This is a Submitted Manuscript (pre-print) of the article **Corcelli, F., Fiorentino, G., Petit-Boix, A., Rieradevall,** J., & Gabarrell, X. (2019). Transforming rooftops into productive urban spaces in the Mediterranean. An LCA comparison of agri-urban production and photovoltaic energy generation. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 144, 321-336 available online at <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.01.040</u>

Transforming rooftops into productive urban spaces in the Mediterranean. An LCA comparison of agri-urban production and photovoltaic energy generation

3

4 Corcelli, F.^{a,*,} Fiorentino, G.^a, Petit-Boix A.^{b,c}, Rieradevall, J.^{b,d,e}, Gabarrell, X.^{b,d,e}

^a Department of Science and Technology, Parthenope University of Naples, Centro Direzionale – Isola C4, 80143 Naples,
 Italy

^b Sostenipra Research Group (2017SGR1683), Institute of Environmental Sciences and Technology (MDM-2015-0552),
 Z Building, Autonomous University of Barcelona (UAB), Campus UAB, 08193, Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain

^o Chair of Societal Transition and Circular Economy, University of Freiburg, Tennenbacher Str. 4, 79106 Freiburg i. Br.,
 Germany

^d Department of Chemical, Biological and Environmental Engineering, Catalan Biotechnology Reference Network -XRB
 Autonomous University of Barcelona (UAB), Campus UAB, 08193, Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain

13 ^e Department of Chemical, Biological and Environmental Engineering, School of Engineering, Building Q, Autonomous

- 14 University of Barcelona (UAB), Campus UAB, 08193, Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain
- 15

16 Abstract

17 A key strategy towards sustainable urban development is designing cities for increased circular metabolism. The 18 transformation of areas underused, such as urban rooftops, into productive spaces is being increasingly implemented as a 19 result of associated multiple benefits. Rooftop greenhouses (RTGs) are an interesting option for exploiting urban rooftops 20 with direct exposure to sunlight, reducing food miles and creating new agricultural spaces, while building-applied solar 21 photovoltaic (BAPV) panels provide clean energy and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. However, a proper assessment 22 of environmental costs and benefits related to both systems is vital for a successful implementation. By means of life 23 cycle assessment method, this paper aims to compare the environmental performance of different productive uses of 24 rooftops under Mediterranean climatic conditions. The results showed that, in the case of RTG systems, the operation and 25 maintenance phase (i.e.tomato production) has more impacts than the infrastructure and end-of-life phases due to the use 26 of fertilisers (impacts ranging from 21% to 62%). Concerning BAPV systems, the manufacturing phase is dominant in 27 almost all impact categories (impacts ranging from 52% to 93%) due to the electricity used in producing materials for 28 solar panels. The implementation of measures for material and energy efficiency in the assessed systems resulted crucial 29 in lowering the environmental burdens, by avoiding food imports and fossil energy supply. 30 The main finding of this study was that urban planning will have an important role to play in optimizing the circular 31 patterns in highly urbanized areas by integrating these technologies early into the planning process.

32

33 *Corresponding author: fabiana.corcelli@uniparthenope.it; Tel: +390815476666

34 Keyword: cities, LCA, agri-urban, photovoltaic energy, rooftop, circular economy

- 35
- 36

Acronyms	
BAPV Building Applied Photovoltaic	LCIA Life Cycle Impact Assessment
BOS Balance of System	MD Metal Depletion
CC Climate Change	OD Ozone Depletion
c-Si crystallin Silicon	POF Photochemical Oxidant Formation
EoL End-of-Life	RTG Rooftop Greenhouse
FD Fossil Depletion	TA Terrestrial Acidification
FE Freshwater Eutrophication	TE Terrestrial Ecotoxicity
FU Functional Unit	UA Urban Agriculture
GHG Greenhouse Gas	UAB Autonomous University of Barcelona
GR Green Roof	WD Water Depletion
LCA Life Cycle Assessment	Wp Peak Watt
LCI Life Cycle Inventory	WEEE Waste Electrical and Electronic equipment

39

40 1. Introduction

41

Urban areas play a significant role in addressing the 21st century's challenges of sustainably realizing climate, energy and 42 43 economic objectives. Currently, urban environments have proved to be unsustainable, as they heavily rely on imported 44 resources and their environmental footprint exceeds their natural bio-capacity (Doughty and Hammond 2004). Cities host 45 more than 50% of the global population (United Nation, 2014), consuming 60-80% of global primary energy and 46 generating 70% of the world's total greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, primarily through the consumption of fossil fuels 47 for energy supply, transportation and food production (UN-Habitat, 2016). To transform modern cities into more 48 sustainable environments, cities need to develop a more circular metabolism where more resources are recycled, reused 49 or produced on-site whilst cleaner forms of energy are produced and consumed (Doughty and Hammond 2004). 50 Additionally, there is a need to optimize land use in over-populated cities where land competition becomes a problem.

In this context, sustainable solutions for food, water, energy, and transport of food or waste are needed as integrated components of a city's climate change adaptation. Sustainable urbanization practices offer many opportunities for optimizing resource use efficiency and developing mitigation measures to deal with such problems, especially through urban planning (e.g., exploitation of unused areas for local resources production, efficient waste management) and design (e.g., green construction, use of recycled materials, upgraded technologies for material/energy recovery) (UN-Habitat,

2016). Urban deployment of such strategies is often amalgamated under concepts such as the 'eco-city', 'circular city' or 56 57 'sustainable city', and have found wide spread local, regional, and national acceptance (Castán Broto and Bulkeley, 2013; 58 Petit-Boix and Leipold, 2018). In this sense, converting vacant rooftops into productive spaces is a recognised strategy 59 among researchers, city planners and developers (Carter and Keeler 2008). Rooftops have an unprecedented exploitation 60 potential, as they cover up to 32% of cities and built-up areas (Frazer, 2005) and can improve the urban metabolism by 61 producing resources such as energy, greening, food and water (Mahmoud et al., 2014; Specht et al., 2014; Goldstein et 62 al., 2016, Yang and Zou, 2016; Petit-Boix et al., 2018). The transformation of these urban rooftops into productive spaces 63 is becoming standard practice in many cities worldwide (Proksch, 2011). For instance, rooftop greenhouses (RTGs) for 64 food production are gaining popularity in large cities such as New York, Singapore and Montreal (Ackerman et al., 2012; 65 Deng and Quigley, 2012; Haberman et al., 2014). Similarly, as recently noted by Sanyé-Mengual et al. (2015), RTGs are 66 finding deployment in experimental projects also in the Mediterranean context because of the favourable climate 67 conditions. Furthermore, in order to mitigate the environmental impacts of urbanization, researchers worldwide have been 68 recently looking at ways of turning buildings into net energy producers. Solar energy is an infinite and clean resource, 69 and scientists have been assessing systems such as Building-Applied Photovoltaics (BAPV), which consist of generating 70 considerable fractions of urban electricity without the need of dedicating exclusive surface areas for solar photovoltaic 71 (PV) plant installations (Santos and Rüther, 2012). BAPV systems are typically used in retrofits, with off-the-shelf PV 72 panels mounted on a separate metal support structure, superimposed on an existing building's roof or façades. Among 73 existing applications for BAPV, rooftops are considered the ideal option, since pitched roofs with a proper angle and 74 orientation provide the highest energy harvesting (Heinstein et al., 2013). Food production and energy generation on 75 urban rooftops are also an important source of environmental benefits. Table 1 summarizes the main environmental 76 benefits of using BAPV and RTGs systems.

77 In the recent scientific literature, sustainable urban solutions addressing food supply, on the one hand, and energy supply, 78 on the other, have been increasingly assessed. A range of studies have focused on the role played by urban agriculture 79 (UA) in urban food self-reliance and environmental impact mitigation (Grewal and Grewal, 2012; Haberman et al., 2014; 80 Orsini et al., 2014; Benis and Ferrão, 2016; Wielemaker et al., 2018, among others). Further studies have estimated the 81 potential of renewable energy systems such as PV to fulfil urban energy needs (Hofierka and Kanuk, 2009; Amado and 82 Poggi, 2014; Byrne et al., 2015) and investigated the environmental costs and benefits of this technology (Fthenakis et 83 al., 2009; Peng et al., 2013; Corcelli et al., 2016, 2017; Eskew et al., 2018; among others). Green roofs (GR) are the main 84 rooftop interventions considered, but comparison with food production remains unexplored. For instance, Elzeyadi et al. 85 (2009) investigated the effectiveness of GRs and 'cool' roofs in mitigating the Urban Heat Island (UHI) comparing 86 temperatures on a single building and finding that GRs are cooler in both north and south roof orientation. Nonetheless, 87 few experimental works investigated the multi-functionality of rooftops by combining food and energy systems. Hui and 88 Chan (2011) and Nagengast et al. (2013) found that, in places where temperature is normally higher, the benefits of an 89 integrated PV - GR will be more visible. Perez et al. (2012) and Lamnatou and Chemisana (2014, 2015) explored the 90 environmental performance of different roof uses (PV-GR, PV-gravel, GR, standard built-up roof). In all above-91 mentioned works, the results showed that the technology combining PV - GR offers multiple benefits, in particular in 92 warmer climates and in the long term.

93 Moreover, a wide variety of studies have used the life cycle assessment (LCA) methodology to compare different types 94 of rooftop uses. For example, Saiz et al. (2006) conducted a comparative LCA study between an extensive GR, a white 95 roof and a gravel roof. A similar study was conducted by Kosareo and Ries (2007), which compared extensive and 96 intensive GRs with a conventional roof. Carter and Keeler (2008) conducted two experimental studies in order to examine

- 97 three environmental local benefits, i.e. stormwater retention, temperature mitigation and habitat creation of GRs compared 98 to impervious surfaces. In all cases, the results verified the advantages of green roofs (e.g. for the energy savings of a 99 building) in comparison with conventional roofs. Sanyé-Mengual et al. (2015) and Sanjuan-Delmás et al.'s (2018) studies 90 on UA in Barcelona (Spain) compared the environmental performance of growing tomatoes in RTGs against conventional 91 supply chains, finding that the former can have lower life-cycle GHG emissions and toxicity impacts. A recent review by 92 Goldstein et al. (2016) found that UA is posited to have numerous advantages over conventional agriculture that will
- supposedly result in UA's superior environmental performance.
- 104 To date, LCA studies have yet to compare alternative uses of building rooftops for food or energy production, accounting 105 for a variety of environmental indicators and using a systematic framework with common assumptions and boundaries 106 for the assessment of both systems. Only Benis et al. (2018) conducted a cost-benefit analysis of the simultaneous 107 production of food and energy in the Mediterranean context. Our study aims to fill this gap by analysing the strategic use 108 of rooftops in urban areas in order to provide a basis to local stakeholders and policy makers for comparing the 109 environmental advantages and disadvantages of implementing these productive uses of rooftops under Mediterranean 110 climatic conditions, such as in the city of Barcelona (Spain). The objective was to answer the following question: "If a given surface of urban roof is available, which is the best option in terms of environmental impacts for solar energy 111 112 exploitation: food or energy production?" Indeed, Barcelona is endowed with abundance of solar energy, receiving about 113 1,660 kWh/m²/year of solar radiation per year (Perpiña Castillo et al., 2016). When an RTG is placed in the available 114 surface a given amount of food is produced on-site and the conventional production is avoided. Alternatively, if a BAPV 115 system is installed, electricity is produced, but also in this case, it is possible to account for the savings in primary energy, 116 according to the selected electric mix. In particular, this work aims to compare the environmental performances of both 117 pilot rooftop systems located at the Autonomous University of Barcelona Campus (Barcelona, Spain). The life cycle for 118 each system was assessed by means of LCA, with a special focus on those steps and hotspots that present the highest 119 environmental impacts and proposing improvement scenarios for minimizing such impacts. The novelty of this study lies 120 in the comparison of two different rooftop systems by thoroughly assessing the environmental burdens and benefits of 121 both production processes. Real data were used to evaluate the environmental loads of local food and energy production 122 and to quantify the potential benefits deriving from energy and material efficiency measures in order to optimize the 123 environmental performance of both analysed systems.
- 124
- 125
- 126
- 127
- 128
- 129
- 130
- 131
- 132
- 133

Table 1. Summary table of the main environmental benefits of using photovoltaic panels for energy generation androoftop greenhouses for food production.

			Sustai	nable
	Theme	Benefits	Rooftop S	Systems
			BAPV	RTG
	Energy	Produce energy ^a	\checkmark	-
		Save direct energy consumption ^a	\checkmark	-
		Save indirect energy consumption ^b	-	\checkmark
		Mitigate Urban Heat Island ^b	-	•
70	Food	Increase urban food security ^b	-	\checkmark
efit		Reduce product losses ^{a,b,c}	\checkmark	\checkmark
al Ben	Water	Prevent aquatic pollution from urban runoff ^b	-	\checkmark
Jent		Mitigate storm water ^b	-	\checkmark
onn		Rainwater harvesting ^b	-	\checkmark
vir	Land	Prevent soil erosion ^d	-	\checkmark
Ð		Optimize urban space ^{b,c}	\checkmark	\checkmark
		Reduce waste through recovery ^{e,f}	\checkmark	\checkmark
	Air	Reduce GHG emissions ^g	\checkmark	\checkmark
		Improve air quality ^g	\checkmark	\checkmark
	Ecology	Enhance biodiversity ^b	-	•
	Landscape	Improve aesthetics ^{h,i}	٠	•
	Other	Improve rooftop's performance ¹	٠	\checkmark
		Reduce noise ¹	-	\checkmark

136

137 Legend of symbols: Yes (\checkmark) , No (-), Possibly (\bullet)

a) Eskew et al., 2018, b) Cerón-Palma et al., 2012, c) Sanyé-Mengual et al., 2015; d) Specht et al., 2013, e) Sanyé-Mengual et al., 2013,

139 f) Sica et al., 2018, g) Goldstein et al., 2016, Sanjuan-Delmás et al., 2018; h) Proksch, 2016, i) Prasad, 2014, l) Proksch, 2011

140

141 2. Materials and methods

142 LCA is the methodological framework used in this paper as defined by ISO standards (ISO 2006 a, b) and ILCD Handbook 143 guidelines (EC 2010, 2011). LCA is one of the main techniques for quantitatively assessing environmental impacts during a product's life cycle - from raw material extraction through material processing, manufacture, distribution, use, repair 144 145 and maintenance, and disposal or recycling (from 'cradle to grave' or 'cradle to cradle', according to a very common 146 definition of LCA). It identifies the most relevant environmental impacts and hotspots and can underpin decision-making 147 strategies for environmental improvements from a life cycle perspective (Baumann and Tillmann, 2004). LCA consists 148 of several interrelated steps: i) goal and scope definition; ii) inventory analysis (LCI); iii) impact assessment (LCIA) and 149 iv) interpretation of results. The same scheme is followed in this paper.

150

151 2.1. Goal and scope definition

152 The goal of this work was to quantify and compare the environmental impacts related to two types of rooftop systems, 153 namely RTG and BAPV in the Metropolitan Area of Barcelona (second largest city in Spain). It is worth clarifying that

the investigated roofs are pre-existent, easily accessible and did not undergo any structural modification for implementing

both systems. Furthermore, each roof was studied as a 'single' system (and not as subsystem of the building) to understand

- 156 its individual impacts; thereby, the results are not presented in terms of the total building performance. In order to guide
- 157 decision-making and help select the most suitable system, the functional unit was 1 m² of flat rooftop using either RTG or BAPV.
- 158
- 159 The system boundaries of the LCA, shown in Fig. 1, include all the life cycle phases, i.e. raw material extraction,
- 160 manufacturing processes, installation, operation/maintenance and end-of-life (EoL) (dismantling, recycling and final
- 161 disposal). Therefore, a 'cradle-to-grave' approach was adopted. Most of the past studies did not include the EoL of PV
- 162 technologies, mainly because of the low number of panels that reached their end of useful life and the lack of data 163 (Latunussa et al., 2016). Nevertheless, a comprehensive analysis should consider the contributions of each phase of the
- 164 life cycle (Fthenakis et al., 2009). During the last years, the recycling processes were investigated and developed and the
- 165 EoL management of PV is gaining more interest (Xu et al., 2018). Additionally, in Europe, a drive towards responsible
- 166 EoL management for PV panels has taken form in the Directive on Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment (WEEE;
- 167 Directive 2012/19/UE of the European Parliament and the Council), according to which decommissioned PV panels are
- 168 included as domestic and professional types of WEEE. For this reason, the EoL step of such technology was included in
- 169 this study as an important step which needs to be investigated.
- 170 This study is aimed at providing decision-makers with potentially useful recommendations for local resources production
- 171 planning, without however accounting for large-scale consequences on the background system (e.g., large-scale food and
- 172 energy sectors, marginal changes of resource costs due to recovery, policy options etc). According to the ILCD Handbook
- 173 (EC, 2010), this study is centred in the proper accounting of different environmental impacts when comparing systems,
- 174 hence the attributional LCI modelling principle was chosen for this comparative LCA (so-called situation A).
- 175



178

Figure 1. System boundaries and process chain under study.

- 179
- 180 2.2. System description

181 Main assumptions and life cycle phases accounted for in the inventory of the RTG and BAPV systems under analysis are 182 presented below.

183 2.2.1. Case study: food production from rooftop greenhouse

184 The ICTA-ICP building houses the headquarters of the Institute of Environmental Science and Technology (ICTA) and

the Catalan Institute of Paleontology (ICP). The building is situated in the Autonomous University of Barcelona (UAB) 185

campus, 25 km away from the Barcelona city centre. It can be considered representative of office buildings in cities, 186

187 because it holds four floors with offices and is similar in terms of size (7,500 m²) and users to other standard buildings 188 (Schloss, 1984). Additionally, its design is based on building-integrated agriculture philosophy, multifunctionality and passive systems that promote energy efficiency (Nadal et al., 2017). The pilot RTG under study, implemented by the 189 190 Fertilecity project (funded by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness - MINECO), is placed on the 191 building roof and utilises residual heat from the building, CO₂ concentrations in this residual air and rainwater collected from the rooftop (Sanyé-Mengual et al., 2015). More specifically, residual heat and CO₂ integration are expected to 192 193 increase crop yields, whilst untreated rainwater is used in the RTG to irrigate the crops and water ornamental plants in 194 the building, reducing the demand for potable water from the conventional distribution network. Despite the potential 195 benefits of the RTG on the building, our study focuses on the greenhouse structure and predicts potential crop outputs 196 but, except for the rainwater collection, does not include an assessment of flow exchanges in the building due to lack of 197 data.

198

199 *Characteristics of the crop*

The RTG has a total area of 122.8 m² and a crop area of 84.34 m² (Fig. 2). The crops were beef tomato varieties (*Lycopersicon esculentum, Arawak* for spring crops and *Tomawak* for winter crops), grown from February 2015 to July 2016. A hydroponic system was used for irrigation to supply a nutrient solution (water plus fertilisers, also called fertigation) to plants located on an inert substrate composed of perlite bags. The system produced 30.1 kg of tomatoes per square metre over 15.5 months, providing a total of 2,540 kg of food and covering the requirements for food of nearly 60% of the building. Further technical information about the crop can be found in Sanjuan-Delmás et al. (2018).

206 Infrastructure & Installation. The RTG's structure mainly consists of steel, aluminium, polycarbonate covers, low-density 207 polyethylene film curtains and concrete block anchors. In addition, the installation is equipped with backup lighting, 208 rainwater harvesting systems, thermal screens, and climate control systems. The installation stage accounts for energy 209 consumption requirements of the machinery used to construct the RTG. According to previous studies, a 50 years lifespan 210 was assumed for the rainwater harvesting system (Vargas-Parra et al., 2013; Sanjuan-Delmás et al., 2015) and the 211 greenhouse structure (Sanye-Mengual et al., 2015), and a 10 years lifespan was assumed for the auxiliary equipment 212 (Hoffman et al., 2007). Transportation of materials from the market to the RTG was also included. The travelled distance 213 was 35 km for fertilisers, pesticides and auxiliary equipment, 60 km for rainwater harvesting construction materials and 214 850 km for substrate bags imported from Almeria (South of Spain).

215

216 Operation & Maintenance. The RTG operation consisted of inputs required for hydroponic cultivation (fertilizers, 217 pesticides, compost, etc.), water and energy needs. In particular, the assessment of fertilisers and pesticides included local 218 emissions to air generated during their application and the treatment of leachates in a wastewater treatment plant. 219 Furthermore, the waste biomass from the crop plants was composted in the greenhouse, thus avoiding transport and 220 landfilling, although emissions generated during the composting process were accounted for. According to Sanjuan-221 Delmás et al. (2018), a lifespan of 3 and 5 years was assumed for the perlite bags and HDPE materials, respectively.

222

End-of-life. For the EoL assessment, the impacts of landfilled materials (substrate) were included. Infrastructure and
 auxiliary equipment (pumps, rainwater tanks) were assumed to be recycled. A distance of 30 km was assumed from the
 RTG to the landfill or the recycling facility.



Figure 2. Top view of rooftop greenhouse (on the left, source: 2017 © Google LLC) and tomatoes produced (on the right, source: Sanjuan-Delmás et al., 2018).

240 2.2.2. Case study: energy generation from Building-Applied Photovoltaics

238

239

Building-Applied Photovoltaics (or 'BAPV'), in Fig. 3, is a form of on-site electricity generation that can offset the emissions from more environmentally intensive sources of electricity and reduce electricity transmission losses. The BAPV examined has a total nominal power of 50.49 kWp and is placed in UAB campus, on the restaurant and library building's rooftops. The PV system was implemented within the framework of univERsol, a European project developed between 2002-2004, whose objective was the installation of PV panels in 26 universities, schools, technology centres and city councils in four European Union countries (Spain, France, England, Holland). The total roof area used is 1,600 m², whereas the roof area covered by PV panels is 380 m² (UAB's personnel. Personal communication, 2017).

Infrastructure & Installation. The BAPV installation includes 297 single crystalline silicon (c-Si) photovoltaic panels and the balance of system (BOS). Each panel has the following characteristics: 170 Wp, 72 cells, 159 x 79 cm² dimensions, tilt angle=35°, electrical efficiency=14%, weight=15.4 kg. In particular, the PV cells are enclosed in an aluminium frame and sealed between two plastic sheets in ethylene vinyl acetate (EVA) foil and glued between glass and polyvinyl fluoride (PVF). The system boundary of the research included upstream processes, ranging from silica extraction to the crystalline silicon bar and ingot growth, and midstream processes, which involved cell and panel fabrication as well as aluminium frame and BOS production.

255 Regarding the installation phase, it was modelled by considering the electricity consumption for PV infrastructure 256 installation work. The BOS components included the mounting structure (aluminium and steel), 17 inverters (necessary 257 for transforming the direct current to alternating current and for connecting to the normal electricity grid), copper and 258 plastic materials for cables and contact boxes. Components excluded from the system are the surge protector, 259 pyranometer, digital indicating controller, uninterruptible power supply device, and computer monitoring system. The 260 life expectancy of the PV panels and metal support structures were assumed to be 30 and 60 years, respectively (Peng et al., 2013; Sherwani et al., 2010). Inverters and transformers were considered to last for 20 years, but parts must be replaced 261 262 every 10 years, according to well-established data from the power industry on transformers and electronic components 263 (Fthenakis and Kim, 2011).

The transportation distances were covered by a heavy truck. All the components, except for the PV panels, were assumed
to be purchased from factories 100 km away from Barcelona, while the PV panels were purchased from Madrid (UAB's
personnel, Personal communication, 2017).

267 Operation & Maintenance. Usually, PV systems do not show any emission to air or water during operation (Alsema and 268 de Wild-Scholten, 2006; Raugei and Fthenakis, 2010; Tao and Yu, 2014, Eskew et al., 2018). Some panels might be 269 washed by the user on an annual basis. In this study, the use of 20 litres of water per year and square meter for washing 270 the panels was assumed (Frischknecht et al. 1996). Moreover, the inverters were assumed to have a 10-years lifetime, 271 thus requiring to be replaced during the 30-years lifetime of the system. The electricity produced by the BAPV system 272 amounts to 62.089 MWh/yr (UAB's personnel, Personal communication, 2017).

273

End-of-life. Most materials in PV systems are reusable, including aluminium, glass, silicon or copper (IRENA, 2016; Xu
et al., 2018). Therefore, a recycling scenario of all recyclable materials was supposed for the EoL phase, assuming a
distance of 590 km for the transportation of BAPV system components to the recycling facility (located near Madrid).

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

BUX Cataluna Cata BUX Cataluna Cata Restausant de la Placa

Figure 3. Top view of roof-mounted photovoltaic (on the left, source: 2017 © Google LLC) and PV panels (on the right).

289 290

291 2.3 Data sources and life cycle inventory

292 Inventory data for RTG and BAPV case studies are given in Tables 2 and 3, referred to the selected functional unit (1 m² 293 of flat rooftop). In order to make possible a comparison between tomatoes production and PV energy production, 294 inventory data for tomato production, which referred to 15.5 months, were averaged over 12 months, taking into account 295 the variability of climate conditions along the whole year for both systems. For the inventory analysis both systems were 296 structured in several stages in order to facilitate the study and interpretation of the results obtained. Regarding the RTG 297 system, specific literature was used as data sources for the LCA. In particular, the inventory for the infrastructure 298 manufacturing, installation, operation/maintenance and transport of waste to the treatment site was deduced from Sanyé-299 Mengual et al. (2015) and Sanjuan-Delmás et al. (2018). The inventory data about BAPV systems, including the material 300 consumption and environmental emissions involved in the production of solar-grade silicon, wafers, cells, and panels and 301 their EoL were mainly obtained from ecoinvent 3.1 database (Jungbluth et al., 2012) and literature (Corcelli et al., 2016). 302 Additionally, for the installation and operation/maintenance phases foreground data were provided by expert personnel 303 in UAB. Other background data, related to energy use, auxiliary materials and impacts of the waste management (e.g. 304 wastewater treatment, composting, EoL treatments of infrastructure materials) have been derived from the ecoinvent 3.1 305 database (Wernet et al., 2016). It should be pinpointed that the present study is representative of technologies installed in 306 the Spanish territory. As a consequence, this analysis assumes that all the production, installation, operation processes 307 and also the recycling treatments for both roofing systems are developed in Spain, thus the Spanish power mix (2015) 308 was used as a reference. The energy outputs from the BAPV system were considered to be used as alternative to energy 309 produced by means of traditional fossil fuels combustion, in particular, to the electricity from the Spanish grid, where 310 over 60% of electricity come from nuclear and fossil fuels (coal) (Red eléctrica de España, 2015), thus drawing a potential 311 evaluation of environmental benefits. Additionally, the tomatoes production from the RTG system was considered as 312 substitute for tomatoes produced by means of conventional farming in a standard multi-tunnel greenhouse. The avoided 313 cost deriving from the production of tap water for crop irrigation was also considered. Moreover, in both case studies, for 314 crediting recycled materials (metals, glass, plastics, concrete) and tomato biomass composting, the avoided production of 315 primary materials and inorganic fertilizers, respectively, was included.

316

Table 2. Life cycle inventory data for tomatoes production in RTG, referred to 1 m^2 of flat rooftop (FU) (timeframe 1

318 year).

Materials/Energy	Unit/ FU	Amount	Data Sources
	Infrastructure	e manufacturing	
RTG structure:			
Steel	kg	8.36E-01	Sanyé-Mengual et al. (2015)
Concrete	kg	2.12E-01	//
Low Density Polyethylene (LDPE)	kg	7.80E-02	//
Polycarbonate	kg	1.60E-01	//
Polyester	kg	7.80E-03	//
Aluminium	kg	7.80E-03	//
Auxiliary equipment:			
Low Density Polyethylene (LDPE)	kg	2.30E-02	//
Polystyrene	kg	2.60E-02	//
High Density Polyethylene (HDPE)	kg	9.40E-03	//
Polyvinylchloride (PVC)	kg	4.40E-03	//
Steel	kg	5.00E-04	//
	Insta	llation*	
Electricity	kWh	4.00E-04	Sanyé-Mengual et al. (2015)
Transport, lorry	tkm	3.24E-01	//
Transport, transoceanic freight ship	tkm	1.61E-01	//
Transport, van	tkm	2.00E-04	//
	Operation an	d Maintenance	
Substrate:			
Expanded perlite	kg	1.87E+00	Modified from Sanjuan-Delmás et al. (2018)
High Density Polyethylene (HDPE)	kg	4.88E-02	//
Fertilizers:			
KNO ₃	kg	3.95E-01	//
KPO ₄ H ₂	kg	2.00E-01	//
K_2SO_4	kg	4.41E-01	//
$Ca(NO_3)_2$	kg	5.57E-01	//
$CaCl_2$	kg	1.82E-01	//
$Mg(NO_3)_2$	kg	3.72E-01	//
Hortrilon/Tradecorp	kg	1.49E-02	//
Sequestrene	kg	1.49E-02	//
Pesticides	kg	6.89E-02	//

Water (rainwater)	m ³	1 30E+00	//
Tan water	m ³	2.26E-01	//
Flectricity	kWh	4.73E-01	//
Electricity	K WII	4.75E-01	//
Local emissions to water:			
Cl	kg	8.11E-02	//
NO_3^-	kg	3.29E-01	//
PO4 ³⁻	kg	3.64E-02	//
SO4 ²⁻	kg	1.70E-01	//
\mathbf{K}^{+}	kg	2.06E-01	//
Mg^{2+}	kg	2.32E-02	//
Ca ²⁺	kg	1.05E-01	//
Local emissions to air:	-		
NH_3	kg	6.40E-03	Estimated from Montero et al. (2009)
N_20	kg	2.67E-03	//
NO _x	kg	2.13E-02	//
Produced tomatoes on-site#	kg	2.33E+01	Modified from Sanjuan-Delmás et al. (2018)
	En	d-of-life	
Steel scraps (to recycling) ^a	kg	8.37E-01	Ecoinvent 3.1 database (Wernet al., 2016)
Plastics scraps (to recycling) ^b	kg	3.57E-01	//
Aluminium scraps (to recycling) ^a	kg	7.80E-03	//
Concrete scraps (to recycling) ^a	kg	2.12E-01	//
Perlite substrate waste (to landfill)	kg	1.87E+00	//
Tomatoes biomass waste (to composting) ^c	kg	9.45E+00	//
Wastewater treatment	m ³	1.53E+00	//
Transport* to landfill, lorry (perlite	tkm	5.62E-02	Modified from Sanjuan-Delmás et al. (2018)
substrate)			
Transport* to recycling facility, lorry	tkm	4.24E-02	Sanyé-Mengual et al. (2015)
(aluminium, steel, plastics, concrete scraps)			

* Process of transport included vehicle, road manufacture and maintenance, as well as diesel consumption and relative emissions.

[#] For crediting tomatoes production on-site, the avoided environmental burden from conventional production in a standard multi-tunnel greenhouse was assumed.

^a A substitution ratio of 0.9:1 was assumed for steel, aluminium and concrete scraps, meaning that 1 unit of secondary material replaces 0.9 unit of the corresponding primary material.

^b A substitution ratio of 1:1 was assumed for plastics scraps, meaning that 1 unit of secondary material replaces 1 unit of the corresponding primary material. ^c The substitution ratio takes into account the quality of the products from waste in comparison with that of the corresponding avoided product. 0.4:1 means that 1 ton of biomass waste corresponds to 0.4 ton of compost/dry waste (as average value). In the case of composting, 1 ton of compost was assumed to substitute:23 kg of N-fertilizer, 9.5 kg of P fertilizer and 9 kg of K-fertilizer (Blengini et al., 2008).

329 Table 3. Life cycle inventory data for energy generation from BAPV, referred to 1 m² of flat rooftop (FU) (timeframe 1 330 year).

Materials/Energy	Unit/ FU	Amount	Data Sources
	Infrastruc	ture manufacturin	ıg
PV panel components:	m ²	2.30E-01	Ecoinvent 3.1 database (Jungbluth et al.,
			2012)
Solar cell (c-Si)	m ²	2.14E-01	//
Aluminium alloy	kg	6.05E-01	//
Polyvinyl fluoride	kg	2.54E-02	//
Polyethylene terephthalate	kg	8.58E-02	//
Glass sheet, tempered	kg	2.32E+00	//
Ethylene vinyl acetate	kg	2.30E-01	//
Copper	kg	2.59E-02	//
Nickel	kg	3.74E-05	//
Soldering flux	kg	2.02E-03	//
Methanol	kg	4.96E-04	//
Silicone	kg	2.80E-02	//
Corrugated board box	kg	2.52E-01	//
Tap water	kg	4.90E+00	//
Electricity	kWh	1.39E+00	//
	I	nstallation	
Balance of System:			
Steel	kg	1.21E+00	Field data supplied by UAB's engineers
Aluminium	kg	8.76E-01	//
Concrete	kg	1.23E+01	//
Copper	kg	1.14E-01	//
Polyvinylchloride (PVC)	kg	6.50E-02	//

Inverters	р	1.06E-02	//
Electricity	kWh	4.76E-02	//
Transport*, van	tkm	1.41E+00	//
Transport*, lorry	tkm	3.37E+00	//
	Operati	on and Maintenance ^a	1
Tap water	kg	1.00E+00	Field data supplied by UAB's engineers
Produced electricity on-site from PV#	kWh	3.54E+01	//
		End-of-life	
Aluminium scraps ^b (to recycling)	kg	1.74E+00	Modified from Corcelli et al. (2016);
			Ecoinvent 3.1 database (Wernet al., 2016)
Glass scraps ^b (to recycling)	kg	1.87E+00	//
Silicon scraps ^c (to recycling)	kg	2.25E-01	//
Copper scraps ^b (to recycling)	kg	9.96E-02	//
Iron scraps ^b (to recycling)	kg	5.22E-06	//
Steel scraps ^b (to recycling)	kg	1.21E+00	//
Concrete scraps ^b (to recycling)	kg	1.23E+01	//
Plastics scraps ^d (to recycling)	kg	6.53E-02	//
Wastewater treatment	kg	1.00E+00	//
Transport*, lorry	tkm	1.01E+01	Field data supplied by UAB's engineers

* Process of transport included vehicle, road manufacture and maintenance, as well as diesel consumption and relative emissions.

For crediting electricity production on-site, the avoided environmental burden from the conventional production of Spanish electricity mix was assumed.

^a The emissions during the operation phase were considered negligible according to Alsema and de Wild-Scholten (2006) and Peng et al. (2013).

b A substitution ratio of 0.9:1 was assumed for aluminium, glass, steel, iron, copper and concrete scraps, meaning that 1 unit of secondary material replaces 0.9 unit of the corresponding primary material.

^c A substitution ratio of 0.95:1 was assumed for silicon scraps, meaning that 1 unit of secondary material replaces 0.95 unit of the corresponding primary material.

7 ^d A substitution ratio of 1:1 was assumed for plastics scraps, meaning that 1 unit of secondary material replaces 1 unit of the corresponding primary material.

338 339

340 2.4. Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA)

341 The environmental assessment of the process was modelled by means of LCA software SimaPro v.8.0.5 (Pre-Consultants, 342 2014), integrated with ecoinvent v3.1 database (Wernet et al., 2016). The impact assessment was performed by means of 343 one of the most recent and up-to-date LCA methods, the ReCiPe method (Goedkoop et al., 2009; Vezzoli, 2018). The 344 ReCiPe Midpoint (H) v.1.10 (http://www.lcia-recipe.net/) was chosen, considering that it includes both upstream 345 categories (i.e. referred to depletion of natural resources, such as fossil, metal and water depletion categories) and 346 downstream categories (i.e. referred to impacts generated on natural matrices, such as terrestrial, marine or freshwater 347 acidification) (Frischknecht et al., 2007). In this study, in order to support decision makers by means of a simplified 348 overall assessment across areas of environmental concern, the following impact categories were analyzed: Climate 349 Change (CC, in kg CO₂ eq), Ozone Depletion (OD, in kg CFC-11 eq), Terrestrial Acidification (TA, in kg 1,4-DB eq), 350 Freshwater Eutrophication (FE, in kg P eq), Photochemical Oxidation Formation (POF, in kg NMVOC), Terrestrial 351 Ecotoxicity (TE, in kg 1,4-DB eq), Water Depletion (WD, in m³), Metal Depletion (MD, in kg Fe eq) and Fossil Depletion 352 (FD, in kg oil eq).

353 Furthermore, a sensitivity analysis was performed to test the robustness of the results. To this end, alternative scenarios

- 354 were proposed, based on progressive reductions or substitution of the most sensitive input flows (both energy and material
- flows) and the effects of these changes on final results were examined.
- 356

357 3. Results and Discussion

As a preliminary approach to the evaluation of the systems performance, the "avoided burdens", i.e. the credits or benefits resulting from the production of secondary raw materials (recycling), energy, water and biomass recovery, were not included in the assessment, so as not to hide crucial steps still needing improvement (Section 3.1). However, policy makers may also need additional information about potential benefits linked to avoided burdens. As a consequence, a second assessment was performed including a system expansion based on average data (i.e. market mix) for crediting energy and material recovery (Section 3.2). Concerning the avoided costs (observed as the negative values), environmental savings of goods and energy (i.e. metals, glass, biomass, etc) were subtracted from the accounting of the system's impacts, considering that their production by means of conventional routes for later use in other processes is avoided. Finally, a comparison between RTG and BAPV systems is performed in order to see which system shows the best environmental performance (Section 3.3).

368 *3.1. Environmental costs*

369

3.1.1. RTG system

Table 4 shows the characterized impacts of the RTG system, with reference to the functional unit of 1 m² of flat rooftop, broken down into the different life cycle steps with the percentage contribution to the total environmental impacts. The results show that most of the environmental impacts are generated during the Operation & Maintenance phase in almost all analysed impact categories, especially in ozone depletion (OD), freshwater and terrestrial eutrophication (FE, TE), where the contribution from Operation & Maintenance phase ranges from 73% to 80%. In the remaining impact categories, the impacts linked to Operation & Maintenance overcome 58%, except for water depletion (WD) and metal depletion (MD) where the main impacts are generated by EoL (91%) and infrastructure (63%), respectively.

Within the Operation & Maintenance of the RTG, the use of fertilizers generates the highest impacts in five out of nine impact categories (from 21% in POF to 62% in TE), whereas the use of pesticides contributes to 47% of the impacts to ozone depletion (OD). Among fertilizers, calcium nitrate resulted the most impactful (data not shown) due to the large amounts of chemicals (such as nitric acid) and energy (heat and electricity) required for its production. Local emissions (namely, emissions from the foreground system) affect only terrestrial acidification (23%), freshwater eutrophication (65%) and photochemical oxidant formation (31%) categories. To a lesser extent, the substrate bags also generate substantial impacts, accounting for 12-19% of climate change (CC), ozone depletion (OD), terrestrial acidification (TA),

photochemical oxidant formation (POF) and fossil depletion (FD) and <3% of the other four remaining impact categories.

385 Most of these environmental impacts are generated during the production of the substrate.

Infrastructure manufacturing is another environmental hotspot of RTG. The RTG structure generates between 16-63% of the impacts on photochemical oxidant formation (POF), ozone depletion (OD), climate change (CC), fossil depletion (FD) and metal depletion (MD) and 2-10% of the impacts on the remaining categories. In particular, steel is the material that generates the largest environmental load (from 48% in CC to 100% in MD), followed by polycarbonate (2-39%) especially in those categories where thermoplastics tend to have the most significant impact (OD, FD). Concrete only

391 marginally affects the different indicators (<2 %).

392 Concerning the EoL phase, wastewater treatment entails the highest contribution to water depletion (corresponding to393 90%), while the transport, metals recycling, composting and substrate landfilling steps display minor impacts (always

less < 11%) in all analyzed impact categories.

395

- 397
- 398
- 399

400 Table 4. Characterized impacts calculated for RTG system, broken down into different process steps, referred to a

401 functional unit of 1 m^2 of flat rooftop.

		CC	OD	TA	FE	POF	TE	WD	MD	FD
		kg CO ₂ eq	kg CFC 11	kg SO ₂	kg P eq	kg	kg 1,4 -	m ³	kg Fe eq	kg oil eq
			eq	eq		NMVOC	DB eq			
Infrastructure man	ufactu	iring								
RTG structure		3.2E+00	5.1E-07	1.3E-02	1.3E-03	1.1E-02	2.9E-04	3.2E-02	2.5E+00	8.6E-01
	[%]	19.5	18.4	10.5	7.2	15.9	6.3	2.1	63.0	25.4
Auxiliary equipment	i -	1.7E-01	2.6E-09	5.4E-04	5.9E-06	6.9E-04	4.1E-06	3.3E-03	2.1E-03	1.1E-01
	[%]	1.0	0.1	0.4	0.03	1.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	3.2
Installation										
Transport		2.9E-02	5.6E-09	1.3E-04	2.2E-06	1.5E-04	1.5E-05	1.0E-04	1.1E-03	1.1E-02
•	[%]	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.01	0.2	0.3	0.01	0.03	0.3
Electricity		1.6E-04	1.9E-11	9.9E-07	4.1E-08	5.3E-07	5.1E-09	5.5E-07	4.3E-06	3.9E-05
	[%]	<<1	<<1	<<1	<<1	<<1	<<1	<<1	<<1	<<1
Operation & Maint	enanc	e (tomatoes pi	oduction on-si	te)						
Substrate		2.5E+00	3.6E-07	1.5E-02	5.9E-04	8.5E-03	1.4E-04	1.5E-02	5.2E-02	6.5E-01
	[%]	14.9	12.8	12.5	3.2	11.8	3.0	1.0	1.3	19.4
Water		9.2E-05	1.0E-11	4.1E-07	5.2E-08	2.8E-07	1.0E-08	2.3E-04	1.4E-05	2.4E-05
	[%]	<<1	<<1	<<1	<<1	<<1	<<1	0.02	<<1	<<1
Fertilizer	[,.]	5.6E+00	3.6E-07	3.1E-02	1.6E-03	1.5E-02	2.8E-03	7.5E-02	6.2E-01	9.8E-01
i ortinizor	[%]	34.0	13.1	25.6	8.5	21.2	617	49	15.9	29.1
Pesticides	[/0]	7.6E-01	1 3E-06	6.9E-03	3.7E-04	3 1E-03	4 8F-04	5.7E-03	8 1E-02	2 8E-01
resticides	F0% 1	1.6	1.51-00	5.6	2.0	J.1L-05	10.6	0.4	2.1	2.0L-01
Flectricity	[70]	4.0 1.8E 01	40.7 2 3E 08	1.2E_03	2.0 4 9E 05	4.4 6 3E 04	6 0E 06	6.5E.04	2.1 5 1E 03	0.2 4 7E 02
Electricity	F0/ 1	1.01-01	2.512-08	1.21-05	4.92-03	0.5E-04	0.01	0.04	0.1	4.7E-02
Localomissions	[70]	1.1 8 1E 01	0.0	2.9E.02	1.2E.02	0.9	0.1	0.04	0.1	1.4
Local emissions	F0/ 1	8.1E-01	<< 1	2.8E-02	1.2E-02	2.2E-02	<< 1	<< 1	<< 1	<< 1
	[%]	4.9	<< 1	23.1	05.5	30.6	<< 1	<< 1	<< 1	<< 1
End-of-life		0.75.00	< 1E 00	1.05.04	2.25.06	1 45 04	1 25 05	1.15.04	1 05 02	1 05 00
Transport	50/3	3./E-02	6.4E-09	1.2E-04	3.3E-06	1.4E-04	1.3E-05	1.1E-04	1.9E-03	1.3E-02
	[%]	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.02	0.2	0.3	0.01	0.05	0.4
Recycling metals	50/3	6.1E-01	1.0E-07	4.1E-03	7.1E-04	2.4E-03	3./E-04	1.9E-02	3.3E-01	1.9E-01
	[%]	3.7	3.7	3.3	3.9	3.3	8.2	1.3	8.6	5.7
Recycling plastic		1.4/E-01	1.98E-08	8.36E-04	5.06E-05	5.08E-04	1.56E-05	1.13E-	2.24E-	4.56E-
								03	03	02
	[%]	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.3	0.7	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.4
Recycling concrete		1.2E-03	2.2E-10	9.7E-06	4.7E-08	1.7E-05	4.3E-08	2.7E-06	4.5E-05	4.2E-04
	[%]	0.01	0.01	0.01	<<1	0.02	<<1	<<1	<<1	0.01
Tomatoes biomass		1.6E+00	2.1E-10	1.4E-02	4.5E-06	2.9E-03	6.7E-07	3.8E-03	5.7E-04	3.2E-04
waste composting										
	[%]	9.8	0.01	11.1	0.02	4.0	0.01	0.3	0.01	0.01
Perlite substrate to		2.0E-02	5.2E-09	1.4E-04	5.7E-06	1.9E-04	1.9E-06	5.2E-04	1.5E-03	1.1E-02
landfill										
	[%]	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.03	0.3	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.3
Wastewater treatmen	nt	8.3E-01	8.4E-08	7.1E-03	1.7E-03	4.1E-03	4.1E-04	1.4E+00	3.4E-01	1.8E-01
	[%]	5.0	3.0	5.8	9.0	5.7	9.0	89.7	8.7	5.3
TOTAL		1.7E+01	2.8E-06	1.2E-01	1.8E-02	7.2E-02	4.6E-03	1.5E+00	3.9E+00	3.4E+00
	[%]	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

403 *3.1.2. BAPV system*

Table 5 shows the characterized impacts of the BAPV system, with reference to the functional unit of 1 m² of flat rooftop, split over the different life cycle phases with the percentage contribution to the total environmental impacts. The results display that the Manufacturing phase is dominant in almost all impact categories. The portion of total potential environmental impact associated with the Manufacturing phase ranges from 52% in freshwater eutrophication (FE) to 93% in terrestrial ecotoxicity (TE), except for a share of 30% in metal depletion (MD). The cell production process especially impacts on ozone depletion (OD) and terrestrial eutrophication (TE) categories, with loads corresponding to 41% and 89%, respectively, whilst processes of wafer and panel production contribute to a minor extent (<18%).

411 In contrast, the Installation phase only displays a significant impact on metal depletion (MD), with a share of 56%. In 412 deeper detail, each element of the balance of system (BOS) contributes differently to the Installation stage. Aluminium is 413 the material that shows the largest environmental impacts (33–57%, data not shown) in almost all investigated categories,

414 whilst freshwater eutrophication (FE) and metal depletion (MD) are mostly affected by the inverter (since it contains

silver, gold, and zinc). To a lesser extent, the Operation & Maintenance and EoL phases generate impacts lower than
11%.

418 **Table 5.** Characterized impacts calculated for BAPV system, broken down into different process steps, referred to a 419 functional unit of 1 m^2 of flat rooftop.

		СС	OD	TA	FE	POF	TE	WD	MD	FD
		kg CO ₂ eq	kg	kg SO ₂ eq	kg P eq	kg	kg 1,4 -	m ³	kg Fe eq	kg oil eq
			CFC 11 eq			NMVOC	DB eq			
Infrastructur	re man	ufacturing								
Solar grade		2.7E+01	2.9E-06	1.5E-01	9.1E-03	7.9E-02	1.6E-03	1.9E+00	5.5E-01	6.9E+00
silicon										
	[%]	35.6	17.2	32.3	21.4	24.3	1.4	71.2	2.0	33.5
Single-Si wafe	er	7.9E+00	6.5E-07	4.1E-02	2.9E-03	2.4E-02	6.9E-04	1.1E-01	1.3E+00	2.4E+00
	[%]	10.6	3.9	9.1	6.7	7.5	0.6	4.1	4.9	11.7
Single-Si PV	cell	5.3E+00	6.8E-06	3.1E-02	4.5E-03	6.1E-02	1.1E-01	2.1E-01	3.1E+00	1.1E+00
	[%]	7.2	40.6	6.9	10.6	18.8	89.2	7.4	11.3	5.4
Single-Si PV		1.1E+01	1.8E-06	8.2E-02	5.6E-03	5.2E-02	1.7E-03	1.3E-01	3.1E+00	3.5E+00
panel										
	[%]	14.5	10.8	18.2	13.3	15.9	1.4	4.5	11.5	16.7
Installation										
Steel		2.6E+00	1.5E-07	1.2E-02	1.9E-03	1.1E-02	3.5E-04	4.1E-02	3.6E+00	5.7E-01
	[%]	3.5	0.9	2.6	4.4	3.4	0.3	1.5	13.2	2.7
Aluminium		5.7E+00	1.6E-06	3.9E-02	3.2E-03	3.1E-02	1.2E-03	6.5E-02	1.8E+00	1.9E+00
	[%]	7.7	9.5	8.8	7.4	9.6	1.0	2.4	6.5	9.1
Concrete		2.2E+00	1.2E-07	6.5E-03	3.0E-04	6.5E-03	1.6E-04	2.1E-02	1.8E-01	3.1E-01
	[%]	3.0	0.7	1.5	0.7	2.0	0.1	0.8	0.6	1.5
Copper		2.2E-01	2.6E-08	6.6E-03	2.7E-03	2.8E-03	2.3E-04	1.1E-02	5.0E+00	6.2E-02
	[%]	0.3	0.2	1.5	6.3	0.9	0.2	0.4	18.3	0.3
PVC		1.3E-01	9.8E-10	3.6E-04	4.5E-06	6.7E-04	5.9E-06	1.2E-02	4.9E-04	7.1E-02
	[%]	0.2	0.01	0.1	0.01	0.2	0.01	0.4	<<1	0.3
Transport		2.4E+00	4.2E-07	9.1E-03	1.9E-04	1.2E-02	5.5E-04	7.1E-03	1.2E-01	8.3E-01
	[%]	3.2	2.5	2.0	0.5	3.6	0.5	0.3	0.4	4.0
Electricity		1.9E-01	2.3E-09	1.2E-04	4.9E-06	6.4E-05	6.1E-07	6.6E-05	5.1E-04	4.7E-03
	[%]	0.3	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.02	<<1	<<1	<<1	0.23

Inverter		2.2E+00	4.5E-07	2.9E-02	7.5E-03	1.4E-02	1.2E-03	3.6E-02	4.7E+00	5.9E-01	
	[%]	3.0	2.7	6.6	17.6	4.1	1.0	1.3	17.2	2.8	
Operation & Maintenance (electricity generation on-site)											
Tap water		2.4E+00	4.5E-07	1.7E-02	1.8E-03	1.0E-02	3.7E-03	8.6E-02	8.6E-01	6.5E-01	
	[%]	3.2	2.7	3.7	4.3	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.0	
End-of-life	9										
Transport		2.2E+00	3.9E-07	7.1E-03	1.7E-04	8.5E-03	4.7E-04	6.5E-03	9.9E-02	7.8E-01	
	[%]	3.0	2.4	1.6	0.4	2.6	0.4	0.2	0.4	3.7	
Recycling 1	metals	3.01E+00	8.63E-07	1.77E-02	2.60E-03	1.02E-02	9.28E-04	6.71E-02	2.91E+00	8.19E-01	
	[%]	4.0	5.2	4.0	6.1	3.1	0.8	2.4	10.6	3.9	
Recycling g	glass	6.4E-01	1.1E-07	4.0E-03	7.8E-05	1.8E-03	5.8E-05	1.5E-03	9.9E-03	2.2E-01	
	[%]	0.9	0.7	0.9	0.2	0.6	0.1	0.2	0.04	1.0	
Recycling		7.1E-02	1.3E-08	5.6E-04	2.7E-06	9.6E-04	2.5E-06	1.6E-04	2.6E-03	2.4E-02	
concrete	F0% 1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.3	< <u>1</u>	< <u>1</u>	0.01	0.1	
Degualing	[⁷⁰]	4.7E.02	6.2E.00	2.7E.04	1 6E 05	1.6E.04	4.0E.06	2 6E 04	7.2E.04	1.5E.02	
Recycling		4./E-02	0.3E-09	2./E-04	1.0E-05	1.0E-04	4.9E-00	3.0E-04	7.2E-04	1.5E-02	
	[%]	0.1	0.04	0.7	0.04	0.1	<<1	0.01	<<1	0.1	
Energy reco	overy	3.4E-02	4.9E-09	2.1E-04	9.4E-06	1.2E-04	1.2E-06	1.4E-04	1.0E-03	8.6E-03	
	[%]	0.1	0.03	0.1	0.02	0.04	<<1	<<1	<<1	0.04	
TOTAL		7.4E+01	1.7E-05	4.5E-01	4.2E-02	3.3E-01	1.2E-01	2.8E+00	2.7E+01	2.1E+01	
	[%]	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	

421 *3.2. Environmental benefits of circular use of resources*

From a circular economy standpoint, the implementation of measures for energy and material efficiency in the assessed systems leads to environmental benefits that can be quantified by accounting for the avoided impacts of conventional production of electricity, tomatoes, tap water, fertilizers and virgin metals. The characterized results of the assessment for the RTG and BAPV systems, referred to the selected functional unit (1 m² of flat rooftop in a timeframe of 1 year), are shown in Table 6 and 7, respectively.

In the case of RTG systems (Table 6), the environmental benefits – i.e. negative values (in bold)– deriving from material efficiency are much higher than the environmental loads attributable to the structure, local emissions during operation and wastewater treatment in six out of nine impact categories. In particular, the most relevant benefits are achieved thanks to the tomato production on-site (e.g., 25 kg CO₂ eq/m² are saved in climate change category), whilst a smaller benefit is provided by the avoided production of fertilizers (N, P, K) thanks to biomass waste composting. The use of rainwater to supply water requirements of the crop provides constrained benefits apart from the water depletion (WD) category, amounting to -1.59 m³ of water/m² of rooftop. Metal recycling (aluminium and steel) shows a valuable contribution only

434 in the metal depletion (MD) category, with a saving of 0.89 kg Fe eq/m^2 of rooftop.

The percentage contribution to the total environmental impacts of each step in the RTG is shown in Fig. 4.

436

Table 6. Characterized impacts calculated for the RTG system (broken down into different process steps), referred to a
 functional unit of 1 m² of flat rooftop. Negative values (in bold) correspond to avoided impacts thanks to energy and
 material recovery.

440

441

	СС	OD	ТА	FE	POF	TE	WD	MD	FD
	kg CO ₂ eq	kg CFC 11	kg SO ₂ eq	kg P eq	kg	kg 1,4 -	m ³	kg Fe eq	kg oil eq
		eq			NMVOC	DB eq			
Infrastructure	3.4E+00	5.2E-07	1.3E-02	1.3E-03	1.2E-02	2.9E-04	3.5E-02	2.5E+00	9.6E-01
manufacturing									
Installation	2.9E-02	5.6E-09	1.3E-04	2.2E-06	1.5E-04	1.5E-05	1.0E-04	1.1E-03	1.1E-02
Operation &	-2.5E+01	6.4E-07	-8.5E-02	3.2E-03	-1.2E-01	-8.4E-03	1.1E-02	1.9E-01	-5.6E+00
Maintenance									
End-of-life									
Transport	3.7E-02	6.4E-09	1.2E-04	3.3E-06	1.4E-04	1.3E-05	1.1E-04	1.9E-03	1.3E-02
Recycling metals	-1.2E+00	-2.7E-08	-3.8E-03	-3.4E-04	-4.9E-03	2.5E-04	-5.4E-03	-8.9E-01	-1.6E-01
Recycling plastic	1.5E-01	1.9E-08	8.4E-04	5.1E-05	5.1E-04	1.6E-05	1.1E-03	2.2E-03	4.6E-02
Recycling concrete	1.2E-03	2.2E-10	9.7E-06	4.7E-08	1.7E-05	4.3E-08	2.7E-06	4.5E-05	4.2E-04
Tomatoes biomass	5.3E-01	-6.7E-08	8.1E-03	-2.1E-04	2.3E-04	-3.7E-04	-1.8E-02	-8.4E-02	-1.7E-01
waste composting									
Perlite substrate to	2.0E-02	5.2E-09	1.4E-04	5.7E-06	1.9E-04	1.9E-06	5.2E-04	1.5E-03	1.1E-02
landfill									
Wastewater	7.3E-01	7.4E-08	6.7E-03	1.6E-03	3.8E-03	4.0E-04	-1.6E+00	3.3E-01	1.5E-01
treatment									









449

450 In the case of the BAPV system (Table 7), overall negative scores (in bold) can be observed for almost all the 451 environmental impact categories. This means that, except for TE, WD and MD categories, the environmental benefits 452 from electricity production on-site from renewable source (Operation & Maintenance phase) (e.g., 475 kg CO_2 eq/m² are 453 saved in climate change category) prevail on the environmental loads produced during the Manufacturing, Installation

- 454 and EoL phases. Metal recycling (aluminium, silicon, steel, copper) plays a minor role in lowering the environmental
- 455 loads as well, with a relevant share on metal depletion (MD) category only.
- 456 The percentage contribution to the total environmental impacts of each step in the BAPV is shown in Fig. 5.
- 457
- 458 Table 7. Characterized impacts calculated for the BAPV system (broken down into different process steps), referred to a

459 functional unit of 1 m^2 of flat rooftop. Negative values correspond to avoided impacts thanks to energy and material 460 recovery.

CC OD TA FE POF TE WD MD FD kg CO₂ eq kg CFC 11 kg SO₂ eq kg P eq kg kg 1,4 - ${\rm m}^3$ kg Fe eq kg oil eq NMVOC DB eq eq Infrastructure 5.1E+01 1.2E-05 2.9E-01 3.5E+01 2.2E-01 1.1E-01 2.4E+00 8.1E+00 1.4E+01 manufacturing Installation 1.5E+01 2.7E-06 1.0E-01 2.8E+01 7.7E-02 3.7E-03 1.9E-01 1.5E+01 4.3E+00 Operation & -4.8E+02 -5.7E-05 -3.0E+00 -1.0E+02 -1.7E+00 -1.3E-02 -1.7E+00 -9.4E+00 -1.2E+02 Maintenance End-of-life Transport 2.2E+00 3.9E-07 7.1E-03 4.3E-01 8.5E-03 4.7E-04 6.5E-03 9.9E-02 7.8E-01 Recycling metals -1.4E+01 -2.5E-06 -9.2E-02 -6.7E+00 -7.5E-02 -1.9E-03 -1.2E-01 -4.0E+00 -6.5E+00 Recycling glass -9.7E-01 -1.1E-07 -8.2E-03 -3.7E-01 -4.9E-03 -3.6E-04 -9.9E-03 -3.9E-02 -2.9E-01 Recycling concrete -2.2E+00 -1.0E-07 -5.9E-03 -4.5E-01 -5.5E-03 -1.6E-04 -2.1E-02 -1.7E-01 -2.8E-01 Recycling plastics -2.1E-01 2.5E-09 -5.7E-04 -5.2E-03 -8.3E-04 -6.2E-07 -3.6E-03 -4.1E-03 -1.7E-01 Energy recovery -6.1E-01 -3.3E-08 -1.7E-03 -4.6E-02 -6.7E-04 -3.3E-05 -1.3E-04 -6.8E-03 -2.1E-01







Figure 5. Percentage contribution of each phase to the overall environmental impacts of BAPV system, referred to a
 functional unit of 1 m² of flat rooftop. Results include avoided impacts (negative values) due to recovery of energy and
 material flows.

466 3.3. Comparison RTG – BAPV systems

Table 8 compares the total characterized results of RTG and BAPV systems, with reference to the selected functional unit. Almost all values are negative, meaning that both systems turn out to be favourable (i.e. they contribute to decreasing the impacts) thanks to the production of resources on-site. Material and water recycling provide a relevant environmental benefit for both roofing systems, thus confirming the efficiency of circular economy patterns. Such advantages are negligible if compared with the avoided emissions produced by the substitution of fossil energy in the case of BAPV and the traditional tomato supply chain in the case of RTG.

- Except for TE, WD and MD, BAPV system shows the highest avoided impacts in comparison with RTG: for instance,
 the impacts generated by BAPV on climate change (CC) and fossil depletion (FD) categories, corresponding to -4.3E+02
 kg CO₂ eq/m² and -1.1+02 kg oil eq/m², respectively (*versus* -2.2E+01 and -4.7E+00 in the RTG system), are around 20
 times lower. Conversely, in the case of TE and WD, BAPV delivers environmental loads while RTG generates benefits
 (i.e. negative impacts). Moreover, in MD, the impacts due to BAPV are higher than RTG.
- 478
- **Table 8.** Characterized impacts calculated for RTG and BAPV systems, referred to a functional unit of 1 m² flat rooftop.
- 480 Negative values correspond to avoided impacts thanks to energy and materials efficiency.

Impact category	Unit/FU	RTG	BAPV
CC	kg CO ₂ eq	-2.2E+01	-4.3E+02
OD	kg CFC-11 eq	1.2E-06	-4.4E-05
ТА	kg SO ₂ eq	-5.9E-02	-2.7E+00
FE	kg P eq	5.7E-03	-4.9E+01

POF	kg NMVOC	-1.0E-01	-1.4E+00
TE	kg 1,4-DB eq	-7.8E-03	9.9E-02
WD	m ³	-1.6E+00	8.1E-01
MD	kg Fe eq	2.0E+00	7.6E+00
FD	kg oil eq	-4.7E+00	-1.1E+02

482 When seeking to identify the most environmentally friendly way of using 1 m^2 of flat rooftop, the competition between 483 rooftop greenhouse farming and electricity production by means of PV technology seems to be an unsolved question.

484 Modern urban systems often import food, energy, water and other resources to fulfil essential needs, which results in the 485 emission of harmful greenhouse gases (Grewal and Grewal, 2012). In this study, throughout the production of local 486 resources, RTG and PV systems enhance the practical realization of the circular economy in cities, which might increase 487 the efficiency of the system. The choice of the Mediterranean context is very appropriate, since it is considered one of the 488 world's best locations for solar energy use with a large exploitation potential (Girard et al., 2016). Both agri-urban and 489 PV energy systems positively affect the current metabolism of Mediterranean cities and increase their multifunctionality, 490 implementing a new symbiotic model between urban and natural systems from a circular perspective. In particular, RTG 491 systems can be easily realized in Mediterranean cities without additional heating because of their warm climate and their 492 high level of solar radiation, in contrast to northern Europe where additional heat is required thus resulting in an increased 493 energy demand. The implementation of RTG systems may also represent a means to reduce the food losses during the 494 transport and retail stages and to eliminate transport requirements, namely the main contributor to GHG emissions (Smith 495 et al., 2005). Additionally, organic waste from RTGs can be reused as fertilizer, resulting in less waste collection costs 496 for the city and reduced expenditures on synthetic fertilizers. Likewise, recirculating rainwater for food production (here, 497 tomatoes) reduces the demand for water and the costs of stormwater management. According to existing literature, among 498 the benefits coming from local food production, the satisfaction of basic food needs can be promoted only in cities located 499 in developing countries or neighbourhoods with limited food retail. In developed countries, additional social benefits 500 prevail, such as learning and education facilities for children and adult city-dwellers or bridging the gap between 501 consumers and producers (Specht et al., 2014).

502 In the case of PV installations, flat rooftops are generally acknowledged to be good spots for a solar energy system, given 503 that solar panels can be adjusted to the correct angle and the most appropriate orientation (Specht et al., 2014). Many 504 advantages of PV applications on rooftop can be obtained: they reduce dependence on fossil fuel use for electricity 505 generation, reduce the energy losses associated with transmission and distribution and do not require land for installation. 506 Nevertheless, several environmental constraints have been highlighted in association with both retrofitting uses of 507 rooftops. In the case of RTG, some concerns were expressed within the social dimension, since consumers may be 508 reluctant to use soil-less growing techniques. Furthermore, health risks might possibly derive from air pollution or 509 irrigation with improperly treated wastewater (Specht et al., 2014), although Ercilla-Montserrat et al. (2018) concluded 510 that the heavy metal content in the air of Barcelona is not a source of contamination for urban crops including high traffic 511 areas. On the other hand, the environmental impacts of PV electricity are mainly determined by the upstream emissions 512 associated with the mining and purification of raw materials and by the emissions associated with the electricity needed 513 for the manufacturing of panels (Meijer et al., 2003; Fthenakis and Kim, 2011; Chatzisideris et al., 2016). In particular, 514 within Si-based panels life cycle, the energy requirement for the purification step from the metallurgical-grade silicon 515 that is extracted from quartz to solar-grade silicon by either a silane (SiH₄) or trichlorosilane (SiHCl₃)-based process 516 resulted to be the main criticality (Fthenakis et al., 2008).

- 517 For the sake of clarity, it should be noted that the outcomes of both analysed systems are highly site-specific, depending 518 on yields which vary according to local conditions, such as solar radiation that determines the efficiency of the system. 519 Moreover, the magnitude of generated environmental loads and of attained benefits is susceptible to other factors, such 520 as the uncertainties in inventory data, the definition of system boundaries, impact assessment methods and the modelled 521 sources of electricity and heat. Although desirable, a direct comparison of the results achieved in this study with previous 522 LCA literature is hardly possible: even if the FU selected in these studies is most often 1 m^2 of rooftop, food and PV 523 energy production technologies can widely vary (PV in combination with GR or gravel, GR, building applied or building 524 integrated PV, etc). For instance, a comparison with Benis et al. (2018) would seem thinkable. However, the type and 525 lifespan of PV roofing technology, as well as the assessment of operation and EoL phases are different if compared to 526 systems analysed in this study. Therefore, generalizations are hardly possible.
- 527

528 *3.4. Sensitivity*

The assessment carried out in this study elucidated both pros and cons of RTGs and BAPV systems separately. In particular, in accordance with previous studies, the use of fertilizers resulted to be a very impacting input flow for RTGs (Sanyé-Mengual et al., 2015; Sanjuan-Delmás et al., 2018), whereas the electricity used in the production of solar panels was the main hotspot in the BAPV systems (Pacca et al., 2006; Bekkelund, 2013; Eskew et al., 2018). In order to check the robustness of LCA results and their sensitivity to changes in the input flows included in the study, a sensitivity analysis was performed by assuming a reduction or substitution of the inputs correlated with the highest environmental loads (i.e.

electricity and chemicals). Therefore, two independent sensitivity analyses have been performed for the investigated RTGand BAPV systems.

Regarding the RTG system, the first step of the sensitivity analysis consisted in a careful sensitivity check related to the variability of major chemical inputs in the Operation & Maintenance phase. Indeed, as described in section 3.1.1, fertilizers were the most important flow (from 5% to 62%) for all the considered impact categories, especially due to the use of nitrogen fertilizers. Taking this hotspot into consideration, a sensitivity analysis was carried out on the basis of three alternative scenarios for tomatoes grown in greenhouses, compared with the original tomato cultivation analysed in

- 542 this study (S0, base scenario):
- 1) S1– reduction (-10%) of the amounts of each fertilizer input (Torrellas et al., 2012);

2) S2– reduction (–20%) of the amounts of each fertilizer input (Torrellas et al., 2012);

545 3) S3 – horn meal (an organic fertilizer) was assumed to substitute calcium nitrate at a ratio 1:1, due to the relatively high
546 content of N.

547 These scenarios were developed assuming that the reduction or change of used fertilizers does not affect the crop yield,
548 thanks to more efficient technological application per area (e.g. amount of fertiliser per area of crop) rather than marginal
549 consumption per amount of production.

- As shown in Figure 6, the decreased use of fertilizers generates a negligible decrease in the impacts on the investigated categories of the Operation & Maintenance phase (WD category is not shown since it is not affected by this hotspot at all). When the use of fertilizers is reduced by 20% of the original amount (S2 versus S0), impacts decrease in a range of 2-15% approximately, while a smaller decrease of impacts in the range 1-9% is achieved when fertilizer use decreases by 10% (S1 versus S0). Additional reductions of impacts could be reached by means of further optimized fertilization
- techniques, such as drip irrigation and fertigation, that are commonly recognized to increase fertilizing efficiency (Worrell
- et al., 1995; Kennedy et al., 2013; Solis et al., 2013) or by improving the efficiency of the background processes for
- 557 industrial fertilizer production.



559

Figure 6. Sensitivity analysis for changes to fertilizers and infrastructure materials input flows, referred to RTG system
 (PCB= polycarbonate, PET= polyethylene terephthalate).

562 Since the RTG structure manufacturing was noted as a potential limitation to the implementation of RTGs due to the high 563 environmental impact (see Section 3.1.1.), the second step of the sensitivity analysis was focused on the infrastructure 564 materials. Thus, scenario S4 was designed considering both the substitution of virgin metals (aluminium and steel) with 565 secondary (i.e. recycled) metals and the substitution of polycarbonate (PC) with polyethylene terephthalate (PET) (with 566 a better environmental profile according to Franklin Associates, 2010).

The S4 scenario analysis showed that almost all impacts categories were sensitive to the material substitutions. A reduction of impacts can be observed with respect to the reference system (S0), ranging from 5% in freshwater eutrophication (FE) to 60% in metal depletion (MD). The terrestrial eutrophication (TE) is the only category that remained unchanged.

571 Finally, scenarios S3 and S4 were combined in the scenario S5. The results indicate that using organic fertilizer for 572 cultivation and recycled materials for RTG infrastructure may improve the sustainability of supplying locally produced 573 food. Indeed, the adoption of both strategies at the same time significantly reduces the impacts in all investigated 574 categories, especially in climate change (CC) (32% reduction) and metal depletion (MD) (66%).

575 Concerning the BAPV system, one of the main aims of renewables is to contain the greenhouse effect. Therefore, a 576 sensitivity analysis was carried out only for the key impact category, i.e. climate change (CC). As observed in Section 3.1.2., during the life cycle of PV, emissions to the environment mainly occur from using electricity when producing 577 578 materials for solar panels (manufacturing phase). These emissions are strongly linked to the electricity mix used. Since 579 the world's PV market is mainly dominated by China, USA, Germany and Italy (Solar Power Europe, 2018), a production 580 chain of crystalline silicon-based panels in these countries was modelled and compared with the base scenario (Table 4). 581 In addition, a comparison with the PV production chain in Sweden – leader country on renewable energy among the EU 582 Member States (Eurostat, 2018) – was included, in order to see how the use of an electricity mix with a high rate of 583 renewable sources affects the results. The life cycle inventory data of silicon production and crystalline silicon ingots,

wafers, cells and panels were extrapolated from ecoinvent database and modelled by changing the Spanish electricity mix

(base scenario) with the electricity mixes of the other six countries (referred to 2015) and by taking into account the transport of the manufactured panels to Barcelona, by transoceanic freight ships in the case of China, USA and Japan, and by truck in the case of European countries (Germany, Italy and Sweden).

588 Figure 7 shows the results of the comparison between the different electricity mixes applied during the manufacturing 589 phase and variation of transport distances (the Operation & Maintenance and EoL phases of the base scenario are not shown in the figure since they remain unchanged). As shown in Figure 7, the c-Si panels produced in China cause about 590 591 40% higher GHG emissions compared with Spanish panels. The highest emissions result from the electricity mix used in 592 the Chinese industry with a high share of fossil fuel power (72%). If the panel production in Japan, USA, Germany and 593 Italy is considered, it is possible to observe GHG emissions about 15.8%, 13.6%, 14.3% and 12.9%, respectively, higher 594 compared to panels produced in Spain. In contrast, the use of electricity from renewable energy sources (e.g. in the case 595 of Sweden the share of renewable power is 63%) in the production chain reduces the GHG emissions by about 14% (-7 596 kg CO_2 -eq per m² of rooftop). The contribution from the transport step does not exceed 3.4%, except for the case of 597 Sweden (9%) due to the longer distance travelled by truck.



Figure 7. Sensitivity analysis for changes to electricity-mix and transport input flows, referred to BAPV system (only
 manufacturing phase is included).

601

598

602 4. Conclusion

603 Sustainable urban planning is essential to effectively meet the needs of a growing population and respond to changes in 604 consumption patterns without exhausting our planet's finite resources. In this sense, the transformation of urban underused 605 rooftops into productive spaces can improve the urban metabolism by producing or collecting locally resources such as 606 energy, greening, food or water. The innovative contribution provided by this study is the comparison of two different 607 rooftop systems for resources production (i.e. food and energy) with the aim of supplying additional helpful elements for 608 promoting the circular economy at the urban scale. The adoption of the avoided burden approach allows to highlight the 609 environmental benefits deriving from the implementation of energy and material efficiency measures, especially in warm 610 Mediterranean climates, and from the concomitant avoided costs of conventional production of tomatoes and electricity (with savings of 22 kg CO₂ eq and 425 kg CO₂ eq for RTG and BAPV respectively). The BAPV system is more 611 612 environmentally sound in all impact categories, except for TE, WD and MD, the latter being the only category that is not advantaged by neither RTGs nor BAPVs. Even if the solar PV technology impacts produced during the material
manufacturing phase are high (from 52% to 93%), they are copiously balanced by the avoided impacts associated to
energy output during the operation phase. Nevertheless, similar advantages are achieved by means of rooftop farming.
The options suggested in the sensitivity analysis may provide some useful tools for improved environmental
performances, but cannot definitely overcome the limits of each system.

In the future, potentially improved performances may be gained by means of synergy effects achieved when combining both food and energy production (Marucci and Cappuccini, 2016; Trypanagnostopoulos et al., 2017; Loik et al., 2017). Preliminary tests have shown that PV panels work more efficiently over a green roof that cools down the cells through evapotranspiration (Köhler et al., 2007). Meanwhile, the panels shade the plants, thus reducing sun exposure and favouring heat-sensitive crops. Therefore, sustainable rooftop planning for urban buildings might lead to multifunctional uses of the same roof throughout the integration or the coexistence of both investigated systems.

624 In conclusion, in a future prospect, attention to additional energy and material efficiency and material selection, in favour 625 of the more environmentally sustainable choice, should also remain a main point of investigation. For instance, in the 626 case of RTGs, both the greenhouse structure and the rainwater harvesting system can be reduced in size, and in the design 627 phase the amount as well as the quality of materials used for their manufacture can be optimised. Likewise, a decrease in 628 the electricity demand for manufacturing BAPV systems together with a well-designed recovery treatment would 629 contribute to a more sustainable supply chain. Additionally, economic and social assessments should be performed in 630 further research in order to provide a more nuanced contextualisation (either at country or city level) of RTG and BAPV 631 systems within these sectors.

632

633 Conflicts of interest

634 The authors declare no conflict of interests.

635

636 Acknowledgements

637 The authors Corcelli and Fiorentino gratefully acknowledge the financial support received from the European Union's 638 Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No. 649342 (EUFORIE). G. Fiorentino also 639 acknowledges the research grant received from Parthenope University, project DSTE332 - Material and Energy Efficiency 640 in Chemical Processes for Industry and Environment. A. Petit-Boix thanks the Spanish Ministry of Education, Culture 641 and Sports for the grant awarded (FPU13/01273) while employed at UAB, and the German Federal Ministry of Education 642 and Research for funding the research group "Circulus - Opportunities and challenges of transition to a sustainable circular 643 bio-economy", grant agreement No. 031B0018.

644 645

646 References

- Ackerman, K., Plunz, R., Katz, R., Dahlgren, E., and Culligan, P., 2012. Potential for urban agriculture New York City.
 (New York).
- Alsema, E., de Wild-Scholten, M., 2005. Environmental impact of crystalline silicon photovoltaic module production. In:
 Material Research Society Fall Meeting, Symposium G: Life Cycle Analysis Tools for "Green" Materials and Process
 Selection, Boston, MA.
- Amado, M. and Poggi, F., 2014. Solar urban planning: A parametric approach. Energy Procedia, 48, 1539–1548.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2014.02.174.

- Baumann, H. and Tillman, A.M., 2004. The hitch hiker's guide to LCA: an orientation in life cycle assessment
 methodology and application. Studentlitteratur, Lund, Sweden
- Benis, K. and Ferrao, P., 2016. Potential mitigation of the environmental impacts of food systems through Urban and
 Peri-Urban Agriculture (UPA) A Life Cycle Assessment approach. Journal of Cleaner Production, 140, 784–795.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. jclepro.2016.05.176.
- Benis, K., Turan, I., Reinhart, C., Ferrão, P., 2018. Putting rooftops to use A Cost-Benefit Analysis of food production
 vs. energy generation under Mediterranean climates. Cities 78, 166–179. doi:10.1016/j.cities.2018.02.011
- Bekkelund K., 2013 A Comparative Life Cycle Assessment of PV Solar Systems, Norwegian University of Science and
 Technology. https://daim.idi.ntnu.no/masteroppgaver/010/10240/masteroppgave.pdf.
- Blengini, G.A., Genon, G., Fantoni, M., 2008. LCA del sistema integrato di gestione dei rifiuti nella provincia di Torino
 (Research programme financed by Servizio Pianificazione Sviluppo Sostenibile e Ciclo Integrato di rifiuti della
 Provincia di Torino). Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy.
- Broto V. C. and Bulkeley H., 2013. A survey of urban climate change experiments in 100 cities. Global Environmental
 Change: human and policy dimensions, 23, pp. 92-102.
- Byrne, J., Taminiau, J., Kurdgelashvili, L. and Nam, K., 2015. A review of the solar city concept and methods to assess
 rooftop solar electric potential, with an illustrative to the city of Seoul. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews,
 41, 830–844. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.08.023.
- 671 Carter, T. and Keeler A., 2008. Life-Cycle Cost-Benefit Analysis of Extensive Vegetated Roof Systems. Journal of
 672 Environmental Management 87: 350–63. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2007.01.024.
- 673 Cerón-Palma, I., Sanyé-Mengual, E., Oliver-Solà, J., Montero, J.I., Rieradevall, J., 2012. Barriers and Opportunities
 674 Regarding the Implementation of Rooftop Eco.Greenhouses (RTEG) in Mediterranean Cities of Europe. J. Urban
 675 Technol. 19, 87–103. doi:10.1080/10630732.2012.717685
- 676 Corcelli, F., Ripa, M., Leccisi, E., Cigolotti, V., Fiandra, V., Graditi, G., Sannino, L., Tammaro, M., Ulgiati, S., 2016.
 677 Sustainable urban electricity supply chain Indicators of material recovery and energy savings from crystalline silicon
 678 photovoltaic panels end-of-life. Ecol. Indic. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.03.028
- 679 Corcelli, F., Ripa, M., Ulgiati, S., 2017. End-of-life treatment of crystalline silicon photovoltaic panels. An emergy-based
 680 case study. J. Clean. Prod. 161. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.05.031
- Doughty, M.R.C. and Hammond, G.P., 2004. Sustainability and the Built Environment at and beyond the City Scale.
 Building and Environment 39 (10): 1223–33. doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2004.03.008.
- Deng, Y., Li, Z., and Quigley, J. M., 2012. Economic returns to energy-efficient investments in the housing market:
 Evidence from Singapore. Regional Science and Urban Economics, 42(3), 506–515.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.regsciurbeco.2011.04.004.
- Ercilla-Montserrat, M., Muñoz, P., Montero, J.I., Gabarrell, X., Rieradevall, J., 2018. A study on air quality and heavy
 metals content of urban food produced in a Mediterranean city (Barcelona). J. Clean. Prod. 195, 385–395.
 doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.05.183
- Eskew, J., Ratledge, M., Wallace, M., Gheewala, S.H., Rakkwamsuk, P., 2018. An environmental Life Cycle Assessment
 of rooftop solar in Bangkok, Thailand. Renew. Energy 123, 781–792. doi:10.1016/j.renene.2018.02.045
- Eurostat, 2018. Share of energy from renewable sources. Available on-line at: http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics explained/index.php/Renewable_energy_statistics#Renewable_energy_produced_in_the_EU_increased_by_two_third
 s_in_2006-2016 (accessed June 2018).
- European Commission (EC) Joint Research Center Institute of Environment and Sustainability, 2010. International
 Reference Life Cycle Data System (ILCD) Handbook General Guide for Life Cycle Assessment Detailed Guidance.
 First edition March 2010. EUR 24708 EN. Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg, LU.

- European Commission (EC) Joint Research Center Institute of Environment and Sustainability, 2011. Supporting
 Environmentally Sound Decisions for Waste Management A technical guide to Life Cycle Thinking (LCT) and Life
 Cycle Assessment (LCA) for waste experts and LCA practitioners. EUR 24916 EN. Publications Office of the European
 Union, Luxembourg, LU.
- Further Photovoltaic Industry Association (EPIA), 2012. Solar generation 6. Solar photovoltaic electricity empowering
 the world. 2011. Available at: https://www.greenpeace.org/archive international/Global/international/publications/climate/2011/Final%20SolarGeneration%20VI%20full%20report%201
 r.pdf (accessed March 2018)
- Fthenakis, V.M., Kim, H.C., Alsema, E., 2008. Emissions from Photovoltaic Life Cycles Emissions from Photovoltaic Life Cycles, vol. 42, pp. 2168e2174. https://doi.org/10.1021/es071763q.
- Fthenakis, V.M., Kim, H.C., Held, M., Raugei, M., Krones, J., 2009. Update of PV energy payback times and life-cycle
 greenhouse emissions. 24th Eur. Photovolt. Sol. Energy Conf. 4412. doi:10.4229/24thEUPVSEC2009-6DO.10.5
- 709 Fthenakis, V.M., Kim, H.C., 2011. Photovoltaics: Life-cycle analyses. Sol. Energy 85, 1609–1628.
 710 doi:10.1016/j.solener.2009.10.002
- Frischknecht, R., Editors, N.J., Althaus, H., Bauer, C., Doka, G., Dones, R., Hischier, R., Hellweg, S., Kollner, T.,
 Loerincik, Y., Margni, M., 2007. Implementation of life cycle impact assessment methods. Am. Midl. Nat. 150, 1e151.
- 713 Frazer, L. 2005. Paving Paradise. Environmental Health Perspectives. 113: 457-462
- Franklin Associates, 2010. Final Report—Life Cycle inventory of 100% Postconsumer HDPE and PET recycled from postconsumer containers and packaging. Prepared for The Plastics Division of the American Chemistry Council Inc.,
 the Association of Postconsumer Plastic Recyclers (APR), the National Association for PET Container Resources (NAPCOR) and the PET Resin Association (PETRA).
- Girard, A., Gago, E.J., Ordonez, J., Muneer, T., 2016. Spain's energy outlook: a review of potential and energy export,
 Renew. Energy 86, 703e715.
- Goedkoop, M., Heijungs, R., Huijbregts, M., Schryver, A., De Struijs, J., Zelm, R.V., 2009. Report I: Characterisation.
 ReCiPe A life cycle impact Assess method which comprises Harmon. Categ. Indic. Midpoint Endpoint Lev. 132.
- Goldstein, B., Hauschild, M., Fernández, J., Birkved, M., 2016. Testing the environmental performance of urban agriculture as a food supply in northern climates. J. Clean. Prod. 135, 984–994. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.07.004
- Grewal, S. S., and Grewal, P. S., 2012. Can cities become self-reliant in food? Cities, 29(1), 1–11.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2011.06.003.
- Haberman, D., Gillies, L., Canter, A., Rinner, V., Pancrazi, L., and Martellozzo, F., 2014. The potential of urban agriculture in Montréal: A quantitative assessment. ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information, 3, 1101–1117. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ ijgi3031101.
- Haberman, D., Gillies, L., Canter, A., Rinner, V., Pancrazi, L., and Martellozzo, F., 2014. The potential of urban agriculture in Montréal: A quantitative assessment. ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information, 3, 1101–1117. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ ijgi3031101.
- Heinstein, P., Ballif, C. and Perret-Aebi, L.-E., 2013. Building Integrated Photovoltaics (BIPV): Review, potentials,
 barriers and myths. Green. http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/ green-2013-0020.
- Hofierka, J. and Kanuk, J., 2009. Assessment of photovoltaic potential in urban areas using open-source solar radiation
 tools. 34, 2206–2214. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.renene. 2009.02.021.
- Hoffman, G.J., Evans, R.G., Jensen, M.E., et al., 2007. Design and Operation of Farm Irrigation Systems, second ed.
 American Society of Agricultural Engineers
- Hui, S.C.M., Chan, S.C., 2011. Integration of Green Roof and Solar Photovoltaic Systems. Joint Symposium 2011:
 Integrated Building Design in the New Era of Sustainability. Nov. 22, Hong Kong.

- 740 IRENA (International Renewable Energy Agency) and IEA-PVPS (International Energy Agency), 2016. End-of-Life 741 Management: Solar Photovoltaic Panels, International Renewable. Available online at: 742 http://www.irena.org/publications/2016/Jun/End-of-life-management-Solar-Photovoltaic-Panels (accessed February 743 2018)
- ISO (International Organization for Standardization), 2006a. Environmental management life cycle assessment —
 principles and framework. Standard ISO 14040. Geneva, Switzerland.
- ISO (International Organization for Standardization), 2006b. Environmental management life cycle assessment —
 requirements and guidelines. Standard ISO 14044. Geneva, Switzerland.
- Jungbluth, N., Stucki, M., Flury, K., Frischknecht, R., Büsser, S., 2012. Life cycle Inventories of Photovoltaics. ESU Services Ltd.
- Kennedy, T.L., Suddick, E.C., Six, J., 2013. Reduced nitrous oxide emissions and increased yields in California tomato
 cropping systems under drip irrigation and fertigation. Agri. Ecosys. Environ. 170, 16-27.
- Köhler, M., Wiartalla, W. and Feige, R., 2007. Interaction between PV-systems and extensive green roofs, In Proceedings
 of the Fifth Annual Greening Rooftops for Sustainable Communities Conference, Awards and Trade Show,
 Minneapolis, April 29-May 1, 2007.
- Kosareo, L. and Ries, R., 2007. Comparative environmental life cycle assessment of green roofs. Building and
 Environment, 42, 2606–2613. doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2006.06.019
- Loik, M. E., Carter, S. A., Alers, G., Wade, C. E., Shugar, D., Corrado, C., Jokerst, D., & Kitayama, C., 2017. Wavelength Selective Solar Photovoltaic Systems: Powering Greenhouses for Plant Growth at the Food-Energy-Water Nexus.
 Earth's Future, 5, 1044–1053, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016EF000531
- Mahmoud, W.H., Elagib, N.A., Gaese, H., Heinrich, J., 2014. Rainfall conditions and rainwater harvesting potential in the urban area of Khartoum. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 91, 89–99. doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2014.07.014
- Marucci, A., Cappuccini, A., 2016. Dynamic photovoltaic greenhouse: Energy efficiency in clear sky conditions. Appl.
 Energy 170, 362–376. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.02.138
- Meijer, A., Huijbregts, M.A.J., Schermer, J.J., Reijnders, L., 2003. Life-cycle assessment of photovoltaic modules:
 comparison of mc-Si, InGaP and InGaP/mc-Si solar modules. Prog. Photovoltaics Res. Appl. 11, 275e287.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/pip.489.
- Montero, J.I., Anton, A., Torrellas, M., et al., 2009. EUPHOROS deliverable 5. Report on environmental and economic
 profile of present greenhouse production systems in Europe. In: European Comssion FP7 RDT Project Euphoros
 (Reducing the Need for External Inputs in High Value Protected Horticultural and Ornament).
- Nadal, A., Llorach-Massana, P., Cuerva, E., Lopez-Capel, E., Montero, J.I., Josa, A., Rieradevall, J., Royapoor, M., 2017.
 Building-integrated rooftop greenhouses: An energy and environmental assessment in the mediterranean context. Appl.
 Energy 187, 338–351. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.11.051
- Orsini, F., Gasperi, D., Marchetti, L., Piovene, C., Draghetti, S., Ramazzotti, S., Gianquinto, G., 2014. Exploring the production capacity of rooftop gardens (RTGs) in urban agriculture: The potential impact on food and nutrition security, biodiversity and other ecosystem services in the city of Bologna. Food Security, 6(6), 781–792. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12571-014-0389-6.
- Pacca S., Sivaraman D., Keoleian G.A, 2006. Life Cycle Assessment of the 33 kW Photovoltaic System on the Dana
 Building at the University of Michigan: Thin Film Laminates, Multi-crystalline Modules, and Balance of System
 Components, Center for Sustainable Systems, Report No. CSS05e09, University of Michigan.
- Peng J, Lu L, Yang H. Review on life cycle assessment of energy payback and greenhouse gas emission of solar
 photovoltaic systems. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 2013;19:255–74.
- Perez, M.J.R., Wight, N.T., Fthenakis, V.M., Ho, C., 2012. Green-Roof Integrated PV Canopies an Empirical Study
 and Teaching Tool for Low Income Students in the South Bronx. May 13-18, Colorado.

- Perpiña Castillo, C., Batista e Silva, F., Lavalle, C., 2016. An assessment of the regional potential for solar power
 generation in EU-28. Energy Policy 88, 86–99. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2015.10.004
- Petit-Boix, A., Leipold, S., 2018. Circular economy in cities: Reviewing how environmental research aligns with local
 practices. J. Clean. Prod. 195, 1270–1281. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.05.281
- Petit-Boix, A., Devkota, J., Phillips, R., Vargas-Parra, M.V., Josa, A., Gabarrell, X., Rieradevall, J., Apul, D., 2018. Life
 cycle and hydrologic modeling of rainwater harvesting in urban neighborhoods: Implications of urban form and water
 demand patterns in the US and Spain. Sci. Total Environ. 621, 434–443. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.206
- Prasad, D., 2014. Snow, M. Designing with Solar Power: A Source Book for Building Integrated Photovoltaics (BiPV);
 Routledge: Abingdon, UK
- Proksch, G., 2011. Urban Rooftops as Productive Resources. Rooftop Farming versus Conventional Green Roofs. ARCC
 Considering Res. Reflecting upon Curr. themes Archit. Res. 497–509.
- Proksch G., 2016. Creating Urban Agricultural Systems: An Integrated Approach to Design Published by Taylor Francis
 Ltd, United Kingdom
- Raugei, M., Fthenakis, V., 2010. Cadmium flows and emissions from CdTe PV: Future expectations. Energy Policy 38,
 5223–5228. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2010.05.007
- RED Eléctrica de España, Informe del Sistema Eléctrico Español, 2015. Documento resumen con nivel de accesibilidad
 AA, Madrid, Spain.
- Saiz, S., Kennedy, C., Bass, B. and Pressnail, K., 2006. Comparative Life Cycle Assessment of Standard and Green Roofs.
 Environmental Science and Technology, 40(13), 4312–4316.
- Santos, Í.P. Dos, Rüther, R., 2012. The potential of building-integrated (BIPV) and building-applied photovoltaics
 (BAPV) in single-family, urban residences at low latitudes in Brazil. Energy Build. 50, 290–297.
 doi:10.1016/j.enbuild.2012.03.052
- Sanjuan-Delmás, D., Llorach-Massana, P., Nadal, A., Ercilla-Montserrat, M., Muñoz, P., Montero, J.I., Josa, A.,
 Gabarrell, X., Rieradevall, J., 2018. Environmental assessment of an integrated rooftop greenhouse for food production
 in cities. J. Clean. Prod. 177, 326–337. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.12.147
- Sanyé-Mengual, E., Cerón-Palma, I., Oliver-Solá, J., Montero, J.I., Rieradevall, J., 2013. Environmental analysis of the
 logistics of agricultural products from roof top greenhouses in mediterranean urban areas. J. Sci. Food Agric. 93, 100–
 109. doi:10.1002/jsfa.5736
- Sanyé-Mengual, E., Oliver-Solà, J., Montero, J.I., Rieradevall, J., 2015. An environmental and economic life cycle
 assessment of rooftop greenhouse (RTG) implementation in Barcelona, Spain. Assessing new forms of urban agriculture
 from the greenhouse structure to the final product level. Int. J. Life Cycle Assess. 20, 350–366. doi:10.1007/s11367014-0836-9
- Schloss, N., 1984. Technical note: use of employment data to estimate office demand, Monthly Labor Review, Vol. 107
 No. 12, pp. 40-44.
- Sica, D., Malandrino, O., Supino, S., Testa, M., Lucchetti, M.C., 2018. Management of end-of-life photovoltaic panels
 as a step towards a circular economy. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 82, 2934–2945. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2017.10.039
- Sherwani, F., Usmani, J., Varun, 2010. Life cycle assessment of solar PV based electricity generation systems: A review.
 Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 14, 540–544. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2009.08.003
- Smith, A., Watkiss, P., Tweddle, G., McKinnon, A., Browne, M., Hunt, A., et al., 2005. The validity of food miles as an
 indicator of sustainable development. Oxon, UK: Defra. ED50254, -103.
- Solar Power Europe, 2018. Global Market Outlook for Solar Power / 2018-2022. Available on-line at:
 http://www.solarpowereurope.org/global-market-outlook-2018-2022/ (accessed June 2018).
- Solis, A., Vidal, I., Paulino, L., Johnson, B.L., Berti, M.T., 2013. Camelina seed yield response to nitrogen, sulfur, and
 phosphorus fertilizer in South Central Chile. Ind. Crop. Prod. 44, 132-138.

- Specht, K., Siebert, R., Hartmann, I., Freisinger, U.B., Sawicka, M., Werner, A., Thomaier, S., Henckel, D., Walk, H.,
 Dierich, A., 2014. Urban agriculture of the future: An overview of sustainability aspects of food production in and on
 buildings. Agric. Human Values 31, 33–51. doi:10.1007/s10460-013-9448-4
- Tao, J., Yu, S.R., 2014. Review on feasible recycling pathways and technologies of solar photovoltaic modules. Sol.
 Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 141, 108–124.
- Torrellas, M., Antón, A., López, J.C., Baeza, E.J., Montero, J.I., 2012. LCA of a tomato crop in a multi-tunnel greenhouse
 in Almeria. Int J Life Cycle Assess 17, 863–875. doi:10.1007/s11367-012-0409-8
- Trypanagnostopoulos, G., Kavga, A., Souliotis, Tripanagnostopoulos, Y., 2017. Greenhouse performance results for
 roof installed photovoltaics. Renew. Energy 111, 724–731. doi:10.1016/j.renene.2017.04.066
- United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division, 2014. World Urbanization Prospects:
 The 2014 Revision, Highlights (ST/ESA/SER.A/352) Available on-line at:
 https://www.compassion.com/multimedia/world-urbanization-prospects.pdf (accessed June 2018).
- 840 UN-Habitat, 2016. World Cities Report 2016: Urbanization and Development Emerging Futures. Available on-line at:
 841 https://unhabitat.org/books/world-cities-report/ (accessed July 2018).
- Vargas-Parra, M.V., Villalba, G., Gabarrell, X., 2013. Applying exergy analysis to rainwater harvesting systems to assess
 resource. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 72,50-59
- Wernet, G., Bauer, C., Steubing, B., Reinhard, J., Moreno-Ruiz, E., Weidema, B., 2016. The ecoinvent database version
 3 (part I): overview and methodology. Int. J. Life Cycle Assess. 21, 1218-1230.
- Wielemaker, R.C., Weijma, J., Zeeman, G., 2018. Harvest to harvest: Recovering nutrients with New Sanitation systems
 for reuse in Urban Agriculture. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 128, 426–437. doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2016.09.015
- Worrell, E., Meuleman, B., Blok, K., 1995. Energy savings by efficient application of fertilizer. Resour. Conserv. Recycl.
 13, 233-250.
- Xu, Y., Li, J., Tan, Q., Peters, A.L., Yang, C., 2018. Global status of recycling waste solar panels: A review. Waste
 Manag. 75, 450–458. doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2018.01.036
- Yang, R.J., Zou, P.X.W., 2016. Building integrated photovoltaics (BIPV): Costs, benefits, risks, barriers and improvement
 strategy. Int. J. Constr. Manag. 16, 39–53. doi:10.1080/15623599.2015.1117709