

Kent Academic Repository

Full text document (pdf)

Citation for published version

Wójs, Arkadiusz and Sreejith, G J and Möller, Gunnar and Töke, C and Jain, J K (2011) Composite Fermion Description of the Excitations of the Paired Pfaffian Fractional Quantum Hall State. Acta Physical Polonica A, 120 (5). pp. 839-842. ISSN 0587-4246.

DOI

<https://doi.org/10.12693/APhysPolA.120.830>

Link to record in KAR

<http://kar.kent.ac.uk/55570/>

Document Version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version.

Users are advised to check <http://kar.kent.ac.uk> for the status of the paper. **Users should always cite the published version of record.**

Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact:

researchsupport@kent.ac.uk

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at <http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html>

Composite fermion description of topological degeneracy and non-Abelian statistics in fractional quantum Hall systems

A. WÓJS^a, G. J. SREEJITH^b, G. MÖLLER^c, C. TÓKE^d, N. R. COOPER^c, AND J. K. JAIN^b

^aInstitute of Physics, Wrocław University of Technology, Wyb. Wyspiańskiego 27, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland

^bDepartment of Physics, 104 Davey Lab, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

^cTCM Group, Cavendish Laboratory, J. J. Thomson Avenue, Cambridge CB3 0HE, United Kingdom

^dInstitute of Physics, University of Pécs, 7624 Pécs, Hungary

We review the recently developed bi-partite composite fermion model, in the context of so-called Pfaffian incompressible quantum liquid with fractional and non-Abelian quasiparticle statistics, a promising model for describing the correlated many-electron ground state responsible for fractional quantum Hall effect at the Landau level filling factor $\nu = 5/2$. We use the concept of composite fermion partitions to demonstrate the emergence of an essential ingredient of the non-Abelian braid statistics – the topological degeneracy of spatially indistinguishable configurations of multiple widely separated (non-interacting) quasiparticles.

PACS: 73.43.-f, 05.30.Pr, 71.10.Pm

1. Introduction

The fractional quantum Hall effect [1] is a macroscopic manifestation of the formation of a new phase of matter in quasi-two-dimensional systems of interacting electrons exposed to a high perpendicular magnetic field B [2]. The phenomenon consists of the simultaneous quantization of the perpendicular (Hall) resistivity ρ_{xy} and the vanishing of the longitudinal resistivity ρ_{xx} . It occurs when electrons fill a particular fraction $\nu_n = 1/3, 2/5, \dots$, of a massively degenerate Landau level (labeled by $n=0, 1, \dots$), which is effectively decoupled from all other levels because of the large cyclotron energy separation $\hbar\omega_c \propto B$, exceeding the characteristic interaction (Coulomb) energy $e^2/\lambda \propto B^{1/2}$, defined in terms of magnetic length scale $\lambda = (\hbar c/eB)^{1/2}$.

The family of incompressible electron liquids occurring in the lowest Landau level ($n=0$) are well understood in terms of “composite fermions” (CFs) [3]. These novel particles are defined as bound states of electric charge and magnetic flux – i.e., as bound states electrons and an even number $2p$ of magnetic flux quanta $\phi_0 = \hbar c/e$, moving in an appropriately reduced effective magnetic field $B^* = B - 2p\phi_0\zeta$, where ζ is the electron concentration (simply connected to the filling factor via $\nu B = \phi_0\zeta$ or $\nu = 2\pi\lambda^2\zeta$), and interacting through greatly reduced residual forces. The effective field B^* can be converted to an effective filling factor of the composite fermions, $\nu^* = (\nu^{-1} - 2p)^{-1}$. More precisely, the composite fermions are thought of as bound states of the electrons and pairs of vortices of the many-electron wave function, which (for N electrons in the lowest Landau level of degeneracy $N_\phi = \Phi/\phi_0$, where $\Phi = BA$ is the total magnetic flux through a sample of area A , in the symmetric gauge) has the form of an anti-symmetric polynomial in the complex electronic coordinates $z = x + iy$, of a fixed degree N_ϕ , multiplied by a symmetric exponential tail. The emergence of weakly interacting composite fermions in a system of strongly interacting quasi-two-dimensional electrons in the Hilbert space severely restricted (as a result of the single-particle Landau quantization) to an isolated Landau level is thus equivalent to specifying a particular form of electronic correlation, given by a simple Jastrow prefactor of power $2p$ in the many-electron wave function.

The composite fermion theory gives an elegant and intuitive understanding of the – otherwise completely unexpected – incompressibility of electrons observed experimentally at a (universal) series of filling factors $\nu = q/(2pq+1)$, in terms of the essentially non-interacting composite fermions filling an integral number $\nu^* = q$ of Landau levels, and thus forming a unique ground state separated from the continuum of excitations by an effective composite fermion cyclotron gap $\hbar\omega_c^*$. The two kinds of quasiparticles – positive quasiholes (QHs) and negative quasielectrons (QEs), formed in an underlying incompressible electron liquid as a result of insertion or removal of a magnetic flux quantum, correspond to the vacancies in the otherwise full $n^* = q$ composite fermion level or to the few composite fermions in the otherwise empty level $n^* = q+1$. Furthermore, the collective neutral modes correspond to excitonic states of QE+QH pairs.

The accuracy of the composite fermion predictions is illustrated in Figs. 1 and 2, showing several examples of energy spectra, calculated on a sphere [4] for fairly large finite systems representing a selection of different filling factors ν . In this geometry, electrons are confined to the surface of a unit sphere, Coulomb interaction potential is taken proportional to the inverse chord distance, and the radial magnetic field is produced by a Dirac monopole of the quantized strength $\Phi = 2Q \hbar c/e$, yielding the magnetic length $\lambda = Q^{-1/2}$ (relative to the unit radius) and the Landau level degeneracy of $2Q+1$ (n th Landau level appearing in the form of an angular momentum shell with $\ell = Q + n$). The many-electron spectra are then obtained in the form of dependence of (Coulomb) energy E as a function of total orbital angular momentum L (the conserved orbital quantum number on a sphere).

The composite fermion theory also predicts the exact form of the many-electron wave-functions (appropriate polynomials) describing both the ground states and their excitations. The composite fermion wave-functions are generally constructed as

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{LLL}} \mathcal{D}^{2p} \Psi_q \equiv \mathcal{P}_{\text{LLL}} \prod (z_i - z_j)^{2p} \Psi_q(z_1, z_2, \dots) \quad (1)$$

where Ψ_q is a Slater determinant many-fermion wave-function describing q filled Landau levels, possibly with a number of additional vacancies in the level q and/or particles in the level $q+1$, \mathcal{D}^{2p} is the Jastrow correlation

factor, and \mathcal{P}_{LLL} projects the wave function into the lowest Landau level. The exponential tail $\exp(-\Sigma(|z_i|/2\lambda)^2)$ is identical for each polynomial, so it is omitted here for simplicity. Such wave-functions were used in Figs. 1 and 2 for comparison with the exact eigenstates of the Coulomb interaction.




Fig. 1. Demonstration of the accuracy of the composite fermion prediction of the Coulomb energy spectra in the partially filled lowest Landau level, calculated in the spherical geometry for fairly large numbers of electrons N and the magnetic monopole strengths $2Q$ corresponding to the indicated filling factors $\nu = 1/3$ (here, two different sizes; only the lowest states shown at each angular momentum L for $N=13$), $2/5$, and $3/7$, corresponding to (respectively) $q = 1, 2$, and 3 Landau levels filled by the composite fermions each carrying $2p=2$ magnetic flux quanta. The darker dots are the Coulomb eigenenergies (obtained from exact numerical diagonalization of the N -body Coulomb hamiltonians) and the brighter ones are the average Coulomb energies of the corresponding composite fermion wavefunctions. The states shown with larger dots are the nondegenerate ($L=0$) ground states and the “magneto-roton” collective modes (with $L>0$ representing quantized wave vectors). The pairs of numbers indicated for each ground state are the (exact Coulomb and composite fermion trial) correlation energies per particle, obtained from E/N by subtraction of the electrostatic contribution from the charge compensating background, $(Ne)^2/2$.

The accumulated wealth of successful tests (numerical and experimental) have established composite fermions as a secure framework of our understanding of fractional quantum Hall effect in the lowest Landau level.

2. Topological degeneracy, non-Abelian statistics

The quasiparticles of incompressible electron liquids in the lowest Landau level, which in the composite fermion theory are represented by the vacancies or particles in the almost full or almost empty composite fermion levels have fractional charge excess or deficiency associated with them ($\pm e/q$) and obey Abelian braid statistics. More exotic many-electron wave-functions, describing hypothetical ground states with quasiparticle excitations obeying non-Abelian braid statistics, have been proposed within the conformal field theories corresponding to the sets of fields with multi-valued fusion rules. The simplest one is called the Z_2 or Ising theory, which contains one boson “vacuum” field $\mathbf{1}$, one fermion “electron” field ψ ,

and an additional half-fermion “vortex” field σ , with the following set of fusion rules [5]:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi \times \psi &= \mathbf{1}, \\ \sigma \times \psi &= \sigma, \\ \sigma \times \sigma &= \mathbf{1} + \psi,\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

which say that a pair of fermions is equivalent to a boson, and a vortex with a fermion together behave as a vortex, but two vortices may fuse either to a boson or a fermion, giving rise to a multiply degenerate space for states with specified positions of vortices. This topological degeneracy first occurs for as many as four vortices, which together can fuse to a boson in two distinct ways – either fusing in pairs to two fermions or to two bosons:

$$\mathbf{1} = (\sigma \times \sigma) \times (\sigma \times \sigma) = \mathbf{1} \times \mathbf{1} + \psi \times \psi. \quad (3)$$

Such topological degeneracy, allowing for multiple quantum states representing an identical spatial configuration of four (or more) vortices, opens a possibility for non-Abelian braid statistics. Indeed, the braids in such a multi-dimensional subspace of identical configurations would require multi-dimensional (matrix) representation, which is inherently non-commutative.

The physical realization of the non-Abelian anyon braid statistics is an exciting prospect on its own conceptual grounds. However, it has also received attention because of a proposal [6] to employ the topologically degenerate states and their braiding in the encoding and manipulation of quantum information which, due to the inherent protection of such qubits from any local disturbances (such as phonons or nuclear spins), would have immunity from decoherence.




Fig. 2. Same as Fig. 1, but for the composite fermions carrying $2p=4$ magnetic flux quanta, corresponding to the filling factors $\nu = 1/5, 2/7$, and $2/9$, or (respectively) to $q = 1, -2$, and 2 composite fermion Landau levels (negative q means that the effective magnetic flux $2Q^*$ is oriented opposite to the original flux $2Q$).

3. Pfaffian many-electron wave function

The simplest many-electron wave-function emerging from the Ising conformal field theory is the so-called Pfaffian state [7] describing a particular form of electron correlation in a half-filled Landau level ($\nu=1/2$):

$$\Psi_{\text{Pf}} = \mathcal{D}^2 \text{Pf} \left(\frac{1}{z_i - z_j} \right) \equiv \prod (z_i - z_j)^2 \text{Pf} \left(\frac{1}{z_i - z_j} \right) \quad (4)$$

where $\text{Pf}(a_{ij})$ denotes the Pfaffian of a skew-symmetric, even-dimensional matrix a . Writing it explicitly up to the normalization constant,

$$\text{Pf}(a_{ij}) \equiv \mathbf{A}(a_{12} a_{34} a_{56} \dots) \quad (5)$$

where the operator \mathbf{A} denotes anti-symmetrization over all indices. It is clear that Ψ_{Pf} describes a *paired* state of *composite fermions* (as ensured by the Pfaffian and Jastrow factors, respectively).

The above Pfaffian wave-function represents a unique zero-energy ground state of a particular three-body model Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_{Pf} which in real space take the form of the three-body contact repulsion and inside a Landau level corresponds to a simple triplet pseudopotential with the sole non-vanishing (positive) coefficient at the minimum allowed three-body relative angular momentum, $m=3$. (This is reminiscent of Laughlin $\nu=1/3$ state – a unique zero-energy ground state of the pair contact repulsion.)

Quasiholes are created in the Pfaffian ground state in pairs for each additional flux quantum, and thus each of them carries a fractional charge $e/4$. They offer a particular example of non-Abelian anyons. To be precise, the space of configurations of $2K$ localized and distant quasiholes is 2^{K-1} -fold degenerate, as can be predicted from the Ising fusion rules (2).

These features are best illustrated in the exact energy spectra of the three-body repulsion \mathcal{H}_{Pf} , as shown on two examples in Fig. 3. On a sphere, the Pfaffian ground state occurs at $2Q = 2N-3$, as shown in frame (a) for $N=14$. Increasing flux by one, to $2Q = 2N-2$, produces a pair of Pfaffian quasiholes which (not shown) results in a band of zero-energy states at $L = N/2, N/2-2, \dots$, consistent with the addition rule for two equal angular momenta. And inserting two flux quanta to the ground state, to $2Q = 2N-1$ (b), produces a large number of zero-energy states corresponding to four quasiholes, whose counting is not consistent with Abelian statistics, instead showing an additional topological (exchange) degeneracy.




Fig. 3. The low-energy spectra of the model three-body “contact repulsion” Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_{Pf} calculated by exact diagonalization on a sphere at two different combinations of the fermion number N and magnetic flux $2Q$, corresponding to (a) the Pfaffian $\nu=1/2$ ground state, and (b) and two additional magnetic flux quanta inserted to such a ground state. The green labels in (b) give the degeneracy of zero-energy levels interpreted as four non-Abelian anyon quasiholes in the Pfaffian state of $N=12$ fermions, consistent with the prediction of the underlying Ising model.

The interpretation of the Pfaffian wave-function (4) in terms of composite fermion pairing can be expressed rather intuitively in form of the following sequence of remarkable (though yet unproven) emergences:

(i) As a result of Coulomb repulsion within a Landau level, each electron captures two vortices to become a composite fermion.

(ii) Because the Landau level is half-filled, the effective magnetic field B^* vanishes, and the composite fermions

form a two-dimensional, spin-polarized (due to Zeeman effect governed by the original field B) Fermi sphere.

(iii) In the absence of a single-particle energy gap, the residual interaction among the composite fermions may (depending on sign) cause instability of the Fermi sphere through the Cooper pairing of the composite fermions.

(iv) The energy gap for breaking a pair in the resulting composite fermion superfluid causes incompressibility and fractional quantum Hall effect.

4. Pfaffian state in a half-filled Landau level

The key question is whether the Pfaffian state (with its exotic excitations) provides a valid description of the Coulomb ground state. The fractional quantum Hall measurements at $\nu=1/2$ (i.e., at half-filling of the lowest Landau level) are consistent with a compressible phase, confirmed to be the Fermi sea of composite fermions. This suggests that the ground state is different from the Pfaffian, most likely because the residual composite fermion interaction invoked in the above point (iii) is insufficient to induce a pairing transition in the Fermi sea of composite fermions.




Fig. 4. The straightforward comparison of the Coulomb ground states in the half-filled second Landau level ($\nu = 5/2$) with the exact Pfaffian state, in the spherical geometry. Left: dependence of the squared overlaps on the electron number $N = 6$ to 20 , for the layer widths $w = 0$ and 3λ (three magnetic lengths). Right: comparison of the pair-correlation functions $g(r)$ for $N = 20$ and the flux $2Q = 2N - 3 = 37$. Evidently, positive identification of the $\nu = 5/2$ state with the Pfaffian state based solely on such simple analysis is highly problematic.

However, the analogous experiments at $\nu=5/2$ show clear signatures of quantized Hall effect [8]. This implies emergence of an incompressible ground state in the half-filled second ($n=1$) Landau level – the counting $\nu=2+1/2$ reflecting the double spin degeneracy of the filled lowest ($n=0$) level. The crucial question then becomes whether the $\nu=5/2$ quantum Hall state is equivalent to the Pfaffian state. Of course, the equivalence does not require that the actual Coulomb wave function be very close to the Pfaffian wave function (although that would definitely help). It would be sufficient to show adiabatic continuity between the two. This includes identity of such qualitative features as the spatial uniformity (non-degeneracy) and full spin polarization of the ground state, an open excitation gap, the particular electric charge ($\pm e/4$) and braid properties (non-Abelian Ising anyons) of elementary quasiparticle excitations, or the number of distinct collective modes. Further topologically protected features (preserved under continuous deformation) may include certain dynamical degeneracies, following from the fact of pairing or from fusion rules.

Note also that (strictly speaking) the polynomial form of the Pfaffian wave-function describes a many-electron state exclusively in the lowest Landau level. However, the problem of electrons in the second Landau level interacting with the Coulomb interaction can be mapped into that of electrons in the lowest Landau level with an effective interaction (using the concept of guiding-center positions), allowing an exact simulation of the $5/2$ problem in the lowest Landau level.

A comparison of the Pfaffian wave-function with the actual $\nu=5/2$ state (the Coulomb ground state in the half-filled second Landau level) is illustrated in Fig. 4, showing the overlaps (as a function of electron number N) and comparing the pair-correlation functions (for a fairly large system, with $N=20$).

5. Collective modes of the Pfaffian state

An important aspect of the Pfaffian dynamics is that if composite fermions indeed formed a p -wave paired state at $\nu=5/2$ then, in analogy to superconductivity, one can expect two kinds of collective modes: magneto-rotons (known from the fractional quantum Hall states in the lowest Landau level and representing excitons of composite fermions) and pair-breakers (characteristic of any paired state and representing decomposition of composite fermion pairs into the unpaired constituents), also referred to as “unpaired composite fermions” or “neutral fermions”. The dispersion of both these modes has been studied recently by exact diagonalization [9,10], in sufficiently large systems to identify a number of significant features. The examples of energy spectra for an even and odd electron number N , each one featuring one of the modes, are shown in Fig. 5.

When the low lying-states of the spectra obtained for different N and interpreted as either the magneto-roton or the pair-breaker collective excitations are plotted together as a function of the wave vector k (for the charge neutral modes moving along the great circles $k=L/R$ is trivially connected to the sphere radius $R=1$ and orbital angular momentum L), they fall on a pair of well-defined curves representing the pair of continuous energy dispersions.

As is shown in Fig. 6, which combines the discrete data points for $N=11$ to 19, the dispersions are very clear for the three-body Pfaffian Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_{Pf} (much less so for a pure Coulomb interaction \mathcal{H}_{C} , but rather similarly for its modified version \mathcal{H}_1 – both not shown). As it has been discussed in detail in the original paper [9], the two dispersions are markedly different at short wave vectors, but – remarkably – converge to the same value in the limit of large wave vector ($k\lambda > 2$). It turns out that this degeneracy is not accidental [11], instead being a crucial property of the universality class of paired composite fermion states represented by the Pfaffian wave function, and it will be explained in the following section.

6. Pair-breaker addition energy

The energy of the bottom of the pair-breaker dispersion shown in Fig. 6 defines the pair-breaker addition energy in the absence of other excitations, or the energy gap of the Pfaffian ground state for breaking composite fermion pairs. This gap is evidently non-zero, as required for an incompressible paired ground state. However, one of the remarkable consequences of the Ising fusion rules (2) is that a pair-breaker can be created at a zero energy cost in the presence of two widely separated quasiparticles. This

nontrivial prediction has been tested [9] for different model Hamiltonians used in Fig. 5, and indeed it has been confirmed even for the pure Coulomb repulsion – despite the lack of clearly developed pair-breaker dispersion in Fig. 5 or (not shown) of a clearly developed band of two-quasiparticle states for this realistic interaction.




Fig. 5. The energy spectra of an even and odd number of fermions, $N=16$ and 15 , on a sphere, at the Landau level degeneracy $N_\phi + 1$ corresponding to the magnetic flux $2Q \equiv N_\phi = 2N - 3$, for three different Hamiltonians: \mathcal{H}_{C} is the Coulomb interaction in the second Landau level ($n=1$), \mathcal{H}_1 is the same Coulomb interaction but with an additional enhancement of the leading pair pseudopotential (at $m=1$) by the value $\delta V_1 = 0.04 e^2/\lambda$ which maximizes the overlap of the ground state with the Pfaffian state, and \mathcal{H}_{Pf} is the three-body contact repulsion for which the exact Pfaffian state is a unique zero-energy ground state. The low-lying states at the angular momenta L predicted for the magneto-roton and pair-breaking excitations are connected with lines, and their squared overlaps with the corresponding eigenstates of \mathcal{H}_{Pf} are indicated. The emergence of the pair of dispersions is especially clear for \mathcal{H}_{Pf} and \mathcal{H}_1 . Graphs for $N=15$ were originally presented in Ref. [9].




Fig. 6. Continuous dispersions of the magneto-roton and pair-breaker (neutral fermion) collective excitations in the Pfaffian ground state, obtained by overlaying the discrete energy spectra of repulsive three-body Pfaffian Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_{Pf} for different fermion numbers N . k is the wave vector (proportional to angular momentum L). Similar graph was originally presented in Ref. [9].

The result of the calculation reported in [9] is replotted in Fig. 7, showing the independence of the 2-quasiparticle energy of the parity of the electron number N . It is worth mentioning is that the space of two quasipoles (formed at flux $2Q = 2N - 2$) or two quasielectrons (at $2Q = 2N - 4$) contains the states with all possible angular momenta L , corresponding to different average QH-QH or QE-QE distances d . However, as the connection between L and d

is affected by the presence of the neutral fermion, a direct comparison of the 2QP and 2QP+NF energies (or 2QE and 2QE+NF energies) is impossible. Hence, the energy E shown in Fig. 7 represents an average over all space (all L -multiplets, weighted by $2L+1$), thus including the configurations with both distant and nearby QHs (or QEs) alike. The lack of a significant parity effect in $E(N)$ points therefore not only to the vanishing of the neutral fermion addition energy in the presence of distant quasiparticles, but also to a rather small even/odd splitting for the nearby quasiparticles. In terms of the underlying Ising conformal field theory, the apparently nearly vanishing gap $E(N = \text{odd}) - E(N = \text{even})$ represents the splitting of the pair of possible fusion channels “1” and “ ψ ” in equation (2), for a given spatial configuration of the two vortices “ σ ”.




Fig. 7. The comparison of the total energies of a pair of quasiparticles (quasielectrons or quasiholes) created by insertion or removal of a single flux quantum to/from a state at the magnetic flux $2Q = 2N - 3$, with and without an additional neutral fermion (i.e., for an odd or even electron number N) for systems of different size and for different Hamiltonians of Fig. 5. The energies E have been averaged over all 2-quasielectron or 2-quasihole (and/or a neutral-fermion) states. The insensitivity of E to the parity of N , i.e., to the presence or absence of the quasiparticles, is consistent with the expectation of zero neutral-fermion addition energy in the presence of two distant (non-Abelian) quasiparticles. After Ref. [9].

7. Bi-partite composite fermion model

The above subtle features of the Pfaffian ground state and its excitation spectrum become almost self-evident in the recently proposed bi-partite composite fermion model [11,12]. It is straightforward to observe that the Pfaffian wave-function (4) can also be expressed as:

$$\Psi_{\text{Pf}} = \mathcal{A} \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)^3 \prod_{i < j} (w_i - w_j)^3 \prod_{i,j} (z_i - w_j) \quad (6)$$

where the total number of electrons N have been divided into two equally ($N/2$) populated partitions “ z ” and “ w ” (as in the “331” bilayer wave-function [13]) and \mathcal{A} is the anti-symmetrizing operator (under exchanges among all N fermions). The pairing nature of the above state clearly follows from the intra-partition correlations (defined by the Jastrow exponent “3”) being stronger than the inter-partition ones (with exponent “1”).

The above inter- or intra-partition correlations can be reproduced by an appropriate flux attachment procedure equivalent to a bi-partite composite fermion model with an effective magnetic field B^* acting on each composite fermion partition separately. For example, for a Pfaffian ground state on a sphere, which results for $2Q = 2N - 3$ and an even N , each partition senses the effective magnetic flux $2Q^* = 2Q - 2(N/2 - 1) - N/2 = N/2 - 1$, consistent with its exact filling by $N/2$ particles. In the following we shall also carefully examine application of this model to the Pfaffian excitations.

Let us allow for an arbitrary composite fermion number imbalance δ between the two partitions, thus introducing a pair of partition counts

$$N_{\pm} = \frac{N \pm \delta}{2} \quad (7)$$

such that $N_+ + N_- = N$ and $N_+ - N_- = \delta \geq 0$. The imbalance δ has the same parity as N , which can be either even or odd. The effective flux acting on each partition is

$$2Q_{\pm}^* = 2Q - 2(N_{\pm} - 1) - N_{\mp} \quad (8)$$

Near the Landau level half-filling we also define an offset s from the flux corresponding to the Pfaffian ground state

$$2Q = 2N - 3 + s \quad (9)$$

In attempt to identify the low-energy configurations, let us now consider a problem of filling two partitions “+” and “-” (of degeneracy $2Q_{\pm}^* + 1$) with N_+ and N_- composite fermions, respectively. Equation (8) can be conveniently rewritten in the following form

$$\eta_{\pm} \equiv 2Q_{\pm}^* + 1 - N_{\pm} = s \mp \delta \quad (10)$$


expressing the quasiparticle numbers η in both partitions as a function of the offset s and the partition imbalance δ (and independently of the electron number N or flux $2Q$). The convention is that positive and negative η count the quasiholes and quasielectrons, respectively.

It has been conjectured [11] that the eigenstates of the many-electron Pfaffian Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_{Pf} (and, possibly, also of a more realistic Hamiltonian yielding equivalent dynamics) are ordered in their interaction energy E with respect to the total cyclotron energy of the corresponding configuration of composite fermions, denoted by E^* . In particular, the $E = 0$ states of \mathcal{H}_{Pf} will be represented by the configurations with all composite fermions remaining in the lowest Landau levels in their respective partitions which, according to (10), requires


$$\delta \leq s \quad (11)$$

Let us consider each value of s separately. The composite fermion energy E^* will be measured in the units of the effective cyclotron energy $\hbar\omega_c^*$ and counted from $N/2$, so that $E = 0$ corresponds to $E^* = 0$.


For $s = 0$, the zero-energy ($E = 0$) condition (10) admits a single partition imbalance $\delta = 0$, for which $\eta_+ = \eta_- = 0$, implying that in each partition the lowest Landau level is exactly filled by $N_+ = N_- = N/2$ composite fermions. This yields a unique (Pfaffian) state at $L = 0$, which can be pictured as:



For $s = 1$, the $E = 0$ states are possible for two distinct partition choices, corresponding to the imbalance values $\delta = 0$ and 1. They all represent a pair of quasiholes in the Pfaffian ground state. For $\delta = 0$, equation (10) yields $\eta_+ = \eta_- = 1$, and the quasiholes appear in different partitions, which is pictured as:



For $\delta = 1$, we get $\eta_+ = 0$ and $\eta_- = 2$, with both quasiholes appearing in the same (“-”) partition, pictured as:




The possible values of angular momentum L result from addition rule for pairs of identical (composite) fermions, with the angular momenta of individual quasiholes given by $\ell_{\pm} = Q_{\pm}^*$. The result is $L = L_{\text{MAX}} - 2j$, with $j = 0, 1, \dots$

enumerates the pair states and $L_{\text{MAX}} = N/2$ and $(N-1)/2$ for $\delta = 0$ and 1, respectively.


As δ and N always have equal parities, the two kinds of $E = 0$ configurations (distinguished by the imbalance $\delta = 0$ or 1; for the particular offset $s = 1$, all representing two Pfaffian quasiholes) require different parities of N . Thus, for a fixed parity of N , there is only one kind of the $E = 0$ configuration, with all states distinguished by an orbital quantum number L . This is consistent with the lack of topological degeneracy for two Pfaffian quasiholes.

For $s = 2$, three distinct $E = 0$ configurations can have $\delta = 0, 1$, or 2. All of them contain four Pfaffian quasiholes. Importantly, the two corresponding to the even δ arise for the same (even) parity of N . Let us look at them in detail.

For $\delta = 0$, from equation (10) we find $\eta_+ = \eta_- = 2$, with two quasiholes appearing in each partitions, pictured as:




For $\delta = 2$, we have $\eta_+ = 0$ and $\eta_- = 4$, with all quasiholes placed in the same (“-”) partition, pictured as:




Again, the allowed total angular momenta L are can be understood by applying addition rules to four quasiholes with appropriate individual angular momenta $\ell = Q^*$. We will not list here the whole spectrum, but it is important to observe that in a sufficiently large system the small values of L corresponding to a large average quasihole separation will repeat for $\delta = 0$ and 2. Hence, an identical spatial configuration of four quasiholes in a system with well-defined N and $2Q$ can be represented by two distinct quantum states – here distinguished by the imbalance δ . This is pleasingly consistent with the known emergence of the topological degeneracy in a system of four Pfaffian quasiholes, implied by the Ising fusion rules (2).

The states corresponding to two or four quasielectrons can be identified analogously as the configurations with the minimum E^* (except they no longer can have $E^* = 0$). For example, for $s = -1$, the minimum composite fermion cyclotron energy $E^* = 2$ can be achieved in two ways. For $\delta = 0$, the two quasielectrons are evenly distributed between the partitions:




For $\delta = 1$, they both appear in the same partition:




By adding two individual quasielectron angular momenta $\ell = Q^* + 1$ (note that each quasielectron is represented by a composite fermion in the second Landau level) one can readily predict the allowed values of $L = L_{\text{MAX}} - 2j$ with $L_{\text{MAX}} = N/2$ and $(N-3)/2$ for $\delta = 0$ and 1, respectively. In contrast to two-quasihole states defined unambiguously in the spectra of \mathcal{H}_{pr} at $E = 0$, the two-quasielectron states cannot be confidently identified in the N -electron spectra because they all have $E > 0$. Nonetheless, for odd N , the value of $L_{\text{MAX}} = (N-3)/2$ indeed appears to agree with the spectra of \mathcal{H}_{pr} [9]. However, for even N , the value of $L_{\text{MAX}} = N/2$ seems too high [9] (for an unknown reason).

Let us now return to the previous case of $s = 0$ and look at the neutral modes. The corresponding states have $E^* = 1$; they are possible for two different partition choices.

For $\delta = 0$, they constitute the first excited band (above the $E^* = 0$ Pfaffian ground state), in the form of an inter-Landau-level exciton, with both the quasielectron and the quasihole placed in the same partition (either “-” or “+”; their equivalence is guaranteed by anti-symmetrization), which can be pictured as:



For $\delta = 1$, the $E^* = 1$ configurations constitute the lowest energy band, so one can use equation (10) to find $\eta_+ = -1$ and $\eta_- = 1$. This also corresponds to an inter-Landau-level exciton, but one with the quasielectron and the quasihole placed in different partitions (“+” and “-”, respectively), which can be pictured as:



The above pair of neutral modes with $\delta = 0$ and 1 have been identified as the magneto-roton and the pair-breaker, and understood [11] as the “ordinary” and “topological” [14] composite fermion excitons, respectively. The latter name reflects the involved change in the electron number parity, and the resulting protection from self-annihilation at a constant N . The topological exciton has been further identified with the unpaired Majorana composite fermion, added to or removed from a paired Pfaffian state [11].

The allowed angular momenta L of both excitons can also be predicted from angular momenta addition for the constituent (distinguishable) quasiparticles: $L = 2, 3, \dots, N/2$ for $\delta = 0$ and $L = 3/2, 5/2, \dots, N/2$ for $\delta = 1$ (except for the absence of $L = 1$ and $L = 1/2$ states which results in a non-trivial way from anti-symmetrization [11,15]).

Having introduced the composite fermion excitons, we can now revisit the many-quasiparticle states at $s \neq 0$. It can be readily observed that for a given total number of quasiparticles in the lowest-energy sector, $\eta_+ + \eta_- = 2s$, the transitions between different values of imbalance δ , corresponding to transferring quasiparticles between the two partitions, is realized by adding one kind of exciton and removing the other. In particular, the connection of four-quasiparticle configurations with the same parity of δ involves an even (but non-zero) number of topological excitons, which explains their topological distinction.

The bi-partite composite fermion model gives natural insight [11] into several known properties of the Pfaffian state, some of which have not been previously explained: (i) the odd-even effect [16] for the energy of a half-filled second Landau level as a function of the electron number N – associated with the gap to add an unpaired composite fermion (topological exciton) at odd N ; (ii) occurrence of two collective modes – understood as the ordinary and “trans” composite fermion excitons, and their degeneracy in the large wave-vector limit – corresponding to a large quasielectron-quasihole separation within the exciton; (iii) degeneracy of wide two-quasiparticle states that are different in the number of electrons N – connected by the quasiparticle transfer between the partitions; (iv) the Ising fusion rules – almost self-evident upon the identification of fields $\mathbf{1}$, ψ , and σ with the relevant composite fermion excitations: ordinary (cis-) exciton, topological (trans-) exciton, and a single quasiparticle, respectively.

The bi-partite composite fermion model has also been generalized [12] to other filling factors. For example, the states at $\nu = 2 + 4/7 = 18/7$ and $2 + 3/5 = 13/5$ have been accurately described by the bi-partite states with two and

three filled Landau levels in each partition, respectively. Importantly, these fractions are connected by the particle-hole conjugation (in the second Landau level) with $\nu = 2 + 3/7 = 17/7$ and $2 + 2/5 = 12/5$, at which the quantum Hall effect has been observed [17].

Convincing numerical evidence for the validity of the bi-partite composite fermion model has been discussed in detail previously [11,12]. Below, in Fig. 8, we present only a few examples of the energy spectra of \mathcal{H}_{Pf} and \mathcal{H}_{C} , for the N -electron systems representing filling factors $\nu = 1/2, 4/7$, and $3/5$ (corresponding to $q = 1, 2, 3$ filled Landau levels in each partition). Especially for \mathcal{H}_{Pf} description of both the ground states and the excited magneto-roton bands is remarkably accurate, and the issue of adiabatic continuity between \mathcal{H}_{Pf} the \mathcal{H}_{C} has been explored in Ref. [11].




Fig. 8. The energy spectra of N fermions on a sphere, with different interaction Hamiltonians: three-body contact repulsion (\mathcal{H}_{Pf}) and Coulomb repulsion in the second Landau level (\mathcal{H}_{C}). The Coulomb energies are quoted in the units of e^2/λ . In consecutive rows, the magnetic monopole strengths $2Q$ are chosen so as to yield $q = 1, 2, 3$ filled composite fermion Landau levels in the bi-partite model, corresponding to the indicated electron filling factors $\nu = 1/2$ (Pfaffian), $4/7$, and $3/5$. The labels at the low-energy states give their overlaps with the bi-partite composite fermion wave functions, whose average energies are also shown with bars. The numbers above each column of data points indicate the space dimension at a given L . After Ref. [12].

8. Conclusion

We have characterized the $\nu = 5/2$ fractional quantum Hall state formed in a half-filled second Landau, which is believed to realize the so-called ‘‘Pfaffian’’ wave function with non-Abelian quasiparticles. We have described the excitations of the Coulomb spectrum and demonstrated a qualitative signature of pairing in this state: the existence

of a well-defined mode of the ‘‘pair-breaking’’ excitations occurring for odd numbers of particles. We have further reviewed a recently developed intuitive model describing the particular form of pairing correlation in the partially filled second Landau level in terms of multiple partitions of non-interacting composite fermions. We have explored in detail the half-filled case ($\nu = 5/2$). In contrast to the conventional composite fermion picture of the Pfaffian phase which involves the formation of a paired composite fermion superfluid driven by a residual attraction in the absence of effective magnetic field, the bi-partite model employs division of free composite into partitions, in which they separately fill their respective Landau levels. As we have shown, this model explains in an elegant way several exotic properties of the Pfaffian phase, including the emergence of topological degeneracy of wide multi-quasiparticle configurations (an essential requirement for non-Abelian braid statistics).

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge financial support from the EU Marie Curie Grant No. PCIG09-GA-2011-294186 (A.W.), DOE – under Grant No. DE-SC0005042 (G.J.S. and J.K.J.), Trinity Hall Cambridge (G.M.), the Bolyai Fellowship of the Hungarian Academy Sciences (C.T.), and EPSRC – under EP/F032773/1 (N.R.C.). The calculations have been carried out in Penn State Research Computing and Cyberinfrastructure and Wroclaw Centre for Networking and Supercomputing.

References

- [1] D. C. Tsui, H. L. Stormer, and A. C. Gossard, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48**, 1559 (1982).
- [2] R. B. Laughlin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**, 1395 (1983).
- [3] J. K. Jain, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63**, 199 (1989).
- [4] F. D. M. Haldane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51**, 605 (1983).
- [5] A. Stern, *Ann. Phys.* **323**, 204 (2008); C. Nayak, S. H. Simon, A. Stern, M. Freedman, and S. Das Sarma, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 1083 (2008).
- [6] A. Yu. Kitaev, *Ann. Phys.* **303**, 2 (2003); S. Das Sarma, M. Freedman, and C. Nayak, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 166802 (2005).
- [7] G. Moore and N. Read, *Nucl. Phys. B* **360**, 362 (1991); M. Greiter, X.-G. Wen, and F. Wilczek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66**, 3205 (1991); N. Read and D. Green, *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 10267 (2000).
- [8] R. Willett, J. P. Eisenstein, H. L. Stormer, D. C. Tsui, A. C. Gossard, and J. H. English, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59**, 1776 (1987); M. Dolev, M. Heiblum, V. Umansky, A. Stern, and D. Mahalu, *Nature* **452**, 829 (2008); C. R. Dean, B. A. Piot, P. Hayden, S. Das Sarma, G. Gervais, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 146803 (2008).
- [9] G. Möller, A. Wójs, N. R. Cooper, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 036803 (2011).
- [10] P. Bonderson, A. E. Feiguin, and C. Nayak, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 186802 (2011).
- [11] G. J. Sreejith, A. Wójs, and J. K. Jain, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 136802 (2011).
- [12] G. J. Sreejith, C. Töke, A. Wójs, and J. K. Jain, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 086806 (2011).
- [13] B. I. Halperin, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **56**, 75 (1983).

- [14] T. H. Hansson, M. Hermanns, and S. Viefers, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 165330 (2009); T. H. Hansson, M. Hermanns, N. Regnault, and S. Viefers, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 166805 (2009).
- [15] G. Dev and J. K. Jain, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 2843 (1992).
- [16] H. Lu, S. Das Sarma, and K. Park, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 201303 (2010).
- [17] W. Pan, J. Xia, V. Shvarts, D. Adams, H. Stormer, D. Tsui, L. Pfeiffer, K. Baldwin, and K. West, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 3530 (1999); J. S. Xia, W. Pan, C. L. Vicente, E. D. Adams, N. S. Sullivan, H. L. Störmer, D. C. Tsui, L. N. Pfeiffer, K. W. Baldwin, and K. W. West, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 176809 (2004); H. C. Choi, W. Kang, S. Das Sarma, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 081301(R) (2008).