



DTU Library

Screening of organic solvents for bioprocesses using aqueous-organic two-phase systems

Rosinha Grundtvig, Inês P.; Heintz, Søren; Krühne, Ulrich; Gernaey, Krist V.; Adlercreutz, Patrick; Hayler, John D.; Wells, Andy S.; Woodley, John M.

Published in: Biotechnology Advances

Link to article, DOI: 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2018.05.007

Publication date: 2018

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):

Rosinha Grundtvig, I. P., Heintz, S., Krühne, U., Gernaey, K. V., Adlercreutz, P., Hayler, J. D., ... Woodley, J. M. (2018). Screening of organic solvents for bioprocesses using aqueous-organic two-phase systems. *Biotechnology Advances*, *36*(7), 1801-1814. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2018.05.007

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- · You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Screening of organic solvents for bioprocesses using aqueous-organic two-phase systems

Inês P. Rosinha Grundtvig¹, Søren Heintz¹, Ulrich Krühne¹, Krist V. Gernaey¹, Patrick Adlercreutz², John D. Hayler³, Andy S. Wells⁴ and John M. Woodley¹

¹Center for Process and Systems Engineering (PROSYS), Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering, Technical University of Denmark (DTU), DK-2800 Kgs Lyngby, Denmark.

²Department of Biotechnology, Lund University, SE-22100 Lund, Sweden

³GlaxoSmithKline Medicines Research Centre, Gunnels Wood Road, Stevenage, Hertfordshire SG1 2NY, United Kingdom

⁴Charnwood Technical Consulting Ltd, Parklands, Northage Close, Quorn, Leicestershire LE12 8AT, United Kingdom

Corresponding author:

John M Woodley; *E-mail: jw@kt.dtu.dk

Abstract

The application of conventional organic solvents is essential in several steps of bioprocesses in order to achieve sufficient economic efficiency. The use of organic solvents is frequently used either to partly or fully replace water in the reaction medium or as a process aid for downstream separation.

Nowadays, manufacturers are increasingly requested to avoid and substitute solvents with hazardous potential. Therefore, the solvent selection must account for potential environmental hazards, health and safety problems, in addition to fulfilling the ideal characteristics for application in a process.

For the first time, criteria including Environment, Health and Safety (EHS), as well as the technical requirements for reaction and separation have been reviewed, collected and integrated in a single organic solvent screening strategy to be used as a guideline for narrowing down the list of solvents to test experimentally. Additionally, we have also included a solvent selection guide based on the methodology developed in the Innovative Medicines Initiative CHEM21 (IMI CHEM21) project and applied specifically to water-immiscible solvents commonly used in bioprocesses.

Keywords: Organic solvents screening, Bioprocesses, Biphasic systems, Downstream processing, *In situ* product removal

1. Introduction

There is currently significant interest in the application of biotechnology to chemical manufacture, driven in part by the need to replace (or at least minimize) existing fossil feedstocks by renewable and sustainable ones. Likewise the chemical industry, and perhaps even more importantly the pharmaceutical industry, needs to use ever cleaner processes, with reduced reagent use and waste generation. For example, while the E factor is a measure of the amount of waste produced in a

process (E factor = kg waste / kg product) (Sheldon, 2017), it is perhaps more useful to examine the composition of the waste from a given process. This quickly motivates the need to reduce or replace the use of organic solvents, applied primarily for product recovery and purification. For this reason several pharmaceutical companies, academic groups and organisations like the ACS Green Chemistry Institute (GCI) Pharmaceutical Roundtable have successfully driven an agenda of solvent reduction and replacement (Constable et al., 2007; Jessop et al., 2015; Tucker and Faul, 2016). To a large extent this has been focused on chemical synthetic strategies. However, while this serves as a very valuable guidance for today, the range of industrial processes is changing. For example, already today several hundred small-molecule pharmaceutical production processes use one or more bioprocess steps (Buchholz et al., 2012; Meyer et al., 2013; Woodley, 2017). Indeed as industrial interest in cleaner synthesis grows it becomes clear that in the future many more bioprocesses will be implemented in industry (Cue and Zhang, 2009; Sheldon and Woodley, 2017). Even if fermentation and biocatalysis were to replace a significant fraction of the synthetic reactions in the fine chemical and pharmaceutical industry, it remains the case that the products still need to be recovered and purified. The downstream separation can include many potential unit operations which are dependent upon the product (as well as by-product and substrate) properties. Nevertheless, for most biocatalytic reactions and fermentations the product is often toxic (leading to an irreversible loss of activity) or inhibitory (leading to a reversible loss of activity) to the biocatalyst/microorganism at concentrations much lower than are the minimum required to feed a conventional downstream process. This has been the major motivation behind the implementation of *in situ* product removal (ISPR), where inhibitory or toxic products are removed during the reaction (either at the site of the reaction, or else in a recycle loop) (Van Hecke et al., 2014; Woodley et al., 2008; Zou, 2014). Various methods have been proposed including the use of adsorption, pervaporation, perstraction, and crystallization. Extensive reviews have been written on this topic and a number of industrial processes use the technology (Carstensen et al., 2012; Dafoe and Daugulis, 2014; Freeman et al., 1993; Lye and Woodley, 1999; Stark and von Stockar, 2003; Van Hecke et al., 2014; Woodley et al., 2008). Of particular interest is that polymers have been used in many ISPR solutions (Phillips et al., 2013) and can potentially be an effective, safer and cheaper alternative to the use of organic solvents (Dafoe and Daugulis, 2014). Regardless of the type of phase used to recover product it is clear that systematic selection methods are required. On this premise we recognized that one of the most used separation methods (aqueous-organic liquidliquid extraction) could in particular benefit from a more systematic screening procedure for the organic solvent. In this review, for the first time, the criteria to screen for solvents for a bioprocess are integrated in a single report, accounting for both the technical, as well as EHS requirements which as we have indicated earlier are a prerequisite for industrial implementation. The collection of these criteria forms the basis of a screening procedure in particular focused on biphasic systems in bioprocesses in order to narrow down the number of solvents to be tested experimentally. In this paper in contrast to previous publications (Elgue et al., 2006; Gani, 2006; Zhou et al., 2014), we deliberately restrict ourselves to bioprocesses using enzymes or microorganisms, to manufacture chemical products. We consider this screening procedure essential for the scientific community involved in the early stage development and research of new bioprocesses. Interestingly, this rationale is supported by journals such as ChemSusChem (Kemeling, 2012) which has specifically asked authors to justify their choice of solvents in submitted manuscripts and if possible to consider replacing harmful ones.

2. Use of organic solvents in bioprocesses

Whilst the use of water-miscible organic solvents (e.g. ethanol, dimethyl sulfoxide) to help solubilize poorly-water soluble organic compounds in single phase biocatalytic systems has been

widely reported in the scientific literature, such systems may give only a 10-20% increase in substrate and/or product concentration (Sheldon and Pereira, 2017). Additionally, with only a few exceptions, such polar solvents strip essential water from the biocatalyst resulting in a loss of enzyme stability (Gorman and Dordick, 1992; Kamal *et al.*, 2013; Taher and Al-Zuhair, 2017; Yang *et al.*, 2004). On the other hand, essentially water-immiscible organic solvents (containing only small amounts of water, at concentrations less than saturation) like n-hexane, t-butyl methyl ether etc. can be used for lipase reactions run in a synthetic direction (to avoid hydrolysis)(Bose and Keharia, 2013; Carvalho *et al.*, 2015; Devi *et al.*, 2017). In this paper we will focus on the third case, where water-immiscible solvents are used in a distinct phase from the aqueous phase, to form a two-liquid phase system.

Here the organic solvents are used for substrate supply, or product removal, in order to overcome the low water-solubility of organic compounds and enzyme inhibition by substrate or product. Potentially, the solvent may also be used to overcome an unfavourable equilibrium, although this requires sufficient driving force to be effective. In this way, the application of two-liquid phase systems improves the bioreaction space-time yield (productivity) as well as the product concentration fed to the downstream process, and in some cases the selectivity (Boghigian *et al.*, 2011; Dafoe and Daugulis, 2014; Jung *et al.*, 2013; Mutti and Kroutil, 2012).

2.1 Bioreaction systems

Several considerations are important in aqueous-organic two-phase biocatalytic systems. The organic phase may be deleterious to the biocatalyst in two ways; either by the presence of the interface (Martínez-Aragón *et al.*, 2009; Perez-Rodriguez *et al.*, 2003) or by the amount of organic solvent dissolved in the aqueous phase which may cause biocatalyst inactivation (Bes *et al.*, 1995; Stepankova *et al.*, 2013). Both appear to be important, but in many cases the biocatalyst needs to be kept away from the interface.

Despite the downside described above the introduction of an organic solvent in the bioreaction system presents several advantages such as the dissolution of substrates and products at higher concentrations in the reactor than would otherwise be achievable. This means that the downstream process can be fed at high concentrations, while avoiding inhibitory concentrations of substrate or product in the aqueous reaction environment (Hua and Xu, 2011; Lima-Ramos *et al.*, 2014). Easier product recovery may also result from the fact that the solvent has a low boiling point, facilitating evaporation (Dafoe and Daugulis, 2014). Likewise when designing an *in-situ* product removal (ISPR) process, the mode of contact (direct or indirect) between the biocatalyst and the organic phase which removes the product, should be considered (Stark and von Stockar, 2003; Woodley *et al.*, 2008). A bioreaction system with direct solvent [Figure 1 a) and b)]. For a bioreaction system with indirect solvent contact [Figure 1 c) and d)] the biocatalyst is not in contact with the organic solvent (Stark and von Stockar, 2003; Woodley *et al.*, 2008).

In Figure 1, two possibilities for running systems with direct contact are presented: a) corresponds to the exposure of the biocatalyst to organic solvent within the reactor and b) corresponds to the direct contact in a different vessel to the reactor through an external loop. Configuration a) has the advantage that both reaction and product removal take place in the same vessel and therefore the equipment costs are lower. Configuration b) reduces the contact time between the biocatalyst and the organic solvent by introducing an external loop through a separation unit. However, the choice



Figure 1 – Three process configurations for a bioreaction in an aqueous-organic two-phase system. Figure adapted from Stark and von Stockar, 2003.

of solvent has to ensure that the solvent does not deactivate the biocatalyst/microorganism and the product has a high enough affinity and solubility.

Additionally, two configurations for indirect contact are presented in Figure 1: c) corresponds to a biphasic reactor with a membrane which separates the two liquid phases and d) corresponds to the separation of the biocatalyst/cells from the reactor medium and use of another vessel for the product removal. In systems such as c) there is usually a physical barrier such as a membrane which prevents the contact of the biocatalyst with the solvent (Stark and von Stockar, 2003; Woodley *et al.*, 2008). In the configuration d), the biocatalyst/microorganism is never in direct contact with the solvent. The biocatalyst/microorganism is separated from the product and is recycled to the reactor. The medium with product dissolved, in its turn, enters a liquid-liquid extraction unit where the product is partitioned to the organic solvent and the medium that exits the vessel is recycled to the reactor.

The choice of solvent for a two liquid-phase system with direct contact is more difficult than for an indirect contact configuration since it must be compatible with the biocatalyst/microorganism and therefore requires a careful study of its toxic effects.

2.2 Downstream processing

Organic solvents play an important role as separation and purification agents for small-molecule chemical products from bioprocesses since they allow easy recovery of organic compounds. The use of water as a solvent may present some challenges for downstream processing such as separation difficulties, and its high specific heat capacity implies high energy consumption in distillation and difficulties rapidly heating and cooling (Adams *et al.*, 2003). Moreover, the solubility of many of the most interesting compounds is often very low in water which implies excessive amounts of water in order to recover small amounts of product, resulting in high costs. When choosing an organic solvent, it should be possible to separate it from the aqueous phase as well as recover the desired products from the solvent as shown in Figure 1 e) (Gu, 2000; Koch, 2015). This should also enable options for recycling the solvent if viable, which could help optimize the economic feasibility of a given process, due to lower overall solvent use. Nowadays, the recycling of solvents is a common practice in industry. Besides the advantages mentioned above, the separation costs for isolating a product from an organic solvent can be much lower when compared to an aqueous system.

The determination of the exact downstream processing conditions depends not only on the nature of the product (solid or liquid) but also on the phase in which the product is primarily soluble. For a two-liquid phase system (i.e. with two immiscible phases), the operation unit mostly used to purify products is liquid-liquid extraction. Concerning energy consumption, liquid-liquid extraction can be more attractive since it is a less energy consuming process compared to distillation and gives a relatively high efficiency for product recovery (Kurzrock and Weuster-Botz, 2010; Stratakos and Koidis, 2016).

3. Overview of criteria to screen solvents for an industrial bioprocess

The list of solvents applicable to industrial processes is extensive and thus, the choice of the optimum solvent can be a significant challenge. Hence, at an early stage of process development, it is necessary to make a screening of solvents for evaluation of their suitability for the industrial process.

Figure 2 shows a screening procedure which is divided in four evaluation categories: (1) environment, health and safety, (2) affinity, recovery and recyclability properties, (3) stability and (4)





application. The screening is also divided between tabulated properties which are already available 1 2 in the literature and experimentally determined properties, which are dependent on the characteristics of the system and have to be experimentally investigated in order to evaluate the its 3 performance. 4 The purpose of the screening procedure is to help narrowing the list of possible solvents to be 5 applied in a bioprocess by evaluating the most important criteria first and eliminating those solvents 6 which do not fulfill the requirements. The methodology starts by evaluating solvents in terms of 7 environment, health and safety issues because this is the greatest concern for process development. 8 Indeed, in order to implement a process it is necessary to fulfill legal and regulatory requirements in 9 this category. Subsequently, solvents are evaluated in terms of recovery and recyclability properties 10 and finally the list is shortened by considering those which fulfill the criteria for application in a 11 given bioprocess. 12 Ultimately an experimental investigation has to be performed since the solvent is selected according 13 to the specific system under study. Nevertheless, some of the listed properties such as $\text{Log } P_{o/w}$ 14 provide a direction for the search. 15 16 3.1 Criteria to screen for organic solvents with low hazard environmental, health and safety (EHS) 17 18 issues The adequate selection of solvents is dependent on their suitability for a given application. 19 20 However, considerations regarding solvent recovery, solvent release as well as safety at an industrial site have particular importance. Hence, the primary category to assess is their impact on 21 environmental, safety and health. It is necessary to take several parameters into account such as 22

23 those quantifying the environmental impact (ecotoxicity, flammability, ozone depletion,

- incineration potential, etc). Regarding health and safety, some of the parameters are: toxicity &
- 25 occupational exposure, auto-ignition temperature, boiling point, flash point, explosivity, reactivity
- and vapor pressure; these are particularly important considerations where a bioprocess is run in the
- presence of air or oxygen. Solvent selection guides are available, and some institutions and
 companies have also made studies to evaluate the hazards of the solvents and suggested alternative
- solvents which could substitute the most hazardous ones (Alfonsi *et al.*, 2008; American Chemical
- 30 Society (ACS), 2011; Elgue *et al.*, 2006; Henderson *et al.*, 2011; Prat et al., 2016, 2013).
- 31

32 <u>3.2 Criteria to evaluate the recovery strategies and affinity and stability of an organic solvent</u>

- When screening for organic solvents for a particular application in a process there are initially several considerations to take into account including the affinity, stability and recovery of the solvent.
- 36 The affinity of a given solvent towards a solute is a fundamental property to consider when
- choosing a solvent since it determines the viability of the solvent application. Even though this
- property is very specific for the process, it is possible to find data bases with information for
- specific solute-extractant pairs such as,(Dortmund Data Bank, 2018). In those cases where the
- 40 information is not tabulated, the ternary phase behavior can be predicted using thermodynamic
- 41 methods such as NRTL, UNIFAC and UNIFAQ. The successful application of these predictable
- methods has been widely reported in scientific literature (Abildskov et al., 2001; Brennan et al.,
 2012; Bruce and Daugulis, 1991; Cheng and Wang, 2010, 2007; Domańska et al., 2015; Ellegaard
- 43 2012, Bruce and Dauguns, 1991, Cheng and Wang, 2010, 2007, Domanska et al., 2013, Ellegaa
 44 et al., 2009; Janseen et al., 1993; Malinowski, 2001, 1999; Malinowski and Daugulis, 1994;
- 45 Modarresi et al., 2008; Priebe and Daugulis, 2018; Scilipoti et al., 2014). The reader should also
- note that any solvent selected in this way will still need be experimentally tested, not only for
- 47 affinity but also for emulsion formation and biocompatibility.

48 When choosing a solvent for a bioprocess it is also necessary to take into consideration parameters

49 such as viscosity, vapor pressure and melting point (Martínez-Aragón et al., 2009; Tzia and

50 Liadakis, 2003). The values of all these parameters should be low enough to ensure ease of handling

and storage. For example, highly viscous solvents lead to problems effective liquid-liquid mass

transfer. With respect to recovery and recyclability, the boiling point is an important parameter to consider, especially if the separation is done by distillation (Barwick, 1997). There are several other

criteria to take into consideration as well, such as the ease of drying and azeotrope formation

55 (Smallwood, 1996; Tzia and Liadakis, 2003). It is relevant to consider that all the factors mentioned

above are very important in order to run a process with a solvent and solvent selection can be a

delicate balance between the different parameters. The properties above are already tabulated and
can be used for screening solvents and reduce the number of solvents to be tested.

59 The non-precipitation, non-reactivity and chemical stability in the reaction system of the solvent are

also important factors to consider (Tzia and Liadakis, 2003). Likewise the solvent should be stable
 and not interact with the reaction solutes (e.g. substrate(s) and product(s)) and cause secondary

reactions. Needless to say, being able to operate the process safely is of paramount importance.

63 Since most of these properties are dependent on the characteristics of an individual system,

experimental work is necessary in order to assess the suitability of the solvent for the process.

65 Therefore, these criteria should be evaluated in the end of the screening process to a very short list

of solvents already chosen considering the tabulated properties.

67

68 <u>3.3 Criteria for screening organic solvents as part of a reaction medium in two-liquid phase systems</u>
 69 <u>with free or immobilized biocatalyst/microorganisms</u>

70

71 There are some specific challenges related to the use of solvents in bioreactions. As mentioned

earlier, solvents can be damaging to the biocatalyst, causing degradation and inactivation. For an

enzymatic reaction in a two-liquid phase system, there are some basic principles that can be

74 followed in order to shorten the list of feasible solvent candidates for initial testing. The solvent

should be as apolar as possible. Nevertheless, it should be noted that for such systems the aqueous-

organic interface can also have toxic effects on the biocatalyst. The Log $P_{o/w}$ value is the accepted

77 parameter for defining the polarity of a solvent. Hence, $\text{Log } P_{o/w}$ is useful for describing the

influence of a solvent on enzyme activity. In the scientific literature, high partition coefficients (Log $P_{o/w} > 4$) are considered suitable, whilst those with lower values have frequently been found toxic to

80 biocatalysts (Halling, 1994; Laane *et al.*, 1987; Straathof, 2003).

- Solvents with Log $P_{o/w}$ values higher than 4 present a low solubility in water and, practically, the
- 82 enzyme dissolved in the aqueous phase does not have contact with the solvent and is able to support
- effective product synthesis. On the other hand polar solvents with low Log $P_{o/w}$ values (Log $P_{o/w} < 2$) are more soluble in water and consequently remove the essential water from the enzyme and disrupt

its conformation with attendant deactivation (Soo *et al.*, 2003). Several authors have reported the

effect of solvents on the performance of enzymes and have shown that enzymes present better

activity in media containing solvents with high Log $P_{o/w}$ values (Bemquerer *et al.*, 1994; Koutinas

- *et al.*, 2018; Lara and Park, 2004; Valivety *et al.*, 1991; Zaks and Klibanov, 1985).
- 89 Interestingly, whilst the partition coefficient (Log $P_{o/w}$) is an important parameter to assess the
- suitability for an organic solvent for soluble enzymes, it has also been found useful for immobilized
- enzyme systems, although with a more relaxed requirements. For example it has been possible to
- 92 achieve good enzyme performance in biphasic systems using immiscible organic solvents with
- 93 lower Log $P_{o/w}$ values (range 1-3) (Chaplin *et al.*, 2001; Reslow *et al.*, 1987).
- 94 This indicates that the immobilization of the enzyme results in a shift of the Log $P_{o/w}$ -activity curve
- as shown in Figure 3 (Laane et al., 1986; Mionetto et al., 1994). Consequently, with immobilized



98

96 97

Figure 3-Schematic representation of enzymatic activity for both free enzyme and immobilized enzyme on a hydrophilic support plotted against Log P_{o/w} of the solvent. Figure adapted from Mionetto *et al.* 1994.

101 enzymes there is a broadening of the solvent polarity range and an increased number of suitable

solvent options. In these reaction systems, it is believed that the support retains the water molecules

and therefore stabilizes a water layer around the enzyme molecules. The water layer protects the enzyme molecules and therefore makes them more stable even in organic solvents with lower

105 partition coefficients.

From the different studies reported, we can conclude that $\text{Log } P_{o/w}$ should only be used as a

107 guideline for screening biocatalyst-compatible solvents. In fact, it is not possible to determine the 108 suitability of the solvent without performing experiments. Some exceptions to the guideline have

been reported (Cantarella et al., 1993; Geok et al., 2003; Gonçalves et al., 1997).

110 Furthermore, the characterization of enzyme performance in organic media has often been reported

in an inconsistent manner. So while some authors report the enzyme activity (Mionetto *et al.*, 1994),

or specific activity (Norin *et al.*, 1988), other report the residual activity (Geok *et al.*, 2003; Reslow *et al.*, 1987) and others again, the reaction conversion or yield (Chaplin *et al.*, 2001; Koutinas *et al.*,

- *et al.*, 1987) and others again, the reaction conversion or yield (Chaplin *et al.*, 2001; Koutinas *et al.*, 2018; Lara and Park, 2004). These inconsistencies mean that drawing conclusions about the use of
- 115 Log $P_{o/w}$ as a parameter for solvent selection is difficult.

116 For whole-cell biocatalytic systems and fermentation, the relation between cellular activity of

different microorganisms against Log $P_{o/w}$ is also represented by a sigmoidal curve, similar to that

for soluble enzyme (Laane *et al.*, 1987). Several authors (Bassetti and Tramper, 1994; Cruz *et al.*,

- 119 2001; Fragnelli *et al.*, 2012; Neumann *et al.*, 2005; Rojas *et al.*, 2004; Silva *et al.*, 2010) have
- studied the relationship between cellular activity and $\text{Log P}_{o/w}$. From their results, unsurprisingly it
- is possible to conclude that the inflection points between toxic and non-toxic solvents vary
- significantly between different microorganisms. Bruce and Daugulis have proposed that the
- 123 tolerance of the microorganism is dependent on the characteristics of the cellular membrane (L J
- Bruce and Daugulis, 1991). Whole-cells biocatalysis using organic media has been reviewed and
- the authors concluded that the inflection point of the sigmoidal curve is in general above the value 125 $L_{10} = 2.007$ $L_{10} = 1000$ $M_{10} = 1000$
- Log $P_{o/w} 2$ (Heipieper *et al.*, 2007; León *et al.*, 1998). Nonetheless, it is still necessary to perform experimental screening work for the microorganism of interest. Some authors have engineered the
- 127 experimental screening work for the incroorganism of interest. Some authors have engineered the
- microorganisms, in order to improve microorganisms tolerance to solvents, and in this way have

adapted a specific cell to have tolerance to a specific solvent (Mukhopadhyay, 2015; Volmer *et al.*,
2014; Zhang *et al.*, 2015).

Regarding bioreactions in water-organic solvent two-phase systems with immobilized enzymes or cells, the toxicity of the solvent to the biocatalyst/microorganism is a crucial factor to consider

cells, the toxicity of the solvent to the biocatalyst/microorganism is a crucial factor to con
 when screening for organic solvents. However, the interaction of the solvents with the

immobilization matrix is also an important factor to take into consideration. Immobilization by

- 135 adsorption is the simplest method and is characterized by reversible surface interactions between
- enzyme/cells and the support material. The interaction forces can be van der Waals forces, ionic and
- 137 hydrogen bonding interactions. Since these forces are weak, desorption can occur in the presence of
- organic solvents (Brena *et al.*, 2013; Dwevedi, 2016). Synthetic polymer resins can be prone to
- swell with certain classes of solvent. On the other hand, porous silica and porous glass have been shown to be durable and register to solvent destruction (Detter (L = 2012)). Extreme
- shown to be durable and resistant to solvent destruction (Datta *et al.*, 2013). Entrapment,
 encapsulation and cross-linking are more resistant methods to solvent interactions. In fact, it has
- encapsulation and cross-linking are more resistant methods to solvent interactions. In fact, it has
 been reported that these methods are often used to retain catalytic activity in harsh conditions
- (temperature and pH extremes and exposure to organic solvents) (Kourkoutas *et al.*, 2004).
- 144

145 <u>3.4 Criteria for screening organic solvents as extraction agents in downstream processes</u>

146 Crucial criteria to consider when choosing a solvent as an extraction agent are the solubility of the

target compound to be extracted, affinity towards this compound and the ease of subsequent phase separation. For instance, when extracting the product with a solvent it is important that the product

is highly soluble in the solvent in order to efficiently recover most of the product from the outlet

stream of the reactor (Kolář *et al.*, 2002). The ease of separation of the solvent from the aqueous

151 phase is also important, since a complete separation reduces costs. Hence, a large density difference

between the extract phase and raffinate phase (from which the components of interest have been between the extract phase and raffinate phase (from which the components of interest have been

removed) allows high capacities particularly in liquid-liquid extraction (Gu, 2000; Koch, 2015).

Likewise, the higher the interfacial tension (Gu, 2000; Tzia and Liadakis, 2003), the more readily coalescence of emulsions will occur and the easier phase separation will be.

156 In some cases, the direct recovery of a product may not be possible using solvents alone and it is 157 necessary to use a reactive liquid-liquid extraction which involves a reversible reaction between the

desired chemical compound and the extractant or a host chemical species present in the extractant.

Examples include the removal of carboxylic acids (acetic acid (Mahfud *et al.*, 2008), lactic acid (Wasewar *et al.*, 2003, 2002), pyruvic acid (Marti *et al.*, 2011), citric acid (Poposka *et al.*, 1998)) by

- (Wasewar *et al.*, 2003, 2002), pyruvic acid (Marti *et al.*, 2011), citric acid (Poposka *et al.*, 1998)) b
 amines. The extractions involve the complexation reaction of the undissociated acids and amines.
- 162 The complexation reaction improves the distribution coefficient. The reaction promotes the
- 162 migration of the product to the organic phase. The choice of the solvent is also important when
- establishing a reactive liquid-liquid extraction because it has to solvate the amine-acid complex to avoid its provinitation (Vang et cl_{2} 2007)

avoid its precipitation (Yang *et al.*, 2007).

Another solution, in case direct extraction is not possible, is to manipulate other properties such as
 modifying the pH of the output aqueous solution can be useful for separation. An excellent example

168 of this is the downstream processing for penicillin production. After filtration of the mycelium, the

pH of the broth is adjusted to pH 2-2.5 in order to convert penicillin acid carboxylate into

penicillanic acid. The acidification of the broth increases the partition coefficient of penicillanic

acid (Najafpour, 2007). However, penicillanic acid is unstable in aqueous solution, and this
 compound is recovered by an organic solvent, e.g. butyl acetate. The decision regarding the pH

value to be selected should be a compromise between the partition coefficient and product stability

(Wennersten, 2004) and acidification of the broth should be performed in order to minimize product

175 degradation (Hook, 2006).

176

177 4. Solvent selection guide for biphasic bioreaction systems

178

An overview of the criteria to take into account when selecting a solvent for a specific application
in a process has been described in the preceding sections. In this section, a selection guide for
solvents that are, or could potentially be, used in biphasic biocatalytic/fermentation reactions is
described. The evaluation procedure used to rank the different solvents is similar to the CHEM21
solvent selection guide published by Prat *et al.* (Prat *et al.*, 2016).
Although there are many beneficial uses for organic solvents in bioprocesses, the use of solvents

- Although there are many beneficial uses for organic solvents in bioprocesses, the use of solvents presents several environmental, health and safety challenges. When choosing a solvent for the development of a process it is important to take into account the environmental impact of the chosen solvent, and the potential safety and health risks associated with handling and using the
- 188 given solvent (Clark and Tavener, 2007). Solvents having significant issues should of course be avoided, if at all possible. There are several solvent selection guides in the scientific literature.
- GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) (Alder *et al.*, 2016), the American Chemical Society, Green Chemistry
- 191 Institute Pharmaceutical Roundtable (ACS GCIPR) (American Chemical Society, Green Chemistry)
- the solvent guide from CHEM21 (Prat *et al.*, 2016) have presented guides with numerical rankings
- and dividing solvents in categories. Pfizer's (Alfonsi *et al.*, 2008) and Sanofi's (Prat *et al.*, 2013)
- guides present the evaluation results solely in the form of a color code for each solvent, without a
- numerical ranking. In addition, the solvent guide from Pfizer presents an overall summarized
- evaluation for all solvents, rather than divided in categories.
- 197 The survey by Prat *et al.* (Prat *et al.*, 2014) presents a summary and a comparison of the Health,
- 198 Safety and Environment assessments of several solvent guides. The solvent guides considered were
- Astra Zeneca's, ACS GCIPR's, GSK's 2011 guide (Henderson *et al.*, 2011), Pfizer's and Sanofi's.
 The main purpose of this survey was to compare the evaluation criteria across the different solvent
 mides and compare the consistence of column across the different solvent
- 201 guides and compare the consistency of solvent evaluation across the various guides.
- In the present article a new selection guide for solvents commonly used, or of potential use, as reaction media in biphasic biocatalysis is presented. Some of the included solvents have never been assessed in previous solvent selection guides due to their specific application in biocatalytic
- reaction systems. Other solvent selection guides focus strongly on solvents used in the main for
 synthetic organic chemistry applications (Alfonsi *et al.*, 2008; American Chemical Society (ACS),
 2011; Henderson *et al.*, 2011; Prat *et al.*, 2016, 2013). An accurate and detailed comparison of all of
- the required properties of solvents is not an easy or exact task, since the level and quality of data available for each solvent is different. This is especially true for the comparison of older solvents
- that might have a large amount of data available e.g. substances fully registered under REACH
- (ECHA 2016), and newer solvents where very little data is available (at least available in the public
 domain). A key feature of the CHEM21 methodology is that it allows a high level ranking of all
- solvents where basic physical/safety data and the Globally Harmonized System of Classification
- and Labeling of Chemicals (GHS) is known (Prat *et al.*, 2016). The solvents in the guide presented
 here have been classified based on the methodology developed within the CHEM21 project. CHEM
- 216 21 is a collaborative project between European universities and companies and aims to develop
- sustainable biological and chemical alternatives to finite resources and more environmentally
 friendly processes. The guide presented here is targeted at process chemists and engineers charged
- with operating bioprocesses. In this guide we also provide some examples from the literature which
- document the use of the solvents in biocatalytic systems and additionally, the enzymes which have
- been used. Other useful data such as solubility in water, Log P and CAS number are also included.
- We hope these data will be useful for looking for greener solvents where similar Log P and/or water solubility values are needed for a successful bioprocess. For large scale processing, solvents which
- are solid close to ambient temperature can present specific logistical challenges, so solvents with

 $mp \ge 10$ °C have been marked in the table. The solvents included in the guide were chosen from a 225 literature survey of biphasic bioreactions, or by looking for newer solvents that may have similar 226 properties and could be good candidates for this type of transformation. Generally solvents have 227 been chosen which have 10% or lower solubility in water as a cut off point for a water-immiscible 228 organic solvent. In certain circumstances, solvents such as tetrahydrofuran and acetonitrile that are 229 fully water-miscible can form biphasic mixtures with water (high aqueous solute content), but these 230 were excluded. Data on water-miscible solvents can be found in other published guides (Alfonsi et 231 al., 2008; American Chemical Society (ACS), 2011; Henderson et al., 2011; Prat et al., 2016, 232 2013). Solvents that are common to this guide and CHEM21 used the data collated for the 233 CHEM21 guide (Prat et al., 2016). The data required to assess the new solvents were obtained from 234 manufacturer's safety data/material safety data sheets - freely available from suppliers, and from 235 the European Chemicals Agency, Registered Substances Data (ECHA-RS), 2016. In the case of the 236 less common solvents and newer solvents, not all of the required data was available or found. In 237 particular, it was difficult to find values for resistivity (the ability to accumulate a static charge). 238 Under the methodology solvents likely to build up a static charge (> $10^8 \Omega$.m) incrementally add 1 239 to the safety score. For the additional solvents here, ethers and hydrocarbons were scored as 240 resistive, and the other solvents as non-resistive. Needless to say, before using any solvents at scale, 241 242 a full assessment needs to be made of all operational and safety hazards, including resistivity. Where air is used for bio oxidation and/or for transformations with living cells, appropriate care 243 244 needs to be taken to avoid the formation of an explosive head space if a flammable solvent is used. 245 Since the processes under consideration here are all biphasic, the production of aqueous waste streams containing low levels of the organic solvent needs to be considered. Some calculated data 246 on persistence, bioaccumulation and toxicity has been included in the table. Thus the solvent 247 selection guide includes an evaluation of persistence in the environment, bioaccumulation in food 248 chains and toxicity to fish. The persistence, bioaccumulation and toxicity (PBT) evaluation follows 249 the criteria established by New Chemicals Program (EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency), 250 2012). The persistence evaluation is performed by investigating the half-life of the compound in 251 water and air. In relation to the water criteria, if the compound's half-life is less than 2 months it is 252 considered recommended (green). If the compound's half-life is between 2 and 6 months it is 253 considered problematic (amber). Solvents with a half-life greater than 6 months are considered 254 255 hazardous (red). Persistence in air is also evaluated by the half-life; compounds with a half-life lower or equal to 2 days are considered harmless, those with a half-life greater than 2 days are 256 considered hazardous (EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency), 2012). 257 258 The bioaccumulation criterion corresponds to the bioconcentration factor of a chemical uptake from the surrounding media by an organism living in that media. If the range of bioconcentration factors 259 is less than 1000, the solvent is considered recommended for industrial applications (green). 260 261 Solvents with bioconcentration factors greater than or equal to 1000 and less than 5000 are considered to be problematic (amber). Solvents with bioconcentration factors higher than 5000 are 262 considered hazardous and not advised to be applied in industrial applications (red) (EPA (U.S. 263 264 Environmental Protection Agency), 2012).

- Toxicity to fish is evaluated by the concentration of the solvent which is chronically toxic to fish, chronic toxicity value (ChV). Solvents with a ChV greater than 10 mg/L and that do not present any toxic risk are considered harmless (green). ChV in the range of concentrations 0.1-10 mg/L present moderate concern and are considered problematic (amber). Solvents with ChV less than 0.1 mg/L
- are considered hazardous (red) (EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency), 2012).
- Table 2 is the compilation of the assessment of the solvents which are commonly applied, or could
- be applied, in bioreactions as a medium. The guide contains a score for each parameter [1 (good) to
- 272 10 (bad)] and is color coded for easy reference. The guide is divided into safety, health and

environmental sections, with an overall recommendation. Scoring is based on physical parameters

- such as boiling point, auto ignition temperature etc. and GHS statements. Full details of the
- 275 methodology are given in the CHEM21 publication (Prat *et al.*, 2016). For easy comparison in
- tabular form, the output is color coded. Green (recommended) indicates that the solvent can be used
- with few issues (given normal safe operating procedures are in place to deal with issues such as
- 278 flammability, etc). Yellow (problematic) indicates that there may be some issues, but the solvent 279 should be usable with appropriate mitigation strategies. Red - solvents labeled hazardous or highly
- hazardous should be replaced or avoided in developing new processes. In the overall ranking
- column, some solvents have a split ranking. This is due to current industrial thinking and practice
- that would generally move a solvent into a higher hazard band than that given by the
- 283 ranking/scoring process.
- For newer solvents that are not fully registered in REACH (thus potentially lacking in some data sets), the CHEM21 scoring methodology defaults to 5 (problematic/yellow). This is why solvents such as diethyl succinate and butyl butyrate rank as problematic when compared to very similar structures like ethyl, tert-butyl or isopropyl acetate, which are fully registered. When full datasets are available, these materials may become more harmonized in the guide. The REACH process is generating a lot of data on solvents and the overall picture is constantly changing. Looking into the
- future, before using any newer solvent, it would be advised to search for any new data or change in REACH status that could change the ranking in the table. It is worth noting that especially in the
- context of this guide, the methodology scores high boiling solvents (especially > $200 \,^{\circ}$ C, e.g. diethyl succinate b.p. = $218 \,^{\circ}$ C) poorly in the environmental section since these materials will be very energy intensive to purify or recover by distillation.
- Lastly, the reader should note that the limits of the CHEM 21 selection algorithm define the assessment of each solvent. There are other solvent selection guides available in the literature and there are some differences in the classification of the solvents (Prat *et al.*, 2014). Moreover, the assessment limits might also change with future legislation. In line with this, we are aware that some solvents which present some toxic and flammable properties (e.g. n-butanol) currently fall into the category of "Recommended" due to the limits of the evaluation. Moreover, azeotrope formation was not considered in the selection guide, although in principle it should also be taken
- into account when screening for solvents due to separation problems with the recovery of the solvent or waste water treatment.
- 304

 Table 1 – Compilation of organic solvent selection guides and potential substitution solvents.

		Hi	igh Level Solven	t Guide for Biph	nasic Biocat	alysed F	Reactions	5					
Solvent, (CAS N°), mp if ≥ 10 °C	Solubility in water	Log P*	Precedent for use in biphasic	Reference	In published	Safety Score	Health Score	Environment Score	PBT profile***			Overall Ranking using CHEM21 methodology****	
	g litre "		Dioreaction		guides				Ρ	в	т		
				Alcoho	ls								
n-Butanol (71-36-3)	63.2	0.79	Dehydrogenase	(Cremonesi <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 1973)	P, G, S, C21, RT	3	4	3				Recommended	
Isobutanol (78-83-1)	70	0.79	Oxidase	(Zaks, 1988)	G, S, C21, RT	3	4	3				Recommended	
n-Pentanol (71-41-0)	2.03	1.44	Decarboxylase	(Rosche <i>et al.</i> , 2004)	No	3	2	3				Recommended	
n-Heptanol (111-70-6)	1.63	2.2	None found		No	1	2	5				Recommended	
tert-Amyl alcohol (75-85-4)	98	0.77	Oxidase	(Zaks, 1988)	S	4	2	3				Recommended	
Isoamyl alcohol (123-51-3)	21.2	1.35	None found		G, C21	3	2	3				Recommended	
1-Octanol (111-87-5)	0.5	3.15	Oxygenase	(Hüsken <i>et al.</i> , 2002)	No	1	2	5				Recommended	
Benzyl alcohol (100-51-6)	40	1.05	None found		G, S, C21, RT	1	2	7				Problematic	
1-Dodecanol (112-53-8) mp 22 °C	0.0019	5.13	Reductase	(De Wulf and Thonart, 1989)	No	1	5	7				Problematic	
1-Decanol (112-30-1)	0.021	4.5	Dehydrogenase	(Pinheiro and Cabral, 1992)	No	2	2	7				Problematic	
	Esters												
Ethyl acetate (141-78-6)	87.9	0.68	Dehydrogenase	(Cremonesi <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 1973)	P, G, S, C21, RT	5	3	3				Recommended	
tert-Butyl acetate (540-88-5)	6.7	1.64	ω-Transaminase	(Meadows <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	G	4	1	3				Recommended	
n-Butyl acetate (123-86-4)	5.3	2.3	KRED	(Ye <i>et al</i> ., 2010)	G, S, C21, RT	4	2	3				Recommended	
Isobutyl acetate (110-19-0)	5.6	2.3	None found		S, C21, RT	4	2	3				Recommended	

n-Propyl acetate (109-60-4)	18.7	1.27	None found		G	4	2	3			Recom	nended
Isopropyl acetate (108-21-4)	31.9	1.03	None found		P, G, S, C21, RT	4	2	3			Recom	nended
Isoamyl acetate (123-92-2)	2	2.7	None found		C21	3	1	5			Recom	nended
n-Butyl butyrate (109-21-7)	0.31	2.83	None found		No	3	5	5			Proble	ematic
n-Octyl acetate (112-14-1)	0.033	3.81	P450	(Toda <i>et al</i> ., 2012)	G	1	5	7			Proble	ematic
Diethyl succinate (123-25-1)	19	1.26	None found		G, S, C21	1	5	7			Proble	ematic
Lauryl acetate (112-66-3)	0.00036	5.88	P450	(Garikipati <i>et</i> <i>al</i> ., 2009)	No	1	5	5			Proble	ematic
Ethyl decanoate (106-33-2)	0.00041	5.71	P450	(Tan and Day, 1998)	No	1	5	7			Proble	ematic
Ethyl oleate (111-62-6)	6x10 ⁻⁷	8.51	P450	(Kuhn <i>et al</i> ., 2012)	No	1	5	7			Proble	ematic
FAME-Fatty acid methyl esters (67762- 38-3)	0.000023	>6.2	P450	(Schrewe <i>et</i> <i>al</i> ., 2014)	No		Mixtu	re		Problematic		
Bis n-butyl phthalate (84-74-2)	0.011	4.46	KRED	(He <i>et al.</i> , 2006)	No	1	9	7			Hazaı	rdous
bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (117-81-7)	3x10 ⁻⁶	7.86	P450	(Park <i>et al</i> ., 2007)	No	1	9	7			Hazaı	rdous
Tricaprylin (538-23-8)	1.5x10 ⁻⁸	9.2	Plant cell culture	(Dutta, 1994)	No	1	5	7			Proble	ematic
				Ketone	S							
Methyl Isobutyl ketone (MIBK) (108-10-1)	14.1	1.9	α-Galactosidase	(Bennett <i>et al</i> ., 1992)	S,G,C21, RT	4	2	3			Recom	mended
Cyclohexanone (108-94-1)	90	0.86	Imidase	(Ogawa <i>et al</i> ., 2000)	G, S, C21, RT	3	3	5			R	Р
2-Octanone (111-13-7)	0.9	2.5	KRED	(Kohlmann <i>et</i> <i>al</i> ., 2011)	No	3	5	5			Proble	ematic
2-Undecanone (112-12-9) mp 15 °C	0.04	4.1	Oxidation	(Collins and Daugulis, 1997)	No	1	5	7			Problematic	
				Ethers	5							

Dimethyl ether [†] (115-10-6)	335	0.07	KRED	(Lu et al., 2004)	G	9	2	7		н	нн
Diethyl ether (60-29-7)	43.1	1.05	Dehydrogenase	(Cremonesi <i>et al.</i> , 1973)	P, G, S, C21, RT	10	3	7		н	нн
Diisopropyl ether (108-20-3)	3.1	1.52	Enoate reductase	(Hall <i>et al</i> ., 2012)	P, G, S, C21, RT	9	3	5		Hazaı	rdous
Dibutyl ether (142-96-1)	0.11	3.35	ω-Transaminase	(Meadows <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	S	5	2	5		Proble	ematic
2- Methyltetrahydrofuran (96-47-9)	140	1.1	Benzaldehyde lyase	(Shanmuganat han <i>et al</i> ., 2011)	P, G, S, C21, RT	6	3	3		R	Ρ
Cyclopentyl methyl ether (CPME) (5614- 37-9)	3.1	1.59	Benzaldehyde lyase	(Wiedner <i>et</i> <i>al</i> ., 2015)	G, S, C21, RT	7	2	5		Proble	ematic
tert-Butyl methyl ether (TBME) (1634-04-4)	41.9	1.23	Hydroxy nitrile Lyase	(Wiedner <i>et</i> <i>al</i> ., 2015)	P, G, S, C21, RT	8	3	5		Hazar	rdous
Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE) (637-92-3)	2.37	1.48	None found		G, S, C21	7	3	3		Proble	ematic
tert-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) (994-05-8)	10.7	1.55	None found		G, C21	6	2	3		Recom	mended
Diisoamyl ether (544-01-4)	0.028	5.08	Dehydrogenase	(Hocknull and Lilly, 1990)	No	4	2	7		Proble	ematic
Anisole (100-66-3)	1.71	2.11	Lipase	(Wells, 2010)	G, S, C21, RT	4	1	5		Р	R
				Halogena	ated						
Dichloromethane (DCM) (75-09-2)	13.2	1.25	Dehydrogenase	(Cremonesi <i>et</i> <i>al</i> ., 1973)	P, G, S, C21, RT	1	7	7		Hazaı	rdous
Chloroform (67-66-3)	8.7	1.97	Protease	(Ogino et al., 1995)	P, G, S, C21, RT	2	7	5		Р	нн
Carbon tetrachloride (56-23-5)	0.65	2.64	Oxidase	(Liu <i>et al</i> ., 1996)	P, G, S, C21, RT	2	7	10		н	нн
1,2-Dichloroethane (107-06-2)	7.9	1.45	None found		P, G , S, C21, RT	4	10	3		н	нн
Chlorobenzene (108-90-7)	0.21	2.98	Dehydrogenase	(Cremonesi, 1975)	G, S, C21, RT	3	2	7		Proble	ematic
Methoxyperfluorobutane (163702-07-6)	0.01	3.93	Nitrile hydratase	(Zhu <i>et al</i> ., 2015)	No	3	6	5		Proble	ematic
Benzotrifluoride	0.21	3.01	None found		G, RT	5	5	7		Proble	ematic

(98-08-8)													
Aromatic hydrocarbons													
Benzene (71-43-2)	1.78	2.1	KRED	(Shi <i>et al.,</i> 2008)	P, G, S, C21, RT	6	10	3				н	нн
Toluene (108-88-3)	0.52	2.73	Nitrile hydratase	(Cull <i>et al</i> ., 2001)	P, G, S, C21	5	6	3				Proble	ematic
Xylene (1330-20-7)	0.16	3.15	Oxidase	(Aono <i>et al</i> ., 1994)	P, G, S, C21, RT	4	2	5				Proble	ematic
p-Cymene (99-87-6)	0.03	4.1	Lipase	(Paggiola <i>et</i> <i>al</i> ., 2014)	G, S, C21	4	5	5				Proble	ematic
Tetralin (119-64-2)	0.045	3.78	Reductase	(Ferrante <i>et</i> <i>al</i> ., 1995)	S	3	6	7				Proble	ematic
Cumene (98-82-8)	0.05	3.55	None found		S, G	5	2	7				Proble	ematic
Aliphatic hydrocarbons													
n-Pentane (109-66-0)	0.039	3.45	None found		P, G, S, C21	8	3	7				Haza	rdous
n-Hexane (110-54-3)	0.01	4	KRED	(de Gonzalo <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	P, G, S, C21, RT	8	7	7				Haza	rdous
n-Heptane (142-82-5)	0.0024	4.5	Dehalogenase	(Zou, 2014)	P, G, S, C21, RT	6	2	7				Proble	ematic
n-Octane (111-65-9)	0.0007	5.15	Nitroreductase	(Meyer <i>et al</i> ., 2006)	S, C21	5	2	7				Proble	ematic
Isooctane (540-84-1)	0.0022	4.08	Lipoxygenase	(Kermasha <i>et</i> <i>al</i> ., 2002)	G, RT	6	2	7				Proble	ematic
Cyclohexane (110-82-7)	0.052	3.44	Esterase	(Lee, 1997)	P, G, S, C21, RT	6	3	7				Proble	ematic
Methylcyclohexane (108-87-2)	0.014	3.88	None found		P, G, S, C21, RT	6	2	7				Proble	ematic
Petroleum ether 60/80 (101316-46-5)	As n-hexane	As n- hexane	KRED	(Pathan <i>et al</i> ., 2012)	G			Р	н				
Paraffin oil (8012-95-1)	Insoluble	>4	Oxidase	(Oda <i>et al</i> ., 1996)	No	Mixture						Р	н
Decane (124-18-5)	0.000083	5.86	Expandase	(Gao and Demain, 2001)	No	4	2	5				Proble	ematic
Dodecane (112-40-3)	0.000005	6.98	KRED	(Huang <i>et al</i> ., 2005)	No	2	2	7				Proble	ematic
Tetradecane	2.8x10 ⁻⁷	7.2	Dioxygenase	(Collins et al.,	No	2	2	7				Proble	ematic

	(629-59-4)				1995)								
	Hexadecane (544-76-3) mp 18 °C	0.000001	8.20	P450	(Furuhashi, 1986)	No	2	2	7				Problematic
	D-Limonene (5989-27-5)	0.006	4.4	Hydratase	(Savithiry <i>et al.</i> , 1997)	S, C21	4	2	7				Problematic
	Turpentine (8006-64-2)	0.002 to 0.35	3 to 6	None found		S, C21	4	2	7				Problematic
306													
307	* Data from ECHA database [(ECHA-RS), 2016], literature values (sourced from the Reaxys database, Chemspider) or calculated. Values between 20 and 30 °C.												
308	** Solvent liste	d in other guides I	P=Pfizer (A	lfonsi et al., 2008), G	= GSK (Alder et al.,	, 2016), S= Sand	ofi (Prat e	t al., 2013),	C21= CHEM21 (Prat e	t al.,	201	6), RT= ACS GCI
309	Pharmaceutical	Roundtable (ACS	2011).										
310	Grey shading ir	ndicates scoring is	not appropr	iate due to mixtures,	or values cannot be	calculated for P	BT profil	er (Environn	nental Health Ana	lysis	Cent	ter, 2	012)
311	*** Calculated	environmental fat	e <u>http://www</u>	<u>w.pbtprofiler.net/</u>									
312	P = Persistence												
313	B = Bioaccumu	lation											
314	T = Toxicity to	fish											
315	**** Recomme	ndation as an outp	out from the	CHEM21 solvent sel	lection methodology	(Prat et al., 201	6). Wher	e a cell is sp	lit, the first colum	in rep	resen	nts th	e output from the
316	tool. However,	for certain solvent	s, a second	column has been add	ed to reflect current	industrial practi	ce and thi	nking.					
317	† solvent used u	under pressure, the	boiling poi	nt of dimethyl ether -	24 °C at atmospheri	c pressure.							
318													

319 5. Concluding remarks and future perspectives

320 This article summarizes water-immiscible solvent applications in bioprocesses and enumerates the

different criteria to take into account in order to select a solvent. The criteria have been compiled

and organized in a screening procedure which helps to narrow down the number of potentially

feasible solvents to be tested experimentally during early stage process development, and to help guide chemists and engineers towards solvents with the best EHS profiles. The most important

325 properties that are necessary to consider when screening organic solvents for a process are related to

their environment, health and safety impact, recoverability and stability and their application in the

327 process, as a reaction medium or applied to downstream processing.

Unfortunately, an ideal solvent is not always available from the shortlist of solvent options, and it is
not always possible to fulfill all of the requirements. For example solvents with high Log P values
are favored for two-liquid phase systems with free, immobilized biocatalysts or whole cells,

331 whereas these are the very solvents which tend to persist in the environment and score poorly in the

environmental assessment of the solvent guide. More lipophilic solvents also tend to have higher

resistivity and consequently a higher safety score. Therefore, when making the final choice it is

- necessary to take a decision about the importance of the evaluation categories and to set strategies
 to overcome the constraints of the unfulfilled requirements. These strategies should still establish a
- safe and environmentally friendly process with reasonable acceptable costs.

Moreover, sometimes there are also process challenges to overcome such as deactivation of the biocatalyst in the presence of an organic solvent. This can often be overcome by using an indirect solvent contact process. In fact, it is also possible to avoid the contact of the biocatalyst with the solvent by making the extraction outside of the reactor without recirculation of the aqueous phase to the reactor – Figure 1.

the reactor – Figure 1.
The selection of solvents for application in industrial processes has been changing over the past two
decades. In fact, today there is a tendency both in industry and in research to choose a solvent
taking greater consideration of the environmental impact and also an impact on health, safety and
costs aspects. As an example GlaxoSmithKline Pharmaceuticals' most frequently used solvents list
has changed towards greener solvents. Solvents such as toluene, dichloromethane and

tetrahydrofuran, which were applied greatly in industry in the 90's, are presently being replaced.
The three top ranked solvents for industrial application were 2-propanol, ethyl acetate and

methanol. The list of the 10 top ranked solvents includes also ethanol, n-heptane, tetrahydrofuran, toluene, dichloromethane, acetic acid and acetonitrile (Constable *et al.*, 2007). Moreover, a survey

toluene, dichloromethane, acetic acid and acetonitrile (Constable *et al.*, 2007). Moreover, a survey
 of solvent usage in development of processes revealed that although there is some room for

improvement on substituting solvents of concern, there is already some reduction of chloroform and

n-hexane applications. Additionally, this investigation shows that the usage of dipolar aprotic solvents at larger scale (>100 kg scale) is much smaller than in processes at smaller scale (Ashcroft (-1, 2015)). Another factor drives

et al., 2015). Another factor driving industry towards more benign solvents is legislation, especially
 Registration and Evaluation of Chemicals (REACH) in the EU which seeks to limit and eventually

remove from use substances with carcinogenic, reprotoxic and mutagenic properties, as well as materials with a high environmental impact (European Chemicals Agency (ECHA), 2016).

359 The scientific community has focused research to find greener solvents for bioprocesses and these

efforts are centered on the application of ionic liquids, deep eutectic solvents and supercritical

carbon dioxide (Jessop, 2011). Ionic liquids have been extensively studied by the scientific

362 community as possible reaction media for biocatalysis. Ionic liquids are mixtures of cations and363 anions which do not pack well and therefore, these mixtures are in liquid phase at room

temperature. Several enzymes have been tested having ionic liquids or a mixture of ionic liquid and

365 water as reaction media. From the scientific literature, it is possible to conclude that in ionic liquids

several enzymes present good stereoselectivity, reaction yield, activity and stability (Lou et al., 366 2005; Lozano et al., 2001). For example, Lozano and coworkers have studied α-chymotrypsin and 367 verified an increase of its half-life and the conversion of the substrate when compared to 1-propanol 368 (Lozano et al., 2001). The implementation of ionic liquids in industrial processes will require more 369 information regarding their toxicity, ecotoxicity and their life cycle impact. Moreover the 370 ecotoxicity of the ionic liquid seems to be related to the branching of the alkyl chain and to 371 hydrophobicity of the cation (Docherty and Kulpa, Jr., 2005). Some of the ionic liquids have EC_{50} 372 (acute toxicity value) values much lower than for example toluene, which means they are more 373 ecotoxic. Another aspect to consider when evaluating the environmental impact of ionic liquids is 374 the environmental impact of their synthesis. The synthesis of an ionic liquid sometimes requires the 375 use of harmful organic solvents (Deetlefs and Seddon, 2010; Zhang et al., 2008). There have also 376 been efforts to decrease the toxicity of ionic liquids. In fact the third generation of ionic liquids has 377 been considered cheaper, sustainable, non-toxic and biodegradable (Domínguez de María and 378

- Maugeri, 2011; Fukaya et al., 2007). 379
- 380 Supercritical carbon dioxide (scCO₂) is also considered a sustainable solvent since it is non-
- flammable, has low toxicity, is broadly inert limiting unwanted reactions, and is present in 381 abundance as a by-product of industrial processes like fermentation and thermal cracking. Although 382
- 383 scCO₂ presents several advantages at safety and process level, it has also some associated
- disadvantages. Some organic substrates have poor solubility in scCO₂, requiring the use of a co-384
- solvent. A process which uses supercritical carbon dioxide requires high pressure equipment and 385 386 therefore it is necessary to consider carefully the safety aspects. Furthermore, another constraint of
- the application of $scCO_2$ in a process is the cost of operation and equipment capital cost which is 387
- much higher compared to a standard organic solvent since the process has to operate at high 388 pressure (Beckman, 2004). Concerning application in bioprocesses, studies have demonstrated that 389
- scCO₂ can improve reaction rates and control reaction selectivity by pressure. Many enzymes have 390
- been demonstrated to have a high performance in scCO₂ compared to organic solvents. Examples 391
- include hydrolases, oxygenases and dehydrogenases, and have been reviewed by Wimmer and 392 Zarevúcka, 2010. In addition, lipases seem to have been extensively studied and reported in the 393
- scientific literature (Khosravi-Darani and Mozafari, 2009). However, the enzyme is not always 394
- stable in a biphasic CO₂/H₂O system due to the dissolution of CO₂ in water which causes the 395 396 formation of H₂CO₃. Consequently, pH will decrease (2.85) and the enzyme can be deactivated. In addition, carbon dioxide is a Lewis acid and reacts with strong bases and nucleophiles (Beckman, 397
- 2004). Therefore, it is necessary to take this fact into account when considering the application of 398 399 $scCO_2$ in processes in which these compounds are substrates or products.
- The solvent for a process can be chosen from several categories of solvents: water, organic solvents, 400 ionic liquids and supercritical fluids. Jessop has consulted top academic experts in green solvents 401 about which solvents they would choose for industrial application, and the choice fell on water, 402 supercritical carbon dioxide and carefully-selected organic solvents (Jessop, 2011). 403
- In conclusion, the choice of a solvent for a bioprocess should comprise a balance between the 404
- effects on the environment, effects on human health, safety hazards, biocatalyst/microorganism 405 activity, solubility and selectivity of substrates and/or products and recovery. This balance is 406
- important because it is not always possible to find a solvent which fully covers all these criteria. 407
- 408 Problems regarding the impact of a solvent on Environment, Health and Safety are increasingly
- being taken into account in process development when considering the application of a solvent as a 409
- reaction medium or as part of downstream processing in new processes. Moreover, in recent years, 410
- there has been more focus to substitute the hazardous solvents in already running processes. 411

412 6. Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the European Commission's Seventh Framework Programme
(FP7/2007-2013) Project BIOINTENSE – Mastering Bioprocess integration and intensification
across scales (Grant Agreement number 312148).

Charnwood Technical Consulting Ltd. and GlaxoSmithKline Medicines Research Centre
acknowledge funding from the European Commission's Seventh Framework Programme
(FP7/2007-2013) and EFPIA companies' in kind contribution for the Innovative Medicine Initiative
under Grant Agreement No. 115360 (Chemical manufacturing methods for the 21st century
pharmaceutical industries, CHEM21).

421

422 7. References

- Abildskov, J., Gani, R., Rasmussen, P., O'Connell, J., 2001. Analysis of infinite dilution activity
 coefficients of solutes in hydrocarbons from UNIFAC. Fluid Phase Equilib. 181, 163–186.
- Adams, D.J., Dyson, P.J., Tavener, S.J., 2003. Chemistry in alternative reaction media. John Wiley
 & Sons, Ltd, Chichester.
- Alder, C.M., Hayler, J.D., Henderson, R.K., Redman, A.M., Shukla, L., Shuster, L.E., Sneddon,
 H.F., 2016. Updating and further expanding GSK's solvent sustainability guide. Green Chem. 18,
 3879–3890.
- 430 Alfonsi, K., Colberg, J., Dunn, P.J., Fevig, T., Jennings, S., Johnson, T.A., Kleine, H.P., Knight, C.,
- Nagy, M.A., Perry, D.A., Stefaniak, M., 2008. Green chemistry tools to influence a medicinal
 chemistry and research chemistry based organisation. Green Chem. 10, 31–36.
- American Chemical Society (ACS), 2011. ACS GCI Pharmaceutical Roundtable Solvent Selection
 Guide [WWW Document]. URL
- http://www.acs.org/content/dam/acsorg/greenchemistry/industriainnovation/roundtable/acs-gci-pr solvent-selection-guide.pdf (accessed 5.24.16).
- Aono, R., Doukyo, N., Kobayashi, H., Horikoshi, K., Nakajima, H., 1994. Oxidative Bioconversion
 of Cholesterol by Pseudomonas sp. Strain ST-200 in a Water-Organic Solvent Two-Phase System.
 Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 60, 2518–2523.
- Ashcroft, C.P., Dunn, P.J., Hayler, J.D., Wells, A.S., 2015. Survey of Solvent Usage in Papers
 Published in Organic Process Research & Development 1997–2012. Org. Process Res. Dev. 19,
 740–747.
- Barwick, V.J., 1997. Strategies for solvent selection a literature review. TrAC Trends Anal.
 Chem. 16, 293–309.
- Bassetti, L., Tramper, J., 1994. Organic solvent toxicity in Morinda citrifolia cell suspensions.
 Enzyme Microb. Technol. 16, 642–648.
- Beckman, E.J., 2004. Supercritical and near-critical CO2 in green chemical synthesis and
 processing. J. Supercrit. Fluids 28, 121–191.
- Bemquerer, M.P., Adlercreutz, P., Tominaga, M., 1994. Pepsin-catalyzed peptide synthesis in organic media: studies with free and immobilized enzyme. Int. J. Pept. Protein Res. 44, 448–456.

- Bennett, C., Dordick, J.S., Hacking, A.J., Cheetham, P.S., 1992. Biocatalytic synthesis of
 disaccharide high-intensity sweeterner sucralose via a tetrachlororaffinose intermediate. Biotechnol.
 Bioeng. 39, 211–217.
- Bes, M.T., Gomez-Moreno, C., Guisan, J.M., Fernandez-Lafuente, R., 1995. Selective oxidation:
 stabilisation by multipoint attachment of ferredoxin NADP+ reductase, an interesting cofactor
 recycling enzyme. J. Mol. Catal. A Chem. 98, 161–169.
- Boghigian, B.A., Myint, M., Wu, J., Pfeifer, B.A., 2011. Simultaneous production and partitioning
 of heterologous polyketide and isoprenoid natural products in an Escherichia coli two-phase
 bioprocess. J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 38, 1809–1820.
- Bose, A., Keharia, H., 2013. Production, characterization and applications of organic solvent
 tolerant lipase by Pseudomonas aeruginosa AAU2. Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol. 2, 255–266.
- Brena, B., González-Pombo, P., Batista-Viera, F., 2013. Immobilization of enzymes: a literature
 survey. Methods Mol. Biol. 1051, 15–31.
- Brennan, T.C.R., Turner, C.D., Krömer, J.O., Nielsen, L.K., 2012. Alleviating monoterpene toxicity
 using a two-phase extractive fermentation for the bioproduction of jet fuel mixtures in
 Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 109, 2513–2522.
- Bruce, L.J., Daugulis, A.J., 1991. Solvent selection-strategies for extractive biocatalysis.
 Biotechnol. Prog. 7, 116–124.
- Buchholz, K., Kasche, V., Bornscheuer, U.T., 2012. Biocatalysts and enzyme technology. WileyVCH Verlag, Weinheim.
- 471 Cantarella, L., Alfani, F., Cantarella, M., 1993. Stability and activity of immobilized hydrolytic 472 enzymes in two-liquid-phase systems: Acid phosphatase, β-glucosidase, and β-fructofuranosidase 473 entrapped in poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) matrices. Enzyme Microb. Technol. 15, 861–867.
- 474 Carstensen, F., Apel, A., Wessling, M., 2012. In situ product recovery: Submerged membranes vs.
 475 external loop membranes. J. Memb. Sci. 394, 1–36.
- Carvalho, A.C.L. de M., Fonseca, T. de S., Mattos, M.C. de, Oliveira, M. da C.F. de, Lemos, T.L.G.
 de, Molinari, F., Romano, D., Serra, I., 2015. Recent advances in lipase-mediated preparation of
 pharmaceuticals and their intermediates. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 16, 29682–29716.
- Chaplin, J.A., Budde, C.L., Khmelnitsky, Y.L., 2001. Catalysis by amine oxidases in nonaqueous
 media. J. Mol. Catal. B Enzym. 13, 69–75.
- Cheng, H.-C., Wang, F.-S., 2010. Computer-aided biocompatible solvent design for an integrated
 extractive fermentation-separation process. Chem. Eng. J. 162, 809–820
- Cheng, H.-C., Wang, F.-S., 2007. Trade-off optimal design of a biocompatible solvent for an
 extractive fermentation process. Chem. Eng. Sci. 62, 4316–4324.
- Clark, J.H., Tavener, S.J., 2007. Alternative solvents: Shades of green. Org. Process Res. Dev. 11, 149–155.
- 487 Collins, A.M., Woodley, J.M., Liddell, J.M., 1995. Determination of reactor operation for the

- 488 microbial hydroxylation of toluene in a two-liquid phase process. J. Ind. Microbiol. 14, 382–388.
- Collins, L.D., Daugulis, A.J., 1997. Biodegradation of phenol at high initial concentrations in two phase partitioning batch and fed-batch bioreactors. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 55, 155–162.
- Constable, D.J.C., Jimenez-Gonzalez, C., Henderson, R.K., 2007. Perspective on solvent use in the
 pharmaceutical industry. Org. Process Res. Dev. 11, 133–137.
- 493 Cremonesi, P., 1975. Enzymatic preparation of 20 beta-hydroxysteroids in a two-phase system.
 494 Biotechnol. Bioeng. 17, 1101–1108.
- 495 Cremonesi, P., Carrea, G., Sportoletti, G., Antonini, E., 1973. Enzymatic dehydrogenation of 496 steroids by β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase in a two-phase system. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 159, 497 7-10.
- 498 Cruz, A., Fernandes, P., Cabral, J.M.S., Pinheiro, H.M., 2001. Whole-cell bioconversion of β -499 sitosterol in aqueous–organic two-phase systems. J. Mol. Catal. B Enzym. 11, 579–585.
- Cue, B.W., Zhang, J., 2009. Green process chemistry in the pharmaceutical industry. Green Chem.
 Lett. Rev. 2, 193–211.
- 502 Cull, S., Woodley, J., Lye, G., 2001. Process selection and characterisation for the biocatalytic 503 hydration of poorly water soluble aromatic dinitriles 19, 131–154.
- 504 Dafoe, J.T., Daugulis, A.J., 2014. In situ product removal in fermentation systems: improved 505 process performance and rational extractant selection. Biotechnol. Lett. 36, 443–460.
- 506 Dortmund Bank Data, 2018. Dortmund Data Bank [WWW Document]. URL 507 http://www.ddbst.com/ddb.html (access 3.22.2018)
- 508 Datta, S., Christena, L.R., Rajaram, Y.R.S., 2013. Enzyme immobilization: an overview on 509 techniques and support materials. 3 Biotech 3, 1–9.
- 510 De Gonzalo, G., Lavandera, I., Faber, K., Kroutil, W., 2007. Enzymatic reduction of ketones in 511 "micro-aqueous" media catalyzed by ADH-A from Rhodococcus ruber. Org. Lett. 9, 2163–6.
- 512 De Wulf, O., Thonart, P., 1989. Bioconversion of vanillin to vanilly alcohol in a two-phase reactor.
 513 Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 20, 165–180.
- 514 Deetlefs, M., Seddon, K.R., 2010. Assessing the greenness of some typical laboratory ionic liquid 515 preparations. Green Chem. 12, 17–30.
- Devi, N.A., Radhika, G.B., Bhargavi, R.J., 2017. Lipase catalyzed transesterification of ethyl
 butyrate synthesis in n-hexane— a kinetic study. J. Food Sci. Technol. 54, 2871–2877.
- 518 Docherty, K.M., Kulpa, Jr., C.F., 2005. Toxicity and antimicrobial activity of imidazolium and 519 pyridinium ionic liquids. Green Chem. 7, 185–189.
- Domańska, U., Okuniewski, M., Okuniewska, P., Paduszyński, K., Turowski, T., 2015. Phase
 equilibrium and bioproduction of the aroma compound 2-phenylethanol in a biphasic aqueous
 system. Eur. Food Res. Technol. 240, 1177–1186.
- 523 Domínguez de María, P., Maugeri, Z., 2011. Ionic liquids in biotransformations: From proof-of-

- concept to emerging deep-eutectic-solvents. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 15, 220–225.
- 525 Dutta, A., 1994. Two-phase culture system for plant cells. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 745, 251–260.
- Dwevedi, A., 2016. Enzyme Immobilization Advances in Industry, Agriculture, Medicine, and the
 Environment. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- Elgue, S., Prat, L., Cabassud, M., Cezerac, J., 2006. Optimisation of solvent replacement procedures
 according to economic and environmental criteria. Chem. Eng. J. 117, 169–177.
- Ellegaard, M.E., Abildskov, J., O'Connell, J.P., 2009. Method for predicting solubilities of solids in
 mixed solvents. AIChE J. 55, 1256–1264.
- Environmental Health Analysis Center, 2012. Persistent, Bioaccumulative, and Toxic (PBT)
 profiler [WWW Document]. URL http://www.pbtprofiler.net/ (accessed 12.1.16).
- 534 EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency), 2012. Estimating Persistence, Bioaccumulation, and
- Toxicity Using the PBT Profiler, in: Sustainable Futures / P2 Framework Manual 2012 EPA-748B12-001. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution
 Prevention.
- European Chemicals Agency (ECHA), 2016. Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and
 Restriction of Chemicals (REACH) [WWW Document]. URL
 http://echa.europa.eu/regulations/reach (accessed 2.9.16).
- Ferrante, A.A., Augliera, J., Lewis, K., Klibanov, A.M., 1995. Cloning of an organic solventresistance gene in Escherichia coli: the unexpected role of alkylhydroperoxide reductase. Proc. Natl.
 Acad. Sci. 92, 7617–7621.
- Fragnelli, M.C., Hoyos, P., Romano, D., Gandolfi, R., Alcántara, A.R., Molinari, F., 2012.
 Enantioselective reduction and deracemisation using the non-conventional yeast Pichia glucozyma
 in water/organic solvent biphasic systems: preparation of (S)-1,2-diaryl-2-hydroxyethanones
 (benzoins). Tetrahedron 68, 523–528.
- 548 Freeman, A., Woodley, J.M., Lilly, M.D., 1993. In Situ Product Removal as a Tool for 549 Bioprocessing. Nat. Biotechnol. 11, 1007–1012.
- Fukaya, Y., Iizuka, Y., Sekikawa, K., Ohno, H., 2007. Bio ionic liquids: room temperature ionic
 liquids composed wholly of biomaterials. Green Chem. 9, 1155–1157.
- Furuhashi, K., 1986. Fermentation process for the production of optically active epoxides. CEER,
 Chem. Econ. Eng. Rev. 18, 21–26.
- Gani, R., 2006. A modern approach to solvent selection. Chem. Eng. 113, 30–43.
- 555 Gao, Q., Demain, A., 2001. Improvement in the bioconversion of penicillin G to 556 deacetoxycephalosporin G by elimination of agitation and addition of decane. Appl. Microbiol. 557 Biotechnol. 57, 511–513.
- Garikipati, S.V.B.J., McIver, A.M., Peeples, T.L., 2009. Whole-cell biocatalysis for 1-naphthol
 production in liquid-liquid biphasic systems. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 75, 6545–52.
- 560 Geok, L.P., Razak, C.N.A., Abd Rahman, R.N.Z., Basri, M., Salleh, A.B., 2003. Isolation and

- screening of an extracellular organic solvent-tolerant protease producer. Biochem. Eng. J. 13, 73–
 77.
- Gonçalves, A.P.V., Lopes, J.M., Lemos, F., Ramôa Ribeiro, F., Prazeres, D.M.F., Cabral, J.M.S.,
 Aires-Barros, M.R., 1997. Effect of the immobilization support on the hydrolytic activity of a
 cutinase from Fusarium solani pisi. Enzyme Microb. Technol. 20, 93–101.
- Gorman, L.A., Dordick, J.S., 1992. Organic solvents strip water off enzymes. Biotechnol. Bioeng.
 39, 392–397.
- 568 Gu, T., 2000. Liquid-liquid partitioning methods for bioseparations. Sep. Sci. Technol. 2, 329–364.
- Hall, M., Winkler, C.K., Tasnádi, G., Faber, K., 2012. Enoate Reductases for Reduction of Electron
 Deficient Alkenes, in: Whittal, J., Sutton, P.W. (Eds.), Practical Methods for Biocatalysis and
 Biotransformation 2. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester.
- Halling, P.J., 1994. Thermodynamic predictions for biocatalysis in nonconventional media: Theory,
 tests, and recommendations for experimental design and analysis. Enzyme Microb. Technol. 16,
 178–206.
- He, J.-Y., Sun, Z.-H., Ruan, W.-Q., Xu, Y., 2006. Biocatalytic synthesis of ethyl (S)-4-chloro-3hydroxy-butanoate in an aqueous-organic solvent biphasic system using Aureobasidium pullulans
 CGMCC 1244. Process Biochem. 41, 244–249.
- Heipieper, H.J., Neumann, G., Cornelissen, S., Meinhardt, F., 2007. Solvent-tolerant bacteria for
 biotransformations in two-phase fermentation systems. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 74, 961–973.
- Henderson, R.K., Jiménez-González, C., Constable, D.J.C., Alston, S.R., Inglis, G.G. a., Fisher, G.,
 Sherwood, J., Binks, S.P., Curzons, A.D., 2011. Expanding GSK's solvent selection guide –
 embedding sustainability into solvent selection starting at medicinal chemistry. Green Chem. 13,
 854–862.
- Hocknull, M.D., Lilly, M.D., 1990. The use of free and immobilised Arthrobacter simplex in
 organic solvent/aqueous two-liquid-phase reactors. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 33, 148–153.
- Hook, D.H., 2006. Production of antibiotics by fermentation, in: Ratledge, C., Kristiansen, B.
 (Eds.), Basic Biotechnology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Hua, D., Xu, P., 2011. Recent advances in biotechnological production of 2-phenylethanol.
 Biotechnol. Adv. 29, 654–660.
- Huang, Y., Zhang, F., Gong, Y., 2005. A convenient approach to (S)-2-ethylhexan-1-ol mediated by
 baker's yeast. Tetrahedron Lett. 46, 7217–7219.
- Hüsken, L.E., Oomes, M., Schroën, K., Tramper, J., de Bont, J.A.M., Beeftink, R., 2002.
 Membrane-facilitated bioproduction of 3-methylcatechol in an octanol/water two-phase system. J.
 Biotechnol. 96, 281–289.
- Janseen, A.E.M., Van der Padt, A., Van Sonsbeek, H.M., Van't Riet, K., 1993. The effect of
 organic solvents on the equilibrium position of enzymatic acylglycerol synthesis. Biotechnol.
 Bioeng. 41, 95–103.

- Jessop, P.G., 2011. Searching for green solvents. Green Chem. 13, 1391–1398.
- Jessop, P.G., Ahmadpour, F., Buczynski, M.A., Burns, T.J., Green II, N.B., Korwin, R., Long, D.,

Massad, S.K., Manley, J.B., Omidbakhsh, N., Pearl, R., Pereira, S., Predale, R.A., Sliva, P.G., VanderBilt, H., Weller, S., Wolf, M.H., 2015. Opportunities for greener alternatives in chemical

- formulations. Green Chem. 17, 2664–2678.
- Jung, D.-H., Choi, W., Choi, K.-Y., Jung, E., Yun, H., Kazlauskas, R.J., Kim, B.-G., 2013.
 Bioconversion of p-coumaric acid to p-hydroxystyrene using phenolic acid decarboxylase from B.
 amyloliquefaciens in biphasic reaction system. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 97, 1501–1511.
- Kamal, M.Z., Yedavalli, P., Deshmukh, M. V., Rao, N.M., 2013. Lipase in aqueous-polar organic
 solvents: Activity, structure, and stability. Protein Sci. 22, 904–915.
- Kemeling, G.M., 2012. Editorial: Solvent Choices and Sustainable Chemistry. ChemSusChem 5,
 2291–2292.
- Kermasha, S., Dioum, N., Bisakowski, B., Vega, M., 2002. Biocatalysis by immobilized
 lipoxygenase in a ternary micellar system. J. Mol. Catal. B Enzym. 20, 305–317.
- Khosravi-Darani, K., Mozafari, M.R., 2009. Supercritical fluids technology in bioprocess
 industries: A review. J. Biochem. Technol. 2, 144–152.
- Koch, J., 2015. Design Principles for Liquid-Liquid Extraction. Chem. Eng. Prog. 111, 22-30.
- Kohlmann, C., Robertz, N., Leuchs, S., Greiner, L., Na'amnieh, S., 2011. Utilising hardly-water
 soluble substrates as a second phase enables the straightforward synthesis of chiral alcohols. Green
 Chem. 13, 3093–3095.
- Kolář, P., Shen, J.-W., Tsuboi, A., Ishikawa, T., 2002. Solvent selection for pharmaceuticals. Fluid
 Phase Equilib. 194, 771–782.
- Kourkoutas, Y., Bekatorou, A., Banat, I., Marchant, R., Koutinas, A., 2004. Immobilization
 technologies and support materials suitable in alcohol beverages production: a review. Food
 Microbiol. 21, 377–397.
- Koutinas, M., Yiangou, C., Osório, N.M., Ioannou, K., Canet, A., Valero, F., Ferreira-Dias, S.,
 2018. Application of commercial and non-commercial immobilized lipases for biocatalytic
 production of ethyl lactate in organic solvents. Bioresour. Technol. 247, 496–503.
- Kuhn, D., Julsing, M.K., Heinzle, E., Bühler, B., 2012. Systematic optimization of a biocatalytic
 two-liquid phase oxyfunctionalization process guided by ecological and economic assessment.
 Green Chem. 14, 645–653.
- Kurzrock T., Weuster-Botz D., 2010. Recovery of succinic acid from fermentation broth,
 Biotechnol. Lett., 32, 331-339.
- Laane, C., Boeren, S., Hilhorst, R., Veeger, C., 1986. Optimization of biocatalysis in organic media,
 in: Laane, C., Tramper, J., Lilly, M.D. (Eds.), Biocatalysis in Organic Media. Elsevier Science
 Publishers B.V., Amsterdam, 65–84.
- Laane, C., Boeren, S., Vos, K., Veeger, C., 1987. Rules for optimization of biocatalysis in organic

solvents. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 30, 81–87.

Lara, P.V., Park, E.Y., 2004. Potential application of waste activated bleaching earth on the
production of fatty acid alkyl esters using Candida cylindracea lipase in organic solvent system.
Enzyme Microb. Technol. 34, 270–277.

- Lee, Y., 1997. Whole-cell biotransformation in a biphasic aqueous-organic solutions. Preparation of
 optically active cis-1,3-dibenzyl-2-oxoimidazolidinedicarboxylic acid monoester as a chiral
 precursor of (+)-biotin. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 18, 101–102.
- León, R., Fernandes, P., Pinheiro, H.M., Cabral, J.M.S., 1998. Whole-cell biocatalysis in organic
 media. Enzyme Microb. Technol. 23, 483–500.
- Lima-Ramos, J., Tufvesson, P., Woodley, J.M., 2014. Application of environmental and economic metrics to guide the development of biocatalytic processes. Green Process. Synth. 3, 195–213.
- Liu, W.-H., Horng, W.-C., Tsai, M.-S., 1996. Bioconversion of cholesterol to cholest-4-en-3-one in aqueous/organic solvent two-phase reactors. Enzyme Microb. Technol. 18, 184–189.
- Lou, W.Y., Xu, R., Zong, M.H., 2005. Hydroxynitrile lyase catalysis in ionic liquid-containing
 systems. Biotechnol. Lett. 27, 1387–1390.
- Lozano, P., de Diego, T., Guegan, J.P., Vaultier, M., Iborra, J.L., 2001. Stabilization of alphachymotrypsin by ionic liquids in transesterification reactions. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 75, 563–569.
- Lu, J., Lazzaroni, J., Hallet, J.P., Bommarius, A.S., Liotta, C.L., Eckert, C.A., 2004. Tunable solvents for homogeneous catalyst recycle. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 43, 1586–1590.
- Lye, G.J., Woodley, J.M., 1999. Application of in situ product-removal techniques to biocatalytic processes. Trends Biotechnol. 17, 395–402.
- Mahfud F. H., van Geel F. P., Venderbosch R. H., Heeres H. J., 2008. Acetic Acid Recovery from
 Fast Pyrolysis Oil. An Exploratory Study on Liquid-Liquid Reactive Extraction using Aliphatic
 Tertiary Amines, Sep. Sci. Technol., 43, 3056-3074
- Malinowski, J.J., 2001. Two-phase partitioning bioreactors in fermentation technology. Biotechnol.
 Adv. 19, 525–538.
- Malinowski, J.J., 1999. Evaluation of liquid extraction potentials for downstream separation of 1,3 propanediol. Biotechnol. Tech. 13, 127–130.
- Malinowski, J.J., Daugulis, A.J., 1994. Salt effects in extraction of ethanol, 1-butanol and acetone
 from aqueous solutions. AIChE J. 40, 1459–1465.
- Marti, M.E., Gurkan, T., Doraiswamy, L.K., 2011. Equilibrium and Kinetic Studies on Reactive
 Extraction of Pyruvic Acid with Trioctylamine in 1-Octanol. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 50, 13518–
 13525.
- Martínez-Aragón, M., Burghoff, S., Goetheer, E.L.V., de Haan, A.B., 2009. Guidelines for solvent
 selection for carrier mediated extraction of proteins. Sep. Purif. Technol. 65, 65–72.
- Meadows, R.E., Mulholland, K.R., Schürmann, M., Golden, M., Kierkels, H., Meulenbroeks, E.,
 Mink, D., May, O., Squire, C., Straatman, H., Wells, A.S., 2013. Efficient Synthesis of (S)-1-(5-

- Fluoropyrimidin-2-yl)ethylamine Using an ω-Transaminase Biocatalyst in a Two-Phase System. Org. Process Res. Dev. 17, 1117–1122.
- Meyer, D., Bühler, B., Schmid, A., 2006. Process and catalyst design objectives for specific redox
 biocatalysis. Adv. Appl. Microbiol. 59, 53–91.
- 676 Meyer, H.-P., Eichhorn, E., Hanlon, S., Lütz, S., Schürmann, M., Wohlgemuth, R., Coppolecchia,
- R., 2013. The use of enzymes in organic synthesis and the life sciences: perspectives from the Swiss
- 678 Industrial Biocatalysis Consortium (SIBC). Catal. Sci. Technol. 3, 29–40.
- Mionetto, N., Marty, J.L., Karube, I., 1994. Acetylcholinesterase in organic solvents for the
 detection of pesticides: Biosensor application. Biosens. Bioelectron. 9, 463–470.
- Modarresi, H., Conte, E., Abildskov, J., Gani, R., Crafts, P., 2008. Model-Based Calculation of
 Solid Solubility for Solvent Selection a Review. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 47, 5234–5242.
- 683 Mukhopadhyay, A., 2015. Tolerance engineering in bacteria for the production of advanced 684 biofuels and chemicals. Trends Microbiol. 23, 498–508.
- Mutti, F.G., Kroutil, W., 2012. Asymmetric Bio-amination of Ketones in Organic Solvents. Adv.
 Synth. Catal. 354, 3409–3413.
- Najafpour, G., 2007. Production of antibiotics, in: Biochemical Engineering and Biotechnology.
 Elsevier B. V., Amsterdam.
- Neumann, G., Kabelitz, N., Zehnsdorf, A., Miltner, A., Lippold, H., Meyer, D., Schmid, A.,
- Heipieper, H.J., 2005. Prediction of the Adaptability of Pseudomonas putida DOT-T1E to a Second
 Phase of a Solvent for Economically Sound Two-Phase Biotransformations. Appl. Environ.
 Microbiol. 71, 6606–6612.
- Norin, M., Boutelje, J., Holmberg, E., Hult, K., 1988. Lipase immobilized by adsorption. Appl.
 Microbiol. Biotechnol. 28, 527-530.
- Oda, S., Kato, A., Matsudomi, N., Ohta, H., 1996. Enantioselective oxidation of racemic citronellol
 with an interface bioreactor. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 60, 83–87.
- Ogawa, J., Soong, C.-L., Ito, M., Segawa, T., Prana, T., Prana, M.S., Shimizu, S., 2000. 3Carbamoyl-α-picolinic acid production by imidase-catalyzed regioselective hydrolysis of 2,3pyridinedicarboximide in a water-organic solvent, two-phase system. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.
 54, 331–334.
- Ogino, H., Yasui, K., Ishikawa, H., 1995. Organic solvent-tolerant bacterium which secretes an
 organic solvent-stable proteolytic enzyme. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 61, 4258–4262.
- Paggiola, G., Hunt, A.J., McElroy, C.R., Sherwood, J., Clark, J.H., 2014. Biocatalysis in bio derived solvents: an improved approach for medium optimisation. Green Chem. 16, 2107–2110.
- Park, J.-B., Bühler, B., Panke, S., Witholt, B., Schmid, A., 2007. Carbon metabolism and product
 inhibition determine the epoxidation efficiency of solvent-tolerant Pseudomonas sp. strain
 VLB120DeltaC. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 98, 1219–1229.
- 708 Pathan, N.B., Rahatgaonkar, R.M., Chorghade, M.S., 2012. Stereoselective bioreduction of

chalcone and beta-diketone by Saccharomyces cerevisiae in biphasic solvent system: A mechanistic
 study. Indian J. Chem. Sect. B-organic Chem. Incl. Med. Chem. 51, 992–1001.

Perez-Rodriguez, C., Montano, N., Gonzalez, K., Griebenow, K., 2003. Stabilization of alphachymotrypsin at the CH2Cl2/water interface and upon water-in-oil-in-water encapsulation in PLGA
microspheres. J. Control. Release 89, 71–85.

- Phillips, T., Chase, M., Wagner, S., Renzi, C., Powell, M., DeAngelo, J., Michels, P., 2013. Use of
 in situ solid-phase adsorption in microbial natural product fermentation development. J. Ind.
 Microbiol. Biotechnol. 40, 411–425.
- Pinheiro, H.M., Cabral, J.M., 1992. Activity and stability of an entrapped-cell system for the
 Delta(1)-dehydrogenation of steroids in organic media. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 40, 1123–1127.
- Poposka, F.A., Nikolovski, K., Tomovska, R., 1998. Kinetics, mechanism and mathematical
 modelling of extraction of citric acid with isodecanol/n-paraffins solutions of trioctylamine. Chem.
 Eng. Sci. 53, 3227–3237.
- Prat, D., Hayler, J., Wells, A., 2014. A Survey of Solvent Selection Guides. Green Chem. 16, 4546–
 4551.
- Prat, D., Pardigon, O., Flemming, H.-W., Letestu, S., Ducandas, V., Isnard, P., Guntrum, E., Senac,
 T., Ruisseau, S., Cruciani, P., Hosek, P., 2013. Sanofi's Solvent Selection Guide: A Step Toward
 More Sustainable Processes. Org. Process Res. Dev. 17, 1517–1525.
- Prat, D., Wells, A., Hayler, J., Sneddon, H., McElroy, C.R., Abou-Shehada, S., Dunn, P.J., 2016.
 CHEM21 selection guide of classical- and less classical-solvents. Green Chem. 18, 288–296.
- Priebe, X., Daugulis, A.J., 2018. Thermodynamic affinity-based considerations for the rational
 selection of biphasic systems for microbial flavor and fragrance production. J. Chem. Technol.
 Biotechnol. 93, 656–666.
- Reslow, M., Adlercreutz, P., Mattiasson, B., 1987. Organic-solvents for bioorganic synthesis 1.
 Optimization of parameters for a chymotrypsin catalyzed process. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 26, 1–8.
- Rojas, A., Duque, E., Schmid, A., Hurtado, A., Ramos, J.-L., Segura, A., 2004. Biotransformation
 in double-phase systems: physiological responses of Pseudomonas putida DOT-T1E to a double
 phase made of aliphatic alcohols and biosynthesis of substituted catechols. Appl. Environ.
 Microbiol. 70, 3637–3643.
- Rosche, B., Breuer, M., Hauer, B., Rogers, P.L., 2004. Biphasic aqueous/organic biotransformation
 of acetaldehyde and benzaldehyde by Zymomonas mobilis pyruvate decarboxylase. Biotechnol.
 Bioeng. 86, 788–794.
- 542 Savithiry, N., Cheong, T.K., Oriel, P., 1997. Production of α-terpineol from Escherichia coli cells 543 expressing thermostable limonene hydratase. Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 63, 213–220.
- Schrewe, M., Julsing, M.K., Lange, K., Czarnotta, E., Schmid, A., Bühler, B., 2014. Reaction and
 catalyst engineering to exploit kinetically controlled whole-cell multistep biocatalysis for terminal
- FAME oxyfunctionalization. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 111, 1820–1830.

- Scilipoti, J.A., Cismondi, M., Andreatta, A.E., Brignole, E.A., 2014. Selection of Solvents with AUNIFAC Applied to Detoxification of Aqueous Solutions. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 53, 17051–17058.
- Shanmuganathan, S., Natalia, D., van der Wittenboer, A., Kohlmann, C., Greiner, L., Dominguez de
 Maria, P., 2011. Enzyme-Catalyzed C-C Bond Formation Using 2-Methyltetrahydrofuran (2MTHF) as (Co)solvent: Efficient and Bio-Based Alternative to DMSO and MTBE. ChemInform
 42, 2240–2245.
- Sheldon, R.A., 2017. The E factor 25 years on: the rise of green chemistry and sustainability. Green
 Chem. 19, 18–43.
- Sheldon, R.A., Pereira, P.C., 2017. Biocatalysis engineering: the big picture. Chem. Soc. Rev. 46,
 2678–2691.
- Sheldon, R.A., Woodley, J.M., 2017. Role of Biocatalysis in Sustainable Chemistry. Chem. Rev.
 118, 801-838
- Shi, Y.-G., Fang, Y., Wu, H.-P., Li, F., 2008. Asymmetric reduction of ethyl 2-oxo-4phenylbutyrate with baker's yeast in water/organic biphasic system. J. Biotechnol. 35, 1419–1424.
- Silva, V.D., Stambuk, B.U., Nascimento, M. da G., 2010. Efficient chemoselective
 biohydrogenation of 1,3-diaryl-2-propen-1-ones catalyzed by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* yeasts in
 biphasic system. J. Mol. Catal. B Enzym. 63, 157–163.
- Smallwood, I.M., 1996. Handbook of organic solvent properties. John Wiley & Sons Inc., NewYork.
- Soo, E.L., Salleh, A.B., Basri, M., Rahman, R.N.Z., Kamaruddin, K., 2003. Optimization of the
 Enzyme-Catalyzed Synthesis of Amino Acid-Based Surfactants from Palm Oil Fractions. J. Biosci.
 Bioeng. 95, 361–367.
- Stark, D., von Stockar, U., 2003. In situ product removal (ISPR) in whole cell biotechnology during
 the last twenty years. Adv. Biochem. Eng. Biotechnol. 80, 149–175.
- Stepankova, V., Bidmanova, S., Koudelakova, T., Prokop, Z., Chaloupkova, R., Damborsky, J.,
 2013. Strategies for Stabilization of Enzymes in Organic Solvents. ACS Catal. 3, 2823–2836.
- Straathof, A.J.J., 2003. Auxiliary phase guidelines for microbial biotransformations of toxic
 substrate into toxic product. Biotechnol. Prog. 19, 755–762.
- Stratakos, A.C., Koidis, A., 2016. Methods for Extracting Essential Oils, in: Essential Oils in Food
 Preservation, Flavor and Safety. Academic Press, pp. 31–38.
- Taher, H., Al-Zuhair, S., 2017. The use of alternative solvents in enzymatic biodiesel production: a
 review. Biofuels, Bioprod. Biorefining 11, 168–194.
- Tan, Q., Day, D.F., 1998. Organic co-solvent effects on the bioconversion of (R)-(+)-limonene to (R)-(+)- α -terpineol. Process Biochem. 33, 755–761.
- Toda, H., Imae, R., Itoh, N., 2012. Efficient biocatalysis for the production of enantiopure (S)-
- epoxides using a styrene monooxygenase (SMO) and Leifsonia alcohol dehydrogenase (LSADH)
- system. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 23, 1542–1549.

- Tucker, J.L., Faul, M. M., 2016. Industrial research: Drug companies must adopt green chemistry.
 Nature 534, 27–29.
- Tzia, C., Liadakis, G., 2003. Extraction optimization in food engineering. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New
 York.
- Valivety, R.H., Johnston, G.A., Suckling, C.J., Halling, P.J., 1991. Solvent effects on biocatalysis in organic-systems equilibrium position and rates of lipase catalyzed esterification. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 38, 1137–1143.
- Van Hecke, W., Kaur, G., De Wever, H., 2014. Advances in in-situ product recovery (ISPR) in
 whole cell biotechnology during the last decade. Biotechnol. Adv. 32, 1245–1255.
- Volmer, J., Neumann, C., Bühler, B., Schmid, A., 2014. Engineering of Pseudomonas taiwanensis
 VLB120 for constitutive solvent tolerance and increased specific styrene epoxidation activity. Appl.
 Environ. Microbiol. 80, 6539–6548.
- Wasewar, K.L., Heesink, A.B.M., Versteeg, G.F., Pangarkar, V.G., 2002. Equilibria and kinetics for
 reactive extraction of lactic acid using Alamine 336 in decanol. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 77,
 1068–1075.
- Wasewar, K.L., Pangarkar, V.G., Heesink, A.B.M., Versteeg, G.F., 2003. Intensification of
 enzymatic conversion of glucose to lactic acid by reactive extraction. Chem. Eng. Sci. 58, 3385–
 3393.
- Wells, A., 2010. Biocatalytic Routes to the GPIIb/IIIa Antagonist Lotrafiban, SB 214857, in:
 Blaser, H.-U., Federsel, H.-J. (Eds.), Asymmetric Catalysis on Industrial Scale: Challenges,
 Approaches and Solutions. Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.
- Wennersten, R., 2004. Extraction of organic compounds, in: Rydberg, J., Cox, M., Musikas, C.,
 Choppin, G.P. (Eds.), Solvent Extraction Principles and Practice. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York.
- Wiedner, R., Kothbauer, B., Pavkov-Keller, T., Gruber-Khadjawi, M., Gruber, K., Schwab, H.,
 Steiner, K., 2015. Improving the Properties of Bacterial R-Selective Hydroxynitrile Lyases for
 Industrial Applications. ChemCatChem 7, 325–332.
- Wimmer, Z., Zarevúcka, M., 2010. A review on the effects of supercritical carbon dioxide on
 enzyme activity. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 11, 233–253.
- Woodley, J.M., 2017. Bioprocess intensification for the effective production of chemical products.
 Comput. Chem. Eng. 105, 297–307.
- Woodley, J.M., Bisschops, M., Straathof, A.J.J., Ottens, M., 2008. Future directions for in-situ
 product removal (ISPR). J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 83, 121–123.
- Yang, L., Dordick, J.S., Garde, S., 2004. Hydration of Enzyme in Nonaqueous Media Is Consistent
 with Solvent Dependence of Its Activity. Biophys. J. 87, 812–821.
- Yang, S.-T., Huang, H., Tay, A., Qin, W., De Guzman, L., Nicolas, E.C.S., 2007. Extractive
 Fermentation for the Production of Carboxylic Acids, in: Bioprocessing for Value-Added Products
 from Renewable Resources. Elsevier, pp. 421–446.

- 821 Ye, Q., Cao, H., Zang, G., Mi, L., Yan, M., Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., Li, X., Li, J., Xu, L., Xiong, J.,
- 822 Ouyang, P., Ying, H., 2010. Biocatalytic synthesis of (S)-4-chloro-3-hydroxybutanoate ethyl ester
- using a recombinant whole-cell catalyst. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 88, 1277–1285.
- Zaks, A., 1988. The effect of water on enzyme action in organic media. J. Biol. Chem. 263, 8017–
 8021.
- Zaks, A., Klibanov, A. M., 1985. Enzyme-catalyzed processes in organic solvents. Proc. Natl.
 Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 82, 3192–3196.
- Zhang, F., Qian, X., Si, H., Xu, G., Han, R., Ni, Y., 2015. Significantly improved solvent tolerance
 of Escherichia coli by global transcription machinery engineering. Microb. Cell Fact. 14, 175.
- Zhang, Y., Bakshi, B.R., Demessie, E.S., 2008. Life cycle assessment of an ionic liquid versus
 molecular solvents and their applications. Environ. Sci. Technol. 42, 1724–1730.
- Zhou, T., Qi, Z., Sundmacher, K., 2014. Model-based method for the screening of solvents for
 chemical reactions. Chem. Eng. Sci. 115, 177–185.
- Zhu, S., Ma, X., Su, E., Wei, D., 2015. Efficient hydration of 2-amino-2,3-dimethylbutyronitrile to
- 2-amino-2,3-dimethylbutyramide in a biphasic system via an easily prepared whole-cell biocatalyst.
 Green Chem. 17, 3992–3999.
- Zou, S.-P., 2014. Enhancement of (S)-2,3-dichloro-1-propanol production by recombinant wholecell biocatalyst in n-heptane–aqueous biphasic system. J. Biotechnol. 188, 42–47.