

#### **Rain erosion of leading edges of wind turbine blades. What is up and down?**

**Hasager, Charlotte Bay; Bech, Jakob Ilsted; Bak, Christian; Kusano, Yukihiro; Skrzypinski, Witold Robert; Fæster, Søren; Tilg, Anna-Maria; Vejen, Flemming; Madsen , Martin Bonde; Bayar , Mertcan; Saldern, Morten ; Halling, Kaj M.**

Publication date: 2018

Document Version Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](http://orbit.dtu.dk/en/publications/rain-erosion-of-leading-edges-of-wind-turbine-blades-what-is-up-and-down(1e3adbb8-6bae-4d01-8ce4-5bc465e43b9b).html)

Citation (APA):

Hasager, C. B. (Author), Bech, J. I. (Author), Bak, C. (Author), Kusano, Y. (Author), Skrzypinski, W. R. (Author), Fæster, S. (Author), ... Halling, K. M. (Author). (2018). Rain erosion of leading edges of wind turbine blades. What is up and down?. Sound/Visual production (digital)

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





#### **Rain erosion of leading edges of wind turbine blades. What is up and down?**

*Charlotte Hasager, Jakob Ilsted Bech, Christian Bak, Yukihiro Kusano, Witold Skrzypinski, Søren Fæster, Anna-Maria Tilg (DTU)*

*Flemming Vejen (DMI)*

*Martin Bonde Madsen (R&D)*

*Mertcan Bayar (E.ON)*

*Morten Saldern (Vattenfall)*

*Kaj M. Halling (Vestas)*



#### **NindEurope 2018 Conference at the Global Wind Summit**

25 - 28 September, Hamburg





# **Working hypothesis**

DTU Wind Energy Department of Wind Energy



- Research hypothesis: Erosion damage is mainly generated during heavy precipitation (big drops of rain or hail), which 1. occurs in a very little fraction of the turbines operation time. By reducing the tip speed of the blades in these few hours a significant extension of the leading edge lifetime can be obtained with negligible loss of production.
- Methodology: Define rain and hail erosion classes to quantify leading edge blade in-field and in lab testing.  $2.$ Correlations between rain intensity, droplet size, impact speed, materials properties, etc. will be established.
- Measurement Device: Low-cost prototype for precipitation measurement on site and real time warning device  $3.$ enabling modern control of wind turbines.
- Erosion safe mode: A safe mode control based on the erosion classes to control the wind turbine, reducing the tip 4. DTU Wind Energy, Technical University of Denmark Presentation name



#### **Rain Erosion Tester by R&D Test Systems**



![](_page_4_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Example of specimen

DTU Wind Energy, Technical University of Denmark

![](_page_5_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **First results**

![](_page_5_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Microscopy investigations enable direct identifications of fractures**

![](_page_6_Picture_2.jpeg)

Rain erosion test samples with different degradation have been investigated by electron microscopy.

The erosion appears to start at the surface where the surface roughness increase. However at the same time as the top-coating and the filler slowly degrades microscale damage can be observed within the laminate. Cracks have been observed at the position of the peel fly as well as within the laminate.

Electron microscopy provide a snapshot of the degradation in a polished cross section.

DTU Wind Energy, Technical University of Denmark

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Rain erosion test data plotted as a Wöhler curve**

![](_page_7_Figure_3.jpeg)

**Rain erosion test data plotted as a Wöhler curve: Impacts per unit area to failure as function of the kinetic energy for each impact**

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$
E_k = \frac{1}{12} \rho \pi D^3 v_t^2
$$
 [J]

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

# **Wöhler curves for droplet diameters of 1.5, 2.0 and 2.5 mm**

![](_page_9_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Extending lifetime**

DTU Wind Energy, Technical University of Denmark

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Control of turbine**

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Power = Torque \* Rotational\_Speed

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Erosion safe-mode**

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Control strategies**

Apart from a reference case where it is assumed that there is no erosion, six different control strategies are investigated based

on the model for expected lifetime for the blade leading edge:

- Control strategy 1 with expected life time of 1.6 years
- Control strategy 2 with expected life time of 10.4 years
- Control strategy 3 with expected life time of 24.4 years
- Control strategy 4 with expected life time of 53.9 years
- Control strategy 5 with expected life time of 106.5 years
- Control strategy 6 with expected life time of infinite many years

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

# **Calculation of the life time of the blade leading edge with no reduction of the tip speed.Control strategy 1**

![](_page_14_Picture_162.jpeg)

# **Calculation of the life time of the blade leading edge with reduction of the tip speed to 70m/s and 80m/s, respectively: Control strategy 2**

![](_page_15_Picture_161.jpeg)

# **Calculation of the life time of the blade leading edge with reduction of the tip speed to 55m/s, 65m/s and 70m/s, respectively: Control strategy 5**

![](_page_16_Picture_161.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

# **Cost of operation and maintenance**

- Energy price:
	- o 50 €/MWh
	- o  $250 \in$ MWh
- Inspection cost:
	- $\circ$  500 € rotor
	- o  $1500 \text{ Erotor}$
- Repair cost
	- $\circ$  10000 € rotor
	- o 20000  $\epsilon$  rotor
- Control strategy 1: 10 inspections and 9 repairs
- Control strategy 2: 10 inspections and 1 repairs
- Control strategy 3: 5 inspections and 0 repairs
- Control strategy 4: 5 inspections and 0 repairs
- Control strategy 5: 2 inspections and 0 repairs
- Control strategy 6: 2 inspections and 0 repairs

Stand still of 1 day inspected Stand still of 2 days repaired

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **AEP relative to AEP with no erosion**

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Loss of income due to erosion, inspection and repair**

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

Power: 50€/MWh]. Repair: 10000€/rotor. Inspection: 500€/rotor

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Conclusions**

**Rain erosion of leading edges of wind turbine blades**  What is up and down?

#### **Up:**

Knowledge on rain and leading edge erosion Concept for erosion safe mode Balance sheet: extension of lifetime pays

#### **Down:**

Full scale testing in progress Ideal method for the rain warning to be evaluated

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Acknowledgements**

Support from Innovation Fund Denmark is acknowledged

www.rain-erosion.dk