limitations. In Supplementary Figure 5, we have included the effect of CO diffusion limitations, which shows that a mixed kinetic-transport region demonstrates a decreased slope for C1 production. In addition, Hori et al.40 has tested CO

reduction at a series of pH from 6.0 to 12.2 and the majority of observed Tafel slopes are below 60 mV/dec (ranging from -21 to -93 mV/dec) toward C<sub>1</sub> formation (Supplementary Figure 6). Nevertheless, it is evident from both Fig. 2a and Supplementary Figure 6 that C<sub>1</sub> formation exhibits a steeper Tafel slope than that of C<sub>2</sub> formation, which suggests that C<sub>1</sub> product formation is limited by a later proton–electron transfer step at the low overpotential region.

At high overpotential, the rate-limiting step for  $C_1$  formation changes to the protonation of CO<sup>\*</sup> (i.e., the first proton–electron transfer) and therefore the corresponding Tafel slope decreases. On the other hand, the production of  $C_2$  decreases, since it becomes limited by the CO<sup>\*</sup> dimerization barrier and by a gradual decrease in  $\theta_{CO^*}$  (Supplementary Figure 7).  $C_2$  products are more severely affected by a depletion in CO<sup>\*</sup> coverage than  $C_1$ 's, since they have a second-order dependence on  $\theta_{CO^*}$ . We note that, as shown in Supplementary Figure 5, CO transport limitations can also give an effective decrease in  $C_2$  product activity.

**The effect of pH**. The differences in rate-limiting steps for C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> formation also induce differences in pH dependence. Figure 4d and e shows corresponding variations in the free energies in the dominant pathways from a variation in pH. Reaction thermochemistry for proton–electron transfer reactions, in general, only varies as a function of  $U_{\text{RHE}}$ . Activation energies for proton–electron transfer from H<sub>2</sub>O, however, remain constant at a fixed potential vs. SHE and therefore decrease at a fixed potential vs. RHE ( $G_{a,0}^{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = G_{a,0}^{\text{H}_2\text{O}} + \beta e U_{\text{SHE}} = G_{a,0}^{\text{H}_2\text{O}} + \beta e U_{\text{RHE}} - 2.3\beta k_{\text{B}}T\text{pH}$ , see Supplementary Note 3 for details). The overall effect on the C<sub>1</sub> vs. C<sub>2</sub> activity can again be obtained by Eq. 4; the shift in overpotential with pH for a rate-determining step is given by  $-2.3k_{\text{B}}T\Delta\text{pH}\frac{\beta}{n+\beta}$ , as shown in Fig. 3b. With  $\beta \sim 0.5$ , this translates to a shift of -71 mV for the C<sub>1</sub> pathway (n = 2) and -357 mV for the C<sub>2</sub> pathway (n = 0) between pH 7 and pH 13.

Figure 4a shows the experimental polarization curves for CO reduction reaction in a bulk pH of 7 and 13, respectively (see Supplementary Figure 1 for the HER polarization curves). Figure 4b and c shows the corresponding predictions from microkinetic modeling (Fig. 4b) and from the analytical approximation (Fig. 4c). We can see that the analytical approximation is able to give qualitatively good agreement with experiments in the low overpotential regime, giving consistent Tafel slopes and the shifts in overpotential with shifts in pH. Competing pathways present in the microkinetic model also give rise to its lower simulated rates vs. the analytical approximation, since the intermediate coverages are lower as they are consumed by multiple pathways. We have, in addition, included a comparison of our kinetic model results to the pH-dependent CO reduction data from Hori et al.<sup>40</sup> in Supplementary Figure 6, as well as a comparison of HER polarization curves in Supplementary Figure 1, which also show good agreement between experimental and theoretical trends.

The experimental and theoretical pictures together show the electrolyte pH to be a way to bias the activity and selectivity toward more  $C_{2+}$  products. The ~0.36-V shift in overpotential for  $C_2$  products between pH 7 and 13 translates to over three orders of magnitude enhancement in  $C_2$  activity. The lesser shift in  $C_1$  products translates to a tremendous enhancement in  $C_2$  selectivity (1~2 order(s) of magnitude). We note that our model predicts a similar depletion in  $C_2$  products at high overpotential at pH 7 as in pH 13 for the same reasons, though to date no experimental data exist for pH 7 at such high overpotentials.



**Fig. 4** The effect of pH on  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  product activities. **a** Measured COR activities toward  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  on pc-Cu at pH = 7 and 13. Data are taken from Wang et al.<sup>26</sup>. **b** Predicted COR polarization curves from the microkinetic model at pH = 7 and pH = 13. **c** Approximated COR polarization curves using Eq. 4 at pH = 7 and pH = 13. **d** Free energy diagram of the dominant pathway at low coverage for  $C_1$  formation at -0.5 V vs. RHE at pH = 7 and pH = 13. **e** Free energy diagram of the dominant pathway at low coverage for  $C_2$  formation at -0.5 V vs. RHE at pH = 7 and pH = 13.



**Fig. 5** Comparison of CO and CO<sub>2</sub>R at pH 7. **a** Measured COR and CO<sub>2</sub>R activities toward C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> at pH = 7. Data are taken from refs. <sup>9,26</sup>. **b** Predicted COR and CO<sub>2</sub>R polarization curves on Cu(211) at pH = 7

**CO<sub>2</sub> vs. CO reduction**. It has been suggested both experimentally<sup>56</sup> and theoretically<sup>16</sup> that the formation of CO<sup>\*</sup> from CO<sub>2</sub>(g) is relatively facile on copper. CO<sub>2</sub>RR and COR should therefore display similar kinetics if operated at the same environmental pH. Figure 5 shows the experimentally measured (a) and simulated (b) polarization curves for both CO and CO<sub>2</sub> reduction at a bulk pH of 7. The microkinetic results again exhibit good qualitative agreement with experimental trends, which places further confidence in our mechanistic understanding of the reaction. Both experimental and simulated results show the  $CO_2$  reduction polarization curve to coincide with the one from CO reduction in the potential window of interest. This suggests that at low/moderate overpotential, the CO\* coverages are similar under COR and  $CO_2R$  conditions (Supplementary Figure 7b), which can be rationalized by the free energy diagram (Supplementary Figure 8). Unlike gas–surface reactions, the necessary solvent reorganization adds additional barriers to gas molecule adsorption in surface electrocatalysis<sup>57</sup>. As shown in Supplementary Figure 8, the CO<sup>\*</sup> coverage is limited by CO adsorption ( $G_a = 0.33 \text{ eV}$  at low coverage) in COR and by CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption ( $G_a = 0.45 \text{ eV}$  at low coverage) in CO<sub>2</sub>R. The difference in adsorption barriers is trivial at low/moderate overpotential ( $U_{RHE} > -1.0 \text{ V}$ ), since the protonation of CO<sup>\*</sup> or OCCO<sup>\*</sup> are the rate-limiting steps. In this potential range, COR and CO<sub>2</sub>R therefore exhibit similar activities.

The difference in  $CO_2$  and CO adsorption barriers becomes more important at the high overpotential region ( $U_{RHE} < -1.0$  V). As the proton–electron transfer barrier decreases as a function of potential,  $CO^*$  formation becomes rate-limiting at high overpotential.  $CO^*$  is then gradually depleted as the  $CO^*$  reduction becomes increasingly favorable as potential decreases. As it is slightly more difficult to form  $CO^*$  in  $CO_2R$ ,  $CO^*$  is then depleted at smaller overpotentials (Supplementary Figure 7b). This effect is more pronounced in  $C_2$  activities, which, as discussed above, is second order in  $CO^*$  coverage. Consequently, the  $C_2$  activities in  $CO_2R$  decrease more rapidly at the high overpotential region, as shown in the simulated curves of Fig. 5b.

Similarly, the same trend is predicted for alkaline conditions, as illustrated in Supplementary Figure 9. This suggests that  $CO_2R$ , if run at alkaline conditions without the  $CO_2$  conversion to bicarbonate, would also be expected to show a very high  $C_2$ activity and selectivity. We propose this simple principle to be behind a just-published work<sup>58</sup>, where optimized transport allowed for  $CO_2R$  at extremely alkaline conditions, resulting in large increases in the activity and selectivity toward ethylene. This work suggested that the improved activity under alkaline conditions arises from the effect of OH\* co-adsorption on the CO dimerization barrier, but our model shows no OH\* coverage under reducing conditions (Supplementary Figure 7a), nor do the coverages at high potentials depend on pH on an RHE scale, since OH\* adsorption is determined by reaction thermodynamics.

In summary, we presented a detailed microkinetic model of CO(2) reduction on stepped Cu(211) surfaces toward C1 and C2 products. Our simulated activities show qualitative and even semiquantitative agreement with experimental observations, and we show that the distinctive potential dependence (Tafel slope, pH effects) of C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> formation can be rationalized through differences in their rate-limiting steps. C2 production at low overpotentials is limited by the rate of the first proton-electron transfer to the OCCO\* intermediate resulting in a conventional SHE-scale dependence, while at high overpotentials, it is limited by CO coverage.  $C_1$  formation, on the other hand, is limited by a later proton-electron transfer to the CHOH\* intermediate at low overpotential, in contrast to previous studies which focus on the protonation of CO\*. Consequently, it exhibits a much higher Tafel slope and a smaller enhancement in activity with increasing pH. We also demonstrate that CO<sub>2</sub>R and COR show similar kinetics within the potential range of interest. The mechanistic insights supplied in this work provide ways to tune the activity and selectivity toward higher-value C2 products, which has major implications for the design of industrial-scale CO(2)R electrolyzers.

#### Methods

**Computational details.** Reaction energetics were calculated with density functional theory with a periodic plane-wave implementation and ultrasoft pseudo-potentials using the QUANTUM ESPRESSO code<sup>59</sup>, interfaced with the Atomistic Simulation Environment (ASE)<sup>60</sup>. We applied the BEEF-vdW functional, which provides a reasonable description of van der Waals forces while maintaining an accurate prediction of chemisorption energies<sup>61</sup>. Plane-wave and density cutoffs were 500 and 5000 eV, respectively, with a Fermi-level smearing width of 0.1 eV.

The adsorption energies were evaluated using four-layer  $3 \times 3$  supercells with the bottom two layers constrained, and  $[4 \times 4 \times 1]$  Monkhorst–Pack *k*-point grids<sup>62</sup> were used. All structures were optimized until force components were less than

0.05 eV/Å. A dipole correction was applied to decouple the electrostatic interaction between the periodically repeated slabs. To determine the solvation corrections, we explicitly calculated the adsorption energy of the adsorbates in the presence of water molecules (several different configurations were considered and the lowest energy structure is taken). The solvation energy comes from the difference in adsorption energies with and without explicit water, and it arises from both the stabilization of the adsorbates through hydrogen bonding as well as the reorganization of the water layer in response to adsorption. Multiple transition metal surfaces were considered and the final correction was for simplicity taken to be the average value across all metals. Supplementary Table 1 shows the solvation corrections applied. The effect of varying the number of water layers was tested in previous work<sup>63</sup>. What was found was that the effect of one layer of water on the solvation of various adsorbates on rutile  $IrO_2(100)$  was essentially equivalent to more water layers. We also considered the possibility of hydride formation, as discussed in Supplementary Note 4 and Supplementary Figure 12.

Due to GGA functionals placing the unfilled  $2\pi^*$  orbital too low in energy, an overbinding correction was applied to CO binding energies based on the vibrational frequency of the internal CO stretch of \*CO, determined in vacuum<sup>64,65</sup>. The correction was 0.26 eV for Cu(211) surface. We also applied a correction of 0.15 eV per C = O double-bond correction as suggested by Christensen et al.<sup>66</sup>. The C = O double-bond corrections applied are listed in Supplementary Table 2. Finally, a correction of 0.33 eV was applied to the energy of CO<sub>2</sub>(g), which was determined from fits to experimental gas-phase reaction energetics in ref. <sup>67</sup>.

Cation-induced fields lead to dramatic stabilizations of the  $C_2$  species involved<sup>37</sup>. The more degrees of freedom in the solvent in the presence of cations and solvent rearrangement in the presence of bulkier  $C_2$  intermediates, however, leads to higher uncertainties in the energetics. It has been suggested previously that the stabilization brought by solvation and cation-induced fields can roughly be divided<sup>37</sup>, and therefore we consider those two effects separately. We first obtained the field-stabilized adsorbate structures in the presence of a hydronium ion. We then applied a sawtooth potential in the z-direction for structures of the adsorbates in vacuum, where the solvent layer was removed. The interaction energy between an adsorbate and an electric field at the interface is given by

$$\Delta E = \mu \epsilon - \frac{1}{2} \alpha \epsilon^2 + \dots \tag{5}$$

where  $\Delta E$  is the change in binding energy,  $\varepsilon$  is the electric field strength, and  $\mu$  and  $\alpha$  are the intrinsic dipole moment and polarizability of the adsorbate, respectively<sup>36</sup>. We used a field strength of -0.7 V/Å to get the estimated field stabilization, as this gives us a CO<sup>\*</sup> adsorption energy that is close to the value calculated with explicit solvent and field. Lastly, we added the solvation corrections as described above to obtain the adsorption energies of C<sub>2</sub> species. Ongoing efforts will evaluate the energetics more rigorously by minima hopping<sup>37,68</sup> the cation/ solvent structures in the presence of the various intermediates.

Electrochemical barriers were calculated with  $(3 \times 3)$  supercells and Monkhorst–Pack k-point grids of  $[4 \times 4 \times 1]$ . All structures contained a three-layer transition metal slab, with atoms in the top layer relaxed and the rest fixed, along with a hydrogen-bonded water layer determined through minima hopping<sup>37,68</sup>. We considered the barriers from several different water structures, the lowest of which should dominate the activity. Transition state geometries and energies were calculated using the climbing-image nudged elastic band (NEB) method, with the forces on the climbing image converged to less than 0.05 eVÅ<sup>-169</sup>. The spring constants were tightened for images close to the saddle point<sup>70</sup>. The plane wave and charge density cutoff, exchange-correlation functional, and other parameters were the same as those used for geometry optimizations. The charge extrapolation method<sup>39,55</sup> was used to deduce the activation barriers at constant potential<sup>71</sup>. All transition states were referenced to the initial state of aqueous protons and electrons in bulk solution, as determined using the computational hydrogen electrode<sup>72</sup>.

The water reaction pathway was modeled with an explicit water layer including a Na<sup>+</sup> ion (see Supplementary Figure 10). For acidic barriers, we employed a Hdown water structure as in previous work<sup>16</sup> (see Supplementary Figure 10). In general, the orientation of the water structures has a significant impact on work function but not the raw, unextrapolated energies. This suggests a water-structuredependent potential reference. We therefore, as in previous work have effectively shifted the potential reference to account for such shifts, using HER on Pt(111) as a benchmark. A + 0.8-eV shift<sup>16</sup> in the potential reference for the acidic barriers gives HER polarization curves consistent with experiment<sup>53</sup>, as shown in Supplementary Figure 11. We note that such a shift in principle would include changes in prefactor arising from solvent reorganization, which had been considered explicitly in previous work<sup>73</sup>. Further details on the modeling of the hydrogen evolution are discussed in Supplementary Note 2 and Supplementary Figure 13.

**Kinetics**. We took a mean-field approach to microkinetic modeling, where the net rate of an elementary reaction  $mA \leftrightarrow nB$  was given by

$$r = k_+ \theta_A^m - -k_- - \theta_B^n, \tag{6}$$

### ARTICLE

where  $\theta_i$  represents the surface coverage of adsorbate i,  $k_{+/-}$  represents the rate constants of the forward and backward reaction, respectively<sup>36</sup>. The rate constants were calculated through the equation  $k = Ae^{\frac{C_i}{k_B T}}$ , where A represents the reaction prefactor (10<sup>13</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>),  $G_a$  represents the activation barrier,  $k_B$  represents the Boltzmann constant, and T represents the reaction temperature. Site coverages were modeled using the steady-state approximation (i.e., the rate of change of all surface intermediate coverages is 0)<sup>36</sup>. These assumptions were implemented in the Cat-MAP software package<sup>74</sup>, which was applied to solve the microkinetic model.

Lateral adsorbate-adsorbate interactions were modeled using a first-order expansion in the coverage for the differential adsorption energy:

$$E_i(\theta_i) = E_i^0 + \sum_j f \epsilon_{ij} \theta_j \tag{7}$$

where  $E_i(\theta_i)$  is the differential adsorption energy of species i given a vector of coverages  $\theta_i$ ,  $E_i^0$  is the differential adsorption energy of species i in the low-coverage limit,  $\epsilon_{ij}$  is a matrix of interaction parameters for the interaction between species i and j, and f corresponds to a piecewise-linear function for the energy as a function of coverage. The H\* coverage is excluded when calculating f to account for H\* being much smaller than CO and therefore has little effect on determining the strength of the interactions. Further information on the interaction model is provided in the former work<sup>51</sup>. The adsorbate cross-interaction parameters were determined using DFT calculations of the adsorption energies of intermediates at high coverages on Pt(111), and are listed in Supplementary Note 1. Further details on kinetic modeling are discussed in Supplementary Note 1 and on pH dependence in Supplementary Note 3 and Supplementary Figure 14.

**Proton versus water pathways for proton transfers**. Depending on the electrolyte pH, buffer concentration, and mass transport, different proton sources will predominate. Proton transfer barriers from H<sub>2</sub>O or anions are generally more challenging to simulate than ones from H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup>. The larger solvation shells of OH<sup>-</sup> and anions require larger model systems: whereas protons are commonly found in H<sub>5</sub>O<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> complexes, OH<sup>-</sup> ions need 3–4 H<sub>2</sub>O molecules for solvation<sup>75</sup>. Given the small unit cell sizes used in our simulations, the work functions of the simulations with anions are generally high (~4.0–5.5 eV, corresponding to -0.4 to + 1.1 V vs. SHE), which lead to spontaneous OH<sup>-</sup> adsorption on the metal slab. We also observe artificial charge transfers between the water molecules and the metal surface, due to poor band alignment between solvent and metal GGA functionals<sup>76</sup>.

We therefore obtained estimates for alkaline barriers from acidic calculations through several representative calculations. We investigated proton transfer barriers of HER and CO  $\rightarrow$  CHO (which was found to be the rate-determining step for C<sub>1</sub> products at high overpotentials<sup>16</sup>) with both H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> and H<sub>2</sub>O as the proton source on three transition metals that span a large range of adsorption strengths: Au, Cu, and Pt. Supplementary Figure 10 shows the structures for CO  $\rightarrow$  CHO barriers calculated with both proton sources, and the comparison between acidic and alkaline barriers is shown in Supplementary Table 3. The barriers of CO  $\rightarrow$  CHO are found to be similar with H<sub>2</sub>O or H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> as the proton source, while the ones of Volmer reactions are found to be on average 0.25 eV and the ones of Heyrovsky reactions are found to be on average 0.37 eV higher than their acidic COR to be equivalent to acidic ones, and assumed Volmer barriers for COR to be 0.25 eV higher and Heyrovsky barriers to be 0.37 eV higher than the acidic ones.

**Code availability**. The CatMAP software package used in this work can be accessed and downloaded through https://github.com/SUNCAT-Center/catmap.

#### Data availability

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article (and its supplementary information files). See Supplementary Tables 4–5 for data in Fig. 2c, d, Supplementary Tables 4, 6 for data in Fig. 4d, e, and Supplementary Tables 1–8 for data to reproduce Fig. 2b, Fig. 4b, c, and Fig. 5b.

Received: 17 July 2018 Accepted: 4 December 2018 Published online: 03 January 2019

#### References

- Seh, Z. W. et al. Combining theory and experiment in electrocatalysis: insights into materials design. *Science* 355, eaad4998 (2017).
- Chen, Y. K., Lewis, N. S. & Xiang, C. X. Operational constraints and strategies for systems to effect the sustainable, solar-driven reduction of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 8, 3663–3674 (2015).

- Shaner, M. R., Atwater, H. A., Lewis, N. S. & McFarland, E. W. A comparative technoeconomic analysis of renewable hydrogen production using solar energy. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 9, 2354–2371 (2016).
- Verma, S., Kim, B., Jhong, H., Ma, S. C. & Kenis, P. J. A. A gross-margin model for defining technoeconomic benchmarks in the electroreduction of CO<sub>2</sub>. ChemSusChem 9, 1972–1979 (2016).
- Jouny, M., Luc, W. & Jiao, F. General techno-economic analysis of CO<sub>2</sub> electrolysis systems. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 57, 2165–2177 (2018).
- Hori, Y. in *Modern aspects of electrochemistry*, no. 42 (eds Vayenas C.G., White R.E. & GamboaAldeco, M.E.) Springer, University of Patras, Greece, 89–189 (2008).
- Zhu, D. D., Liu, J. L. & Qiao, S. Z. Recent advances in inorganic heterogeneous electrocatalysts for reduction of carbon dioxide. *Adv. Mater.* 28, 3423–3452 (2016).
- Appel, A. M. et al. Frontiers, opportunities, and challenges in biochemical and chemical catalysis of CO<sub>2</sub> fixation. *Chem. Rev.* 113, 6621–6658 (2013).
- Kuhl, K. P. et al. Electrocatalytic conversion of carbon dioxide to methane and methanol on transition metal surfaces. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136, 14107–14113 (2014).
- Torelli, D. A. et al. Nickel-gallium-catalyzed electrochemical reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> to highly reduced products at low overpotentials. *ACS Catal.* 6, 2100–2104 (2016).
- Kuhl, K. P., Cave, E. R., Abram, D. N. & Jaramillo, T. F. New insights into the electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide on metallic copper surfaces. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 5, 7050–7059 (2012).
- Hori, Y., Takahashi, I., Koga, O. & Hoshi, N. Electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide at various series of copper single crystal electrodes. *J. Mol. Catal. A-Chem.* **199**, 39–47 (2003).
- Schouten, K. J. P., Qin, Z. S., Gallent, E. P. & Koper, M. T. M. Two pathways for the formation of ethylene in co reduction on single-crystal copper electrodes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134, 9864–9867 (2012).
- Calle-Vallejo, F. & Koper, M. T. M. Theoretical considerations on the electroreduction of CO to C<sub>2</sub> species on Cu(100) electrodes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 52, 7282–7285 (2013).
- Xie, M. S. et al. Amino acid modified copper electrodes for the enhanced selective electroreduction of carbon dioxide towards hydrocarbons. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 9, 1687–1695 (2016).
- Liu, X. Y. et al. Understanding trends in electrochemical carbon dioxide reduction rates. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 15438 (2017).
- Schouten, K. J. P., Kwon, Y., van der Ham, C. J. M., Qin, Z. & Koper, M. T. M. A new mechanism for the selectivity to C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> species in the electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide on copper electrodes. *Chem. Sci.* 2, 1902–1909 (2011).
- Baruch, M. F., Pander, J. E., White, J. L. & Bocarsly, A. B. Mechanistic insights into the reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> on tin electrodes using in situ ATR-IR spectroscopy. ACS Catal. 5, 3148–3156 (2015).
- Verdaguer-Casadevall, A. et al. Probing the active surface sites for CO reduction on oxide-derived copper electrocatalysts. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137, 9808–9811 (2015).
- Figueiredo, M. C., Ledezma-Yanez, I. & Koper, M. T. M. In situ spectroscopic study of CO<sub>2</sub> electroreduction at copper electrodes in acetonitrile. *ACS Catal.* 6, 2382–2392 (2016).
- Ledezma-Yanez, I., Gallent, E. P., Koper, M. T. M. & Calle-Vallejo, F. Structure-sensitive electroreduction of acetaldehyde to ethanol on copper and its mechanistic implications for CO and CO<sub>2</sub> reduction. *Catal. Today* 262, 90–94 (2016).
- Wuttig, A. et al. Tracking a common surface-bound intermediate during CO<sub>2</sub>to-fuels catalysis. ACS Cent. Sci. 2, 522–528 (2016).
- Perez-Gallent, E., Figueiredo, M. C., Calle-Vallejo, F. & Koper, M. T. M. Spectroscopic observation of a hydrogenated CO dimer intermediate during CO reduction on Cu(100) electrodes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 56, 3621–3624 (2017).
- Bertheussen, E. et al. Acetaldehyde as an intermediate in the electroreduction of carbon monoxide to ethanol on oxide-derived copper. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 55, 1450–1454 (2016).
- Gunathunge, C. M. et al. Spectroscopic observation of reversible surface reconstruction of copper electrodes under CO<sub>2</sub> reduction. *J. Phys. Chem. C.* 121, 12337–12344 (2017).
- Wang, L. et al. Electrochemical carbon monoxide reduction on polycrystalline copper: Effects of potential, pressure, and pH on selectivity toward multicarbon and oxygenated products. ACS Catal. 8, 7445–7454 (2018).
- Goodpaster, J. D., Bell, A. T. & Head-Gordon, M. Identification of possible pathways for C-C bond formation during electrochemical reduction of CO<sub>2</sub>: New theoretical insights from an improved electrochemical model. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 7, 1471–1477 (2016).

- Xiao, H., Cheng, T., Goddard, W. A. & Sundararaman, R. Mechanistic explanation of the pH dependence and onset potentials for hydrocarbon products from electrochemical reduction of CO on Cu (111). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 138, 483–486 (2016).
- Nie, X. W., Luo, W. J., Janik, M. J. & Asthagiri, A. Reaction mechanisms of CO<sub>2</sub> electrochemical reduction on Cu(111) determined with density functional theory. *J. Catal.* **312**, 108–122 (2014).
- Luo, W. J., Nie, X. W., Janik, M. J. & Asthagiri, A. Facet dependence of CO<sub>2</sub> reduction paths on Cu electrodes. ACS Catal. 6, 219–229 (2016).
- Akhade, S. A., Luo, W. J., Nie, X. W., Asthagiri, A. & Janik, M. J. Theoretical insight on reactivity trends in CO<sub>2</sub> electroreduction across transition metals. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 6, 1042–1053 (2016).
- Garza, A. J., Bell, A. T. & Head-Gordon, M. Mechanism of CO<sub>2</sub> reduction at copper surfaces: Pathways to C<sub>2</sub> products. ACS Catal. 8, 1490–1499 (2018).
- Nie, X. W., Esopi, M. R., Janik, M. J. & Asthagiri, A. Selectivity of CO<sub>2</sub> reduction on copper electrodes: The role of the kinetics of elementary steps. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 52, 2459–2462 (2013).
- Cheng, T., Xiao, H. & Goddard, W. A. Free-energy barriers and reaction mechanisms for the electrochemical reduction of CO on the Cu(100) surface, including multiple layers of explicit solvent at pH 0. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 6, 4767–4773 (2015).
- Cheng, T., Xiao, H. & Goddard, W. A. Full atomistic reaction mechanism with kinetics for CO reduction on Cu(100) from ab initio molecular dynamics freeenergy calculations at 298 k. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 114, 1795–1800 (2017).
- Nørskov, J. K., Studt, F., Abild-Pedersen, F. & Bligaard, T. Fundamental concepts in heterogeneous catalysis. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey, (2014).
- Montoya, J. H., Shi, C., Chan, K. & Nørskov, J. K. Theoretical insights into a CO dimerization mechanism in CO<sub>2</sub> electroreduction. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 6, 2032–2037 (2015).
- Rossmeisl, J., Skulason, E., Björketun, M. E., Tripkovic, V. & Nørskov, J. K. Modeling the electrified solid-liquid interface. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 466, 68–71 (2008).
- 39. Chan, K. & Nørskov, J. K. Electrochemical barriers made simple. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 6, 2663-2668 (2015).
- Hori, Y., Takahashi, R., Yoshinami, Y. & Murata, A. Electrochemical reduction of CO at a copper electrode. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 101, 7075–7081 (1997).
- Reske, R. et al. Controlling catalytic selectivities during CO<sub>2</sub> electroreduction on thin Cu metal overlayers. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 4, 2410–2413 (2013).
- Roberts, F. S., Kuhl, K. P. & Nilsson, A. Electroreduction of carbon monoxide over a copper nanocube catalyst: Surface structure and pH dependence on selectivity. *ChemCatChem* 8, 1119–1124 (2016).
- Tang, M. T., Ulissi, Z. W. & Chan, K. Theoretical investigations of transition metal surface energies under lattice strain and CO environment. *J. Phys. Chem. C.* 122, 14481–14487 (2018).
- Jiang, K. et al. Metal ion cycling of Cu foil for selective C-C coupling in electrochemical CO<sub>2</sub> reduction. *Nat. Catal.* 1, 111–119 (2018).
- 45. Zambelli, T., Wintterlin, J., Trost, J. & Ertl, G. Identification of the "active sites" of a surface-catalyzed reaction. *Science* **273**, 1688–1690 (1996).
- 46. Dahl, S. et al. Role of steps in  $\rm N_2$  activation on ru(0001). Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1814–1817 (1999).
- Wei, C. Y., Lewis, S. P., Mele, E. J. & Rappe, A. M. Structure and vibrations of the vicinal copper (211) surface. *Phys. Rev. B* 57, 10062–10068 (1998).
- Medford, A. J. et al. Assessing the reliability of calculated catalytic ammonia synthesis rates. *Science* 345, 197–200 (2014).
- Zeng, Z. H., Chang, K. C., Kubal, J., Markovic, N. M. & Greeley, J. Stabilization of ultrathin (hydroxy) oxide films on transition metal substrates for electrochemical energy conversion. *Nat. Energy* 2, 17070 (2017).
- Tripkovic, V., Björketun, M. E., Skulason, E. & Rossmeisl, J. Standard hydrogen electrode and potential of zero charge in density functional calculations. *Phys. Rev. B* 84, 115452 (2011).
- Lausche, A. C. et al. On the effect of coverage-dependent adsorbate-adsorbate interactions for CO methanation on transition metal surfaces. *J. Catal.* 307, 275–282 (2013).
- 52. Yang, N. Y. et al. Intrinsic selectivity and structure sensitivity of rhodium catalysts for  $C_{2+}$  oxygenate production. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **138**, 3705–3714 (2016).
- Strmcnik, D. et al. Improving the hydrogen oxidation reaction rate by promotion of hydroxyl adsorption. *Nat. Chem.* 5, 300–306 (2013).
- Ooka, H., Figueiredo, M. C. & Koper, M. T. M. Competition between hydrogen evolution and carbon dioxide reduction on copper electrodes in mildly acidic media. *Langmuir* 33, 9307–9313 (2017).

- Chan, K. & Nørskov, J. K. Potential dependence of electrochemical barriers from ab initio calculations. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 7, 1686–1690 (2016).
- Hori, Y., Wakebe, H., Tsukamoto, T. & Koga, O. Electrocatalytic process of CO selectivity in electrochemical reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> at metal electrodes in aqueous media. *Electrochim. Acta* 39, 1833–1839 (1994).
- Limmer, D. T., Willard, A. P., Madden, P. & Chandler, D. Hydration of metal surfaces can be dynamically heterogeneous and hydrophobic. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **110**, 4200–4205 (2013).
- 58. Dinh, C. T. et al. CO<sub>2</sub> electroreduction to ethylene via hydroxide-mediated copper catalysis at an abrupt interface. *Science* **360**, 783–787 (2018).
- Giannozzi, P. et al. Quantum espresso: a modular and open-source software project for quantum simulations of materials. J. Phys.-Condens. Mat. 21, 395502 (2009).
- Bahn, S. R. & Jacobsen, K. W. An object-oriented scripting interface to a legacy electronic structure code. *Comput. Sci. & Eng.* 4, 56–66 (2002).
- Wellendorff, J. et al. Density functionals for surface science: exchangecorrelation model development with bayesian error estimation. *Phys. Rev. B* 85, 235149 (2012).
- Monkhorst, H. J. & Pack, J. D. Special points for brillouin-zone integrations. *Phys. Rev. B* 13, 5188–5192 (1976).
- Gauthier, J. A., Dickens, C. F., Chen, L. D., Doyle, A. D. & Nørskov, J. K. Solvation effects for oxygen evolution reaction catalysis on IrO<sub>2</sub>(110). *J. Phys. Chem. C.* 121, 11455–11463 (2017).
- Mason, S. E., Grinberg, I. & Rappe, A. M. First-principles extrapolation method for accurate CO adsorption energies on metal surfaces. *Phys. Rev. B* 69, 161401 (2004).
- Abild-Pedersen, F. & Andersson, M. P. CO adsorption energies on metals with correction for high coordination adsorption sites—a density functional study. *Surf. Sci.* 601, 1747–1753 (2007).
- Christensen, R., Hansen, H. A. & Vegge, T. Identifying systematic DFT errors in catalytic reactions. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 5, 4946–4949 (2015).
- Studt, F., Abild-Pedersen, F., Varley, J. B. & Nørskov, J. K. CO and CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol calculated using the BEEF-vdW functional. *Catal. Lett.* 143, 71–73 (2013).
- Shi, C., Chan, K., Yoo, J. S. & Nørskov, J. K. Barriers of electrochemical CO<sub>2</sub> reduction on transition metals. Org. Process. Res. Dev. 20, 1424–1430 (2016).
- Henkelman, G., Uberuaga, B. P. & Jonsson, H. A climbing image nudged elastic band method for finding saddle points and minimum energy paths. J. Chem. Phys. 113, 9901–9904 (2000).
- Hammer, B. in GPAW 2013: Users and developers meeting (Technical University of Denmark; 2013) https://wiki.fysik.dtu.dk/gpaw-files/ workshop13/a08.pdf Accessed November 2018.
- Trasatti, S. The absolute electrode potential: An explanatory note (recommendations 1986). Pure Appl. Chem. 58, 955–966 (1986).
- 72. Nørskov, J. K. et al. Origin of the overpotential for oxygen reduction at a fuelcell cathode. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **108**, 17886–17892 (2004).
- 73. Hansen, H. A., Viswanathan, V. & Nørskov, J. K. Unifying kinetic and thermodynamic analysis of 2 e<sup>-</sup> and 4 e<sup>-</sup> reduction of oxygen on metal surfaces. J. Phys. Chem. C. 118, 6706–6718 (2014).
- Medford, A. J. et al. CatMAP: a software package for descriptor-based microkinetic mapping of catalytic trends. *Catal. Lett.* 145, 794–807 (2015).
- 75. Novoa, J. J., Mota, F., delValle, C. P. & Planas, M. Structure of the first solvation shell of the hydroxide anion. A model study using  $OH^{-}(H_2O)_n$  (n = 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, 17) clusters. J. Phys. Chem. A **101**, 7842–7853 (1997).
- Björketun, M. E. et al. Avoiding pitfalls in the modeling of electrochemical interfaces. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 555, 145–148 (2013).

#### Acknowledgements

This material is based upon work performed by the Joint Center for Artificial Photosynthesis, a DOE Energy Innovation Hub, supported through the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Award Number DE-SC0004993. This research used resources of the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center, a DOE Office of Science User Facility supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. L.W. and Y.J. thank the Knut & Alice Wallenberg Foundation for financial support through the Wallenberg Postdoctoral Scholarship Program. K.B. was supported by the Department of Defense (DoD) through the National Defense Science & Engineering Graduate Fellowship (NDSEG) Program.

#### Author contributions

X.L., P.S., J.X., Y.J., R.S., M.T., K.B., H.P., and S.R. performed density functional theory calculations. X.L. developed the CO(2)R kinetics and P.S. the pH-dependent HER kinetics. L.W., C.H., and T.F.J. provided insight and feedback from an experimental

# ARTICLE

perspective. K.C. and J.K.N. provided direction for the project. All authors contributed to the discussion, writing, and editing of the paper.

#### **Additional information**

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07970-9.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019