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#### Abstract

Hom-Poisson superalgebras can be considered as a deformation of Poisson superalgebras. We prove that Hom-Poisson superalgebras are closed under tensor products. Moreover, we show that Hom-Poisson superalgebras can be described using only the twisting map and one binary operation. Finally, all algebra endomorphisms on 2-dimensional complex Poisson superalgebras are computed, and their associated Hom-Poisson superalgebras are described explicitly.


## 1. Introduction

Poisson algebras are used in many fields in mathematics and physics. In mathematics, Poisson algebras play a fundamental role in Poisson geometry [1], quantum groups [2], and deformation of commutative associative algebras. In physics, Poisson algebras are a major part of deformation quantization, Hamiltonian mechanics [3], and topological field theories [4]. Poisson-like structures are also used in the study of vertex operator algebras [5]. Poisson superalgebras can be seen as a direct generalization of Poisson algebras. Remm show that a Poisson superalgebra can be described using only one binary operation in $[6,7]$.

Recently, a twisted generalization of noncommutative Poisson algebras, called Hom-noncommutative Poisson algebras, are studied in [8]. In a noncommutative Hom-Poisson algebra, there exists a twisted map, a Hom-Lie bracket and a Hom-associative product. The associativity, the Jacobi identity, and the Leibniz identity are considered as their Hom-type analogues in a noncommutative Hom-Poisson algebra. The purpose of this paper is to introduce and study a twisted generalization of Poisson superalgebras, called HomPoisson superalgebras.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the construction of the Hom-Lie superalgebras. In Section 3, we give the definition of Hom-Poisson superalgebras. We show that starting with a Poisson superalgebra and an even Poisson superalgebra endomorphism, a Hom-Poisson superalgebra can be constructed. Moreover, we prove that HomPoisson superalgebras are closed under tensor products. In Section 4, we show that a Hom-Poisson superalgebra can be described using only the twisting map and one binary operation. Section 5 is devoted to classifying all the algebra endomorphisms $\alpha$ on all the 2 -dimensional complex Poisson superalgebras and 2-dimensional Hom-Poisson superalgebras.

Throughout the paper $\mathbb{C}$ is the field of complex numbers. All algebras and vector spaces are considered over $\mathbb{C}$.

## 2. Hom-Lie and Hom-Associative Superalgebras

In this section, we first recall the notion of Hom-Lie superalgebras and then give some construction of the Hom-Lie superalgebras.

Definition 1 (see [9]). A Hom-associative superalgebra is a triple $(A, \circ, \alpha)$ consisting of a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-graded vector space $A$, an even bilinear map $\circ: A \times A \rightarrow A$, and an even homomorphism of algebras $\alpha: A \rightarrow A$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(x) \circ(y \circ z)=(x \circ y) \circ \alpha(z) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all homogeneous elements $x, y, z \in A$.
Definition 2 (see [9]). A Hom-Lie superalgebra is a triple $(A,[\cdot],, \alpha)$ consisting of a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-graded vector space $A$, an even bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot]: A \times A \rightarrow A$ and an even homomorphism of algebras $\alpha: A \rightarrow A$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[x, y]=-(-1)^{|x||y|}[y, x]}  \tag{2}\\
& (-1)^{|x| z \mid}[\alpha(x),[y, z]]+(-1)^{|z||y|}[\alpha(z),[x, y]]  \tag{3}\\
& \quad+(-1)^{|x||y|}[\alpha(y),[z, x]]=0
\end{align*}
$$

for all homogeneous elements $x, y, z \in A$.
Let $(V,[\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ and $\left(V^{\prime},[\cdot, \cdot]^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\right)$ be two Hom-Lie superalgebras. An even homomorphism $f: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ is said to be a morphism of Hom-Lie superalgebras if

$$
\begin{align*}
{[f(x), f(y)]^{\prime} } & =f([x, y]), \quad \forall x, y \in V  \tag{4}\\
f \circ \alpha & =\alpha^{\prime} \circ f \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Morphisms of Hom-associative superalgebras are defined similarly.

The following theorem provides a method to construct a Hom-Lie superalgebra by a Lie superalgebra and an even homomorphism of Lie superalgebras.

Proposition 3 (see [9]). Let ( $V,[\cdot, \cdot]$ ) be a Lie superalgebra and let $\alpha: V \rightarrow V$ be an even endomorphism of Lie superalgebras. Then $\left(V,[\cdot, \cdot]_{\alpha}, \alpha\right)$ is a Hom-Lie superalgebra, where $[x, y]_{\alpha}=$ $\alpha([x, y])$.

Moreover, suppose that $\left(V^{\prime},[\cdot, \cdot]^{\prime}\right)$ is another Lie superalgebra and $\alpha^{\prime}: V^{\prime} \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ is an even endomorphism of Lie superalgebras. If $f: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ is a morphism of Lie superalgebras satisfying $f \circ \alpha=\alpha^{\prime} \circ f$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f:\left(V,[\cdot, \cdot]_{\alpha}, \alpha\right) \longrightarrow\left(V^{\prime},[\cdot, \cdot]_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a morphism of Hom-Lie superalgebras.

Example 4 (see [9]). From the orthosymplectic Lie superalgebra $\operatorname{osp}(1,2)=V_{0} \oplus V_{1}$, where $V_{0}$ is spanned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \\
& X=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),  \tag{7}\\
& Y=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and $V_{1}$ is spanned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& F=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right),  \tag{8}\\
& X=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The defining nonzero relations are

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[H, X]=2 X,} \\
& {[H, Y]=-2 Y,} \\
& {[X, Y]=H} \\
& {[Y, G]=F} \\
& {[X, F]=G} \\
& {[H, F]=-F}  \tag{9}\\
& {[H, G]=G} \\
& {[G, F]=H} \\
& {[G, G]}
\end{align*},=-2 X,
$$

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{*}$ define a linear map $\alpha_{\lambda}: \operatorname{osp}(1,2) \rightarrow \operatorname{osp}(1,2)$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{\lambda}(X)=\lambda^{2} X, \\
& \alpha_{\lambda}(Y)=\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}(Y), \\
& \alpha_{\lambda}(H)=H,  \tag{10}\\
& \alpha_{\lambda}(F)=\frac{1}{\lambda}(F), \\
& \alpha_{\lambda}(G)=\lambda G ;
\end{align*}
$$

then $\alpha_{\lambda}$ is an even Lie superalgebra automorphism; by Proposition 3, we obtain a family of Hom-Lie superalgebras $\left(\operatorname{osp}(1,2),[,]_{\lambda}, \alpha_{\lambda}\right)$. These Hom-Lie superalgebras are not Lie superalgebras for $\lambda \neq 1$.

## 3. Hom-Poisson Superalgebras

Definition 5. A Hom-Poisson superalgebra is a tuple ( $A, \cdot$, $[\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ consisting of a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-graded vector space $V$, two even bilinear maps $\cdot,[\cdot, \cdot]: V \times V \rightarrow V$, and an even homomorphism of algebras $\alpha: V \rightarrow V$, where $\alpha(x \cdot y)=\alpha(x) \cdot \alpha(y)$, $\alpha([x, y])=[\alpha(x), \alpha(y)]$, satisfying the following axioms.
(1) $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$ is a supercommutative Hom-associative superalgebra.
(2) $(A,[\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ is a Hom-Lie superalgebra.
(3) The Hom-Leibniz superidentity $[x \cdot y, \alpha(z)]=\alpha(x)$. $[y, z]+(-1)^{|y \| z|}[x, z] \cdot \alpha(y)$ holds for all homogeneous elements $x, y, z \in A$.
Definition 6. Let ( $A, \cdot \cdot[\cdot, \cdot], \alpha$ ) and $\left(A^{\prime}, .^{\prime},[\cdot, \cdot]^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\right)$ be two Hom-Poisson superalgebras. An even homomorphism $f$ : $A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ is said to be a morphism of Hom-Poisson superalgebras if

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x) \cdot^{\prime} f(y) & =f(x \cdot y), \quad \forall x, y \in A \\
{[f(x), f(y)]^{\prime} } & =f([x, y)], \quad \forall x, y \in A  \tag{11}\\
f \cdot \alpha & =\alpha^{\prime} \cdot f
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 7. Let $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$ be a Hom-associative superalgebra and $[\cdot, \cdot]: A \times A \rightarrow A$ be a binary operation on $A$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x, y]=x \cdot y-(-1)^{|x||y|} y \cdot x, \quad \forall x, y \in A \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $(A, \cdot,[\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ is a Hom-Poisson superalgebra with the same twisting map $\alpha$.

Proof. It is straightforward.
From Proposition 7, there is the following construction of Hom-Poisson superalgebras by Poisson superalgebras and homomorphisms.

Theorem 8. Let $(A, \cdot,[\cdot, \cdot])$ be a Poisson superalgebra and let $\alpha: A \rightarrow A$ be an even endomorphism of Poisson superalgebras. Then $\left(A,{ }_{\alpha},[\cdot, \cdot]_{\alpha}, \alpha\right)$ is a Hom-Poisson superalgebra, where $x \cdot \alpha=\alpha(x \cdot y)$ and $[x, y]_{\alpha}=\alpha([x, y])$.

Moreover, suppose that $\left(A^{\prime}, \therefore^{\prime},[\cdot, \cdot]^{\prime}\right)$ is another Poisson superalgebra and $\alpha^{\prime}: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ is an even endomorphism of Poisson superalgebras. If $f: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ is a morphism of Poisson superalgebras satisfying $f \cdot \alpha=\alpha^{\prime} \cdot f$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f:\left(A, \cdot_{\alpha},[\cdot, \cdot]_{\alpha}, \alpha\right) \longrightarrow\left(A^{\prime}, \cdot{ }_{\alpha^{\prime}},[\cdot, \cdot]_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a morphism of Hom-Poisson superalgebras.
Proof. By Proposition 3, $\left(A,[\cdot, \cdot]_{\alpha}, \alpha\right)$ is a Hom-Lie superalgebra.

We will show that $\left(A,{ }_{\alpha}, \alpha\right)$ satisfies the axioms (1) and (3) of Definition 5. In fact

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha(x) \cdot{ }_{\alpha}\left(y \cdot{ }_{\alpha} z\right)= & \alpha(x) \cdot{ }_{\alpha} \alpha(y \cdot z)=\alpha(\alpha(x) \cdot(y \cdot z)) \\
= & \alpha((x \cdot y) \cdot \alpha(z))=\alpha(x \cdot y) \cdot{ }_{\alpha} \alpha(z) \\
= & \left(x \cdot{ }_{\alpha} y\right) \cdot{ }_{\alpha} \alpha(z), \\
{\left[x \cdot{ }_{\alpha} y, \alpha(z)\right]_{\alpha}=} & \alpha([x \cdot \alpha y, \alpha(z)]) \\
= & \alpha([\alpha(x \cdot y), \alpha(z)]) \\
= & \alpha^{2}([x \cdot y, z]) \\
= & \alpha^{2}\left((-1)^{|y||z|}[x, z] \cdot y+x \cdot[y, z]\right)  \tag{14}\\
= & (-1)^{|y| z \mid} \alpha(\alpha([x, z]) \cdot \alpha(y)) \\
& +\alpha(\alpha(x) \cdot \alpha([y, z])) \\
= & (-1)^{|y||z|} \alpha([x, z]) \cdot{ }_{\alpha} \alpha(y) \\
& +\alpha(x) \cdot{ }_{\alpha} \alpha([y, z]) \\
= & (-1)^{|y| z \mid}[x, z]_{\alpha} \cdot{ }_{\alpha} \alpha(y)+\alpha(x) \\
& { }_{\alpha}[y, z]_{\alpha} \cdot
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $\left(A,{ }_{\alpha},[\cdot, \cdot]_{\alpha}, \alpha\right)$ is a Hom-Poisson superalgebra. Then second assertion follows from

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left([x, y]_{\alpha}\right) & =f(\alpha([x, y]))=f \cdot \alpha([x, y]) \\
& =\alpha^{\prime} \cdot f([x, y])=\alpha^{\prime}([f(x), f(y)]) \\
& =[f(x), f(y)]_{\alpha^{\prime}} ; \\
f\left(x \cdot{ }_{\alpha} y\right) & =f(\alpha(x \cdot y))=f \cdot \alpha(x \cdot y)  \tag{15}\\
& =\alpha^{\prime} \cdot f(x \cdot y)=\alpha^{\prime}(f(x) \cdot f(y)) \\
& =f(x) \cdot{ }_{\alpha^{\prime}} f(y)
\end{align*}
$$

This theorem provides a method to construct a HomPoisson superalgebra by a Poisson superalgebra and an even homomorphism of Poisson superalgebras.

Example 9. Let $A=A_{\overline{0}} \oplus A_{\overline{1}}$ be a 2 -dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-graded vector space, where $A_{\overline{0}}$ is generated by $e_{1}$ and $A_{\overline{1}}$ is generated by $e_{2}$ and nonzero products are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{1} \cdot e_{1} & =e_{1} \\
e_{2} \cdot e_{2} & =e_{1}  \tag{16}\\
e_{1} \cdot e_{2} & =e_{2} \cdot e_{1}=e_{2} \\
{\left[e_{2}, e_{2}\right] } & =2 e_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

then $(A, \cdot,[\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Poisson superalgebra. For any $a \in \mathbb{C}$, we consider the homomorphism $\alpha: A \rightarrow A$ defined by $\alpha\left(e_{1}\right)=a e_{1}, \alpha\left(e_{2}\right)=a e_{2}$. By Theorem 8, for any $a \in \mathbb{C}$,
there is the corresponding Hom-Poisson superalgebra $A_{a}=$ $\left(A,{ }_{\alpha},[\cdot, \cdot]_{\alpha}, \alpha\right)$ with the nonzero products

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{1} \cdot{ }_{\alpha} e_{1} & =a e_{1} \\
e_{2} \cdot{ }_{\alpha} e_{2} & =a e_{1}  \tag{17}\\
e_{1} \cdot{ }_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} & =a e_{2} \\
{\left[e_{2}, e_{2}\right]_{\alpha} } & =2 a e_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

It is not a Poisson superalgebra when $a \neq 0,1$.
Example 10. Let $A=A_{\overline{0}} \oplus A_{\overline{1}}$ be a 3 -dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{-}}$ graded vector space, where $A_{\overline{0}}$ is generated by $e_{1}, e_{2}$ and $A_{\overline{1}}$ is generated by $e_{3}$ and the nonzero products are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{1} \cdot e_{2} & =e_{1} \\
e_{2} \cdot e_{2} & =e_{2}  \tag{18}\\
e_{3} \cdot e_{2} & =e_{3} \\
{\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right] } & =a e_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

then $(A, \cdot,[\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Poisson superalgebra. For any $a \in \mathbb{C}$, we consider the homomorphism $\alpha: A \rightarrow A$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha\left(e_{1}\right)=a e_{1} \\
& \alpha\left(e_{2}\right)=e_{1}+e_{2} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

By Theorem 8 , for any $a \in \mathbb{C}$, there is the corresponding Hom-Poisson superalgebra $A_{\alpha}=\left(A,{ }_{\alpha},[\cdot, \cdot]_{\alpha}, \alpha\right)$ with the nonzero products

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{1} \cdot \alpha e_{2} & =a e_{1}, \\
e_{2} \cdot \alpha e_{2} & =e_{1}+e_{2},  \tag{20}\\
{\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right]_{\alpha} } & =a e_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

It is not a Poisson superalgebra when $a \neq 0,1$.
We know Hom-Poisson algebras are closed under tensor products ([8] Theorem 2.9). Here we aim to consider it in detail in the superalgebra case.

Theorem 11. Let $\left(A_{i},{ }_{i},[\cdot, \cdot]_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)$ be Hom-Poisson superalgebras for $i=1,2$, and let $A=A_{1} \otimes A_{2}$. Define the operations $\alpha: A \rightarrow A$ and $\cdot,[\cdot, \cdot]: A^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow A$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha= & \alpha \otimes \alpha_{2}, \\
\left(x_{1} \otimes x_{2}\right) \cdot\left(y_{1} \otimes y_{2}\right)= & (-1)^{\left|x_{2}\right|\left|y_{1}\right|}\left(x_{1} \cdot{ }_{1} y_{1}\right) \\
& \otimes\left(x_{2} \cdot{ }_{2} y_{2}\right), \\
{\left[x_{1} \otimes x_{2}, y_{1} \otimes y_{2}\right]=} & (-1)^{\left|x_{2}\right|\left|y_{1}\right|}\left[x_{1}, y_{1}\right] \otimes\left(x_{2} \cdot{ }_{2} y_{2}\right)  \tag{21}\\
& +(-1)^{\left|x_{2} \| y_{1}\right|}\left(x_{1} \cdot{ }_{1} y_{1}\right) \\
& \otimes\left[x_{2}, y_{2}\right]_{2},
\end{align*}
$$

for $x_{i}, y_{i} \in A_{i}$. Then $(A, \cdot,[\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ is a Hom-Poisson superalgebra.

Proof. The $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$ is a supercommutative Hom-associative superalgebra following from the supercommutativity and Hom-associativity of both $\cdot_{i}$. Also, the supercommutativity of the $\cdot_{i}$ and the antisupersymmetry of the $[\cdot, \cdot]_{i}$ imply the antisupersymmetry of $[\cdot, \cdot]$. It remains to prove the HomJacobi superidentity and the Hom-Leibniz superidentity in $A$.

To simplify the typography, we abbreviate $\cdot_{1},{ }_{2}$, and $\cdot$ using juxtaposition and drop the subscripts in $[\cdot, \cdot]_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i}$. Pick $x=$ $x_{1} \otimes x_{2}, y=y_{1} \otimes y_{2}, z=z_{1} \otimes z_{2} \in A$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
&(-1)^{|x||z|}[[x, y], \alpha(z)] \\
&=(-1)^{s_{1}}\left[\left[x_{1}, y_{1}\right] \otimes\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right), \alpha_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \otimes \alpha_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)\right] \\
&+(-1)^{s_{1}}\left[\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right) \otimes\left[x_{2}, y_{2}\right], \alpha_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \otimes \alpha_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)\right] \\
&=(-1)^{s_{2}}\left[\left[x_{1}, y_{1}\right], \alpha_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)\right] \otimes\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right) \alpha_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)  \tag{22}\\
&+(-1)^{s_{2}}\left[x_{1}, y_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \otimes\left[x_{2} y_{2}, \alpha_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)\right] \\
&+(-1)^{s_{2}}\left[x_{1} y_{1}, \alpha_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)\right] \otimes\left[x_{2}, y_{2}\right] \alpha_{2}\left(z_{2}\right) \\
&+(-1)^{s_{2}}\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right) \alpha_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \otimes\left[\left[x_{2}, y_{2}\right], \alpha_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $s_{1}=\left|x_{1}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|+\left|x_{1}\right|\left|z_{2}\right|+\left|x_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|+\left|x_{2}\right|\left|z_{2}\right|+\left|x_{2}\right|\left|y_{1}\right|$, $s_{2}=\left|x_{1}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|+\left|x_{1}\right|\left|z_{2}\right|+\left|x_{2}\right|\left|z_{2}\right|+\left|x_{2}\right|\left|y_{1}\right|+\left|y_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|$. Consider

$$
\begin{align*}
&(-1)^{|x \| y|}[[y, z], \alpha(x)] \\
&=(-1)^{s_{3}}\left[\left[y_{1}, z_{1}\right], \alpha_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)\right] \otimes\left(y_{2} z_{2}\right) \alpha_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \\
&+(-1)^{s_{3}}\left[y_{1}, z_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \otimes\left[y_{2} z_{2}, \alpha_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right]  \tag{23}\\
&+(-1)^{s_{3}}\left[y_{1} z_{1}, \alpha_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)\right] \otimes\left[y_{2}, z_{2}\right] \alpha_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \\
&+(-1)^{s_{3}}\left(y_{1} z_{1}\right) \alpha_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \otimes\left[\left[y_{2}, z_{2}\right], \alpha_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $s_{3}=\left|y_{1}\right|\left|x_{1}\right|+\left|y_{1}\right|\left|x_{2}\right|+\left|y_{2}\right|\left|x_{2}\right|+\left|y_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|+\left|z_{2}\right|\left|x_{1}\right|$. Consider

$$
\begin{align*}
&(-1)^{|y||z|}[[z, x], \alpha(y)] \\
&=(-1)^{s_{4}}\left[\left[z_{1}, x_{1}\right], \alpha_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)\right] \otimes\left(z_{2} x_{2}\right) \alpha_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) \\
&+(-1)^{s_{4}}\left[z_{1}, x_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \otimes\left[z_{2} x_{2}, \alpha_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right]  \tag{24}\\
&+(-1)^{s_{4}}\left[z_{1} x_{1}, \alpha_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)\right] \otimes\left[z_{2}, x_{2}\right] \alpha_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) \\
&+(-1)^{s_{4}}\left(z_{1} x_{1}\right) \alpha_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \otimes\left[\left[z_{2}, x_{2}\right], \alpha_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $s_{4}=\left|z_{1}\right|\left|y_{1}\right|+\left|z_{1}\right|\left|y_{2}\right|+\left|z_{2}\right|\left|y_{2}\right|+\left|z_{2}\right|\left|x_{1}\right|+$ $\left|x_{2}\right|\left|y_{1}\right|$. Using the Hom-Jacobi superidentity in $A_{1}$ and the supercommutativity and Hom-associativity in $A_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(-1)^{s_{2}} & {\left[\left[x_{1}, y_{1}\right], \alpha_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)\right] \otimes\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right) \alpha_{2}\left(z_{2}\right) } \\
& +(-1)^{s_{3}}\left[\left[y_{1}, z_{1}\right], \alpha_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)\right] \otimes\left(y_{2} z_{2}\right) \alpha_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \\
& +(-1)^{s_{4}}\left[\left[z_{1}, x_{1}\right], \alpha_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)\right] \otimes\left(z_{2} x_{2}\right) \alpha_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)  \tag{25}\\
\quad= & 0
\end{align*}
$$

Likewise, using the supercommutativity and Hom-associativity in $A_{1}$ and the Hom-Jacobi superidentity in $A_{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{s_{2}}\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right) \alpha_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \otimes\left[\left[x_{2}, y_{2}\right], \alpha_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)\right] \\
& \quad+(-1)^{s_{3}}\left(y_{1} z_{1}\right) \alpha_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \otimes\left[\left[y_{2}, z_{2}\right], \alpha_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right] \\
& \quad+(-1)^{s_{4}}\left(z_{1} x_{1}\right) \alpha_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \otimes\left[\left[z_{2}, x_{2}\right], \alpha_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right] \\
& \quad=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the Hom-Leibniz superidentity in $A_{i}$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{s_{2}}\left[x_{1}, y_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \otimes\left[x_{2} y_{2}, \alpha_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)\right]+(-1)^{s_{2}} \\
& \cdot\left[x_{1} y_{1}, \alpha_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)\right] \otimes\left[x_{2}, y_{2}\right] \alpha_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)+(-1)^{s_{3}}\left[y_{1}, z_{1}\right] \\
& \text { - } \alpha_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \otimes\left[y_{2} z_{2}, \alpha_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right]+(-1)^{s_{3}}\left[y_{1} z_{1}, \alpha_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)\right] \\
& \otimes\left[y_{2}, z_{2}\right] \alpha_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)+(-1)^{s_{4}}\left[z_{1}, x_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \\
& \otimes\left[z_{2} x_{2}, \alpha_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right]+(-1)^{s_{4}}\left[z_{1} x_{1}, \alpha_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)\right] \\
& \otimes\left[z_{2}, x_{2}\right] \alpha_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)=(-1)^{s_{2}+\left|y_{2}\right|\left|z_{2}\right|}\left[x_{1}, y_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \\
& \otimes\left[x_{2},\left(z_{2}\right)\right] \alpha_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)+(-1)^{s_{2}}\left[x_{1}, y_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \\
& \otimes \alpha_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\left[y_{2}, z_{2}\right]+(-1)^{s_{3}+\left|z_{2}\right|\left|x_{2}\right|}\left[y_{1}, z_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& \otimes\left[y_{2}, x_{2}\right] \alpha_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)+(-1)^{s_{3}}\left[y_{1}, z_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& \otimes \alpha_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\left[z_{2}, x_{2}\right]+(-1)^{s_{3}+\left|z_{1}\right|\left|x_{1}\right|}\left[y_{1}, x_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \\
& \otimes\left[y_{2}, z_{2}\right] \alpha_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)+(-1)^{s_{3}} \alpha_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)\left[z_{1}, x_{1}\right] \\
& \otimes\left[y_{2}, z_{2}\right] \alpha_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)+(-1)^{s_{4}+\left|y_{2}\right|\left|z_{2}\right|}\left[z_{1}, x_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \\
& \otimes\left[z_{2} x_{2}, \alpha_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right]+(-1)^{s_{4}}\left[z_{1}, x_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \\
& \otimes\left[z_{2}, y_{2}\right] \alpha_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)+(-1)^{s_{4}}\left[z_{1}, x_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \\
& \otimes \alpha_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)\left[x_{2}, y_{2}\right]+(-1)^{s_{4}+\left|x_{1}\right|\left|y_{1}\right|}\left[z_{1}, y_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& \otimes\left[z_{2}, x_{2}\right] \alpha_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)+(-1)^{s_{4}} \alpha_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)\left[x_{1}, y_{1}\right] \\
& \otimes\left[z_{2}, x_{2}\right] \alpha_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)=\left\{(-1)^{s_{2}+\left|y_{2}\right|\left|z_{2}\right|}\left[x_{1}, y_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \otimes\left[x_{2}, z_{2}\right] \alpha_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)+(-1)^{s_{4}} \alpha_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)\left[x_{1}, y_{1}\right] \\
& \left.\otimes\left[z_{2}, x_{2}\right] \alpha_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right\}+\left\{(-1)^{s_{2}}\left[x_{1}, y_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \otimes \alpha_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\left[y_{2}, z_{2}\right]+(-1)^{s_{3}+\left|z_{1}\right|\left|x_{1}\right|}\left[y_{1}, x_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \\
& \left.\otimes\left[y_{2}, z_{2}\right] \alpha_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}+\left\{(-1)^{s_{3}}\left[y_{1}, z_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \otimes \alpha_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\left[z_{2}, x_{2}\right]+(-1)^{s_{3}+\left|z_{1}\right|\left|x_{1}\right|}\left[y_{1}, x_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \\
& \left.\otimes\left[y_{2}, z_{2}\right] \alpha_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}+\left\{(-1)^{s_{3}}\left[y_{1}, z_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \otimes \alpha_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\left[z_{2}, x_{2}\right]+(-1)^{s_{4}+\left|x_{1}\right|\left|y_{1}\right|}\left[z_{1}, y_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& \left.\otimes\left[z_{2}, x_{2}\right] \alpha_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right\}+\left\{(-1)^{s_{3}} \alpha_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)\left[z_{1}, x_{1}\right]\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \otimes\left[y_{2}, z_{2}\right] \alpha_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)+(-1)^{s_{4}+\left|x_{2}\right|\left|y_{2}\right|}\left[z_{1}, x_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \\
& \left.\otimes\left[z_{2}, y_{2}\right] \alpha_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}+\left\{(-1)^{s_{2}+\left|y_{1} \| z_{1}\right|}\left[x_{1}, z_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \otimes\left[x_{2}, y_{2}\right] \alpha_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)+(-1)^{s_{4}}\left[z_{1}, x_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \\
& \left.\otimes \alpha_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)\left[x_{2}, y_{2}\right]\right\}=0+0+0+0+0+0=0 . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

This shows that $(A,[\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ satisfies the Hom-Jacobi superidentity:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (-1)^{|x \||z|}[[x, y], \alpha(z)]+(-1)^{|x||y|}[[y, z], \alpha(x)]  \tag{28}\\
& \quad+(-1)^{|y||z|}[[z, x], \alpha(y)]=0
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we check the Hom-Leibniz superidentity in $A$. Using the Hom-associativity and the Hom-Leibniz superidentity in the $A_{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[x y, \alpha(z)]=\left[\left(x_{1} \otimes y_{1}\right)\left(y_{1} \otimes y_{2}\right), \alpha_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \otimes \alpha_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)\right]} \\
& =(-1)^{\left|x_{2}\right|\left|y_{1}\right|+\left|x_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|+\left|y_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|}\left[x_{1} y_{1}, \alpha_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)\right] \otimes\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right) \\
& \text { - } \alpha_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)+(-1)^{\left|x_{2}\right|\left|y_{1}\right|+\left|x_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|+\left|y_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|}\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right) \alpha_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \\
& \otimes\left[x_{2} y_{2}, \alpha_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)\right]=(-1)^{\left|x_{2}\right|\left|y_{1}\right|+\left|x_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|+\left|y_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|+\left|y_{1}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|} \\
& \cdot\left[x_{1}, z_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \otimes\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right) \alpha_{2}\left(z_{2}\right) \\
& +(-1)^{\left|x_{2}\right|\left|y_{1}\right|+\left|x_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|+\left|y_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|} \alpha_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)\left[y_{1}, z_{1}\right] \otimes\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right) \\
& \cdot \alpha_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)+(-1)^{\left|x_{2}\right|\left|y_{1}\right|+\left|x_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|+\left|y_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|+\left|y_{2}\right|\left|z_{2}\right|}\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right) \\
& \text { - } \alpha_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \otimes\left[x_{2}, z_{2}\right] \alpha_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) \\
& +(-1)^{\left|x_{2}\right|\left|y_{1}\right|+\left|x_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|+\left|y_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|}\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right) \alpha_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \otimes \alpha_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \\
& \text { - }\left[y_{2}, z_{2}\right] \text {, } \\
& (-1)^{|y| z \mid}[x, z] \alpha(y)+\alpha(x)[y, z] \\
& =(-1)^{\left|y_{1}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|+\left|y_{1}\right|\left|z_{2}\right|+\left|y_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|+\left|y_{2}\right|\left|z_{2}\right|+\left|x_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|} \\
& \cdot\left(\left[x_{1}, z_{1}\right] \otimes\left(x_{2} z_{2}\right)\right)\left(\alpha_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \otimes \alpha_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +(-1)^{\left|y_{1}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|+\left|y_{1}\right|\left|z_{2}\right|+\left|y_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|+\left|y_{2}\right|\left|z_{2}\right|+\left|x_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|} \\
& \cdot\left(\left(x_{1} z_{1}\right) \otimes\left[x_{2}, z_{2}\right]\right)\left(\alpha_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \otimes \alpha_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +(-1)^{\left|y_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|} \alpha_{1}\left(x_{1} \otimes \alpha_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\left(\left[y_{1}, z_{1}\right] \otimes\left(y_{2} z_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +(-1)^{\left|y_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|} \alpha_{1}\left(x_{1} \otimes \alpha_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\left(\left(y_{1} z_{1}\right) \otimes\left[y_{2}, z_{2}\right]\right) \\
& =(-1)^{\left|y _ { 1 } \left\|z_{1}\left|+\left|y_{2} \| z_{1}\right|+\left|x_{2}\right|\right| z_{1}\left|+\left|x_{2}\right|\right| y_{1} \mid\right.\right.}\left[x_{1}, z_{1}\right] \alpha_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \\
& \otimes\left(x_{2} z_{2}\right) \alpha_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)+(-1)^{\left|y_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|+\left|y_{2}\right|\left|z_{2}\right|+\left|x_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|+\left|x_{2}\right|\left|y_{1}\right|} \\
& \cdot\left(x_{1} z_{1}\right) \alpha_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \otimes\left[x_{2}, z_{2}\right] \alpha_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) \\
& +(-1)^{\left|y_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|+\left|x_{2}\right|\left|y_{1}\right|+\left|x_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|} \alpha_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)\left[y_{1}, z_{1}\right] \otimes \alpha_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cdot\left(y_{2} z_{2}\right)+(-1)^{\left|y_{2} \| z_{1}\right|+\left|x_{2}\right|\left|y_{1}\right|+\left|x_{2}\right|\left|z_{1}\right|} \alpha_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)\left(y_{1} z_{1}\right) \\
& \otimes \alpha_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\left[y_{2}, z_{2}\right] \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x y, \alpha(z)]=(-1)^{|y||z|}[x, z] \alpha(y)+\alpha(x)[y, z] \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $\alpha_{i}=I d_{A_{i}}$ in Theorem 11, we obtain the result about Poisson superalgebras.

Corollary 12. Let $\left(A_{i}, \cdot{ }_{i},[\cdot, \cdot]_{i}\right.$, be Poisson superalgebras for $i=1,2$, and let $A=A_{1} \otimes A_{2}$. Define the operations $\cdot,[\cdot, \cdot]:$ $A^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow A$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(x_{1} \otimes x_{2}\right) \cdot\left(y_{1} \otimes y_{2}\right)= & (-1)^{\left|x_{2}\right|\left|y_{1}\right|}\left(x_{1} \cdot{ }_{1} y_{1}\right) \\
& \otimes\left(x_{2} \cdot{ }_{2} y_{2}\right), \\
{\left[x_{1} \otimes x_{2}, y_{1} \otimes y_{2}\right]=} & (-1)^{\left|x_{2}\right|\left|y_{1}\right|}\left[x_{1}, y_{1}\right]_{1} \otimes\left(x_{2} \cdot{ }_{2} y_{2}\right)  \tag{31}\\
& +(-1)^{\left|x_{2}\right|\left|y_{1}\right|}\left(x_{1} \cdot{ }_{1} y_{1}\right) \\
& \otimes\left[x_{2}, y_{2}\right]_{2},
\end{align*}
$$

for $x_{i}, y_{i} \in A_{i}$. Then $(A, \cdot,[\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Poisson superalgebra.

## 4. Admissible Hom-Poisson Superalgebras

A Poisson algebra has two binary operations, the Lie bracket and the commutative associative product. It is shown in [10] that Poisson algebras can be described using only one binary operation via the polarization-depolarization process. Moreover, the result of Poisson algebras is extended to HomPoisson algebras in [8]. In other words, the paper shows that a Hom-Poisson algebra can be described using only the twisting map and one binary operation. The purpose of this section is to extend this alternative description of Poisson algebras to Hom-Poisson superalgebras.

Definition 13. An admissible Hom-Poisson superalgebra $A$ is a Hom-superalgebra satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& 3 A(x, y, z)+(-1)^{|x||y|}(y x) \alpha(z) \\
&-(-1)^{|y||z|}(x z) \alpha(y)  \tag{32}\\
&-(-1)^{|x||y|+|x||z|}(y z) \alpha(x) \\
&+(-1)^{|x||z|+|y \| z|}(z x) \alpha(y)=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $A(x, y, z)=(x y) \alpha(z)-\alpha(x)(y z)$, for any homogeneous elements $x, y, z \in A$, the identity (32) is called the HomRemm identity.

Remark 14. In particular, taking $\alpha=I d_{A}$, we find the notion of admissible Poisson superalgebra presented in [8].

Theorem 15. Let $(A, \cdot,[\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ be a double Hom-superalgebra. Then $(A, \cdot,[\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ is a Hom-Poisson superalgebra if and only if there exists on $A$ a nonassociative product $x y$ such that $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$ is an admissible Hom-Poisson superalgebra.

Proof. Assume that $(A, \cdot,[\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ is a Hom-Poisson superalgebra. Consider the multiplication

$$
\begin{equation*}
x y=x \cdot y+[x, y] . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

We deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \cdot y=\frac{1}{2}\left(x y+(-1)^{|x||y|} y x\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the associativity condition can be denoted by

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{1}(x, y, z)= & A(x, y, z) \\
& -(-1)^{|x||y|+|x| z|+|y|| z \mid} A(z, y, x) \\
& +(-1)^{|x||y|}(y x) \alpha(z) \\
& -(-1)^{|y||z|} \alpha(x)(z y)  \tag{35}\\
& -(-1)^{|x||y|+|x| z \mid}(y z) \alpha(x) \\
& +(-1)^{|x||z|+|y||z|} \alpha(z)(x y)=0,
\end{align*}
$$

where $A(x, y, z)=(x y) \alpha(z)-\alpha(x)(y z)$. Likewise, the HomPoisson bracket can be denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x, y]=\frac{1}{2}\left(x y-(-1)^{|x||y|} y x\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Hom-super Jacobi condition

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{2}(x, y, z)= & (-1)^{|x||z|} A(x, y, z) \\
& -(-1)^{|x||y|+|x||z|} A(y, x, z) \\
& -(-1)^{|x||y|+|y||z|} A(z, y, x) \\
& -(-1)^{|x||z|+|y||z|} A(x, z, y)  \tag{37}\\
& +(-1)^{|x||y|} A(y, z, x) \\
& +(-1)^{|y||z|} A(z, x, y)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

The Hom-Leibniz superidentity can be denoted by

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{3}(x, y, z)= & A(x, y, z)-(-1)^{|x||y|} A(y, x, z) \\
& +(-1)^{|x||y|+|x||z|+|y||z|} A(z, y, x) \\
& +(-1)^{|y||z|} A(x, z, y)  \tag{38}\\
& +(-1)^{|x||y|+|x||z|} A(y, z, x) \\
& -(-1)^{|x||z|+|y||z|} A(z, x, y)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Let us consider the vector

$$
\begin{align*}
& v(x, y, z)=\frac{1}{3}\left\{(-1)^{|x||y|}(y x) \alpha(z)\right. \\
& \quad-(-1)^{|y||z|}(x z) \alpha(y)-(-1)^{|x| y|+|x|| z \mid}(y z) \alpha(x)  \tag{39}\\
& \left.\quad+(-1)^{|x \| z|+|y||z|}(z x) \alpha(y)\right\}+(x y) \alpha(z)-\alpha(x) \\
& \quad \cdot(y z) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& v(x, y, z)=\frac{1}{6}\left\{2 v_{1}(x, y, z)+(-1)^{|x||z|} v_{2}(x, y, z)\right.  \tag{40}\\
& \left.\quad+v_{3}(x, y, z)+2(-1)^{|x||z|+|y||z|} v_{3}(z, x, y)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

We deduce that the product $x y$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, y, z)=0 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any homogeneous elements $x, y, z \in A$.
Conversely, assume that the produce of the nonassociative product $A$ satisfies $v(x, y, z)=0$ for any homogeneous elements $x, y, z \in A$. Let $v_{1}(x, y, z), v_{2}(x, y, z), v_{3}(x, y, z)$ be the elements of $A$ defined in the first part, respectively, in relation to the Hom-associativity, Hom-super Jacobi, and Hom-super Leibniz relations. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{1}(x, y, z)= & v(x, y, z) \\
& -(-1)^{|x||y|+|x| z|+|y|| z \mid} v(z, y, x) \\
& +(-1)^{|y||z|} v(x, z, y) \\
& -(-1)^{|x||z|+|y||z|} v(z, x, y), \\
v_{2}(x, y, z)= & (-1)^{|x||z|} v(x, y, z) \\
& -(-1)^{|x||y|+|x||z|} v(y, x, z) \\
& -(-1)^{|x||y|+|y||z|} v(z, y, x) \\
& -(-1)^{|x| z|+|y| z|} v(x, z, y)  \tag{42}\\
& +(-1)^{|x||y|} v(y, z, x) \\
& +(-1)^{|y||z|} v(z, x, y), \\
v_{3}(x, y, z)= & v(x, y, z)-(-1)^{|x||y|} v(y, x, z) \\
& +(-1)^{|x||y|+|x||z|+|y||z|} v(z, y, x) \\
& +(-1)^{|y||z|} v(x, z, y) \\
& +(-1)^{|x||y|+|x||z|} v(y, z, x) \\
& -(-1)^{|x||z|+|y||z|} v(z, x, y) .
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $\alpha=I d_{A}$ in Theorem 15, we obtain the following result, which is Theorem 1 in [7].

Corollary 16. Let $(A, \cdot,[\cdot, \cdot])$ be a double superalgebra. Then $(A, \cdot,[\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Poisson superalgebra if and only if there exists on $A$ a nonassociative product $x y$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
3 A & (x, y, z)+(-1)^{|x||y|}(y x) z-(-1)^{|y||z|}(x z) y \\
& -(-1)^{|x||y|+|x||z|}(y z) x+(-1)^{|x||z|+|y||z|}(z x) y  \tag{43}\\
= & 0
\end{align*}
$$

where $A(x, y, z)=(x y) z-x(y z)$, for any homogeneous elements $x, y, z \in A$.

Definition 17. A Hom-nonassociative superalgebra $(A, \cdot, \alpha)$ is called Hom-superflexive if the multiplication $x y$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(x, y, z)+(-1)^{|x||y|+|x||z|+|y||z|} A(z, y, x)=0 \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any homogeneous elements $x, y, z \in A$, where $A_{\alpha}(x, y$, $z)=(x y) \alpha(z)-\alpha(x)(y z)$ is called a Hom-associator of the multiplication.

Proposition 18. Let $(A, \cdot,[\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ be a Hom-Poisson superalgebra. Then the Hom-Remm product defining the Hom-Poisson superalgebra structure is Hom-superflexive.

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& B(x, y, z) \\
& \quad=3\left\{A(x, y, z)+(-1)^{|x||y|+|x||z|+|y||z|} A(z, y, x)\right\} . \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& B(x, y, z)=-(-1)^{|x| y \mid}(y x) \alpha(z)+(-1)^{|y| z \mid}(x z) \\
& \cdot \alpha(y)+(-1)^{|x||y|+|x||z|}(y z) \alpha(x)-(-1)^{|x||z|+|y||z|} \\
& \cdot(z x) \alpha(y)+(-1)^{|x||y|+|x||z|+|y||z|} \\
& \cdot\left\{-(-1)^{|z||y|}(y z) \alpha(x)\right. \\
& +(-1)^{|y||x|}(z x) \alpha(y)+(-1)^{|z||y|+|z||x|}(y x) \alpha(y) \\
& \left.-(-1)^{|z||x|+|y \| z|}(x z) \alpha(y)\right\}=\left\{-(-1)^{|x||y|}\right.  \tag{46}\\
& \left.+(-1)^{|x||y|}\right\}(y x) \alpha(z)+\left\{(-1)^{|y||z|}-(-1)^{|y| z \mid}\right\} \\
& \cdot(x z) \alpha(y)+\left\{(-1)^{|x||y|+|x||z|}-(-1)^{|x||y|+|x||z|}\right\} \\
& \cdot(y z) \alpha(x)+\left\{-(-1)^{|x| z|+|y|| z \mid}+(-1)^{|x||z|+|y||z|}\right\} \\
& \text { - }(z x) \alpha(y)=0 \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $\alpha=I d_{A}$ in Proposition 18, we obtain the following result, which is Proposition 3 in [7].

Corollary 19. Let $(A, \cdot,[\cdot, \cdot])$ be a Poisson superalgebra. Then the Remm product defining Poisson superalgebra structure is superflexive.

Remark 20. The deformation cohomology of Hom-Poisson superalgebras can be computed with the Hom-Remm identity, which is similar to the method in [11]. This content is not primary in the paper, we do not have a detailed discussion here.

## 5. A Classification of 2-Dimensional Hom-Poisson Superalgebras

In this section, we only consider that $A_{\overline{1}}$ is nontrivial. $A_{2}^{i}$ denotes one of the 2-dimensional admissible Poisson superalgebra types. $\alpha_{2}^{i}$ denotes one of the homomorphism types corresponding to $A_{2}^{i}$. $\widetilde{A}_{2}^{i}$ denotes one of the 2-dimensional admissible Hom-Poisson superalgebra types corresponding to $\alpha_{2}^{i}$. In the following, the products equal to zero are omitted.

Lemma 21. Let $(A,())$ be an admissible Poisson superalgebra and let $\alpha: A \rightarrow A$ be an even Poisson superalgebra endomorphism. Then $\left(A,()_{\alpha}, \alpha\right)$ is an admissible Hom-Poisson superalgebra, where $(x y)_{\alpha}=\alpha(x y)$.

Proof. It is straightforward by Definition 13.
Lemma 22 (see [7]). Let ( $A,()$ ) be a 2-dimensional admissible Poisson superalgebra with a basis $\left\{e_{0}, e_{1}\right\}$, where $e_{0} \in A_{\overline{0}}, e_{1} \in$ $A_{\overline{1}}$. Then $A$ is one of the following types:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{2}^{1}: e_{0} e_{0}=a e_{0}, e_{0} e_{1}=a e_{1}, e_{1} e_{0}=a e_{1}, e_{1} e_{1}=d e_{0} \\
& d \neq 0 \\
& A_{2}^{2}: e_{0} e_{0}=a e_{0} \\
& A_{2}^{3}: e_{0} e_{0}=a e_{0}, e_{0} e_{1}=a e_{1}, e_{1} e_{0}=a e_{1}, a \neq 0 \\
& A_{2}^{4}: e_{0} e_{1}=b e_{1}, e_{1} e_{0}=-b e_{1}, b \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{0} e_{0}=a e_{0} \\
& e_{0} e_{1}=b e_{1}  \tag{47}\\
& e_{1} e_{0}=c e_{1} \\
& e_{1} e_{1}=d e_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

By Corollary 16, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
3(a-b) b+a b-2 b c+c^{2} & =0 \\
d(b-a) & =0 \\
3(a-c) c+a b-2 b c+c^{2} & =0  \tag{48}\\
d(3 c-b-2 a) & =0 \\
d(b-c) & =0
\end{align*}
$$

Now we consider the cases as follows.
Case 1. If $d \neq 0$, then $b=a=c$; hence we have $A_{2}^{1}$.
Case 2. If $d=0$, then $(b-c)(a-b-c)=0$.

Subcase 2.1. If $b=c$, then $b(a-b)=0$.
If $b=0$, then we have $A_{2}^{2}$.
If $b \neq 0$, then $a=b=c \neq 0$; hence we have $A_{2}^{3}$.
Subcase 2.2. If $b \neq c$, then $b=-c \neq 0, a=0$; hence we have $A_{2}^{4}$.

Remark 23. Lemma 5.2 in [6] has been obtained; however, there is one minor inaccuracy on the product operation in that proof.

Lemma 24. Let $(A,())$ be a 2-dimensional admissible Poisson superalgebra with $\operatorname{dim} A_{\overline{0}}=1$ and $\operatorname{dim} A_{\overline{1}}=1$. Then an even homomorphism $\alpha$ of type $A_{2}^{1}$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{2(1)}^{1}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha\left(e_{0}\right)=k^{2} e_{0} \\
\alpha\left(e_{1}\right)=k e_{1} ;
\end{array}\right. \\
& \alpha_{2(2)}^{1}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha\left(e_{0}\right)=0 \\
\alpha\left(e_{1}\right)=0 ;
\end{array}\right.  \tag{49}\\
& \alpha_{2(3)}^{1}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha\left(e_{0}\right)=e_{0} \\
\alpha\left(e_{1}\right)= \pm e_{1} .
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha\left(e_{0}\right)=a_{10} e_{0} \\
& \alpha\left(e_{1}\right)=a_{11} e_{1} \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

From $\alpha$ is an even homomorphism, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha\left(e_{0} e_{0}\right)=\alpha\left(e_{0}\right) \alpha\left(e_{0}\right), \\
& \alpha\left(e_{0} e_{1}\right)=\alpha\left(e_{0}\right) \alpha\left(e_{1}\right), \\
& \alpha\left(e_{1} e_{0}\right)=\alpha\left(e_{1}\right) \alpha\left(e_{0}\right),  \tag{51}\\
& \alpha\left(e_{1} e_{1}\right)=\alpha\left(e_{1}\right) \alpha\left(e_{1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 22 and (50) and (51), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
a a_{10}\left(a_{10}-1\right) & =0, \\
a a_{11}\left(a_{10}-1\right) & =0,  \tag{52}\\
a_{10} & =a_{11}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Case 1. If $a=0$, then we have $\alpha_{2(1)}^{1}$.
Case 2. If $a \neq 0$, then we consider two cases as follows.
If $a_{10}=0$, then $a_{11}=0$; hence we have $\alpha_{2(2)}^{1}$.
If $a_{10} \neq 0$, then $a_{10}=1, a_{11}= \pm 1$; hence we have $\alpha_{2(3)}^{1}$.
Corollary 25. Let $\left(\widetilde{A},()_{\alpha}, \alpha\right)$ be a 2-dimensional admissible Hom-Poisson superalgebra. Then $\widetilde{A}$ with respect to $\alpha_{2}^{1}$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{A}_{2(1)}^{1}:\left(e_{1} e_{1}\right)_{\alpha}=d k^{2} e_{0}, d \neq 0 \\
& \widetilde{A}_{2(2)}^{1}:(A A)_{\alpha}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{A}_{2(3)}^{1}:\left(e_{0} e_{0}\right)_{\alpha}=a e_{0},\left(e_{0} e_{1}\right)_{\alpha}= \pm a e_{1},\left(e_{1} e_{0}\right)_{\alpha}= \pm a e_{1} \\
& \left(e_{1} e_{1}\right)_{\alpha}=d e_{0}, a \neq 0, d \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Apply Lemmas 21, 22, and 24.
Lemma 26. Let $(A,())$ be a 2-dimensional admissible Poisson superalgebra with $\operatorname{dim} A_{\overline{0}}=1$ and $\operatorname{dim} A_{\overline{1}}=1$. Then an even homomorphism $\alpha$ of type $A_{2}^{2}$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{2(1)}^{2}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha\left(e_{0}\right)=k_{0} e_{0} \\
\alpha\left(e_{1}\right)=k e_{1} ;
\end{array}\right. \\
& \alpha_{2(2)}^{2}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha\left(e_{0}\right)=0 \\
\alpha\left(e_{1}\right)=k e_{1} ;
\end{array}\right.  \tag{53}\\
& \alpha_{2(3)}^{2}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha\left(e_{0}\right)=e_{0} \\
\alpha\left(e_{1}\right)=k e_{1} .
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 22 and (20) and (21), we obtain $a a_{10}\left(a_{10}-\right.$ $1)=0$.
Case 1. Suppose that $a=0$, we have $\alpha_{2(1)}^{2}$.
Case 2. Suppose that $a \neq 0$, we consider two cases as follows. If $a_{10}=0$, then we have $\alpha_{2(2)}^{2}$. If $a_{10} \neq 0$, then $a_{10}=1$; hence we have $\alpha_{2(3)}^{2}$.

Corollary 27. Let $\left(\widetilde{A},()_{\alpha}, \alpha\right)$ be a 2-dimensional admissible Hom-Poisson superalgebra. Then $\widetilde{A}$ with respect to $\alpha_{2}^{2}$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{A}_{2(1)}^{2}:(A A)_{\alpha}=0 . \\
& \widetilde{A}_{2(2)}^{2}:(A A)_{\alpha}=0 . \\
& \widetilde{A}_{2(3)}^{2}:\left(e_{0} e_{0}\right)_{\alpha}=a e_{0}, a \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Apply Lemmas 21, 22, and 26.
Lemma 28. Let $(A,())$ be a 2-dimensional admissible Poisson superalgebra with $\operatorname{dim} A_{\overline{0}}=1$ and $\operatorname{dim} A_{\overline{1}}=1$. Then an even homomorphism $\alpha$ of type $A_{2}^{3}$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{2(1)}^{3}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha\left(e_{0}\right)=0 \\
\alpha\left(e_{1}\right)=0
\end{array}\right. \\
& \alpha_{2(2)}^{3}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha\left(e_{0}\right)=e_{0} \\
\alpha\left(e_{1}\right)=k e_{1} ;
\end{array}\right. \\
& \alpha_{2(3)}^{3}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha\left(e_{0}\right)=0 \\
\alpha\left(e_{1}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{2(4)}^{3}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha\left(e_{0}\right)=e_{0} \\
\alpha\left(e_{1}\right)=0 ;
\end{array}\right. \\
\alpha_{2(5)}^{3}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha\left(e_{0}\right)=e_{0} \\
\alpha\left(e_{1}\right)=k e_{1},
\end{array} \quad k \neq 0 .\right. \tag{54}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 22 and (20) and (21), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{10}\left(a_{10}-1\right)=0 \\
& a_{11}\left(a_{10}-1\right)=0 \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

Case 1. If $a_{10}=0$, then $a_{11}=0$; hence we have $\alpha_{2(1)}^{3}$.
Case 2. If $a_{10} \neq 0$, then $a_{10}=1$; hence we have $\alpha_{2(2)}^{3}$.
Case 3. If $a_{11}=0$, then we consider two cases as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If } a_{10}=0 \text {, we have } \alpha_{2(3)}^{3} \\
& \text { If } a_{10} \neq 0 \text {, then } a_{10}=1 \text {; hence we have } \alpha_{2(4)}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 4. If $a_{11} \neq 0$, then $a_{10}=1$; hence we have $\alpha_{2(5)}^{3}$.
Corollary 29. Let $\left(\widetilde{A},()_{\alpha}, \alpha\right)$ be a 2-dimensional admissible Hom-Poisson superalgebra. Then $\widetilde{A}$ with respect to $\alpha_{2}^{3}$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{A}_{2(1)}^{3}:(A A)_{\alpha}=0 . \\
& \widetilde{A}_{2(2)}^{3}:\left(e_{0} e_{0}\right)_{\alpha}=a e_{0},\left(e_{0} e_{1}\right)_{\alpha}=k a e_{1},\left(e_{1} e_{0}\right)_{\alpha}=k a e_{1}, \\
& a \neq 0 . \\
& \widetilde{A}_{2(3)}^{3}:(A A)_{\alpha}=0 . \\
& \widetilde{A}_{2(4)}^{3}:\left(e_{0} e_{0}\right)_{\alpha}=a e_{0}, a \neq 0 . \\
& \widetilde{A}_{2(5)}^{3}:\left(e_{0} e_{0}\right)_{\alpha}=a e_{0},\left(e_{0} e_{1}\right)_{\alpha}=k a e_{1},\left(e_{1} e_{0}\right)_{\alpha}=k a e_{1}, \\
& a \neq 0, k \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Apply Lemmas 21, 22, and 28.
Lemma 30. Let $(A,())$ be a 2-dimensional admissible Poisson superalgebra with $\operatorname{dim} A_{\overline{0}}=1$ and $\operatorname{dim} A_{\overline{1}}=1$. Then an even homomorphism $\alpha$ of type $A_{2}^{4}$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{2(1)}^{4}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha\left(e_{0}\right)=k e_{0} \\
\alpha\left(e_{1}\right)=0 ;
\end{array}\right.  \tag{56}\\
\alpha_{2(2)}^{4}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha\left(e_{0}\right)=e_{0} \\
\alpha\left(e_{1}\right)=k e_{1},
\end{array} \quad k \neq 0 .\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 22 and (20) and (21), we obtain $a_{11}\left(a_{10}-\right.$ 1) $=0$.

Case 1. If $a_{10}=0$, then we have $\alpha_{2(1)}^{4}$.
Case 2. If $a_{10} \neq 0$, then $a_{10}=1$; hence we have $\alpha_{2(2)}^{4}$.

Corollary 31. Let $\left(\widetilde{A},()_{\alpha}, \alpha\right)$ be a 2-dimensional admissible Hom-Poisson superalgebra. Then $\widetilde{A}$ with respect to $\alpha_{2}^{4}$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{A}_{2(1)}^{4}:(A A)_{\alpha}=0 . \\
& \widetilde{A}_{2(2)}^{4}:\left(e_{0} e_{1}\right)_{\alpha}=k b e_{1},\left(e_{1} e_{0}\right)_{\alpha}=-k b e_{1}, b \neq 0, k \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Apply Lemmas 21, 22, and 30.
Theorem 32. Let $(A,())$ be a 2-dimensional admissible Poisson superalgebra with $\operatorname{dim} A_{\overline{0}}=1$ and $\operatorname{dim} A_{\overline{1}}=1$. Then an even homomorphism $\alpha$ of $A$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{2(1)}^{4}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha\left(e_{0}\right)=k e_{0} \\
\alpha\left(e_{1}\right)=0 ;
\end{array}\right. \\
\sigma_{2(2)}^{4}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha\left(e_{0}\right)=e_{0} \\
\alpha\left(e_{1}\right)=k e_{1},
\end{array}\right. \tag{57}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Apply Lemmas 24-30.
Corollary 33. Let $\left(\widetilde{A},()_{\alpha}, \alpha\right)$ be a 2-dimensional admissible Hom-Poisson superalgebra. Then $\widetilde{A}$ with respect to $\alpha_{2}^{i}$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{A}_{2(1)}^{1}:\left(e_{1} e_{1}\right)_{\alpha}=d k^{2} e_{0}, d \neq 0 . \\
& \widetilde{A}_{2(2)}^{1}:(A A)_{\alpha}=0 . \\
& \widetilde{A}_{2(3)}^{1}:\left(e_{0} e_{0}\right)_{\alpha}=a e_{0},\left(e_{0} e_{1}\right)_{\alpha}= \pm a e_{1},\left(e_{1} e_{0}\right)_{\alpha}= \pm a e_{1}, \\
& \left(e_{1} e_{1}\right)_{\alpha}=d e_{0}, a \neq 0, d \neq 0 . \\
& \widetilde{A}_{2(1)}^{2}:(A A)_{\alpha}=0 . \\
& \widetilde{A}_{2(2)}^{2}:(A A)_{\alpha}=0 . \\
& \widetilde{A}_{2(3)}^{2}:\left(e_{0} e_{0}\right)_{\alpha}=a e_{0}, a \neq 0 . \\
& \widetilde{A}_{2(1)}^{3}:(A A)_{\alpha}=0 . \\
& \widetilde{A}_{2(2)}^{3}:\left(e_{0} e_{0}\right)_{\alpha}=a e_{0},\left(e_{0} e_{1}\right)_{\alpha}=k a e_{1},\left(e_{1} e_{0}\right)_{\alpha}=k a e_{1}, \\
& a \neq 0 . \\
& \widetilde{A}_{2(3)}^{3}:(A A)_{\alpha}=0 . \\
& \widetilde{A}_{2(4)}^{3}:\left(e_{0} e_{0}\right)_{\alpha}=a e_{0}, a \neq 0 . \\
& \widetilde{A}_{2(5)}^{3}:\left(e_{0} e_{0}\right)_{\alpha}=a e_{0},\left(e_{0} e_{1}\right)_{\alpha}=k a e_{1},\left(e_{1} e_{0}\right)_{\alpha}=k a e_{1}, \\
& a \neq 0, k \neq 0 . \\
& \widetilde{A}_{2(1)}^{4}:(A A)_{\alpha}=0 . \\
& \widetilde{A}_{2(2)}^{4}:\left(e_{0} e_{1}\right)_{\sigma}=k b e_{1},\left(e_{1} e_{0}\right)_{\alpha}=-k b e_{1}, b \neq 0, k \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Apply Corollaries 25-31.
Remark 34. (1) Some nonisomorphic 2-dimensional admissible Poisson superalgebras have isomorphic admissible Hom-Poisson deformations. For example, the admissible Poisson superalgebras $A_{2}^{2}$ (with $k=0$ in its algebra homomorphism $\left.\alpha_{2(3)}^{2}\right)$ and $A_{2}^{3}$ can be deformed into isomorphic admissible Hom-Poisson superalgebras $\widetilde{A}_{2(3)}^{2}$ and $\widetilde{A}_{2(4)}^{3}$. There are several other such pairs in the cases above.
(2) We give a classification of 2-dimensional admissible Hom-Poisson superalgebras in Corollary 33. Using Theorem 15, a classification of 2-dimensional Hom-Poisson superalgebras will be obviously obtained.
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