



# Intake of individual fatty acids and risk of prostate cancer in the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition

Aurora Perez-Cornago <sup>1</sup>, Inge Huybrechts<sup>2</sup>, Paul N. Appleby<sup>1</sup>, Julie A. Schmidt <sup>1</sup>, Francesca L. Crowe<sup>3</sup>, Kim Overvad<sup>4</sup>, Anne Tjønneland<sup>5</sup>, Tilman Kühn<sup>6</sup>, Verena Katzke<sup>6</sup>, Antonia Trichopoulou<sup>7</sup>, Anna Karakatsani<sup>7,8</sup>, Eleni Peppa<sup>7</sup>, Sara Grioni<sup>9</sup>, Domenico Palli<sup>10</sup>, Carlotta Sacerdote <sup>11</sup>, Rosario Tumino<sup>12</sup>, H. Bas Bueno-de-Mesquita<sup>13,14,15,16</sup>, Nerea Larrañaga<sup>17,18</sup>, Maria-Jose Sánchez<sup>18,19</sup>, J. Ramón Quirós<sup>20</sup>, Eva Ardanaz<sup>18,21,22</sup>, María-Dolores Chirlaque<sup>18,23,24</sup>, Antonio Agudo<sup>25</sup>, Anders Bjartell<sup>26,27</sup>, Peter Wallström<sup>28,29</sup>, Veronique Chajes <sup>30</sup>, Konstantinos K. Tsilidis <sup>31,32</sup>, Dagfinn Aune<sup>32,33,34</sup>, Elio Riboli<sup>32</sup>, Ruth C. Travis<sup>1</sup> and Timothy J. Key<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Cancer Epidemiology Unit, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

<sup>2</sup>Dietary Exposure Assessment Group, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Applied Health Research, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom

- <sup>4</sup>Department of Public Health, Section for Epidemiology, Aarhus University, Aarhus C, Denmark
- <sup>5</sup>Danish Cancer Society Research Center, Copenhagen, Denmark
- <sup>6</sup>Division of Cancer Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany
- <sup>7</sup>Hellenic Health Foundation, Athens, Greece

<sup>8</sup>Department of Pulmonary Medicine, School of Medicine, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, "ATTIKON" University Hospital, Haidari, Greece

<sup>9</sup>Epidemiology and Prevention Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan, Italy

<sup>10</sup>Cancer Risk Factors and Life-Style Epidemiology Unit, Institute for Cancer Research, Prevention and Clinical Network – ISPRO, Florence, Italy

- <sup>11</sup>Unit of Cancer Epidemiology, Città della Salute e della Scienza University-Hospital and Center for Cancer Prevention (CPO), Turin, Italy
- <sup>12</sup>Department of Cancer Registry and Histopathology, "Civic M.P. Arezzo" Hospital ASP, Ragusa, Italy

<sup>13</sup>Department for Determinants of Chronic Diseases (DCD), National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, The Netherlands

Key words: prostate cancer, individual fatty acids, tumor subtypes, prospective

**Abbreviations:** BMI: body mass index; CIs: confidence intervals; EPIC: European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition; FFQ: food-frequency questionnaire; IGF-I: insulin-like growth factor-I; ICD: International Statistical Classification of Diseases, Injuries and Causes of Death; HRs: hazard ratios; MUFAs: monounsaturated fatty acids; NNDSR = USDA: National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference of the United States; PIN: prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia; PSA: prostate-specific antigen; PUFAs: polyunsaturated fatty acids; SFAs: saturated fatty acids; SDs: standard deviations; TNM: tumor-node-metastasis; UK: United Kingdom; WCRF/AICR: World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

For information on how to submit an application for gaining access to EPIC data and/or biospecimens, please follow the instructions at http:// epic.iarc.fr/access/index.php

Conflicts of interest: No authors report conflicts of interest.

Grant sponsor: Cancer Research UK; Grant number: C8221/A19170; Grant sponsor: Medical Research Council; Grant numbers: MR/M012190/1, 1000143, C570/A16491, 14136; Grant sponsor: Swedish Cancer Society, Swedish Research Council and County Councils of Skåne and Västerbotten (Sweden); Grant sponsor: Regional Governments of Andalucía, Asturias, Basque Country, Murcia and Navarra, ISCIII Health Research Funds; Grant number: RD12/0036/0018; Grant sponsor: Health Research Fund (FIS); Grant numbers: PI13/01162, PI13/00061; Grant sponsor: Statistics Netherlands (The Netherlands); Grant sponsor: World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF); Grant sponsor: Dutch ZON (Zorg Onderzoek Nederland); Grant sponsor: Dutch Prevention Funds; Grant sponsor: LK Research Funds; Grant sponsor: Netherlands Cancer Registry (NKR); Grant sponsor: Dutch Ministry of Public Health, Welfare and Sports (VWS); Grant sponsor: National Research Council (Italy); Grant sponsor: Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro-AIRC-Italy; Grant sponsor: Deutsche Krebshilfe, Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum; Grant sponsor: Federal Ministry of Education and Research (Germany); Grant sponsor: Deutsche Krebshilfe, Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum; Grant sponsor: Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF); Grant sponsor: German Cancer Aid, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ); Grant sponsor: Danish Cancer Society (Denmark); Grant sponsor: The International Agency for Research on Cancer

DOI: 10.1002/ijc.32233

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

History: Received 14 Jan 2019; Accepted 13 Feb 2019; Online 26 Feb 2019.

**Correspondence to:** Aurora Perez-Cornago, Cancer Epidemiology Unit, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7LF, United Kingdom, Tel.: +44-1865-289600, Fax: +44-1865-289610, E-mail: aurora.perez-cornago@ndph.ox.ac.uk

<sup>14</sup>Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University Medical Centre, Utrecht, The Netherlands

- <sup>15</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, The School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom
- <sup>16</sup>Department of Social and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
- <sup>17</sup>Department of Basque Regional Health, Public Health Division of Gipuzkoa-BIODONOSTIA, Guipúzcoa, Spain
- <sup>18</sup>CIBER of Epidemiology and Public Health, Madrid, Spain
- <sup>19</sup>Escuela Andaluza de Salud Pública, Instituto de Investigación Biosanitaria ibs.GRANADA, Hospitales Universitarios de Granada/Universidad de Granada, Granada, Spain
- <sup>20</sup>Public Health Directorate, Asturias, Spain
- <sup>21</sup>Navarra Public Health Institute, Pamplona, Spain
- <sup>22</sup>IdiSNA, Navarra Institute for Health Research, Pamplona, Spain
- <sup>23</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Regional Health Council, Murcia, Spain
- <sup>24</sup>Department of Health and Social Sciences, Universidad de Murcia, Murcia, Spain

<sup>25</sup>Unit of Nutrition and Cancer, Cancer Epidemiology Research Program, Catalan Institute of Oncology-IDIBELL. L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain

- <sup>26</sup>Department of Translational Medicine, Medical Faculty, Lund University, Malmö, Sweden
- <sup>27</sup>Department of Urology, Skåne University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden
- <sup>28</sup>Nutrition Epidemiology Research Group, Department of Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Malmö, Sweden
- <sup>29</sup>Clinical Research Centre, Malmö University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden
- <sup>30</sup>Department of Nutrition and Metabolism, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France
- <sup>31</sup>Department of Hygiene and Epidemiology, University of Ioannina, School of Medicine, Ioannina, Greece
- <sup>32</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom
- <sup>33</sup>Department of Nutrition, Bjørknes University College, Oslo, Norway
- <sup>34</sup>Department of Endocrinology, Morbid Obesity and Preventive Medicine, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

The associations of individual dietary fatty acids with prostate cancer risk have not been examined comprehensively. We examined the prospective association of individual dietary fatty acids with prostate cancer risk overall, by tumor subtypes, and prostate cancer death. 142,239 men from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition who were free from cancer at recruitment were included. Dietary intakes of individual fatty acids were estimated using center-specific validated dietary questionnaires at baseline and calibrated with 24-h recalls. Multivariable Cox regression models were used to estimate hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). After an average follow-up of 13.9 years, 7,036 prostate cancer cases and 936 prostate cancer deaths were ascertained. Intakes of individual fatty acids were not related to overall prostate cancer risk. There was evidence of heterogeneity in the association of some short chain saturated fatty acids with prostate cancer risk by tumor stage ( $p_{heterogeneity} < 0.015$ ), with a positive association with risk of advanced stage disease for butyric acid (4:0;  $HR_{1SD} = 1.08$ ; 95%CI = 1.01–1.15; *p*-trend = 0.026). There were no associations with fatal prostate cancer, with the exception of a slightly higher risk for those who consumed more eicosenoic acid (22:1n-9c;  $HR_{1SD} = 1.05$ ; 1.00–1.11; *p*-trend = 0.048) and eicosapentaenoic acid (20:5n-3c;  $HR_{1SD} = 1.07$ ; 1.00–1.14; *p*-trend = 0.045). There was no evidence that dietary intakes of individual fatty acids were associated with overall prostate cancer risk. However, a higher intake of butyric acid might be associated with a higher risk of advanced, whereas intakes of eicosenoic and eicosapentaenoic acids might be positively associated with fatal prostate cancer risk.

# What's new?

Are individual dietary fatty acids associated with prostate cancer development and progression? In this large, prospective study, the authors found that for prostate cancer overall, the answer is no. However, a higher intake of butyric acid may be associated with an increased risk of advanced-stage prostate cancer, and higher intakes of eicosenoic and eicosapentaenoic acids may be positively associated with risk of lethal prostate cancer.

## Introduction

Prostate cancer is the most frequently diagnosed cancer in men in Europe,<sup>1</sup> but the well-established risk factors age, ethnicity, genetic factors and family history of the disease are not modifiable.<sup>2,3</sup> There is also evidence that circulating insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) is related to higher overall prostate cancer risk,<sup>4</sup> and

obesity has been associated with a higher risk of aggressive disease.<sup>5</sup> Moreover, the wide international variation in prostate cancer incidence and the changing rates observed in migrant studies suggest that environmental and lifestyle factors, such as dietary factors, are possible risk factors for the disease.<sup>6</sup> However, some of this international variation is due to differences between countries in prostate-specific antigen (PSA) testing, which has especially increased the diagnosis of nonaggressive tumors<sup>1</sup>; therefore, to provide more clarity on prostate cancer etiology, it is important that analytical studies characterize prostate cancer by stage, grade and fatality of the disease.

The possible role of total and specific types of dietary fats in relation to prostate cancer development and progression has attracted much interest.<sup>7,8</sup> The latest meta-analysis from the World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research (WCRF/AICR) stated that the evidence was limited and no conclusion could be reached on whether consumption of total fat, saturated fatty acids (SFAs), monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs), or polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) is associated with overall prostate cancer risk or with risk for 'advanced/high grade' prostate cancer.<sup>2,9</sup> However, this meta-analysis did not differentiate between stage and grade of the disease because of the small number of available studies with data on both these outcomes, and associations with prostate cancer death were not available. Moreover, recent studies have shown that individual fatty acids may confer heterogeneous health effects,<sup>10–12</sup> which might explain the current inconclusive results on the role of dietary fat on prostate cancer.

The aim of this study was to examine the association of intakes of individual dietary fatty acids with the risk of prostate cancer, and to examine whether any associations differ by tumor grade, stage, or for death from prostate cancer in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC).

# Material and Methods Subjects and study design

EPIC includes 153,457 men recruited between 1992 and 2000 from 19 centers, most aged 35–70 years, in 19 centers in eight European countries (Denmark, Germany, Greece, Italy, Netherlands, Spain, Sweden and United Kingdom (UK)) (more details in Supporting Information Methods). The details of the study design used in the EPIC study have been described elsewhere.<sup>13</sup> Men were not eligible for this analysis if they were diagnosed with cancer (except nonmelanoma skin cancer) before recruitment (n = 3,972), if they had missing dates of prostate cancer diagnosis (n = 14) or follow-up (n = 1,433), or if they were aged <20 years at recruitment (n = 2). Men were also excluded if they had no nondietary or dietary data, or if they had an extreme energy intake in relation to estimated requirement (top and bottom 1%, n = 5,766).<sup>14</sup> Complete data on diet and follow-up for prostate cancer were available for 142,239 men (Supporting Information Fig. S1).

## Assessment of dietary intake and other predictor variables

At baseline, information was collected on lifestyle, health status, socio-demographic characteristics, anthropometry and medical history.<sup>13</sup> Dietary intake during the year before enrolment was measured by country- or center-specific validated food frequency questionnaires (FFQs) or diet histories, as previously described.<sup>13,15</sup> To correct for any systematic under- or overestimation of dietary intake across the participating centers, dietary intakes from the questionnaires were calibrated using a standardized, computer-based,

24-h dietary recall method in an 8% random sample of the whole EPIC cohort.

In order to estimate the intakes of individual fatty acids, the EPIC Nutrient Database (ENDB) was matched with the National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference of the United States (NNDSR; developed at the USDA).<sup>15,16</sup> The fatty acid intakes reported in this manuscript were obtained through this extra USDA matching (more details in Supporting Information Methods). Due to the very small amounts of some individual fatty acids, we only included those with a mean total intake of at least 0.05 g/day in these analyses, with the exception of docosapentaenoic acid (22:5n-3c), which was included due to its previously suggested role in prostate cancer risk.<sup>12</sup> Fatty acids were presented and analyzed as grams per 1,000 kcal/day in order to control for confounding by total energy intake.<sup>17</sup> Short chain fatty acids were strongly correlated with each other (Supporting Information Table S2A), probably because of their shared food sources<sup>18</sup>; therefore, in addition to analyzing short chain SFAs individually, they were also combined together in groups as 4:0-10:0 and 12:0-14:0.10

## Ascertainment of prostate cancer

The main source of information on cancer incidence, tumor subtypes and vital status was population-based cancer and mortality registries. In Germany and Greece follow-up was based on a combination of methods, including health insurance records, cancer and pathology registries, as well as active follow-up through participants or relatives; self-reported incident cancers were verified through medical records. Follow-up began at the date of recruitment and was censored at the date of last known contact, or at the date of diagnosis of cancer, death, emigration or the end of the follow-up period, whichever came first. Prostate cancer (n = 7,036) was defined as code C61 in the 10th Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases, Injuries and Causes of Death (ICD).<sup>19</sup>

Grade (based on Gleason sum) was classified as low-intermediate (Gleason sum of <8, or grade coded as well, moderately, or poorly differentiated; n = 3,757) or high (Gleason sum of ≥8, or grade coded as undifferentiated; n = 726) grade. Information on stage was based on tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) staging code. Localized stage included those confined within the prostate and with no metastases at diagnosis (TNM staging score of  $\leq T_2$  and N<sub>0</sub>/N<sub>x</sub> and M<sub>0</sub>, or stage coded in the recruitment center as localized; n = 2,641). Advanced cases included tumors that had spread beyond the prostate at diagnosis (T<sub>3</sub>-T<sub>4</sub> and/or N<sub>1</sub>-N<sub>3</sub> and/or M<sub>1</sub>, and/or stage coded in the recruitment center as metastatic; n = 1,389). Fatal cases were those who died of prostate cancer (n = 936).

## Statistical analysis

Baseline characteristics of the study population were calculated across fifths of total SFAs, MUFAs and PUFAs intake in grams/1000 kcal and presented as means with standard deviations (SDs) for continuous variables or percentages for categorical variables. Pearson correlations between intakes of individual SFAs, MUFAs and PUFAs were calculated. Each individual fatty acid was divided into fifths of intake in grams/1000 kcal/day based on the distribution in the EPIC cohort and also modeled as continuous variables per SD higher intake.

Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated using Cox proportional hazards models using attained age as the underlying time variable. The date of last follow-up ranged from January 2011 in Germany to October 2013 in Spain. All analyses were stratified by center and age (<50, 50-54.9, 55-59.9, 60–64.9, 65–69.9, and  $\geq$ 70 years) at recruitment. To check for violation of the proportional hazards assumption we used time-varying covariates and Schoenfeld residuals, which did not indicate violation from the proportional hazards assumption. Tests for linear trend were performed using continuous values for dietary fatty acids, with increments based on one SD increase. All models were adjusted for educational level (no degree or equivalent, degree or equivalent, unknown), smoking status (never, former, current, unknown), marital status (married or cohabiting, not married or cohabiting, unknown), diabetes (no, ves, unknown), physical activity (inactive, moderately inactive, moderately active, active, unknown<sup>20</sup>), height (<170, 170–174, 175–179, ≥180 cm, unknown), BMI (<22.5, 22.5–24.9, 25–29.9,  $\geq$  30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, unknown), and total energy intake (fifths). Participants with missing values were assigned an "unknown" category; <3% of values were missing for each covariate, with the exception of marital status, for which 30% of values were missing.

Tests for heterogeneity of trends for histological grade (lowintermediate or high), tumor stage (localized or advanced), and time between blood collection and diagnosis (<5 years,  $\geq$ 5 years)] were performed. For this, we fitted separate models for each subgroup assuming independence of the HRs using a method analogous to competing risks, and compared the risk coefficients and standard errors in the subgroups of interest after excluding cases of unknown grade or stage.<sup>21</sup>

All analyses were performed using Stata version 14.1 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX), all tests of significance were two-sided, and a *p*-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Conventional *p*-values are shown but the results were interpreted in the light of the number of tests performed.

#### Results

A total of 7,036 men were diagnosed with prostate cancer after an average follow-up time of 13.9 years. The median age at prostate cancer diagnosis was 68 years (range, 41–95 years). Table 1 shows the characteristics of the study participants at baseline. Some baseline characteristics varied by fatty acids consumption. For example, men in the highest fifth of SFAs intake were more likely to have an education degree and have a higher total energy intake. Men in the highest fifths of MUFAs and PUFAs intake were more likely to be younger at recruitment and older at prostate cancer diagnosis.

Palmitic acid (16:0), oleic acid (18:1n-9c) and linoleic acid (18:2n-6c) were the largest contributors to total SFAs, MUFAs and PUFAs intake, respectively (Supporting Information Table S1). Butyric acid (4:0), caproic acid (6:0), caprylic acid (8:0)

and capric acid (10:0) were strongly correlated with each other (correlation coefficients ranged from 0.840 to 0.972). There was also a strong correlation between palmitic acid (16:0) and stearic acid (18:0) (correlation coefficient 0.814, Supporting Information Table S2A). Although individual MUFAs were correlated, these correlations were not very strong (Supporting Information Table S2B). The PUFAs eicosapentaenoic acid (20:5n-3c), docosapentaenoic acid (22:5n-3c) and docosahexaenoic acid (22:6n-3c) were also strongly correlated (correlation coefficients ranged from 0.872 to 0.968, Supporting Information Table S2C).

The associations of intakes of individual SFAs, MUFAs and PUFAs with risk for overall prostate cancer, prostate cancer subdivided by grade and stage of disease, and for prostate cancer death, using both the observed and calibrated intakes, are shown in Tables 2 (Supporting Information Table S3 for observed intakes), 3 (Supporting Information Table S4 for observed intakes), and 4 (Supporting Information Table S5 for observed intakes), respectively. Results for observed and calibrated intakes were similar in direction; therefore, from here on we will only report calibrated results.

Intakes of individual SFAs, MUFAs and PUFAs were not related to overall prostate cancer risk (Supporting Information Table S6 and Tables 2-3 and 4). Removing BMI as a covariate in the model has no impact on any of the results. There was no evidence of heterogeneity in separate analyses by grade (Tables 2-3 and 4). We found evidence of heterogeneity in the association of some SFAs [butyric acid (4:0), caproic acid (6:0), 4:0-10:0 combined and 12:0-14:0 combined] with prostate cancer risk by tumor stage ( $p_{\text{heterogeneity for all}} < 0.03$ ; Table 2), with a positive association with risk of advanced stage disease for butyric acid (4:0; HR<sub>1SD in calibrated intake</sub> = 1.08; 1.01-1.15; *p*-trend = 0.026), and no significant associations with localized disease. There was also evidence of heterogeneity by tumor stage ( $p_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.021$ ) in the association between arachidonic acid (20:4n-6c) with prostate cancer risk, with a higher intake of this PUFA being weakly associated with a lower risk of advanced disease (HR<sub>1SD in calibrated intake</sub> = 0.91, 0.82–1.01; *p*-trend = 0.072; Table 4).

We observed no associations between intakes of individual dietary fatty acids and prostate cancer death, with the exception of a small increased risk for those with a higher intake of eicosenoic acid (22:1n-9c; HR<sub>1SD in calibrated intake</sub> = 1.05; 95% CI 1.00– 1.11; *p*-trend = 0.048; Table 3) and eicosapentaenoic acid (20:5n-3c; HR<sub>1SD in calibrated intake</sub> = 1.07; 95% CI 1.00–1.14; *p*-trend = 0.045; Table 4).

Although there was some evidence of heterogeneity for the association of some long-chain SFAs with total prostate cancer risk when subdivided by time between recruitment and diagnosis (<5 years,  $\geq$ 5 years; Supporting Information Table S7), the associations at each of the follow-up times were not statistically significant. There was no evidence of heterogeneity for the rest of individual fatty acids by follow-up time.

# Discussion

In this large prospective study, intakes of individual fatty acids were not associated with overall prostate cancer risk. However, a

0	
H	
E	
E.	
ĕ	
H	
9	
-	
e	
2	
100	

20

ומטור די סמצפוווים נוומומנופוואונא טו 142,207 וויפון וון ברור (1792–2012) מבנטוטוווץ נו טטצפו עפן סראס, וויטראא בולואה הל האהמיטה אווראה של האבמיימה כבעה ברוב (1792 ביודאים) מכנטוטוון נו	Eifthe of ohsen	JI 142,239 IIIEII III EFIC (1992-2 Fifths of obsenved SFAs intake	ה) מרנטומוווצ נט	Fifthe of observed	Eifths of observed MIFAs intake	IIIIakes	Eifthe of ohsen	Fifthe of obcorried DIIFAe intake	
					במ ואסו בר וסואים				
	1	3	5	1	3	5	1	Э	5
No. of men	28,448	28,448	28,447	28,448	28,448	28,447	28,448	28,448	28,447
Age at recruitment <sup>1</sup> , y	52.2 (9.7)	51.7 (10.3)	51.1 (10.3)	52.8 (10.6)	51.8 (9.8)	50.6 (10.5)	52.3 (8.5)	51.7 (10.1)	51.0 (11.4)
Age at diagnosis <sup>1</sup> , y	67.8 (6.4)	68.1 (6.8)	67.5 (6.9)	68.7 (6.8)	68.0 (6.5)	66.9 (6.7)	67.1 (6.2)	67.8 (6.6)	68.5 (7.0)
Smoking, n (%)									
Never	8,990 (31.6)	9,475 (33.3)	9,526 (33.5)	9,958 (35.0)	9,713 (34.1)	8,175 (28.7)	7,794 (27.4)	9,721 (34.2)	10,262 (36.1)
Former	10,650 (37.4)	10,266 (36.1)	9,838 (34.6)	11,130 (39.1)	10,299 (36.2)	9,400 (33.0)	10,983 (38.6)	10,481 (36.8)	9,347 (32.9)
Current	8,479 (29.8)	8,281 (29.1)	8,704 (30.6)	6,979 (24.5)	8,137 (28.6)	10,193 (35.8)	9,375 (33.0)	7,892 (27.7)	8,324 (29.3)
Educational level, n (%)									
No degree	20,722 (72.8)	20,560 (72.3)	18,919 (66.5)	18,064 (63.5)	19,806 (69.6)	22,702 (79.8)	21,226 (74.6)	19,770 (69.5)	19,954 (70.1)
Degree	6,638 (23.3)	7,156 (25.2)	8,918 (31.3)	8,724 (30.7)	7,996 (28.1)	5,552 (19.5)	6,887 (24.2)	8,014 (28.2)	7,139 (25.1)
Physical activity, n (%)									
Inactive	5,527 (19.4)	5,134 (18.0)	5,539 (19.5)	5,366 (18.9)	4,745 (16.7)	6,816 (24.0)	5,002 (17.6)	5,221 (18.4)	5,861 (20.6)
Moderately inactive	8,502 (29.9)	8,721 (30.7)	8,854 (31.1)	8,426 (29.6)	8,770 (30.8)	8,782 (30.9)	9,033 (31.8)	8,618 (30.3)	8,285 (29.1)
Moderately active	6,950 (24.4)	6,793 (23.9)	6,958 (24.5)	6,773 (23.8)	6,906 (24.3)	7,013 (24.7)	6,943 (24.4)	7,004 (24.6)	6,992 (24.6)
Active	7,190 (25.3)	7,088 (24.9)	6,320 (22.2)	7,297 (25.7)	7,271 (25.6)	5,570 (19.6)	7,272 (25.6)	6,870 (24.1)	6,516 (22.9)
Diabetes at baseline, n (%)									
No	26,578 (93.4)	26,848 (94.4)	26,869 (94.5)	26,618 (93.6)	26,937 (94.7)	26,610 (93.5)	27,048 (95.1)	26,715 (93.9)	26,680 (93.8)
Yes	1,203 (4.2)	923 (3.2)	1,064 (3.7)	1,024 (3.6)	864 (3.0)	1,459 (5.1)	981 (3.4)	1,104 (3.9)	961 (3.4)
Marital status, n (%)									
Married	11,222 (39.4)	16,441 (57.8)	19,193 (67.5)	14,234 (50.0)	15,587 (54.8)	18,378 (64.6)	16,023 (56.3)	15,925 (56.0)	15,389 (54.1)
Not married	2,944 (10.3)	3,624 (12.7)	4,983 (17.5)	4,210 (14.8)	3,707 (13.0)	3,117 (11.0)	3,084 (10.8)	3,785 (13.3)	4,395 (15.4)
Height <sup>1</sup> , cm	173.1 (7.4)	175.0 (7.3)	175.8 (7.1)	175.4 (7.0)	175.5 (7.2)	172.2 (7.5)	173.5 (7.3)	175.2 (7.2)	174.9 (7.5)
BMI <sup>1</sup> , kg/m <sup>2</sup>	26.8 (3.7)	26.4 (3.6)	26.3 (3.7)	26.3 (3.7)	26.2 (3.5)	27.3 (3.8)	26.7 (3.6)	26.5 (3.6)	26.2 (3.8)
Total energy intake <sup>1</sup> , Kcal/d	2,289 (641)	2,426 (650)	2,508 (701)	2,232 (623)	2,442 (641)	2,500 (703)	2,431 (679)	2,391 (648)	2,438 (676)
Percentages do not match due to missing data. Fifths calculated from g/1000 kcal of each fatty acid. <sup>1</sup> Values are means (SD).	o missing data. Fift	s calculated from	g/1000 kcal of eac	ch fatty acid.					
ADDREVIATIONS: BMI, DODY MASS INDEX; MULAS, MONOUNSATUTATED TATLY ACIDS; PULAS, POIJUNSATUTATED TATLY ACIDS; SFAS, SATUTATED TATLY ACIDS.	Index; MUFAS, mono	ounsaturated ratty	acias; PUFAS, polyi	unsaturated ratty a	cids; SFAS, saturat	ed ratty acids.			

Table 2. Multivariable-adjusted hazard ratios (95% CI) for prostate cancer per 1-SD increase of total fat and individual saturated fatty acids intake in 142,239 men in EPIC (1992–2013)

		Calibrated		
	No. cases	HR (95% CI) <sup>1</sup>	P trend <sup>2</sup>	P het <sup>3</sup>
Total Fat				
Total prostate cancer	7,036	0.99 (0.96-1.02)	0.389	
Grade				
Low	3,757	0.95 (0.91-1.00)	0.038	
High	726	1.04 (0.95-1.14)	0.419	0.084
Stage				
Localized	2,641	0.98 (0.93-1.04)	0.544	
Advanced	1,389	1.01 (0.95-1.08)	0.708	0.392
Fatal prostate cancer	936	1.00 (0.92-1.08)	0.965	
Total SFAs				
Total prostate cancer	7,036	1.00 (0.96-1.03)	0.850	
Grade	,			
Low	3,757	0.96 (0.92-1.01)	0.144	
High	726	1.07 (0.96–1.19)	0.234	0.041
Stage				
Localized	2,641	0.97 (0.91-1.02)	0.239	
Advanced	1,389	1.05 (0.97–1.13)	0.257	0.052
Fatal prostate cancer	936	1.02 (0.92–1.12)	0.723	
Butyric acid (4:0)				
Total prostate cancer	7,036	1.01 (0.98-1.04)	0.496	
Grade	.,			
Low	3,757	0.99 (0.95–1.03)	0.724	
High	726	1.07 (0.97–1.17)	0.162	0.035
Stage				
Localized	2,641	0.96 (0.92-1.01)	0.135	
Advanced	1,389	1.08 (1.01-1.15)	0.026	0.004
Fatal prostate cancer	936	1.02 (0.94–1.12)	0.599	
Caproic acid (6:0)				
Total prostate cancer	7,036	1.00 (0.97-1.04)	0.819	
Grade	,			
Low	3,757	0.98 (0.94-1.02)	0.380	
High	726	1.06 (0.97–1.16)	0.198	0.034
Stage				
Localized	2,641	0.96 (0.91-1.00)	0.071	
Advanced	1,389	1.06 (1.00–1.13)	0.053	0.006
Fatal prostate cancer	936	1.03 (0.95–1.12)	0.476	
Caprylic acid (8:0)				
Total prostate cancer	7,036	1.00 (0.97–1.03)	0.937	
Grade	.,			
Low	3,757	0.97 (0.93–1.01)	0.156	
High	726	1.04 (0.95–1.14)	0.394	0.068
Stage				
Localized	2,641	0.95 (0.91–1.00)	0.042	
Advanced	1,389	1.05 (0.99–1.12)	0.122	0.013
Fatal prostate cancer	936	1.05 (0.97–1.13)	0.272	0.019
Capric acid (10:0)	///		J/ _	

(Continues)

Table 2. Multivariable-adjusted hazard ratios (95% CI) for prostate cancer per 1-SD increase of total fat and individual saturated fatty acids intake in 142,239 men in EPIC (1992-2013) (Continued)

		Calibrated		
	No. cases	HR (95% CI) <sup>1</sup>	P trend <sup>2</sup>	P het <sup>3</sup>
Total prostate cancer	7,036	1.01 (0.97–1.04)	0.775	
Grade				
Low	3,757	0.98 (0.94-1.03)	0.397	
High	726	1.06 (0.95–1.17)	0.317	0.072
Stage				
Localized	2,641	0.96 (0.90-1.01)	0.116	
Advanced	1,389	1.06 (0.99–1.14)	0.093	0.023
Fatal prostate cancer	936	1.04 (0.94–1.14)	0.481	
Lauric acid (12:0)				
Total prostate cancer	7,036	1.00 (0.97-1.04)	0.791	
Grade				
Low	3,757	0.98 (0.93-1.02)	0.333	
High	726	1.07 (0.97–1.19)	0.182	0.102
Stage		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Localized	2,641	0.96 (0.91-1.01)	0.153	
Advanced	1,389	1.07 (0.99–1.14)	0.079	0.078
Fatal prostate cancer	936	1.06 (0.96–1.17)	0.253	
Myristic acid (14:0)	,,,,,	1.00 (0.90 1.17)	01200	
Total prostate cancer	7,036	1.00 (0.97-1.04)	0.916	
Grade	1,050	1.00 (0.97 1.04)	0.910	
Low	3,757	0.97 (0.93-1.02)	0.208	
High	726	1.06 (0.96–1.17)	0.265	0.028
Stage	720	1.00 (0.90 1.17)	0.205	0.020
Localized	2,641	0.96 (0.91-1.01)	0.113	
Advanced	1,389	1.06 (0.98–1.14)	0.129	0.013
Fatal prostate cancer	936	1.08 (0.98–1.14)	0.426	0.015
Pentadecanoic acid (15:0)	930	1.04 (0.93-1.14)	0.420	
	7.024	0.08 (0.04, 1.01)	0.150	
Total prostate cancer Grade	7,036	0.98 (0.94–1.01)	0.152	
	2 757	0.00 (0.04, 1.04)	0 (10	
Low	3,757	0.99 (0.94–1.04)	0.618	0 4 2 7
High	726	0.93 (0.84–1.03)	0.146	0.427
Stage	2 ( ) 4		0.242	
Localized	2,641	0.96 (0.91–1.02)	0.212	
Advanced	1,389	0.97 (0.91–1.04)	0.430	0.511
Fatal prostate cancer	936	0.97 (0.89–1.05)	0.410	
Palmitic acid (16:0)		(		
Total prostate cancer	7,036	0.99 (0.95–1.03)	0.627	
Grade				
Low	3,757	0.96 (0.91–1.01)	0.104	
High	726	1.06 (0.95–1.19)	0.282	0.064
Stage				
Localized	2,641	0.97 (0.91–1.03)	0.324	
Advanced	1,389	1.03 (0.95–1.12)	0.436	0.131
Fatal prostate cancer	936	1.02 (0.92–1.12)	0.709	
Margaric acid (17:0)				
Total prostate cancer	7,036	0.99 (0.96–1.02)	0.549	

(Continues)

Cancer Epidemiology

Table 2. Multivariable-adjusted hazard ratios (95% CI) for prostate cancer per 1-SD increase of total fat and individual saturated fatty acids intake in 142,239 men in EPIC (1992–2013) (Continued)

		Calibrated		
	No. cases	HR (95% CI) <sup>1</sup>	P trend <sup>2</sup>	P het <sup>3</sup>
Grade				
Low	3,757	0.98 (0.94-1.03)	0.442	
High	726	1.03 (0.93-1.13)	0.562	0.130
Stage				
Localized	2,641	0.96 (0.92-1.01)	0.141	
Advanced	1,389	1.06 (0.99–1.13)	0.099	0.036
Fatal prostate cancer	936	0.98 (0.90-1.08)	0.738	
Stearic acid (18:0)				
Total prostate cancer	7,036	0.99 (0.95-1.03)	0.628	
Grade				
Low	3,757	0.96 (0.90-1.01)	0.118	
High	726	1.06 (0.94–1.20)	0.349	0.087
Stage				
Localized	2,641	0.97 (0.90-1.04)	0.357	
Advanced	1,389	1.04 (0.95–1.14)	0.387	0.117
Fatal prostate cancer	936	0.99 (0.89–1.10)	0.799	
, Arachidic acid (20:0)				
Total prostate cancer	7,036	0.99 (0.94–1.04)	0.801	
Grade	,,			
Low	3,757	0.99 (0.93–1.06)	0.861	
High	726	0.95 (0.81–1.12)	0.565	0.963
Stage	, 20		01909	0.909
Localized	2,641	1.01 (0.94–1.10)	0.739	
Advanced	1,389	0.96 (0.86–1.07)	0.458	0.297
Fatal prostate cancer	936	0.89 (0.77–1.04)	0.140	01277
Behenic acid (22:0)	,,,,		012 10	
Total prostate cancer	7,036	0.99 (0.95–1.03)	0.616	
Grade	1,050		0.010	
Low	3,757	0.99 (0.94-1.04)	0.707	
High	726	0.90 (0.76–1.06)	0.210	0.665
Stage	720	0.90 (0.70 1.00)	0.210	0.005
Localized	2,641	1.02 (0.95–1.09)	0.581	
Advanced	1,389	0.94 (0.85–1.04)	0.243	0.275
Fatal prostate cancer	936	0.90 (0.77–1.04)	0.156	0.275
4:0–10:0	750	0.90 (0.77 1.04)	0.190	
Total prostate cancer	7,036	1.01 (0.97–1.04)	0.718	
Grade	7,000	1.01 (0.97 - 1.04)	0.718	
Low	3,757	0.98 (0.94–1.03)	0.435	
High	726	1.06 (0.96–1.16)	0.234	0.043
Stage	720	1.00 (0.90-1.10)	0.204	0.045
Localized	2 641	0.96 (0.91-1.01)	0.093	
Advanced	2,641			0.000
	1,389	1.07 (1.00-1.14)	0.054	0.008
Fatal prostate cancer	936	1.03 (0.95–1.12)	0.464	
12:0-14:0	7.00/		0.050	
Total prostate cancer	7,036	1.00 (0.97–1.04)	0.859	

(Continues)

		Calibrated		
	No. cases	HR (95% CI) <sup>1</sup>	P trend <sup>2</sup>	P het <sup>3</sup>
Grade				
Low	3,757	0.97 (0.93-1.02)	0.229	
High	726	1.07 (0.96–1.18)	0.223	0.030
Stage				
Localized	2,641	0.96 (0.91-1.01)	0.124	
Advanced	1,389	1.06 (0.99–1.14)	0.103	0.016
Fatal prostate cancer	936	1.05 (0.96–1.16)	0.299	

Table 2. Multivariable-adjusted hazard ratios (95% Cl) for prostate cancer per 1-SD increase of total fat and individual saturated fatty acids intake in 142,239 men in EPIC (1992–2013) (Continued)

Cox regression analysis. All models are stratified by centre and age at recruitment and adjusted for age (underlying time variable), educational level (no degree, degree or unknown), smoking status (never, former, current or unknown), marital status (married, not married, unknown), diabetes (yes, no, unknown), physical activity (inactive, moderately inactive, moderately active, active, unknown), height (<170, 170–174, 175–179,  $\geq$ 180 cm or unknown), body mass index (<22.5, 22.5–24.9, 25–29.9,  $\geq$ 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or unknown), and total energy intake (fifths).

Low-intermediate grade (Gleason score of <8, or grade coded as well, moderately, or poorly differentiated). High grade (Gleason score of <8, or grade coded as undifferentiated). Localized stage (TNM staging score of T0-T2 and N0/Nx and M0, or stage coded in the recruitment centre as localized). Advanced stage (T3-T4 and/or N1-N3 and/or M1, and/or stage coded in the recruitment centre as metastatic).

<sup>1</sup>HR (95% CI) estimated per 1-SD increase in fatty acids intake.

<sup>2</sup>Linear trends for HRs estimates over a continuous scale of the individual fatty acid.

<sup>3</sup>*P*-value from test for heterogeneity for the associations of intake the individual fatty acids with risk of prostate cancer categorized according to prostate tumor grade (low-intermediate or high) and stage (localized or advanced).

Abbreviation: SFAs saturated fatty acids.

higher intake of butyric acid was positively associated with risk for advanced stage prostate cancer. There was also a small increased risk of fatal prostate cancer risk with higher intakes of eicosenoic acid and eicosapentaenoic acid.

The possible association between fat intake (total fat and specific fatty acids) with prostate cancer risk and/or progression has generated considerable debate. Animal and cell studies have shown that dietary fat can promote metastasis.<sup>22-24</sup> Several mechanisms that may underpin this association have been proposed. These include a positive association of total fat intake with IGF-I<sup>25</sup> and androgen<sup>26</sup> concentrations and, based on experiments in mice, a possible role in the activation of the IGF-Akt pathway and the proliferation of prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN) epithelial cells.<sup>23</sup> Nevertheless, data from prospective studies are inconclusive.<sup>2</sup> There are various differences between prospective studies that could account for the inconclusive findings, such as that most studies have combined grade and stage of the disease, and that the type of fatty acid rather than total amount may play a role in prostate cancer development and/or progression.

Our finding of an increased risk of advanced prostate cancer associated with higher butyric acid (4:0), caproic acid (6:0), and 4:0–10:0 combined intakes is difficult to put into context, as other prospective studies have not examined these associations. A previous survival analyses among Swedish men initially diagnosed with localized prostate cancer found that a higher intake of short chain fatty acids (4:0–10:0) may increase risk of prostate cancer death<sup>27</sup>; myristic acid (14:0) was also related with worse prostate cancer survival in this study, but we did not find an association of this SFA with prostate cancer risk. A prospective study in Japanese men also found a positive association between myristic and palmitic acid and prostate cancer risk.<sup>28</sup> Dairy products are the main dietary source of short chain fatty acids,18 which might be involved in prostate cancer etiology.<sup>2</sup> Therefore, it is also possible that the observed associations are driven by other compounds present in dairy products, such as protein or calcium.<sup>29</sup> However, when we further adjusted the multivariable-adjusted models for protein or calcium from dairy products, the significant association between butyric acid and advanced prostate cancer risk was maintained and was even a bit stronger (protein adjustment, HR<sub>1SD in calibrated intake</sub> = 1.09; 1.02–1.16; *p*-trend = 0.016; calcium adjustment, HR<sub>1SD in calibrated intake</sub> = 1.09; 1.01–1.16; *p*-trend = 0.020). Moreover, butter is particularly high in butyric acid and it is also a good source of phytanic acid, which has been related to prostate cancer in the Alpha-Tocopherol, Beta-Carotene Cancer Prevention Study,<sup>30</sup> a cohort of Finnish male smokers. Phytanic acid is also high in fish, and as discussed below, we have also found a weak association between eicosapentaenoic acid and death from prostate cancer. However, circulating phytanic acid concentrations were not significantly associated with prostate cancer risk in the EPIC cohort.31

A higher intake of arachidonic acid (20:4n-6c) was weakly associated with a lower risk of advanced disease, which is consistent with a previous individual participant meta-analysis of prospective studies on circulating fatty acids that found an inverse association with aggressive prostate cancer.<sup>12</sup> However, previous prospective studies have found no association between dietary arachidonic acid and prostate cancer risk.<sup>32,33</sup> The possible mechanisms whereby arachidonic acid may inhibit prostate cancer progression are unknown, because previous experimental studies

locate         locate <th locat<="" th="" th<=""><th></th><th></th><th>Calibrated</th><th></th><th></th></th>	<th></th> <th></th> <th>Calibrated</th> <th></th> <th></th>			Calibrated		
Mat.         2/36         2/80         2/30           Instructione         2/37         2/80         2/80           1/37         1/37         2/80         1/12           1/37         2/80         1/20         1/12           1/37         2/81         1/10         1/12         1/12           1/38         2/81         1/10         1/12         1/12           1/38         2/81         1/10         1/11         1/12         1/12           1/38         1/38         1/10         1/10         1/12         1/12           1/39         1/12         1/10         1/11         1/12         1/12           1/39         1/12         1/10         1/11         1/12         1/12           1/31         1/12         1/10         1/12         1/12         1/12           1/31         1/12         1/12         1/12         1/12         1/12           1/31         1/12         1/12         1/12         1/12         1/12           1/31         1/12         1/12         1/12         1/12         1/12           1/31         1/12         1/12         1/12         1/12         1/12		No. cases	HR (95% CI) <sup>1</sup>	P trend <sup>2</sup>	P het <sup>3</sup>	
note cancer         706         0.96 0.97-1.02         0.30           175         176         0.95 0.97-1.13         0.43           176         176         1.06 0.97-1.13         0.43           176         2.64         0.95 0.97-1.13         0.43           176         170 0.97-1.13         0.43         0.43           176         170 0.97-1.13         0.93         0.93           176         170 0.97-1.13         0.93         0.93           176         170 0.97-1.13         0.93         0.93           176         170 0.97-1.13         0.93         0.93           176         170 0.97-1.13         0.93         0.93           176         170 0.97-1.13         0.93         0.93           176         170 0.97-1.13         0.73         0.46           176         170 0.97-1.13         0.73         0.46           176         170 0.97-1.13         0.73         0.46           177         178         0.98 0.97-1.13         0.46           178         179 0.97-1.13         0.46         0.46           178         179 0.97-1.13         0.46         0.46           179         170 0.97-1.13         0.46 <td>Total MUFAs</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Total MUFAs					
3.77 $0.90 - 0.01$ $0.12$ $126$ $100 (0.97 - 1.19)$ $0.13$ $100$ $2.641$ $100 (0.97 - 1.19)$ $0.391$ $100$ $2.641$ $100 (0.97 - 1.19)$ $0.991$ $100$ $1.389$ $100 (0.97 - 1.19)$ $0.991$ $100$ $1.380$ $100 (0.97 - 1.19)$ $0.991$ $100$ $1.380$ $0.90 (0.97 - 1.19)$ $0.991$ $100$ $1.380$ $0.90 (0.97 - 1.19)$ $0.920$ $100$ $1.380$ $0.991 - 1.291$ $0.920$ $100$ $0.97 - 1.291$ $0.920$ $0.920$ $100$ $0.97 - 1.291$ $0.770$ $0.732$ $100$ $0.77 - 1.190$ $0.720$ $0.732$ $100$ $0.77 - 1.190$ $0.720$ $0.732$ $100$ $0.77 - 1.190$ $0.720$ $0.732$ $1000$ $0.77 - 1.190$ $0.77$ $0.746$ $1000$ $0.77 - 1.100$ $0.746$ $0.746$ $0.100$ $0.790 - 0.102$	Total prostate cancer	7,036	0.98 (0.93–1.02)	0.303		
3.75         0.59 (0.00-1.01)         0.11           red         1.24         1.04 (0.51-1.18)         0.613           red         1.24         1.04 (0.51-1.16)         0.50           red         1.38         0.08 (0.87-1.10)         0.50           red         1.38         0.38 (0.87-1.10)         0.56           red         1.37         0.38 (0.87-1.10)         0.56           red         1.37         0.38 (0.87-1.10)         0.56           red         1.37         0.36 (0.87-1.10)         0.373           red         1.37         0.36 (0.87-1.10)         0.373           red         1.37         0.36 (0.87-1.10)         0.373           red         1.38         0.36 (0.87-1.13)         0.373           red         1.38         0.36 (0.87-1.13)         0.373           red         1.38         0.36 (0.87-1.13)         0.372           red         1.38         0.36 (0.87-1.13)         0.372           red         1.38         0.38 (0.87-1.13)         0.36           red         1.37         0.372         0.36           red         1.37         0.36 (0.97-1.13)         0.36           red         1.37 (0.87-1.13) <td>Grade</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Grade					
ind         726         104 (0.91-11.8)         06.3           ind         2.41         1.00 (0.91-1.01)         0.991           ind         1.330         0.86 (6.87-1.10)         0.991           ind         1.330         0.86 (6.87-1.10)         0.991           ind         1.330         0.86 (6.87-1.10)         0.60           obt card (16.67-7)         7.936         0.86 (6.87-1.10)         0.67           obt card (16.67-7)         7.936         0.86 (6.87-1.10)         0.66           obt card (16.67-7)         7.936         0.86 (6.87-1.10)         0.66           obt card (16.76-7)         7.93         0.86 (6.87-1.10)         0.67           obt card (16.76-7)         7.93         0.96 (6.87-1.10)         0.73           of (6.77-7)         2.641         1.06 (0.97-1.12)         0.73           of (6.87-7)         2.641         1.06 (0.97-1.13)         0.74           of (6.87-67)         7.35         0.96 (0.97-1.13)         0.46           of (6.87-67)         7.36         1.06 (0.97-1.13)         0.74           of (6.87-67)         7.35         0.96 (0.97-1.13)         0.46           of (6.87-67)         7.35         1.36 (0.97-1.13)         0.46 <td< td=""><td>Low</td><td>3,757</td><td>0.95 (0.90–1.01)</td><td>0.121</td><td></td></td<>	Low	3,757	0.95 (0.90–1.01)	0.121		
Red         2.641         1.00 (0.97-1.0)         0.991           Red         1.399         1.01 (0.91-1.1)         0.200           restare caree         35         0.38 (0.87-1.10)         0.200           restare caree         35         1.01 (0.91-1.10)         0.200           restare caree         3.75         0.96 (0.87-1.0)         0.375           restare caree         3.75         0.96 (0.87-1.0)         0.375           restare caree         3.75         0.96 (0.91-1.13)         0.375           restare caree         1.990         1.00 (0.91-1.13)         0.375           restare caree         1.910         0.91-1.13)         0.375           restare caree         1.910 (0.91-1.13)         0.375         0.446           restare caree         1.926         1.00 (0.91-1.13)         0.375           restare caree         3.757         0.96 (0.91-1.13)         0.375           restare caree         3.757         0.96 (0.91-1.13)         0.375           restare caree         1.900 (0.91-1.13)         0.346         0.366           restare caree         2.541         0.96 (0.91-1.13)         0.375           restare caree         2.541         0.96 (0.91-1.13)         0.316	High	726	1.04 (0.91–1.18)	0.613	0.411	
Ide         100         100         0.9-10         0.991           Ide         1,389         0.00         0.00         0.00           Ide carde         9.6         0.90         0.07         0.07           Ide carde         9.6         0.90         0.07         0.07           Ide carde         7.5         1.00         0.91-1.13         0.07           Ide carde         7.6         1.00         0.91-1.23         0.37           Ide carde         7.6         1.00         0.91-1.23         0.37           Ide carde         7.6         1.00         0.91-1.23         0.37           Ide carde         1.38         0.96         0.91-1.23         0.37           Ide carde         1.38         0.90         0.37         0.37           Ide carde         1.38         0.90         0.37         0.37           Ide carde         1.38         0.90         0.91-1.13         0.44           Ide carde         1.38         0.90         0.40         0.40           Ide carde         1.38         0.90         0.40         0.40           Ide carde         1.38         0.90         0.40         0.40 <td< td=""><td>Stage</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Stage					
ned         1.36         1.0 (0.9 - 1.1)         0.920           nearer cancer         93         0.88 (37-1)         0.67         0.67           nearer cancer         375         0.98 (0.87-1)         0.67         0.66           or can (0.67-72)         7.35         1.00 (0.94-1.05)         0.89         0.89           or can (0.67-72)         3.757         0.96 (0.94-1.12)         0.333           or can (0.67-72)         2.641         1.00 (0.91-1.12)         0.333           or can (0.67-72)         2.641         1.00 (0.91-1.12)         0.372           near cancer         93         1.00 (0.91-1.12)         0.372         0.323           near cancer         93         1.00 (0.91-1.12)         0.372         0.342           near cancer         93         0.36 (0.91-1.12)         0.372         0.345           noise cancer         93         0.36 (0.91-1.12)         0.46         0.46           noise cancer         93         0.36 (0.91-1.12)         0.46         0.46           noise cancer         1.38         0.36 (0.91-1.12)         0.46         0.46           noise cancer         1.39         0.36 (0.91-1.12)         0.46         0.46           noise cancer <t< td=""><td>Localized</td><td>2,641</td><td>1.00 (0.93-1.08)</td><td>0.991</td><td></td></t<>	Localized	2,641	1.00 (0.93-1.08)	0.991		
Instance         95         0.96 (0.87-1.10)         0.66           able card (16.1P.72)         7.35         9.00 (0.94-1.10)         0.833           able card (16.1P.72)         3.757         0.96 (0.94-1.10)         0.333           able card (16.1P.72)         3.757         0.96 (0.92-1.11)         0.333           able card (16.1P.72)         2.641         1.01 (0.92-1.11)         0.333           able carde         2.641         1.01 (0.92-1.13)         0.333           able carde         3.75         1.06 (0.91-1.23)         0.373           able carde         3.89         1.02 (0.92-1.13)         0.405           able carde         3.751         0.96 (0.92-1.13)         0.405           able carde         3.751         0.36 (0.92-1.13)         0.405           able carde         1.03 (0.92-1.13)         0.405         0.405           able carde         1.03 (0.92-1.13)         0.405         0.405           able carde         1.03 (0.92-1.13)         0.405         0.	Advanced	1,389	1.01 (0.91–1.11)	0.920	0.942	
olic cue (I (6.1)-7)         7.03         100 (0.94-1.03)         0.893           restare curve         7.03         0.80 (0.91-1.03)         0.373           restare curve         7.04         1.08 (0.91-1.13)         0.373           restare curve         2.441         1.01 (0.92-1.13)         0.373           restare curve         2.441         1.01 (0.92-1.13)         0.373           restare curve         1.389         1.02 (0.91-1.13)         0.372           restare curve         7.036         0.88 (0.94-1.03)         0.446           restare curve         7.036         0.98 (0.94-1.03)	Fatal prostate cancer	936	0.98 (0.87–1.10)	0.676		
orsalle cancer         7.036         1.00 (0.94–105)         0.893           orsalle cancer         3.357         0.96 (0.99–1.04)         0.353           ited         3.357         0.96 (0.99–1.14)         0.373           ited         2.441         1.01 (0.92–1.11)         0.779           ited         1.389         1.06 (0.91–1.13)         0.773           ited         1.389         1.06 (0.91–1.13)         0.773           ited         1.389         1.05 (0.91–1.13)         0.773           ited         1.380         0.94–1.03)         0.466           ited         2.441         0.98 (0.94–1.03)         0.466           ited         2.44         0.98 (0.94–1.03)         0.466           ited         2.44         0.98 (0.94–1.03)         0.466           ited         2.44         0.98 (0.97–1.13)         0.4	Palmitoleic acid (16:1n-7c)					
3,751         0.96 (0.36-1.0.4)         0.333           126         1.08 (0.91-1.28)         0.372           Illed         2,641         0.372         0.372           Illed         2,641         1.01 (0.92-1.11)         0.373           Illed         1.389         0.046         0.346           Illed         1.389         0.342         0.346           Illed         1.389         0.36-1.123         0.346           Illed         1.389         0.360-1.123         0.346           Idl (18.1.ApU         7.036         0.36 (0.91-1.12)         0.346           Idl (18.1.ApU         7.036         0.36 (0.91-1.12)         0.346           Idl (18.1.ApU         7.36         0.36 (0.91-1.12)         0.346           Idl (18.1.ApU         7.36         0.36 (0.91-1.12)         0.346           Idl (18.1.ApU         7.36         0.36 (0.91-1.12)         0.346           Idl data cancer         3.757         0.36 (0.91-1.12)         0.346           Idl data cancer         3.46         0.36 (0.91-1.12)         0.347           Idl data cancer         3.37         0.38 (0.91-1.13)         0.347           Interd         3.36         0.38 (0.92-1.13)         0.346 </td <td>Total prostate cancer</td> <td>7,036</td> <td>1.00 (0.94–1.05)</td> <td>0.893</td> <td></td>	Total prostate cancer	7,036	1.00 (0.94–1.05)	0.893		
3.75         0.56 (0.86-1.0)         0.333           Ited         2.64         1.06 (0.91-1.28)         0.373           Ited         1.38         1.08 (0.91-1.13)         0.779           Ited         1.38         1.02 (0.91-1.13)         0.73           Ited         1.38         1.02 (0.91-1.13)         0.73           Ited         1.38         0.98 (0.91-1.13)         0.405           Ited         2.73         0.98 (0.90-1.03)         0.405           Ited         1.75         0.98 (0.90-1.13)         0.405           Ited         1.35         0.98 (0.90-1.13)         0.405           Ited         1.375         0.98 (0.90-1.13)         0.405           Ited         1.38         0.99 (0.90-1.13)         0.405           Ited         1.38         0.99 (0.90-1.13)         0.405           Ited         1.38         0.98 (0.90-1.13)         0.405           Ited <td>Grade</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Grade					
i         726         108 (0.91-1.12)         0.372           lifed         2,641         101 (0.92-1.11)         0.779           med         1,389         102 (0.91-1.12)         0.779           med         1,389         102 (0.91-1.12)         0.745           med         1,389         102 (0.91-1.12)         0.446           med         3,757         0.88 (0.94-1.03)         0.466           med         3,757         0.98 (0.94-1.03)         0.466           med         3,757         0.98 (0.99-1.13)         0.466           med         2,641         0.98 (0.99-1.13)         0.466           med         1,375         0.38 (0.87-1.11)         0.466           med         1,389         1.03 (0.92-1.13)         0.466           med         1,389         0.38 (0.87-1.11)         0.466           med         1,389         0.38 (0.87-1.11)         0.566           med         1,389         0.38 (0.87-1.11)         0.74           med         1,399         0.38 (0.87-1.11)         0.74           med         1,399         0.38 (0.87-1.11)         0.74           med         1,399         0.38 (0.87-1.10)         0.74	Low	3,757	0.96 (0.89–1.04)	0.353		
diad         2,641         1.01 (0.9-1.1)         0.779           noned         1,389         1.02 (0.91-1.19)         0.742           non state cance         936         1.02 (0.91-1.19)         0.742           non state cance         936         1.02 (0.91-1.13)         0.465           not (df (3t-1)/2)         7,335         0.466         0.465           not state cance         3,757         0.36 (0.90-1.02)         0.465           not state cance         0.36 (0.90-1.13)         0.465         0.465           not state cance         0.46         0.36 (0.90-1.13)         0.465           not state cance         0.46         0.46         0.465           not state cance         0.46         0.46         0.465           not state cance         0.46         0.46         0.46           not state cance         0.46         0.46         0.46           not state cance         0.46         0.46         0.46           not state cance<	High	726	1.08 (0.91–1.28)	0.372	0.317	
lied         2,641         1,01 (0,2-1,11)         0.779           need         1,389         102 (0,91-1,12)         0.742           need         1,389         102 (0,91-1,12)         0.742           of distrance         1,389         102 (0,91-1,12)         0.742           ad (distray)         7,036         0,98 (0,91-10)         0.745           nostate cance         7,036         0,98 (0,91-10)         0.405           rist         2,641         0,98 (0,91-10)         0.405           rist         1,399         0,99 (0,92-110)         0.686           rist         1,399         0,98 (0,97-11)         0.686           rist         1,399         0,98 (0,97-11)         0.686           rist         1,399         0,98 (0,97-11)         0.777           rist         0,98 (0,97-11)         0.777         0.686           rist         0,98 (0,97-11)         0.777         0.744           rist         0,98 (0,97-11)         0.777         0.744           rist         0,98 (0,97-10)         0.747         0.744           rist         0,98 (0,97-10)         0.744         0.744           rist         0,777         0.744         0.744	Stage					
ned         1,389         1,02 (0,91-115)         0,242           notate cancer         936         1,06 (0,91-123)         0,446           notate cancer         936         1,06 (0,91-123)         0,446           notate cancer         3,757         0,58 (0,94-103)         0,446           notate cancer         3,757         0,58 (0,94-103)         0,446           notate cancer         3,757         0,58 (0,94-103)         0,465           notate cancer         3,757         0,98 (0,99-103)         0,486           notate cancer         1,389         0,99 (0,92-103)         0,486           notate cancer         1,389         0,387-111         0,744           notate cancer         936         0,387-111         0,744           notate cancer         1,389         0,386-1133         0,744           notate cancer         1,389         0,386-1133         0,744           notate cancer         1,389         0,386-1133         0,540           notate cancer	Localized	2,641	1.01 (0.92-1.11)	0.779		
ordate cancer 236 106 (0.91–1.23) 0.446	Advanced	1,389	1.02 (0.91–1.15)	0.742	0.731	
d(R:In-9c) $7,036$ $0.98(0.94-103)$ $0.405$ $osite cancer$ $7,036$ $0.98(0.92-102)$ $0.405$ $osite cancer$ $3,757$ $0.96(0.90-102)$ $0.182$ $red$ $1.03(0.89-113)$ $0.686$ $red$ $1.389$ $0.09(-102)$ $0.686$ $red$ $1.389$ $0.98(0.87-111)$ $0.777$ $red$ $1.389$ $0.98(0.87-111)$ $0.777$ $red$ $1.389$ $0.98(0.87-111)$ $0.777$ $red$ $1.389$ $1.02(0.92-112)$ $0.777$ $rot$ $1.389$ $1.02(0.92-112)$ $0.774$ $rot$ $2.641$ $0.98(0.87-111)$ $0.744$ $rot$ $1.01(0.98-102)$ $0.98(0.94-102)$ $rot$ $1.01(0.98-102)$ $0.994$ $rot$ $1.00(0.95-104)$ $0.994$ $rot$ $1.00(0.95-104)$ $0.932$ <	Fatal prostate cancer	936	1.06 (0.91–1.23)	0.446		
ostate cancet         7,036         0.98 (0.94 - 1.03)         0.405           3,757         0.98 (0.97 - 1.03)         0.405           1,756         0.96 (0.90 - 1.03)         0.566           1,757         0.99 (0.92 - 1.03)         0.566           1,80         0.99 (0.92 - 1.03)         0.547           need         1,389         0.99 (0.92 - 1.03)         0.547           need         0.36 (0.97 - 1.03)         0.547         0.777           need         0.36 (0.97 - 1.03)         0.771         0.774           nois acid (0.91 - 92)         0.98 (0.97 - 1.01)         0.744         0.774           nois acid (0.91 - 92)         7,036         0.98 (0.94 - 1.02)         0.744           nois acid (0.91 - 92)         7,036         0.98 (0.94 - 1.02)         0.744           nois acid (0.91 - 92)         1.05 (0.99 - 1.12)         0.744           nois acid (0.91 - 92)         1.06 (0.99 - 1.12)         0.954           nois acid (0.91 - 92)         1.06 (0.99 - 1.12)         0.954           nois acid (0.91 - 92)         1.06 (0.99 - 1.12)         0.954           nois acid (0.91 - 92)         1.06 (0.99 - 1.12)         0.954           nois acid (0.91 - 92)         1.06 (0.99 - 1.12)         0.954           <	Oleic acid (18:1n-9c)					
3,757     0.96 (0.90-1.02)     0.182       ited     1.26     1.03 (0.89-1.18)     0.686       need     2,641     0.99 (0.92-1.13)     0.647       need     1,389     0.98 (0.97-1.13)     0.777       nois a cirl (20:11-9c)     95     0.98 (0.97-1.13)     0.777       nois a cirl (20:11-9c)     0.98 (0.97-1.13)     0.774       nois a cirl (20:11-9c)     0.76     0.98 (0.97-1.13)     0.744       nois a cirl (20:11-9c)     7,036     1.01 (0.96-1.03)     0.744       nois a cirl (20:11-9c)     7,036     1.01 (0.96-1.12)     0.744       nois a cirl (20:11-9c)     1.06 (0.95-1.10)     0.540       nois a cirl (20:11-9c)     1.06 (0.95-1.10)     0.733       nois a cirl (20:11-9c)     1.06 (0.95-1.10)     0.932       nois a cirl (20:11-9c)     0.512     0.932       nois a cirl (20:11-9c)     0.512     0.932       nois a cirl (20:11-9c)     0.512     0.512	Total prostate cancer	7,036	0.98 (0.94–1.03)	0.405		
3.757 $0.96 (0.90-1.02)$ $0.182$ Tzed $726$ $1.03 (0.89-1.18)$ $0.686$ Ized $2.641$ $0.98 (0.29-1.07)$ $0.647$ need $1.389$ $0.02 (0.29-1.13)$ $0.777$ notice and $1.389$ $0.98 (0.87-1.11)$ $0.777$ notice and $1.389$ $0.98 (0.87-1.11)$ $0.774$ notice and $0.736$ $0.98 (0.87-1.11)$ $0.774$ notice and $20.11$ $0.74$ $0.744$ $0.744$ notice and $7.036$ $0.98 (0.87-1.11)$ $0.744$ notice and $7.036$ $1.01 (0.96-1.03)$ $0.540$ notice and $7.736$ $0.98 (0.94-1.02)$ $0.540$ notice and $1.367$ $0.98 (0.94-1.02)$ $0.921$ notice and $1.389$ $1.00 (0.95-1.04)$ $0.931$ note and $1.389$ $1.00 (0.97-1.06)$ $0.931$ note and $0.961$ $1.05 (0.9-1.11)$ $0.931$ note and $0.961$ $0.97-1.06)$ $0.912$ note and $0.97-1.06)$ $0.97-1.06)$ $0.912$ note and $0.961$ $0.97-1.06)$ $0.912$ note and $0.97-1.06)$ $0.97-1.06)$ $0.912$ note and $0.97-1.06)$ $0.97-1.06)$ $0.912$ not an end an end an end an end an end an end	Grade					
726 $1.03 (0.89-118)$ $0.666$ lized $2.641$ $0.93 (0.92-1.07)$ $0.847$ need $1.389$ $0.09 (0.92-1.13)$ $0.777$ noisite cancer $936$ $0.09 (0.92-1.13)$ $0.777$ noisite cancer $936$ $0.09 (0.92-1.13)$ $0.777$ noise caid $(20.17-92)$ $7.036$ $1.02 (0.92-1.13)$ $0.744$ noise caid $(20.17-92)$ $7.036$ $1.01 (0.98-1.03)$ $0.540$ noise caid $(20.17-92)$ $7.036$ $1.01 (0.98-1.03)$ $0.540$ noise caid $(22.17-92)$ $1.00 (0.9-1.10)$ $0.924$ $0.924$ noise cancer $936$ $1.05 (0.9-1.10)$ $0.924$ noise cancer $936$ $1.05 (0.9-1.10)$ $0.924$ noise cancer $936$ $1.05 (0.9-1.10)$ $0.924$	Low	3,757	0.96 (0.90–1.02)	0.182		
lied $2,641$ $0.99(0.92-1.07)$ $0.847$ need $1,389$ $1,02(0.92-1.13)$ $0.777$ need $1,389$ $1,02(0.92-1.13)$ $0.771$ ostate cancer $936$ $0.08(0.87-1.11)$ $0.774$ noir acid $(20:11-9c)$ $7.036$ $0.98(0.87-1.01)$ $0.744$ noir acid $(20:11-9c)$ $7.036$ $1.01(0.98-1.03)$ $0.540$ noir acid $(20:11-9c)$ $7.036$ $1.00(0.92-1.02)$ $0.283$ noir acid $(22:11-9c)$ $2.641$ $1.00(0.95-1.04)$ $0.932$ noir acid $(22:11-9c)$ $0.921.00-1.11)$ $0.044$	High	726	1.03 (0.89–1.18)	0.686	0.517	
lized $2,641$ $0.99(0.92-1.07)$ $0.847$ need $1,389$ $0.02(0.92-1.13)$ $0.777$ noted $1,389$ $0.08(0.87-1.11)$ $0.774$ ostate cancer $936$ $0.08(0.87-1.11)$ $0.774$ notic acid ( $20:1n-9c$ ) $7,036$ $0.98(0.87-1.11)$ $0.744$ notic acid ( $20:1n-9c$ ) $7,036$ $1.01(0.98-1.03)$ $0.540$ notic acid ( $20:1n-9c$ ) $3,757$ $0.98(0.94-1.02)$ $0.540$ notic acid ( $20:1n-9c$ ) $1.01(0.98-1.02)$ $0.028$ $0.028$ lized $2,641$ $1.00(0.95-1.04)$ $0.094$ lized $1,389$ $0.98(1.00-1.12)$ $0.932$ noted $1.338$ $0.054-1.06)$ $0.512$ noted $1.02(0.97-1.06)$ $0.512$ $0.542$ noted $0.562$ $0.564$ $0.562$	Stage					
need1,3891.02 $(0.22-113)$ 0.777nostate cancer9360.98 $(0.87-111)$ 0.744noic acid $(20.1n-9c)$ 7,0361.01 $(0.98-1.03)$ 0.744noic acid $(20.1n-9c)$ 7,0361.01 $(0.98-1.03)$ 0.540noistate cancer3,7570.98 $(0.94-1.02)$ 0.540noistate cancer3,7571.03 $(0.99-1.12)$ 0.283noistate cancer2,6411.00 $(0.95-1.04)$ 0.932need1,3891.00 $(0.95-1.04)$ 0.932need1,3891.05 $(0.97-1.06)$ 0.932noistate cancer9361.05 $(1.00-1.11)$ 0.932ocid $(22.1n-9c)$ 0.9410.048	Localized	2,641	0.99 (0.92–1.07)	0.847		
of a card ( 20: 11·- 92)         0.38 (0.87-1.11)         0.744           oic a cid ( 20: 11·- 92)         0.38 (0.87-1.10)         0.540           or a cid ( 20: 11·- 92)         1.01 (0.98-1.03)         0.540           or a cid ( 20: 11·- 92)         3,757         0.38 (0.94-1.02)         0.540           r a cid ( 20: 11·- 92)         1.05 (0.99-1.12)         0.094         0.094           r a cid ( 20: 11)         1.00 (0.95-1.04)         0.092         0.094           r a cid ( 20: 11·- 92)         1.00 (0.95-1.04)         0.932         0.932           r a cid ( 22: 11·- 9C)         1.05 (1.00-1.11)         0.048         0.048	Advanced	1,389	1.02 (0.92–1.13)	0.777	0.945	
not acid (20:1n-9c)       0.540         notate cancer       7,036       1.01 (0.98-1.03)       0.540         notate cancer       3,757       0.98 (0.94-1.02)       0.283         3,757       0.98 (0.94-1.02)       0.283       0.094         100       1.05 (0.99-1.12)       0.094       0.094         110       1.05 (0.99-1.12)       0.094       0.094         110       1.00 (0.95-1.04)       0.932       0.094         111       1.00 (0.95-1.06)       0.932       0.048         11389       1.05 (1.00-1.11)       0.048       0.048         1105 (22:17-9c)       0.048       0.048       0.048	Fatal prostate cancer	936	0.98 (0.87–1.11)	0.744		
nostate cancer     7,036     1.01 (0.98-1.03)     0.540       nostate cancer     3,757     0.98 (0.94-1.02)     0.283       3,757     726     1.05 (0.99-1.12)     0.094       lized     2,641     1.05 (0.99-1.12)     0.094       lized     2,641     1.00 (0.95-1.04)     0.932       need     1,389     1.02 (0.97-1.06)     0.932       rostate cancer     936     1.05 (1.00-1.11)     0.048       acid (22:17-9c)     1.05 (1.00-1.11)     0.048	Eicosenoic acid (20:1n-9c)					
3,757       0.98 (0.94-1.02)       0.283         726       1.05 (0.99-1.12)       0.094         lized       2,641       1.06 (0.95-1.04)       0.932         meed       1,389       1.02 (0.97-1.06)       0.932         rostate cancer       936       1.05 (1.00-1.11)       0.048         acid (22.1n-9C)       0.041       0.05 (1.00-1.11)       0.048	Total prostate cancer	7,036	1.01 (0.98–1.03)	0.540		
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Grade					
726     1.05 (0.99-1.12)     0.094       lized     2,641     1.00 (0.95-1.04)     0.932       noced     1,389     1.02 (0.97-1.06)     0.512       notate cancer     936     1.05 (1.00-1.11)     0.048       acid (22:1n-9c)     0.04     0.048	Low	3,757	0.98 (0.94–1.02)	0.283		
lized 2.5.641 1.00 (0.95-1.04) 0.932 0.932 0.932 0.932 0.932 0.932 0.932 0.932 0.932 0.948 0.948 0.948 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048	High	726	1.05 (0.99–1.12)	0.094	0.174	
2,641       1.00 (0.95-1.04)       0.932         1,389       1.02 (0.97-1.06)       0.512         936       1.05 (1.00-1.11)       0.048	Stage					
1,389     1.02 (0.97-1.06)     0.512       936     1.05 (1.00-1.11)     0.048	Localized	2,641	1.00 (0.95–1.04)	0.932		
936 1.05 (1.00–1.11) 0.048	Advanced	1,389	1.02 (0.97–1.06)	0.512	0.550	
	Fatal prostate cancer	936	1.05 (1.00–1.11)	0.048		
	Erucic acid (22:1n-9c)					

Perez-Cornago et al.

53

Int. J. Cancer: 146, 44-57 (2020) © 2019 The Authors. International Journal of Cancer published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of UICC

$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	
<u> </u>	
_	
TT I	
_	
<b>a</b>	
9	
50	
$\smile$	

		Calibrated		
	No. cases	HR (95% CI) <sup>1</sup>	P trend <sup>2</sup>	P het <sup>3</sup>
Total prostate cancer	7,036	1.01 (0.98–1.03)	0.500	
Grade				
Low	3,757	0.97 (0.93–1.02)	0.262	
High	726	1.06 (0.99–1.12)	0.091	0.129
Stage				
Localized	2,641	1.00 (0.95–1.04)	0.865	
Advanced	1,389	1.02 (0.98–1.07)	0.363	0.313
Fatal prostate cancer	936	1.05 (1.00-1.11)	0.055	

er, 74, Low-intermediate grade (Gleason score of 48, or grade coded as well, moderately, or poorly differentiated). High grade (Gleason score of 28, or grade coded as undifferentiated). Localized stage (TNM staging score 175–179, ±180 cm or unknown), body mass index ((22.5, 22.5–24.9, 25–29.9, ±30 kg/m² or unknown), and total energy intake (fifths)

of T0-T2 and N0/Nx and M0, or stage coded in the recruitment centre as localized). Advanced stage (T3-T4 and/or N1-N3 and/or M1, and/or stage coded in the recruitment centre as metastatio). HR (95% CI) estimated per 1-SD increase in fatty acids intake.

<sup>2</sup>Linear trends for HRs estimates over a continuous scale of the individual fatty acid.

<sup>2</sup>-value from test for heterogeneity for the associations of intake the individual fatty acids with risk of prostate cancer categorized according to prostate tumor grade (low-intermediate or high) and stage (localized

Abbreviations: MUFAs, monounsaturated fatty acids. or advanced).

have suggested that the arachidonic acid pathway may be implicated in prostate cancer development and progression through its involvement in inflammation and cell growth.<sup>34</sup> Further research is therefore needed before conclusions on risk can be drawn.

We also found some evidence that both eicosenoic (22:1n-9c) and eicosapentaenoic acid (20:5n-3c) may be associated with a higher risk of death from prostate cancer. To the best of our knowledge, no previous prospective study has looked at the association between eicosenoic acid and prostate cancer risk, but this fatty acid is often found in similar foods as eicosapentaenoic acid (e.g. fish, and nuts and seeds).<sup>35</sup> Circulating eicosapentaenoic acid concentrations have been associated with a higher risk of total prostate cancer in a pooled analysis of prospective studies<sup>12</sup> and dietary intake of eicosapentaenoic acid was associated with a higher risk of advanced and fatal prostate cancer in the NIH-AARP study.<sup>36</sup> However, results from the Health Professionals Follow-Up Study showed that a higher intake of eicosapentaenoic acid was associated with a lower risk of total and advanced prostate cancer.32

It was suggested in 1993 that  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid was positively associated with advanced prostate cancer.37 However, our results, the latest meta-analysis from the WCRF/AIRC,<sup>2</sup> and findings from a pooling study on circulating fatty acids<sup>12</sup> do not support the hypothesis that higher intake of  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid increases the risk of prostate cancer. Previous prospective studies have also suggested that docosapentaenoic acid (22:5n-3c) is involved in prostate cancer development,<sup>12</sup> but we found no association between this fatty acid and prostate cancer risk. A Mendelian randomization analysis of data from up to 22,721 prostate cancer cases in the PRACTICAL consortia found no strong evidence for an association between several PUFAs, such as arachidonic acid, eicosapentaenoic acid, and docosapentaenoic acid, and overall and advanced prostate cancer risk.<sup>38</sup>

This study has some strengths and limitations that should be considered. The major strengths include the prospective design and the large sample size, which allowed investigation of fatty acids by stage and grade of prostate cancer tumors and death from prostate cancer. This study also had reliable identification of prostate cancer cases through cancer registries and/or verified medical records. The Gleason grade was based on data available from biopsies and surgical pathology, although there may be some misclassification because of changes in grading over time. The dietary questionnaires in all EPIC centers were validated and dietary intakes were calibrated using measures from a standardized 24-h diet recall method to correct for over and underestimation of dietary intake.15

A limitation of the current study was the use of dietary fatty acids intake obtained from assessment questionnaires only at recruitment, which are subject to random measurement error and changes over time, and this would likely lead to an underestimation of true associations. Although we adjusted for multiple covariates, potential unmeasured and residual confounding cannot be excluded, including having a PSA test which was not available in our cohort. In addition, some of the associations observed might Table 4. Multivariable-adjusted hazard ratios (95% CI) for prostate cancer per 1-SD increase of individual polyunsaturated fatty acids intake in 142,239 men in EPIC (1992–2013)

		Calibrated		
	No. cases	HR (95% CI) <sup>1</sup>	P trend <sup>2</sup>	P het <sup>3</sup>
Total PUFAs				
Total prostate cancer	7,036	0.98 (0.95-1.02)	0.340	
Grade				
Low	3,757	0.96 (0.91-1.01)	0.086	
High	726	1.00 (0.91-1.11)	0.925	0.508
Stage				
Localized	2,641	0.99 (0.94-1.05)	0.830	
Advanced	1,389	0.97 (0.90-1.05)	0.434	0.777
Fatal prostate cancer	936	0.99 (0.90-1.09)	0.836	
Linoleic acid (18:2n-6c)				
Total prostate cancer	7,036	0.98 (0.95-1.02)	0.311	
Grade				
Low	3,757	0.96 (0.91-1.00)	0.063	
High	726	1.01 (0.91-1.12)	0.857	0.450
Stage				
Localized	2,641	0.99 (0.93-1.05)	0.678	
Advanced	1,389	0.97 (0.90-1.05)	0.440	0.824
Fatal prostate cancer	936	0.99 (0.90-1.08)	0.822	
$\alpha$ -Linolenic acid (18:3n-3c)				
Total prostate cancer	7,036	0.99 (0.95-1.02)	0.502	
Grade				
Low	3,757	0.97 (0.92-1.02)	0.270	
High	726	1.01 (0.91–1.13)	0.817	0.514
Stage				
Localized	2,641	0.98 (0.92-1.05)	0.602	
Advanced	1,389	1.00 (0.93-1.08)	0.907	0.434
Fatal prostate cancer	936	0.99 (0.90-1.09)	0.839	
Arachidonic acid (20:4n-6c)				
Total prostate cancer	7,036	0.99 (0.95-1.03)	0.638	
Grade				
Low	3,757	0.97 (0.92–1.03)	0.387	
High	726	0.94 (0.82-1.08)	0.381	0.404
Stage				
Localized	2,641	1.05 (0.97-1.13)	0.213	
Advanced	1,389	0.91 (0.82-1.01)	0.072	0.021
Fatal prostate cancer	936	1.03 (0.92–1.15)	0.636	
Eicosapentaenoic acid (20:5n-3c)				
Total prostate cancer	7,036	1.02 (0.99–1.04)	0.272	
Grade				
Low	3,757	1.01 (0.96–1.05)	0.762	
High	726	0.99 (0.92–1.08)	0.895	0.660
Stage				
Localized	2,641	1.02 (0.98–1.07)	0.343	
Advanced	1,389	1.01 (0.95–1.07)	0.831	0.601
Fatal prostate cancer	936	1.07 (1.00-1.14)	0.045	

(Continues)

**Cancer Epidemiology** 

Table 4. Multivariable-adjusted hazard ratios (95% CI) for prostate cancer per 1-SD increase of individual polyunsaturated fatty acids intake in 142,239 men in EPIC (1992–2013) (Continued)

		Calibrated		
	No. cases	HR (95% CI) <sup>1</sup>	P trend <sup>2</sup>	P het <sup>3</sup>
Docosapentaenoic acid (22:5n-3c)				
Total prostate cancer	7,036	1.00 (0.97-1.03)	0.989	
Grade				
Low	3,757	1.00 (0.96-1.04)	0.809	
High	726	0.97 (0.90-1.05)	0.454	0.357
Stage				
Localized	2,641	1.03 (0.98–1.08)	0.251	
Advanced	1,389	1.00 (0.94–1.06)	0.914	0.229
Fatal prostate cancer	936	1.03 (0.97-1.10)	0.325	
Docosahexaenoic acid (22:6n-3c)				
Total prostate cancer	7,036	1.01 (0.98-1.04)	0.504	
Grade				
Low	3,757	1.00 (0.96-1.05)	0.875	
High	726	0.97 (0.89–1.06)	0.523	0.388
Stage				
Localized	2,641	1.02 (0.97-1.07)	0.504	
Advanced	1,389	1.00 (0.94-1.07)	0.884	0.479
Fatal prostate cancer	936	1.06 (0.99–1.14)	0.083	

Cox regression analysis. All models are stratified by centre and age at recruitment and adjusted for age (underlying time variable), educational level (no degree, degree or unknown), smoking status (never, former, current or unknown), marital status (married, not married, unknown), diabetes (yes, no, unknown), physical activity (inactive, moderately inactive, moderately active, active, unknown), height (<170, 170–174, 175–179,  $\geq$ 180 cm or unknown), body mass index (<22.5, 22.5–24.9, 25–29.9,  $\geq$ 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or unknown), and total energy intake (fifths).

Low-intermediate grade (Gleason score of < 8, or grade coded as well, moderately, or poorly differentiated). High grade (Gleason score of < 8, or grade coded as undifferentiated). Localized stage (TNM staging score of T0-T2 and N0/Nx and M0, or stage coded in the recruitment centre as localized). Advanced stage (T3-T4 and/or N1-N3 and/or M1, and/or stage coded in the recruitment centre as metastatic).

<sup>1</sup>HR (95% CI) estimated per 1-SD increase in fatty acids intake.

<sup>2</sup>Linear trends for HRs estimates over a continuous scale of the individual fatty acid.

<sup>3</sup>*P*-value from test for heterogeneity for the associations of intake the individual fatty acids with risk of prostate cancer categorized according to prostate tumor grade (low-intermediate or high) and stage (localized or advanced).

Abbreviation: PUFAs, polyunsaturated fatty acids.

be due to chance because of the number of tests performed, and if we correct for multiple testing there would not be any significant results. Moreover, because some dietary fatty acids are highly correlated, it is difficult to disentangle their independent associations with prostate cancer. It is also unclear whether the risk differences observed in our study are attributable to the individual fatty acids or if they might be driven by other compound(s) in their food sources. Finally the fatty acids food sources may vary across the European countries included in this study, for example, the primary food that contributes to oleic acid intake in the south of Europe is olive oil, whereas in some populations in the north of Europe the primary food source is meat.<sup>39</sup> In conclusion, intakes of individual fatty acids were not related to overall prostate cancer risk. However, our results suggest that higher intake of butyric acid may be associated with an increased risk of advanced prostate cancer. We also found a suggestive increased risk of fatal prostate cancer risk with higher intakes of eicosenoic acid and eicosapentaenoic acid. Further prospective studies and metaanalyses are required to better understand the role of individual dietary fatty acids in prostate cancer development and progression.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank all participants in the EPIC cohort for their invaluable contribution to the study.

#### References

- Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. *Int J Cancer* 2015;136: E359–86.
- 2. WCRF/AICR. World Cancer Research Fund international/American Institute for Cancer

Research continuous update project report: diet, nutrition, physical activity, and Prostate Cancer. Available at http://www.wcrf.org/sites/default/ files/Prostate-Cancer-SLR-2014.pdf 2014.

- Cuzick J, Thorat MA, Andriole G, et al. Prevention and early detection of prostate cancer. *Lancet Oncol* 2014;15:e484–92.
- Travis RC, Appleby PN, Martin RM, et al. A meta-analysis of individual participant data reveals an association between circulating levels of IGF-I and prostate cancer risk. *Cancer Res* 2016; 76:2288–300.
- Perez-Cornago A, Appleby PN, Pischon T, et al. Tall height and obesity are associated with an

increased risk of aggressive prostate cancer: results from the EPIC cohort study. *BMC Med* 2017; 15:115.

- Lee J, Demissie K, Lu SE, et al. Cancer incidence among Korean-American immigrants in the United States and native Koreans in South Korea. *Cancer Control* 2007;14:78–85.
- Wu J, Wilson KM, Stampfer MJ, et al. A 24-year prospective study of dietary alpha-linolenic acid and lethal prostate cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2018;142: 2207–14.
- Richman EL, Kenfield SA, Chavarro JE, et al. Fat intake after diagnosis and risk of lethal prostate cancer and all-cause mortality. *JAMA Intern Med* 2013;173:1318–26.
- Markozannes G, Tzoulaki I, Karli D, et al. Diet, body size, physical activity and risk of prostate cancer: an umbrella review of the evidence. *Eur J Cancer* 2016;69:61–9.
- Zong G, Li YP, Wanders AJ, et al. Intake of individual saturated fatty acids and risk of coronary heart disease in US men and women: two prospective longitudinal cohort studies. *Brit Med J* 2016;355: i5796.
- Wang DD, Li Y, Chiuve SE, et al. Association of specific dietary fats with total and causespecific mortality. *JAMA Intern Med* 2016;176: 1134–45.
- Crowe FL, Appleby PN, Travis RC, et al. Circulating fatty acids and prostate cancer risk: individual participant meta-analysis of prospective studies. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2014;106:dju240.
- Riboli E, Hunt KJ, Slimani N, et al. European prospective investigation into Cancer and nutrition (EPIC): study populations and data collection. *Public Health Nutr* 2002;5:1113–24.
- Ferrari P, Slimani N, Ciampi A, et al. Evaluation of under- and overreporting of energy intake in the 24-hour diet recalls in the European prospective investigation into Cancer and nutrition (EPIC). Public Health Nutr 2002;5:1329–45.
- Margetts BM, Pietinen P. European prospective investigation into Cancer and nutrition: validity studies on dietary assessment methods. *Int J Epidemiol* 1997;26(Suppl 1):S1–5.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture. Composition of Foods Raw, Processed, Prepared USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, Release 20. US: Maryland, 2008.

- Willett W. Implications of total energy intake for epidemiologic analyses. Nutritional epidemiology, 3rd edn. Oxford, England, UK: Oxford University Press, 2013.
- German JB, Dillard CJ. Saturated fats: what dietary intake? *Am J Clin Nutr* 2004;80:550–9.
- WHO. International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems. 10th revision. [cited 2016 April 07]; Available from: http://apps.who. int/classifications/icd10/browse/2010/en
- Wareham NJ, Jakes RW, Rennie KL, et al. Validity and repeatability of a simple index derived from the short physical activity questionnaire used in the European prospective investigation into Cancer and nutrition (EPIC) study. *Public Health Nutr* 2003;6:407–13.
- Smith-Warner SA, Spiegelman D, Ritz J, et al. Methods for pooling results of epidemiologic studies: the Pooling Project of Prospective Studies of Diet and Cancer. Am J Epidemiol 2006;163: 1053–64.
- Pascual G, Avgustinova A, Mejetta S, et al. Targeting metastasis-initiating cells through the fatty acid receptor CD36. *Nature* 2017;541:41–5.
- Kobayashi N, Barnard RJ, Said J, et al. Effect of low-fat diet on development of prostate cancer and Akt phosphorylation in the hi-Myc transgenic mouse model. *Cancer Res* 2008;68: 3066–73.
- Venkateswaran V, Klotz LH. Diet and prostate cancer: mechanisms of action and implications for chemoprevention. *Nat Rev Urol* 2010;7:442–53.
- Kaklamani VG, Linos A, Kaklamani E, et al. Dietary fat and carbohydrates are independently associated with circulating insulin-like growth factor 1 and insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 3 concentrations in healthy adults. J Clin Oncol 1999;17:3291–8.
- Dorgan JF, Judd JT, Longcope C, et al. Effects of dietary fat and fiber on plasma and urine androgens and estrogens in men: a controlled feeding study. Am J Clin Nutr 1996;64:850–5.
- Epstein MM, Kasperzyk JL, Mucci LA, et al. Dietary fatty acid intake and prostate cancer survival in Orebro County, Sweden. *Am J Epidemiol* 2012; 176:240–52.
- Kurahashi N, Inoue M, Iwasaki M, et al. Japan public health center-based prospective study G. Dairy product, saturated fatty acid, and

calcium intake and prostate cancer in a prospective cohort of Japanese men. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2008;17:930–7.

- Allen NE, Key TJ, Appleby PN, et al. Animal foods, protein, calcium and prostate cancer risk: the European prospective investigation into Cancer and nutrition. *Br J Cancer* 2008;98: 1574–81.
- Wright ME, Bowen P, Virtamo J, et al. Estimated phytanic acid intake and prostate cancer risk: a prospective cohort study. *Int J Cancer* 2012;131: 1396–406.
- Price AJ, Allen NE, Appleby PN, et al. Plasma phytanic acid concentration and risk of prostate cancer: results from the European prospective investigation into Cancer and nutrition. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2010;91:1769–76.
- Leitzmann MF, Stampfer MJ, Michaud DS, et al. Dietary intake of n-3 and n-6 fatty acids and the risk of prostate cancer. Am J Clin Nutr 2004;80: 204–16.
- 33. Schuurman AG, van den Brandt PA, Dorant E, et al. Association of energy and fat intake with prostate carcinoma risk: results from The Netherlands cohort study. *Cancer* 1999;86:1019–27.
- Patel MI, Kurek C, Dong Q. The arachidonic acid pathway and its role in prostate cancer development and progression. *J Urol* 2008;179: 1668–75.
- US Department of Agriculture Food Composition Databases. Available at: https://ndb.nal.usda.gov/ ndb/nutrients/index. Accessed 08 January 2019.
- Pelser C, Mondul AM, Hollenbeck AR, et al. Dietary fat, fatty acids, and risk of prostate cancer in the NIH-AARP diet and health study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2013;22:697–707.
- Giovannucci E, Rimm EB, Colditz GA, et al. A prospective study of dietary fat and risk of prostate cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 1993;85:1571–9.
- Khankari NK, Murff HJ, Zeng C, et al. Consortium P. Polyunsaturated fatty acids and prostate cancer risk: a Mendelian randomisation analysis from the PRACTICAL consortium. *Br J Cancer* 2016;115:624–31.
- Pot GK, Prynne CJ, Roberts C, et al. National Diet and nutrition survey: fat and fatty acid intake from the first year of the rolling programme and comparison with previous surveys. *Br J Nutr* 2012;107:405–15.