Kent Academic Repository

Full text document (pdf)

Citation for published version

Oliver, David J. and Bell, Jenny and Gallagher, Donal and Hobbs, Laura and Newton, Jenny and Rackham, Claire and Swannick, Jackie and Thompson, Sheila (2007) Development of a pathway to facilitate gastrostomy insertion for patients with MND. International Journal of Palliative Nursing, 13 (9). pp. 420-429. ISSN 1357-6321.

DOI

Link to record in KAR

http://kar.kent.ac.uk/67250/

Document Version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version.

Users are advised to check http://kar.kent.ac.uk for the status of the paper. Users should always cite the published version of record.

Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact: researchsupport@kent.ac.uk

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html





Development of a pathway to facilitate gastrostomy insertion for patients with MND

David Oliver Consultant Physician in Palliative Medicine, Wisdom Hospice Honorary Senior Lecturer at Kent Institute of Medicine and Health Sciences University of Kent

Jenny Bell Ward nurse, Wisdom Hospice

Donal Gallagher Social Worker, Wisdom Hospice

Laura Hobbs Speech and language therapist Medway Primary Care Trust

Jenny Newton Domiciliary Team Nurse Wisdom Hospice

Claire Rackham Dietitian Medway Primary Care Trust

Jackie Swannick Specialist Nurse, Abbott Laboratories Ltd

Sheila Thompson Domiciliary Team Nurse Wisdom Hospice, Rochester, UK

Abstract

A pathway has been developed using a multidisciplinary group from within specialist palliative care to ensure a comprehensive approach to the insertion of gastromy tubes for patients with motor neurone disease (MND) with swallowing difficulties. The pathway has ensured that there is a coordinated approach and the professionals involved are clear as to their responsibilities in the discussion and planning of the insertion, ensuring the best support for the patient and family.

Key words

Gastrotomy Motor neurone disease

Palliative care

MND is a progressive neurological dis- ease with no cure, and the best that can be offered patients is a treatment that may slow the rate of progression (Anderson et al, 2005). There is progressive loss of nerves, leading to muscle weakness and this may be manifested as weakness of arms and/or legs, breathing difficulties if the respiratory muscles become affected or problems with speech and swallowing if the muscles of the head and neck are affected (Wagner-Sonntag and Prosiegel, 2006).

Swallowing difficulties are very common in MND. Twenty-five per cent of patients present with problems of speech or swallowing – this is often referred to as pseudobulbar palsy (Leigh et al, 2003). The majority of all patients develop the same problems as the disease progresses, although this is different for every individual. During the progression of the disease, 90% will have swallowing problems (Oliver, 1996) and many will need to consider alternative methods of feeding (Heffernan et al, 2004; Rio et al 2005).

Assessment of swallowing and nutrition

As a person with MND deteriorates, swallowing may become difficult. This may present itself as a direct complaint but may also be less obvious – as the person loses weight or takes longer to eat meals. The careful observation and discussion about meals and swallowing may be necessary to allow the patient to realise that there is a developing problem. The involvement of the speech and language therapist and dietitian is important so that they can make a full assessment (Wagner-Sonntag and Prosiegel, 2006).

Investigations may be necessary, such as a videofluoroscopy, although with a careful and full clinical assessment this is rarely needed. However, if there is suspicion of aspiration of food the video may show the extent and the problems that will be encountered.

Augmentative feeding in MND

If swallowing is deteriorating there is a need to consider the following ways of helping the patient and family:

- Careful positioning ensuring that the patient can swallow as effectively as possible
- Modification of the consistency of the food often custard consistency is easier to swallow and thickening
 agents, such as Thick and Easy can be added to liquids to ease swallowing
- Careful feeding techniques are essential so that the correct amount of food is presented in the most appropriate way for the patient to swallow. Families and carers may need careful instruction in feeding techniques
- Food must be presented well and kept hot so that it can be enjoyed fully, as this will improve the appetite and the amount of food taken
- The insertion of a feeding gastrostomy.

Gastrostomy feeding

The insertion of a gastrostomy may be undertaken in two different ways

- A percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) when the patient is sedated and an endoscope is
 passed into the stomach allowing the gastrostomy tube to be inserted through the abdominal wall
 and pulled through by the endoscope. The procedure requires some sedation but takes only a few
 minutes
- A percutaneous radiological gastrostomy (PRG) when a naso-gastric tube is passed into the stomach and the stomach identified using radio-opaque dye and the tube is inserted while monitored by

the radiologist. This does not require sedation and the patient can even receive non-invasive ventilation if necessary during the procedure.

The insertion of a gastrostomy can be seen by patients as a major intervention. If a PEG is considered this needs to be inserted earlier, as the patient needs to have good respiratory function. Evidence-based guidance suggests that if the FVC is less than 50% of normal there is increased morbidity and mortality (Miller et al, 1999), and some sources suggest that the FVC should be over 60% or 70% of normal. This is less of an issue if a PRG is being considered.

The discussion about augmentative feeding needs to be earlier in the disease progression, rather than only when there are severe swallowing problems. The discussion is part of a process and may be introduced over a period of time—initial discussion about swallowing problems and weight loss, consideration of alter- native feeding systems, demonstration of a gastrostomy, meeting patients with a PEG or PRG, and then a chance to dis- cuss the options (Miller et al, 1999; Rio et al 2005).

Development of the gastrostomy pathway

In the Medway and Swale area, the MND clinical team is involved in the care of up to 20–25 patients with MND at any one time; this is the expected number for the population of the area, which is 360 000. MND has a prevalence of 7/100 000. Patients and families are discussed on a monthly basis by the team, with their permission, and they are seen regularly by different members of the team — the consultant in palliative medicine, dietitian, home care nurse, speech and language therapist, physiotherapist, occupational therapist, social worker, MND Association Regional Care and Development Officer and local visitor. Any member of the team may discuss the issues of nutrition, but usually the speech and language therapist and dietitian would lead on these issues.

It was apparent to the team that following the discussion, the organisation and planning for the insertion was often haphazard and unplanned. The patients would need to be referred to the gastroenterologist, who would undertake the procedure and would usually be admit- ted to the Wisdom Hospice prior to the procedure and for a few days afterwards, when the patient and family could discuss the gastrostomy and learn how to use it. On the return home the local district nurse would be involved in the care of the PEG or PRG site and coordinate the feeding regime, in collaboration with the dietitian. The feeds would need to be prescribed and ordered and there was the need to have the correct equipment at home.

Following an incident when a patient returned home from hospital with a PRG, and there was no equipment to allow the feeding regime to be started, the team felt it important to develop a pathway and guidance to ensure the pro-cedure was planned and clear. A group from the MND clinical team decided to look at the production of a pathway and involved the wife of a patient who had died from MND and the nurse from the company that provided the feeds.

The group met on ten occasions and involved other members of the MND clinical team as necessary, as well a specialists form the regional MND Care Centre at King's College Hospital, and over a period of several months the pathway was produced. The pathway includes:

- Decision-making for a PEG or PRG
- Organisation for the admission to hospital (for PRG) or hospice (for PEG)
- Communication with all health and social care professionals involved
- Ensuring arrangements for admission, blood test and equipment are all in place
- Clear pathway for admission and transfer to the local hospital for the procedure
- Initial care of the gastrostomy
- Training of patient and family in the care and use of the gastrostomy
- Training of the community services in the care and use of the gastrostomy
- Clear indications of the professional responsibility for the tasks defined in the pathway.

The pathway is shown in *Figure 1*. There is also a proforma listing the actions that are needed throughout the pathway so these can be checked off as the patient moves along the pathway, and no action is missed. This can also be used to audit the use of the pathway.

Audit of the pathway

Over the past two years, four patients have required the insertion of a gastrostomy. The pathway has been used on all of these occasions and the care and coordination has improved. The patient, family and all staff involved in the care of the patient are more aware of the procedure, and there have been no delays or problems in the provision of equipment or feeds. The patients and families have been grateful for the use of the pathway and the health and social care professionals have all been appreciative of the coordinated approach.

Conclusion

As the result of an issue raised in the care of a person with MND, a pathway has been developed involving a multidisciplinary and multi-agency group, including a family member. In this way, the care has been improved and the path- way can continue to be modified as the procedures develop.

References

- Anderson PM, Borasio GD, Dengler R, Hardiman O; members of the EFNS Task Force (2005) EFNS task force on management of amyo- trophic lateral sclerosis: guidelines for diagnosing and clinical care of patients and relaitives. *Eur J Neurol* **12:** 921–38
- Heffernan C, Jenkinson C, Holmes T et al (2004) Nutritional management in MND/ALS patients: an evidence based review. *Amyotrophic Lateral Scler Other Motor Neuron Disord* **5:** 72–83
- Leigh PN, Abraham S, Al-Chalabi A et al (2003) The management of motor neurone disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* **74**(Suppl IV): iv32–iv 47
- Miller RG, Rosenberg JA, Gelinas DF et al; ALS Practice Parameters Task Force: Practice Parameter (1999)
 The care of the patient with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (an evidence- based review): report of the
 Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology: ALS Practice Parameters Task
 Force. Neurology 52: 1311–23
- Oliver D (1996) The quality of care and symptom control the effects on the terminal phase of MND/ALS. *J Neuro Sci* **139**(Suppl): 134–6
- Rio A, Johnson J, Willey E et al (2005) Nutritional care of patients with motor neurone disease. *Br J Neuroscience Nursing* **1:** 38–43
- Wagner-Sonntag E, Prosiegel M (2006) Dysphagia. In: Oliver D, Borasio GD, Walsh D (eds) *Palliative Care in Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis from diagnosis to bereavement*. Oxford University Press, Oxford

Percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) and radiologically inserted gastrostomy (RIG) pathway for people with motor neurone disease (MND) I. Decision to elect for PEG or RIG i Discussion with patient and family carers about eating difficulties and suggestions for managing these difficulties (speech and language therapist (SLT)/ hospice consultant (HC) ii Discussion with MND team about feeding/eating difficulties and agreement made about who will discuss with patient/carer (if not already taken place) iii Gastrostomy offered as method of managing current or anticipated eating difficultie Patient and/or carer to be given written information (e.g. MND Association Information Sheet and/or the chance to see the relevant equipment) (available via MND meeting, SLT, dietitian or Abbott's patient care nurses (PCN)) Iv PEG or RIG agreed with patient and in consultation with carer(s) as most appropriate means of managing current or anticipated difficulties (HC in consultation with SLT and dietitian) 2B. Following decision to elect for PEG 2A.Before contacting King's College Hospital (KCH), assess: i Date for procedure to be arranged with Wisdom Hospice (WH) i Weight history (HC) Ward(this to be agreed with Sunderland Day Unit ii Eating and drinking profile(HC) (SDU)/consultant) **Discharge** iii Fatigue due to respiratory muscle weakness or malnutrition? ii Once date agreed with patient, SDU and WHWard, the following Respiratory assessment (locally or at King's) to beinformed: Physiotherapy assessment for assisted cough – can • Dietitian (then contact DN about ordering syringes) secretions be managed? (HC) • PCN community • SLT Contact MND specialist nurse (Sp N), KCH withpatient/family/DN/unscheduledcarecontactdetails(HC) • DN/GP (DN to order stock of syringes for home use) • MND team (palliative care domiciliary team nurse (DTN)/HC) 6. Use standardised guidelines for care of PEG/RIG i Flush 3A.Following agreement of suitability for RIG tube as per guidelines (ward nurse with patient/carer) i Contact patient/family with admission date, discuss ii Site to be managed as per guidelines (ward nurse with) transport arrangements and send RIG information patient/carer) sheet (MND Sp N/KCH dietitian) 3B. Prior to admission to Wisdom Hospice i INR iii Patient and family/carer(s) to be trained and given written ii KCH dietitian to contact local dietitian to discuss; levels checked (HC) information on ii Warfarin/aspirin (where prescribed) stopped one week prior to Flushing the tube Feed prescription procedure(HC) Primary care contact details (telephone and fax numbers) iii Dietitian to organise provision of feed and equipment to home and iii Local dietitian to contact district nurse re ordering equipment • Use/care of syringes hospice if being used after procedure (dietitian) iv Admission iv KCH dietitian to contactPCN • What to do if PEG blocks or displaces arrangements to be discussed with patient and carer(s) (DTN/HC) ▼ PCN to meet/phone patient and family prior to admission Dos and don'ts V Agree responsibility for transport between WH and SDU with if appropriate Administering medication via PEG (Ward nurse for all of the above) family (WHward) iv Same information for district nurse as well as discharge plan ar vi NHS transport and staffing arranged if appropriate (WH Ward) information on type of tube and connections required (WHWard) 4A. Post-procedure (if going home from KCH) vii WH to ensure patient and/or carer is clear about what will V Ensure discharge checklist is completed (WH) Ward) happen and given opportunity for questions to be answered (dietitian, DTN or SLT) i MND Sp N to phone DT nurse, dietitian and DN. Discharge summary faxed to both ii Iffeeding immediately, PCN to liaise with KCH re:mostsuitableplacefor training for patient and family iii MND Sp to: • Review medication (eg liquid vs tablet) and provide/order tablet crusher as 4A. Post-procedure (if 5.Commence enteral feed i Use 4B.Admission to Wisdom Hospice i appropriate standardised guidelines for: • Negotiatetransportarrangements with Admission on day prior to procedure Wisdom Hospice ward) MND ii Reassess consent to and suitability for procedure (HC) ii • Settingupanduse of the Sp Nurse to contact ward re Complete pre-procedure checklist (wardnurse) feeding pump • Ensure patient/carer are fully trained in care discharge date and send iv Confirmtransportarrangements (WHWard) • Feeding regimen discharge summary Local dietitian iv Local health care professionals will pro- vide (ward nurse with patient/ V PEG insertion on agreed date at SDU and return to WH vi Confirm to order feed for use on the dietitian has provided feeding regimen if necessary (ward ongoing support in the community carer) vii Medication to be reviewed (e.g. liquid vs tablet, provision of tablet crusher) (HC/ward nurse)

Figure 1. Gastrostomy insertion pathway

