

Kent Academic Repository

Full text document (pdf)

Citation for published version

Yi-Hann Lee, Benjamin Paul and Davies, Zoe G. and Struebig, Matthew J. (2017) Smoke pollution disrupted biodiversity during the 2015 El Niño fires in Southeast Asia. *Environmental Research Letters*, 12 (9). ISSN 1748-9326.

DOI

<https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aa87ed>

Link to record in KAR

<http://kar.kent.ac.uk/62913/>

Document Version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version.

Users are advised to check <http://kar.kent.ac.uk> for the status of the paper. **Users should always cite the published version of record.**

Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact:

researchsupport@kent.ac.uk

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at <http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html>

1
2
3 1 **Smoke pollution disrupted biodiversity during the 2015**

4
5
6 2 **El Niño fires in Southeast Asia**

7
8
9
10 3 Short title: Smoke pollution disrupted biodiversity during the 2015 El Niño

11
12 4
13
14
15 5 Benjamin Paul Yi-Hann Lee^{1,2}, Zoe Georgina Davies¹ and Matthew John Struebig¹

16
17
18 6
19
20
21 7 ¹ Durrell Institute of Conservation and Ecology (DICE), School of Anthropology and Conservation,
22
23 8 University of Kent, Canterbury, CT2 7NR, UK.

24
25
26 9 ² National Parks Board, 1 Cluny Road, S259569, Singapore.
27
28

29 10
30
31
32 11 Corresponding authors: B.P.Y-H. Lee; benjamin_lee@nparks.gov.sg
33
34
35 12 & M.J.Struebig; m.j.struebig@kent.ac.uk
36
37
38 13
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Abstract

Forest and peatland fires during the 2015 El Niño drought were amongst the worst on record in Southeast Asia. They were a major contributor of carbon emissions across the region, with the associated smoke-induced haze causing an air pollution crisis that affected millions of people. We present evidence of air pollution impacts on biodiversity. Using daily acoustic recordings in central Singapore, we monitored the dawn chorus before, during and after the haze event. We demonstrate that levels of ecological community acoustic activity dropped dramatically during the haze, and that this decline was significantly associated with levels of air pollution considered 'unhealthy' to the human population. Acoustic disruption was apparent across four common indices of soundscape activity, with only a partial recovery to pre-haze levels observed 16 weeks after the smoke had dissipated. These impacts on ecological communities were likely to be even more severe closer to the fires, where air pollution levels were reported to be 15-fold greater than those recorded in Singapore. Our results indicate that large-scale air pollution crises may have hitherto underestimated and potentially far-reaching impacts on biodiversity, especially in parts of the world prone to extensive forest fires.

Introduction

Air pollution is increasing globally (World Health Organization 2016). While the human health, stress and mortality impacts associated with exposure to air pollutants, such as sulphur dioxide and particulate matter, are widely acknowledged as significant (World Health Organization 2016; Doherty et al 2009), the effects of air pollution on wildlife are much less understood. Previous studies indicate that animals respond negatively to pollution in similar ways to humans in terms of oxidative stress (Isaksson 2010), but it remains unclear whether these impacts translate to lowering of activity or extirpation for particular animal species. This is especially the case for adverse pollution episodes.

Between September and October 2015, much of maritime Southeast Asia was covered with a smoke-induced haze that originated from fires burning in Indonesia's forests and peatlands.

1
2 41 Although uncontrolled fires occur annually in the region, those in 2015 were exacerbated by a
3
4 42 prolonged drought caused by the El Niño–Southern Oscillation and Indian Ocean Dipole (Tacconi
5
6 43 2016, Huijnen et al 2016). An average of 11.3 Tg CO₂ was emitted each day during September
7
8 44 and October 2015, a figure that exceeded the fossil fuel CO₂ emissions (8.9 Tg CO₂ per day)
9
10 45 across the European Union (Huijnen et al 2016). The transboundary haze event was so serious
11
12 46 that it forced airports and schools to shut in parts of the region, at an estimated economic cost of
13
14 47 ~US\$16.124 billion for Indonesia alone (World Bank 2016). Throughout the two months, the
15
16 48 resulting air pollution regularly reached ‘unhealthy’ or ‘very unhealthy’ levels for humans, as
17
18 49 measured by the Pollutant Standards Index (PSI) in Indonesia, Malaysia and Singapore (Tacconi
19
20 50 2016).
21
22
23

24 51 Harrison et al (2016) reviewed the potential impacts of the fire and resultant haze on
25
26 52 Kalimantan’s biodiversity, speculating that the fauna of peat-swamp forests declined due to habitat
27
28 53 loss, toxic particulate inhalation, drought and much reduced sunlight, and increased river acidity.
29
30 54 To further examine impacts on biodiversity we used the 2015 haze event to examine ‘soundscape’
31
32 55 data from a wildlife-monitoring programme, which recorded acoustic activity each morning on an
33
34 56 overpass in Singapore’s central forest block. Singapore is located approximately 300 km away
35
36 57 from the locations of the fires, with the prevailing winds blowing the smoke over from Indonesia into
37
38 58 the city-state. Acoustic recordings were made for two hours each morning after dawn for three
39
40 59 weeks during the haze, and were compared to data available from eight months before the haze
41
42 60 began, as well as three and 16 weeks after the smoke had dissipated. For each morning, we
43
44 61 calculated acoustic activity using four indices that measure different aspects of the soundscape.
45
46 62 The basic premise underpinning the application of acoustic indices is that ecological communities
47
48 63 with more vocal species are characterised by greater acoustic diversity, and that biodiversity and
49
50 64 ecosystem functioning are positively correlated with acoustic diversity (Gage et al 2001, Ji et al
51
52 65 2007, Pijanowski et al 2011). These validated metrics derived from acoustic monitoring can
53
54 66 therefore be used to detect periods of environmental change (Sueur et al 2014).
55
56
57
58
59
60 67

69 **Materials and Methods**

70 **Study system**

71 Acoustic data were collected as part of a wildlife-monitoring programme on the “EcoLink” wildlife
72 overpass (1.357°N, 103.784°E) in central Singapore. The overpass is 62 m in length and 50 m in
73 width, and serves to re-connect two tropical lowland rainforest reserves, Bukit Timah Nature Reserve
74 (163 ha) and Central Catchment Nature Reserve (c. 2000 ha); protected areas that have been
75 separated by the Bukit Timah Expressway for 30 years. Construction and planting of native
76 vegetation on the bridge were completed in December 2013. The likely fauna contributing to the
77 soundscape include birds and Orthoptera. At least 55 bird species have been identified from the site
78 via mist-netting (Yifei Chung, unpublished data), and *Nisitrus* sp. crickets and *Conocephalus* sp.
79 katydids have been encountered (Ming Kai Tan, unpublished data). In addition, opportunistic camera
80 trapping has detected macaques (*Macaca fascicularis*) and other small mammals using the
81 overpass.

83 **Monitoring of air pollution**

84 We obtained air pollution data, measured by the Pollutant Standards Index (PSI), from the
85 National Environment Agency (2015) of Singapore. Hourly PSI values are available for five regions
86 on the island (north, south, east, west and central). We used PSI values from central Singapore to
87 best reflect the location of the overpass. The PSI is derived from the average concentrations of six
88 common air pollutants: (i) fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}); (ii) particulate matter (PM₁₀); (iii) sulphur
89 dioxide; (iv) carbon monoxide; (v) ozone; and, (vi) nitrogen dioxide. PSI values up to 50 are
90 considered ‘good’ in relation to the human population; 51-100 ‘moderate’; 101-200 ‘unhealthy’; 201-
91 300 ‘very unhealthy’; and, >300 as ‘hazardous’. During the peak of the haze event, PSI values
92 reached 267, and residents were advised by the Singapore Government to avoid prolonged or
93 strenuous outdoor physical exertion.

94 We compiled PSI values for our four acoustic monitoring sample periods in relation to the
95 haze event: ‘before’; ‘during’; ‘3 weeks after’; and, ‘16 weeks after’ (Table 1). These periods were

1
 2 96 identified according to distinct shifts in PSI values and public health advisory announcements on air
 3
 4 97 quality, as well as availability of quality recordings. As an equatorial island, Singapore is largely
 5
 6 98 aseasonal, although it tends to experience two monsoons: December to March, and June to
 7
 8 99 September. By sampling 16 weeks after the event, we were able to quantify acoustic activity mid-
 9
 10
 11 100 way through the northeast monsoon in a similar period sampled before the onset of haze. We used
 12
 13 101 the 0800 hrs PSI value for each sampling day as this represented the midpoint of our two-hour
 14
 15 102 morning recordings.

16
 17
 18 103
 19
 20
 21 104 **Table 1. Summary of the acoustic monitoring sample periods used to assess the impact of**
 22
 23 105 **the 2015 haze event in central Singapore on ecological communities.**

Sample periods	Dates	Number of days	Pollutant Standards Index (PSI) range
Before	11 Jan – 1 Feb 2015	22	31-68
During	24 Sep – 28 Oct 2015	21	97-267
3 week after	16 Nov – 14 Dec 2015	20	28-53
16 weeks after	29 Feb – 14 Mar 2016	15	37-55

24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42 106
 43
 44
 45 107 Acoustic recording, processing and statistical analyses

46
 47
 48 108 We deployed a Song Meter SM2BAT+ unit (Wildlife Acoustics Inc., USA) at the centre of the
 49
 50 109 overpass with a SMX-II weatherproof microphone attached to a 1.8-metre aluminium pole. The SMX-
 51
 52 110 II is an omnidirectional and audio broadband microphone recording frequencies of up to 48,000 Hz,
 53
 54 111 and with an estimated range of ca. 60 m radius. Our acoustic data therefore covered the overpass,
 55
 56
 57 112 as well as ca. 30 m of forest either side. The recordings comprised both ambient sounds from the
 58
 59 113 ecological community, as well as non-biological phenomena, including those arising from human
 60
 114 activities (Pijanowski et al 2011). The pole extended the microphone above vegetation to provide a

1
2 115 clear recording space without obstruction from foliage or branches. The microphone was checked
3
4 116 and tested regularly, with the foam windscreen changed after sustained periods of rain.
5
6

7 117 The Song Meter unit was configured to record in an uncompressed .wav format at a sampling
8
9 118 rate of 24,000 Hz and 16 bits to target audible sound (i.e. up to ca. 11,025 Hz, the highest frequency
10
11 119 of bird song in the region). A high pass-filter was applied at 180 Hz to attenuate excessive low-
12
13 120 frequency sounds so that bird vocalisations could be recorded adequately. The device was placed
14
15
16 121 in a locked box, from which the microphone extended, and secured to a tree trunk. Recordings were
17
18 122 scheduled for between 0700 and 0900 hrs each day to capture the dawn chorus comprising bird
19
20 123 vocalisations and insect calls. We checked all recordings within each file to assess levels of rain.
21
22 124 Any recordings from mornings with heavy rain were subsequently excluded from the study, as bird
23
24 125 and insect acoustic activity declines in rainy conditions (Robbins 1981) and the detection range of
25
26 126 the microphone is reduced when wet. One morning was removed as a result. Each two-hour
27
28 127 recording was split into 12 files of 10-minute duration using WavePad v6.37 (NCH Software), and
29
30
31 128 acoustic indices calculated for each 10-minute segment. Sensitivity analyses using segments of
32
33 129 various lengths (10, 30 and 60-minute) for all four soundscape indices revealed that 10-minute
34
35 130 segments gave sufficient amount of variation across the duration of recordings for statistical
36
37 131 comparisons to be made (Supporting Information Fig. S1).
38
39
40 132
41
42

43 133 Calculation of acoustic indices 44 45

46 134 We generated four acoustic indices for the Singapore soundscape recordings:
47
48

- 49 135 - The Bioacoustic (BA) index is designed to assess the relative abundance and composition
50
51 136 of bird communities, and is a function of the acoustic level and the number of frequency
52
53 137 bands used by animals (Boelman et al 2007). The index is derived from the area under each
54
55 138 curve in all frequency bands, and so the area values are a function of both activity level and
56
57 139 the number of frequency bands used by vocalising species, but in particular, avifauna. Here,
58
59 140 calculations targeted the ecological frequency range of 2000-11,025 Hz (anthropogenic
60
141 sound is typically <2000 Hz).

- 1
2 142
3
4 143 - Acoustic Complexity (AC) directly quantifies acoustic intricacy by calculating the variability of
5
6 144 recording intensities, despite the presence of constant anthropony (human-generated
7
8 145 noise) in the background (Pieretti et al 2011, Farina and Pieretti 2014). The index correlates
9
10 well with the number of bird calls in a community (Pieretti et al 2011), has been used to
11 146
12 describe avian soundscapes (Farina et al 2011), to explore the association between these
13 147
14 soundscapes and vegetation complexity (Farina and Pieretti 2014), and to investigate the
15 148
16 influence of traffic noise on the singing of a bird community (Pieretti and Farina 2013).
17 149
18
19 150
20
21 151 - Acoustic Diversity (AD) is typically used as a direct proxy for species diversity, since it
22
23 quantifies the number of unique sounds at unique frequencies, and these different sounds
24 152
25 are largely emitted by different taxa (Villanueva-Rivera et al 2011). It is based on the
26 153
27 Shannon index (Villanueva-Rivera et al 2011), and is derived by dividing the frequency
28 154
29 spectrogram into bins and quantifying the proportion of sounds in each bin above a volume
30 155
31 threshold (Sueur et al 2014, Villanueva-Rivera et al 2011). We applied this index to 1000
32 156
33 Hz bins.
34
35 157
36
37 158
38
39 159 - The Normalised Difference Soundscape Index (NDSI) reflects the relative contribution of
40
41 160 ecological (biophonic) and anthroponic sounds to the soundscape. The calculation involves
42
43 161 segregating the spectral profile into two main frequency bands comprising anthroponic
44
45 162 (1000-2000 Hz) and ecological (2000-11,025 Hz) components (Ji et al 2007), before
46
47 calculating the ratio of the two. The value therefore ranges from -1 to +1, with low and high
48 163
49 values indicating the prevalence of anthropony or biophony respectively.
50 164
51
52
53 165
54
55 166 Acoustic data were calculated in R version 3.2.4 using the 'multiple_sounds' function in the
56
57 package 'soundecology' (Villanueva-Rivera and Pijanowski 2013), as well as the packages
58 167
59 'seewave', 'ineq', 'tuneR' and 'vegan' (using signal settings in S1 Table). Mean values of each index
60 168
169 were calculated across the twelve 10-minute sound files recorded each morning, thereby producing

1
2 170 a single average value per morning. The distribution of acoustic data across each sample period
3
4 171 was checked for homoscedasticity (Levene's test), visualised using the R package 'ggplot2' to
5
6 172 generate violin plots, and the residuals checked for normality. The variance in mean acoustic data
7
8
9 173 for each index was unequal between sample periods. Kruskal-Wallis tests were thus used to
10
11 174 determine if the indices varied significantly between sample periods, and pairwise Mann-Whitney
12
13 175 tests were applied post-hoc to determine where differences occurred. A standard Bonferroni
14
15 176 correction was applied to the Mann-Whitney tests to control for Type 1 error due to multiple testing.
16
17 177 General linear models were then used to determine whether variation in each acoustic index across
18
19 178 the entire study period could be predicted by PSI. Since samples collected after the haze were clearly
20
21
22 179 not independent from those collected before or during the event, we report regressions restricted to
23
24 180 the 'before' and 'during' data. However, our findings remain consistent even when all data are
25
26 181 included in the models.
27
28
29 182
30
31

32 183 **Results**

34 184 Recordings were available for a total of 78 mornings between January 2015 and March 2016.
35
36
37 185 Our analyses reveal that daily averages for all four acoustic indices corresponded clearly and
38
39 186 significantly to the onset and, to some degree, the passing of the air pollution crisis (Fig. 1). The
40
41 187 greatest acoustic activity was pre-haze. Subsequently, average levels of acoustic activity across all
42
43 188 indices declined substantially (by up to 37.5%) during the haze event, a disruption that lasted for at
44
45 189 least three weeks. A near complete recovery to pre-haze levels was evident after 16 weeks for the
46
47
48 190 acoustic complexity and bioacoustic indices, two well-established indicators of bird vocalisation
49
50 191 activity (Pijanowski et al 2011, Towsey et al 2014). However, acoustic diversity and normalized
51
52 192 difference soundscape index, indices that reflect broader patterns of ecological activity relative to
53
54 193 anthropogenic sounds (Gage et al 2001, Sueur et al 2008, Pijanowski et al 2011, Fuller et al 2015),
55
56 194 remained low after 16 weeks. This suggests that some components of the ecological community
57
58 195 continued to be absent or torpid for at least four months after the smoke dissipated. All acoustic
59
60 196 indices correlated negatively and significantly with the average daily PSI readings for central

1
2 197 Singapore, and were particularly low when air pollution reached levels deemed unhealthy for humans
3
4 198 (>100 PSI; Fig 1).
5
6
7 199
8
9
10 200
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 1. Daily variation in dawn chorus acoustic indices, and their association with air pollution levels, in Singapore before, during and after the 2015 El Niño forest and peatland fire smoke-induced haze event. Left panels: violin plots show variation (median, range, kernel

1
2 205 density; 25th–75th percentiles) in daily measures of dawn chorus acoustic activity ‘before’ (22
3
4 206 sample days), ‘during’ (21 sample days) and ‘after’ (20 and 15 sample days at 3 and 16 weeks
5
6 207 respectively). Different letters denote significant differences between sample periods, based on
7
8
9 208 Kruskal-Wallis and post-hoc Mann-Whitney tests. Right panels: regressions (+/- 95% CI, indicated
10
11 209 by shaded area around line of best fit) of daily average acoustic indices against Pollutant
12
13 210 Standards Index (PSI) values. Due to data non-independence issues associated with the two ‘after’
14
15 211 sample periods, the regressions are calculated from data ‘before’ and ‘during’ the haze event.
16
17 212 Dashed lines show the public health advisory PSI thresholds.

18
19
20 213

21 22 214 **Discussion**

23
24
25 215 We provide a unique evaluation of the effects of the 2015 Southeast Asia forest and peatland
26
27 216 fires on biodiversity, demonstrating that the transboundary haze crisis had a clear negative impact
28
29
30 217 on the ecological community in Singapore. To the best of our knowledge, no other study has
31
32 218 investigated the impacts of air pollution on biodiversity in this way. Previous studies have focused
33
34 219 on the autecological responses of particular species to air pollution (e.g. great tits, *Parus major*
35
36 220 (Gorissen et al 2005), yet acoustic indices give us a valuable and, importantly, rapid insight into the
37
38 221 entire audible ecological community, which is not restricted to individual species.

39
40
41 222 Both the bioacoustic and acoustic complexity indices we used capture mainly bird
42
43 223 vocalisations, with the former index being a reliable indicator of avian abundance (Boelman et al
44
45 224 2007) and the latter serving as a proxy for singing activity (Pieretti et al 2011). Our findings therefore
46
47
48 225 imply that while bird abundance and associated activity were substantially impacted by the pollution
49
50 226 event, both recovered within four months post-haze (Fig. 1). Conversely, the acoustic diversity index
51
52 227 and normalised difference soundscape index showed little sign of recovery over this time period. In
53
54 228 biodiversity assessments, acoustic diversity is renowned for being a reliable surrogate for overall
55
56 229 (vocal) faunal richness, because it quantifies the number of unique sounds made at different acoustic
57
58 230 frequencies, which are typically made by different species (Villanueva-Rivera et al 2011, Depraetere
59
60 231 et al 2012). Low acoustic diversity values therefore indicate that sound is being recorded at fewer

1
2 232 frequencies across all sound emitting taxa. The drop in NDSI, an index characteristically high and
3
4 233 stable in undisturbed environments (Gage et al 2001), also reflects this trend.
5
6

7 234
8
9
10 235 Potential causes and mechanisms of acoustic activity change
11

12
13 236 There are several possible explanations for our findings, which may not be mutually
14
15 237 exclusive. First, the drop in acoustic activity might signal a temporary cessation in ecological activity
16
17 238 during the dawn chorus. For example, in temperate regions the singing behaviour of male great tits
18
19 239 is known to be reduced and less complex at sites near to heavy metal air pollution sources (Gorissen
20
21 240 et al 2005). In nearby Borneo, gibbons are reported to sing less during periods of poor air quality
22
23 241 (Cheyne 2008). However, this seems unlikely to be the sole explanation of the soundscape patterns
24
25 242 observed, given that acoustic recovery back to pre-haze levels was only demonstrated by two of the
26
27
28 243 four indices. Second, smoke-induced air pollution may have caused direct mortality in key
29
30 244 components of the ecological community. Although understudied, terrestrial vertebrates are
31
32 245 predicted to suffer from the same direct effects of air pollution as humans, including respiratory
33
34 246 diseases, hypoxia, irritation of the eyes and skin, increased stress and death (Isaksson 2010, Kimura
35
36 247 et al 1988). During the 1997 El Niño, 90% of people in Indonesian villages exposed to similar levels
37
38
39 248 of pollution as Singapore in 2015 had severe respiratory problems from inhaling toxic smoke (Kunii
40
41 249 et al 2002). Third, mortality might have arisen indirectly via reduced fitness or foraging success,
42
43 250 since airborne particles drastically increases atmospheric attenuation of light and sound (Hardy et al
44
45 251 2001, Pye 1971), and thus compromises the ability of animals to forage. This is particularly likely to
46
47 252 be the case for flying and site-dependent species such as birds. Fourth, haze could reduce the
48
49 253 abundance/size of prey species or affect plant phenology (see Harrison et al 2016), thereby driving
50
51 254 bottom-up trophic cascades (Zvereva and Kozlov 2010). Fifth, there is scope for some seasonal
52
53 255 variation in ecological activity to be occurring in the dataset although, given the highly aseasonal
54
55 256 nature of Singapore and the scheduling of our sample periods, this is unlikely to have contributed to
56
57
58 257 the observed trends. Finally, it is possible that our findings reflect a postponement of ecological
59
60 258 activity to outside of the two-hour window we recorded at dawn. Delaying activity to sub-optimal
259 times in this way would still be expected to have long-term repercussions for individual fitness and

phenology (McNamara et al 2011). Nevertheless, this hypothesis is not well supported by anecdotal observations during the haze (i.e. forests were uncharacteristically quiet).

Conclusion

While we can only speculate about the precise mechanisms underpinning the reduction in acoustic activity during the 2015 haze crisis, the trends observed in Singapore could have far reaching implications for biodiversity if realised across pollution-stricken Asia. Ecological disruption across all taxa is likely to be greatest nearer to smoke sources. For example, PSI readings exceeded 2,000 in Indonesian Borneo during the 2015 fires (Chan 2015), which is 15-times greater than the levels recorded in Singapore. Without a major concerted international effort to tackle the causes of forest and peatland fires, annual air pollution events are expected to continue and worsen in Southeast Asia (Tacconi 2016, Huijnen et al 2016). Indeed, high levels of transboundary air pollution are not restricted to drought years and, in fact, occur almost annually (Gaveau et al 2014). The long-term prognosis for the region's imperilled biodiversity is therefore poor, particularly in burnt areas, which already support fewer taxa and depauperate wildlife populations compared to forests elsewhere (e.g. Cleary et al 2006). Further research into the effects of air pollution on tropical biodiversity is thus warranted.

The funding and human capacity required to effectively document and monitor biodiversity in tropical countries, in addition to significant logistical challenges on-the-ground, are major barriers to large-scale implementation of traditional ecological field surveys (Harrison et al 2012). There is therefore a well justified need for rapid biological monitoring techniques, especially in situations in which health and safety is a concern. The capacity of acoustic techniques to yield information on species identity and turnover is currently still limited. However, as technological capabilities improve, it is highly probable that key vocalising taxa, such as those of conservation concern, will be identifiable. Such acoustic techniques therefore offer a practical and relatively cheap alternative to traditional wildlife monitoring techniques, could be safer to implement in fire/smoke affected areas, and provide much-needed data in near real-time. Moreover, acoustic indices are representative of

1
2 287 entire ecological communities, rather than just a select few species that are indicators of
3
4 288 environmental change. Just as with standard measures of species diversity, these proxies have the
5
6 289 potential to be up-scaled over large landscape areas using spatial imagery (Boelman et al 2007,
7
8
9 290 Pekin et al 2012), which is becoming increasingly available and affordable for tropical countries.
10
11 291 Information on the spatial and temporal extent of acoustic disruption, and how well this corresponds
12
13 292 to observed changes in ecological communities, will be fundamental to understanding the full
14
15 293 environmental implications of future air pollution crises.
16
17

18 294

19

20

21 295

22

23 296

24 296

25

26 297

27 297

28

29 298

30 298

31

32 299

33

34

35 300

36

37

38 301

39

40

41 302

42

43 303

44 303

45

46 304

47 304

48

49 305

50

51

52 306

53

54

55 307

56

57

58 308


59

60 309


1
2 310
3
4
5 311
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49 312
50 313
51
52 314
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

(a) Acoustic complexity – 10-minute samples




(b) Acoustic complexity – 30-minute samples




SI Figure 1a-b. Histograms showing variation in frequency of the acoustic complexity index calculated on 10- and 30-minute sound recording segments from Singapore.


(c) Acoustic diversity – 10-minute samples



(d) Acoustic diversity – 30-minute samples




(e) Acoustic diversity – 60-minute samples




315 SI Figure 1c-e. Histograms showing variation in frequency of the acoustic diversity index calculated
 316 on 10-, 30- and 60-minute sound recording segments from Singapore.


(f) Bioacoustic index– 10-minute samples



(g) Bioacoustic index – 30-minute samples




(h) Bioacoustic index – 60-minute samples




SI Figure 1f-h. Histograms showing variation in frequency of the bioacoustic index calculated on 10-, 30- and 60-minute sound recording segments from Singapore.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60


(i) NDSI – 10-minute samples



(j) NDSI – 30-minute samples



(k) NDSI – 60-minute samples



319 SI Figure 1i-k. Histograms showing variation in frequency of the Normalised Difference
320 Soundscape index calculated on 10-, 30- and 60-minute sound recording segments from
321 Singapore.

Table S1. Settings used for processing the acoustic indices in R. Optimal parameters for each index were determined after sensitivity analyses on a subset of sound recordings.

Index	J (cluster size) (s)	Min freq (Hz)	Max freq (Hz)	dB threshold (Hz)	Freq step (Hz)	Anthro min (Hz)	Anthro max (Hz)	Bio min (Hz)	Bio max (Hz)
Acoustic complexity (AC)	10	—	11025	—	—	—	—	—	—
Acoustic diversity (AD)	—	—	11025	-30	1000	—	—	—	—
Bio-acoustic (BA)	—	2000	11025	—	—	—	—	—	—
Normalised difference soundscape (NDSI)	—	—	—	—	—	1000	2000	2000	11025

J: cluster size in seconds (e.g. a cluster size of 10 s will partition analyses into 10-second clusters); **Min freq:** minimum frequency used when calculating the value, in Hz. Applied only to BA; **Max freq:** maximum frequency used when calculating the value, in Hz. Applied to AC, AD and BA; **dB threshold:** threshold to use in the calculation and a dB of -30 dBFS was chosen as it was the most optimal based on a visual comparison of boxplots and histograms. Applied only to AD; **Freq step:** size of frequency bands. Soundscape studies typically use 1000 Hz bands; **Anthro min and Anthro max:** minimum and maximum values of the range of anthrophony (human-generated sound); **Bio min and Bio max:** minimum and maximum values of the range of biophony (biologically-generated sounds).

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Wildlife Reserves Singapore Conservation Fund and National Parks Board Singapore. We thank Yifei Chung and Joey Gan for field assistance, and James Cugley for help in devising a protocol for the processing of acoustic data. BPYH Lee was supported by a Ministry of National Development EDGE Scholarship.

References

- Boelman N T, Asner G P, Hart P J and Martin R E 2007 Multi-trophic invasion resistance in Hawaii: Bioacoustics, field surveys, and airborne remote sensing *Ecol. Appl.* **17** 2137-44
- Chan F 2015 Indonesia set to evacuate kids in worst haze hit areas. *The Straits Times*. 24 Oct 2015. (<http://www.straitstimes.com/asia/se-asia/indonesia-set-to-evacuate-kids-in-worst-haze-hit-areas>)
- Cheyne S M 2008 Effects of meteorology, astronomical variables, location and human disturbance on the singing apes: *Hylobates albibarbis* *Am. J. Primatol.* **70** 386-92
- Cleary D F R, Fauvelot C, Genner M J, Menken S B J and Mooers A 2006 Parallel responses of species and genetic diversity to El Niño southern oscillation-induced environmental destruction. *Ecol. Lett.* **9** 304-10
- Depraetere M, Pavoine S, Jiguet F, Gasc A, Duvail S and Sueur J 2012 Monitoring animal diversity using acoustic indices: Implementation in a temperate woodland *Ecol. Ind.* **13** 46-54
- Doherty R M, Heal M R, Wilkinson P, Pattenden S, Vieno M, Armstrong, B, et al. 2009 Current and future-climate and air pollution-mediated impacts on human health *Env. Health* **8** S1-S8
- Farina A, Pieretti N and Piccioli L 2011 The soundscape methodology for long-term bird monitoring: A Mediterranean Europe case-study *Ecol. Inform.* **6** 354-63

- 1
2 357 Farina A and Pieretti N 2014 Sonic environment and vegetation structure: A methodological
3
4 358 approach for a soundscape analysis of a Mediterranean maqui *Ecol. Inform.* **21** 120-32
5
6
7
8 359 Fuller S, Axel AC, Tucker D and Gage S H 2015 Connecting soundscape to landscape: Which
9
10 360 acoustic index best describes landscape configuration? *Ecol. Ind.* **58** 207-15
11
12
13 361 Gage S H, Napoletano B M, Cooper M C 2001 Assessment of ecosystem biodiversity by acoustic
14
15 362 diversity indices. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **109** 2430
16
17
18
19 363 Gaveau D L, Salim M A, Hergoualc'h K, Locatelli B, Sloan S, Wooster M, et al 2014 Major
20
21 364 atmospheric emissions from peat fires in Southeast Asia during non-drought years: evidence from
22
23 365 the 2013 Sumatran fires *Sci. Rep.* **4** 06112
24
25
26 366 Gorissen L, Snoeijs T, Van Duyse Els, Eens M. 2005 Heavy metal pollution affects dawn singing
27
28 367 behaviour in a small passerine bird *Oecologia* **145** 504-9
29
30
31
32 368 Hardy C C, Ottmar R D, Peterson J L, Core J E and Seamon P 2001 Smoke management guide
33
34 369 for prescribed and wildland fire
35
36 370 (https://www.fs.fed.us/pnw/pubs/journals/pnw_2001_ottmar001.pdf)
37
38
39
40 371 Harrison M E, Boonman A, Cheyne S M, Husson S J, Marchant N C and Struebig M J 2012
41
42 372 Biodiversity monitoring protocols for REDD+: Can a one-size-fits-all approach really work? *Trop.*
43
44 373 *Conserv. Sci.* **5** 1-11
45
46
47 374 Harrison M E, Capilla B R, Thornton S A, Cattau M E and Page S E 2016 Impacts of the 2015 fire
48
49 375 season on peat-swamp forest biodiversity in Indonesian Borneo Peatlands in Harmony -
50
51
52 376 Agriculture, Industry & Nature: Oral Presentations. Proceedings of the 15th International Peat
53
54 377 Congress, 15-19 August 2016, Sarawak, Malaysia. International Peat Society and Malaysian Peat
55
56 378 Society, Kuching, Malaysia pp 713-17
57
58
59 379 Huijnen V, Wooster M J, Kaiser J W, Gaveau D L A, Flemming J, Parrington M et al. 2016 Fire
60
380 carbon emissions over maritime Southeast Asia in 2015 largest since 1997. *Sci. Rep.* **6** 26886

- 1
2 381 Isaksson C 2010 Pollution and its impact on wild animals: A meta-analysis on oxidative stress.
3
4 382 EcoHealth **7** 342-50
5
6
7
8 383 Ji W, Joo W, Napoletano B, Qi J, Gage SH, Biswas S. 2007 Soundscape characteristics of an
9
10 384 environment A new ecological indicator of ecosystem health. In: Ji W, editor. Wetland and water
11
12 385 resource modeling and assessment: A watershed perspective. 1st ed. (USA: CRC Press) pp 201-
13
14 386 11
15
16
17 387 Kimura R, Traber L D, Herndon D N, Linares H A, Lubbesmeyer H J and Traber D L 1988
18
19 388 Increasing duration of smoke exposure induces more severe lung injury in sheep. J. Appl. Physiol.
20
21
22 389 **64** 1107-13
23
24
25 390 Kunii O, Kanagawa S, Yajima I, Hisamatsu Y, Yamamura S, Amagai T, et al 2002 The 1997 haze
26
27 391 disaster in indonesia: Its air quality and health effects. Arch. Environ. Health **5** 16-22
28
29
30
31 392 McNamara J M, Barta Z, Klaassen M and Bauer S 2011 Cues and the optimal timing of activities
32
33 393 under environmental changes. Ecol. Lett. **14** 1183-90
34
35
36 394 National Environment Agency 2016 Historical PSI readings (<http://www.haze.gov.sg/haze->
37
38 395 [updates/historical-psi-readings](http://www.haze.gov.sg/haze-))
39
40
41
42 396 Pekin B K, Jung J, Villanueva-Rivera L J, Pijanowski B C and Ahumada J A 2012 Modeling
43
44 397 acoustic diversity using soundscape recordings and LIDAR-derived metrics of vertical forest
45
46 398 structure in a neotropical rainforest. Landsc. Ecol. **27** 1513-22
47
48
49 399 Pieretti N, Farina A and Morri D 2011 A new methodology to infer the singing activity of an avian
50
51 400 community: The acoustic complexity index (ACI). Ecol. Ind. **11** 868-73
52
53
54
55 401 Pieretti N and Farina A 2013 Application of a recently introduced index for acoustic complexity to
56
57 402 an avian soundscape with traffic noise. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. **134** 891-900
58
59
60 403 Pijanowski B C, Farina A, Gage S H, Dumyahn SL and Krause BL 2011 What is soundscape
404 ecology? An introduction and overview of an emerging new science. Landsc. Ecol. **26** 213-32

- 1
2 405 Pijanowski B C, Villanueva-Rivera L J, Dumyahn S L, Farina A, Krause B L, Napoletano B M, et al
3
4 406 2011 Soundscape ecology: The science of sound in the landscape. *Bioscience* **61** 203-16
5
6
7
8 407 Pye J D 1971 Bats and fog. *Nature* **229** 572-4
9
10
11 408 Robbins C S Bird activity levels related to weather. In: Ralph CJ, Scott JM, editors. Estimating
12
13 409 numbers of terrestrial birds. 1st ed. USA: Cooper Ornithological Society; 1981. pp. 301-10.
14
15
16 410 Robson C 2008 A field guide to the birds of Southeast Asia (United Kingdom: New Holland
17
18 411 Publishers)
19
20
21
22 412 Sueur J, Farina A, Gasc A, Pieretti N and Pavoine S 2014 Acoustic indices for biodiversity
23
24 413 assessment and landscape investigation. *Acta Acust. United Acust.* **100** 772-81
25
26
27 414 Sueur J, Pavoine S, Hamerlynck O and Duvail S 2008 Rapid acoustic survey for biodiversity
28
29 415 appraisal. *PloS One* **3** e4065
30
31
32
33 416 Tacconi L 2016 Preventing fires and haze in Southeast Asia. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **6** 640-3
34
35
36 417 Towsey M, Wimmer J, Williamson I and Roe P 2014 The use of acoustic indices to determine
37
38 418 avian species richness in audio-recordings of the environment. *Ecol. Inform.* **21** 110-9
39
40
41
42 419 Villanueva-Rivera L J, Pijanowski B C, Doucette J and Pekin B 2011 A primer of acoustic analysis
43
44 420 for landscape ecologists. *Landsc. Ecol.* **26** 1233-46
45
46
47 421 Villanueva-Rivera L J, Pijanowski B C 2013 R package 'soundecology'
48
49
50
51 422 World Health Organization 2016 WHO global urban ambient air pollution database.
52
53 423 (http://www.who.int/phe/health_topics/outdoorair/databases/cities/en/)
54
55
56 424 World Bank. The cost of fire: An economic analysis of Indonesia's 2015 fire crisis 2016
57
58 425 (<http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/776101467990969768/pdf/103668-BRI-Cost-of-Fires->
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

426 Knowledge-Note-PUBLIC-ADD-NEW-SERIES-Indonesia-Sustainable-Landscapes-Knowledge-
427 Note.pdf.)

428 Zvereva E and Kozlov M 2010 Responses of terrestrial arthropods to air pollution: A meta-analysis
429 Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int. **17** 297-311