

Prevalence of Heart Failure and Atrial Fibrillation in Minority Ethnic Subjects: The Ethnic-Echocardiographic Heart of England Screening Study (E-ECHOES)

Paramjit S. Gill^{1*}, Melanie Calvert¹, Russell Davis², Michael K. Davies³, Nick Freemantle⁴, Gregory Y. H. Lip²

1 Primary Care Clinical Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom, **2** University of Birmingham Centre for Cardiovascular Sciences City Hospital, Birmingham, United Kingdom, **3** University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, United Kingdom, **4** Primary Care and Population Health, University College London, London, United Kingdom

Abstract

Background: Limited data exists on the prevalence of heart failure amongst minority groups in the UK. To document the community prevalence and severity of left ventricular systolic dysfunction, heart failure, and atrial fibrillation, amongst the South Asian and Black African -Caribbean groups in the UK.

Methods and Results: We conducted a cross-sectional study recruiting from September 2006 to July 2009 from 20 primary care centres in Birmingham, UK. 10,902 eligible subjects invited, 5,408 participated (49.6%) and 5,354 had complete data (49.1%). Subjects had median age 58.2 years (interquartile range 51.0 to 70.0), and 2544 (47.5%) were male. Of these, 1933 (36.3%) had BMI >30 kg/m², 1,563 (29.2%) had diabetes, 2676 (50.0%) had hypertension, 307 (5.7%) had a history of myocardial infarction, and 104 (1.9%) had history of arrhythmia. Overall, 59 (1.1%) had an Ejection Fraction <40%, and of these 40 (0.75%) were NYHA class ≥2; 51 subjects (0.95%) had atrial fibrillation. Of the remaining 19 patients with an EF <40%, only 4 patients were treated with furosemide. A further 54 subjects had heart failure with preserved ejection fraction.

Conclusions: This is the largest study of the prevalence of left ventricular systolic dysfunction, heart failure and atrial fibrillation in under-researched minority communities in the UK. The prevalence of heart failure in these minority communities appears comparable to that of the general population but less than anticipated given the high rates of cardiovascular disease in these groups. Heart failure continues to be a major cause of morbidity in all ethnic groups and preventive strategies need to be identified and implemented.

Citation: Gill PS, Calvert M, Davis R, Davies MK, Freemantle N, et al. (2011) Prevalence of Heart Failure and Atrial Fibrillation in Minority Ethnic Subjects: The Ethnic-Echocardiographic Heart of England Screening Study (E-ECHOES). PLoS ONE 6(11): e26710. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0026710

Editor: Stefan Kiechl, Innsbruck Medical University, Austria

Received: July 25, 2011; **Accepted:** October 2, 2011; **Published:** November 16, 2011

Copyright: © 2011 Gill et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported by the British Heart Foundation (PG/05/036), Heart of Birmingham Teaching Primary Care Trust, and through the National Health Service R&D support funding (Primary Care Research Network-Central England). Primary Care Clinical Sciences is a member of the NIHR National School for Primary Care Research. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: Nick Freemantle and Gregory Lip have received funding for research, consulting and travel support from a range of companies which manufacture treatments for cardiovascular disease including Sanofi Aventis, Pfizer, Novo Nordisk, Medtronic and Astellas. This does not alter the authors' adherence to all the PLoS ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

* E-mail: p.s.gill@bham.ac.uk

Introduction

Heart failure (HF) is a major public health problem with global implications. The epidemiology of heart failure has been well characterised in the USA [1,2,3,4] and Europe [5,6] predominantly amongst the white population. Surveys in the United Kingdom (UK) and elsewhere report that 1–2% of the general population and 10–20% of the very elderly have HF [7,8,9]. However, limited data on ethnicity and heart failure are available outside North America and mainly amongst Black Americans. [10] Such information would inform healthcare provision as well as clinical management strategies, given the increasing number of ethnic minority groups in the UK. Further there is a need to increase data from minority groups in order to reduce racial and ethnic disparities in cardiovascular outcomes [11].

Heart failure directly accounts for 1.9% of total National Health Service (NHS) spending in the UK, with 69% of this being on hospitalisations, and indirectly (via long-term nursing care costs and secondary admissions) for a further equivalent of 2.0% of NHS expenditure [12]. Whilst there are well-established drug treatments for heart failure [1,13], ethnic groups may respond differently to these therapies. [14,15,16] Further a large primary care based study in the UK, the Echocardiographic Heart of England Screening (ECHOES) study, reported that the prevalence of symptomatic left ventricular systolic dysfunction (LVSD) in a predominantly White population aged 45 and older was 0.96% [7].

There were 4.6 million people (7.9%) from the Black and minority ethnic groups in the 2001 UK Census, and the Black African-Caribbean, Indian, Pakistani and Bangladeshi groups comprised 2%, 1.8%, 1.3%, 0.5% respectively [17]. Importantly,

cardiovascular morbidity and mortality are substantially higher amongst these ethnic groups than the White population. [17,18] The prevalence of HF amongst these UK minority ethnic groups is currently not known as these groups have been underrepresented in previous studies [10].

The objective of the Ethnic-Echocardiographic Heart of England Screening study (E-ECHOES) was to establish the community prevalence and severity of LVSD and HF amongst the South Asian (SA) and Black African-Caribbean (AC) ethnic groups in the UK. Further objectives were to assess the prevalence of atrial fibrillation, and the differences, if any, in heart failure risk factors between SA and AC ethnic populations.

Methods

Ethics Statement

This study complies with the Declaration of Helsinki and the Walsall Local Research Ethics Committee reviewed and approved the protocol (05/Q2708/45). Verbal and written consent was obtained from all participants.

Study population. The design and protocol of the E-ECHOES study has previously been published [19]. In brief, this was a cross-sectional population survey of a sample of SA (i.e. those originating from India, Pakistan or Bangladesh) and AC (i.e.

those originating from the Caribbean and sub-Saharan Africa) residents of Birmingham aged 45 years and over. The majority of the SA and AC groups in the UK reside in metropolitan areas particularly inner cities such as Birmingham [17].

Recruitment was undertaken from September 2006 to August 2009 from 20 primary care centres. This entailed a two-staged process with an initial sample of primary care centres known to have high proportion of these minority ethnic patients and then a sample using the practice age-sex register. As ethnic group collection is not routinely collected in primary care, we used multiple methods to identify the subjects. Potential SAs were identified using the Nam Pechan software based upon subject name and visual inspection by PSG [20]; and for AC subjects practice staff were consulted (see Figure 1). The general practitioner then reviewed the lists to ensure that only SA and AC subjects were included and excluded any whom they considered it inappropriate to approach; for example, due to terminal illness or dementia.

Potential subjects were mailed an invitation letter, a reminder and telephoned up to 3 times inviting them to participate in the study. All potentially eligible subjects were asked their ethnic group before booking an appointment.

Measurements. To maximise recruitment, we invited all eligible subjects to attend for an assessment at their local primary




Figure 1. Flow of participants through study.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0026710.g001

care centre. Data obtained included an interview-administered comprehensive questionnaire, full physical examination, ECG and echocardiogram. Interpreters were used as required. Self-reported diagnoses were confirmed with practice medical notes.

A resting 12 lead ECG was recorded and reported by the clinical research team, and a random sample was reported independently by 3 consultant cardiologists (RD, MD, GL). Echocardiography was performed within the general practice surgeries using a portable VIVID i machine (GE Healthcare, Chalfont St Giles, UK), Chamber dimensions were obtained from the parasternal windows, and the presence and degree of left ventricular hypertrophy noted. Left ventricular function was measured objectively using an area-length method from the apical four-chamber view. In cases where an objective measurement of left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was not possible, a qualitative assessment was made, that is, definite impairment (LVEF<40%), borderline (40–50%) and preserved (>50%), consistent with the investigators' normal clinical practice (RD, MD, GYHL). Valve disease was assessed semi-quantitatively and recorded, along with any other abnormalities. Parameters of diastolic function (mitral valve E:A ratio; E wave deceleration time; and isovolumic relaxation time, and Pulsed Wave Tissue Doppler studies of movement of the mitral valve annulus, with derivation of the E:e prime ratio) were also measured. Heart Failure, both systolic and with preserved ejection fraction (HFPEF), was defined using explicit criteria [13].

Quality control measures

The research team were given training at the start of the project on administering the questionnaire; performing physical examination, followed by an ECG and echocardiogram. The team followed written protocols that included a number of quality control checks, including re-reporting by senior cardiologists (RD, MD) of all abnormal echocardiograms and a sample of those reported by the research fellow as normal. Both RD and MD undertook quality assurance in the ECHOES study [7].

Sample Size and statistical analysis

Full details of the sample size and analysis plan have previously been provided in the E-ECHOES protocol paper [19]. Briefly, we aimed to recruit 3000 SA and 2000 AC patients. The precision of estimation of prevalence is dependent upon the number of subjects and the prevalence rate. The principal aims of this study were to estimate the prevalence of LVSD and heart failure, and atrial fibrillation, in the SA and AC populations.

Descriptive analyses were performed on all study variables, describing rates as percentages, and continuous variables as medians and lower and upper quartiles. Prevalence estimates are described with 95% confidence intervals. For the comparisons of heart failure rates by survey, a test for interaction was used and confidence intervals presented [21]. Descriptive data were compared to those from the ECHOES study where LVSD was assessed amongst a predominantly White population [7]. Due to the small number of cases, age-sex adjustment was not conducted.

Results

Subjects

Of 13,097 subjects screened, 10,902 were eligible and invited and 420 (3.2%) did not meet the study inclusion criteria. 6,506 (59.7% of those eligible and invited had an appointment booked of which 5,408 (49.6%) completing the screening process and 5,354 (%) having complete LVEF data (Figure 2).

Table 1 shows that for AC group, non-responders were likely to be younger males from less deprived areas in contrast SA non-

responders who were likely to be males from deprived areas. The conclusions are the same for those subjects *potentially* eligible (Table S1) for this study (i.e. those unable to participate due to being abroad; change of practice or ill health/disability).

Of those with complete LVEF data, 3442 (64.28%) were SA and 1912 (37.71%) were AC subjects. Subject demographics are summarised in Table 2. The median age of participants was 58.2 years (IQR, interquartile range: 51.0 to 70.0) of which 2810 (52.5%) were female. The average deprivation index was 58.2 for the whole cohort, with SA being lower (56.8) and AC being higher (64.0). The proportion of patients with hypertension or diabetes was relatively high in both groups (hypertension: 45.6% of SA and 57.9% of AC; diabetes 30.8% and 26.3%, respectively). Table S2 shows clinical characteristics of all subjects by ethnic group and ejection fraction.

Prevalence of Left-Ventricular Systolic Dysfunction and Symptomatic Heart Failure. In the whole cohort, 59 subjects (1.1%; 95% CI 0.84 to 1.42%) had an LVEF<40% (Table 3). Of these 40 had symptoms of dyspnoea with NYHA \geq 2. Of the remaining 19 patients with an LVEF<40% four patients were treated with furosemide, so presumably had had previous symptoms of heart failure.

In SA, 42 subjects (1.22%; 95% CI 0.88 to 1.65%) had an LVEF<40%, with mean age of 67.0 years (SD 9.9) of which 29 (69.05%) were male. In AC, 17 (0.89%; 95% CI 0.52 to 1.42%) had an LVEF<40% with a mean age of 72.4 years (SD 11.0), of which 15 (88.24%) were males. The prevalence of LVSD in the SA population is not statistically different from the prevalence observed in the AC population.

Table 4 shows that symptomatic heart failure occurred in 26 subjects with no underlying valve disease or atrial fibrillation.

Of the 40 patients with an LVEF<40% and NYHA \geq 2 25 (62.5%) were prescribed an ACE inhibitor and 18 (45%) were prescribed a beta-blocker. Of the 19 patients with an LVEF<40% but without symptoms four patients were treated with furosemide (3 patients 40 mg daily; 1 patient 160 mg daily). Table 3 shows that the prevalence of systolic heart failure is not statistically different between the SA and AC groups.

Point prevalence of atrial fibrillation

Overall, 51 subjects (0.95%; 0.71 to 1.25%) had atrial fibrillation: 26 (1.36%; 95% CI 0.89 to 1.99%) AC patients had evidence of atrial fibrillation with a mean CHADS2 score of 2.3 (SD 1.0) whilst 25 (0.73%; 95% CI 0.47 to 1.07%) SA patients had evidence of atrial fibrillation with a mean CHADS2 score of 2.5 (SD 1.4).

Left ventricular systolic dysfunction in high risk populations and a prior diagnosis of heart failure. Overall, 75 subjects (1.40%) reported a medical history of heart failure. Of the 59 patients identified with a LVEF<40%, 23 (39.0%) had a prior diagnosis of heart failure. A further 52 patients reported heart failure of which 13 patients had an EF of 40 to 50% and the remaining 39 patients had an LVEF>50%.

Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (diastolic heart failure). Table 4 shows causes of heart failure with 54 subjects (AC 16 ; SA 38) classified with definite heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. The majority (37) had no underlying valve disease or atrial fibrillation. All patients with definite heart failure with preserved ejection fraction had NYHA \geq 2.

Discussion

In this first large community based epidemiological study, we have documented the prevalence of LVSD (SA: 1.22%; 95% CI 0.88 to 1.65%; AC: 0.89%; 95% CI 0.52 to 1.42%) and heart failure (SA: 0.81%; 95% CI 0.54 to 1.17%; AC: 0.63%; 95% CI




Figure 2. Study profile.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0026710.g002

0.32 to 1.09%) amongst UK minority ethnic groups. In addition, we report the first epidemiological data on the prevalence of atrial fibrillation in these subjects (0.95%; 95% CI 0.71 to 1.25%).

The overall prevalence (0.75%) of HF was similar to that documented in primary care for the general population in England (0.7%) [22]. It was surprising to note that this was not higher given that SAs living in the UK, have a 50% greater risk of dying prematurely from coronary heart disease than the general population. A reanalysis of the data from our own study of acute HF admissions to a UK hospital [23] had suggested that the relative risk of HF in those aged 60–79 years was 3.1 (95% CI 1.9–4.9) for AC, and 5.2 (95% CI 3.7–7.4) for SA. The present community study does not support this, with a lower prevalence of HF in both ethnic groups. The lower rates of LVSD and HF in our cohort could conceivably reflect higher rates of myocardial infarction fatality though recent observational studies suggest otherwise

[24,25]. Of note, the misdiagnosis of HF is a possibility, given the high number of patients with normal ejection fraction that had diagnosis of heart failure, but levels are broadly consistent with those seen in the predominantly white community study [7]. As the latter study was undertaken more than 10 years ago (between 1995–1999), there needs to be caution in comparing these data. Another plausible explanation is the reduction of cardiovascular risk factors during the past decade that has prevented the occurrence of HF amongst these groups [26]. Further, nearly two-third of the subjects were taking drugs such as diuretics and ACE inhibitors and prescription items for ACE inhibitors and AIIIRAs have been increasing that may potentially have alleviated progression to heart failure [27,28].

We found that the mean age of HF amongst SA and AC groups is similar to that amongst the White population [7]. In contrast, African Americans have been reported to have a higher

Table 1. Characteristics of responders and non-responders.

	Responders with Ejection Fraction data	Non responders	Difference in Means (95% CI)	P Value
South Asians (n,%)	3442(53.4)	3007(46.6)		
Age at initial contact (mean [SD], years)	59.4 (10.4)	59.5 (11.2)	-0.0009 (-0.0054 to 0.0037)	0.71
Index of Multiple Deprivation 2007 (mean [SD])	49.4 (15.8)	52.6 (13.9)	-0.017 (-0.020 to -0.0129)	<.0001
			Odds Ratio (95% CI)	
Male (n, %)	1690 (49.1%)	1566 (52.1%)	1.12 (1.02 to 1.24)	0.02
African Caribbean (n,%)	1912(42.9)	2541(57.1)		
Age at initial contact (mean [SD], years)	62.5 (12.0)	59.7 (12.2)	0.0158 (0.0106 to 0.021)	<.0001
Index of Multiple Deprivation 2007 (mean [SD])	53.4 (12.6)	52.7 (14.1)	0.0076 (0.0026 to 0.0126)	0.0029
			Odds Ratio (95% CI)*	
Male (n, %)	854 (44.7%)	1302 (51.2%)	1.34 (1.18 to 1.52)	<.0001

Univariate analyses with practice as random effects;

*Odds ratio for response: female compared to male.

Index of Multiple Deprivation 2007 Score: higher scores indicate increased deprivation. [<http://www.communities.gov.uk/communities/research/indicesdeprivation/>]. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0026710.t001

prevalence of HF than other groups and they present at a younger age [4]. The aetiology of HF is not known amongst the latter group and is unlikely to be due to coronary artery disease as in our study sample [29]. However, there is a higher prevalence of hypertension amongst the AC communities, which is a major cause of HFPEF or so-called 'diastolic dysfunction' [1]. This suggests that the HF epidemiology of US African Americans and UK AC populations may be different [30]. We also report for the first time prevalence estimates of HFPEF amongst these minority ethnic communities as assessed by robust Doppler criteria and observed that HFPEF appears higher amongst SA than the AC groups. Most management strategies have focussed on heart failure with LVSD and management of HFPEF remains elusive [1,30].

The prevalence of hypertension and diabetes in participants with LVSD appears higher in these 2 minority ethnic groups than in the White population (prevalence hypertension SA 78.6%; AC 76.5%; White British 39%; prevalence diabetes SA 40.5%; AC 58.8%; White 15%) [7]. The myocardial ischaemia rate, however, is comparable amongst the SA (54.8%) and White (53%) groups [7] and much lower amongst the AC group (41.2%). The latter is in line with data from the US where HF in Black Americans is less likely to be due to coronary heart disease than that in whites [1]. Galasko et al [31] showed that in a community sample that there was no difference in prevalence of LVSD between the white and non-white ethnic groups. However only 188 SA subjects were included with a higher cut-off LVEF<45%. It was also surprising to note the low numbers of HF associated with valve disease in our cohort given the burden of rheumatic heart disease in India [32] and our subjects migrating to the UK as young adults (median age 22 years for SA [IQR 17–30] and AC [IQR 16–28]).

Some data on HF in hospitalized ethnic groups are available from the UK. In a study conducted in Leicestershire involving 5789 consecutive patients [33], admission rates for HF were higher among SA patients than white patients. In this cohort, SA patients were younger and had more diabetes than white patients. In our analysis of acute admissions with HF to a district general hospital serving a multiracial population, we observed a higher *short term* (in-patient) mortality amongst the white European patients – with the mortality being 20.7% amongst Europeans, compared to 8.7% amongst AC, and 13.2% amongst SA – but the differences did not

reach statistical significance [34]. At 8 years' follow-up, the total mortality was 90.5% amongst whites and 87.0% amongst non-whites (Log Rank test, $p = 0.07$) where the non-white patients had significantly better survival at all time points until 6 years, after which the survival curves started to converge [34]. In a matched historical cohort study of patients hospitalized for HF from Leicestershire [35], SA patients had similar rates of prior coronary artery disease but more often had hypertension and diabetes. As well as methodological issues these studies were conducted in secondary care, and have focused on particular ethnic groups by aggregating the groups, thus masking the differences that exist between minority ethnic groups, particularly the SA category [36]. Further community-based studies documenting the incidence of heart failure are needed.

Atrial Fibrillation

The prevalence of AF was low in both ethnic groups, consistent with published small scale data. In the UK, one case-note review of known AF cases in 6 general practices, reported an AF prevalence of 0.6% [37], a figure consistent with our present observations from a large community screening study. Note that this does not include individuals with paroxysmal AF in sinus rhythm at the time of the investigation so may underestimate the true prevalence figure.

Strengths and Limitations of the study. Our study has several strengths: first it has a large sample of under-represented hard to reach groups [16] drawn from 20 centres increasing generalisability to these minority ethnic groups. Secondly, we used current guidelines on diagnosis of HF [13]. Thirdly, we used standard methods across both groups as in the previous study amongst the White population [7]. Fourthly, missing EF data was less than 1% in those having an echocardiogram.

There are potential limitations of our study. The response rate was low (49.6%), an increasingly common problem in epidemiological research, particularly amongst ethnic minority groups [38,39,40]. However this was comparable to similar heart failure community studies amongst minority [31] and white groups [7,8,41]. Indeed 6,506 (59.6%) make an appointment; 1,098 (16.9%) did not attend. (Fig. 2), 1,493 (26.7%) were confirmed to be no longer residing at the given address and the rest were not contactable despite the written and 3 telephone reminders. Further

Table 2. Clinical characteristics of all subjects by ethnic group.

	* SA Total (n = 3442)	AC Total (n = 1912)	p value
Age (mean [SD], years)	59.7 (10.4)	62.7 (12.0)	<0.0001
Male	1690 (49.10%)	854 (44.67%)	0.0019
Systolic blood pressure (Mean, SD, mm Hg)	139.51 (19.85)	144.32 (19.88)	<0.0001
Diastolic blood pressure (Mean, SD, mm Hg)	80.87 (10.91)	81.99 (10.79)	0.0003
Ever smoked	801 (23.27%)	826 (43.20%)	<0.0001
Consumes alcohol (occasionally or regularly)	552 (16.04%)	1306 (68.31%)	<0.0001
BMI Median (IQR)	27.56 (24.89 to 31.13)	28.99 (25.89 to 33.05)	
Index of Multiple Deprivation 2007 Median, IQR	54.74 (39.02 to 61.39)	57.93 (46.25 to 61.34)	<0.0001
New York Heart Association Class			<0.0001
I	154 (4.47%) [†]	82 (4.29%) [†]	0.7515
II	81 (2.35%)	42 (2.20%)	0.714
III	16 (0.46%)	8 (0.42%)	0.8075
IV	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	-
EQ-5D Median (IQR)	1 (0.848 to 1)	1 (1 to 1)	<0.0001
Hypertension	1570 (45.61%)	1106 (57.85%)	<0.0001
Angina	328 (9.53%)	87 (4.55%)	<0.0001
Myocardial infarction/ACS/Revascularisation (PCI/CABG)*	299 (8.69%)	64 (3.35%)	<0.0001
Heart Failure	46 (1.34%)	29 (1.52%)	0.5474
Diabetes	1060 (30.80%)	503 (26.31%)	0.0005
Peripheral artery disease	18 (0.52%)	26 (1.36%)	0.0015
Stroke/TIA	157 (4.56%)	67 (3.50%)	0.0554
ACE Inhibitors	818 (23.77%)	465 (24.32%)	0.6486
Diuretics	639 (18.56%)	616 (32.22%)	<0.0001
Beta-blockers	470 (13.65%)	236 (12.4%)	0.1741
Calcium Antagonists	613 (17.81%)	716 (37.45%)	<0.0001
Aspirin	1054 (30.62%)	563 (29.45%)	0.3691
Warfarin	35 (1.02%)	34 (1.78%)	0.0239
Digoxin	13 (0.38%)	13 (0.68%)	0.1077
Lipid regulating drugs	1483 (43.09%)	731 (38.23%)	0.0006

SA South Asian; AC African-Caribbean; BMI Body Mass Index, TIA Transient Ischaemic Attack; EQ-5D EuroQol 5D instrument;

[†]New York Heart Association Class reported only in those with cardiac disease;

*includes 1 Singapore subject with no heart failure.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0026710.t002

Table 3. Prevalence of Left Ventricular Systolic Dysfunction and Heart Failure (%).

	South Asian	Black African-Caribbean	All
	3442	1912	5354
EF (%)			
<40	42 (1.22%; 95% CI 0.88 to 1.65%)	17 (0.89%; 95% CI 0.52 to 1.42%)	59 (1.10%; 95% CI 0.84 to 1.42%)
40 to 50	41 (1.19%; 95% CI 0.86 to 1.61%)	16 (0.84%; 95% CI 0.48 to 1.36%)	57 (1.06%; 95% CI 0.81 to 1.38%)
>50	3359 (97.59%; 95% CI 97.02 to 98.07%)	1879 (98.27%; 95% CI 97.58 to 98.81%)	5238 (97.83%; 95% CI 97.41 to 98.21%)
LV Systolic Heart Failure			
EF <40 and NYHA ≥2	28 (0.81%; 95% CI 0.54 to 1.17%)	12 (0.63%; 95% CI 0.32 to 1.09%)	40 (0.75%; 95% CI 0.53 to 1.02%)

EF Ejection Fraction.

NYHA New York Heart Association.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0026710.t003

Table 4. Heart failure in relation to associated valve disease and/or atrial fibrillation.

	EF<40, NYHA≥2		HFPEF, NYHA≥2		Total	
	SA	AC	SA	AC	SA	AC
Sinus rhythm with no valve disease	20 (0.58%)	6 (0.31%)	27 (0.78%)	10 (0.52%)	47 (1.37%)	16 (0.84%)
Atrial fibrillation without significant valve disease	3 (0.09%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.05%)	3 (0.09%)	1 (0.05%)
Valve disease without AF	5 (0.15%)	4 (0.21%)	10 (0.29%)	4 (0.21%)	15 (0.44%)	8 (0.42%)
Atrial fibrillation and valve disease	0 (0%)	2 (0.10%)	1 (0.03%)	1 (0.05%)	1 (0.03%)	3 (0.16%)
Total	28 (0.81%)	12 (0.63%)	38 (1.10%)	16 (0.84%)	66 (1.92%)	28 (1.46%)

SA South Asian; AC African-Caribbean.

HFPEF heart failure with preserved ejection fraction.

NYHA New York Heart Association.

Numbers are proportions of total populations (SA, n = 3442; AC, n = 1912).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0026710.t004

it is known that within inner city areas, due to the high mobility of the population it is difficult for primary care to maintain accurate registers [42].

Indeed these 2 minority ethnic groups are perceived to be reluctant to engage in research [16,40], and we achieved the relatively high response by employing a number of strategies such as using multilingual research staff to telephone the subjects after the initial postal invitation; increasing awareness of the study within the community and engaging with practice staff. Further, comorbidities such as diabetes and hypertension were in line to those expected amongst these minority ethnic communities with, for example, diabetes being up to 5–6 times higher amongst SAs compared to the White group [17].

Also some subjects may not have attended for screening due to illness or disability and we have 102 subjects who stated this reason for non-attendance though none had a history of HF documented by the centre. We also minimised bias in analysis by ensuring data verification and locking the database before analysis. However, 1 Singhalese subject was included in the analysis and did not affect the main results (Table 2). even the low prevalence of HF we were unable to evaluate predictors of HF as originally planned.

Conclusion. We have shown that the prevalence of HF and AF in the under-researched SA and AC minority communities in the UK appears comparable to that of the general population. HF and atrial fibrillation will continue to be a major cause of morbidity in all ethnic groups due to ageing of the population; and preventative strategies need to be identified and implemented.

Supporting Information

Table S1 Responder characteristics compared to those potentially eligible.
(DOCX)

References

- Schocken DD, Benjamin EJ, Fonarow GC, Krumholz HM, Levy D, et al. (2008) Prevention of Heart Failure: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association Councils on Epidemiology and Prevention, Clinical Cardiology, Cardiovascular Nursing, and High Blood Pressure Research; Quality of Care and Outcomes Research Interdisciplinary Working Group; and Functional Genomics and Translational Biology Interdisciplinary Working Group. *Circulation* 117: 2544–2565.
- McMurray JJV (2010) Systolic heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 362: 228–238.
- Peterson E, Yancy CW (2009) Eliminating Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Cardiac Care. *NEJM* 360: 1172–1174.
- Loehr LR, Rosamond WD, Chang PP, Folsom AR, Chambless LE (2008) Heart Failure Incidence and Survival (from the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study). *Am J Card* 101: 1016–1022.
- Mosterd A, Hoes AW (2007) Clinical epidemiology of heart failure. *Heart* 93: 1137–1146.
- Bleumink GS, Knetsch AM, Sturkenboom MCJM, Straus SMJM, Hofman A, et al. (2004) Quantifying the heart failure epidemic: prevalence, incidence rate, lifetime risk and prognosis of heart failure: the Rotterdam Study. *Eur Heart J* 25: 1614–1619.
- Davies MK, Hobbs FDR, Davis RC, Kenkre JE, Roalfe AK, et al. (2001) Prevalence of left-ventricular systolic dysfunction and heart failure in the echocardiographic heart of England screening study: a population based study. *Lancet* 358: 439–44.
- McDonagh TA, Morrison CE, Lawrence A, Ford I, Tunstall-Pedoe H, et al. (1997) Symptomatic and asymptomatic left-ventricular systolic dysfunction in an urban population. *Lancet* 350: 829–33.

Table S2 Clinical characteristics of all subjects by ethnic group and ejection fraction.
(DOCX)

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to all the subjects; practice staff including receptionists, nurses, managers, and general practitioners for taking part in this study. The opinions expressed in this paper are not necessarily those of the funding bodies.

Study team:

Writing committee: Paramjit Gill, Mel Calvert, Gregory YH Lip, Russell Davis, Mick Davies, Nick Freemantle.

Data collection: Krishna Lalukota, Manas Karpha, Sophia Rowe, Olena Dotsenko, Abdalla Alzuwam, Raja Wajahat, Alena Shantsila, Harshida Patel, Phil Bennett, Sabina Yasin.

Research secretary: Jaskiran Dhonsi, Abdul Khalade.

Database development/management: Aparna Shankar, Helen Duffy.

Statistical analysis: Nick Freemantle, Mel Calvert.

General Practice Centres: Rotton Park Medical Centre, City Road Medical Practice, Cavendish Medical Practice, Ann Jones Family Health Centre, Shanklin House Surgery, Burbury Street Surgery, Heathford Group Practice, Broadway Health Centre, Victoria Road Medical Centre, Churchill Medical Centre, St Clements Surgery, Handsworth Medical Centre, Soho Health Centre, Church Road Surgery, Bloomsbury Health Centre, Al-Shafa Medical Practice, Enki Medical Practice, Aston Pride Health Centre, Newtown Health Centre, Hockley Medical Centre.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: PSG MC RD MD NF GL. Performed the experiments: PSG MC RD MD NF GL. Analyzed the data: PSG MC RD MD NF GL. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: PSG MC RD MD NF GL. Wrote the paper: PSG MC RD MD NF GL.

9. Morgan S, Smith H, Simpson I, Liddiard GS, Raphael H, et al. (1999) Prevalence and clinical characteristics of left ventricular dysfunction among elderly patients in general practice setting: cross sectional survey. *BMJ* 318: 368–372.
10. Sosin MD, Bhatia GS, Davis RC, Lip GYH (2004) Heart Failure – the importance of ethnicity. *Eur J Heart Failure* 6: 831–843.
11. Yancy CW, Wang TY, Ventura HO, Piña IL, Vijayaraghavan K, et al. (2011) The Coalition to Reduce Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Cardiovascular Disease Outcomes (credo) *J Am Coll Cardiol* 57: 245–252.
12. Chronic heart failure: National clinical guideline for diagnosis and management in primary and secondary care. NICE clinical guideline 108 (2010). Available: <http://guidance.nice.org.uk/CG108>. Accessed 2011 Oct 5.
13. Dickstein K, Cohen-Solal A, Filippatos G, McMurray JJV, Ponikowski P, et al. (2008) Task Force for Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute and Chronic Heart Failure 2008 of European Society of Cardiology; ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines; Document Reviewers. ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2008: the Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute and Chronic Heart Failure 2008 of the European Society of Cardiology. Developed in collaboration with the Heart Failure Association of the ESC (HFA) and endorsed by the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM). *Eur Heart J* 29(19): 2388–2442.
14. Temple R, Stockbridge NL (2007) BiDiL for Heart Failure in Black Patients: The U.S. Food and Drug Administration Perspective. *Ann Int Med* 146: 57–62.
15. Taylor AL, Ziesche S, Yancy C, Carson P, D'Agostino R, et al. for the African-American Heart Failure Trial Investigators (2004) Combination of Isosorbide Dinitrate and Hydralazine in Blacks with Heart Failure. *N Engl J Med* 2004 351: 2049–2057.
16. Helat A, Gross CP, Krumholz HM (2002) Representation of the elderly, women and minorities in heart failure clinical trials. *Arch Intern Med* 162: 1682–8.
17. Gill PS, Kai J, Bhopal RS, Wild S (2007) Health Care Needs Assessment: Black and Minority Ethnic Groups. In: Raftery J, Stevens A, Mant J, eds. *Health Care Needs Assessment. The epidemiologically based needs assessment reviews*. Third Series. Abingdon: Radcliffe Medical Press Ltd. pp 227–399.
18. Scarborough P, Bhatnagar P, Kaur A, Wickramasinghe K, Rayner M (2010) Ethnic differences in cardiovascular disease. British Heart Foundation Health Promotion Research Group: British Heart Foundation.
19. Gill PS, Davis R, Davies M, Freemantle N, Lip GYH (2009) Rationale and study design of a cross sectional study documenting the prevalence of Heart Failure amongst the minority ethnic communities in the UK: the E-ECHOES Study (Ethnic - Echocardiographic Heart of England Screening Study). *BMC Cardiovascular Disorders* 9: 47.
20. Cummins C, Winter H, Cheng KK, Maric R, Silcocks P, et al. (1999) An assessment of the Nam Pehchan computer program for the identification of names of south Asian origin. *J Public Health Med* 21: 401–6.
21. Matthews J, Altman D (1996) Interaction 3: How to examine heterogeneity. *BMJ* 313: 862.
22. Public Health Observatories. Available: <http://www.apho.org.uk/PracProf/ProfileTabbed.aspx?sha=Q34&pct=5MX&prac=GPPCTPROFILE&theme=2000001&yr=2009&clstr=-1>. Accessed 2011 Oct 5.
23. Lip GYH, Zarifis J, Beevers DG (1997) Acute admissions with heart failure to a district general hospital serving a multiracial population. *Int J Clin Pract* 51: 223–7.
24. Liew R, Sulfi S, Ranjadayalan K, Cooper J, Timmis AD (2006) Declining case fatality rates for acute myocardial infarction in SA and white patients in the past 15 years. *Heart* 92: 1030–1034.
25. Fischbacher CM, Bhopal R, Povey C, Steiner M, Chalmers J, et al. (2007) Record linked retrospective cohort study of 4.6 million people exploring ethnic variations in disease: myocardial infarction in SAs. *BMC Public Health* 7: 142.
26. Murray J, Saxena S, Millett C, Curcin V, de Lusignan S, et al. (2010) Reductions in risk factors for secondary prevention of coronary heart disease by ethnic group in south-west London: 10-year longitudinal study (1998–2007). *Fam Pract* 27: 430–438.
27. NHS Business Services Authority. Available: http://www.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/PrescriptionServices/Documents/PPDPCTReports/pctreport_20092.pdf. Accessed 2011 Oct 5.
28. Beckett NS, Peters R, Fletcher AE, Staessen JA, Liu L, et al. (2008) Treatment of hypertension in patients 80 years of age or older. *N Engl J Med* 358: 1887–98.
29. Bibbins-Domingo K, Pletcher MJ, Lin F, Vittinghoff E, Gardin JM, et al. (2009) Racial Differences in Incident Heart Failure among Young Adults. *N Engl J Med* 360: 1179–1190.
30. Maeder MT, Kaye DM (2009) Heart failure with normal left ventricular ejection fraction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 53: 905–918.
31. Galasko GI, Senior R, Lahiri A (2005) Ethnic differences in the prevalence and aetiology of left ventricular systolic dysfunction in the community: the Harrow heart failure watch. *Heart* 91: 595–600.
32. Ramakrishnan S, Kothari SS, Juneja R, Bhargava B, Saxena A, et al. (2009) Prevalence of rheumatic heart disease: Has it declined in India? *Natl Med J India* 22: 72–74.
33. Blackledge HM, Newton J, Squire IB (2003) Prognosis for South Asian and white patients newly admitted to hospital with heart failure in the United Kingdom: historical cohort study. *BMJ* 327: 526–531.
34. Sosin MD, Bhatia GS, Zarifis J, Davis RC, Lip GYH (2004) An 8 year follow up study of acute admissions with heart failure in a multiethnic population. *Eur J Heart Failure* 6: 669–672.
35. Newton JD, Blackledge HM, Squire IB (2005) Ethnicity and variation in prognosis for patients newly hospitalised for heart failure: a matched historical cohort study. *Heart* 91: 1545–1550.
36. Bhopal R, Unwin N, White M, Yallop J, Walker L, et al. (1999) Heterogeneity of coronary heart disease risk factors in Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, and European origin populations: cross sectional study. *BMJ* 319: 215–20.
37. Lip GY, Bawden L, Hodson R, Rutland E, Snatchfold J, et al. (1998) Atrial fibrillation amongst the Indo-Asian general practice population: the West Birmingham Atrial Fibrillation Project. *Int J Cardiol* 65: 187–19233.
38. Galea S, Tracy M (2007) Participation rates in epidemiologic studies. *Ann Epidemiol* 17: 643–53.
39. Morton LM, Cahill J, Hartge P (2006) Reporting participation in epidemiologic studies: a survey of practice. *Am J Epidemiol* 163: 197–203.
40. Wendler D, Kington R, Madans J, Wye GV, Christ-Schmidt H, et al. (2006) Are Racial and Ethnic Minorities Less Willing to Participate in Health Research? *PLoS Med* 3(2): e19.
41. Redfield MM, Rodeheffer RJ, Jacobsen SJ, Mahoney DW, Bailey KR, et al. (2002) Plasma brain natriuretic peptide concentration: impact of age and gender. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 40: 976–82.
42. Millett C, Zelenyanski C, Biynsh K, Lancaster J, Majeed A (2005) Population mobility: characteristics of people registering with general practices. *Public Health* 119: 632–8.