Disease Markers 19 (2003,2004) 19–25 IOS Press

Peroxisomal enzymes and 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine in rat liver treated with perfluorooctanoic acid

Awad Abdellatif^a, Ahmad H. Al-tonsy^{b,*}, Mahmoud E. Awad^c, M. Roberfroid^d and M. Nezam Ullah Khan^e

^aGaryounis Faculty of Medicine, Pharmacology Department, Benghazi, Lybia

^bBenha Faculty of Medicine, Medical Biochemistry Department, Egypt

^cMansoura Faculty of Medicine, Forensic Medicine and Clinical Toxicology Department, Egypt

^d*Ecole de Pharmacie, Bruxelles, Belgium*

^eGaryounis Faculty of Medicine, Pathology Department, Benghazi, Lybia

Abstract. Although peroxisome proliferators are considered non-genotoxic agents, most of them, nevertheless, were found to promote and/or induce, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in rodents. The aim of the present study is, first, to investigate whether the peroxisome proliferator perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) possesses inherent liver cancer promoting activity, and second, to study the possible mechanisms involved. To acheive these aims two protocols have been applied, a biphasic protocol (initiation by diethyl-nitrozamine (DEN) 200 mg/kg i.p. followed by treatment with 0.005% or 0.02% perflourooctanoic acid (PFOA) for 14 and 25 weeks) and a triphasic initiation, selection-promotion (IS) protocol (initiation by giving 200 mg/kg DEN i.p. followed by a selection procedure for 2 weeks consisting of giving 0.03% 2-acetylaminofluorene (2-AAF) in diet). In the middle of this treatment a single oral dose of carbon tetrachloride (2.0 ml/kg) was given, followed by giving diet containg 0.015% of PFOA for 25 weeks. After applying both protocols, our results showed slight increase in the catalase activity while acyl CoA oxidase activity was markedly increased. Both experiments indicated that PFOA has a liver cancer promoting activity. Other groups of rats were given either basal diet or diet containing 0.02% PFOA. Five or nine weeks later they were sacrificed and the levels of 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine in the isolated DNA were estimated. The data showed a slight nonetheless insignificant increase in 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine. From the present data, it is concluded that PFOA is a true liver cancer promoter that may not require extensive initial DNA damage for its promoting activity.

Keywords: Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), diethylnitrosamine, (DEN), hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), 2-acetylaminofluorene (2-AAF)

1. Introduction

Despite the fact that peroxisome hydrocarbons proliferators e.g. fibric acid derivatives, phthalates or perfluorinated hydrocarbons are neither mutagenic nor capable of provoking direct DNA damage, they are, nonetheless, carcinogenic and also show promoting activity on rat liver cancer [1,4,25,26,36]. This lack of mutagenecity and/or direct DNA damaging activity led Reddy and associates to introduce the hypothesis that peroxisome proliferators may induce DNA damage by an indirect mechanism [26–28]. This notion is based on the realization that treatment of rodents with peroxisome proliferators is generally associated with a remarkable increase in peroxisomal β -oxidation of fatty acids as reflected by up to 30-fold increase in fatty acyl CoA oxidase activity whereas that of catalase was

^{*}Address for correspondence: Ahmad Hisham Al-tonsy, 10 Metwaly Noor Str., From Tayaran Str., Beside Dr. Abou El-azayem Hospital, Nasr City, Cairo, Egypt. Tel.: +2 02 4026748 or +2 0105251867; E-mail: Altonsi2000@yahoo.com.

marginally (up to 2.5-fold) increased [1,31,36]. Consequently an imbalance between hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) producing enzymes (e.g. acyl CoA oxidase) and H_2O_2 metabolizing enzyme (catalase) leads to substantial increase in H_2O_2 intracellular steady state concentration. This in turn could lead to the generation of chemically reactive oxygen species e.g. superoxide anion, singlet oxygen as well as hydroxyl radical, thereby initiating radical-mediate deleterious effects. If persists, this oxidative stress coupled with enhanced lipid peroxidation may participarte in extensive DNA damage and creates conditions favourable for neoplasmic development [14].

Kasai and co-workers [14] provided direct evidence for a relationship between peroxisome proliferation, oxidative stress and DNA damage. They observed significant increase in 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine (8-0HDG, a marker for hydroxyl radical-mediated DNA damage) in livers of rats kept on diet containing the peroxisome proliferator ciprofibrate for a protracted period. Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) has been found to stimulate peroxisome proliferation [1,3], induce apoptosis in human hepatoma HCPG2 cell through generation of reactive oxygen species [19] and promotes liver cancer development in rat liver [1]. The present investigation was designed to (a) further investigate the liver cancer promoting activity of perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) by different protocols. and (b) if so, what is the mechanism likely to be involved.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

Male Wistar rats, initially weighing between 180 and 200 g, were used throughout. The animals were housed under standard conditions and were allowed free access to food and tap water. Minimum of 15 rats per group were tested.

2.2. Chemicals

Diethylnitrosamine (DEN) was obtained from Merk (Dramstad, Germany), 2-acetylaminofluorene (2-AAF), perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) were supplied by Aldrich (Beerse, Belgium) and carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) was commercially available. Both 2acetylaminofluorene (2-AAF) and PFOA were admixed with a basal diet (BD) (Laboratory Rodent Diet, a Constant NutritionTM formulation). DEN was dissolved in normal saline and administered via the i.p. route in a single dose of 200 mg/kg. CCl_4 was given in a single oral dose (2 ml/kg in maize oil 1:1) as previously described by Neubauer et al. [18].

2.3. Experimental procedures

2.3.1. Biphasic protocol

The biphasic procedure for tumor initiation adopted in the present study was that initially reported by Peraino and others [21] and later modified by Pitot et al. [22]. Rats were divided into control and DEN treated (initiated "T") groups. The control group received normal saline (2.0 ml/kg i.p) whereas I rats were treated with a single i.p injection of DEN (200 mg/kg). Two weeks later (time necessary to allow recovery from acute toxic effects of DEN) the rats were subgrouped and admitted to either BD or diet containing PFOA (0.005% and 0.02%). This approach is designated as initiation "T". Fourteen and twenty five weeks after initiation the rats were sacrificed and their livers were used for biochemical and histological analysis.

2.3.2. Triphasic protocol

This procedure is identical to that previously reported by Lans et al. [16], as in the biphasic protocol, rats were treated with DEN (200 mg/kg i.p) for two weeks. After that, as a selection procedure, they were maintained for further two weeks on a diet containing 2-AAF (0.03 w/w). After one week from starting the 2-AAF treatment and in order to stimulate proliferation of DEN initiated cells, all animals received a single necrotizing oral dose of CCl_4 (2.0 ml/kg). After finishing the initiation selection procedure, rats were divided into different groups and were supplied with either BD or diet containing 0.015% of PFOA. In the present study we designated this protocol as initiation-selection "IS" procedure. Twenty five weeks later animals were sacrificed and their livers were used for biochemical and histological examination.

2.3.3. Effect of PFOA on the level of

8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine in rat liver

Rats were divided into 2 groups each of 20 animals, receiving either basal diet or diet containing 0.02% PFOA. Five and nine weeks later the rats were sacrificed, the livers were removed, the DNA was isolated and the level of 8-HODG was measured by HPLC equiped with electrochemical detector as previously described by Kasai et al. [14].

2.3.4. Biochemical analysis

Liver samples were homogenized in ice-cold 0.2 M sucrose buffered with 3 mM imidazole. The pH of the medium was adjusted to 7.4. The homogenates were used for estimation of enzyme activity.

2.4. Measurement of enzyme activity

2.4.1. Catalase

Catalase activity in the homogenates was determined according to the method of Baudhuin and associates [2]. Briefly, a 0.05 ml of diluted liver homogenate was vortexed with 50 ul of 2% triton. The mixture was then incubated at zero °C for 4 min. After that we added 2.5 ml solution containing 0.02 M imidazole, 0.25 M sucrose plus 0.1 bovine serum albumin and 105 mM H₂O₂. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 1.5 ml of a saturated solution of titanium sulphate in 2 N sulphuric acid and the remaining H₂O₂ was determined spectrophotometrically at 450 nm. One unit of catalase activity was defined as the amount of enzyme causing the destruction of 90% of substrate in 1 min, in a volume of 50 ml under the assay conditions.

2.4.2. Acyl CoA oxidase

The activity of the peroxisomal acyl CoA oxidase was determined as previously described [7,35]. The measurement is based on the fluorimetric detection of H_2O_2 . Briefly, in a total volume of 0.5 ml, the assay mixture containing 0.1 ml of diluted liver homogenate, 0.02 mM of flavine adenine dinucleotide, 80 mM glycylglycine buffer (pH 8.3), 10 mM homovanilic acid and 4 units of horseradish peroxidase. The reaction was started by the addition of 0.1 mM palmitoyl CoA and fluorescence was measured spectrophotometrically at excitation and emission wavelengths of 325 and 426 nm, respectively.

2.4.3. Protein determination

The protein concentration was estimated by the method of Lowery et al. [17], using bovine serum albumin as a reference standard.

2.4.4. Histological analysis

Rats were sacrificed by decapitation and their livers were excised and examined macroscopically for the presence of nodules which usually appear as white spots of 1–8 mm in diameter. Liver samples of 3 mm thickness were then taken and fixed in 10% formaline. Liver sections of 3–4 mm were prepared by the help of a microtome and then were stained by hematoxyline-eosin for subsequent microscopical examination.

Table 1 Catalase and acyl Co A oxidase (mU/mg protein) in rat liver 14 weeks after treatment with and without initiation

after deallient with and without initiation		
Treatment	Catalase	Acyl CoA oxidase
Without inititation		
BASAL DIET	252 ± 8.0	3.47 ± 0.1
PFOA (0.005)	263 ± 31.0	$26.25\pm5.0^{\rm a}$
PFOA (0.02)	$334\pm8.0^{\mathrm{a}}$	$50.00 \pm 9.0^{\mathrm{a}}$
With initiation		
BASAL DIET	262 ± 21	2.95 ± 0.1
PFOA (0.005)	$308\pm31^{\rm a}$	$29.85\pm2.0^{\rm a}$
PFOA (0.02)	$448\pm21^{ m a,b}$	$78.25 \pm 7.0^{ m a,b,c}$

^aSignificant difference from basal diet.

^bSignificant difference from 0.005 PFOA.

^cInitiation has a significant effect.

Table 2 Catalase and acyl Co A oxidase (mU/mg protein) in rat liver 25 weeks after treatment with and without initiation

Treatment	Catalase	Acyl coa oxidase
Without inititation		
BASAL DIET	294 ± 4.0	5.0 ± 0.5
PFOA (0.005)	$433\pm42.0^{\mathrm{a}}$	$32.0 \pm 4.0^{\mathrm{a}}$
PFOA (0.02)	$417\pm43.0^{\rm a}$	$55.0\pm6.0^{\rm a}$
With initiation		
BASAL DIET	261 ± 7.0	5.4 ± 0.4
PFOA (0.005)	263 ± 27.0	$29.85\pm6.7^{\rm a}$
PFOA (0.02)	$435\pm49.0^{\rm a}$	$75.6\pm7.0^{\rm a}$

^aSignificant difference from basal diet.

3. Results

3.1. The effects of PFOA on liver catalase activity in initiated rats

Data presented in Tables 1 and 2 show that induction in catalase activity by PFOA is significantly dependent on both dose and duration of treatment up to 1.5 fold. This was most evident in the group of rats which were sacrified 14 weeks after initiation with 2-AAF. Also in the same group initiation has found to increase the catalase inducing effect of PFOA, but it failed to show the same effect on the group sacrificed 25 weeks after initiation.

3.2. The effects of PFOA on peroxisomal fatty acyl CoA oxidase activity in initiated rats

To assess the extent of PFOA-induced H₂O₂ synthesis, we measured the increase in the activity of fatty acyl CoA oxidase. Data indicated in Tables 1 and 2 clearly show that induction of acyl CoA oxidase activity by PFOA was more pronounced than that of catalase. The effect was dose-related at 14 weeks. Data also indicate that I-pretreatment had significant (P < 0.05) effect on

Table 3 Catalase and acyl Co A oxidase (mU/mg protein) in rat liver of the initiation-selection group

Treatment	Catalase	Acyl CoA oxidase
BASAL DIET	239 ± 16	2.93 ± 0.15
0.015 PFOA	$417\pm25^{\mathrm{a}}$	$39.0\pm3.0^{\mathrm{a}}$

^aSignificant difference from basal diet.

Table 4
Intrahepatic concentrations of 8-OH-deoxyguanosine in animals feed
either basal diet (BD) or diet containing PFOA for 5 or 9 weeks

Treatment	8-OH-deoxyguanosine (ng/ug DNA)	
BD	$\begin{array}{c} 5 \text{ weeks} \\ 0.126 \pm 0.04 \end{array}$	9 weeks 0.136 ± 0.02
PFOA 0.02%	0.110 ± 0.01	0.149 ± 0.05

the induction of the enzyme by the highest concentration used but only at 14 weeks post-initiation. Induction figures were 7.4 and 14-fold at 14 weeks and 10 and 11-fold at 25 weeks for rats treated with low and high dose of PFOA respectively.

3.3. Effects of PFOA on liver catalase activity in ISpretreated rats

The results presented in Table 3 show that in animals subjected to IS-PFOA treatment, catalase activity was significantly (P < 0.05) increased by approximately 2.3-fold as compared to control (IS-BD) value.

3.4. Effects of PFOA on liver fatty acyl CoA oxidase activity in IS- pretreated rats

The data displayed in Table 3 indicate that IS-PFOA treatment resulted in marked induction of peroxisomal acyl CoA oxidase activity. The activity of the enzyme was increased by a factor of 24 in IS-PFOA treated rats when compared to control value.

3.5. Effects of PFOA on 8-HODG liver concentration

The effects of treatment with PFOA on liver concentration of 8-HODG (a marker for hydroxyl radicalmediated DNA damage) are illustrated in Table 4. Treatment of initiated rats with PFOA (0.02%) for 5 and 9 weeks appears to be without any significant (P < 0.05) effect on hepatic levels of 8-HODG.

3.6. Results of histological analysis (Table 5)

Histological examination of liver samples from all groups shows no histological findings of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in the control groups (groups on basal diet). On the other hand, histological findings of HCC (25 weeks after treatment) were evident in 14%, 33% and 55% in the I-0.005%, IS-0.015% and I-0.02% PFOA respectively.

4. Discussion

Hypolipidemic agents that had clearly induced peroxisomal proliferation and liver cancer in rodents, did not cause any clear cut enhancement in peroxisomal proliferation nor liver carcinoma in human [11]. Peroxisome proliferators, markedly induce the hydrogen peroxide producing enzyme (mainly acyl CoA oxidase) but slightly increase catalase an enzyme playing important role in hydrogen peroxide degradation [12,20, 29]. Chronic administration of peroxisome proliferators induces liver cancer in rodents [5,26,29]. This may be attributed to the sustained oxidative stress, produced by these chemicals in rodent hepatocytes due to an imblance in the production and destruction of hydrogen peroxide [24,29,30]. The potential role of PFOA in promoting liver cancer development noted in the current study (Table 1) is consistent with the hypothesis that peroxisome proliferators are carcinogenic by virtue of their notable capability to induce peroxisomal B-oxidation and consequent accumulation of hydrogen peroxide [29]. Although these experiments suggest that at least in rat, intracellular accumulation of hydrogen peroxide due to the chronic administration of PFOA may effectively play a role in promotion of liver cancer development, the evidence nevertheless is indirect and circumstantial. The main obstacle for obtaining direct evidence is the technical difficulty in measuring H_2O_2 turnover rate. The effect of peroxisome proliferators on the enzymes involved in the biogenesis and degradation of peroxisomal H_2O_2 have been mostly reported [12, 20,29]. Our results confirm these data and show that the induction of catalase by PFOA was dose dependent only when rats were sacrificed at the first, but not at the second sacrifice point and the induction of catalase by PFOA was more rapid in "I" pretreated than in control rats which magnify the possibility that "I" pretreatment modifies the induction pattern of PFOA. (see Figs 1 and 2). This confirms our previous reports on the hypolipidemic agent nafinopin [1]. Mechanism(s)

A. Abdellatif et al. / Peroxisomal enzymes and 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine in rat liver treated

Table 5			
Effects of chronic treatment with PFOA on incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma in			
initiated and initiated selected rats			

Tr	eatment	% Incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma
I – BD		Nil
I – 0.005% PFOA		14%
I – 0.015% PFOA		55%
IS – BD		Nil
IS-0.015% PFOA		33%
I = Initiation	IS = Initiation selection	BD = Basal diet



Fig. 1. Histological examination of a liver section from control animal shows normal liver.

by which PFOA induce catalase activity most probably by increase in enzyme synthesis rather than degradation. Reddy et al. [29] have shown that treatment of rats with a single dose of ciprofibrate (a peroxisome proliferator) provoked 1.4-fold increase in catalases mRNA estimated 1 and 16 h. post-treatment.

The present results also reveal that feeding initiated animals with diet containing PFOA for 14 weeks markedly enhanced acyl CoA oxidase activity particularly at the highest concentration of PFOA. These data extends and compliments previous studies, that showed perfluoronated hydrocarbons have significant peroxisome proliferating activity (for review see [11]). Furthermore, the molecular mechanisms subserving



Fig. 2. Histological examination of a liver section from PFOA treated rat shows changes of hepatocellular carcinoma.

PFOA-mediated induction of fatty Acyl CoA oxidase may be related to the activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha (PPAR alpha) by PFOA [13].

Even though the carcinogenic effect of the peroxisome proliferators has been extensively studied, quite few investigations have dealt with the positive modulating aspects of these agents on liver carcinogenesis. Our data clearly show that PFOA produced positive modulating effect on rat liver carcinogenesis. In the same sense, the present data also reveal that PFOA appears to have more positive modulating activity than that previously reported for phenobarbitone [9,23,33]. Therefore, several trends are converging to lend support to the notion that PFOA at the doses employed in the present study is a potent promoter and or modulator of liver cancer development. It might, therefore, be expected that PFOA promoting profile would involve an early DNA damage. Curiously, this predicted event did not materialize in both models for up to nine weeks treatment (Table 2). Despite the attraction of this hypothesis [8], there are several difficulties in recounciling it with some experimental data. First, earlier investigations have demonstrated that H₂O₂ generated by peroxisomes from animals pretreated with peroxisome proliferators was capable of producing double strand DNA breaks [8], second, Kasai et al. [14] have provided direct evidence for a close relationship between peroxisome proliferation, generation of reactive oxygen species and the hepatic levels of 8-HODG in rats kept on diet containing ciprofibrate for18 months. Also, Takagi et al. [34] and Huang et al. [10] observed a siginficant increase in 8-OHDG level in cheer DNA of rats receiving perfluorinated fatty acid.

Consistent with the present finding, the observation of Elliott and Elcombe [6], that no significant liver DNA damage can be produced in rats kept for 4 weeks on a diet containing ciprofibrate or some other perixosomal proliferators, such as phthalate derivatives [15]. Also Kim et al. [15] found that the administration of perfluorodecanoic acid to rat for 8 weeks, did not produce any significant change in the level of 8-OHDG.

In conclusion, this study provide evidence that, similar to many other peroxisomal proliferators, PFOA possesses inherent, strong and specific inducing activity towards fatty acyl CoA oxidase and relatively weaker activity towards catalase. According to Reddy's hypothesis [29], this could create an imbalance between production and destruction of H_2O_2 . Furthermore, the failure of PFOA to affect liver concentrations of 8-OHDG in the present model (up to 9 weeks) do not exclude its indirect DNA damaging activity, but longer time may be needed in rats for peroxisome proliferators to produce significant DNA damage.

References

- A. Abdellatif, V. Preat, J. Vamecq, R. Nilsson and M. Roberfroid, Peroxisome proliferation and modulation of rat liver carcinogenesis by 2,4 dichlorophenoxyacetic acid, 2,4,5 dichlorophenoxyacetic acid, perfluoroocatanoic acid and nafinopin, *Carcinogenesis* 11 (1990), 1899–1902.
- [2] P. Baudhum, H. Beaufay, Y. Rahman-Li, O. Sellinger, R. Wattiaux, P. Jacques and C. de Duve, Tissue fractionation studies: intracellular distribution of monoamine oxidase, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, D-amino acid oxidase and catalase in rat liver tissue, *Biochem. J.* 92 (1964), 179–184.

- [3] J. Berthiaume and K. Wallace, Perflourooctanoate, Perfluoroactanesulfate, and N-ethylperfluoroactanesulfanamidoethanol, peroxisome proliferation and mitochondrialbiogenesis, *Toxicol. Lett.* **129** (2002), 23–32.
- [4] J. Christopher, P. Steven and S. Anya, General role of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors in the actions of peroxisome proliferators, *Ann. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **40** (2000), 491–518.
- [5] J. Conway, R. Cattley, J. Popp and B. Butterwath, Possible mechanisms in hepatocarcinogenesis by peroxisome proliferator Di (2-ethylhexyl) phthalates, *Drug Metabolism Rev.* 21 (1989), 65–102.
- [6] B. Elliott and C. Elcombe, Lack of DNA damage or lipid peroxidation measured in vivo in the rat liver following treatment with peroxisomal proliferators, *Carcinogenesis* 8 (1987), 1213–1218.
- [7] G. Guilbaut, P. Brignac and M. Juneau, New substance for flurometric determination of oxidative enzyme, *Anal. Chem.* 40 (1968), 1256–1263.
- [8] S. Goel, N. Lawani and J. Reddy, Peroxisome proliferation and lipid proxidation in rat liver, *Cancer Res.* 46 (1986), 1324– 1330.
- [9] S. Horie and T. Suga, Different regulation of hepatic peroxisomal B-oxidation activity in rat treated with clofibrate and partialy hydrogenated marine oil, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 166 (1990), 780–786.
- [10] C. Huang, M. Wilson, L. Lay, C. Chow, L. Roberson and H. Glanert, Increased 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine in hepatic DNA of rats treated with the peroxisome proliferators ciprofibrate and perfluorodecanoic acid, *Cancer Lett.* 87 (1994), 223–228.
- [11] W. Huber, B. Grasl-Kraupp and R. Schulte-Herman, Hepatocarcinogenic potential of di (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate in rodents and its implications on human risk, *Crit. Rev. Toxicol.* 26 (1990), 365–481.
- [12] F. Ikeda, K. Aiba, K. Fukuda and M. Tanaka, The modulation of peroxisome poliferation in rat liver by perfluorinated fatty acids, metabolically inert derivatives of fatty acids, *J. Biochem.* 98 (1985), 475–482.
- [13] U. Intrasuksri, M. Rangwala, M. O'Brien, J. Noonan and D. Feller, Mechanisms of peroxisome proliferation by perfluorooctanoic acid and endogenous fatty acids, *Gen. Pharmacol.* **31** (1998), 187–197.
- [14] H. Kasai, Y. Okado, S. Nishimura, M. Rao and J. Reddy, Formation of 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine in liver DNA of rats following long-term exposure to a peroxisome proliferator, *Cancer Res.* 49 (1989), 2603–2605.
- [15] S. Kim, J. Hong, S. Janf, W. Kang, H. Yoo and Y. Yun, Formation of 8-oxodeoxyguanosine in liver DNA and hepatic injury by peroxisome proliferator clofibrate and perfluorodecanoic acid in rats, *Toxicol. Sci.* 23 (1989), 113–119.
- [16] M. Lans, J. de-Gerlache, H. Taper, V. Prseat and N. Roberfroid, Phenobarbital as a promoter in the initiation-selection process of experimental rat hepatocarcinogenesis, *Carcinogenesis* 4 (1983), 141–144.
- [17] O. Lowry, N. Rosebrough, A. Farr, R.J. Randall, Protein measurement with Folin phenol reagent, *J. Biol. Chem.* **193** (1951), 256.
- [18] K. Neubauer, T. Knittel, T. Armbrust and G. Ramadori, Accumulation of fibrinogen/fibrin during short-term and long-term rat liver injury, *Gastroenterology* **108** (1995), 1124–1135.
- [19] T. Panaretaki, I. Shabalina, D. Grander, M. Shoshan and J. De Pierre, Reactive oxygen species and mitochondria mediate the induction of apoptosis in human hepatoma HepG2 cells by rodent peroxisome proliferator and hepatocarcinogen, per-

fluorooctanoic acid, Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 173 (2001), 56–64.

- [20] I. Pastoor, K. Lee, M. Perri and P. Gillies, Biochemical and morphological studies of ammonium perfluorooctanoateinduced hepatomegaly and peroxisome proliferation, *Exp. Mol. Pathol.* **47** (1987), 98–109.
- [21] C. Peraino, R. Fry and E. Staffeldt, Reduction and enhancement by Phenobarbital of hepatocarcinogenesis induced in the rats by 2-acetylaminofluorene, *Cancer Res.* **31** (1971), 1506– 1512.
- [22] H. Pitot, L. Bansness and T. Goldworthy, Biochemical characterization of stages of hepatocarcinogenesis after single dose of diethylnitrozamine, *Nature* 271 (1978), 456–458.
- [23] V. Preat, J. de Gerlache, M. Lans, H. Taper and M. Roberfroid, Comparative analysis of the effect of phenobarbital, dichlorophenyltrichloroethane, butylated hydroxytoluene and nafenopin, *Carcinogenesis* 4 (1986), 1021–1029.
- [24] M. Rao and J. Reddy, An overview of peroxisome proliferatorinduced hepatocarcinogenesis, *Environ. Health Perspect.* 93 (1991), 205–209.
- [25] J. Reddy and S. Qureshi, Tumoriginicity of the hypolipidemic peroxisome proliferators, clofibrate in rat, *Br. J. Cancer* 40 (1979), 476–482.
- [26] J. Reddy, D. Azarnoff and C. Hignite, Hypolipidemic hepatic peroxisome proliferators form a novel clew of chemical carcinogens, *Nature* 283 (1980), 397–398.
- [27] J. Reddy, N.D. Lalwani, M. Reddy and S.A. Qureshi, Excessive accumulation of autofluorescent lipofusion in the liver during hepatocarcinogenesis by methylclofenapate and other hypolipidemic peroxisome proliferators, *Cancer Res.* 42 (1982), 259–266.
- [28] J. Reddy and N.D. Lalwani, Carcinogenesis by hepatic peroxisome proliferators: evaluation of the risk of hypolipedemic drugs and industrial plasticizers to human CRC, *Crit. Rev.*

Toxicol. 12 (1983), 1–58.

- [29] J. Reddy, S. Goel, M. Nemali, J. Carrino, T. Laffler, M. Reddy, S. Sperbeck, T. Osumi, T. Hashimoto, N. Lalwani and M. Roa, Transcriptional regulation of peroxisomal fatty acyl CoA oxidase and enoyl CoA hydratase and 3-hydroxyacyl CoA dehydrogenase in rat liver by peroxisome proliferators, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 83 (1986), 1747–1751.
- [30] J. Reddy and M. Rao, Oxidative DNA damage caused by persistent peroxisome proliferation: its role in hepatocarcinogenesis, *Mutat. Res.* 214 (1989), 63–68.
- [31] M. Roberfroid, From normal cell to cancer: an overview introducing the concept of modulation of carcinogenesis, in: *Concepts and Theories in Carcinogenesis*, A. Meskens, P. Ebbesen and A. Burmy, eds, Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 1987, pp. 157–167.
- [32] K. Sai-kato, A. Takagi, T. Umemura, R. Hasegawa and Y. Kurokawa, Role of oxidative stress in non-genotoxic carcinogenesis with special reference to liver tumors induced by peroxisome proliferators, *Biomed. Environ. Sci.* 8(3) (1995), 269– 279.
- [33] R. Schulte-Hermann, Tumor promotion in the liver, Arch. Toxicol. 57 (1995), 147–158.
- [34] A. Takagi, K. Sai, T. Umemura, R. Hasegawa and Y. Kurokawa, Short-term exposure to the peroxisome proliferators, perfluorooctanoic acid and perfluorodecanoic acid, causes significant increase of 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine in liver DNA of rats, *Cancer Lett.* 57 (1991), 55–60.
- [35] J. Vamecq, F. Van-Hoof, Implication of a peroxisomal enzyme in the catabolism of glutamyl-CoA, *Biochem. J.* 221 (1984), 203–211.
- [36] J. Ward, J. Rice, D. Creasia, P. Lynch and C. Riggs, Dissimilar patterns of promotion by di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate and phenobarbital of hepatocellular mice carcinoma, *Carcinogenesis* 4 (1983), 1020–1029.



The Scientific **World Journal**



Gastroenterology Research and Practice





Journal of Diabetes Research



Disease Markers



Immunology Research





Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com





BioMed **Research International**



Journal of Ophthalmology

Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine













Research and Treatment





Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity



Stem Cells International



Behavioural Neurology