



Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and cardiovascular risk: an update

Journal:	<i>Expert Review of Gastroenterology & Hepatology</i>
Manuscript ID	ERH-2018-0186.R1
Manuscript Type:	Editorials
Keywords:	NAFLD, dyslipidaemia, cardiovascular risk, steatosis, fibrosis

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and cardiovascular risk: an update

Benjamin H Mullish¹, Roberta Forlano¹, Pinelopi Manousou^{2*}, Dimitri P Mikhailidis³

1. Liver Unit/Division of Integrative Systems Medicine and Digestive Disease, Faculty of Medicine, St Mary's Hospital Campus, Imperial College London, London, UK.
2. Consultant Hepatologist, Liver Unit/Division of Integrative Systems Medicine and Digestive Disease, Faculty of Medicine, St Mary's Hospital Campus, Imperial College London, London, UK.
3. Reader and Honorary Consultant, Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Royal Free Hospital Campus, University College London Medical School, University College London (UCL), London, UK.

*Corresponding author:

Postal address: Dr Pinelopi Manousou
Division of Integrative Systems Medicine and Digestive Disease
10thFloor, QEQM Wing
St Mary's Hospital Campus, Imperial College London
South Wharf Road, Paddington, London
W2 1NY, UK

Email: pinelopi.manousou@nhs.net

Telephone: +44(0)203 312 6454

Fax: +44(0)207 724 9369

Keywords: cardiovascular risk; dyslipidaemia; NAFLD; steatosis

Editorial:

The prevalence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is increasing worldwide, reflecting the current epidemics of obesity, insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM), and metabolic syndrome (MetS) [1]. Currently, NAFLD affects about 15-30% of the general population, and its prevalence increases to 60-70% in people with obesity and type 2 DM [2]. NAFLD encompasses a wide spectrum of liver disease including non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), a variable degree of fibrosis up to cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma [1]. Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of mortality in this group [3] (with men being at higher risk of both clinical and subclinical CVD compared to women [4,5]), followed by non-liver cancer and liver-related complications [1]. As such, a thorough CV risk assessment is mandatory in all patients with NAFLD and should be repeated each 1-2 years, regardless of the presence of traditional risks factors [2].

The etiology of increased CV risk in NAFLD appears to be multifactorial and at least partly explained by the association with MetS risk factors. In particular, it is still unclear whether NAFLD represents an additional CV risk factor *per se* [6]. However, NAFLD is associated with an adverse lipid profile [7] and with Abnormal Peri-Organ or Intra-organ Fat (APIFat) Depositions [8] in other organs, which have been previously associated with adverse CV outcomes. Specifically, enhanced insulin-induced hepatic lipogenesis characterises NAFLD, which seems to be responsible for the increased serum concentrations of very low density lipoprotein (VLDL), low density lipoprotein (LDL) and ApoB found in non-cirrhotic NAFLD patients [9]. Moreover, patients with NAFLD show features of endothelial dysfunction independently of age, sex, BMI and other MetS components [10].

At present, CV risk is assessed using traditional scores (e.g. Framingham score, Q-RISK2 score), which were not derived from a NAFLD population. As such, it is unclear whether these scores might underestimate CV prediction in this high-risk population. Furthermore, there is a major interest in finding disease-specific risk factors for CVD in NAFLD. In particular, the association between histological features and CV events has been investigated, as histology represents the gold standard in diagnosing and staging NASH [2]. The presence of advanced fibrosis (F3-F4) is a strong independent predictive factor for CVD, while there is

1
2
3 still no conclusive evidence regarding the consequences for CVD risk of the presence of
4 NASH or steatosis [11].
5
6
7

8
9 Given the burden of the disease and the limitations of performing a liver biopsy, several non-
10 invasive markers of fibrosis and steatosis have been developed and used in clinical practice
11 [2]. In particular, the NAFLD Fibrosis score (NFS) has been investigated for the prediction of
12 CV events, CV mortality and overall mortality in the general and in the NAFLD population.
13 A recently published sub-study from the IMProved Reduction of Outcomes: Vytorin Efficacy
14 International Trial (IMPROVE-IT) (which primarily investigated the rate of further CV
15 events after administration of ezetimibe/simvastatin vs simvastatin alone in patients with
16 ACS) [12] reported that 14.2% of the patients were at high CV risk based on NFS
17 score >0.67. This group showed a 30% increased risk of recurrent major CV events compared
18 with the group with low NFS score. The relationship between NFS categories and recurrent
19 CV events was also confirmed in another cohort, using participants from the Stabilization of
20 Plaque Using Darapladib-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 52 (SOLID-TIMI 52) trial
21 [12,13]. Therefore, the NFS may prove to be a useful CV risk predictor in NAFLD. Of note,
22 however, IMPROVE-IT was not designed specifically to assess the link between NAFLD and
23 vascular risk. This is one of a number of limitations of this recent *post-hoc* analysis, with
24 others including the assumptions made in the use of the NFS as a proxy for NAFLD severity,
25 together with the changes in liver enzymes that occur in patients with ACS [12,14].
26 However, it is of particular interest that a similar link was found when using the fatty liver
27 index (FLI) [15].
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 Primary and secondary prevention of CVD events in patients with NAFLD should focus on
44 multifactorial risk reduction and CV protection. Old trials hinted at a greater benefit from
45 statin treatment in CVD in patients with NAFLD while also improving transaminase activity
46 [16,17]. However, these were *post hoc* analyses based on relatively small numbers of patients
47 and without a biopsy-confirmed diagnosis of NAFLD [17]. Since then, there has been
48 evidence from studies involving patients with biopsy-confirmed NAFLD that statins improve
49 several aspects of NAFLD/NASH-related liver histology [1,18].
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The use of a combined therapy with simvastatin + ezetimibe may be particularly useful in
4 patients with Acute Coronary Syndrome (ACS) and NAFLD. In the IMPROVE-IT Study,
5 when the groups simvastatin/ezetimibe vs simvastatin/placebo were compared, the benefit of
6 using a combined therapy was greater in the group with high NFS than in the group with low
7 NFS (hazard ratio 0.85 [0.74-0.98] compared with 1.01 [0.91-1.12]). Combined therapy was
8 also associated with significantly lower number of cases with AST (aspartate
9 transaminase)/ALT ratio elevation and lower gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase activity [12].
10
11 There were no safety concerns when comparing both treatment options. However, the use of
12 statins, though considered safe by guidelines [19], has very limited use in clinical practice.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 There is ongoing research into treatment options for NAFLD/NASH; these options include
22 drugs used to treat type 2 DM (e.g. pioglitazone, glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists),
23 antifibrotic agents (e.g. cenicriviroc, obeticholic acid) and bariatric surgery [20,21]. However,
24 currently there is no definitive treatment for NASH other than weight loss following the
25 implementation of lifestyle measures [1].
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 It is important to perceive the overall clinical relevance of NAFLD/NASH. Moreover, any
33 diagnostic tools and treatment may prove cost effective because of the increased prevalence
34 of NAFLD/NASH and its hepatic and extra-hepatic complications that are likely to require
35 costly treatment (e.g. liver transplantation and coronary interventions). Furthermore, the
36 extra-hepatic consequences of NAFLD/NASH include an increased risk of type 2 DM,
37 cerebrovascular disease, chronic kidney disease and non-liver cancer which were not
38 considered in this brief editorial [1,8,22].
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Funding

B H Mullish is the recipient of a Medical Research Council Clinical Research Training Fellowship. R Forlano is the recipient of the EASL Juan Rodes PhD fellowship. The Division of Integrative Systems Medicine and Digestive Disease receives financial support from the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Imperial Biomedical Research Centre (BRC) based at Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust and Imperial College London.

Declaration of Interest

D P Mikhailidis has given talks and attended conferences sponsored by MSD, AstraZeneca and Libytec.

The authors have no other relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript apart from those disclosed.

Reviewer Disclosures

Peer reviewers on this manuscript have no relevant financial or other relationships to disclose.

References:

Papers of special note have been highlighted as:

** of interest*

*** of considerable interest*

- [1] Athyros VG, Alexandrides TK, Bilianou H, et al. The use of statins alone, or in combination with pioglitazone and other drugs, for the treatment of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease/non-alcoholic steatohepatitis and related cardiovascular risk. An Expert Panel Statement. *Metabolism* [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2018 Jul 22];71:17–32. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28521870>. ***Evidence for the effect of statins upon NAFLD**
- [2] Association for the Study of the Liver E, Association for the Study of Diabetes E, Association for the Study of Obesity E. EASL/EASD/EASO Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. 2015 [cited 2017 Dec 29]; Available from: <http://www.easl.eu/medias/cpg/NAFLD-non-alcoholic-fatty-liver-disease/English-report.pdf>.
- [3] Targher G, Byrne CD, Lonardo A, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and risk of incident cardiovascular disease: A meta-analysis. *J. Hepatol.* [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2018 Sep 21];65:589–600. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27212244>.
- [4] Kim D, Choi S-Y, Park EH, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease is associated with coronary artery calcification. *Hepatology* [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2018 Sep 21];56:605–613. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22271511>.
- [5] Mellinger JL, Pencina KM, Massaro JM, et al. Hepatic steatosis and cardiovascular disease outcomes: An analysis of the Framingham Heart Study. *J. Hepatol.* [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2018 Sep 21];63:470–476. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0168827815001671>.
- [6] Allen AM, Therneau TM, Larson JJ, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease incidence and impact on metabolic burden and death: A 20 year-community study. *Hepatology* [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2018 Jul 22];67:1726–1736. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28941364>.
- [7] Lucero D, Miksztowicz V, Gualano G, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease associated with metabolic syndrome: Influence of liver fibrosis stages on characteristics of very low-density lipoproteins. *Clin. Chim. Acta* [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2018 Jul 22];473:1–8. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28802640>.
- [8] Katsiki N, Athyros VG, Mikhailidis DP. Abnormal Peri-Organ or Intra-organ Fat (APIFat) Deposition: An Underestimated Predictor of Vascular Risk? *Curr. Vasc. Pharmacol.* [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2018 Jul 22];14:432–441. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27456108>.
- [9] Siddiqui MS, Fuchs M, Idowu MO, et al. Severity of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease

- 1
2
3 and Progression to Cirrhosis Are Associated With Atherogenic Lipoprotein Profile.
4 Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2018 Sep 21];13:1000–1008.e3.
5 Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25311381>.
6
- 7 [10] Villanova N, Moscatiello S, Ramilli S, et al. Endothelial dysfunction and
8 cardiovascular risk profile in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology [Internet].
9 2005 [cited 2018 Jul 22];42:473–480. Available from:
10 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15981216>.
11
- 12 [11] Ekstedt M, Hagström H, Nasr P, et al. Fibrosis stage is the strongest predictor for
13 disease-specific mortality in NAFLD after up to 33 years of follow-up. Hepatology
14 [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2018 Jul 22];61:1547–1554. Available from:
15 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25125077>.
16
- 17 [12] Simon TG, Corey KE, Cannon CP, et al. The nonalcoholic fatty liver disease
18 (NAFLD) fibrosis score, cardiovascular risk stratification and a strategy for secondary
19 prevention with ezetimibe. Int. J. Cardiol. [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2018 Jul 22];
20 Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29903515>. ****Study**
21 **demonstrating the relationship between NFS and CV events**
22
23
- 24 [13] O'Donoghue ML, Braunwald E, White HD, et al. Effect of Darapladib on Major
25 Coronary Events After an Acute Coronary Syndrome. JAMA [Internet]. 2014 [cited
26 2018 Sep 21];312:1006. Available from:
27 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25173516>.
28
- 29 [14] Pitha J. Improved management of patients after acute coronary syndrome: using
30 nonalcoholic fatty liver disease fibrosis score and ezetimibe? Int. J. Cardiol. [Internet].
31 2018 [cited 2018 Sep 21];270:260–261. Available from:
32 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167527318334880>.
33
- 34 [15] Olubamwo OO, Virtanen JK, Voutilainen A, et al. Association of fatty liver index with
35 the risk of incident cardiovascular disease and acute myocardial infarction. Eur. J.
36 Gastroenterol. Hepatol. [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2018 Sep 21];30:1047–1054. Available
37 from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29912803>.
38
- 39 [16] Athyros VG, Tziomalos K, Gossios TD, et al. Safety and efficacy of long-term statin
40 treatment for cardiovascular events in patients with coronary heart disease and
41 abnormal liver tests in the Greek Atorvastatin and Coronary Heart Disease Evaluation
42 (GREACE) Study: a post-hoc analysis. Lancet [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2018 Jul
43 22];376:1916–1922. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21109302>.
44
45
- 46 [17] Tikkanen MJ, Fayyad R, Faergeman O, et al. Effect of intensive lipid lowering with
47 atorvastatin on cardiovascular outcomes in coronary heart disease patients with mild-
48 to-moderate baseline elevations in alanine aminotransferase levels. Int. J. Cardiol.
49 [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2018 Jul 22];168:3846–3852. Available from:
50 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24001698>.
51
- 52 [18] Dongiovanni P, Petta S, Mannisto V, et al. Statin use and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis
53 in at risk individuals. J. Hepatol. [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2018 Jul 22];63:705–712.
54 Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25980762>. ***Evidence for the**
55 **effect of statins upon NAFLD**
56
57
58
59

- 1
2
3 [19] Glen J, Floros L, Day C, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD): summary of
4 NICE guidance. *BMJ* [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2018 Jul 22];354:i4428. Available from:
5 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27605111>.
6
7 [20] Sumida Y, Yoneda M. Current and future pharmacological therapies for
8 NAFLD/NASH. *J. Gastroenterol.* [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2018 Jul 22];53:362–376.
9 Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29247356>.
10
11 [21] Wong VW-S. Current Prevention and Treatment Options for NAFLD. *Adv. Exp. Med.*
12 *Biol.* [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2018 Jul 22]. p. 149–157. Available from:
13 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29956213>.
14
15 [22] Lee JE, Lee YJ, Chung SY, et al. Severity of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease is
16 associated with subclinical cerebro-cardiovascular atherosclerosis risk in Korean men.
17 Dileepan KN, editor. *PLoS One* [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2018 Jul 22];13:e0193191.
18 Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29565984>.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60