DTU Library

From Value stacking to Tool stacking in Renewable Gas Regulation

Nielsen, Lise Skovsgaard; Jensen, Ida Græsted

Publication date:
2018

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

From Value stacking to Tool stacking in Renewable Gas Regulation

Sdewes, Palermo October 2018

Lise Skovsgaard, lskn@dtu.dk
Ida Græsted Jensen, idje@dtu.dk

DTU Management Engineering
Department of Management Engineering

Questions

- How should future RE-gas support models be designed?

    - Should they differ from wind- and solar support models?

- What could we expect from such models?

DTU Management Engineering, Technical University of Denmark

# New Energy Agreement with low cost focus

Overall principles

- Ambitious green transition – but at low costs

- Focus on harmonization of support

Decreasing prices for wind and Solar

New funds for RE-gas

Source: Danish Energy. Results from tenders for RE-technologies in Germany (used as background info by the Danish government in suggestion for new energy agreement)

Current support and Agreement

- CHP: in competition with wind and solar
- Others: reduced support
- Type of tender
- Cap on support

Euro/GJ

Legend:
- - BioTicket value
- Green certificate
- Energy support

Chart bars:
- Electricity, CHP — Feed-in Tariff, pr KWh electricity
- Industry or transport direct — Feed-in Premium
- Transport direct with bioTicket
- Biogas upgraded — Feed-in Premium

Current 2017

Potential new

4 October, 2018

Inspiration from our neighbours

- Norway: Primary income: input side (waste treatment)
- Support focus:
  - input side (degasification of manure) => inspiration
  - Output side (no tax on transport)
- Notice: Risk of flaring

Feedstocks for biogas production

Legend: Sewage sludge, Organic waste, Agriculture, Industrial

Utilisation of biogas

Legend: Upgraded, Heat and electricity; Upgraded, Transport; Upgraded, Other; Heat and electricity; Other

Inspiration from Germany and Sweden

- Sweden: Biomethane on the grid + biogas certificate => reduced carbon tax

- Germany: RE-gas on the grid + RE-certificate => support to electricity based on RE-gas

Green certificates represents a "support" or "tax reduction"

=> price add-on

What should the Danish model do?

- Be cost-efficient
  - Not too high cost =>
  - Minimize regulators risk **and** investors risk
- Be generic (not pick the winners)
- Transparent and last long (reducing investors risk)
- Cross borders?

- Address values from a given technology

=>=> Value stacking

RE-gas can provide

- Energy

  - flexible and storable

  - for transport

  - for high temperature

- Environmental

  - smell

  - nutrients

  - GHG

Model: Tool Stacking

Several values:
- Energy (flexible, storable, high temperature and for transport)
- Environmental (GHG, nutrients, smell)

=> several tools

Example: Combination of semi-technology neutral auctions with other support tools

```
                    ┌──────────────────────┐          ┌──────────────────────┐
                    │  Manure treatment    │─────────▶│   FIT for manure     │
                    └──────────────────────┘          └──────────────────────┘
                              +
┌──────────────┐    ┌──────────────────────┐          ┌──────────────────────┐
│  Biomethane  │───▶│  Biogas production + │─────────▶│Technology neutral auction│
└──────────────┘    │      upgrading       │          │   for green gases    │
                    └──────────────────────┘          └──────────────────────┘
                              +
                    ┌──────────────────────┐          ┌──────────────────────┐
                    │  Green Gas in the grid│────────▶│Green certificate exchanged for:│
                    └──────────────────────┘          │ - Tax reduction (green gas tax) or│
                                                       │ - EU-ETS (carbon emissions) or│
                                                       │ - Bio-Ticket (transport blending demand)│
                                                       └──────────────────────┘
```

What is the Certificate value?

Model: Biogas value chain

Prices

1. Energy prices: 2017
2. Support: 2020
3. EU-ETS-price: 2020- prediction

DTU

The significance of the green tariff

Will the green tariff dictate inputs?

Results

	Bau2020	Green + certificate_I	Green + certificate_II	Green + certificate_III	Green + upgrade and methanation
Manure treated, t tonnes	416,3	421,6	544,2	421,6	416,3
biomethane, GJ	524.046	552.361	323.246	516.872	524.046
Technology	Upgrading	Transport	Upgrading	Upgrading	Methanation
Profit, Mio. Euro	7,9	7,7	4,3	8,3	12,5
Net-support, Euro/GJ	16,4	6,4	18,7	9,1	14,8
Net-support, Euro/t. tonnes	20,7	8,3	11,1	11,1	23,8

Upgrade or transport?

Results

No electricity tax and tariff

	Bau2020	Upgrade or transport I	Upgrade or transport II	No support	Methanize
Biomethane, GJ	524.046	524.046	560.028	560.028	524.046
Technology	Upgrading	Upgrading	Transport	Transport	Upgrading
Profit, Mio. Euro	7,9	5,6	4,2	4,2	5,6
Total cost, Mio. Euro	8,6	8,6	8,7	8,7	8,6
Total income, Mio. Euro	16,4	14,1	12,9	12,9	14,1
Net-support, Euro/GJ	16,4	12,1	0,0	0,0	12,1

DTU Management Engineering, Technical University of Denmark

Regulatory models: Tool stacking

Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Feed-in Tariff for manure treatment	Feed-in Tariff for manure treatment		Tender on biogas production with a feed-in tariff targeting manure and waste treatment
+	+		
Tender on upgraded biogas (feed-in premium)	Tender on upgraded biogas through methanation (feed-in premium)	Tender on the application of hydrogen with a feed-in tariff	
+	+	+	
Green gas Certificate: - As price element or - As quantitative element	RE-gas Certificate: - As price element or - As quantitative element	Hydrogen Certificate: - As a price element	

Questions and Conclusions

Questions	Conclusions
1. How should future RE-gas support models be designed?	1. We suggest tool-stacking • Targeting each value in the stack
2. Will the green tariff dictate inputs?	2. Green tariff may affect – not dictate
3. Do we have to pay support for upgraded biogas?	3. Not always, if there is another value from other regulation
4. What will it take to get the model to methanize?	4. Targeted support for reuse of carbon maybe combined with other factors

Thank you for your attention

Lise Skovsgaard, lskn@dtu.dk

Upgrade or methanization

What will it take to get the model to methanize?

Results

No electricity tax and tariff

|	Methanize I	Methanize 2013	Methanize II	Carbon Reuse I	Carbon Reuse I
Biomethane, GJ	679.003	524.046	801.260	524.046	666.446
Technology	Upgrading Methanation	Upgrading	Upgrading Methanation	Upgrading	Upgrading Methanation
Profit, Mio. Euro	13,6	9,7	10,6	13,9	12,5
Total cost, Mio. Euro	13,4	8,6	15,2	8,6	12,0
Total income, Mio. Euro	27,1	18,3	25,8	22,5	24,5
Net-support, Euro/GJ	9,4	12,1	9,9	12,7	14,8

Model: Biogas value chain
Output side in detail

Boom vs cost efficiency

Example:

Italian development

Biogas plants: basic FIT[59] and installed capacity 2008-2017

Danish CHP support
2017: 182Euro/MWh

Source: M.D. 18.12.08, M.D. 06.07.2012, M.D. 23.06.2016 and Gestore dei Servizi Energetici S.p.A. (GSE), Annual statistical reports on renewable energy, www.gse.it

Price tool vs quantity tools

Steep marginal cost curve

Figure 2: Prices vs quantities with a steep marginal cost curve

Source: Aures project, Report D6.2

Flat marginal cost curve

What is the shape of MC
- Regarding upgrading of biogas?
- Manure treatment?
- Power to Biomethane (PtBM)?