

Kent Academic Repository Full text document (pdf)

Citation for published version

McCormick, Alister and Anstiss, Paul and Lavallee, David (2018) Endurance athletes' current and preferred ways of getting psychological guidance. International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology . ISSN 1612-197X.

DOI

https://doi.org/10.1080/1612197X.2018.1486874

Link to record in KAR

http://kar.kent.ac.uk/67677/

Document Version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version. Users are advised to check http://kar.kent.ac.uk for the status of the paper. Users should always cite the published version of record.

Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact: **researchsupport@kent.ac.uk**

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html





1	Endurance Athletes' Current and Preferred Ways of Getting Psychological Guidance
2	
3	Alister McCormick ¹ , Paul A. Anstiss ² , and David Lavallee ³
4	
5	¹ Faculty of Sport, Health & Wellbeing, Plymouth Marjon University, Derriford Road,
6	Plymouth, PL6 8BH, United Kingdom.
7	² Endurance Research Group, School of Sport & Exercise Sciences, University of Kent,
8	Medway Building, Chatham Maritime, Kent, ME4 4AG, United Kingdom.
9	³ Division of Sport and Exercise Sciences, Abertay University, Bell Street, Dundee, DD1
10	1HG, United Kingdom; Department of Physical Education and Sports Sciences, University of
11	Limerick, Ireland.
12	
13	Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Alister McCormick, Faculty of
14	Sport, Health, & Wellbeing, Plymouth Marjon University, Derriford Road, Plymouth, PL6
15	8BH, United Kingdom. Email: amccormick@marjon.ac.uk.
16	Telephone: 01752 636700 (extension 5001).
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26

Abstract

This study examined how people who participate in endurance events currently get guidance 27 on psychological aspects of their events and their preferred ways for receiving guidance from 28 29 researchers and practitioners, so that psychologists can use these ways to disseminate research-derived knowledge. People in the United Kingdom (N = 574) who participated 30 competitively or non-competitively in running (5km and greater), road cycling (time trials, 31 road races, or sportives), or triathlon events completed an online survey. The main questions 32 addressed ways they have intentionally used to find psychological guidance, how they have 33 got guidance without intentionally looking for it, and their preferences for receiving 34 guidance. The most common ways of intentionally finding guidance were looking on 35 36 websites (48.1% of participants), asking other athletes (46.7%), and asking coaches (32.5%). Athletes most commonly tried to find guidance on coping, motivation, and managing nerves. 37 Posts on social media (51.3%), spoken word (48.0%), and magazines (45.9%) were common 38 ways of unintentionally getting guidance, and athletes (68.1%) and coaches (45.9%) were 39 40 most often the source of unintentionally received guidance. Websites (49.5%) and online videos (41.8%) were the most preferred ways to receive guidance, although researchers and 41 practitioners working with coaches (35.5%) and event organisers (34.8%), and magazines 42 (34.7%) were also preferable. Psychologists are encouraged to disseminate guidance to 43 endurance athletes using websites, online videos, social media, magazines, and by working 44 with coaches and event organisers. The data can also inform the design of intervention 45 efficacy and effectiveness trials that deliver interventions in these ecologically-valid and 46 preferable formats. 47

48

Keywords: Cycling; knowledge translation; research dissemination; running; triathlon

50 Endurance Athletes' Current and Preferred Ways of Getting Psychological Guidance Psychology is relevant to, and has the potential to benefit, the general public (Kaslow, 51 2015; Sommer, 2006). In the sport and exercise context, psychology research could be used 52 53 to achieve a range of important outcomes relating to performance, health, and wellbeing, and could benefit people that include, but are not limited to, athletes, exercisers, coaches, parents, 54 and practitioners in a variety of contexts (e.g., Brown & Fletcher, 2017; Gourlan et al., 2016). 55 Sport and exercise psychologists who want research to benefit the people that it was intended 56 for need to consider ways of disseminating information that lead to people finding and then 57 engaging with it. "Dual dissemination" is an important consideration. It refers to 58 disseminating research-derived knowledge to psychologists and academics, as well as other 59 60 non-overlapping, general audiences such as those outside of academia (Sommer, 2006). 61 These two forms of dissemination use different media (e.g., journal articles and conferences versus websites and magazine articles) and different styles of communication. 62

One population who could benefit from dual dissemination of psychology research 63 64 are endurance athletes, who are broadly defined as people who participate in endurance events. A vast, and increasing, number of people recreationally participate in endurance 65 events such as running events (e.g., parkruns, 10km runs, marathons), road cycling events 66 (e.g., time trials, road races, sportives), and triathlons at sub-elite competitive and sub-elite 67 non-competitive levels (e.g., Scheerder, Breedveld, & Borgers, 2015; British Triathlon, 2018; 68 69 parkrun UK, 2018). Although some people may be motivated by the opportunity to compete, many participate for reasons other than competition, such as to engage in more physical 70 activity, as a personal challenge, to accompany a friend, or to raise money for charity (e.g., 71 Lane, Murphy, & Bauman, 2008). Independent of their motives, psychological interventions 72 can influence how well people perform in endurance events (McCormick, Meijen, & 73 Marcora, 2015). For competitive athletes, efficacious psychological interventions could 74

75 determine important competitive outcomes, such as their positions in the standings. For noncompetitive participants, efficacious psychological interventions could influence whether 76 they cope with the demands of training for an event, attend and finish an event, and achieve a 77 personal best time, as well as their associated cognitions and emotions. For example, 78 performance improvements may increase feelings of competence after the event, which could 79 predict continued training and participation in events (Ryan, Frederick, Lepes, Rubio, & 80 Sheldon, 1997), as well as associated health benefits (e.g., Chomistek, Cook, Flint, & Rimm, 81 2012). 82

83 As many endurance athletes are sub-elite, they are unlikely to receive one-to-one psychology support. Alternative ways of disseminating psychology are therefore needed that 84 85 help to maximise its reach and impact. Recently, literature has documented how "psyching teams" make psychology accessible to people in the context of mass-participation running 86 events (Meijen, Day, & Hays, 2017). These teams use a variety of media such as webpages 87 and webinars, workshops, written handouts, dinner speeches, and brief conversations with 88 89 athletes to give evidence-based guidance. Research has yet to identify ways of disseminating psychology to endurance athletes that are preferable to them and more likely to be effective. 90

Although research has not examined dissemination of psychology to endurance 91 athletes, research has examined dissemination of psychology and sport science to coaches 92 and National Sport Organisations (NSOs) in various sports. Research on coaches' 93 experiences with sport psychology (Gould, Damarjian, & Medbery, 1999; Pain & Harwood, 94 2004; Pope et al., 2015) and sport science (Martindale & Nash, 2013; Reade, Rodgers, & 95 Hall, 2008; Reade, Rodgers, & Spriggs, 2008; Williams & Kendall, 2007) supports the 96 following ways of disseminating research-derived knowledge: presenting at coaching 97 courses, conferences, or workshops; writing summaries for sport-specific magazines, 98 newsletters, or email lists; incorporating research-derived knowledge into coach accreditation 99

100 material; and using websites. This research also shows that: guidance should be written in accessible, user-friendly language (e.g., using the language of the sport); content should be 101 simple and concise; guidance should be concrete (e.g., through specific examples, activities, 102 103 exercises, tools, and materials) and contextualised (e.g., to the sport and distance, competitive level, age, training versus competition); there should be practical examples of how to apply 104 105 guidance; and it may be beneficial to limit time demands. However, coaches encounter the following barriers to finding and using research-derived knowledge: not knowing where to 106 find information; lack of time; inaccessible language (e.g., too complicated, academic, or 107 specialised); unclear relevance; and content that could not be applied practically. Adding to 108 the research on coaches, Holt et al. (2018) examined use of research in Canadian NSOs and 109 110 identified barriers (disconnect between research and practice; understanding research and judging its credibility; lack of capacity in organisations) and facilitators (personal 111 connections with a researcher or a sport scientist; formal meetings with stakeholders) to using 112 research, and NSO suggestions for disseminating research (write short summaries with a 113 practical focus; use a range of digital and social media to target specific groups; facilitate 114 face-to-face interactions). 115

In addition to supporting psychologists with dual dissemination, the present study 116 could inform the design of efficacy and effectiveness trials of psychological interventions for 117 endurance athletes. Bishop (2008) proposed a model for sport science research that aims to 118 improve sport performance in real-life sporting settings. This model has eight phases: 1) 119 defining the problem; 2) descriptive research; 3) predictors of performance; 4) experimental 120 testing of predictors; 5) determinants of key performance predictors; 6) intervention studies 121 (efficacy trials); 7) barriers to uptake; and 8) implementation in a sporting setting 122 (effectiveness trials). A substantial number of efficacy studies have examined the effects of 123 psychological interventions (e.g., psychological skills training) on endurance performance in 124

125 controlled settings (stage 6), and these studies have been systematically reviewed (McCormick et al., 2015). To improve real-life endurance performance, however, these 126 interventions need to be accepted, adopted, and complied with by consumers such as 127 128 endurance athletes, coaches, and practitioners. It is therefore important that researchers consider, at the inception of research, how their research findings might be adapted to the 129 intended population, in the actual sporting setting, when delivered by people with diverse 130 training and skills, and when using the resources available (Bishop, 2008). Psychology 131 research on endurance sports has yet to address stage 7 of the model, which considers the 132 conditions that impede or facilitate widespread use of research-derived knowledge. By 133 understanding these conditions, researchers could modify efficacious interventions so that 134 they address barriers, use facilitators, satisfy preferences, and are more likely to be used 135 optimally by athletes in real-life settings. The effects of modified interventions on the 136 performance of intended recipients (i.e., particular groups of endurance athletes) could then 137 be examined using additional efficacy studies in controlled settings and effectiveness studies 138 in real-life sporting settings. 139

This study has two main research aims. First, this study aims to determine how 140 endurance athletes currently get guidance on psychological aspects of training for, preparing 141 for, and performing in endurance sports. It will examine how endurance athletes intentionally 142 find guidance, as well as how they get it without intentionally looking for it. Second, it aims 143 to identify endurance athletes' preferences for receiving psychological guidance from 144 researchers and practitioners. By doing so, this study will provide data that psychologists can 145 use to disseminate research-derived knowledge of psychology in endurance sports. It will 146 also provide data that can inform the design of efficacy and effectiveness trials of 147 psychological interventions that are conducted with endurance athletes under the constraints 148 of the sporting setting. 149

150

Methods

151 Survey Design

The survey was administered using Google Forms. Best-practice principles of survey 152 design were followed throughout (Choi & Pak, 2005; Fowler, Jr, 2014). The survey 153 instructions and questions were spread across 14 pages, so that each page was uncluttered. 154 Similar question forms were used throughout, so that participants mostly performed similar 155 tasks that involved selecting one or more option from a list. Simple, specific wording was 156 used. Fewer words were used where possible, without compromising clarity. Definitions of 157 important terms such as "psychological", "guidance", and "event" were provided at the 158 beginning of the survey, participants were consistently reminded of them, and the brief 159 160 definition of guidance ("advice or information") was included in the questions. Instructions were incorporated into the questions, to make it likely that they would be read. Most 161 questions were closed questions that provided a selection of options, as well as the 162 opportunity to provide "other" answers or choose not to answer. All questions relating to the 163 main research questions were closed questions. The advantages of closed questions are that 164 they place less demands on respondents, respondents more reliably perform the task of 165 answering, answers are more comparable across respondents, the researcher can more 166 reliably interpret the answers, and there is greater likelihood of enough people giving a 167 particular answer to be analytically interesting (Fowler, Jr, 2014). Two open questions were 168 included, where the possible answers were wide-ranging and we did not want to limit 169 responses to those anticipated. When asking about preferences, the question asked about 170 participants' own preferences, rather than their perceptions of others' preferences. The survey 171 questions most closely related to the research aims were included first, to minimise impact of 172 response fatigue. The closed responses for the main questions were randomised, and the 173 closed responses for other questions were randomised where logical (e.g., competitive levels 174

were in ascending order). The final survey is summarised below (Final Survey section), and
can be supplied upon request. Shortened wording of the most commonly selected response
options are presented in the Results, with the full wording of all options presented in
Appendix A.

179 **Pilot Study**

Five researchers with expertise in endurance sports provided comments on the survey 180 and its questions¹. Following ethical approval by the department ethics committee, six males 181 and five females who met the eligibility criteria piloted the survey (their data are included in 182 183 the results). They were asked to complete the survey and think aloud while they prepared their responses. After the four main questions, participants were asked to say in their own 184 words what they thought the question was asking and to explain how they chose their 185 186 answers over others, in order to check participants understood and answered the questions as intended. They were also asked whether it was clear what the question was asking, whether it 187 was clear what they had to do, and whether any answers were missing from the option list 188 189 (Fowler, Jr, 2014). Following their completion of the survey, they were asked to comment on the clarity of the layout, ease of understanding and answering questions, question spacing, 190 readability, clutter, and anything else they wanted to raise (Fowler, Jr, 2014). Piloting led to 191 the following changes: one question about non-deliberate finding of guidance was divided 192 into two questions relating to who provided the guidance, and how it was provided; keywords 193 were capitalised to emphasise them (e.g., "In the last 12 MONTHS"); additional instructions 194 were given (e.g., to carefully read definitions); and minor wording changes were made for 195 greater clarity. Piloting indicated that the overall layout was clear, the survey was attractively 196 presented, questions were generally easy to understand, and tasks were easy to complete. In 197 relation to the main questions, participants correctly understood the questions and how to 198 prepare answers, and they found the questions and how to answer them clear. They reported 199

that the main questions were lengthy, but appreciated that the length benefited clarity. Twoclosed-answer options were added based on suggestions.

202 Final Survey

203 The survey was 14 pages. Pages 1-3 addressed research aims and eligibility criteria. Participants needed to be at least 18 years old and to have taken part competitively or non-204 205 competitively in one or more running events (5km upwards), road cycling events (time trials, road races, or mass-participation events) or triathlon events (any distance) within the last 12 206 months. Page 4 defined events ("planned or organised public occasions where many runners, 207 cyclists, or triathletes take part either competitively or non-competitively"), and used 208 examples to clarify the definition (competitions and races, organised public events such 209 210 parkruns, charity events such as Race for Life, and mass-participation events such as 10km runs). Page 5 collected informed consent, and Page 6 asked participants to read each section's 211 definitions, introductions, and questions carefully. Page 7 defined guidance ("advice or 212 information") and psychological ("Psychological relates to the MENTAL side of your sport, 213 214 particularly your thoughts, feelings, motivation, and behaviours"). Twelve examples of what psychological guidance could relate to were provided (e.g., How to set good GOALS for 215 training or events; Ways of coping with PAIN, FATIGUE, and DISCOMFORT). Page 8 216 clarified the difference between deliberately looking for guidance (e.g., by asking people) and 217 being given or becoming aware of guidance without looking for it on purpose (e.g., 218 happening to read about it). Pages 9-14 included the survey questions, with pages 9-11 219 focusing on the research aims, and 12-14 collecting information about respondents. The four 220 main questions relating to the research aims are presented exactly below (Fowler, Jr, 2014): 221

Below is a list of ways that people find guidance (i.e., advice or information). There is
 also an "I have NOT tried to find guidance" option. In the last 12 MONTHS, what
 ways have you used to find guidance on PSYCHOLOGICAL parts of training for,

preparing for, or performing in running, road cycling, or triathlon events? We are
asking about your DELIBERATE attempts to find guidance (i.e., through looking for
it on purpose), and not guidance that you have become aware of without looking for
it. Please select ALL answers that apply to you.

- Below is a list of ways that you may have been given guidance or become aware of
 guidance (i.e., advice or information) WITHOUT looking for it on purpose. In the last
 12 MONTHS, through what ways have you been given guidance or become aware of
 guidance on PSYCHOLOGICAL parts of training, preparing, or performing, without
 looking for it on purpose? Please select ALL answers that apply to you.
- 3. Below is a list of people who may have given you guidance or made you aware of
 guidance (either in person or not in person) WITHOUT you looking for it on purpose.
 In the last 12 MONTHS, which people have given you or made you aware of
 guidance on PSYCHOLOGICAL parts of training, preparing, or performing, without
 you looking for it on purpose? Please select ALL answers that apply to you.
- 239 4. There are different ways that psychology experts (practitioners or researchers who have knowledge and qualifications that relate to psychology) could provide 240 psychological guidance. They are listed below, and they include ways of finding 241 guidance on purpose and not on purpose. There is also a "NONE of these options are 242 preferable to me" option. Please think about which of these ways would be most 243 244 preferable TO YOU PERSONALLY (please assume that the guidance will NOT cost money, other than the possible costs of your coaching or a magazine). In other words, 245 if experts wanted to provide YOU with psychological guidance, how would you 246 prefer them to do it? Select UP TO 3 preferred ways.² 247

An open-ended follow-up question after Question 1 asked what respondents were trying to find out by looking for psychological guidance. An open-ended follow-up question after

Question 4 offered respondents the opportunity to explain other ways that guidance could beprovided.

The full survey took approximately 10 minutes to complete. It was emailed to clubs, event organisers, and organisations across the United Kingdom, and shared via social media. To encourage completion, the relevance of the research purpose and the potential benefits to participants and their sport communities were outlined, and a reminder email was sent (Fowler, Jr, 2014).

257

Results and Discussion

258 **Respondents**

The survey was completed by 612 people who lived in the United Kingdom. Thirty-259 260 seven were excluded because qualitative responses indicated that the guidance they had 261 sought was not psychological, suggesting that they had not read or had misunderstood the instructions. An additional one was excluded because they were not participating in relevant 262 events. Of the 574 people who were included, 533 (93.5%) reported British nationality, 294 263 264 (51.5%) reported being female, and 277 (48.5%) reported being male. The mean age of participants was 43.8 (SD = 11.2, range = 18-79): age 18-29 (n = 62, 10.9%), 30-39 (n = 140, 10.9%), 30-39 (n = 140, 10.9%), 30-39 (n = 140, 10.9\%), 30-30 (n = 140, 10.9\%), 30-300 (n = 140, 10.9\%), 265 24.6%), 40-49 (n = 185, 32.5%), 50-59 (n = 135, 23.7%), 60-69 (n = 42, 7.38%), 70-79 (n = $\frac{1}{2}$ 266 5, 0.88%). In the previous 12 months, respondents had participated in running events (n =267 489, 85.3%), road cycling events (n = 213, 37.2%), and triathlon events (n = 194, 33.9%). 268 The most commonly entered events were 5 km (n = 376, 65.6%), 10 km (n = 331, 57.8%), and 269 half-marathon (n = 289, 50.4%) running events. Other commonly entered events were cross-270 country running events (n = 153, 26.7%), marathons (n = 143, 25.0%), sprint triathlons (n = 143, 25.0%271 134, 23.4%), single-day mass-participation cycling events of up to 100km (n = 102, 17.8%) 272 or above 100km (n = 98, 17.1%), 10 mile or 25 mile individual cycling time trials (n = 96, 273 16.8%), Olympic triathlons (n = 79, 13.8%) and half-iron distance triathlons (n = 76, 13.3%). 274

With consideration to involvement in multiple sports, 350 (61.1%) had participated in one of running, cycling, or triathlon events in the previous 12 months, 122 (21.3%) had participated in two of them, and 101 (17.6%) had participated in all three of them. The mean number of selected event categories—representing combinations of overall sport (e.g., cycling), event type (e.g., individual time trials) and distance (e.g., 10 mile or 25 mile)—was 4.00 (SD = 2.20).

The mean combined amount of time that participants reported running, cycling, or 281 swimming during a typical week was 8.26 hours (SD = 4.79) when the survey was completed 282 (between May and September 2017). Highest current competitive levels (including age 283 group) were non-competitive (n = 193, 33.7%), club (n = 273, 47.6%), university (n = 3, 284 285 (0.52%), county (n = 22, 3.84\%), national (n = 35, 6.11\%), and international (n = 47, 8.20\%). 286 None were professional. Approximately half (n = 296, 51.7%) considered themselves to have a coach who they can get instruction from, and 101 (17.6%) considered themselves to be (or 287 have been) a coach. Their main motives for participating in events were as a challenge (n =288 289 440, 76.7%), to become fitter or remain fit (n = 421, 73.3%), to benefit their health (n = 328, 57.1%), to socialise as part of a community (n = 275, 47.9%), the exercise feels pleasurable 290 or satisfying (n = 236, 41.1%), to benefit their weight (n = 203, 35.4%), to benefit their self-291 esteem or self-worth (n = 191, 33.3%), and to compete or compare themselves against others 292 (n = 175, 30.5%). Respondents saw improving their performance as very important (n = 234, m)293 40.8%). moderately important (n = 298, 51.9%), or not important (n = 42, 7.32%). 294

These findings highlight that many people who could value guidance based on research-derived knowledge, and the benefits to their performance, are recreational and subelite and are therefore unlikely to receive one-to-one psychology support. They also suggest that the distinctions between runners, cyclists, and triathletes may over-simplify participation in endurance sports at sub-elite levels, as many people participate in numerous events, and researchers of these sports should consider the wider applications of the research to athletes' other endurance events. Previous research has typically encouraged disseminating research through sport-specific information (e.g., Martindale & Nash, 2013). For endurance athletes at sub-elite levels (e.g., non-competitive or club level), providing general guidance that can be adapted by the athlete to their numerous events could be preferable.

305 Main Findings

Most participants (n = 403, 71.1%) reported intentionally looking for guidance. The 306 most common ways of finding guidance were looking on websites or blogs (n = 273, 48.1%), 307 asking other athletes (n = 265, 46.7%), asking coaches (n = 184, 32.5%), looking in 308 magazines (n = 165, 29.1%), looking in books (n = 149, 26.3%), and watching online videos 309 310 (n = 146, 25.7%). Content analysis of qualitative responses suggested that there were three particularly common areas that people had sought psychological guidance on in the previous 311 12 months. The most commonly cited area of guidance was coping (n = 149), which most 312 notably included coping with the physical demands of the exercise (e.g., pain, exertion, 313 fatigue, discomfort), unwanted thoughts and emotions (e.g., thoughts of quitting, frustration), 314 setbacks (e.g., change in weather conditions, a series of poor performances), and injuries 315 (e.g., managing and dealing with a chronic long-term injury). The second most commonly 316 cited area was motivation (n = 93), which related to ways of increasing and maintaining 317 training and event motivation. The third most cited area was dealing with nerves (n = 66), 318 particularly before an event. These findings are consistent with research on the demands 319 experienced by recreational endurance athletes across various events (McCormick, Meijen, & 320 Marcora, 2016), and they are consistent with potential barriers to effective self-regulation in 321 endurance athletes (McCormick, Meijen, Anstiss, & Jones, 2018). They also reflect areas that 322 sport psychologists are capable of providing evidence-based guidance on (e.g., McCormick et 323 al., 2015). That is, sport psychologists could prioritise disseminating evidence-based 324

information to endurance athletes on these areas, such as part of psyching team activities. Other areas were focus/concentration (n = 27), confidence (n = 22), setting goals (n = 14), and boredom (n = 8).

328 With consideration to unintentionally finding guidance, posts on social media or internet groups (n = 294, 51.3%), spoken word (n = 275, 48.0%), magazines (n = 263, 329 45.9%), websites or blogs (n = 219, 38.2%), and books (n = 193, 33.7%) were common ways. 330 Athletes (n = 390, 68.1%) and coaches (n = 263, 45.9%) were most often the source of this 331 guidance. Researchers and practitioners (n = 66, 11.5%), personal trainers (n = 64, 11.2%), 332 and event organisers (n = 62, 10.8%) were less common sources of guidance. Websites and 333 online blogs (n = 284, 49.5%) and online videos (n = 240, 41.8%) were the most preferred 334 ways for researchers and practitioners to provide guidance. The other options, in order of 335 preference, were researchers and practitioners working with coaches (n = 204, 35.5%) and 336 event organisers (n = 200, 34.8%), magazines (n = 199, 34.7%), in-person presentations or 337 workshops (n = 168, 29.3%), mobile phone applications (n = 132, 23.0%), podcasts (n = 129, 338 339 22.5%), interactive online presentations or workshops (n = 121, 21.1%), and no preferred options (n = 16, 2.8%). Participants qualitatively suggested social media (n = 16). We took 340 social media for granted as a means of promoting other forms of guidance, but social media 341 could also be used to provide brief guidance (e.g., a Twitter post about goals leading up to a 342 mass-participation event). Results by gender, competitive level, and age are presented in 343 Appendix B for the interested reader. The study did not aim to compare sub-groups, and 344 specific differences between sub-groups, whilst likely, were not hypothesised. 345

Websites and blogs, online videos (e.g., YouTube), magazine articles, and interactions with athletes, coaches, and event organisers were common and preferable ways of athletes getting guidance. In the endurance research literature, verbal or written instructions, workbooks, and one-to-one work with a practitioner are common intervention methods (see

350 McCormick et al., 2015). Ecologically-valid and preferable methods such as websites, magazine articles, online videos, and coach-delivered educational workshops have not been 351 used in research. As highlighted in the current study, many endurance athletes who value 352 353 performance enhancement perform recreationally, particularly at non-competitive and club levels. Many of these populations are unlikely to receive one-to-one psychology support. 354 Websites and blogs, online videos, magazine articles, and working with coaches and event 355 organisers are dissemination methods that could make psychology accessible to the masses, 356 including athletes who are remotely located away from a university. They also offer athletes 357 the opportunity to access psychology guidance in times and locations of their choosing, 358 which is particularly important because endurance athletes often have little free time 359 (McCormick et al., 2016). Similar approaches (e.g., magazine articles, online sources, coach 360 education workshops) are also likely to be favourable methods for sharing guidance with the 361 coaching community (Pope et al., 2015; Reade, Rodgers, & Hall, 2008; Reade, Rodgers, & 362 Spriggs, 2008; Williams & Kendall, 2007), and could be valuable for getting evidence-based 363 guidance "into circulation" for coaches and athletes to share. 364

Endurance researchers interested in recreational populations (e.g., as a form of 365 physical activity) are encouraged to test the efficacy of psychological interventions delivered 366 in these formats. First, however, future research could explore the barriers, facilitators, and 367 consumer preferences (e.g., specific features) that will influence whether these types of 368 interventions are optimally effective. Athletes and coaches could be involved throughout the 369 design and modification of an intervention, by providing input during the design of the 370 intervention and feedback on prototypes (e.g., Bock, Heron, Jennings, Magee, & Morrow, 371 2013). 372

373 Researchers who complete projects relevant to endurance athletes, as well as athletes 374 in other sports, are encouraged to provide evidence-based guidance through the ways

highlighted by the current findings, namely using websites and blogs, social media, 375 magazines, and by working with coaches and event organisers. Although endurance athletes 376 do receive guidance through these ways already, the guidance may not be evidence-based. 377 378 The results of the present study also highlight the value of providing guidance in multiple ways (e.g., webpages with embedded online videos and downloadable content), as there were 379 many preferable delivery formats (see also Gould et al., 1999). Sport science research 380 demonstrates that: the language used should be accessible and user-friendly; content should 381 be kept concise and simple; guidance should be made concrete through specific examples, 382 activities, exercises, tools, and materials (rather than just informational content); and 383 downloadable resources such as workbooks and activities are likely to be helpful (e.g., 384 Martindale & Nash, 2013). Researchers may find it helpful to work with endurance athletes 385 and coaches (e.g., using focus groups) so that guidance is accessible to its users (e.g., using 386 the language of the sport). As explained above (Respondents section), providing general 387 guidance that can be adapted by the athlete to their numerous events could be preferable for 388 389 sub-elite athletes.

There are barriers to disseminating research-derived knowledge in these ways (see 390 Kaslow, 2015). In particular, psychologists may need to learn "public speak", which requires 391 different skills to "journal speak" (Sommer, 2006). To disseminate to the public, a 392 psychologist would need to explain information in a way that is scientifically-informed, 393 succinct but accurate, clear and understandable, creative and engaging, memorable, relevant, 394 and conveys the "so what" of psychological research (see Kaslow, 2015). In addition, 395 psychologists may need training for some dissemination methods, such as using online 396 videos. For support, psychologists who work in universities could collaborate with colleagues 397 in departments such as marketing, media, or communications, who may be more experienced 398 in these forms of dissemination. Psychologists could also collaborate with people who run 399

400 endurance websites, podcasts, and other media. When disseminating by collaborating with non-psychologists or speaking with journalists, there are important ethical considerations to 401 consider (see McGarrah, Alvord, Martin, & Haldeman, 2009). For example, it is important 402 that psychologists have an opportunity to review information (e.g., edited interviews or 403 resources) to ensure that it is accurate before it is published. Finally, Twitter and online blogs 404 are accessible and either free or relatively inexpensive ways of reaching the general public. 405 They allow psychologists to ensure that research is represented accurately, whilst also 406 facilitating bi-directional communication that addresses misunderstandings and allows 407 nuanced discussions (Weinstein & Sumeracki, 2017). 408

With consideration to research limitations, the data presented reflects the ways that 409 410 respondents get, and would prefer to get, guidance. Respondents are likely to differ from non-411 respondents in qualities such as availability of time, interests in the research area and getting psychological guidance, and attitudes towards sport psychology (McCormick, Meijen, & 412 Marcora, 2018). Although it is not possible to accurately quantify the percentages of 413 endurance athletes who get, or would prefer to get, guidance in particular ways at the 414 population level, the data will nevertheless be useful for helping researchers and practitioners 415 to disseminate psychology in ways that are more likely to benefit endurance athletes. 416

In conclusion, psychology researchers and practitioners are encouraged to engage in dual dissemination (Sommer, 2006) and share guidance with endurance athletes using websites, social media, magazines, and by working with coaches and event organisers. The data can inform the design of intervention efficacy and effectiveness trials that are conducted with athletes under the constraints of the sporting setting.

422 Notes

¹ Thank you to Dr Carla Meijen, Dr Andy Kirkland, Dr Noel Brick, Professor Andy Lane,
and Dr David Marchant for their helpful comments.

425	² Selecting three was intended to encourage discrimination in the selection of responses. If
426	participants selected more than three, all selected options were included in the data analysis.
427	
428	References
429	Bishop, D. (2008). An applied research model for the sport sciences. Sports Medicine, 38,
430	253-263. https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-200838030-00005
431	Bock, B. C., Heron, K. E., Jennings, E. G., Magee, J. C., & Morrow, K. M. (2013). User
432	preferences for a text message-based smoking cessation intervention. Health Education
433	& Behavior, 40, 152–159. https://doi.org/10.1177/1090198112463020
434	British Triathlon. (2018). Triathlon Growth Statistics. Retrieved May 27, 2018, from
435	https://www.britishtriathlon.org/media/statistics
436	Brown, D. J., & Fletcher, D. (2017). Effects of psychological and psychosocial interventions
437	on sport performance: A meta-analysis. Sports Medicine, 47, 77–99.
438	https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0552-7
439	Choi, B. C. K., & Pak, A. W. P. (2005). A catalog of biases in questionnaires. Preventing
440	Chronic Disease, 2(1), A13. Retrieved from
441	https://www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2005/jan/04_0050.htm
442	Chomistek, A. K., Cook, N. R., Flint, A. J., & Rimm, E. B. (2012). Vigorous-intensity
443	leisure-time physical activity and risk of major chronic disease in men. Medicine &
444	Science in Sports & Exercise, 44, 1898–1905.
445	https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e31825a68f
446	Fowler, Jr, F. J. (2014). Survey research methods (5th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
447	Gould, D., Damarjian, N., & Medbery, R. (1999). An examination of mental skills training in
448	junior tennis coaches. The Sport Psychologist, 13, 127–143.
449	https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.13.2.127

- 450 Gourlan, M., Bernard, P., Bortolon, C., Romain, A. J., Lareyre, O., Carayol, M., ... Boiché, J.
- 451 (2016). Efficacy of theory-based interventions to promote physical activity. A meta-
- 452 analysis of randomised controlled trials. Health Psychology Review, 10, 50–66.
- 453 https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2014.981777
- 454 Holt, N. L., Pankow, K., Camiré, M., Côté, J., Fraser-Thomas, J., MacDonald, D. J., ...
- 455 Tamminen, K. A. (2018). Factors associated with using research evidence in national
- 456 sport organisations. Journal of Sports Sciences, 36, 1111–1117.
- 457 https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2017.1357830
- 458 Kaslow, N. J. (2015). Translating psychological science to the public. American
- 459 Psychologist, 70, 361–371. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0039448
- Lane, A., Murphy, N. M., & Bauman, A. (2008). The impact of participation in the Flora
- 461 *Women's Mini Marathon on physical activity behaviour in women. Research Report 1.*
- 462 Ireland: Centre for Health Behaviour Research, Department of Health Sport and
- 463 Exercise Sciences, Waterford Institute of Technology and Irish Sports Council.
- 464 Martindale, R., & Nash, C. (2013). Sport science relevance and application: Perceptions of
- 465 UK coaches. Journal of Sports Sciences, 31, 807–819.
- 466 https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2012.754924
- 467 McCormick, A., Meijen, C., Anstiss, P. A., & Jones, H. S. (2018). Self-regulation in
- 468 endurance sports: theory, research, and practice. International Review of Sport and
- 469 Exercise Psychology. https://doi.org/10.1080/1750984X.2018.1469161
- 470 McCormick, A., Meijen, C., & Marcora, S. (2015). Psychological determinants of whole-
- 471 body endurance performance. Sports Medicine, 45, 997–1015.
- 472 https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-015-0319-6
- 473 McCormick, A., Meijen, C., & Marcora, S. (2016). Psychological demands experienced by
- 474 recreational endurance athletes. International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology.

- 475 https://doi.org/10.1080/1612197X.2016.1256341
- 476 McCormick, A., Meijen, C., & Marcora, S. (2018). Effects of a motivational self-talk
- 477 intervention for endurance athletes completing an ultramarathon. The Sport
- 478 Psychologist, 32, 42–50. https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.2017-0018
- 479 McGarrah, N. A., Alvord, M. K., Martin, J. N., & Haldeman, D. C. (2009). In the public eye:
- 480 The ethical practice of media psychology. Professional Psychology: Research and
- 481 Practice, 40, 172–180. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0015520
- 482 Meijen, C., Day, C., & Hays, K. F. (2017). Running a psyching team: Providing mental
- 483 support at long-distance running events. Journal of Sport Psychology in Action, 8, 12–
- 484 22. https://doi.org/10.1080/21520704.2016.1205697
- 485 Pain, M. A., & Harwood, C. G. (2004). Knowledge and perceptions of sport psychology
- 486 within English soccer. Journal of Sports Sciences, 22, 813–826.
- 487 https://doi.org/10.1080/02640410410001716670
- 488 parkrun UK. (2018). Historical Chart of Number of parkrun Event, parkrunners and
- 489 Volunteers. Retrieved May 27, 2018, from
- 490 http://www.parkrun.org.uk/results/historicalchart/
- 491 Pope, J. P., Stewart, N. W., Law, B., Hall, C. R., Gregg, M. J., & Robertson, R. (2015).
- 492 Knowledge translation of sport psychology to coaches: Coaches' use of online
- resources. International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching, 10, 1055–1071.
- 494 https://doi.org/10.1260/1747-9541.10.6.1055
- 495 Reade, I., Rodgers, W., & Hall, N. (2008). Knowledge transfer: How do high performance
- 496 coaches access the knowledge of sport scientists? International Journal of Sports
- 497 Science & Coaching, 3, 319–334. https://doi.org/10.1260/174795408786238470
- 498 Reade, I., Rodgers, W., & Spriggs, K. (2008). New ideas for high performance coaches: A
- 499 case study of knowledge transfer in sport science. International Journal of Sports

500	Science and Coaching, 3, 335–354. https://doi.org/10.1260/174795408786238533
501	Ryan, R. M., Frederick, C. M., Lepes, D., Rubio, N., & Sheldon, K. M. (1997). Intrinsic
502	motivation and exercise adherence. International Journal of Sport Psychology, 28, 335-
503	354.
504	Scheerder, J., Breedveld, K., & Borgers, J. (2015). Who is doing a run with the running
505	boom? The growth and governance of one of Europe's most popular sport activities. In
506	J. Scheerder, K. Breedveld, & J. Borgers (Eds.), In Running across Europe (pp. 1–27).
507	London, England: Palgrave Macmillan.
508	Sommer, R. (2006). Dual dissemination: Writing for colleagues and the public. American
509	Psychologist, 61, 955-958. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.61.9.955
510	Weinstein, Y., & Sumeracki, M. A. (2017). Are Twitter and blogs important tools for the
511	modern psychological scientist? Perspectives on Psychological Science, 12, 1171–1175.
512	https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691617712266
513	Williams, S. J., & Kendall, L. (2007). Perceptions of elite coaches and sports scientists of the
514	research needs for elite coaching practice. Journal of Sport Sciences, 25, 1577–1586.
515	https://doi.org/10.1080/02640410701245550

517

518

Appendix A

Full Wording of Response Options

519 <u>Question 1</u>

520

521 Below is a list of ways that people find guidance (i.e., advice or information). There is also an 522 "I have NOT tried to find guidance" option. In the last 12 MONTHS, what ways have you 523 used to find guidance on PSYCHOLOGICAL parts of training for, preparing for, or 524 performing in running, road cycling, or triathlon events? We are asking about your 525 DELIBERATE attempts to find guidance (i.e., through looking for it on purpose), and not 526 guidance that you have become aware of without looking for it. Please select ALL answers 527 that apply to you.

Exact wording on the survey	Abbreviation in the manuscript (if applicable)	Abbreviation in Appendix B (if applicable)
Asking a coach in a relevant sport (e.g., asking in-person, asking through social media)	Asking coaches	Coaches
Asking people (e.g., training partner, people on Facebook pages, other social media, or forums) who take part or compete in a relevant sport, but who are NOT a coach	Asking other athletes	Athletes
Asking a practitioner or researcher who has knowledge and qualifications that relate to psychology (e.g., a sport and exercise scientist or a psychologist)		
Looking in magazines deliberately to find guidance	Looking in magazines	Magazines
Looking in books deliberately to find guidance	Looking in books	Books
Reading academic articles such as research reports or journal articles		
Looking on websites or online blogs	Looking on websites or blogs	Websites
Watching online videos (e.g., videos on YouTube) deliberately to find guidance	Watching online videos	Online video
Listening to a podcast deliberately to find guidance		
Attending a workshop or presentation delivered by a practitioner or researcher who has knowledge and qualifications that relate to psychology (e.g., a sport and exercise scientist or a psychologist)		
Attending a workshop or presentation delivered by a coach, an event organiser, or a sport participant		
Using a mobile phone application to find guidance		
I have NOT deliberately tried to find guidance	Have not tried to find guidance	None

530 <u>Question 2</u>

Below is a list of ways that you may have been given guidance or become aware of guidance
(i.e., advice or information) WITHOUT looking for it on purpose. In the last 12 MONTHS,
through what ways have you been given guidance or become aware of guidance on
PSYCHOLOGICAL parts of training, preparing, or performing, without looking for it on
purpose? Please select ALL answers that apply to you.

Exact wording on the survey	Abbreviation in the manuscript (if applicable)	Abbreviation in Appendix B (if applicable)
A person (e.g., coach, sport participant) spoke to you about it in person, either one-to-one or as part of a group (excluding presentations and workshops), or by telephone	Spoken word	Spoken word
A post on social media (e.g., Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, LinkedIn) or in an internet group (e.g., Google Groups) or forum	Posts on social media or internet group	Internet post
When on a website (other than social media) or online blog relevant to running, cycling, or triathlon	Websites or blogs	Websites
A person (e.g., coach, sport participant) sent you an email about it (e.g., a mailing list)		
A presentation or workshop relevant to running, cycling, or triathlon		
When listening to a podcast relevant to running, cycling, or triathlon		
When reading a magazine relevant to running, cycling, or triathlon	Magazines	Magazines
When reading a book relevant to running, cycling, or triathlon	Books	Books
When using a mobile phone application relevant to running, cycling, or triathlon		
I have NOT been given or become aware of guidance		

552 <u>Question 3</u>

553

Below is a list of people who may have given you guidance or made you aware of guidance
(either in person or not in person) WITHOUT you looking for it on purpose. In the last 12
MONTHS, which people have given you or made you aware of guidance on
PSYCHOLOGICAL parts of training, preparing, or performing, without you looking for it on
purpose? Please select ALL answers that apply to you.

	Exact wording on the survey	Abbreviation in the manuscript (if applicable)	Abbreviation in Appendix B (if applicable)
	A coach in a relevant sport (e.g., running, cycling, swimming, triathlon)	Coaches	Coaches
	A person who takes part or competes in a relevant sport, but who is NOT a coach (e.g., a training partner, a person on Facebook or in an internet forum or group)	Athletes	Athletes
	A practitioner or researcher who has knowledge and qualifications that relate to psychology (e.g., a sport and exercise scientist or a psychologist)	Researchers and practitioners	
	A running, road cycling, or triathlon event organiser	Event organisers	
	A personal trainer I am unsure of who gave or made me aware of guidance	Personal trainers	
	I have NOT been given or become aware of guidance		
560			
561 562			
563			
564			
565			
566			
567			
568			
569			
570			
571			
572			
573			
574			
575			
576			
577			
578 579			
5/9			

580 <u>Question 4</u>

581

There are different ways that psychology experts (practitioners or researchers who have 582 583 knowledge and qualifications that relate to psychology) could provide psychological guidance. They are listed below, and they include ways of finding guidance on purpose and 584 not on purpose. There is also a "NONE of these options are preferable to me" option. Please 585 think about which of these ways would be most preferable TO YOU PERSONALLY (please 586 assume that the guidance will NOT cost money, other than the possible costs of your 587 588 coaching or a magazine). In other words, if experts wanted to provide YOU with psychological guidance, how would you prefer them to do it? Select UP TO 3 preferred 589 590 ways.

591

Exact wording on the survey	Abbreviation in the manuscript (if applicable)	Abbreviation in Appendix B (if applicable)
Presentations or workshops by experts that runners, cyclists, or triathletes attend	In-person presentations or workshops	
Online presentations or online workshops (e.g., webinars) by experts that are interactive (e.g., you can ask questions and discuss points)	Interactive online presentations or workshops	
Experts passing on guidance using online videos to watch (e.g., videos on YouTube)	Online videos	Online video
Experts passing on guidance using magazines for runners, cyclists, or triathletes	Magazines	Magazines
Experts passing on guidance using podcasts for runners, cyclists, or triathletes to listen to	Podcasts	
Experts working with coaches so that coaches can pass on the psychological guidance	Researchers and practitioners working with coaches	Coaches
Experts working with event organisers so that guidance is given as part of the event (e.g., guidance given in event emails and registration packs, experts present at events)	Researchers and practitioners working with event organisers	Events
Websites or online blogs that are for runners, cyclists, or triathletes	Websites and online blogs	Websites
Mobile phone applications that are for runners, cyclists, or triathletes	Mobile phone applications	
NONE of these options are preferable to me	None	

Appendix B

Results by Gender, Competitive Level, and Age

Question		Sub-group										
		Gei	nder	Competitive level Age group							Overall	
		Males	Females	None	Club	County +	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	
Intentional	Websites	46.5%	49.1%	45.5%	51.7%	42.7%	69.1%	46.8%	47.0%	50.0%	31.0%	48.1%
	Athletes	42.5%	50.5%	42.9%	52.8%	35.9%	60.0%	54.0%	48.1%	40.2%	31.0%	46.7%
	Coaches	31.9%	33.0%	23.6%	35.3%	40.8%	30.9%	34.5%	36.6%	31.1%	21.4%	32.5%
	Magazines	30.8%	27.8%	28.3%	29.7%	29.1%	29.1%	29.5%	29.5%	31.8%	19.0%	29.1%
	None	29.7%	28.5%	35.6%	24.5%	29.1%	20.0%	28.1%	29.5%	26.5%	52.4%	28.9%
	Books	33.0%	19.6%	21.5%	29.0%	29.1%	25.5%	20.9%	27.3%	33.3%	21.4%	26.3%
	Online video	31.5%	19.9%	25.7%	27.5%	21.4%	38.2%	26.6%	24.6%	27.3%	14.3%	25.7%
Unintentional	Internet post	46.2%	56.0%	49.5%	54.2%	46.2%	66.1%	53.6%	53.5%	48.9%	26.8%	51.3%
– Methods	Spoken word	41.5%	54.3%	43.2%	50.9%	48.1%	51.6%	56.4%	43.2%	47.4%	39.0%	48.0%
	Magazines	46.9%	45.1%	38.5%	50.9%	46.2%	43.5%	40.7%	49.2%	46.7%	48.8%	45.9%
	Websites	39.4%	36.9%	39.1%	37.4%	38.5%	46.8%	39.3%	35.7%	37.8%	39.0%	38.2%
	Books	40.8%	26.3%	26.0%	35.9%	42.3%	29.0%	27.9%	31.4%	40.7%	43.9%	33.7%
Unintentional	Athletes	61.7%	74.1%	65.3%	72.4%	61.5%	80.6%	68.6%	68.6%	59.7%	71.4%	68.1%
– People	Coaches	44.0%	47.8%	35.2%	47.1%	61.5%	48.4%	48.6%	44.9%	45.5%	40.5%	45.9%
Preferences	Websites	48.0%	50.7%	49.7%	49.8%	48.1%	53.2%	57.1%	49.7%	47.4%	31.0%	49.5%
	Online video	51.6%	33.0%	36.8%	41.4%	52.9%	40.3%	46.4%	45.4%	36.3%	35.7%	41.8%
	Coaches	37.2%	34.0%	30.6%	36.6%	41.3%	40.3%	37.1%	31.9%	34.8%	42.9%	35.5%
	Events	26.0%	43.2%	44.6%	33.7%	19.2%	46.8%	34.3%	35.1%	35.6%	23.8%	34.8%
	Magazines	33.6%	35.7%	32.1%	38.1%	30.8%	25.8%	32.9%	36.2%	37.0%	33.3%	34.7%