

Adiponectin, free fatty acids, and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes and acute coronary syndrome

Running title: Adiponectin, FFA and cardiovascular outcomes

Ilse C. Schrieks, PhD¹, Anna Nozza, MSc², Barbara E. Stähli, MD, PhD³, John B. Buse, MD, PhD⁴, Robert R. Henry, MD⁵, Klas Malmberg, MD⁶, Bruce Neal, PhD⁷, Stephen J. Nicholls, MBBS, PhD⁸, Lars Rydén, MD⁹, Linda Mellbin, MD, PhD⁹, Anders Svensson, MD¹⁰, Hans Wedel, PhD¹¹, Arlette Weichert, MD¹⁰, A. Michael Lincoff, MD¹², Jean-Claude Tardif, MD¹³, Diederick E. Grobbee, MD, PhD¹, and Gregory G. Schwartz, MD, PhD¹⁴

¹ Julius Clinical & Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

² Montreal Health Innovations Coordinating Center (MHICC), Montreal Heart Institute, Montreal, Canada

³ Department of Cardiology, Charité Berlin - University Medicine, Campus Benjamin Franklin, Berlin, Germany

⁴ University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA

⁵ University of California San Diego, San Diego, California, USA

⁶ Karolinska Institutet & Vicore Pharma, Stockholm, Sweden

⁷ George Institute for Global Health & University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia

⁸ South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia

⁹ Department of Medicine Solna, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

¹⁰ F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Basel, Switzerland

¹¹ Sahlgrenska Academy at University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden.

¹² Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Cleveland Clinic Coordinating Center for Clinical Research (C5Research), Cleveland, Ohio, USA

¹³ Montreal Heart Institute & Université de Montréal, Montreal, Canada

¹⁴ Division of Cardiology, VA Medical Center & University of Colorado School of Medicine, Denver, Colorado, USA

Corresponding author: Ilse C. Schrieks, PhD, Julius Clinical, Broederplein 41-43, 3703CD

Zeist, The Netherlands, Phone: +31 (0)30 760 2456, Fax: +31 (0)30 656 9990, E-mail:

ilse.schrieks@juliusclinical.com

Total word count: 3231

Number of tables and figures: 3 tables, 1 figure

Clinical Trial Registration: URL: <https://www.clinicaltrials.gov>. Unique identifier: NCT01042769.

ABSTRACT

Objective: In observational cohorts, adiponectin is inversely and free fatty acids (FFA) directly associated with incident coronary heart disease (CHD). Adiponectin tends to be reduced and FFA elevated in type 2 diabetes. We investigated relationships of adiponectin and FFA to major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) and death in patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) and type 2 diabetes using data from the AleCardio trial that compared the PPAR- α/γ agonist aleglitazar with placebo.

Research Design: Using Cox regression adjusted for demographic, laboratory, and treatment variables, we determined associations of baseline adiponectin and FFA, or the change in adiponectin and FFA from baseline with MACE (cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, or stroke) and death.

Results: A twofold higher baseline adiponectin (n=6998) was directly associated with risk of MACE (HR 1.17; 95% CI 1.08-1.27) and death (HR 1.53; 95% CI 1.35-1.73). A doubling of adiponectin from baseline to month 3 (n=6325) was also associated with risk of death (HR 1.20, 95% CI 1.03-1.41). Baseline FFA (n=7038), but not change in FFA from baseline (n=6365), was directly associated with greater risk of MACE and death. There were no interactions with study treatment.

Conclusions: In contrast to prior observational data for incident CHD, adiponectin is prospectively associated with MACE and death in patients with type 2 diabetes and ACS, and an increase in adiponectin from baseline is directly related to death. These findings raise the possibility that adiponectin has different effects in patients with type 2 diabetes and ACS than in

populations without prevalent cardiovascular disease. Consistent with prior data, FFA is directly associated with adverse outcomes.

Adiponectin and free fatty acids (FFA) are markers of adipocyte function. Adiponectin is a hormone secreted by adipocytes and signals through specific receptors in target tissues including myocardium and arterial wall. Adiponectin may modulate insulin action and sensitivity, and has putative anti-atherogenic and anti-inflammatory effects (1). Infusion of adiponectin in experimental animals may mitigate myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury (2). Observational analyses show a strong and consistent association of higher adiponectin levels with lower risk of incident coronary heart disease (3,4), but Mendelian randomization analysis does not support such an association (5). Studies in patients with established coronary heart disease have also yielded conflicting evidence, with some indicating favorable and others adverse associations of adiponectin with risk of future cardiovascular events (6-9). Free fatty acids are an important energy substrate, although at elevated concentrations, FFA may exert pro-inflammatory, pro-apoptotic, or pro-arrhythmic effects, and impair endothelial function (10-12).

Adipocyte dysfunction is a hallmark of insulin-resistant states and is manifested by reduced adiponectin and elevated FFA levels. In fact, it has been postulated that low adiponectin and high FFA may contribute to increased cardiovascular risk in type 2 diabetes (3,13). Agonists of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPAR- γ) are among the most effective agents to raise adiponectin and lower FFA concentrations in circulation (14). The AleCardio (Effect of Aleglitazar on Cardiovascular Outcomes after Acute Coronary Syndrome in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus) trial compared the effects of the dual PPAR α/γ agonist aleglitazar with placebo on cardiovascular morbidity and mortality among patients with type 2 diabetes and acute coronary syndrome (ACS) (www.ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT01042769). The AleCardio trial showed no effect of aleglitazar on cardiovascular outcomes. Using data from that

trial, we evaluated the association of adiponectin and FFA at baseline and on assigned study treatment with major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) and death.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Study design and patients

This study is a pre-specified post-hoc analysis of the AleCardio Trial data. Study data and study materials are not publicly available for other researchers, but analytic methods can be requested from the corresponding author. The rationale, design, and primary results of the AleCardio trial have been published previously (15,16). The protocol was approved by institutional review boards and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. At 720 participating centers in 26 countries, 7226 patients with established or newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes and recent ACS were randomly assigned to treatment with aleglitazar 150 µg daily or placebo, added to standard of care. Randomization occurred during the interval spanning hospital discharge to 12 weeks after the index ACS event. The primary outcome measure was time to first occurrence of cardiovascular death, non-fatal myocardial infarction, or non-fatal stroke (defined as MACE for this analysis). All-cause and cardiovascular deaths were secondary efficacy measures. After a median follow-up of 2 years, the trial was ended prematurely due to futility for efficacy and in response to a higher incidence of safety endpoints in the aleglitazar group.

Laboratory assessments

All laboratory analyses were conducted by a central laboratory. Blood samples were collected after an overnight fast of at least 8 hours at randomization and after 3 months of assigned study treatment. Plasma adiponectin was measured by a quantitative sandwich ELISA

(Quantikine™ Adiponectin/Acrp30 Immunoassay, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN), with an intra-assay coefficient of variation of 2.5 – 4.7% and an inter-assay coefficient of variation of 5.8 – 6.9%. FFA were measured by enzymatic colorimetry (NEFA HR2, WAKO Chemicals, Richmond, VA) with an intra-assay coefficient of variation of 0.61 – 0.75% and an inter-assay coefficient of variation of 0.03 – 0.37%. Results were reported with a precision of 0.1 mmol/L. Testing was performed on Roche Modular Analyzers.

Statistical methods

We compared baseline characteristics among quartiles of adiponectin and 4 categories of FFA concentrations using ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous variables, depending on the distribution, and Chi-square for categorical variables. Categories of FFA were used instead of exact quartiles because the precision of measurement of FFA concentrations was 0.1 mmol/L, resulting in an unequal distribution of participants across quartiles. The distributions of adiponectin and FFA concentrations were checked and log transformation was conducted if required for further analysis.

Correlation between 2 variables was specified by the Pearson's or the Spearman's correlation coefficient, as appropriate. Cox proportional hazard regression models were used to analyze the association between baseline adiponectin or FFA levels and time to event for MACE, all-cause death, and cardiovascular death. Additionally, we modeled the association between change in adiponectin or change in FFA from baseline to Month 3 of assigned study treatment with each of the 3 endpoints (occurring after Month 3). We adjusted all models for covariates and treatment and we stratified for the type of index ACS event (unstable angina, non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, or ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction) and the

need for reperfusion therapy for the index ACS event. We checked for a potential interaction with treatment and sex and stratified if necessary. The proportional-hazards assumption and functional form of the covariates was evaluated by using the ASSESS statement in SAS. Visual inspection of the cumulative Martingale residuals and the formal hypothesis based on simulation were assessed.

We included the following covariates in our model: age, sex, race, geographical region, prior history of myocardial infarction, stroke or transient ischemic attack, heart failure, hypertension, duration of diabetes, smoking history, body mass index, time from ACS to randomization, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, use of anti-hyperglycemic agents (insulin, sulfonylureas, biguanides), HbA_{1c}, fasting plasma glucose, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR). Adiponectin or FFA concentration was added as a covariate, depending upon which of those was the variable of interest in the analysis. All covariates were selected *a priori* based on their relation with adiponectin, FFA or cardiovascular outcomes as described in prior literature.

In the analysis on change in adiponectin or FFA from baseline to Month 3, additional adjustment was performed for concurrent changes in systolic and diastolic blood pressure, glucose, insulin, HbA_{1c}, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, eGFR, adiponectin (for analysis on change in FFA), and FFA (for analysis on change in adiponectin).

Two sensitivity analyses were performed. In the first, patients treated with exogenous insulin were excluded. In the second, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) was added as a covariate to the multivariable model, because prior studies showed that NT-proBNP may be related to adiponectin concentrations (17,18).

Missing covariate data were replaced with use of multiple imputation (Markov chain Monte Carlo method). Results were considered significantly different at a P-value of <0.05. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS statistical software version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). The first author (ICS) had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for its integrity and the data analysis.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics

Baseline adiponectin and FFA were available for 6998 and 7038 patients, respectively. The distribution of baseline levels is tabulated in **Supplemental Table S1** and illustrated in **Supplemental Figure S1**. Adiponectin and FFA values both have a skewed distribution. Median (IQR [interquartile range]) of adiponectin was 4.0 (2.7-6.1) $\mu\text{g/mL}$. The four categories of FFA were 0.1-0.3 mmol/L (n=1878), 0.4-0.5 mmol/L (n=2308), 0.6-0.7 mmol/L (n=1683), and 0.8-3.3 mmol/L (n=1169). As expected, baseline adiponectin and FFA concentrations did not differ between the aleglitazar and placebo groups. Paired baseline and Month 3 measurements of adiponectin and FFA were available for 6325 and 6365 patients, respectively. **Table 1 and 2** show baseline characteristics stratified according to adiponectin quartiles and FFA categories. Patients with higher adiponectin levels were older, more frequently women, and had a longer duration of type 2 diabetes. They had lower insulin, triglyceride, and eGFR, but higher NT-proBNP, HDL cholesterol, and LDL cholesterol levels. Further, they were more likely to be treated with exogenous insulin, but less likely to be treated with biguanides and diuretics.

Differences in baseline characteristics stratified according to FFA categories were less pronounced than those observed across quartiles of adiponectin. Nonetheless, patients with

higher FFA levels were older, more frequently women, less frequently past/current smokers, and had a longer duration of type 2 diabetes. They had lower insulin, higher adiponectin and higher NT-proBNP levels compared to patients with lower FFA levels.

In the aleglitazar arm, median (IQR) adiponectin concentration increased from baseline (4.0 [2.7-6.1] $\mu\text{g/mL}$) to Month 3 (11.9 [7.1-19.4] $\mu\text{g/mL}$); an increase of 7.5 (3.7, 13.7) $\mu\text{g/mL}$, $p < 0.001$). In the placebo group, adiponectin at Month 3 was 4.1 (2.6-6.0) $\mu\text{g/mL}$, a change of 0.0 (-0.9, 0.9) $\mu\text{g/mL}$ from baseline (**Supplemental Table S1, Figure S2, and Figure S3**). FFA decreased from 0.5 ± 0.3 mmol/L at baseline to 0.4 ± 0.2 mmol/L at Month 3 in the aleglitazar arm; a decrease of -0.1 ± 0.3 mmol/L , $P < 0.001$). In the placebo group, FFA at Month 3 was 0.5 ± 0.3 mmol/L , a change of 0.0 ± 0.3 mmol/L from baseline.

Association between baseline adiponectin and FFA levels and outcomes

A total of 684 (10%) and 688 (10%) MACE, 276 (4%) and 281 (4%) all-cause deaths, and 202 (3%) and 206 (3%) cardiovascular deaths occurred in patients with baseline adiponectin and FFA data available, respectively. Median (interquartile range [IQR]) follow-up time was 1.98 (1.55-2.46) years. **Figure 1** shows the Kaplan-Meier estimates of survival free of MACE, all-cause death, and cardiovascular death according to adiponectin quartiles and FFA categories. The risk for each endpoint increased across quartiles of adiponectin ($P < 0.001$) and categories of FFA ($P < 0.05$).

Table 3 shows the crude and adjusted risk for MACE, all-cause death, and cardiovascular death according to baseline adiponectin and FFA concentrations. Baseline adiponectin that was 2 times higher was associated with higher risk for MACE (HR 1.28 [1.18-1.38]; adjusted HR 1.17 [1.08-1.27], $P < 0.001$), all-cause death (HR 1.75 [1.56-1.98]; adjusted

HR 1.53 [1.35-1.73], $P < 0.001$), and cardiovascular death (HR 1.67 [1.45-1.92]; adjusted HR 1.51 [1.30-1.76], $P < 0.001$). No interaction existed between randomized treatment assignment and baseline adiponectin concentrations on the risk for any endpoint event (**Table 3**). Furthermore, no interaction was found for sex.

A baseline FFA level that was 2 times higher was associated with a higher risk for MACE (HR 1.15 [1.04-1.27]; adjusted HR 1.12 [1.02-1.24], $P = 0.019$), all-cause death (HR 1.31 [1.11-1.54]; adjusted HR 1.20 [1.03-1.40], $P = 0.018$), and cardiovascular death (HR 1.28 [1.06-1.54], adjusted HR 1.19 [0.99-1.42], $P = 0.062$). As with adiponectin, the interaction between treatment and baseline FFA concentrations on endpoint events was not significant.

In the first sensitivity analysis, patients treated with exogenous insulin were excluded. The associations of baseline adiponectin with clinical outcomes remained significant with minimal effect on the point estimates of hazard ratios (data not shown); however, the association of baseline FFA with adverse outcomes was no longer significant. Adiponectin and NT-proBNP were weakly correlated with $r^2 = 0.09$ ($P < 0.001$). In the second sensitivity analysis with NT-proBNP added as a covariate to the regression model a baseline adiponectin level that was 2 times higher remained significantly associated with MACE (adjusted HR 1.14 [1.04-1.26], $P = 0.008$), all-cause death (adjusted HR 1.19 [1.02-1.39], $P = 0.025$), and cardiovascular death (adjusted HR 1.21 [1.01-1.44], $P = 0.040$), although the associations were attenuated (**Table 3**). With the addition of NT-proBNP as a covariate, the associations of baseline FFA with death and cardiovascular death remained significant, but the association with MACE was attenuated. A similar effect was seen for the separate endpoint non-fatal MI (**Supplemental Table S2**).

Association between change in adiponectin or FFA and outcomes

The associations between changes in adiponectin or FFA from baseline to Month 3 and outcomes are shown in **Table 3**. A doubling in adiponectin from baseline to Month 3 was associated with a higher risk for all-cause death (HR 1.20 [1.03-1.41], P=0.022), and cardiovascular death (HR 1.22 [1.02-1.46], P=0.029), but not with MACE (HR 1.03 [0.93-1.15], P=0.540) after multivariable adjustment. Since a change in adiponectin over time was identified only in the aleglitazar group (**Supplemental Table S1**, P<0.001) we investigated interaction effects by treatment and conducted stratified analysis by treatment. No significant interaction effects were observed. In addition, interaction with sex was investigated and no interaction was found, with the exception for the association between change in adiponectin and MACE (P=0.031). Stratified analysis showed no association between change in adiponectin and MACE in men (HR 0.99 [0.87-1.12], P=0.82), while a borderline significant association for women existed (HR 1.19 [1.00-1.42], P=0.052). The change in FFA from baseline to Month 3 was not associated with outcomes in crude or adjusted models.

When sensitivity analysis was performed by adding NT-proBNP as covariate to the model, associations between change in adiponectin (baseline to Month 3) and outcomes were no longer significant (**Table 3**).

CONCLUSIONS

This study shows that both FFA and adiponectin levels are directly associated with the risk of major adverse cardiovascular events and death in patients with type 2 diabetes and recent ACS. These findings extend the previously reported data on the relation between FFA levels and cardiovascular outcomes, although the observed relationships for adiponectin are opposite to conclusions from prior observational data in patients initially free of cardiovascular events.

In prior cohort studies without prevalent cardiovascular disease, higher adiponectin concentrations were related to a lower risk of incident cardiovascular disease and mortality (3,4). However, the current data are aligned with findings in patients with heart failure (19) or coronary artery disease (20), and in elderly people (21,22) that associated higher concentrations of adiponectin with greater risk of cardiovascular and all-cause death. Furthermore, an analysis of the Examination of Cardiovascular Outcomes with Alogliptin versus Standard of Care in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Acute Coronary Syndrome trial (EXAMINE) showed a positive association of adiponectin with cardiovascular and all-cause death (23). A post hoc analysis of the Pravastatin or Atorvastatin Evaluation and Infection Trial (PROVE IT) showed an adverse association of adiponectin with 2-year MACE outcomes but not death in 3933 patients with recent ACS (24). Additionally, in patients without diabetes and a recent acute myocardial infarction, higher adiponectin levels have been associated with higher mortality but not cardiovascular mortality (25). The current data extend these findings by demonstrating associations between baseline adiponectin and death, as well as composite MACE outcomes. Furthermore, the current analysis indicates that a rise in adiponectin during the early period after ACS is associated with a greater risk of death, independent of treatment assignment in the clinical trial that provided the source data.

The underlying mechanisms for the adverse associations of adiponectin and FFA with death and MACE are unclear. Although most evidence supports the view of adiponectin as an anti-inflammatory mediator (26), a growing body of *in vitro* data indicates that adiponectin also has the potential to induce pro-inflammatory effects. Studies in a variety of cell types, including astrocytes (27), renal tubular cells (28), synovial cells (29), macrophages and T-lymphocytes (30), demonstrate stimulation of inflammatory signalling pathways by adiponectin. In clinical

studies of patients with inflammatory or vascular disease, higher adiponectin levels correlated with greater severity of rheumatoid arthritis (31), higher likelihood of proliferative diabetic retinopathy (32), and greater aortic stiffness in patients with acute myocardial infarction (33). Alternatively, higher circulating concentrations of adiponectin may reflect “adiponectin resistance” due to a decrease in adiponectin receptor expression or responsiveness in target tissues (34). Under this concept, an adverse association of adiponectin with outcomes might not reflect adverse actions of the adipokine, but rather conditions that impair signaling its favorable effects.

Prior studies have shown a consistent positive association between the circulating concentrations of adiponectin and natriuretic peptides (17,19,35), with evidence that natriuretic peptides stimulate the synthesis and release of adiponectin (18,36). Natriuretic peptides are increased in heart failure and predict poor outcomes. Therefore, we investigated the possibility that the adverse association of adiponectin with outcomes after ACS reflect an underlying adverse association of heart failure and elevated natriuretic peptides. In sensitivity analyses incorporating levels of NT-proBNP as a covariate in Cox regression models, significant adverse associations persisted between baseline adiponectin and death and MACE outcomes, although the hazard ratios were somewhat attenuated. Thus, higher levels of natriuretic peptides may explain part, but not all, of the paradoxical association of adiponectin with adverse outcomes in this study.

Elevated FFA levels in our study population are comparable to levels seen in obese and type 2 diabetes patients (37,38). Elevated FFA has been postulated to be a risk factor for arrhythmic and atherothrombotic events (9). In prior studies, higher FFA concentrations have been associated with greater risk of incident coronary heart disease (39), MACE in patients with

coronary heart disease (40), and sudden death (41). The present study extends those findings by demonstrating a strong association of FFA with MACE and death in patients with type 2 diabetes and ACS.

Study limitations

The present study is a post-hoc, observational analysis of a randomized clinical trial. As such, it cannot determine the biological mechanisms responsible for the adverse association of adiponectin or FFA with outcomes. Second, unaccounted factors associated with adiponectin or FFA may introduce an unknown degree of residual confounding. For example, we did not measure, and therefore cannot account for relationships with other adipokines (e.g. leptin, ghrelin). Third, because of missing data in baseline or change in adiponectin and FFA concentrations, we had to exclude approximately 3% and 12% of the patients from our analyses, respectively. Fourth, analyses relating the change in adiponectin or FFA from baseline to Month 3 to outcomes have substantially less power than those relating baseline concentrations to outcomes. This is because there were fewer patients with data from both time points, and because the analyses of the changes in biomarkers over time only consider events occurring after Month 3. Moreover, the median change from baseline in FFA was modest, further reducing power in that analysis. Fifth, the relationship of adiponectin and FFA concentrations with the qualifying (index) ACS event for the AleCardio study is unknown. Therefore, we cannot exclude index event bias as an explanation for the current findings (42). Sixth, adiponectin and FFA were measured only once at each time point. Intra-individual variability in these measures may have weakened the apparent associations with outcomes. By analogy, intra-individual variability in NT-proBNP may have weakened the effects of adjustment for that variable. Furthermore, a total

of 452 patients in AleCardio either withdrew consent or were lost to follow-up prior to the common study end date. We cannot exclude the possibility of resulting bias in our reported results. Finally, the reported adiponectin concentrations represent total adiponectin levels, without discriminating between the low- and more metabolically active high-molecular weight fractions. However, Kizer et al. found a similar direct positive relation for total and high-molecular weight adiponectin with cardiovascular and all-cause mortality in older people from the Cardiovascular Health Study (43).

Conclusions

In patients with type 2 diabetes and recent ACS, both baseline adiponectin and FFA levels are directly associated with the risk of MACE and death. These relationships persist after multivariable adjustment. Additional adjustment for NT-proBNP attenuates, but does not abrogate these associations. Moreover, an increase in adiponectin during the 3 months following the ACS event is associated with higher risk for all-cause and cardiovascular death after multivariable adjustment. The neutral results of the AleCardio trial may reflect a balance between beneficial and adverse effects of aleglitazar. Beneficial effects may include reduced FFA, as well as reduced glycemic indices and triglycerides and increased HDL cholesterol. Adverse effects of aleglitazar may include increased adiponectin, as well as increased LDL cholesterol and creatinine levels, as previously described (16). The present results suggest that interventions that are specifically intended to increase adiponectin are unlikely to be useful in patients with coronary heart disease.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Author contributions: **I.C.S.:** designed and wrote the manuscript. **A.N.:** conducted statistical analysis and reviewed and edited the manuscript. **B.E.S.:** reviewed and edited the manuscript. **J.B.B.:** reviewed and edited the manuscript. **R.R.H.:** reviewed and edited the manuscript. **K.M.:** reviewed and edited the manuscript. **B.N.:** reviewed and edited the manuscript. **S.J.N.:** reviewed and edited the manuscript. **L.R.:** reviewed and edited the manuscript. **L.M.:** reviewed and edited the manuscript. **A.S.:** reviewed and edited the manuscript. **H.W.:** reviewed and edited the manuscript. **A.W.:** reviewed and edited the manuscript. **A.M.L.:** reviewed and edited the manuscript. **J.-C.T:** reviewed and edited the manuscript. **G.G.S.:** designed, wrote and edited the manuscript.

Guarantor's name: Ilse C. Schrieks

Funding:

This work was supported by F. Hoffmann-La Roche (Basel, Switzerland).

Conflict of Interest statement:

I.C.S.: None. **A.N.:** None. **B.E.S.:** None. **J.B.B.:** Research Grants: AstraZeneca, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly, GI Dynamics, Intarcia, Johnson & Johnson, Lexicon, Medtronic, Novo Nordisk, Orexigen, Sanofi, Scion NeuroStim, Takeda, Theracos. Ownership Interest: PhaseBio. Consultant/Advisory Board: Adocia, AstraZeneca, Dance Biopharm, Eli Lilly, Elycylex, F. Hoffman-LaRoche, GI Dynamics, Lexicon, Merck, Metavention, Novo Nordisk, Orexigen, PhaseBio, Quest, Takeda, vTv Therapeutics. **R.R.H.:** Research grants:

AstraReal, Eli Lilly, Hitachy, F. Hoffman-LaRoche, Lexicon, Viacyte. Consultant/Advisory board: Alere, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Elcelyx, Eli Lilly, Intarcia, Ionis, Johnson & Johnson/Janssen, sanofi-aventis, REMD. **K.M.:** Employment Roche. Employment, Vicore Pharma. B. Neal: Research Grants: Abbvie, Dr Reddy's Laboratories, Janssen, Roche, Servier. Honoraria: Abbott, AstraZeneca, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, Servier. **S.J.N.:** Research Grants: Anthera, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Cerenis, Eli Lilly, F. Hoffmann-La Roche, InfraReDx, LipoScience, Novartis, Resverlogix, Sanofi-Regeneron. Consultant/Advisory Board: Abbott, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Atheronova, Boehringer Ingelheim, CSL Behring, Esperion, LipoScience, Merck, Novartis, Omthera, Pfizer Roche, sanofi-aventis, Takeda. **L.R.:** Research Grant: AFA Insurance Company, Bayer AG, Karolinska Institutet Funds, Roche, the Swedish Diabetes Association, the Swedish Heart Lung Foundation. Honoraria: AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Roche, sanofi-aventis. **L.M.:** Research grants: Bayer AG. Consultant and honoraria: MSD, Sanofi-aventis, Boehringer Ingelheim, Novo Nordisk. **A.S.:** Employment F. Hoffmann-La Roche. Ownership Interest: F. Hoffmann-La Roche. **H.W.:** Honoraria: AstraZeneca, Roche, Pfizer. **A.W.:** Employment F. Hoffmann-La Roche. **A.L.:** Research Grant F. Hoffmann-La Roche. **J.-C.T.:** Research Grants: Amarin, Eli Lilly, Isis, Merck, Pfizer, Roche, sanofi-aventis, Servier, DalCor. Honoraria: Servier. **D.E.G.:** Research Grant F. Hoffmann-La Roche. **G.G.S.:** Research support to institution: Cerenis, Resverlogix, F. Hoffmann-La Roche, Sanofi.

Reference to prior publication of the study in abstract form:

Schrieks IC, Nozza A, Stähli BE, .; A paradoxical relationship of plasma adiponectin to cardiovascular risk in patients with diabetes and recent acute coronary syndrome-the Alecardio Trial (Abstract). Circulation 2016; 134: A16033.

REFERENCES

1. Weyer C, Funahashi T, Tanaka S, Hotta K, Matsuzawa Y, Pratley RE, Tataranni PA. Hypoadiponectinemia in obesity and type 2 diabetes: close association with insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2013;86:1930-1935.
2. Shibata R, Sato K, Pimentel DR, Takemura Y, Kihara S, Ohashi K, Funahashi T, Ouchi N, Walsh K. Adiponectin protects against myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury through AMPK-and COX-2-dependent mechanisms. *Nat Med* 2005;11: 1096-1103.
3. Schulze MB, Shai I, Rimm EB, Li T, Rifai N, Hu FB. Adiponectin and future coronary heart disease events among men with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 2005;54:534-539.
4. Ai M, Otokozawa S, Asztalos BF, White CC, Cupples LA, Nakajima K, Lamon-Fava S, Wilson PW, Matsuzawa Y, Schaefer EJ. Adiponectin: an independent risk factor for coronary heart disease in men in the Framingham offspring study. *Atherosclerosis* 2011;217:543-548.
5. Borges MC, Lawlor DA, de Oliveira C, White J, Horta B, Barros AJ. The role of adiponectin in coronary heart disease risk: a Mendelian randomization study. *Circ Res*. 2016;119:491-499.
6. Schnabel R, Messow CM, Lubos E, Espinola-Klein C, Rupprecht HJ, Bickel C, Sinning C, Tzikas S, Keller T, Genth-Zotz S, Lackner KJ. Association of adiponectin with adverse outcome in coronary artery disease patients: results from the AtheroGene study. *Eur Heart J* 2008;29:649-657.
7. Maiolino G, Cesari M, Sticchi D, Zanchetta M, Pedon L, Antezza K, Pessina AC, Rossi GP. Plasma adiponectin for prediction of cardiovascular events and mortality in high-risk patients. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2008;93:3333-3340.
8. Sattar N, Wannamethee G, Sarwar N, Tchernova J, Cherry L, Wallace AM, Danesh J, Whincup PH. Adiponectin and coronary heart disease: a prospective study and meta-analysis. *Circulation* 2006;114:623-629.
9. Lindsay RS, Resnick HE, Zhu J, Tun ML, Howard BV, Zhang Y, Yeh J, Best LG. Adiponectin and coronary heart disease: the Strong Heart Study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2005;25:e15-16.
10. Pilz S, März W. Free fatty acids as a cardiovascular risk factor. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2008;46:429-434.
11. Frayn KN, Williams CM, Arner P. Are increased plasma non-esterified fatty acid concentrations a risk marker for coronary heart disease and other chronic diseases? *Clin Sci (Lond)* 1996;90:243-253.
12. Fagot-Campagna A, Balkau B, Simon D, Warnet JM, Claude JR, Ducimetlèred P, Eschwègea E. High free fatty acid concentration: an independent risk factor for hypertension in the Paris Prospective Study. *Int J Epidemiol* 1998;27:808-813.
13. Djoussé L, Benkeser D, Arnold A, Kizer JR, Zieman SJ, Lemaitre RN, Tracy RP, Gottdiener JS, Mozaffarian D, Siscovick DS, Mukamal KJ. Plasma free fatty acids and risk of heart failure the Cardiovascular Health Study. *Circ Heart Fail* 2013;6:964-969.
14. Huang JV, Greyson CR, Schwartz GG. PPAR- γ as a therapeutic target in cardiovascular disease: evidence and uncertainty. Thematic review series: new lipid and lipoprotein targets for the treatment of cardiometabolic diseases. *J Lipid Res* 2012;53:1738-1754.
15. Lincoff AM, Tardif JC, Neal B, Nicholls SJ, Rydén L, Schwartz GG, Malmberg K, Buse JB, Henry RR, Wedel H, Weichert A. Evaluation of the dual peroxisome proliferator-

- activated receptor α/γ agonist aleglitazar to reduce cardiovascular events in patients with acute coronary syndrome and type 2 diabetes mellitus: rationale and design of the AleCardio trial. *Am Heart J* 2013;166:429-434.
16. Lincoff AM, Tardif JC, Schwartz GG, Nicholls SJ, Rydén L, Neal B, Malmberg K, Wedel H, Buse JB, Henry RR, Weichert A. Effect of aleglitazar on cardiovascular outcomes after acute coronary syndrome in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: the AleCardio randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2014;311:1515-1525.
 17. Antonopoulos AS, Margaritis M, Coutinho P, Digby J, Patel R, Psarros C, Ntusi N, Karamitsos TD, Lee R, De Silva R, Petrou M. Reciprocal effects of systemic inflammation and brain natriuretic peptide on adiponectin biosynthesis in adipose tissue of patients with ischemic heart disease. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2014;ATVBAHA-114.
 18. Tsukamoto O, Fujita M, Kato M, Yamazaki S, Asano Y, Ogai A, Okazaki H, Asai M, Nagamachi Y, Maeda N, Shintani Y. Natriuretic peptides enhance the production of adiponectin in human adipocytes and in patients with chronic heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009;53:2070-2077.
 19. Kistorp C, Faber J, Galatius S, Gustafsson F, Frystyk J, Flyvbjerg A, Hildebrandt P. Plasma adiponectin, body mass index, and mortality in patients with chronic heart failure. *Circulation* 2005;112:1756–1762.
 20. Pilz S, Mangge H, Wellnitz B, Seelhorst U, Winkelmann BR, Tiran B, Boehm BO, März W. Adiponectin and mortality in patients undergoing coronary angiography. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2006;91:4277–4286.
 21. Laughlin GA, Barrett-Connor E, May S, and Langenberg C. Association of adiponectin with coronary heart disease and mortality: the Rancho Bernardo Study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2007;165:164–174.
 22. Wannamethee SG, Whincup P, Lennon L, Satter N. Circulating adiponectin levels and mortality in elderly men with and without cardiovascular disease and heart failure. *Arch Intern Med* 2007;167:1510–1517.
 23. Bergmark BA, Cannon CP, White WB, Jarolim P, Liu Y, Bonaca MP, Zannad F, Morrow DA. Baseline adiponectin concentration and clinical outcomes among patients with diabetes and recent acute coronary syndrome in the EXAMINE trial. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2017;19:962-969.
 24. Wilson SR, Sabatine MS, Wiviott SD, Ray KK, De Lemos JA, Zhou S, Rifai N, Cannon CP, Morrow DA. Assessment of adiponectin and the risk of recurrent cardiovascular events in patients presenting with an acute coronary syndrome: observations from the Pravastatin Or atorVastatin Evaluation and Infection Trial-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 22 (PROVE IT–TIMI 22). *Am Heart J* 2011;161:1147-1455.
 25. Ritsinger V, Brismar K, Malmberg K, Mellbin L, Näsman P, Rydén L, Söderberg S, Tenerz Å, Norhammar A. Elevated levels of adipokines predict outcome after acute myocardial infarction: a long-term follow-up of the Glucose Tolerance in Patients with Acute Myocardial Infarction cohort. *Diab Vasc Dis Res* 2017;14:77–87.
 26. Villarreal-Molina MT and Antuna-Puente B. Adiponectin: anti-inflammatory and cardioprotective effects. *Biochimie* 2012;94:2143-2149.
 27. Wan Z, Mah D, Simtchouk S, Klegeris A, Little JP. Globular adiponectin induces a pro-inflammatory response in human astrocytic cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2014;446:37-42.

28. Perri A, Vizza D, Lupinacci S, Toteda G, De Amicis F, Leone F, Gigliotti P, Lofaro D, La Russa A, Bonofiglio R. Adiponectin secreted by tubular renal cells during LPS exposure worsens the cellular inflammatory damage. *J Nephrol* 2016;29:185-194.
29. Kontny E, Janicka I, Skalska U, Maśliński W. The effect of multimeric adiponectin isoforms and leptin on the function of rheumatoid fibroblast-like synoviocytes. *Scand J Rheumatol* 2015;44:363-368.
30. Cheng X, Folco EJ, Shimizu K, Libby P. Adiponectin induces pro-inflammatory programs in human macrophages and CD4+ T cells. *J Biol Chem* 2012;287:36896-36904.
31. Liu D, Luo S, Li Z. Multifaceted roles of adiponectin in rheumatoid arthritis. *Int Immunopharmacol* 2015;28:1084-1090.
32. Hong SB, Lee JJ, Kim SH, Suh YJ, Han JY, Kim YS, Nam M. The effects of adiponectin and inflammatory cytokines on diabetic vascular complications in obese and non-obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2016;111:58-65.
33. Reinstadler SJ, Klug G, Feistritzer HJ, Mayr A, Bader K, Mair J, Esterhammer R, Schocke M, Metzler B. Relation of plasma adiponectin levels and aortic stiffness after acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care* 2014;3:10-17.
34. Ruscica M, Baragetti A, Catapano AL, Norata GD. Translating the biology of adipokines in atherosclerosis and cardiovascular diseases: Gaps and open questions. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2016 [E-pub ahead of print]; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2016.12.005>.
35. Von Eynatten M, Hamann A, Twardella D, Nawroth PP, Brenner H, Rothenbacher D. Relationship of adiponectin with markers of systemic inflammation, atherogenic dyslipidemia, and heart failure in patient with coronary heart disease. *Clin Chem* 2006;52:853-859.
36. Costello-Boerrigter LC and Burnett JC. A new role for the natriuretic peptides: metabolic regulators of the adipocyte. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009;53:2078-2079.
37. Golay A, Swislocki AL, Chen YD, Reaven GM. Relationships between plasma-free fatty acid concentration, endogenous glucose production, and fasting hyperglycemia in normal and non-insulin dependent diabetes individuals. *Metabolism* 1987; 36:692-696.
38. Opie LH, Walfish PG. Plasma free fatty acid concentrations in obesity. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1963;268:757-60.
39. Pirro M, Mauriège P, Tchernof A, Cantin B, Dagenais GR, Després JP, Lamarche B. Plasma free fatty acid levels and the risk of ischemic heart disease in men: Prospective results from the Québec cardiovascular study. *Atherosclerosis* 2002;160:377-384.
40. Pilz S, Scharnagl H, Tiran B, Seelhorst U, Wellnitz B, Boehm BO, Schaefer JR, März W. Free fatty acids are independently associated with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in subjects with coronary artery disease. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2006;91:2542-2547.
41. Jouven X, Charles MA, Desnos M, Ducimetière P. Circulating nonesterified fatty acid level as a predictive risk factor for sudden death in the population. *Circulation* 2001;104:756-761.
42. Smits LJ, van Kuijk SM, Leffers P, Peeters LL, Prins MH, Sep SJ. Index event bias—a numerical example. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2013;66:192-196.
43. Kizer JR, Benkeser D, Arnold AM, Mukamal KJ, Ix JH, Zieman SJ, Siscovick DS, Tracy RP, Mantzoros CS, Newman AB, Djousse L. Epidemiology and Prevention Associations of Total and High-Molecular-Weight Adiponectin With All-Cause and Cardiovascular

Mortality in Older Persons: The Cardiovascular Health Study. *Circulation* 2012;126:2951–2961.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier curves of survival free of MACE, all-cause death and cardiovascular death by (A) baseline adiponectin quartiles and (B) FFA categories.

TABLES

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of AleCardio participants by adiponectin quartiles and FFA categories

Variable ^a	Adiponectin	Adiponectin	Adiponectin	Adiponectin	P-value*	FFA	FFA	FFA	FFA	P-value*	
	All n=7060	quartile 1 n=1749	quartile 2 n=1750	quartile 3 n=1750		quartile 4 n=1749	category 1 n=1878	category 2 n=2308	category 3 n=1683		category 4 n=1169
		0.4-2.7	2.7-4.0	4.0-6.1	6.1-50.0		0.1-0.3	0.4-0.5	0.6-0.7	0.8-3.3	
<u>Demographics</u>											
Age, years (mean ± SD)	60.8 ± 9.9	57.2 ± 9.4	59.6 ± 9.7	61.9 ± 9.5	64.5 ± 9.6	<0.001	59.8 ± 9.7	60.3 ± 9.8	61.2 ± 10.1	62.7 ± 9.9	<0.001
Female sex [n (%)]	1909 (27)	239 (14)	372 (21)	532 (30)	754 (43)	<0.001	364 (19)	510 (22)	526 (31)	499 (43)	<0.001
Race [n (%)]						<0.001					<0.001
White	4707 (67)	1047 (60)	1188 (68)	1207 (69)	1222 (70)		1312 (70)	1511 (66)	1117 (66)	749 (64)	
Asian	1846 (26)	567 (32)	436 (25)	432 (25)	398 (23)		413 (22)	613 (27)	463 (28)	357 (31)	
Black	215 (3)	59 (3)	47 (3)	48 (3)	57 (3)		84 (4)	70 (3)	39 (2)	22 (2)	
Other	290 (4)	76 (4)	78 (4)	63 (4)	71 (4)		69 (4)	113 (5)	63 (4)	41 (4)	
Region [n (%)]						<0.001					<0.001
Europe	2485 (35)	592 (34)	641 (37)	643 (37)	584 (33)		676 (36)	773 (34)	570 (34)	454 (39)	
Asia/Pacific	1934 (27)	577 (33)	466 (27)	457 (26)	421 (24)		450 (24)	620 (27)	494 (29)	368 (31)	
North America	1954 (28)	419 (24)	483 (28)	470 (27)	564 (32)		569 (30)	655 (28)	462 (27)	263 (23)	
South America	679 (10)	157 (9)	158 (9)	178 (10)	180 (10)		178 (10)	258 (11)	156 (9)	84 (7)	
<u>Medical history</u>											
Prior myocardial infarction [n (%)]	1613 (23)	374 (21)	387 (22)	403 (23)	439 (25)	0.053	429 (23)	515 (22)	396 (24)	268 (23)	0.844
Prior stroke or TIA [n (%)]	551 (8)	110 (6)	128 (7)	140 (8)	168 (10)	0.003	144 (8)	172 (7)	135 (8)	98 (8)	0.777
History of heart failure [n (%)]	746 (11)	155 (9)	167 (10)	176 (10)	239 (14)	<0.001	220 (12)	211 (9)	180 (11)	133 (11)	0.038

Table 1 continued	Adiponectin					P-value*	FFA				P-value*
	All	quartile 1	quartile 2	quartile 3	quartile 4		category 1	category 2	category 3	category 4	
Variable	n=7060	n=1749	n=1750	n=1750	n=1749		n=1878	n=2308	n=1683	n=1169	
		0.4-2.7	2.7-4.0	4.0-6.1	6.1-50.0		0.1-0.3	0.4-0.5	0.6-0.7	0.8-3.3	
History of hypertension [n (%)]	5498 (78)	1286 (74)	1355 (77)	1380 (79)	1431 (82)	<0.001	1418 (76)	1788 (77)	1314 (78)	959 (82)	<0.001
Smoking, current or previous [n (%)]	4332 (61)	1207 (69)	1118 (64)	1014 (58)	953 (54)	<0.001	1243 (66)	1508 (65)	988 (59)	578 (50)	<0.001
Duration of diabetes, years [median (IQR)]	5.6 (1.8-11.1)	4.5 (1.1-9.8)	5.2 (1.6-10.5)	5.7 (1.8-10.9)	7.6 (2.6-14.6)	<0.001	5.8 (1.8-11.3)	5.2 (1.7-10.4)	5.5 (1.6-11.3)	6.6 (2.3-12.4)	<0.001
BMI, kg/m ² [median (IQR)]	29 (26-32)	29 (26-32)	29 (26-33)	29 (26-32)	28 (25-32)	<0.001	28 (25-32)	28 (26-32)	29 (26-33)	28 (25-33)	0.002
SBP, mmHg [mean ± SD]	128 ± 18	126 ± 16	128 ± 17	129 ± 18	130 ± 18	<0.001	126 ± 18	128 ± 17	129 ± 17	130 ± 18	<0.001
DBP, mmHg [mean ± SD]	76 ± 10	76 ± 9	76 ± 9	76 ± 10	76 ± 10	0.184	75 ± 10	76 ± 10	76 ± 10	77 ± 10	0.002
<u>Index ACS event</u>						<0.001					0.495
NSTEMI [n (%)]	2575 (36)	627 (36)	624 (36)	628 (36)	676 (39)		684 (36)	846 (37)	611 (36)	426 (36)	
STEMI [n (%)]	2775 (39)	742 (42)	713 (41)	652 (37)	643 (37)		746 (40)	932 (40)	642 (38)	446 (38)	
UA [n (%)]	1709 (24)	379 (22)	413 (24)	470 (27)	430 (25)		448 (24)	529 (23)	430 (26)	297 (25)	
Time from ACS to randomization, days											
(mean ± SD)	29 ± 15	29 ± 15	28 ± 15	29 ± 14	29 ± 15	0.174	28 ± 15	29 ± 15	29 ± 15	28 ± 15	0.148
Reperfusion therapy for ACS event [n (%)]	5654 (80)	1465 (84)	1423 (82)	1404 (80)	1313 (75)	<0.001	1521 (81)	1884 (82)	1306 (78)	926 (79)	0.008
Assignment to aleglitazar [n (%)]	3536 (50)	860 (49)	919 (53)	873 (50)	853 (49)	0.114	960 (51)	1162 (50)	853 (51)	553 (47)	0.194
<u>Medication [n (%)]</u>											
Aspirin	6604 (94)	1637 (94)	1664 (95)	1629 (93)	1615 (92)	0.009	1749 (93)	2173 (94)	1558 (93)	1103 (94)	0.120
Other anti-platelet agents	6123 (87)	1564 (89)	1547 (88)	1505 (86)	1455 (83)	<0.001	1638 (87)	1993 (86)	1451 (86)	1020 (87)	0.720
ACE inhibitors or ARB	5772 (82)	1437 (82)	1445 (83)	1447 (83)	1395 (80)	0.083	1536 (82)	1912 (83)	1360 (81)	945 (81)	0.321

<i>Table 1 continued</i>	Adiponectin					FFA					P-value*
	All	quartile 1	quartile 2	quartile 3	quartile 4	category 1	category 2	category 3	category 4		
	n=7060	n=1749	n=1750	n=1750	n=1749		n=1878	n=2308	n=1683	n=1169	
Variable		0.4-2.7	2.7-4.0	4.0-6.1	6.1-50.0	P-value*	0.1-0.3	0.4-0.5	0.6-0.7	0.8-3.3	P-value*
Statins	6587 (93)	1648 (94)	1655 (95)	1638 (94)	1590 (91)	<0.001	1772 (94)	2148 (93)	1570 (93)	1075 (92)	0.076
Beta blockers	6385 (90)	1617 (92)	1601 (91)	1584 (91)	1530 (87)	<0.001	1710 (91)	2114 (92)	1493 (89)	1047 (90)	0.011
Diuretics	2228 (32)	422 (24)	506 (29)	582 (33)	692 (40)	<0.001	579 (31)	679 (29)	526 (31)	435 (37)	<0.001
Insulin	2065 (29)	481 (28)	483 (28)	521 (30)	563 (32)	0.006	663 (35)	578 (25)	462 (27)	353 (30)	<0.001
Sulfonylureas	2437 (35)	597 (34)	612 (35)	599 (34)	609 (35)	0.939	605 (32)	791 (34)	596 (35)	435 (37)	0.032
Biguanides	4720 (67)	1232 (70)	1215 (69)	1164 (67)	1069 (61)	<0.001	1189 (63)	1548 (67)	1176 (70)	794 (68)	<0.001

Values are presented as mean \pm SD, median (IQR) or numbers (%).

*Two sides p-values for overall differences between adiponectin quartiles from ANOVA, Kruskal-Wallis or Chi-square tests.

ACE = angiotensin converting enzyme, ACS = acute coronary syndrome, ARB = angiotensin receptor blocker, DBP = diastolic blood pressure; NSTEMI = non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction, SBP = systolic blood pressure, STEMI = ST segment elevation myocardial infarction, TIA = transient ischemic attack, UA = unstable angina.

Table 2. Baseline laboratory values by adiponectin quartiles and FFA categories

	adiponectin	adiponectin	adiponectin	adiponectin		FFA	FFA	FFA	FFA		
	All	quartile 1	quartile 2	quartile 3	quartile 4		category 1	category 2	category 3	category 4	
	n=7060	n=1749	n=1750	n=1750	n=1749		n=1878	n=2308	n=1683	n=1169	
Variable		0.4-2.7	2.7-4.0	4.0-6.1	6.1-50.0	P-value*	0.1-0.3	0.4-0.5	0.6-0.7	0.8-3.3	P-value*
FFA (mmol/L)	0.5 (0.3-0.7)	0.5 (0.3-0.6)	0.5 (0.3-0.6)	0.5 (0.3-0.7)	0.5 (0.4-0.7)	<0.001	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Adiponectin (µg/mL)	4.0 (2.7-6.1)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.9 (2.6-5.8)	3.7 (2.5-5.7)	4.1 (2.8-6.2)	4.6 (3.0-7.2)	<0.001
HbA _{1c} (%)	7.8 ± 1.6	7.9 ± 1.5	7.9 ± 1.7	7.7 ± 1.6	7.7 ± 1.8	0.003	7.9 ± 1.7	7.7 ± 1.6	7.8 ± 1.6	7.8 ± 1.6	0.053
HbA _{1c} mmol/mol	62 ± 18	63 ± 16	63 ± 19	61 ± 18	61 ± 20	0.003	63 ± 19	61 ± 18	62 ± 18	62 ± 18	0.053
FPG (mmol/L)	8.3 ± 3.2	8.3 ± 2.9	8.4 ± 3.1	8.3 ± 3.2	8.2 ± 3.6	0.233	8.4 ± 3.6	8.1 ± 2.8	8.2 ± 3.0	8.7 ± 3.6	<0.001
Insulin (pmol/L)	69 (44-117)	80 (50-135)	75 (49-124)	66 (44-112)	56 (34-96)	<0.001	92 (51-185)	66 (42-107)	66 (42-105)	64 (41-95)	<0.001
HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)	1.08 ± 0.28	0.97 ± 0.21	1.04 ± 0.24	1.10 ± 0.25	1.22 ± 0.34	<0.001	1.06 ± 0.27	1.06 ± 0.27	1.09 ± 0.27	1.16 ± 0.31	<0.001
LDL cholesterol (mg/dL)	2.05 ± 0.80	1.96 ± 0.74	2.03 ± 0.79	2.06 ± 0.80	2.15 ± 0.86	<0.001	2.02 ± 0.76	2.05 ± 0.78	2.08 ± 0.84	2.07 ± 0.84	0.300
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	1.73 ± 1.08	1.93 ± 1.39	1.82 ± 1.17	1.66 ± 0.80	1.52 ± 0.77	<0.001	1.69 ± 0.91	1.72 ± 0.91	1.75 ± 1.09	1.80 ± 1.53	0.123
hs-CRP (nmol/L)	64 ± 134	57 ± 115	64 ± 146	68 ± 136	66 ± 137	0.115	59 ± 120	66 ± 141	65 ± 134	65 ± 137	0.045
eGFR (mL/min/1.73m ²)	78 ± 20	82 ± 19	80 ± 19	77 ± 20	73 ± 22	<0.001	78 ± 20	79 ± 20	78 ± 20	76 ± 21	0.031
NT-proBNP (pg/mL)	832 ± 1510	446 ± 609	595 ± 772	779 ± 995	1490 ± 2493	<0.001	794 ± 1222	741 ± 1271	841 ± 1634	1054 ± 2056	<0.001

Values are presented as mean ± SD or median (IQR).

*Two sides p-values for overall differences between adiponectin quartiles from ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis tests.

eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate, FFA = free fatty acid, FPG = fasting plasma glucose, hs-CRP = high sensitive C-reactive protein, NT-proBNP = N-terminal pro b-type natriuretic peptide.

Table 3. Hazard ratios and point estimates of endpoints per doubling of adiponectin and FFA concentrations at baseline and from baseline to Month 3

				Crude model	Multivariable model	Interaction of treatment assignment	Multivariable model + NT-proBNP
		N	Events (%)	HR (95%CI)	HR (95%CI)	Point estimate (95%CI)*	HR (95%CI)
Baseline adiponectin	MACE	6998	684 (10%)	1.28 (1.18-1.38) †	1.17 (1.08-1.27) †	1.12 (0.96-1.31)	1.14 (1.04-1.26) †
	All-cause death	6998	276 (4%)	1.75 (1.56-1.98) †	1.53 (1.35-1.73) †	1.07 (0.85-1.36)	1.19 (1.02-1.39) ‡
	CV death	6998	202 (3%)	1.67 (1.45-1.92) †	1.51 (1.30-1.76) †	1.02 (0.77-1.35)	1.21 (1.01-1.44) ‡
Baseline FFA	MACE	7038	688 (10%)	1.15 (1.04-1.27) †	1.12 (1.02-1.24) ‡	0.94 (0.78-1.14)	1.02 (0.93-1.11)
	All-cause death	7038	281 (4%)	1.31 (1.11-1.54) †	1.20 (1.03-1.40) ‡	1.07 (0.79-1.44)	1.22 (1.07-1.40) †
	CV death	7038	206 (3%)	1.28 (1.06-1.54) ‡	1.19 (0.99-1.42)	1.05 (0.74-1.51)	1.14 (0.97-1.33)
Change in adiponectin	MACE	6212	443 (7%)	0.92 (0.84-1.01)	1.03 (0.93-1.15)	0.95 (0.73-1.25)	1.00 (0.90-1.10)
	All-cause death	6325	188 (3%)	1.00 (0.87-1.14)	1.20 (1.03-1.41) ‡	0.84 (0.56-1.28)	1.06 (0.90-1.24)
	CV death	6325	130 (2%)	1.03 (0.87-1.21)	1.22 (1.02-1.46) ‡	0.91 (0.55-1.49)	1.06 (0.89-1.26)
Change in FFA	MACE	6253	448 (7%)	0.96 (0.87-1.06)	0.92 (0.84-1.02)	1.12 (0.92-1.36)	0.93 (0.85-1.03)

All-cause death	6365	191 (3%)	0.88 (0.73-1.06)	0.93 (0.80-1.08)	1.01 (0.75-1.35)	0.93 (0.80-1.08)
CV death	6365	131 (2%)	0.90 (0.77-1.04)	0.90 (0.75-1.08)	1.12 (0.79-1.59)	0.89 (0.74-1.07)

Multivariable model: adjusted for treatment, baseline \log_2 (FFA) or \log_2 (adiponectin), age, sex, race, region, prior history of MI, stroke or TIA, heart failure, and hypertension, duration of diabetes, smoking history, body mass index, time from ACS to randomization, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, use of anti-hyperglycemic agents (insulin, sulfonylureas, biguanides), HbA_{1c}, fasting plasma glucose, LDL, HDL, triglyceride, hs-CRP, and eGFR. Model was stratified by ACS index event and reperfusion therapy for ACS.

Interaction model: multivariable model with extra adjustment for interaction with treatment.

* Point estimate shown is the ratio by which the HR of the multivariable model changes when going from aleglitazar to placebo.

Multivariable model + NT-proBNP: multivariable model with additional adjustment for log (NT-proBNP).

Change models were additionally adjusted for change in \log_2 (FFA) or \log_2 (adiponectin), and change in systolic and diastolic blood pressure, HbA_{1c}, fasting plasma glucose, LDL, HDL, triglyceride, hs-CRP, and eGFR from baseline to Month 3.

† P<0.01, ‡ P<0.05.

CV = cardiovascular, FFA = free fatty acid; MACE = major adverse cardiovascular events (Cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke), MI = myocardial infarction, NT-proBNP = N-terminal pro b-type natriuretic peptide, TIA = transient ischemic attack