Kent Academic Repository Full text document (pdf)

Citation for published version

Hamilton-West, Kate E. and Gadsby, Erica W. and Hotham, Sarah (2019) Improving the evidence base for social prescribing. British Medical Journal, 364 (1744). ISSN 0959-8138.

DOI

https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.1744

Link to record in KAR

https://kar.kent.ac.uk/72762/

Document Version

Publisher pdf

Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version. Users are advised to check http://kar.kent.ac.uk for the status of the paper. Users should always cite the published version of record.

Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact: **researchsupport@kent.ac.uk**

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html







BMJ 2019;364:1744 doi: 10.1136/bmj.1744 (Published 19 February 2019)

LETTERS



SOCIAL PRESCRIBING

Improving the evidence base for social prescribing

Kate E Hamilton-West reader in health psychology, faculty director of medical social sciences, Erica Gadsby senior research fellow, Sarah Hotham research fellow

Centre for Health Services Studies, University of Kent, Canterbury CT2 7NF, UK

Salisbury's article raises important points about the widespread rollout of social prescribing.¹ Linking people with services that could help tackle problems that contribute to reduced wellbeing seems sensible, but the approach rests on several problematic assumptions.

A recent systematic review² concluded that current evidence on social prescribing is insufficient to judge either success or value for money. Of the 15 evaluations identified, most were small scale and limited by poor design and reporting. Studies on patient and referrer experience mainly reported positive findings but showed limited understanding or familiarity with social prescribing.

We used evaluability assessment to examine social prescribing programmes and reported on the lessons we learnt.³ These included ensuring that programmes are designed with stakeholder involvement and buy in; that information governance and data sharing agreements are in place from the start; that staffing levels are sufficient to cover the range of activities involved in service delivery and monitoring; that social prescribing programmes are co-located with primary care; and that linkage to health service data systems is established as part of the programme design.

NHS England is working to improve the evidence base for social prescribing, including funding 23 projects through the health and wellbeing fund to find out which models work best⁴ and developing resources to support the implementation and evaluation of social prescribing programmes.⁵ Gaps in the

evidence base could also be filled by planning programmes more systematically, with evaluation frameworks developed through collaboration between those involved in developing, delivering, participating in and evaluating the programmes.

To realise the potential benefits of social prescribing for patients, general practitioners, and the NHS,⁶ the underlying assumptions must be made explicit, and research efforts should be targeted towards these. We have proposed a list of assumptions⁷ as the starting point for taking this work forward.

Competing interests: None declared.

Full response at: https://www.bmj.com/content/364/bmj.l271/rr-1.

- 1 Salisbury H. Helen Salisbury: Social prescribing and the No 17 bus. BMJ 2019;364:l271. 10.1136/bmj.l271 30679174
- 2 Bickerdike L, Booth A, Wilson PM, Farley K, Wright K. Social prescribing: less rhetoric and more reality. A systematic review of the evidence. *BMJ Open* 2017;7:e013384. 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013384. 28389486
- 3 Hamilton-West K, Gadsby E, Zaremba N, Jaswal S. Evaluability assessments as an approach to examining social prescribing. *Health Soc Care Community* 2019; (forthcoming) 10.1111/hsc.12726 30723977
- 4 Department of Health and Social Care. Social prescribing schemes to be funded by the Health and Wellbeing Fund. 2018. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/socialprescribing-schemes-to-be-funded-by-the-health-and-wellbeing-fund-2018
- 5 NHS England. Social prescribing. https://www.england.nhs.uk/personalisedcare/socialprescribing/
- 6 NHS England. Personalised care. https://www.england.nhs.uk/personalisedcare/
- 7 Hamilton-West KE, Gadsby E, Hotham S. Rapid response to: Helen Salisbury: Social prescribing and the No 17 bus. *BMJ* 2019. https://www.bmj.com/content/364/bmj.l271/rr-1

Published by the BMJ Publishing Group Limited. For permission to use (where not already granted under a licence) please go to http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/ permissions

k.e.hamilton-west@kent.ac.uk

For personal use only: See rights and reprints http://www.bmj.com/permissions