## University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs Staff Publications

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs

2017

# Circulating and intraprostatic sex steroid hormonal profiles in relation to male pattern baldness and chest hair density among men diagnosed with localized prostate cancers

Cindy Ke Zhou National Cancer Institute, ke.zhou@nih.gov

Frank Z. Stanczyk University of Southern California, Los Angeles

Muhannad Hafi George Washington University

Carmela C. Veneroso George Washington University

Barlow Lynch Doctors Community Hospital

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/veterans

Zhou, Cindy Ke; Stanczyk, Frank Z.; Hafi, Muhannad; Veneroso, Carmela C.; Lynch, Barlow; Falk, Roni T.; Niwa, Shelley; Emanuel, Eric; Gao, Yu-Tang; Hemstreet, George P.; Zolfghari, Ladan; Carroll, Peter R.; Manyak, Michael J.; Sesterhenn, Isabell A.; Levine, Paul H.; Hsing, Ann W.; and Cook, Michael B., "Circulating and intraprostatic sex steroid hormonal profiles in relation to male pattern baldness and chest hair density among men diagnosed with localized prostate cancers" (2017). U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs Staff Publications. 120.

http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/veterans/120

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs Staff Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

#### Authors

Cindy Ke Zhou, Frank Z. Stanczyk, Muhannad Hafi, Carmela C. Veneroso, Barlow Lynch, Roni T. Falk, Shelley Niwa, Eric Emanuel, Yu-Tang Gao, George P. Hemstreet, Ladan Zolfghari, Peter R. Carroll, Michael J. Manyak, Isabell A. Sesterhenn, Paul H. Levine, Ann W. Hsing, and Michael B. Cook

# Circulating and intraprostatic sex steroid hormonal profiles in relation to male pattern baldness and chest hair density among men diagnosed with localized prostate cancers

Cindy Ke Zhou<sup>1</sup> Frank Z. Stanczyk<sup>2</sup> | Muhannad Hafi<sup>3</sup> | Carmela C. Veneroso<sup>3</sup> | Barlow Lynch<sup>4</sup> | Roni T. Falk<sup>1</sup> | Shelley Niwa<sup>5</sup> | Eric Emanuel<sup>4</sup> | Yu-Tang Gao<sup>6</sup> | George P. Hemstreet<sup>7</sup> | Ladan Zolfghari<sup>3</sup> | Peter R. Carroll<sup>8</sup> | Michael J. Manyak<sup>9,10</sup> | Isabell A. Sesterhenn<sup>11</sup> | Paul H. Levine<sup>12</sup> | Ann W. Hsing<sup>13</sup> | Michael B. Cook<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland

<sup>2</sup> Reproductive Endocrine Research Laboratory, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California

<sup>3</sup> Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, George Washington University, Washington, District of Columbia

<sup>4</sup> Doctors Community Hospital, Goddard, Maryland

<sup>5</sup> Westat®, Rockville, Maryland

<sup>6</sup> Department of Epidemiology, Shanghai Cancer Institute/Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China

<sup>7</sup> Omaha Veterans Administration Medical Center, Omaha, Nebraska

- <sup>8</sup> Department of Urology, University of California, San Francisco, California
- <sup>9</sup> George Washington University, Washington, District of Columbia
- 10 GlaxoSmithKline, London, UK
- <sup>11</sup> Genitourinary Pathology, Joint Pathology Center, Silver Spring, Maryland
- <sup>12</sup> Department of Epidemiology, University of Nebraska Omaha, Omaha, Nebraska

<sup>13</sup> Department of Medicine and Department of Health Research and Policy, Stanford Prevention Research Center/Cancer Institute, Stanford University, Stanford, California

#### Correspondence

Cindy Ke Zhou, PhD, Metabolic Epidemiology Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute. 9609 Medical Center Drive Rm 6E330, Bethesda MD 20892-9774, USA. Email: ke.zhou@nih.gov

#### Funding information

Intramural Program of the Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute **Background:** Prospective cohort studies of circulating sex steroid hormones and prostate cancer risk have not provided a consistent association, despite evidence from animal and clinical studies. However, studies using male pattern baldness as a proxy of early-life or cumulative androgen exposure have reported significant associations with aggressive and fatal prostate cancer risk. Given that androgens underlie the development of patterned hair loss and chest hair, we assessed whether these two dermatological characteristics were associated with circulating and intraprostatic concentrations of sex steroid hormones among men diagnosed with localized prostate cancer.

**Methods:** We included 248 prostate cancer patients from the NCI Prostate Tissue Study, who answered surveys and provided a pre-treatment blood sample as well as fresh frozen adjacent normal prostate tissue. Male pattern baldness and chest hair density were assessed by trained nurses before surgery. General linear models estimated geometric means and 95% confidence intervals (95%Cls) of each hormone variable by dermatological phenotype with adjustment for potential confounding

variables. Subgroup analyses were performed by Gleason score (<7 vs  $\geq$ 7) and race (European American vs. African American).

**Results:** We found strong positive associations of balding status with serum testosterone, dihydrotestosterone (DHT), estradiol, and sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG), and a weak association with elevated intraprostatic testosterone. Conversely, neither circulating nor intraprostatic sex hormones were statistically significantly associated with chest hair density. Age-adjusted correlation between binary balding status and three-level chest hair density was weak (*r* = 0.05). There was little evidence to suggest that Gleason score or race modified these associations. **Conclusions:** This study provides evidence that balding status assessed at a mean age of 60 years may serve as a clinical marker for circulating sex hormone concentrations. The weak-to-null associations between balding status and intraprostatic sex

hormones reaffirm differences in organ-specific sex hormone metabolism, implying that other sex steroid hormone-related factors (eg, androgen receptor) play important roles in organ-specific androgenic actions, and that other overlapping pathways may be involved in associations between the two complex conditions.

#### KEYWORDS

chest hair density, male pattern baldness, prostate tissue, serum, sex steroid hormones

## **1** | INTRODUCTION

Androgens have long been hypothesized to underlie the development of prostate cancer. However, the association between serum sex steroid hormones and prostate cancer risk remains inconsistent. A meta-analysis of 18 prospective studies reported null associations using study-specific circulating concentrations,<sup>1</sup> albeit limited by the use of blood at a single time-point after midlife that may have missed the etiologically relevant time window, and a potentially diluted effect through inclusion of a variable proportion of indolent prostate cancer cases in the era of prostate-specific antigen (PSA) testing. Later epidemiologic studies also did not support the association between circulating sex steroid hormones and prostate cancer risk,<sup>2-4</sup> although some subgroup analyses reported a positive association of aggressive prostate cancer with total testosterone/sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) ratio,<sup>5,6</sup> and an inverse association with the highest quintile of total estradiol/total testosterone ratio.<sup>7</sup>

Despite the paucity of evidence for an association between circulating sex steroid hormones and prostate cancer risk, androgenic alopecia (also commonly known as male pattern baldness) used as a proxy for early-life or cumulative exposure to sex steroid hormones has been reported to be associated with aggressive and fatal prostate cancer.<sup>8-10</sup> Male pattern baldness is the most common hair loss in men and affects approximately 50% of Caucasian men by age 50. Bald scalp overexpresses androgen receptor (AR)<sup>11-14</sup> and has higher levels of dihydrotestosterone (DHT),<sup>15</sup> compared with the non-bald region. This enhanced androgenic action induces miniaturization of scalp hair follicles and causes progressive hair loss.<sup>16</sup> On the other hand, men

born with 5 $\alpha$ -reductase type II (5 $\alpha$ R2, which intracellularly converts testosterone to DHT) deficiency,<sup>17</sup> or castrated before puberty show complete retention of scalp hair.<sup>18</sup> The former observation has led to the use of finasteride (a 5 $\alpha$ R2 inhibitor) in balding treatment, which slows scalp hair loss and promotes new scalp hair growth.<sup>19</sup>

Although no study has assessed the direct relationship between chest hair density and prostate cancer risk, associations between chest hair density and circulating sex steroid hormones have been reported. The development of chest hair parallels the surge of androgens in men during puberty.<sup>20</sup> Denser chest hair has been correlated with higher levels of circulating 3 $\alpha$ -androstanediol glucuronide (3 $\alpha$ -diol-G; a metabolite of DHT in peripheral tissue)<sup>21</sup> and lower SHBG concentrations.<sup>22</sup> Given the role of androgenic action in these dermatological phenotypes, we investigated clinically assessed balding status and chest hair density in relation to circulating and intraprostatic concentrations of sex steroid hormones in the NCI Prostate Tissue Study in an attempt to understand the potential biological mechanisms underlying associations between the dermatological phenotypes and prostate cancer risk.

## 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 | Study population

A detailed description of the Prostate Tissue Study has been reported elsewhere.<sup>23</sup> In brief, 422 patients were enrolled from five hospitals during January 2000 to April 2004. Eligible study subjects were men aged 18 years or older, scheduled for prostatectomy or

cystoprostatectomy, newly diagnosed with localized prostate cancer, and had not taken any medication that may influence sex steroid hormone concentrations (eg, dehydroepiandrosterone [DHEA], finasteride, and neoadjuvant hormone therapy) during the 24 h preceding surgery.

Each patient provided 30 mL of fasting blood before surgery, which was aliquoted within 4 h at room temperature and subsequently frozen at -70°C. During surgery and immediately after the prostate gland was resected, the pathologist sliced a maximum of three pieces of macroscopically normal tissue from each of the peripheral and non-peripheral zones of the prostate, each piece weighing 200-400 mg. Each macroscopically normal tissue had the ends trimmed and fixed in formalin for Haemotoxylin and Eosin stain. A genitourinary pathologist (I.A.S.) subsequently evaluated the morphology and histology of these margins to rule out slices containing cancer. The remaining tissue was placed in a pre-labeled cryovial, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -70°C.

Each patient underwent a physical examination before surgery by trained hospital nurses for anthropometric and dermatological information and a follow-up telephone interview approximately six weeks after surgery for information on demographics, medical history, family history of cancer, and lifestyle. Medical and pathology reports were also extracted.

#### 2.2 | Sex steroid hormone quantitation

Sex steroid hormones were quantified at the University of Southern California under the direction of F.Z.S. A detailed description of sample handling and laboratory process have been previously reported.<sup>23</sup> In brief, serum concentrations of total testosterone (testosterone), DHT, 4-Androstene-3, 17-dione (androstenedione), estrone, and total estradiol (estradiol) were measured by in-house radioimmunoassays (RIA), 3α-diol-G was measured by a commercial RIA kit (Diagnostics Systems Laboratories, Webster TX, presently Beckman-Coulter, Minneapolis, MD), and SHBG was measured by a solid-phase, twosite chemiluminescent immunometric assay on the Immulite analyzer (Diagnostic Products Corporation, Los Angeles, CA, presently Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Deerfield, IL) during 2007. RIAs were used after organic solvent extraction to remove conjugated steroids and Celite column partition chromatography to separate potential interfering unconjugated steroids. In addition, highly specific antisera were used in the RIAs to provide added specificity. Concentrations of serum free testosterone and free estradiol were calculated using validated algorithms.<sup>24,25</sup> Coefficients of variation (CV)–calculated on the logarithmic scale using a mixed model which included 2-7 replicates from each of seven different individuals-were all less than 15% (mean = 10%).

Intraprostatic sex steroid hormones, including androstenedione, testosterone, DHT,  $3\alpha$ -diol-G, estrone, and estradiol, were extracted from each homogenized prostate tissue sample weighing 100-400 mg during 2008-2009. These hormones then underwent the same preparation procedures and RIAs as in the serum hormone quantitation, and were expressed as picograms per gram of wet

tissue weight. In-house assays for tissue hormones had small technical variability with CVs below 20% (mean = 13.8%) for all, except for androstenedione (21%). CVs were calculated on the logarithmic scale using a mixed model including an average of six technical replicates from each of five different individuals. For intraprostatic sex steroid hormone analysis, we used all eligible pieces of adjacent normal tissue regardless of sampling locations to calculate subject-level mean concentrations, as our pilot study of 30 prostate cancer patients showed that this provided generally superior CV and intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) values, compared with using the mean concentrations of solely peripheral or solely non-peripheral tissue.<sup>23</sup> Both serum and tissue sex steroids RIAs used tritiated internal standards, and the concentrations were corrected for procedural losses ranging from 15% to 30%.

#### 2.3 | Exposure measurement

Trained hospital nurses assessed scalp hair pattern using a Norwood-Hamilton Scale<sup>26</sup> and grouped participants into three categories: 1) no/little baldness (I/II); 2) vertex baldness (III-vertex, IV/V/Va/VI, and VII); and 3) frontal baldness (IIa/III/IIIa/IVa) (Supplementary Figure S1). A previous validation study asked dermatologists and residents to rate balding patterns using Norwood-Hamilton scale against photographs of male heads, reporting modest ICCs (0.63-0.68) for original classes and recommending reduced classes to achieve higher ICCs.<sup>27</sup> Therefore, the three-level balding variable was further collapsed into two categories: no/little versus any baldness to minimize potential misclassification. Chest hair density was originally measured on the Ferriman-Gallwey five-level scale (ie, none, sparse, moderate, dense, and very dense).<sup>28</sup> A previous validation study reported good inter-observer agreement (kappa = 0.80) for the original scale among Turkish women.<sup>29</sup> This variable was subsequently collapsed into three categories in the analysis: none-to-sparse (lowest two levels), moderate, and dense (highest two levels).

## 2.4 | Analytic sample

Of 422 enrolled patients, we included 248 prostate cancer patients for this analysis, after excluding 148 without sex hormones assayed in tissue, 14 without a diagnosis of prostate cancer, one without serum hormones and 11 without any measured dermatological information.

#### 2.5 | Statistical analysis

Pearson  $\chi^2$  tests assessed independence of categorical patient characteristics from balding status and chest hair density. Partial Spearmen's correlation coefficients were estimated for pairs of circulating and intraprostatic sex hormones, adjusting for continuous age at surgery and body mass index (BMI, kg/m<sup>2</sup>). General linear models estimated geometric means and 95% confidence intervals (95%Cls) of hormones by balding status, chest hair density and Gleason

score (from prostatectomy or biopsy) with adjustment for pre-defined potential confounding variables including age at surgery, study site, race, BMI, education, smoking status, and regular alcohol use. As hormone variables were skewed, natural log transformation was applied to non-missing values, and patients with missing values were dropped from regression models for individual hormone variables. Missing values of potential confounding variables were included in regression models as a separate category. Subgroup analyses were performed by Gleason score (<7 vs  $\geq$ 7) and race (European American vs African American).

We also conducted two sensitivity analyses: 1) for intraprostatic sex steroid hormones, we used the mean concentrations of two randomly selected tissue pieces to evaluate the robustness of the main results in general linear models, given the potential variation in measurement errors from the use of a variable number of eligible tissue pieces per subject (median = 4); and 2) we alternatively modeled balding status or three-level chest hair density as the outcome and tertiles of each hormone variable as the exposure using binary and ordinal logistic regression, respectively. To assess trends across tertiles, we assigned the median value of each tertile and modeled this as a continuous variable in logistic regressions.

Two-sided P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. SAS v9.3 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC) was used for statistical analyses.

## 3 | RESULTS

Of the 248 patients in this analysis, 144 (58%) presented some degree of baldness and 52 (22%) some degree of dense chest hair (Table 1). Ageadjusted correlation between binary balding status and three-level chest hair density was weak (r = 0.05). Patient characteristics distributed evenly by balding status, while patients with dense chest hair were more likely to be European Americans, have a college degree or higher, and have highgrade prostate cancer. After adjustment for age, differences in these characteristics by chest hair density became minimal (data not tabulated). Supplemental Table S1 shows the distribution of crude concentrations of sex hormones and SHBG. Partial Spearmen's correlation coefficients adjusted for age and BMI demonstrated very strong correlations for pairs of testosterone and estradiol (r = 0.53), DHT and SHBG (r = 0.61), and estrone and estradiol (r = 0.66) in serum, as well as estrone and estradiol (r = 0.64) in tissue (data not tabulated).

Geometric means of hormone variables by balding status are shown in Table 2. Bald patients were more likely to have higher serum testosterone, DHT, estrone, estradiol, and SHBG, as well as higher intraprostatic testosterone concentrations with full adjustment for potential confounding variables. Stratified analyses by Gleason score showed that such associations were mainly observed in Gleason score ≥7 prostate cancers, although the multiplicative interaction between balding status and Gleason score for each hormone variable was not statistically significant (Supplemental Table S2). Meanwhile, stratified analyses by race demonstrated that only bald European Americans had statistically significantly higher testosterone, DHT, and SHBG in serum, and estrone, estradiol, and androstenedione/estrone ratio in tissue (Supplemental Table S3). However, associations were in the same direction among African Americans, and multiplicative interactions were null between balding status and race for individual hormone variables. Given androgenic sensitivity differs by scalp region,<sup>15</sup> we also presented geometric means by three-level scalp balding category in Supplemental Table S4, despite limited counts for frontal balding only (*n* = 20). Distributions of hormone variables were similar between frontal balding only and vertex plus frontal balding, although the latter group appeared to have higher androstenedione in serum (*P* = 0.013).

Sensitivity analyses in which tertiles of each hormone variable were modeled as the exposure, as opposed to the outcome, provided mostly consistent results, except that the association for intraprostatic testosterone concentrations became null and an inverse association for intraprostatic androstenedione/estrone ratio was observed (adjusted  $P_{trend}$  = 0.042, Supplemental Table S5). Increased SHBG appeared to drive the association for testosterone in serum; when we modeled testosterone and SHBG simultaneously, the positive association for testosterone was attenuated to the null (ORthird tertile vs first tertile = 1.62, 95%CI = 0.67-3.91), whereas the positive association for SHBG remained significant (OR<sub>third tertile vs first tertile</sub> = 3.00, 95% CI = 1.19-7.53) (data not tabulated). Results were consistent when we simultaneously modeled testosterone and SHBG as continuous variables ( $P_{\text{testosterone}} = 0.83$  and  $P_{\text{SHBG}} = 0.01$ ). Tentative evidence was found that increased testosterone drove the association for estradiol in serum, as simultaneous adjustment for continuous testosterone and estradiol attenuated the association for estradiol to the null ( $P_{estradiol} = 0.197$  and  $P_{testosterone} = 0.05$ ), but simultaneous adjustment for tertiles of these hormones attenuated the associations to the null for both estradiol (OR<sub>third tertile vs first tertile</sub> = 2.16, 95% CI = 0.90-5.20) and testosterone (OR<sub>third tertile vs first tertile</sub> = 2.03, 95% CI = 0.90-4.57) (data not tabulated).

Geometric means of hormone variables by chest hair density provided no evidence of an association (Table 3). There was little evidence for effect modification by Gleason score (Supplemental Table S6) or race (Supplemental Table S7). Compared with the main results for chest hair density, modeling tertiles of each hormone variables as the exposure resulted in similarly null associations (Supplemental Table S5).

Sensitivity analyses for intraprostatic sex steroid hormones that used two pieces of randomly selected adjacent normal tissue per subject did not materially alter the results, compared with the main models that used all eligible tissues (data not tabulated).

### 4 DISCUSSION

In this study, serum and intraprostatic sex steroid hormones were quantified in relation to male pattern baldness and chest hair density in men diagnosed with localized prostate cancer. We found evidence for strong positive associations of circulating testosterone, DHT, estradiol,

WILEY-The Prostate 1577

#### **TABLE 1** Patient characteristics by balding status and chest hair density (N = 248)

	Balding status	(n = 248)		Chest hair density (n = 2	Chest hair density (n = 239) <sup>a</sup>			
Characteristics	No (n = 104)	Any (n = 144)	P-value <sup>b</sup>	None/sparse (n = 110)	Moderate (n = 77)	Dense (n = 52)	P-value <sup>b</sup>	
Age at surgery (years)								
Ν	104	143		109	77	52		
Mean (SD)	58.4 (8.1)	60.4 (6.4)	0.055 <sup>c</sup>	60.0 (7.3)	59.4 (7.6)	59.0 (6.4)	0.803 <sup>c</sup>	
≤55	37 (35.6%)	32 (22.2%)	0.088 <sup>d</sup>	27 (24.5%)	23 (29.9%)	16 (30.8%)	0.945 <sup>d</sup>	
56-60	23 (22.1%)	44 (30.6%)		32 (29.1%)	20 (26.0%)	14 (26.9%)		
61-65	25 (24.0%)	32 (22.2%)		26 (23.6%)	16 (20.8%)	13 (25.0%)		
>65	19 (18.3%)	35 (24.3%)		24 (21.8%)	18 (23.4%)	9 (17.3%)		
Race			0.291				<0.001	
European American	61 (58.7%)	73 (50.7%)		40 (36.4%)	50 (64.9%)	36 (69.2%)		
African American	38 (36.5%)	68 (47.2%)		66 (60.0%)	26 (33.8%)	13 (25.0%)		
Other	3 (2.9%)	3 (2.1%)		4 (3.6%)	1 (1.3%)	1 (1.9%)		
Education			0.935				<0.001	
Less than high school	8 (7.7%)	12 (8.3%)		16 (14.5%)	3 (3.9%)	0 (0%)		
High school/Technical school	25 (24.0%)	33 (22.9%)		26 (23.6%)	23 (29.9%)	9 (17.3%)		
College or higher	58 (55.8%)	73 (50.7%)		50 (45.5%)	35 (45.5%)	38 (73.1%)		
Gleason score			0.822				0.009	
<7	56 (53.8%)	81 (56.3%)		57 (51.8%)	53 (68.8%)	20 (38.5%)		
=7	38 (36.5%)	55 (38.2%)		42 (38.2%)	23 (29.9%)	28 (53.8%)		
>7	6 (5.8%)	6 (4.2%)		6 (5.5%)	1 (1.3%)	4 (7.7%)		
Body mass index (BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup> )								
Ν	102	143		109	77	51		
Mean (SD)	26.9 (3.3)	28.1 (10.4)	0.358 <sup>c</sup>	26.7 (3.9)	29.1 (13.7)	27.3 (2.9)	0.139 <sup>c</sup>	
<25.0	29 (27.9%)	37 (25.7%)	0.593 <sup>d</sup>	36 (32.7%)	18 (23.4%)	11 (21.2%)	0.115 <sup>d</sup>	
25.0-29.9	58 (55.8%)	76 (52.8%)		57 (51.8%)	38 (49.4%)	33 (63.5%)		
≥30.0	17 (16.3%)	31 (21.5%)		17 (15.5%)	21 (27.3%)	8 (15.4%)		
Smoking status			0.295				0.162	
Never	38 (36.5%)	48 (33.3%)		36 (32.7%)	25 (32.5%)	23 (44.2%)		
Former	38 (36.5%)	55 (38.2%)		39 (35.5%)	32 (41.6%)	16 (30.8%)		
Current	12 (11.5%)	8 (5.6%)		12 (10.9%)	2 (2.6%)	5 (9.6%)		
Regular alcohol use			0.087				0.347	
Never	31 (29.8%)	45 (31.3%)		35 (31.8%)	23 (29.9%)	14 (26.9%)		
Former	11 (10.6%)	25 (17.4%)		19 (17.3%)	9 (11.7%)	5 (9.6%)		
Current	49 (47.1%)	47 (32.6%)		38 (34.5%)	29 (37.7%)	27 (51.9%)		

Column percentage does not add up to 100% due to missing data.

<sup>a</sup>Nine prostate cancer patients were excluded for tabulation of chest hair density due to missing data.

<sup>b</sup>P-values were computed by Wilcoxon Rank-sum test for continuous variables and Chi-square/Fisher's exact test for categorical variables using non-missing values.

<sup>c</sup>*P*-values were calculated for the continuous covariate.

<sup>d</sup>*P*-values were calculated for the categorical covariate.

and SHBG with male pattern baldness. However, we found limited evidence for associations between intraprostatic sex hormones and baldness, although the direction of these associations was consistent with what was observed in the serum analyses. Neither circulating nor intraprostatic sex hormones were statistically significantly associated with chest hair density. There was little evidence to suggest that Gleason score or race modified these relationships, although the statistical power for interaction tests may be limited by the modest sample size.

Both the prostate gland and human hair follicles are responsive to sex steroid hormones. Testosterone produced by Leydig cells of the testes is the predominant circulating androgen in men and is

TABLE 2 Geometric means of sex steroid hormones and SHBG by balding status at mean age of 60 years (N = 248)

		Minimally adjusted <sup>a</sup>			Fully adjusted <sup>b</sup>		
Sex hormones	N	No (n = 104)	Any (n = 144)	P-value <sup>c</sup>	No (n = 104)	Any (n = 144)	P-value <sup>c</sup>
Serum (ng/dl)							
т	248	420.1 (387.9, 455.0)	481.5 (450.1, 515.1)	0.012	416.4 (384.1, 451.3)	484.6 (452.8, 518.6)	0.006
DHT	248	46.4 (43.0, 50.0)	53.7 (50.4, 57.2)	0.004	45.9 (42.7, 49.4)	54.1 (50.9, 57.5)	0.001
3α-diol-G	248	507.2 (458.3, 561.2)	492.3 (451.9, 536.3)	0.663	500.3 (451.4, 554.4)	497.2 (456.0, 542.1)	0.930
А	248	67.9 (62.7, 73.4)	70.1 (65.6, 75.0)	0.539	66.9 (61.7, 72.5)	70.9 (66.2, 75.9)	0.294
E1	248	5.0 (4.7, 5.4)	5.6 (5.3, 5.9)	0.030	5.0 (4.7, 5.4)	5.6 (5.3, 5.9)	0.027
E2	248	2.9 (2.7, 3.1)	3.3 (3.1, 3.5)	0.004	2.9 (2.7, 3.1)	3.2 (3.1, 3.4)	0.010
T/E2 ratio	248	147.7 (135.8, 160.6)	147.1 (137.0, 157.9)	0.948	144.9 (134.0, 156.7)	149.1 (139.6, 159.3)	0.592
A/E1 ratio	248	13.5 (12.4, 14.6)	12.6 (11.8, 13.6)	0.242	13.3 (12.3, 14.4)	12.8 (11.9, 13.7)	0.458
SHBG (nmol/L)	248	29.8 (27.7, 32.0)	35.4 (33.3, 37.6)	0.001	29.7 (27.7, 31.9)	35.4 (33.4, 37.6)	<0.001
Free T	248	10.7 (9.9, 11.4)	11.4 (10.8, 12.1)	0.140	10.6 (9.8, 11.4)	11.5 (10.8, 12.2)	0.092
Free E2	248	0.08 (0.08, 0.09)	0.09 (0.08, 0.09)	0.064	0.08 (0.08, 0.09)	0.09 (0.08, 0.09)	0.138
Adjacent normal pro	state tiss	sue (pg/g W)					
т	245	205.1 (187.7, 224.1)	230.5 (214.1, 248.0)	0.051	204.3 (186.8, 223.4)	230.9 (214.4, 248.6)	0.045
DHT	248	6433.6 (6080.3, 6807.4)	6663.9 (6353.2, 6989.8)	0.357	6456.1 (6104.7, 6827.7)	6647.1 (6341.3, 6967.7)	0.446
3α-diol-G	244	2599.8 (2402.7, 2813.1)	2704.4 (2527.2, 2894.1)	0.463	2568.4 (2372.7, 2780.2)	2731.1 (2552.3, 2922.5)	0.261
А	229	593.3 (537.8, 654.5)	579.4 (534.1, 628.6)	0.719	585.1 (529.9, 646.1)	581.5 (535.9, 630.8)	0.924
E1	232	67.0 (61.2, 73.2)	75.6 (70.2, 81.4)	0.044	67.5 (61.8, 73.6)	75.2 (70.0, 80.8)	0.065
E2	232	44.2 (40.1, 48.8)	51.3 (47.3, 55.7)	0.024	44.8 (40.6, 49.4)	50.7 (46.7, 55.0)	0.062
T/E2 ratio	232	4.6 (4.2, 5.0)	4.4 (4.0, 4.7)	0.500	4.5 (4.1, 4.9)	4.4 (4.1, 4.8)	0.831
A/E1 ratio	220	9.0 (8.0, 10.1)	7.7 (7.0, 8.5)	0.050	8.9 (7.9, 9.9)	7.8 (7.1, 8.5)	0.086

A, androstenedione; DHT, dihydrotestosterone; E1, estrone; E2, estradiol; SHBG, sex hormone-binding globulin; T, testosterone; 3α-diol-G, 3α-androstanediol glucuronide.

<sup>a</sup>Adjusted for age at surgery (year, continuous), race (European American, African American, other, unknown), study site (five sites, not listed here). <sup>b</sup>Additionally adjusted for BMI (<25.0, 25.0-29.9, ≥30.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), education (less than high school, high school/technical school, college or higher, unknown), smoking status (never, former, current, unknown), and regular alcohol use (never, former, current, unknown).

<sup>c</sup>P-values were calculated for a global test of differences in geometric means.

irreversibly converted to the most potent androgen, DHT, by 5aR in many peripheral tissues, such as the prostate and skin. DHT and, to a lesser extent, testosterone intracellularly bind to AR stimulating downstream androgenic action including hair stimulation and inhibition, as well as prostate growth and carcinogenesis. There are three isoenzymes of 5aR (types I, II, and III). The function of 5aR3 in the prostate remains unclear but previous studies reported its role in converting testosterone to DHT in castration resistant prostate cancers.<sup>30,31</sup> Meanwhile, 5aR1 and 5aR2 are consistently related to testosterone metabolism, and are expressed at different body sites and organ compartments.<sup>32</sup> Prostatic epithelium and stroma express higher concentrations of  $5\alpha R2$ ,<sup>33</sup> as highlighted by an efficient reduction in intraprostatic DHT through finasteride treatment<sup>27</sup> and by its comparable efficacy to dutasteride (a dual inhibitor for  $5\alpha$ R1 and 5αR2) treatment for benign prostatic hyperplasia.<sup>34</sup> Meanwhile, sebaceous glands predominantly express 5αR1, and scalp hair follicles produce higher levels of  $5\alpha R2$ .<sup>35-37</sup> Both isoenzymes play critical roles in balding development as supported by the superior efficacy of dutasteride versus finasteride in treating baldness.<sup>38,39</sup> Lastly,  $5\alpha$ R1 is

the predominant form expressed in chest skin.<sup>40</sup> However, chest hair follicles have been suggested to be less androgen-dependent,<sup>41</sup> and this is in line with the null association of chest hair density with sex steroid hormones in this analysis, albeit two prior observational studies have reported otherwise.<sup>21,22</sup>

Direct comparison of results from epidemiological studies on circulating sex hormones in relation to male pattern baldness is challenging given differences in age, race, laboratory assays (eg, RIA, mass spectrometry, electrochemiluminescence immunoassay), health status (with/without malignancy/other metabolic diseases), and balding categories. Several small studies (n = 6) have assessed this relationship among apparently healthy younger (<45 years old) men and found conflicting associations; some reported that bald men were more likely to have increased testosterone,<sup>15,42</sup> increased testosterone/SHBG ratio,<sup>42,43</sup> increased DHT<sup>15</sup> and decreased SHBG levels,<sup>42-44</sup> whereas others have reported either null or opposing findings.<sup>45,46</sup> On the other hand, a cross-sectional study among older (>65 years) healthy Greek men specifically compared vertex balding to those without (ie, no/minimal plus frontal balding

TABLE 3 Geometric	means of sex steroid ho	rmones and SHBG by che	st hair density at mean a	age of 60 y	ears (N = 239)			
	Minimally adjusted <sup>a</sup>				Fully adjusted <sup>b</sup>			
Sex hormones N	None/Sparse (n = 110)	Moderate (n = 77)	Dense ( <i>n</i> = 52)	P-value <sup>c</sup>	None/Sparse (n = 110)	Moderate $(n = 77)$	Dense (n = 52)	P-value <sup>c</sup>
Serum (ng/dL)								
Т 23	9 472.8 (436.1, 512.6)	443.9 (404.1, 487.6)	434.3 (386.4, 488.3)	0.454	466.3 (429.4, 506.3)	456.4 (414.5, 502.4)	429.3 (380.9, 483.9)	0.558
DHT 23	9 52.3 (48.4, 56.5)	48.5 (44.3, 53.0)	50.7 (45.3, 56.7)	0.458	51.1 (47.3, 55.1)	50.5 (46.2, 55.1)	50.3 (45.1, 56.1)	0.971
3α-diol-G 23	9 470.3 (425.7, 519.5)	538.4 (479.7, 604.3)	518.6 (449.1, 598.8)	0.221	474.7 (429.0, 525.4)	535.6 (475.8, 602.8)	512.3 (442.2, 593.5)	0.338
A 23	9 69.7 (64.3, 75.5)	69.4 (63.2, 76.1)	68.6 (61.0, 77.0)	0.976	69.6 (64.0, 75.7)	69.6 (63.1, 76.7)	68.4 (60.6, 77.2)	0.968
E1 23	9 5.0 (4.7, 5.4)	5.4 (5.0, 5.9)	5.8 (5.3, 6.4)	0.074	5.2 (4.8, 5.5)	5.3 (4.9, 5.7)	5.7 (5.2, 6.3)	0.248
E2 23	9 3.0 (2.8, 3.2)	3.1 (2.9, 3.4)	3.3 (3.0, 3.7)	0.208	3.0 (2.8, 3.3)	3.0 (2.8, 3.3)	3.3 (2.9, 3.6)	0.536
T/E2 ratio 23	9 160.1 (147.2, 174.2)	141.8 (128.6, 156.3)	130.7 (115.8, 147.7)	0.027	152.9 (141.3, 165.5)	150.5 (137.2, 165.0)	131.9 (117.5, 147.9)	0.111
A/E1 ratio 23	9 13.8 (12.7, 15.0)	12.8 (11.6, 14.0)	11.8 (10.5, 13.4)	0.121	13.5 (12.4, 14.7)	13.1 (11.9, 14.4)	11.9 (10.6, 13.5)	0.282
SHBG, nmol/L 23	9 34.9 (32.4, 37.6)	32.1 (29.4, 34.9)	30.3 (27.2, 33.7)	0.098	34.1 (31.7, 36.7)	32.6 (29.9, 35.5)	31.1 (27.9, 34.6)	0.405
Free T 23	9 11.3 (10.5, 12.1)	10.9 (10.1, 11.9)	11.0 (9.9, 12.2)	0.873	11.2 (10.4, 12.1)	11.2 (10.3, 12.2)	10.7 (9.6, 11.9)	0.772
Free E2 23	9 0.08 (0.07, 0.09)	0.09 (0.08, 0.09)	0.09 (0.08, 0.10)	0.043	0.08 (0.08, 0.09)	0.08 (0.08, 0.09)	0.09 (0.08, 0.10)	0.306
Adjacent normal prostate	tissue (pg/g W)							
Т 23	6 222.2 (204.0, 241.9)	208.6 (189.1, 230.1)	219.9 (194.1, 249.2)	0.622	219.7 (201.3, 239.7)	211.6 (191.2, 234.1)	219.9 (193.4, 250.1)	0.839
DHT 23	9 6769.1 (6403.8, 7155.3)	6288.2 (5896.0, 6706.5)	6492.1 (5991.6, 7034.3)	0.250	6769.9 (6404.7, 7156.0)	6326.7 (5930.2, 6749.7)	6432.0 (5934.3, 6971.5)	0.303
3α-diol-G 23	6 2623.5 (2425.9, 2837.3)	2621.9 (2393.2, 2872.5)	2792.0 (2491.7, 3128.5)	0.638	2681.3 (2476.6, 2902.8)	2591.4 (2362.0, 2843.1)	2723.4 (2425.5, 3057.8)	0.775
A 22	2 578.0 (524.2, 637.3)	574.1 (512.1, 643.6)	616.2 (534.8, 710.0)	0.709	582.4 (527.0, 643.7)	564.8 (502.2, 635.3)	613.1 (530.1, 709.1)	0.685
E1 22	3 65.8 (60.2, 71.9)	74.1 (66.8, 82.1)	78.0 (68.5, 88.9)	0.082	68.2 (62.5, 74.5)	71.7 (64.7, 79.5)	76.0 (66.7, 86.5)	0.428
E2 22	3 45.2 (41.1, 49.7)	49.2 (44.1, 54.9)	49.2 (42.8, 56.6)	0.463	46.2 (41.9, 50.9)	48.8 (43.7, 54.6)	47.3 (41.0, 54.5)	0.772
T/E2 ratio 22	3 4.8 (4.4, 5.3)	4.1 (3.7, 4.59)	4.4 (3.9, 5.1)	0.130	4.6 (4.2, 5.1)	4.2 (3.7, 4.7)	4.6 (4.0, 5.3)	0.378
A/E1 ratio 21	3 8.9 (8.0, 10.0)	7.8 (6.8, 8.9)	7.9 (6.7, 9.3)	0.277	8.7 (7.7, 9.7)	7.9 (6.9, 9.0)	8.1 (6.9, 9.6)	0.606
A, androstenedione; DH	T, dihydrotestosterone; E1	l, estrone; E2, estradiol; SHE	3G, sex hormone-binding {	globulin; T,	testosterone; 3a-diol-G, 3	α-androstanediol glucuror	nide.	

<sup>a</sup>Adjusted for age at surgery (year, continuous), race (European American, African American, other, unknown), study site (5 sites, not listed here). <sup>b</sup>Additionally adjusted for BMI (<25.0, 25.0-29.9, ≥30.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), education (less than high school, high school/technical school, college or higher, unknown), smoking status (never, former, current, unknown), and regular alcohol use (never, former, current, unknown).  $^{\rm c}$ P-values were calculated for a global test of differences in geometric means.

1580 | The Prostate WILEY

only) and did not find associations for serum testosterone, estradiol, DHEA-S, or SHBG.<sup>22</sup> Furthermore, another study in the US among 268 prostate cancer cases and controls found that men with vertex balding had higher serum age- and race-adjusted free testosterone levels than those who had little or no hair loss.<sup>47</sup>

This study supports associations of circulating sex hormones with male pattern baldness in an older prostate cancer population. However, comparisons between our results with previously published studies requires caution. First, there is evidence that the presence of prostate cancer may slightly suppress circulating testosterone concentrations through the hypothalamic-pituitary axis.<sup>48–51</sup> Thus, associations observed in this case series may differ from what may be expected in a healthy population. Second, our analytical sample is older, compared to most prior studies, with a mean age of 60 years. It is known that circulating testosterone decreases,<sup>52–54</sup> while male pattern baldness progresses with advancing age.<sup>55</sup> However, we would expect minimal confounding by age in this study because distributions of age were not statistically significantly different by balding status and we adjusted for age in regression models.

Given the strong parallels in androgen dependency and in agerelated changes between scalp hair follicles and the prostate, we speculated that male pattern baldness might serve as a proxy for intrinsic enhanced androgenic action in androgen-responsive organs. Such enhanced androgenic action may be partly explained by genetic susceptibility, particularly within the AR signaling pathway. For example, genetic variation in AR gene on the X-chromosome has commonly been associated with male pattern baldness in European descendants.<sup>56-58</sup> Meanwhile, genes involved in androgen biosynthesis and metabolism, as well as AR have been associated with prostate cancer risk.<sup>59-61</sup> Despite these shared etiological factors, we did not find robust associations between intraprostatic sex steroid hormones and male pattern baldness, although associations were directionally consistent with our hypothesis. This implies that sex steroid hormone-related factors (eg, AR), rather than the sex steroids hormones themselves, play important roles in organ-specific androgenic actions, and that other overlapping pathways may be involved in associations between these two complex conditions. Although the directional consistency could be explained by the field effect of hormonal carcinogenesis on histologically normal tissue and/or a product of reverse causation from the adjacent cancerous foci-as supported by significantly elevated levels of testosterone, DHT, and estradiol in normal tissue adjacent to Gleason ≥7 tumor foci versus Gleason <7 foci (Supplemental Table S1)-we did not find evidence that Gleason score modified the association between intraprostatic sex hormones and balding status (Supplemental Table S3).

Strengths of this study include central review by an experienced genitourinary pathologist, nurse-assessed dermatological characteristics, and simultaneous measurement of circulating and intraprostatic sex steroid hormones. Limitations include the fact that both circulating and intraprostatic sex hormones were only measured once and, therefore, intra-individual temporal variations could not be assessed. Meanwhile, male pattern baldness at older age may reflect cumulative exposure to circulating sex steroid hormones as opposed to the single time-point we have assessed in serum and tissue at the age of prostate cancer diagnosis (mean = 60, range = 37-86 years), and this mismatched timewindow of sex hormone exposure may have reduced statistical power. Second, we combined hair-loss patterns because the number of frontal balding only was relatively small. Although we were unable to specify whether observed associations were modified by hair-loss patterns, the misclassification of balding status was minimized.

In conclusion, this study provides evidence that elevated circulating testosterone, DHT, estradiol, and SHBG are associated with balding status in prostate cancer cases. Male balding serves as a proxy for circulating sex hormone concentrations and may explain prior studies showing an association between male pattern baldness and prostate cancer incidence and specific mortality. Testosterone is the only elevated intraprostatic sex hormone in men with balding; lack of an association between balding and other intraprostatic sex hormones reaffirms differences in organ-specific sex steroid hormone metabolism and cellular response.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Dr. Ruth M. Pfeiffer at Biostatistics Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute for her statistical consultation support. The content of this publication does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Department of Health and Human Services, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government. This research was supported by the Intramural Program of the Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, United States Department of Health & Human Services.

#### CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

### ORCID

Cindy Ke Zhou n http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4814-4305

### REFERENCES

- Roddam AW, Allen NE, Appleby P, Key TJ. Endogenous sex hormones and prostate cancer: a collaborative analysis of 18 prospective studies. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2008;100:170–183.
- Schenk JM, Till C, Hsing AW, et al. Serum androgens and prostate cancer risk: results from the placebo arm of the Prostate Cancer Prevention Trial. *Cancer Causes Control.* 2016;27:175–182.
- Gill JK, Wilkens LR, Pollak MN, Stanczyk FZ, Kolonel LN. Androgens, growth factors, and risk of prostate cancer: the Multiethnic Cohort. *Prostate.* 2010;70:906–915.

- 4. Gershman B, Shui IM, Stampfer M, et al. Prediagnostic circulating sex hormones are not associated with mortality for men with prostate cancer. *Eur Urol.* 2014;65:683–689.
- Weiss JM, Huang WY, Rinaldi S, et al. Endogenous sex hormones and the risk of prostate cancer: a prospective study. *Int J Cancer*. 2008;122:2345–2350.
- Pierorazio PM, Ferrucci L, Kettermann A, Longo DL, Metter EJ, Carter HB. Serum testosterone is associated with aggressive prostate cancer in older men: results from the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. *BJU Int.* 2010;105:824–829.
- Black A, Pinsky PF, Grubb RL, 3rd, et al. Sex steroid hormone metabolism in relation to risk of aggressive prostate cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2014;23:2374–2382.
- Amoretti A, Laydner H, Bergfeld W. Androgenetic alopecia and risk of prostate cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2013;68:937–943.
- Zhou CK, Levine PH, Cleary SD, Hoffman HJ, Graubard BI, Cook MB. Male Pattern Baldness in Relation to Prostate Cancer-Specific Mortality: A Prospective Analysis in the NHANES I Epidemiologic Follow-up Study. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2016;183:210–217.
- Zhou CK, Pfeiffer RM, Cleary SD, et al. Relationship between male pattern baldness and the risk of aggressive prostate cancer: an analysis of the Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 2015;33:419–425.
- Sawaya ME, Honig LS, Hsia SL. Increased androgen binding capacity in sebaceous glands in scalp of male-pattern baldness. *J Invest Dermatol.* 1989;92:91–95.
- Randall VA, Thornton MJ, Messenger AG. Cultured dermal papilla cells from androgen-dependent human hair follicles (e.g. beard) contain more androgen receptors than those from non-balding areas of scalp. *J Endocrinol.* 1992;133:141–147.
- Hibberts N, Howell A, Randall V. Balding hair follicle dermal papilla cells contain higher levels of androgen receptors than those from nonbalding scalp. J Endocrinol. 1998;156:59–65.
- Price VH, Menefee E, Sanchez M, Kaufman KD. Changes in hair weight in men with androgenetic alopecia after treatment with finasteride (1mg daily): three- and 4-year results. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2006;55:71–74.
- Bang HJ, Yang YJ, Lho DS, Lee WY, Sim WY, Chung BC. Comparative studies on level of androgens in hair and plasma with premature malepattern baldness. J Dermatol Sci. 2004;34:11–16.
- 16. Sinclair R. Male pattern androgenetic alopecia. *BMJ*. 1998;317: 865–869.
- Imperato-McGinley J, Guerrero L, Gautier T, Peterson RE. Steroid Salpha-reductase deficiency in man: An inherited form of male pseudohermaphroditism. *Science*. 1974;186:1213–1215.
- Hamilton JB. Effect of castration in adolescent and young adult males upon further changes in the proportions of bare and hairy scalp. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1960;20:1309–1318.
- 19. Kaufman KD, Olsen EA, Whiting D, et al. Finasteride in the treatment of men with androgenetic alopecia. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 1998;39: 578–589.
- 20. Randall VA. Androgens and hair growth. *Dermatol Ther.* 2008;21: 314-328.
- Lookingbill DP, Egan N, Santen RJ, Demers LM. Correlation of serum 3 alpha-androstanediol glucuronide with acne and chest hair density in men. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1988;67:986–991.
- Signorello LB, Wuu J, Hsieh C, Tzonou A, Trichopoulos D, Mantzoros CS. Hormones and hair patterning in men: a role for insulin-like growth factor 1? J Am Acad Dermatol. 1999;40:200–203.
- Cook MB, Stanczyk FZ, Wood SN, et al. Relationships between circulating and intraprostatic sex steroid hormone concentrations. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention: a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology.* 2017. https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-17-0215

 Sodergard R, Backstrom T, Shanbhag V, Carstensen H. Calculation of free and bound fractions of testosterone and estradiol-17 beta to human plasma proteins at body temperature. *J Steroid Biochem*. 1982; 16:801–810.

WILEY-The Prostate

- 25. Vermeulen A, Verdonck L, Kaufman JM. A critical evaluation of simple methods for the estimation of free testosterone in serum. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1999;84:3666–3672.
- Norwood OT. Male pattern baldness: classification and incidence. South Med J. 1975;68:1359–1365.
- Guarrera M, Cardo P, Arrigo P, Rebora A. Reliability of Hamilton-Norwood Classification. Int J Trichol. 2009;1:120–122.
- Ferriman D, Gallwey JD. Clinical assessment of body hair growth in women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1961;21:1440–1447.
- Api M, Badoglu B, Akca A, Api O, Gorgen H, Cetin A. Interobserver variability of modified Ferriman-Gallwey hirsutism score in a Turkish population. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2008;279:473.
- Titus MA, Li Y, Kozyreva OG, et al. 5α-reductase type 3 enzyme in benign and malignant prostate. *Prostate*. 2014;74:235–249.
- Uemura M, Tamura K, Chung S, et al. Novel 5α-steroid reductase (SRD5A3, type-3) is overexpressed in hormone-refractory prostate cancer. *Cancer Sci.* 2008;99:81–86.
- Wang K, Fan DD, Jin S, Xing NZ, Niu YN. Differential expression of 5alpha reductase isozymes in the prostate and its clinical implications. *Asian J Androl.* 2014;16:274–279.
- 33. Thomas LN, Douglas RC, Lazier CB, Too CKL, Rittmaster RS, Tindall DJ. Type 1 and type 2  $5\alpha$ -Reductase expression in the development and progression of prostate cancer. *Eur Urol.* 2008;53:244–252.
- Nickel JC, Gilling P, Tammela TL, Morrill B, Wilson TH, Rittmaster RS. Comparison of dutasteride and finasteride for treating benign prostatic hyperplasia: the Enlarged Prostate International Comparator Study (EPICS). *BJU Int.* 2011;108: 388-394.
- 35. Asada Y, Sonoda T, Ojiro M, et al. 5α-Reductase type 2 is constitutively expressed in the dermal papilla and connective tissue sheath of the hair follicle in vivo but not during culture in vitro1. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2001;86:2875–2880.
- Bayne EK, Flanagan J, Einstein M, et al. Immunohistochemical localization of types 1 and 2 5α-reductase in human scalp. Br J Dermatol. 1999;141:481–491.
- Sawaya ME, Price VH. Different levels of 5α-Reductase type I and II, aromatase, and androgen receptor in hair follicles of women and men with androgenetic alopecia. J Invest Dermatol. 1997;109:296–300.
- Gubelin Harcha W, Barboza Martínez J, Tsai T-F, et al. A randomized, active- and placebo-controlled study of the efficacy and safety of different doses of dutasteride versus placebo and finasteride in the treatment of male subjects with androgenetic alopecia. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2014;70:489–498.
- 39. Olsen EA, Hordinsky M, Whiting D, et al. The importance of dual 5alpha-reductase inhibition in the treatment of male pattern hair loss: results of a randomized placebo-controlled study of dutasteride versus finasteride. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2006;55:1014–1023.
- Thigpen AE, Silver RI, Guileyardo JM, Casey ML, McConnell JD, Russell DW. Tissue distribution and ontogeny of steroid 5 alpha-reductase isozyme expression. J Clin Invest. 1993;92:903–910.
- Randall VA. Hormonal regulation of hair follicles exhibits a biological paradox. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2007;18:274–285.
- 42. Sanke S, Chander R, Jain A, Garg T, Yadav P. A comparison of the hormonal profile of early androgenetic alopecia in men with the phenotypic equivalent of polycystic ovarian syndrome in women. JAMA Dermatol. 2016;152:986–991.
- Narad S, Pande S, Gupta M, Chari S. Hormonal profile in Indian men with premature androgenetic alopecia. Int J Trichol. 2013;5:69–72.
- 44. Cipriani R, Ruzza G, Foresta C, Veller Fornasa C, Peserico A. Sex hormone-binding globulin and saliva testosterone levels in men with androgenetic alopecia. *Br J Dermatol*. 1983;109:249–252.

1581

## 1582 The Prostate WILEY

- 45. Schmidt JB, Lindmaier A, Spona J. Hormonal parameters in androgenetic hair loss in the male. *Dermatology*. 1991;182:214–217.
- Starka L, Cermakova I, Duskova M, Hill M, Dolezal M, Polacek V. Hormonal profile of men with premature balding. *Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes*. 2004;112:24–28.
- 47. DemarkWahnefried W, Lesko SM, Conaway MR, et al. Serum androgens: associations with prostate cancer risk and hair patterning. *J Androl.* 1997;18:495–500.
- 48. Madersbacher S, Schatzl G, Bieglmayer C, et al. Impact of radical prostatectomy and TURP on the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal hormone axis. *Urology*. 2002;60:869–874.
- 49. Miller LR, Partin AW, Chan DW, et al. Influence of radical prostatectomy on serum hormone levels. *J Urol*. 1998;160:449–453.
- Namiki S, Mitsuzuka K, Kaiho Y, et al. Serum luteinizing hormone concentration is significantly associated with recovery of urinary function after radical prostatectomy. *BJU Int.* 2016;117:450–455.
- Meikle AW, Smith JA, Stringham JD. Production, clearance, and metabolism of testosterone in men with prostatic cancer. *Prostate*. 1987;10:25–31.
- Morley JE, Kaiser FE, Perry HM, et al. Longitudinal changes in testosterone, luteinizing hormone, and follicle-stimulating hormone in healthy older men. *Metabolism*. 1997;46:410–413.
- 53. Zmuda JM, Cauley JA, Kriska A, Glynn NW, Gutai JP, Kuller LH. Longitudinal relation between endogenous testosterone and cardiovascular disease risk factors in middle-aged men. A 13-year follow-up of former Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial participants. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1997;146:609–617.
- Harman SM, Metter EJ, Tobin JD, Pearson J, Blackman MR. Longitudinal effects of aging on serum total and free testosterone levels in healthy men. Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2001;86:724–731.
- 55. Batrinos ML. The endocrinology of baldness. *Hormones.* 2014;13: 197–212.
- 56. Cobb JE, Zaloumis SG, Scurrah KJ, Harrap SB, Ellis JA. Evidence for two independent functional variants for androgenetic alopecia

around the androgen receptor gene. *Exp Dermatol*. 2010;19: 1026-1028.

- 57. Ellis JA, Stebbing M, Harrap SB. Polymorphism of the androgen receptor gene is associated with male pattern baldness. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2001;116:452-455.
- Hillmer AM, Hanneken S, Ritzmann S, et al. Genetic variation in the human androgen receptor gene is the major determinant of common early-onset androgenetic alopecia. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2005;77: 140–148.
- Li X, Huang Y, Fu X, et al. Meta-analysis of three polymorphisms in the steroid-5-alpha-reductase, alpha polypeptide 2 gene (SRD5A2) and risk of prostate cancer. *Mutagenesis*. 2011;26:371–383.
- Schleutker J. Polymorphisms in androgen signaling pathway predisposing to prostate cancer. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2012;360:25–37.
- Gu M, Dong X, Zhang X, Niu W. The CAG repeat polymorphism of androgen receptor gene and prostate cancer: a meta-analysis. *Mol Biol Rep.* 2012;39:2615–2624.

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

How to cite this article: Zhou CK, Stanczyk FZ, Hafi M, et al. Circulating and intraprostatic sex steroid hormonal profiles in relation to male pattern baldness and chest hair density among men diagnosed with localized prostate cancers. *The Prostate*. 2017;77:1573–1582.

https://doi.org/10.1002/pros.23433

Supplemental Table and Figure Legends:

Supplemental Table 1. Distribution of sex steroid hormones and SHBG overall and their geometric means by Gleason score (N=248)

Supplemental Table 2. Adjusted geometric means of sex steroid hormones and SHBG by balding status and Gleason score (N=242)

Supplemental Table 3. Adjusted geometric means of sex steroid hormones and SHBG by balding status and race (N=240)

Supplemental Table 4. Geometric means of sex steroid hormones and SHBG by three-level balding category (N=248)

Supplemental Table 5. Adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95%Cls) for tertiles of sex hormones and SHBG using binary logistic regression with balding status as the outcome variable or using ordinal logistic regression with chest hair density as the outcome variable

Supplemental Table 6. Adjusted geometric means of sex steroid hormones and SHBG by chest hair density and Gleason score (N=234)

Supplemental Table 7. Adjusted geometric means of sex steroid hormones and SHBG by chest hair density and race (N=231)

Supplemental Fig 1. Modified Norwood-Hamilton Scale used to score hair loss patterns in NCI Prostate Tissue Study

		Lower	Madia	Upper	Rar	ige	Geor	netric means*	
Sex hormones	Ν	quarti le	n	quarti le	Minimu m	Maximu m	Gleason score<7 (N=137)	Gleason score ≥7 (N=105)	P- value†
Serum (ng/dl)							(11 2077	(11 200)	Funde
Т	24 8	343.9	473.5	620.2	34.0	1439.6	443.3 (413.2, 475.7)	443.3 (413.2, 475.7)	0.302
DHT	24	38.8	50.4	67.2	6.6	189.9	50.3 (47.2, 53.7)	51.1 (47.4, 55.0)	0.769
3α-diol-G	24	369.0	505.0	720.0	104.0	1892.0	502.1 (459.9, 548.3)	504.0 (455.5, 557.6)	0.958
А	24	52.2	69.5	90.8	24.4	294.4	70.1 (65.4, 75.3)	68.3 (63.0, 74.1)	0.637
E1	24	4.3	5.5	6.8	1.2	17.4	5.3 (5.0, 5.6)	5.3 (5.0, 5.7)	0.984
E2	24 8	2.4	3.2	4.1	0.7	9.2	3.0 (2.8, 3.2)	3.2 (3.0, 3.4)	0.209
T/E2 Ratio	24 8	113.3	147.8	196.0	23.8	728.2	147.6 (137.9, 158.0)	147.1 (136.0, 159.1)	0.952
A/E1 Ratio	24 8	9.5	12.3	17.5	4.7	83.3	13.2 (12.3, 14.2)	12.9 (11.9, 14.0)	0.652
SHBG, nmol/L	24 8	26.1	33.1	41.9	10.4	129.0	32.3 (30.4, 34.4)	33.0 (30.7, 35.5)	0.680
Free T	24 8	8.9	11.7	14.2	0.7	23.9	10.9 (10.3, 11.6)	11.5 (10.7, 12.3)	0.304
Free E2	24 8	0.07	0.09	0.11	0.02	0.23	0.08 (0.08, 0.09)	0.09 (0.08, 0.09)	0.240
Adjacent normal	pros	tate tiss	ue (pg/g	i W)		-			
Т	24	165.1	215.0	291.1	64.2	1212.6	209.4 (194.1, 226.0)	238.7 (218.3, 260.9)	0.034
DHT	24 8	5318.2	6813.1	8061.6	2149.9	21426.2	6304.0 (6008.5, 6614.0)	6982.8 (6607.4, 7379.5)	0.008
3α-diol-G	24	1914.6	2713.5	3572.5	1020.8	7756.2	2583.3 (2412.4, 2766.3)	2819.2 (2605.1, 3050.9)	0.111
А	22	420.6	583.0	817.4	109.5	2772.2	560.6 (514.9, 610.4)	619.6 (560.9, 684.4)	0.144
E1	23 2	52.6	72.4	98.9	18.2	244.2	71.0 (65.8, 76.5)	74.4 (68.2, 81.2)	0.432

Supplemental Table 1. Distribution of sex steroid hormones and SHBG overall and their geometric means by Gleason score (N=248)

E2	23	35.2	47.2	65.6	12.5	223.0	45.7 (42.0, 49.7)	52.3 (47.4, 57.7)	0.048
T/E2 Ratio	23	3.3	4.3	5.8	1.1	16.6	4.4 (4.1, 4.8)	4.5 (4.1, 4.9)	0.948
A/E1 Ratio	22 0	5.4	8.3	12.1	2.2	45.5	8.0 (7.2, 8.8)	8.4 (7.5, 9.5)	0.494

\*Adjusted for age at surgery (year, continuous), race (European American, African American, other, unknown), study site (5 sites, not listed here), BMI (<25.0, 25.5–29.9, ≥30.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), education (less than high school, high school/technical school, college or higher, unknown), smoking status (never, former, current, unknown), and regular alcohol use (never, former, current, unknown).

<sup>†</sup>*P*-values were calculated for a global test of differences in geometric means.

		Gleason sc	ore<7 (N=137)		Gleason score≥7 (N=105)					
<b>C</b>									<i>P</i> -	
Sex hormones		NT 1 1 P	A 1 1 1			NT 1 11	A 1 11		value *	
	N	No balding	Any balding	P-	N	No balding	Any balding	P-		
<b>a a a a</b>		(n=56)	(n=81)	value		(n=44)	(n=61)	value		
Serum (ng/dl)										
Т	137	427.3 (381.0, 479.1)	468.1 (426.1, 514.1)	0.244	105	411.0 (366.0, 461.5)	497.9 (451.9, 548.6)	0.018	0.525	
DHT	137	48.3 (43.6, 53.4)	52.9 (48.7, 57.4)	0.182	105	44.5 (39.7, 50.0)	54.8 (49.8, 60.4)	0.010	0.328	
3α-diol-G	137	540.0 (468.6, 622.2)	503.5 (448.3, 565.5)	0.469	105	482.0 (410.3, 566.1)	485.1 (424.1, 554.9)	0.953	0.534	
A	137	71.9 (64.0, 80.8)	71.1 (64.6, 78.2)	0.876	105	62.8 (55.4, 71.1)	69.7 (62.8, 77.4)	0.223	0.390	
E1	137	5.3 (4.8, 5.9)	5.6 (5.2, 6.1)	0.403	105	4.6 (4.2, 5.1)	5.4 (5.0, 5.8)	0.013	0.580	
E2	137	3.1 (2.8, 3.4)	3.2 (2.9, 3.4)	0.729	105	2.6 (2.4, 2.9)	3.4 (3.1, 3.7)	0.001	0.115	
T/E2 Ratio	137	138.6 (124.8, 153.8)	148.2 (136.1, 161.4)	0.343	105	157.0 (138.0, 178.8)	147.9 (132.8, 164.9)	0.503	0.434	
A/E1 Ratio	137	13.5 (12.1, 15.2)	12.6 (11.5, 13.8)	0.363	105	13.6 (11.9, 15.5)	12.9 (11.5, 14.4)	0.564	0.684	
SHBG, nmol/L	137	29.1 (26.4, 32.1)	34.8 (32.2, 37.7)	0.008	105	30.3 (27.0, 34.0)	34.9 (31.7, 38.5)	0.079	0.486	
Free T	137	11.0 (10.0, 12.1)	11.2 (10.3, 12.1)	0.781	105	10.3 (9.3, 11.4)	11.9 (10.9, 13.0)	0.045	0.265	
Free E2	137	0.09 (0.08, 0.10)	0.09 (0.08, 0.09)	0.700	105	0.07 (0.07, 0.08)	0.09 (0.08, 0.10)	0.005	0.079	
Adjacent normal p	rostate ti	issue (pg/g W)								
Т	137	196.1 (174.9, 219.9)	228.0 (207.6, 250.5)	0.055	102	214.8 (182.9, 252.3)	242.5 (213.7, 275.1)	0.267	0.767	
DHT	137	6422.6 (5923.7, 6963.5)	6330.3 (5924.8, 6763.5)	0.792	105	6499.0 (5981.2, 7061.5)	7189.4 (6707.3, 7706.0)	0.080	0.346	
3α-diol-G	136	2528.0 (2262.3, 2824.8)	2607.5 (2379.9, 2856.9)	0.682	103	2699.1 (2365.4, 3079.9)	2927.6 (2609.6, 3284.2)	0.386	0.701	
A	127	580.5 (513.9, 655.7)	557.0 (503.9, 615.7)	0.616	96	624.8 (521.8, 748.0)	590.1 (513.0, 678.6)	0.634	0.912	
E1	129	68.9 (61.2, 77.5)	76.1 (69.1, 83.8)	0.211	97	64.2 (55.8, 73.9)	75.8 (68.0, 84.5)	0.080	0.985	
E2	129	46.6 (40.8, 53.2)	47.3 (42.4, 52.7)	0.874	97	43.1 (36.7, 50.6)	56.4 (49.8, 63.9)	0.014	0.129	
T/E2 Ratio	129	4.1 (3.7, 4.7)	4.6 (4.2, 5.1)	0.188	97	4.9 (4.1, 5.7)	4.2 (3.7, 4.7)	0.169	0.096	
A/E1 Ratio	123	8.6 (7.4, 10.0)	7.3 (6.5, 8.3)	0.118	91	9.7 (8.1, 11.7)	7.7 (6.7, 8.9)	0.063	0.976	

Supple	emental Table 2. Ad	ljusted <sup>*</sup>	geometric means of s	sex steroid hormones	and SHBG by	balding	status and Gleason score	(N=242	)
Supple	memai table 2. Au	ijusieu	geometric means or a	Sex Steroid normones	and orido by	varung	status and Greason score	114-2	

\*Adjusted for age at surgery (year, continuous), race (European American, African American, other, unknown), study site (5 sites, not listed here), BMI (<25.0,

25.0–29.9, ≥30.0 kg/m2), education (less than high school, high school/technical school, college or higher, unknown), smoking status (never, former, current, unknown) and regular alcohol use (never, former, current, unknown).

<sup>†</sup>*P*-values were calculated for a global test of differences in geometric means.

<sup>‡</sup>*P*-values were calculated for the multiplicative interaction between balding status and Gleason score.

		European An	nerican (N=134)		African American (N=106)					
Sex hormones		No balding	Any balding	Р-		No balding	Any balding	P-	<b>P</b> -	
	Ν	(n=61)	(n=73)	value⁺	N	(n=38)	(n=68)	value†	value <sup>‡</sup>	
Serum (ng/dl)										
Т	134	387.2 (349.3, 429.2)	449.1 (409.0, 493.2)	0.043	106	481.7 (421.6, 550.3)	533.9 (484.4, 588.5)	0.235	0.760	
DHT	134	41.7 (37.9, 45.8)	49.1 (45.1, 53.5)	0.015	106	53.7 (47.3, 60.9)	61.5 (56.1, 67.4)	0.099	0.769	
3α-diol-G	134	455.5 (394.5, 525.9)	501.7 (440.3, 571.7)	0.341	106	570.5 (490.4, 663.6)	495.7 (443.9, 553.5)	0.154	0.168	
A	134	66.7 (59.8, 74.4)	73.4 (66.5, 81.1)	0.213	106	71.1 (62.6, 80.7)	68.5 (62.5, 75.2)	0.655	0.271	
E1	134	4.5 (4.2, 4.9)	5.0 (4.6, 5.4)	0.091	106	5.7 (5.1, 6.5)	6.3 (5.8, 6.9)	0.195	0.963	
E2	134	2.5 (2.3, 2.8)	2.8 (2.6, 3.1)	0.082	106	3.5 (3.1, 3.9)	3.8 (3.5, 4.2)	0.190	0.990	
T/E2 Ratio	134	152.8 (138.0, 169.2)	157.7 (143.8, 173.0)	0.659	106	138.7 (121.6, 158.2)	139.1 (126.3, 153.1)	0.971	0.764	
A/E1 Ratio	134	14.7 (13.2, 16.5)	14.7 (13.2, 16.2)	0.942	106	12.4 (10.9, 14.0)	10.8 (9.9, 11.9)	0.099	0.262	
SHBG, nmol/L	134	28.1 (25.7, 30.6)	34.3 (31.7, 37.1)	0.001	106	32.4 (28.4, 36.8)	36.3 (33.0, 39.9)	0.170	0.574	
Free T	134	10.0 (9.1, 10.9)	10.8 (9.9, 11.7)	0.234	106	12.0 (10.7, 13.4)	12.6 (11.6, 13.6)	0.508	0.775	
Free E2	134	0.07 (0.07, 0.08)	0.08 (0.07, 0.08)	0.332	106	0.10 (0.09, 0.11)	0.10 (0.09, 0.11)	0.447	0.973	
Adjacent normal p	prostat	e tissue (pg/g W)								
Т	132	200.8 (179.3, 224.9)	229.6 (207.6, 254.0)	0.091	105	212.7 (180.7, 250.3)	224.3 (199.6, 252.1)	0.613	0.742	
DHT	134	6471.4 (5966.0, 7019.6)	6531.9 (6066.5, 7033.1)	0.871	106	6574.9 (6078.8, 7111.5)	6865.6 (6483.4, 7270.4)	0.396	0.589	
3α-diol-G	131	2533.9 (2255.6, 2846.5)	2826.3 (2538.2, 3147.0)	0.185	105	2691.1 (2399.4, 3018.4)	2561.2 (2352.8, 2788.0)	0.509	0.092	
A	123	588.7 (513.4, 675.1)	573.3 (509.2, 645.4)	0.776	100	581.5 (495.6, 682.3)	580.3 (516.0, 652.7)	0.985	0.590	
E1	128	60.8 (55.0, 67.4)	71.5 (65.3, 78.2)	0.023	98	76.8 (65.5, 90.1)	79.4 (70.6, 89.4)	0.744	0.232	
E2	128	41.8 (37.1, 47.0)	50.3 (45.3, 55.8)	0.025	98	51.7 (43.5, 61.5)	51.2 (45.0, 58.2)	0.924	0.116	
T/E2 Ratio	128	4.7 (4.2, 5.3)	4.5 (4.0, 4.9)	0.438	98	4.0 (3.4, 4.8)	4.2 (3.7, 4.8)	0.677	0.267	
A/E1 Ratio	120	9.9 (8.6, 11.5)	8.0 (7.1, 9.1)	0.030	95	7.7 (6.4, 9.2)	7.4 (6.5, 8.5)	0.730	0.148	

Supplemental Table 3. Adjusted<sup>\*</sup> geometric means of sex steroid hormones and SHBG by balding status and race (N=240)

\*Adjusted for age at surgery (year, continuous), study site (5 sites, not listed here), BMI (<25.0, 25.0–29.9, ≥30.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), education (less than high school, high school/technical school, college or higher, unknown), smoking status (never, former, current, unknown) and regular alcohol use (never, former, current, unknown). <sup>†</sup>*P*-values were calculated for a global test of differences in geometric means.

<sup>1</sup>*P*-values were calculated for the multiplicative interaction between balding status and race (European American vs. African American).

ouppremental rab	it ii otoii	icure means or s			, by unit	e ievei bi	arang category (			P.	P.
					-	-				valu	valu
C h		Mir	nimally Adjuste	d *	<i>P</i> -	<i>P</i> -		Fully Adjusted	t	e⁺	e⁵
Sex normones	N	No (n=104)	Frontal only (n=20)	Vertex + Frontal (n=124)	e <sup>‡</sup>	e	No (n=104)	Frontal only (n=20)	Vertex + Frontal (n=124)	-	
Serum (na/dl)		(11 2017	(11 20)	( 12.17			(11 2017)	(11 20)	( 12.17		
T	248	420.0	486.5	480.8			416.1	502.9	482.0		_
	2.10	(387.7	(403.5	(447.2	0 04	0.90	(383.8	(416.1	(448.2	0.02	0.67
		455.0)	586 5)	516.8)	2	7	451 1)	607.8)	518 2)	2	Q.07
DHT	248	46.4	53.7	53.7	0 01	níag	45 9	54.2	54.1	0 00	0.98
Billi	240	(43.0.50.0)	(45.0.64.0)	(50.2.57.5)	6	2	(42.7.49.4)	(45.7.64.3)	(50.7, 57.7)	5	0.00
3a-diol-G	2/18	505 9	574.2	/81 3	v	2	/108.2	605 1	/83.3	2	0
54-4101-0	240	(457.2	(453.2	(130.2	0 25	017	(1/0.7	(476.0	(110 9	0.22	0.08
		550 7)	727 5)	527 3)	5	0.17	551 0)	760.2)	520 0)	6	5
٨	2/18	68 1	55 0	72.5	0 02	0 00	67.2	56.7	73.2	0 02	0 01
~	240	(62 0 72 7)	(466 67 1)	(67 6 77 0)	0.02	0.00	(62 0 72 0)	(47.0.60.5)	(60 1 70 7)	7	2
E1	2/19	5 0	(40.0, 07.1)	(07.0, 77.0)	0 02	010	(02.0, 72.0)	(47.0, 00.3)	(00.1, 70.7)	0.02	010
	240	(4 7 5 4)	(4.5 8)	(5360)	6	0.10	(4 7 5 4)	(1258)	(53.60)	3	5
E2	240	20	2 1	(3.3, 0.0)	0 01	0.46	20	(4.2, 5.0)	(3.3, 0.0)	0.02	0 47
E2	240	(2721)	(26.26)	(2125)	0.01	2	(2721)	(26.26)	(2125)	0.02	5
T/E2 Patio	249	(2.7, 5.1)	(2.0, 5.0)	145.6	1	2	(2.7, 5.1)	162.6	1/71	9	5
	240	(125.6	(120.2	/125.0	0.76	0.46	(122.7	/126.1	/127.1	0.40	0.20
		(133.0,	(129.5,	(133.0,	0.70	0.40	(155.7,	(150.1,	(157.1,	0.49	0.20
A/E1 Datio	240	100.4)	191.77	157.17	0.24	0.22	100.4/	190.0/	127.97	0.20	0 25
A/EI Katio	240	(12 / 1 / 7)	(0 4 12 7)	(11 0 12 0)	0.24	0.23	(12 2 14 5)	(0 5 12 0)	(12 0 12 0)	0.39	0.25
CUDC_pmal/l	240	(12.4, 14.7)	(9.4, 15.7)	(11.9, 15.0)	0 00	 	(12.5, 14.5)	(9.3, 13.9)	(12.0, 15.9)	0 00	0 77
SHEG, NINU/L	240	(27.7.22.0)	(20.0 42.2)	(22.0.27.6)	0.00	0.08	(27.6.21.0)	(20 6 42 0)	22.5 (22.1.27.7)	0.00	0.77
Free T	240	(27.7, 32.0)	(30.9, 43.3)	(33.0, 37.0)	~~~~	007	(27.0, 31.9)	(30.6, 42.9)	(33.1, 37.7)	~~~~	8
Free I	248	10.0	(0 7 12 5)	(10 7 12 2)	0.33	0.97	10.0	(10.0.14.0)	(10 7 12 2)	0.22	0.08
F F-0	2.40	(9.9, 11.4)	(9.7, 13.5)	(10.7, 12.2)	~ ~ ~ ~	9	(9.8, 11.4)	(10.0, 14.0)	(10.7, 12.2)	4	~~~
Free E2	248	0.08	0.08	(0.09	0.13	0.42	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.24	0.44
		(0.08, 0.09)	(0.07, 0.10)	(0.08, 0.09)		9	(0.08, 0.09)	(0.07, 0.10)	(0.08, 0.09)	8	
Adjacent normal pro	ostate tissue (	pg/g W)	104.7	226.2			205.0	201.7	225.4		
I	245	205.8	194.7	230.2			205.0	201.7	235.4		
		(188.4,	(159.0,	(218.5,	0.03	0.08	(187.4,	(164.1,	(217.6,	0.05	0.16
DUT	- 1-	224.8)	238.4)	255.4)	2	0	224.1)	247.7)	254.7)	2	/
DHI	248	6445.0	6000.8	6/6/.5			6466.0	61/4.0	6718.1		
		(6092.2,	(5260.5,	(6431.8,	0.16	0.09	(6114.0,	(5415.1,	(6388.9,	0.37	0.23
		6818.2)	6845.2)	7120.8)	1	4	6838.2)	7039.3)	7064.3)	0	6
3α-diol-G	244	2598.9	2759.8	2696.2			2565.3	2892.5	2708.4		
		(2401.3,	(2293.7,	(2507.3,	0.74	0.81	(2369.4,	(2400.8,	(2519.3,	0.43	0.51
		2812.7)	3320.6)	2899.4)	4	7	2777.4)	3485.0)	2911.6)	0	5
A	229	594.2	531.0	586.6			585.9	550.8	585.9		
		(538.5,	(421.3,	(537.7,	0.68	0.42	(530.4,	(435.7,	(536.9,	0.88	0.62
		655.6)	669.2)	639.8)	4	7	647.1)	696.3)	639.3)	5	7
El	232	67.1	70.2	76.4	0.09	0.44	67.6	71.6	75.8	0.16	0.60
		(61.3, 73.4)	(57.5, 85.8)	(70.6, 82.8)	8	2	(61.9, 73.7)	(58.8, 87.2)	(70.1, 81.9)	0	2

Supplemental Table 4. Geometric means of sex steroid hormones and SHBG by three-level balding category (N=248)

E2	232	44.4	42.6	52.9	0.01	0.07	45.0	44.1	51.8	0.07	0.18
		(40.3, 49.0)	(34.2, 53.0)	(48.5, 57.7)	5	2	(40.7, 49.6)	(35.4, 55.1)	(47.5, 56.6)	4	6
T/E2 Ratio	232	4.6	4.6	4.3	0.68	0.57	4.5	4.7	4.4	0.80	0.53
		(4.1, 5.0)	(3.7, 5.7)	(4.0, 4.7)	1	5	(4.1, 4.9)	(3.8, 5.9)	(4.0, 4.8)	4	3
A/E1 Ratio	220	9.0	7.9	7.7	0.14	0.83	8.8	8.1	7.7	0.21	0.75
		(8.0, 10.1)	(6.1, 10.3)	(7.0, 8.5)	3	1	(7.9, 9.9)	(6.2, 10.5)	(7.0, 8.5)	8	2

<sup>\*</sup>Adjusted for age at surgery (year, continuous), race (European American, African American, other, unknown), study site (5 sites, not listed here) <sup>†</sup>Additionally adjusted for BMI (<25.0, 25.0–29.9, ≥30.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), education (less than high school, high school/technical school, college or higher, unknown), smoking status (never, former, current, unknown) and regular alcohol use (never, former, current, unknown).

<sup>‡</sup>P-values were calculated for a global test of differences in geometric means.

<sup>§</sup>*P*-values were calculated for differences in geometric means comparing vertex + frontal with frontal only.

		E	alding status (I	N=248)		Ches	st hair	density (N=239)	
Sex	Ν	An			None/Sp	Moder	Den		
hormones	0	v	OR (95%CI)*	OR (95%CI)†	arse	ate	se	OR (95%CI)*	OR (95%CI)†
Serum (ng/dl)									
Т									
<387.0	44	38	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent)	30	28	21	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent)
387.0-			1.45 (0.77,	1.73 (0.88,				1.22 (0.67,	1.36 (0.73,
550.9	36	48	2.74)	3.38)	38	23	21	2.23)	2.54)
			2.46 (1.23,	2.87 (1.37,				1.77 (0.91,	1.71 (0.86,
≥551.0	24	58	4.92)	5.98)	42	26	10	3.42)	3.39)
	10	14							
P-trend <sup>‡</sup>	4	4	0.011	0.005	110	77	52	0.090	0.127
DHT									
<44.0	48	35	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent)	31	25	25	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent)
			2.52 (1.30,	2.70 (1.34,				1.30 (0.70,	1.28 (0.68,
44.0-60.4	29	54	4.90)	5.46)	36	29	12	2.42)	2.41)
			2.53 (1.27,	3.04 (1.43,				1.44 (0.76,	1.25 (0.64,
≥60.5	27	55	5.03)	6.43)	43	23	15	2.72)	2.44)
	10	14							
P-trend <sup>‡</sup>	4	4	0.015	0.007	110	77	52	0.286	0.554
3α-diol-G									
<410.0	32	51	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent)	43	20	16	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent)
410.0-			0.81 (0.41,	0.92 (0.44,				0.37 (0.19,	0.37 (0.18,
622.9	35	47	1.60)	1.93)	33	28	20	0.73)	0.75)
			0.80 (0.41,	0.85 (0.42,				0.64 (0.33,	0.66 (0.33,
≥623.0	37	46	1.57)	1.73)	34	29	16	1.25)	1.33)
	10	14							
P-trend <sup>‡</sup>	4	4	0.573	0.655	110	77	52	0.558	0.750
A									
<58.4	33	50	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent)	39	25	17	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent)
			0.81 (0.42,	0.98 (0.49,				0.92 (0.49,	0.93 (0.48,
58.4-83.6	38	45	1.56)	1.95)	35	27	16	1.72)	1.79)
			0.93 (0.48,	1.11 (0.55,				0.94 (0.50,	1.00 (0.52,
≥83.7	33	49	1.81)	2.21)	36	25	19	1.76)	1.89)
	10	14							
P-trend <sup>‡</sup>	4	4	0.875	0.753	110	77	52	0.866	0.988
El									
<4.6	39	40	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent)	35	22	19	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent)
			1,24 (0,63.	1,35 (0,66				0.86 (0.44.	0.82 (0.41.
4.6-6.3	41	50	2.44)	2.74)	40	28	19	1.66)	1.61)

Supplemental Table 5. Adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95%CIs) for tertiles of sex hormones and SHBG using binary logistic regression with balding status as the outcome variable or using ordinal logistic regression with chest hair density as the outcome variable

≥6.4	24	54	2.09 (1.00, 4.39)	2.21 (0.99, 4.96)	35	27	14	0.64 (0.32, 1.29)	0.69 (0.33, 1.46)
	10	14							
P-trend <sup>‡</sup> F2	4	4	0.046	0.051	110	77	52	0.199	0.335
<2.7	47	35	1.00 (referent) 2.58 (1.31.	1.00 (referent) 2.70 (1.33.	33	24	21	1.00 (referent) 0.79 (0.42.	1.00 (referent) 0.82 (0.43.
2.7-3.7	31	56	5.07) 2.74 (1.29,	5.50) 2.94 (1.33,	35	30	18	1.48) 0.97 (0.48,	1.57) 1.08 (0.52,
≥3.8	26 10	53 14	5.83)	6.51)	42	23	13	1.98)	2.25)
P-trend‡ T/E2 Ratio	4	4	0.010	0.009	110	77	52	0.976	0.804
<124.9 124.9-	34	48	1.00 (referent) 0.87 (0.46.	1.00 (referent) 0.96 (0.48.	37	25	19	1.00 (referent) 1.23 (0.67.	1.00 (referent) 1.14 (0.60.
180.8	37	47	1.66) 0.98 (0.50,	1.90) 1.13 (0.55.	31	28	18	2.28) 2.18 (1.14,	2.19) 1.94 (0.96.
≥180.9	33 10	49 14	1.91)	2.36)	42	24	15	4.18)	3.94)
P-trend <sup>‡</sup> A/E1 Ratio	4	4	0.982	0.707	110	77	52	0.017	0.056
<10.3	28	52	1.00 (referent) 0.65 (0.33,	1.00 (referent) 0.66 (0.33,	36	27	16	1.00 (referent) 1.63 (0.85,	1.00 (referent) 1.71 (0.88,
10.3-15.3	42	43	1.26) 0.88 (0.44,	1.34) 0.99 (0.47,	38	23	16	3.13) 1.51 (0.79,	3.35) 1.53 (0.77,
≥15.4	34 10	49 14	1.76)	2.08)	36	27	20	2.89)	3.03)
P-trend <sup>‡</sup> SHBG	4	4	0.977	0.720	110	77	52	0.328	0.391
<28.0	45	37	1.00 (referent) 1.26 (0.67.	1.00 (referent) 1.22 (0.62	32	23	23	1.00 (referent) 1.28 (0.69.	1.00 (referent) 1.14 (0.61.
28.0-39.4	39	44	2.39) 3.49 (1.69,	2.40) 3.81 (1.75,	33	31	16	2.37) 2.23 (1.14,	2.16)
≥39.5	20 10	63 14	7.24)	8.31)	45	23	13	4.35)	3.59)
P-trend <sup>‡</sup> Free T	4	4	0.001	<0.001	110	77	52	0.016	0.086
<9.9	41	42	1.00 (referent) 1.08 (0.57,	1.00 (referent) 1.25 (0.64,	32	30	18	1.00 (referent) 1.12 (0.61,	1.00 (referent) 1.25 (0.66,
9.9-13.1	38	43	2.04) 2.08 (1.06,	2.45) 2.53 (1.23,	39	19	21	2.06) 1.12 (0.59,	2.34) 1.05 (0.54,
≥13.2	25	59	4.11)	5.23)	39	28	13	2.11)	2.06)

	10	14							
P-trend <sup>‡</sup>	4	4	0.033	0.012	110	77	52	0.737	0.876
Free E2	40	20	1 00 (mfamat)	1.00 (	20		10	1 00 (mf = m = t)	1 00 (asfeed at )
<0.074	43	39	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent)	39	21	19	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent)
0.074-	20	50	1.94 (1.00,	1.87 (0.93,	20	20	10	0.50 (0.26,	0.56 (0.29,
0.105	50	22	3.70)	3.//)	29	50	19	0.93)	1.00)
<b>∽</b> 0.104	21	50	1.00 (0.01,	1.37 (0.73,	42	26	14	0.60 (0.50,	0.09 (0.55,
20.104	10	14	5.41/	5.577	72	20	14	1.20)	1.45/
<i>P</i> -trend <sup>‡</sup>	4	4	0.239	0.352	110	77	52	0.242	0.470
Adjacent no	ormal pro	ostat	e tissue (pg/g W	V)					
Т									
<181.8	32	49	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent)	34	27	17	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent)
181.8-			0.74 (0.38,	0.76 (0.39,				1.25 (0.67,	1.36 (0.72,
255.4	39	44	1.43)	1.51)	36	29	17	2.32)	2.56)
			1.19 (0.60,	1.25 (0.61,				1.16 (0.61,	1.17 (0.60,
≥255.5	30	51	2.39)	2.57)	39	21	16	2.22)	2.27)
	10	14							
P-trend+	1	4	0.531	0.466	109	77	50	0.690	0.691
	20	4.4	1 00 (referent)	1 00 (referent)	20	20	20	1 00 (referent)	1 00 (referent)
	39	44	1.00 (referenc)	1.00 (referenc)	30	30	20		
7492.6	33	10	1.13 (0.33,	2.16)	41	23	15	1.07 (0.99,	1.00 (0.50,
7452.0	55	45	1 24 (0 65	1 29 (0 65	71	25	15	1 60 (0.86	1 75 (0.91
>7492 7	32	51	2 38)	2 57)	39	24	17	3.00)	3 39)
2/102.7	10	14	2.00)	2.377		21	1,	5.00)	0.00)
<i>P</i> -trend <sup>‡</sup>	4	4	0.514	0.473	110	77	52	0.129	0.087
3α-diol-G									
<2254.2	37	44	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent)	41	21	16	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent)
2254.2-			1.12 (0.57,	1.14 (0.57,				0.73 (0.39,	0.80 (0.42,
3198.8	33	48	2.17)	2.29)	33	31	16	1.37)	1.55)
			1.18 (0.60,	1.35 (0.67,				0.89 (0.47,	1.05 (0.54,
≥3198.9	34	48	2.29)	2.74)	35	24	19	1.67)	2.03)
	10	14							
P-trend <sup>∓</sup>	4	0	0.639	0.401	109	76	51	0.790	0.798
A	20	<b>F</b> 1	1 00 (mfamat)	1.00 (	22	27		1.00 (===================================	1.00 (===================================
<4/3.4	26	21	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent)	33	27	15	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent)
4/3.4-	21	11	0.79 (0.40,	0.90 (0.44,	36	22	14	1.06 (0.55,	1.10 (0.56,
120.5	21	44	0.67 (0.33	0.70 (0.34	20	22	14	0.00 (0.51	1 10 (0 55
<b>≻</b> 726.4	37	40	1 36)	0.70 (0.54,	34	22	19	1 92)	2 18)
2720.7	57	13	1.50)	1.40/	54	22	1.5	1.52)	2.10/
P-trend <sup>‡</sup>	94	-5	0.280	0.326	103	71	48	0.950	0.808
El		-							

<59.1	40	38	1.00 (referent) 1.69 (0.86.	1.00 (referent) 1.75 (0.87.	40	21	16	1.00 (referent) 0.78 (0.41.	1.00 (referent) 0.87 (0.45
59.1-86.1	29	48	3.30)	3.53)	33	26	17	1.48)	1.67)
≥86.2	27	50 13	4.37)	4.59)	30	26	14	1.14)	1.47)
P-trend <sup>‡</sup>	96	6	0.043	0.058	103	73	47	0.111	0.365
<40.7	38	40	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent)	42	21	14	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent) 0.79 (0.41
40.7-57.5	32	44	2.63)	2.64)	30	26	18	1.35)	1.52)
≥57.6	26	52 13	3.89)	4.01)	31	26	15	1.27)	1.54)
P-trend <sup>‡</sup> T/E2 Batio	96	6	0.058	0.075	103	73	47	0.228	0.481
<3.8	31	47	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent)	30	29	15	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent)
3.8-5.1	32	43	1.78)	1.78)	32	22	17	2.08)	1.95)
≥5.2	33	46 13	1.97)	2.37)	41	22	15	3.15)	3.01)
P-trend <sup>‡</sup> A/E1Batio	96	6	0.917	0.548	103	73	47	0.105	0.145
<6.1	22	51	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent) 0.68 (0.32	29	29	10	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (referent)
6.1-10.3	29	44	1.37) 0.42 (0.20	1.44)	32	20	20	1.94)	1.99)
≥10.4	39	35 13	0.88)	0.97)	37	20	16	3.13)	3.00)
P-trend <sup>‡</sup>	90	10	0.022	0.042	98	69	46	0.191	0.282

\*Adjusted for age at surgery (year, continuous), race (European American, African American, other, unknown), study site (5 sites, not listed here)

<sup>+</sup>Additionally adjusted for BMI (<25.0, 25.0–29.9, ≥30.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), education (less than high school, high school/technical school, college or higher, unknown), smoking status (never, former, current, unknown) and regular alcohol use (never, former, current, unknown).

<sup>‡</sup>*P*-trend was calculated by assigning median values for each tertile of sex hormones and SHBG as a continuous variable in logistic regression models.

		Gleason score<7 (N=130)				Gleason score≥7 (N=104)				
Sex hormones	N	None/Sparse	Moderate/Dense	<b>P</b> -	Ν	None/Sparse	Moderate/Dense	<b>P</b> -	P-	
		(n=57)	(n=73)	value⁺		(n=48)	(n=56)	value†	value <sup>‡</sup>	
Serum (ng/dl)										
Т	130	469.2 (417.0, 527.9)	439.9 (397.2, 487.1)	0.449	104	472.7 (418.5, 533.9)	447.3 (400.3, 499.8)	0.544	0.553	
DHT	130	52.1 (46.9, 57.9)	50.7 (46.2, 55.5)	0.706	104	50.5 (44.7, 57.1)	50.0 (44.7, 55.9)	0.906	0.493	
3α-diol-G	130	510.2 (442.9, 587.9)	544.0 (481.3, 614.9)	0.532	104	461.6 (392.0, 543.7)	498.0 (429.0, 578.1)	0.535	0.491	
A	130	74.1 (65.5, 83.8)	70.2 (63.2, 78.1)	0.548	104	67.5 (59.3, 76.7)	66.1 (58.8, 74.4)	0.836	0.725	
E1	130	5.5 (4.9, 6.1)	5.5 (5.0, 6.0)	0.990	104	4.7 (4.3, 5.2)	5.4 (5.0, 5.9)	0.049	0.451	
E2	130	3.2 (2.9, 3.6)	3.1 (2.8, 3.4)	0.699	104	2.9 (2.6, 3.3)	3.1 (2.8, 3.4)	0.521	0.185	
T/E2 Ratio	130	147.1 (131.8, 164.1)	142.1 (129.2, 156.2)	0.662	104	160.7 (140.9, 183.3)	144.1 (127.8, 162.4)	0.268	0.529	
A/E1 Ratio	130	13.5 (11.9, 15.2)	12.8 (11.5, 14.2)	0.555	104	14.3 (12.5, 16.4)	12.2 (10.8, 13.8)	0.116	0.794	
SHBG, nmol/L	130	32.8 (29.5, 36.4)	32.3 (29.5, 35.4)	0.852	104	34.4 (30.5, 38.7)	31.7 (28.4, 35.4)	0.381	0.861	
Free T	130	11.5 (10.4, 12.7)	10.8 (9.9, 11.8)	0.379	104	11.3 (10.2, 12.6)	11.1 (10.0, 12.2)	0.759	0.416	
Free E2	130	0.09 (0.08, 0.10)	0.09 (0.08, 0.09)	0.748	104	0.08 (0.07, 0.09)	0.09 (0.08, 0.10)	0.347	0.163	
Adjacent normal p	rostate	tissue (pg/g W)								
Т	130	210.5 (189.0, 234.6)	209.7 (191.0, 230.2)	0.958	101	243.1 (207.4, 284.8)	219.6 (190.6, 253.0)	0.388	0.937	
DHT	130	6667.3 (6148.5, 7229.9)	6095.1 (5682.5, 6537.6)	0.128	104	6993.5 (6419.9, 7618.4)	6781.6 (6272.4, 7332.0)	0.630	0.074	
3α-diol-G	129	2644.1 (2362.7, 2959.0)	2531.8 (2296.8, 2791.0)	0.594	102	2906.3 (2541.3, 3323.8)	2734.7 (2417.8, 3093.0)	0.543	0.882	
A	122	555.1 (489.4, 629.6)	583.6 (521.3, 653.4)	0.588	95	631.9 (527.9, 756.5)	574.5 (490.1, 673.4)	0.473	0.308	
E1	122	73.9 (65.2, 83.7)	69.5 (62.3, 77.5)	0.495	96	65.4 (57.0, 75.0)	75.6 (66.8, 85.5)	0.155	0.049	
E2	122	46.9 (41.2, 53.5)	45.0 (40.1, 50.4)	0.651	96	49.0 (41.7, 57.6)	51.8 (44.8, 59.9)	0.648	0.171	
T/E2 Ratio	122	4.4 (3.8, 5.0)	4.5 (4.0, 5.0)	0.760	96	4.8 (4.1, 5.7)	4.1 (3.6, 4.8)	0.192	0.140	
A/E1 Ratio	118	7.5 (6.4, 8.8)	8.5 (7.4, 9.8)	0.272	90	9.8 (8.2, 11.7)	7.5 (6.3, 8.8)	0.044	0.012	

Suppremental fubic windpusted geometric means of sex sectora normones and orthoo by enest han density and orthoor secte (1) a	Supplemental Table 6. Adjusted	geometric means of sex steroid hormones and SHBG b	y chest hair densit	y and Gleason score (	N=234
---	--------------------------------	--	---------------------	-----------------------	-------

\*Adjusted for age at surgery (year, continuous), race (European American, African American, other, unknown), study site (5 sites, not listed here), BMI (<25.0, 25.0–29.9, ≥30.0 kg/m2), education (less than high school, high school/technical school, college or higher, unknown), smoking status (never, former, current, unknown) and regular alcohol use (never, former, current, unknown).

<sup>†</sup>*P*-values were calculated for a global test of differences in geometric means.

<sup>‡</sup>*P*-values were calculated for the multiplicative interaction between balding status and Gleason score.

	European American (N=126)					African American (N=105)				
		None/Sparse	Moderate/Dense	<b>P</b> -		None/Sparse	Moderate/Dense	P-	<b>P</b> -	
Sex hormones	N	(n=40)	(n=86)	value⁺	N	(n=66)	(n=39)	value†	value <sup>‡</sup>	
Serum (ng/dl)										
Т	126	407.4 (358.4, 463.1)	422.4 (387.9, 460.0)	0.652	105	538.4 (486.7, 595.6)	479.0 (418.2, 548.7)	0.198	0.100	
DHT	126	45.5 (40.4, 51.4)	45.6 (42.1, 49.4)	0.994	105	60.0 (54.4, 66.0)	56.6 (49.7, 64.5)	0.508	0.403	
3α-diol-G	126	430.3 (362.5, 510.7)	513.8 (458.5, 575.8)	0.100	105	534.3 (475.9, 600.0)	498.4 (426.5, 582.3)	0.502	0.068	
A	126	76.9 (66.9, 88.4)	68.0 (62.0, 74.6)	0.163	105	67.0 (60.9, 73.8)	73.5 (64.6, 83.5)	0.285	0.086	
E1	126	4.7 (4.2, 5.2)	4.8 (4.4, 5.1)	0.780	105	5.8 (5.3, 6.4)	6.6 (5.9, 7.5)	0.108	0.492	
E2	126	2.6 (2.3, 3.0)	2.7 (2.5, 2.9)	0.722	105	3.6 (3.3, 4.0)	3.8 (3.4, 4.3)	0.516	0.811	
T/E2 Ratio	126	155.2 (136.6, 176.4)	156.6 (143.8, 170.5)	0.913	105	147.6 (133.8, 162.8)	124.7 (109.3, 142.3)	0.057	0.142	
A/E1 Ratio	126	16.4 (14.2, 18.9)	14.2 (12.9, 15.7)	0.116	105	11.5 (10.4, 12.7)	11.1 (9.7, 12.6)	0.677	0.259	
SHBG, nmol/L	126	30.4 (27.1, 34.1)	31.6 (29.2, 34.0)	0.606	105	36.9 (33.5, 40.7)	31.9 (27.9, 36.3)	0.093	0.040	
Free T	126	10.1 (9.0, 11.4)	10.5 (9.7, 11.3)	0.666	105	12.7 (11.7, 13.8)	11.8 (10.6, 13.3)	0.369	0.222	
Free E2	126	0.07 (0.07, 0.08)	0.08 (0.07, 0.08)	0.787	105	0.10 (0.09, 0.11)	0.11 (0.09, 0.12)	0.230	0.712	
Adjacent normal pro	ostate	tissue (pg/g W)								
Т	124	214.4 (188.2, 244.3)	210.9 (193.4, 229.9)	0.837	104	224.9 (199.2, 253.9)	211.1 (179.0, 248.8)	0.560	0.979	
DHT	126	6575.4 (5958.2, 7256.5)	6419.8 (6012.7, 6854.5)	0.698	105	7069.3 (6670.1, 7492.5)	6277.4 (5805.7, 6787.4)	0.024	0.335	
3α-diol-G	124	2586.4 (2245.5, 2979.1)	2732.5 (2485.6, 3003.9)	0.535	104	2743.2 (2516.3, 2990.5)	2394.2 (2133.6, 2686.7)	0.078	0.067	
A	117	626.5 (530.2, 740.2)	557.5 (497.7, 624.5)	0.268	99	562.5 (498.0, 635.3)	614.5 (521.0, 724.8)	0.420	0.117	
E1	120	59.0 (52.0, 66.8)	67.8 (62.3, 73.9)	0.077	97	78.7 (69.5, 89.1)	77.5 (65.6, 91.4)	0.888	0.283	
E2	120	44.6 (38.8, 51.3)	44.8 (40.7, 49.3)	0.961	97	49.0 (42.8, 56.0)	55.1 (46.0, 65.9)	0.331	0.330	
T/E2 Ratio	120	4.8 (4.2, 5.5)	4.6 (4.2, 5.0)	0.622	97	4.4 (3.9, 5.0)	3.8 (3.2, 4.5)	0.175	0.520	
A/E1 Ratio	114	10.8 (9.1, 12.8)	8.2 (7.3, 9.3)	0.015	94	7.2 (6.3, 8.4)	8.0 (6.6, 9.7)	0.428	0.033	

Supplemental Table 7. Adjusted' geometric means of sex steroid hormones and SHBG by chest hair density and race (N=231)

\*Adjusted for age at surgery (year, continuous), study site (5 sites, not listed here), BMI (<25.0, 25.0–29.9, ≥30.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), education (less than high school, high school/technical school, college or higher, unknown), smoking status (never, former, current, unknown) and regular alcohol use (never, former, current, unknown). <sup>†</sup>P-values were calculated for a global test of differences in geometric means.

<sup>1</sup>P-values were calculated for the multiplicative interaction between balding status and race (European American vs. African American).

Supplemental Fig 1. Modified Norwood-Hamilton Scale used to score hair loss patterns in NCI Prostate Tissue Study



No baldness



Vertex + Frontal baldness



Frontal baldness