

New evidence on the management of Lewy body dementia

Dr John-Paul Taylor PhD^{1*}; Professor Ian Grant McKeith, FMedSci¹; Professor David Burn FMedSci¹, Professor Brad Boeve, M.D.²; Professor Daniel Weintraub, M.D.^{3,4,5}; Ms Claire Bamford, MSc.⁶; Professor Louise Allan PhD⁸; Professor Alan Jeffrey Thomas¹; Professor John Tiernan O'Brien FMedSci⁹

1. Institute of Neuroscience, Biomedical Research Building, Campus for Ageing and Vitality, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne NE4 5PL, UK
2. Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA
3. Department of Psychiatry, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA.
4. Department of Neurology, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA.
5. Parkinson's Disease and Mental Illness Research, Education and Clinical Centers (PADRECC and MIRECC), Philadelphia Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Philadelphia, PA
6. Institute of Health and Society, Biomedical Research Building, Campus for Ageing and Vitality, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne NE4 5PL, UK
7. University of Exeter Medical School, South Cloisters Building, St Luke's Campus, Heavitree Road, Exeter, Devon EX1 2LU
8. Department of Psychiatry, University of Cambridge School of Clinical Medicine, Box 189, Level E4 Cambridge Biomedical Campus, Cambridge CB2 0SP, UK

* Corresponding author

Abstract

Dementia with Lewy bodies and Parkinson's disease dementia, jointly known as Lewy body dementia (LBD), are common neurodegenerative conditions. Patients with LBD present with a wide range of cognitive, neuropsychiatric, sleep, motor, and autonomic symptoms. The expression of these varies between individual patients, and over time. Treatments may benefit one symptom, but at the expense of worsening another, making management difficult. Often symptoms are managed in isolation and by different specialists, which undermines high quality care.

Clinical trials and meta-analyses now provide an improved evidence base for the treatment of cognitive, neuropsychiatric and motor symptoms in LBD, in addition to which expert consensus opinion supports the application of treatments from related conditions such as Parkinson's disease (PD) for the management of, for example, autonomic symptoms. There remain however clear evidence gaps and there is a high need for future clinical trials focused on specific symptoms in LBD.

Introduction

Lewy body dementia (LBD), a term which comprises both dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) and Parkinson's disease dementia (PDD), represents the second most common cause of neurodegenerative dementia.¹⁻³ DLB accounts for 4.2-7.5% of cases of dementia in clinic-based studies^{1,2}, and PDD is a frequent outcome for patients with PD, with up to 80% of patients affected in the long term.⁴ Consensus clinical diagnostic criteria have been proposed for both DLB³ and PDD,⁵ and the relationship between the two disorders remains to be clarified. They likely represent different points along a Lewy body disease continuum with pathological and genetic overlap^{6,7} and currently are demarcated clinically from one another using the "one-year rule", based on the temporal onset of motor relative to cognitive symptoms.³

DLB and PDD are complex and heterogeneous disorders; patients present with a wide range of cognitive, neuropsychiatric, sleep, motor and autonomic symptoms.^{3,5} There have been guidelines outlining some treatment options for DLB and PDD (e.g.⁸⁻¹⁰), but there is no comprehensive guide to management. Treatment of LBD has particular challenges. Symptoms are expressed variably between patients and over time, natural fluctuations in symptoms are an inherent part of the disease, and frequently treatment of one symptom can worsen another. Furthermore, an individual patient's symptoms are often managed by different specialists, leading to uncoordinated and suboptimal care.^{11,12} With the inclusion of DLB and PDD in DSM-5 and ICD-11 and the development

of diagnostic toolkits to improve case detection¹³ there is a clear need for an inclusive, and standardised, management approach for LBD to improve care and outcomes.

Up to now, the evidence base for treatments in LBD has been limited. However, additional trials now allow for robust systematic and meta-analytic reviews of the evidence.¹⁴⁻¹⁸, and there is new evidence, for example, for the treatment for symptoms such as parkinsonism¹⁹ and daytime somnolence.²⁰. Thus a review focussed on the clinical management of LBD is timely and appropriate.

There remain some gaps, for example, there are few data focussed on how to manage autonomic and sleep symptoms in LBD. These non-motor symptoms are evident in advanced PD and therefore drawing upon the wider evidence base in PD to inform best practice in LBD is appropriate. Similarly there is more interventional trial data in AD for cognitive and neuropsychiatric symptoms. In this review, to address these gaps, we include independent opinion developed from a Delphi consensus process (described in detail in Appendix) drawing upon expert clinical experience and data from related disorders such as AD and PD. We focus on the progress made in bringing these elements together to provide a basis for a comprehensive management approach to LBD and present our management review in a problem orientated format, covering the key symptom domains of cognitive impairment, neuropsychiatric symptoms, motor features before moving to autonomic and sleep symptoms, which have often been neglected previously and identify key evidence gaps and areas for future consideration including suggestions of future treatment trials for specific symptoms.

Cognitive Impairment

Attention, executive and visuo-perceptual abilities are disproportionately affected in LBD compared to naming and memory³, with variations in cognitive function (cognitive fluctuation) a key feature and a core symptom for DLB diagnosis.³ Systematic reviews and meta-analyses found that the cholinesterase inhibitors (ChEI) donepezil (6 trials in both ¹⁵ and ¹⁴) and rivastigmine (5 trials in ¹⁵ and 2 in ¹⁴) were similarly effective in both DLB and PDD for improving cognition. Positive impacts on activities of daily living and caregiver burden were also found. One meta-analysis¹⁵ suggested rivastigmine was also associated with reduced mortality in LBD, although this effect disappeared with a trial sequential analysis. Both drugs are recommended as first line treatment in DLB by the United Kingdom National Institute for Health and Care Excellence.¹⁰ Donepezil is licensed for the treatment of DLB in Japan, and rivastigmine for PDD in the USA and some European countries. Evidence of the efficacy of galantamine in LBD is sparse as there are only open-label trials supporting its use and these are of lower quality.^{14,15}

Typically the choice of ChEI is influenced by ease of administration, side effect profile, presence of comorbidities, dose titration regime, cost, care-giver preference and previous clinical experience.²¹ Rivastigmine may be associated with more adverse events in both PDD and DLB than donepezil^{14,15} although has a transdermal patch formulation which, in PDD, appears to have less gastrointestinal side effects than oral rivastigmine.²² An open-label study of seven patients with LBD supported the benefit of high-dose ChEIs²³ although this was at the cost of increased side effects. An absence of improvement should not be a reason for discontinuation of ChEIs, as patients with LBD are less likely to deteriorate whilst taking them.¹⁴ There are no controlled trials of ChEI withdrawal, though an open-label trial of 19 LBD patients found that sudden withdrawal may be associated with deterioration in both cognition and neuropsychiatric symptoms.²⁴

Studies of memantine show that it is well tolerated in LBD, but evidence for efficacy remains mixed.^{14,15} Two major 24-week, double-blind, randomised control trials^{25,26} which included both DLB and PDD patients (271 patients in total) reported significant overall clinical global impression of change (CGI-C) improvements¹⁴. However there was no consistency between studies in terms of which symptoms improved or whether DLB or PDD sub-groups benefited more from the drug.¹⁴ Posthoc analyses of data from 51 patients in one study²⁵ found the improvement in CGI-C scores was related to improvements in attention.²⁷ Other posthoc analyses from this trial and others have reported improvements in patient quality of life and a reduction in care-giver burden.^{28,29} A 36 month open label, follow-up study of 42 patients from one centres in one of the memantine studies²⁵ suggested that a positive response to memantine was associated with improved survival in LBD although the small sample size may have introduced biases and precluded analysis of any covariates.³⁰ Dosing, side effects and the use of different ChEI and memantine in LBD are described in table 1.

In summary, there is good evidence for the efficacy of rivastigmine and donepezil in LBD, but better controlled studies of galantamine are needed to draw conclusions about this agent. Memantine may have some benefits, but further studies with larger numbers of DLB and PPD subjects are needed. It is also unclear whether memantine should be used as a monotherapy or whether it should be combined with ChEI, as only one of the two trials of memantine allowed concomitant ChEI use.²⁵

Neuropsychiatric symptoms

Patients with LBD present with a variety of neuropsychiatric symptoms, including visual hallucinations, hallucinations in other modalities, systematised delusions, apathy, aggression, anxiety and depression.³¹ Symptoms may not always need treatment, for example, hallucinations may be regarded neutrally, or as comforting or pleasurable, and have limited or no impact on psychosocial function.^{3,32} Patients frequently lack insight or awareness into the extent of their neuropsychiatric symptoms, so including informant information in the clinical assessment is essential. Rating scales, e.g. for visual hallucinations³³, can provide a useful framework for assessing severity and frequency of symptoms and monitoring treatment response. Scales can either be specific to a symptom or composite; the latter which aggregate several symptoms, such as the Neuropsychiatric Inventory,³⁴ have tended to be used as measures in clinical trials in LBD (e.g.^{25,26,35,36}).

As for other dementias, non-pharmacological interventions are usually advocated as first line treatment for neuropsychiatric symptoms,¹⁰ though the evidence base for these in LBD is limited, with very few randomised controlled trials.^{17,18} In this context, application of approaches shown to be effective in AD may also be helpful in LBD, although there is no specific consensus as to if or how they may be adapted.¹⁸ Electroceutical approaches are increasingly being investigated in LBD; improvements in depression have been reported with electroconvulsive therapy in DLB in a number of case series (22 patients in total)¹⁸ and one case series of six DLB patients suggested rapid rate transcranial magnetic stimulation reduced depression scores significantly.³⁷ In contrast, randomised sham-control trials of transcranial direct current stimulation in LBD have not shown benefits for cognitive remediation (42 patients)³⁸ or hallucinations (36 patients).³⁹ There is preliminary evidence suggesting that DBS (deep brain stimulation) to the nucleus basalis of Meynert in 6 PDD patients may improve neuropsychiatric outcomes⁴⁰ and this warrants further investigation.

If symptoms are severe or distressing, or non-pharmacological measures have failed, then pharmacotherapy may be indicated.¹⁰ Studies of donepezil and rivastigmine have found improvements in composite scores of neuropsychiatric symptoms in LBD.¹⁵ although identifying specific symptom benefit is more challenging, as these are not frequently reported in studies. Scores which aggregate apathy, delusions, depression and hallucinations have indicated a benefit in DLB from donepezil but not rivastigmine.^{35,36} One systematic review¹⁴ suggested that donepezil, but not rivastigmine, may have specific benefits for delusions, hallucinations and cognitive fluctuations in DLB. Conversely in PDD, donepezil does not appear beneficial for hallucinations, hostility,

suspiciousness or unusual thought content.⁴¹ Interpretation is difficult, but considering findings collectively, expert opinion from our Delphi consensus group as well as national guideline bodies (e.g.¹⁰) have endorsed the use of rivastigmine and donepezil for neuropsychiatric symptoms. Open-label trial data of galantamine provides tentative evidence of improved cognitive fluctuation, sleep and psychiatric symptoms in DLB⁴²; and hallucinations, anxiety, apathy and sleep symptoms in PDD⁴³ and thus could be an alternative if other ChEIs aren't tolerated. There is no consistent evidence of a clear or significant effect of memantine on neuropsychiatric symptoms in LBD.^{14,15}

If psychotic symptoms remain problematic despite ChEI or memantine treatment, then a trial of an antipsychotic agent may need to be considered although this needs to be balanced against a scarcity of documented efficacy of these agents when assessed systematically¹⁴ and the high risk (up to 50%) of severe sensitivity reactions with antipsychotics in LBD which can be life-threatening and a longer term elevated mortality risk⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶ If prescribed in LBD, there needs to be a high degree of caution in their use.⁴⁷ There is no evidence to favour any one anti-psychotic drug in LBD. Quetiapine appears to have the least side effects although evidence for its efficacy in PD⁹ and LBD is insufficient.¹⁴ Clozapine, effective in PD psychosis⁴⁸ may also help in LBD, although again specific trials for use of this agent in the latter are absent. Pimavanserin, a new antipsychotic with specific inverse agonism at the 5HT_{2A} receptor, and available in US although not Europe, has demonstrable antipsychotic properties in PD psychosis;⁴⁹ its efficacy and safety remain to be formally evaluated in LBD.

Depression occurs in about one third of LBD patients^{50,51} and is often accompanied by anxiety. Pharmacological treatments for depression and anxiety in LBD have not been adequately evaluated, with the highest level of evidence from a small randomised control trial of citalopram in 14 DLB patients which failed to demonstrate efficacy and found high levels of adverse effects.⁵² Higher quality trial evidence exists for venlafaxine, a selective serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor (SNRI) in PD whereas data for selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) and tricyclics are less conclusive.⁹ Given the sensitivity of LBD patients to anticholinergic side effects the expert consensus view is that antidepressants known to have these effects are best avoided.

In summary, there is a dearth of studies of non-pharmacological management of neuropsychiatric symptoms in LBD and more are needed. ChEI may help, but further studies focussing on which particular symptom domains are most likely to improve are needed. The impact of memantine on neuropsychiatric symptoms needs to be examined in large controlled studies. Studies of

pimavanserin in LBD for psychosis, and controlled trials of antidepressants for depression, are needed.

Motor Symptoms

Up to 85% of DLB subjects experience motor difficulties³ although rest tremor is less prevalent than in PD⁵³ and motor symptoms may be less treatment-responsive in DLB.⁵⁴ In contrast, in PDD parkinsonism can be moderate to severe and patients have often been exposed to long-term and high-dose antiparkinsonian medications with commensurate side effects including motor fluctuations and psychosis.^{55,56} Thus the management of motor symptoms can differ markedly between DLB and PDD (table 2).

There have been no double-blind controlled trials of levodopa therapy in DLB, or of whether changing to a levodopa monotherapy regime in PDD is beneficial. However, open-label studies suggest that both acute and chronic levodopa monotherapy use can help motor function and reduce tremor in DLB and PDD.^{54,57} Improvements in motor function appear greater in PDD than DLB (65-70% vs. 32-50%)¹⁴ and in the latter approximately one in three levodopa treated patients will experience psychotic symptoms.⁵⁷ A meta-analysis of four double-blind randomised controlled trials in 1,068 PD patients,⁵⁸ and a Phase II trial in 158 early stage DLB patients, have reported a motor improvement with zonisamide, an anti-epileptic agent, when used as adjunctive treatment to levodopa.¹⁹

In terms of non-pharmacological approaches, DBS is an effective treatment for motor symptoms in selected PD patients.⁵⁹ However pre-existing cognitive impairment is a contraindication and DBS, in itself, may subtly impair cognitive function.⁶⁰

In summary, open label studies show some motor benefit for levodopa therapy in LBD, so this can be used for motor symptoms, though adverse effects including psychosis may occur in up to third. Further double-blind studies are indicated, both of levodopa and also newer agents like zonisamide.

Falls

Falls are common in LBD and associated with significant morbidity and mortality.^{61,62} Contributors to fall risk in LBD are typically multifactorial including parkinsonism, dysautonomia, and frailty.⁶² Physiotherapy has a clear evidence base in PD and can help improve balance, power and flexibility, as well as enhance mobility, all factors which can decrease the risk of falls and improve functional

independence.^{62,63} Unfortunately, there is no evidence specifically in LBD, and studies are needed especially as cognitive impairment and other comorbid symptoms could adversely influence engagement with therapy.

Autonomic dysfunction

There are a wide range of autonomic symptoms in LBD, and these are associated with more rapid disease progression and shorter survival.⁶⁴ Despite the prominence and impact of these symptoms, there is no established evidence base for their treatment in LBD so opinion on best management is largely drawn upon the more established evidence base in PD.^{8,65,66}

Orthostatic hypotension

Fludrocortisone and midodrine have been suggested as useful in PD^{8,9,67} although there are no LBD data and only limited data in PD (See table 3), and both agents require specific monitoring with the availability of midodrine being restricted in some countries. Droxidopa is licensed in some countries (e.g. United States) for orthostatic hypotension in PD. Whilst no data exist in LBD, given its low side effect profile⁶⁸ use of droxidopa in LBD may be an option where available. Further details on these drugs are described in table 3. The importance of treating orthostatic hypotension in LBD is highlighted by the link between orthostatic hypotension and attention-executive impairments in PD raising the possibility that treatment of the former may have benefits beyond the hypotension in itself, and controlled trials are needed to assess this.⁶⁹

Gastrointestinal dysfunction

The full extent of the alimentary tract can be affected in LBD with symptoms ranging from sialorrhoea to dysphagia, gastroparesis and constipation.^{70,71} In PD the prevalence of excessive drooling has been reported in controlled studies to range from 10 to 81% with significant negative impacts on quality of life and social and emotional function.⁷² Drooling may be related to inefficient swallowing which leads to high rates of aspiration (>80%) in LBD.⁷³ A randomised control trial exploring interventions to prevent aspiration including 132 PDD with dysphagia found lower rates of aspiration as evidenced on videofluoroscopy, with honey thickened fluids (59% compared to 64-69% with other interventions)⁷⁴. Another study noted improved swallowing function objectively in 48 LBD patients referred for videofluoroscopy with carbonated liquids.⁷³ Whether such interventions have clinically meaningful impacts (e.g. prevention of aspiration pneumonia) remains to be resolved. A randomised cross-over trial of glycopyrrolate (1 mg, twice or three times a day) in 23 PD patients found that 9 of patients had a clinically relevant improvement in sialorrhoea⁷⁵ over a four week

period; however the efficacy of this agent in LBD is not known. An evidence based review of botulium toxin injection to the salivary glands appears effective and safe in PD⁷², and thus by extension in LBD, though repeated injections are needed.

Gastric emptying appears even slower in DLB than in PD⁷⁶ and correlates with severity of motor impairment.⁷⁷ Impairments in gastric motility can lead to fullness, reflux and excess eructation and importantly impact on drug absorption. Management includes avoidance of high fat foods, drinking during meals as well as walking after meals plus an awareness that dopaminergic medications can exacerbate gastroparesis.^{70,78} Domperidone, a peripheral dopamine blocker may have efficacy in the treatment of gastroparesis in PD^{78,79} but there are significant concerns with regard to cardiotoxicity and the risk of QT prolongation so it is not available in all countries, e.g. not in the United States.

Constipation is one of the most common symptoms in LBD.⁸⁰ Prolonged colon transit time and pelvic floor dyssynergia have been implicated as causes^{70,71}. Constipation can also be exacerbated by opiates and anticholinergics,^{80,81} poor fluid intake, reduced fibre intake and sedentary behaviour. Polyethylene glycol (macrogol) and psyllium increase bowel frequency in PD^{82,83} and along with dietary modification, increased fluid intake and suppositories are advocated as treatments for constipation in LBD (expert opinion). Stronger laxatives, suppositories or enemas may be needed in severely affected patients.⁶⁶ Lubiprostone, a bicyclic fatty acid which activates type-2 chloride channels (ClC-2) in the gut and enhances intestinal secretions has been shown to have short term benefits in PD.^{80,84}

In summary, controlled trial evidence supports the use of thickened liquids to reduce aspiration in LBD, but studies of interventions to help other symptoms such as constipation are needed. Until then, the evidence-base for PD can be used to inform management of gastrointestinal symptoms in LBD.

Urinary symptoms

Urinary symptoms in LBD are very common and include urgency, frequency and incontinence.⁸⁵ Despite this, there are no LBD specific trials with data coming instead from PD. In this context, a double blind randomised controlled trial of solifenacin over 12 weeks in 23 PD patients with urinary frequency, incontinence and nocturia reported significant reduction in micturition frequency.⁸⁶ However anti-muscarinics (including solifenacin) have high levels of adverse effects including cognitive⁸⁷ which may be a contraindication for their use in LBD. An alternative drug without

cognitive side effects is mirabegron, a beta 3-adrenoceptor agonist; a retrospective cohort study which considered 50 patients between 2012 and 2017 suggested this agent was well tolerated in PD and offered benefit.⁸⁸

Excess sweating

Hyperhidrosis is reported by two thirds of PD patients,⁸⁹ is associated with disease severity and may be associated with motor fluctuations^{90,91} although how common it is in LBD is not known. It has significant social and emotional impacts and may occur with other autonomic disturbances.⁸⁹ There are no treatment trials but there was a consensus from our Delphi panel group that patients may benefit from the use of loose fitting clothing, cotton bedding for night sweats and antiperspirants as well as avoidance of triggers e.g. alcohol, spicy foods, hot rooms. For those with dyskinesias and hyperhidrosis, reducing dopaminergic medication should be considered.^{89,91}

Nocturnal sleep disturbances

Sleep disturbances in LBD can be severe and include insomnia, sleep fragmentation, REM sleep behaviour disorder (RBD), motor-related sleep disturbances, restless legs syndrome (RLS), periodic limb movements, obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA) and excessive daytime sleepiness.³ Most of the evidence base for the management of these comes from studies conducted in PD and idiopathic RBD rather than in LBD. Management begins with education on good sleep hygiene, and avoidance of any drugs that may affect sleep or alertness.⁸

For insomnia, meta-analysis of melatonin from 9 randomised control trials across a range of neurodegenerative conditions such as PD (including a study of 40 patients) found improvements in subjective sleep quality although not objective measures and appears to be well tolerated.^{92,93} Z-drugs (non-benzodiazepine) such as eszopiclone, zopiclone, or zolpidem, have no evidence base in LBD but expert consensus opinion suggested that they may be considered for short term treatment of insomnia if there is no evidence of sleep apnoea, with the caveat that they may have negative impacts on cognition, daytime sleepiness and increase the risk of fractures and falls.⁹⁴ If sleep disturbances occur secondary to nocturnal parkinsonism, the use of long-acting levodopa preparations has been advocated.⁹⁵ Randomised controlled studies have demonstrated that dopaminergic medications such as ropinirole, pramipexole, and rotigotine have efficacy in treating idiopathic RLS.⁹⁵ but there is no clear evidence for the management of RLS in LBD. A meta-analysis of 35 studies in 7333 participants with RLS found that gabapentin may be equally effective.⁹⁶ However all of the above agents would need to be used with caution in LBD given their attendant cognitive

side effects (expert opinion). OSA may occur in up to a third of patients with LBD⁹⁷ and is often unrecognised. Patients may experience excessive daytime somnolence, worsening cognitive function, unrefreshing sleep, and early morning headaches.⁹⁸ Pauses in breathing whilst asleep and regular snoring raise the suspicion of this particular sleep symptom and there are a number of associated risk factors (overweight, male, smoker, on sedatives, alcohol use, reflux and anatomical considerations e.g. collar size >43 cm or 17 inches)⁹⁹ which should be assessed for.

RBD is a parasomnia manifested by recurrent dream enactment behaviour that includes movements mimicking dream content and associated with an absence of normal REM sleep atonia. Between half to three quarters of patients with LBD experience RBD^{100,101} and it is a core symptom for the diagnosis of DLB.³ It can antedate the onset of PD and LBD by many years or may emerge during the dementia phase.^{102,103} However it is important to recognise that obstructive sleep apnoea, narcolepsy, as well as nocturnal arousal events coupled with confusion may mimic RBD.^{97,103} Determining the origin of sleep disturbance in LBD may therefore require polysomnography.³ Delphi consensus opinion highlighted a number of non-pharmacological strategies which have been tried in RBD in LBD including, for example, lowering bed or placing mattress on the floor, removal of potentially dangerous objects in the bedroom such as sharp or glass objects, or if necessary, that bed partners to sleep separately from the patient. Some medications may worsen RBD (e.g. antidepressants)¹⁰⁴ and in terms of active treatment, retrospective case series in idiopathic and secondary RBD support the use of clonazepam, though caution is needed in people with LBD who are more prone to gait disturbance, sleep apnoea, marked cognitive impairment and are at significant risk of falls (see¹⁰⁵ for discussion). Pramipexole has been evaluated in observational studies as potentially effective for treating RBD in PD⁹⁵ but is associated with an increased risk of psychosis.¹⁰⁶ Melatonin (3 mg to 12 mg before bedtime), has a better side effect profile, and has an evidence base in the treatment of idiopathic RBD^{105,107}. Another option is memantine; this agent decreased physical activity during sleep over 24 weeks in a controlled study of 20 LBD patients, while patients in the placebo group (n=22) worsened.¹⁰⁸

Excessive Daytime Sleepiness

This is common in LBD¹⁰⁹ and can make daily function challenging for patients and carers. Management is difficult and primarily draws upon ensuring good sleep hygiene and assessing for other potential causes or factors which might exacerbate the sleepiness.⁶⁷ An open label trial of 20 DLB patients with hypersomnia with armodafinil reported improvements in sleepiness, neuropsychiatric symptoms and carer quality of life.²⁰ A trial of methylphenidate for gait

dysfunction in PD^{110,111} found improvements in excessive sleepiness as a secondary outcome, but there are no studies assessing sleepiness as a primary efficacy outcome measure in PD or LBD. Other treatments such as atomoxetine, sodium oxybate, istradefylline and caffeine have been investigated for sleepiness (in PD) but evidence to support their efficacy remains insufficient at present.¹¹² In LBD memantine did not improve day time sleepiness in a small controlled trial of 42 patients.¹⁰⁸

In summary, extrapolating from the evidence base in PD and related disorders, management of sleep problems in LBD includes attention to sleep hygiene and the avoidance of exacerbating factors. RBD treatment may include clonazepam, melatonin, or potentially memantine. Management of OSA is best undertaken by specialist sleep services. Further studies of management strategies for specific sleep disturbances in DLB, including RBD and excessive daytime sleepiness are needed.

Conclusions and future directions

This review has summarised the evidence base for pharmacological and non-pharmacological management of LBD which can inform patient management. It is important that treatment of any single symptom should not be done in isolation, as benefit in one domain may sometimes be gained at the cost of deterioration in another. A multi-specialist/ interdisciplinary approach is likely to produce greatest therapeutic gains, although delivery of that may present practical and logistical challenges for health care services.

There are many areas which need further research (table 4)¹¹³ and a major unmet challenge is the relative paucity of evidence from high quality, large scale clinical trials in LBD. Given the heterogeneous nature of LBD and the different constellations of symptoms with which patients can present, trial design, and definition of outcome measures, remain problematic and need to be prioritised and agreed upon with the regulatory bodies before large trials are embarked upon. We also need to improve our understanding of the underlying molecular mechanisms and to identify novel targets for therapeutic intervention. Inclusion of LBD in formal diagnostic classifications such as DSM-5 and ICD-11 is a significant step forward as this is expected to drive interest in developing therapeutics for these conditions which are needed in equal measure across all of the symptom domains discussed above. It appears that there already is an increase in trial activity in LBD (table 5). International strategic efforts such as European DLB consortium (E-DLB) and the US based LBD Research Centres of Excellence networks (<https://www.lbda.org/rcoecenters>) are also providing important research infrastructure to support such work and resources need to be directed into

developing and strengthening these. The scale of costs and unmet need in LBD is high, and although LBD management may be complex, the potential benefits are also substantial.

Search strategy and selection criteria

The full search and paper selection criteria for interventions in LBD are described in the Appendix. In brief, clinical trials and intervention studies in LBD were identified as described in¹⁴ and ¹⁸ through bibliographic databases, trials registers, and gray literature. Search terms for identification of these studies included (Lewy OR Park*/parkinson) and dementia and we extended these searches from our original systematic reviews to 13th of February 2019. In these two systematic reviews, at least two reviewers independently assessed search results for inclusion by title and abstract with papers reviewed in full if participants had a diagnosis of DLB, PDD, or LBD (or were the caregivers of patients with these diagnoses) and were relevant. Reference lists of relevant studies and previous systematic reviews were also examined. In addition, for the purposes of the present review we also sought input from members of the Delphi expert consensus panel (listed in Appendix) for any missing literature as well as relevant trials in AD and PD and papers pertinent to LBD symptom aetiology and epidemiology. We prioritised articles published in the past five years (from 1st January 2013) as well as systematic reviews and meta-analyses where these were available. Older articles for citation were chosen for their historical value, importance, ease of access, and timeliness.

Author's contributions

John-Paul Taylor and Ian McKeith directed the Delphi process which is described in the Appendix, with Dan Weintraub and Brad Boeve contributing as experts.

John-Paul Taylor produced the first draft of the manuscript, including the tables, with assistance from Ian McKeith and John O'Brien.

All authors contributed equally to revising and re-revising the manuscript and all authors approved the final submission.

Disclosures

Taylor, McKeith, Thomas, Bamford, Allan, Burn, and O'Brien report grants from the NIHR during the conduct of this study.

Taylor reports grants from NIHR, during the conduct of the study; non-financial support from Axovant, personal fees from GE Healthcare, outside the submitted work.

McKeith reports personal fees from Axovant, personal fees from Eisai, personal fees from GE Healthcare, personal fees from Sumitomo Dainippon Pharma, personal fees from Heptares, outside the submitted work.

Boeve reports personal fees from Scientific Advisory Board - Tau Consortium, grants from GE Healthcare, grants from NIH, grants from Mangurian Foundation, grants from Axovant, outside the submitted work.

O'Brien reports personal fees from TauRx, personal fees from Axon, personal fees from GE Healthcare, personal fees from Eisai, outside the submitted work.

Weintraub reports personal fees from Acadia, outside the submitted work.

Acknowledgements

Two of the systematic reviews informing this article^{14,18} and the Delphi process (Appendix 1) were funded as part of a United Kingdom National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Programme Grant for Applied Research entitled "Improving the Diagnosis and Management of Lewy body Dementia" (DIAMOND-Lewy; Grant Reference Number DTC-RP-PG-0311-12001). This evidence presented in this review informed our development of formal LBD management guidelines and toolkits as part of the Diamond Lewy research programme. These clinical guidelines were developed for United Kingdom clinical practice and UK drug availability, but adaptable for other countries, are available online at: www.research.ncl.ac.uk/diamondlewy/. We also acknowledge infrastructure support provided by Newcastle Biomedical Research Centre hosted by Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust and Newcastle University, the Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre hosted by Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust and Cambridgeshire and Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust, and the Cambridge Centre for Parkinson-Plus within the University of Cambridge. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the United Kingdom National Health Service, the NIHR, or the Department of Health.

References

1. Vann Jones SA, O'Brien JT. The prevalence and incidence of dementia with Lewy bodies: a systematic review of population and clinical studies. *Psychological medicine* 2014; **44**(4): 673-83.
2. Kane JPM, Surendranathan A, Bentley A, et al. Clinical prevalence of Lewy body dementia. *Alzheimer's research & therapy* 2018; **10**(1): 19.
3. McKeith IG, Boeve BF, Dickson DW, et al. Diagnosis and management of dementia with Lewy bodies: Fourth consensus report of the DLB Consortium. *Neurology* 2017; **89**(1): 88-100.
4. Hely MA, Reid WG, Adena MA, Halliday GM, Morris JG. The Sydney multicenter study of Parkinson's disease: the inevitability of dementia at 20 years. *Mov Disord* 2008; **23**(6): 837-44.
5. Emre M, Aarsland D, Brown R, et al. Clinical diagnostic criteria for dementia associated with Parkinson's disease. *Movement Disorders* 2007; **22**(12): 1689-707.
6. Jellinger KA. Dementia with Lewy bodies and Parkinson's disease-dementia: current concepts and controversies. *J Neural Transm (Vienna)* 2018; **125**(4): 615-50.
7. Orme T, Guerreiro R, Bras J. The Genetics of Dementia with Lewy Bodies: Current Understanding and Future Directions. *Current neurology and neuroscience reports* 2018; **18**(10): 67.
8. Excellence NifHaC. Parkinson's Disease in Adults: nice.org.uk/guidance/ng71. 2017.
9. Seppi K, Ray Chaudhuri K, Coelho M, et al. Update on treatments for nonmotor symptoms of Parkinson's disease-an evidence-based medicine review. *Mov Disord* 2019: [Epub ahead of print].
10. Excellence NifHaC. Dementia: assessment, management and support for people living with dementia and their carers: nice.org.uk/guidance/ng97. 2018.
11. Killen A, Flynn D, De Brun A, et al. Support and information needs following a diagnosis of dementia with Lewy bodies. *International psychogeriatrics / IPA* 2016; **28**(3): 495-501.
12. Zweig YR, Galvin JE. Lewy body dementia: the impact on patients and caregivers. *Alzheimer's research & therapy* 2014; **6**(2): 21.
13. Thomas AJ, Taylor JP, McKeith I, et al. Revision of assessment toolkits for improving the diagnosis of Lewy body dementia: The DIAMOND Lewy study. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2018; **33**(10): 1293-304.
14. Stinton C, McKeith I, Taylor JP, et al. Pharmacological Management of Lewy Body Dementia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Am J Psychiatry* 2015: appiajp201514121582.
15. Wang HF, Yu JT, Tang SW, et al. Efficacy and safety of cholinesterase inhibitors and memantine in cognitive impairment in Parkinson's disease, Parkinson's disease dementia, and dementia with Lewy bodies: systematic review with meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2015; **86**(2): 135-43.
16. O'Brien JT, Holmes C, Jones M, et al. Clinical practice with anti-dementia drugs: A revised (third) consensus statement from the British Association for Psychopharmacology. *J Psychopharmacol* 2017; **31**(2): 147-68.
17. Morrin H, Fang T, Servant D, Aarsland D, Rajkumar AP. Systematic review of the efficacy of non-pharmacological interventions in people with Lewy body dementia. *International psychogeriatrics / IPA* 2018; **30**(3): 395-407.
18. Connors MH, Quinto L, McKeith I, et al. Non-pharmacological interventions for Lewy body dementia: a systematic review. *Psychological medicine* 2017: 1-10.
19. Murata M, Odawara T, Hasegawa K, et al. Adjunct zonisamide to levodopa for DLB parkinsonism:A randomized double-blind phase 2 study. *Neurology* 2018; **90**(8): e664-e72.
20. Lapid MI, Kuntz KM, Mason SS, et al. Efficacy, Safety, and Tolerability of Armodafinil Therapy for Hypersomnia Associated with Dementia with Lewy Bodies: A Pilot Study. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* 2017; **43**(5-6): 269-80.
21. Cummings JL, Isaacson RS, Schmitt FA, Velting DM. A practical algorithm for managing Alzheimer's disease: what, when, and why? *Annals of clinical and translational neurology* 2015; **2**(3): 307-23.

22. Emre M, Poewe W, De Deyn PP, et al. Long-term safety of rivastigmine in parkinson disease dementia: an open-label, randomized study. *Clinical neuropharmacology* 2014; **37**(1): 9-16.
23. Pakrasi S, Thomas A, Mosimann UP, et al. Cholinesterase inhibitors in advanced Dementia with Lewy bodies: increase or stop? *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2006; **21**(8): 719-21.
24. Minett TS, Thomas A, Wilkinson LM, et al. What happens when donepezil is suddenly withdrawn? An open label trial in dementia with Lewy bodies and Parkinson's disease with dementia. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2003; **18**(11): 988-93.
25. Aarsland D, Ballard C, Walker Z, et al. Memantine in patients with Parkinson's disease dementia or dementia with Lewy bodies: a double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre trial. *The Lancet Neurology* 2009; **8**(7): 613-8.
26. Emre M, Tsolaki M, Bonuccelli U, et al. Memantine for patients with Parkinson's disease dementia or dementia with Lewy bodies: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2010; **9**(10): 969-77.
27. Wesnes KA, Aarsland D, Ballard C, Londos E. Memantine improves attention and episodic memory in Parkinson's disease dementia and dementia with Lewy bodies. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2015; **30**(1): 46-54.
28. Larsson V, Engedal K, Aarsland D, Wattmo C, Minthon L, Londos E. Quality of Life and the Effect of Memantine in Dementia with Lewy Bodies and Parkinson's Disease Dementia. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders* 2011; **32**(4): 227-34.
29. Leroi I, Atkinson R, Overshott R. Memantine improves goal attainment and reduces caregiver burden in Parkinson's disease with dementia. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2014; **29**(9): 899-905.
30. Stubendorff K, Larsson V, Ballard C, Minthon L, Aarsland D, Londos E. Treatment effect of memantine on survival in dementia with Lewy bodies and Parkinson's disease with dementia: a prospective study. *BMJ open* 2014; **4**(7).
31. Ballard C, Aarsland D, Francis P, Corbett A. Neuropsychiatric symptoms in patients with dementias associated with cortical Lewy bodies: pathophysiology, clinical features, and pharmacological management. *Drugs Aging* 2013; **30**(8): 603-11.
32. Collerton D, Taylor JP. Advances in the treatment of visual hallucinations in neurodegenerative diseases. *Future Neurol* 2013; **8**(4): 433-44.
33. Mosimann UP, Collerton D, Robert D, et al. A semi-structured interview to assess visual hallucinations in older people. *Int J of Geriatric Psychiatry* 2008; **23**(7): 712-8.
34. Cummings JL, Mega M, Gray K, Rosenberg-Thompson S, Carusi DA, Gornbein J. The Neuropsychiatric Inventory: comprehensive assessment of psychopathology in dementia. *Neurology* 1994; **44**(12): 2308-14.
35. Mori E, Ikeda M, Kosaka K. Donepezil for dementia with Lewy bodies: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Ann Neurol* 2012; **72**(1): 41-52.
36. McKeith I, Del Ser T, Spano P, et al. Efficacy of rivastigmine in dementia with Lewy bodies: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled international study. *Lancet* 2000; **356**(9247): 2031-6.
37. Takahashi S, Mizukami K, Yasuno F, Asada T. Depression associated with dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) and the effect of somatotherapy. *Psychogeriatrics : the official journal of the Japanese Psychogeriatric Society* 2009; **9**(2): 56-61.
38. Elder GJ, Ashcroft J, da Silva Morgan K, et al. Transcranial direct current stimulation in Parkinson's disease dementia: A randomised double-blind crossover trial. *Brain stimulation* 2017; **10**(6): 1150-1.
39. Elder GJ, Colloby SJ, Firbank MJ, McKeith IG, Taylor JP. Consecutive sessions of transcranial direct current stimulation do not remediate visual hallucinations in Lewy body dementia: a randomised controlled trial. *Alzheimer's research & therapy* 2019; **11**(1): 9.
40. Gratwicke J, Zrinzo L, Kahan J, et al. Bilateral deep brain stimulation of the nucleus basalis of meynert for parkinson disease dementia: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Neurology* 2018; **75**(2): 169-78.

41. Ravina B, Putt M, Siderowf A, et al. Donepezil for dementia in Parkinson's disease: a randomised, double blind, placebo controlled, crossover study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2005; **76**(7): 934-9.
42. Edwards K, Royall D, Hershey L, et al. Efficacy and safety of galantamine in patients with dementia with Lewy bodies: a 24-week open-label study. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* 2007; **23**(6): 401-5.
43. Litvinenko IV, Odinak MM, Mogil'naia VI, Emelin A. [Efficacy and safety of galantamine (reminy) in the treatment of dementia in patients with Parkinson's disease (open-label controlled trial)]. *Zh Nevrol Psikhiatr Im S S Korsakova* 2007; **107**(12): 25-33.
44. McKeith I, Fairbairn A, Perry R, Thompson P, Perry E. Neuroleptic sensitivity in patients with senile dementia of Lewy body type. *Bmj* 1992; **305**(6855): 673-8.
45. Aarsland D, Perry R, Larsen JP, et al. Neuroleptic sensitivity in Parkinson's disease and parkinsonian dementias. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 2005; **66**(5): 633-7.
46. Weintraub D, Chiang C, Kim HM, et al. Association of Antipsychotic Use With Mortality Risk in Patients With Parkinson Disease. *JAMA Neurol* 2016; **73**(5): 535-41.
47. Reus VI, Fochtmann LJ, Eyler AE, et al. The American Psychiatric Association Practice Guideline on the Use of Antipsychotics to Treat Agitation or Psychosis in Patients With Dementia. *Am J Psychiatry* 2016; **173**(5): 543-6.
48. Friedman JH. Pharmacological interventions for psychosis in Parkinson's disease patients. *Expert Opinion on Pharmacotherapy* 2018; **19**(5): 499-505.
49. Cummings J, Isaacson S, Mills R, et al. Pimavanserin for patients with Parkinson's disease psychosis: a randomised, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2014; **383**(9916): 533-40.
50. Kuring JK, Mathias JL, Ward L. Prevalence of Depression, Anxiety and PTSD in People with Dementia: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Neuropsychology review* 2018; **28**(4): 393-416.
51. Reijnders JS, Ehrt U, Weber WE, Aarsland D, Leentjens AF. A systematic review of prevalence studies of depression in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 2008; **23**(2): 183-9; quiz 313.
52. Culo S, Mulsant BH, Rosen J, et al. Treating neuropsychiatric symptoms in dementia with Lewy bodies: a randomized controlled-trial. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord* 2010; **24**(4): 360-4.
53. Onofri M, Varanese S, Bonanni L, et al. Cohort study of prevalence and phenomenology of tremor in dementia with Lewy bodies. *Journal of Neurology* 2013: 1-12.
54. Molloy S, McKeith IG, O'Brien JT, Burn DJ. The role of levodopa in the management of dementia with Lewy bodies. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2005; **76**(9): 1200-3.
55. Factor SA, McDonald WM, Goldstein FC. The role of neurotransmitters in the development of Parkinson's disease-related psychosis. *Eur J Neurol* 2017; **24**(10): 1244-54.
56. Ray Chaudhuri K, Poewe W, Brooks D. Motor and Nonmotor Complications of Levodopa: Phenomenology, Risk Factors, and Imaging Features. *Mov Disord* 2018; **33**(6): 909-19.
57. Goldman JG, Goetz CG, Brandabur M, Sanfilippo M, Stebbins GT. Effects of dopaminergic medications on psychosis and motor function in dementia with Lewy bodies. *Mov Disord* 2008; **23**(15): 2248-50.
58. Matsunaga S, Kishi T, Iwata N. Combination Therapy with Zonisamide and Antiparkinson Drugs for Parkinson's Disease: A Meta-Analysis. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2017; **56**(4): 1229-39.
59. Bratsos S, Karponis D, Saleh SN. Efficacy and Safety of Deep Brain Stimulation in the Treatment of Parkinson's Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Cureus* 2018; **10**(10): e3474.
60. Mehanna R, Bajwa JA, Fernandez H, Wagle Shukla AA. Cognitive Impact of Deep Brain Stimulation on Parkinson's Disease Patients. *Parkinson's Disease* 2017; **2017**: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/3085140>.
61. Hiorth YH, Alves G, Larsen JP, Schulz J, Tysnes OB, Pedersen KF. Long-term risk of falls in an incident Parkinson's disease cohort: the Norwegian ParkWest study. *J Neurol* 2017; **264**(2): 364-72.
62. Fasano A, Canning CG, Hausdorff JM, Lord S, Rochester L. Falls in Parkinson's disease: A complex and evolving picture. *Mov Disord* 2017; **32**(11): 1524-36.

63. Shen X, Wong-Yu IS, Mak MK. Effects of Exercise on Falls, Balance, and Gait Ability in Parkinson's Disease: A Meta-analysis. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 2016; **30**(6): 512-27.
64. De Pablo-Fernandez E, Tur C, Revesz T, Lees AJ, Holton JL, Warner TT. Association of Autonomic Dysfunction With Disease Progression and Survival in Parkinson Disease. *JAMA Neurol* 2017; **74**(8): 970-6.
65. Pfeiffer RF. Management of Autonomic Dysfunction in Parkinson's Disease. *Semin Neurol* 2017; **37**(2): 176-85.
66. Palma JA, Kaufmann H. Treatment of autonomic dysfunction in Parkinson disease and other synucleinopathies. *Mov Disord* 2018; **33**(3): 372-90.
67. Ferreira JJ, Katzenschlager R, Bloem BR, et al. Summary of the recommendations of the EFNS/MDS-ES review on therapeutic management of Parkinson's disease. *European Journal of Neurology* 2013; **20**(1): 5-15.
68. Kaufmann H, Freeman R, Biaggioni I, et al. Droxidopa for neurogenic orthostatic hypotension. *A randomized, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial* 2014; **83**(4): 328-35.
69. Centi J, Freeman R, Gibbons CH, Nearing S, Canova AO, Cronin-Golomb A. Effects of orthostatic hypotension on cognition in Parkinson disease. *Neurology* 2017; **88**(1): 17-24.
70. Mukherjee A, Biswas A, Das SK. Gut dysfunction in Parkinson's disease. *World J Gastroenterol* 2016; **22**(25): 5742-52.
71. Stocchi F, Torti M. Constipation in Parkinson's Disease. *Int Rev Neurobiol* 2017; **134**: 811-26.
72. Fasano A, Visanji NP, Liu LW, Lang AE, Pfeiffer RF. Gastrointestinal dysfunction in Parkinson's disease. *Lancet Neurol* 2015; **14**(6): 625-39.
73. Larsson V, Torisson G, Bulow M, Londos E. Effects of carbonated liquid on swallowing dysfunction in dementia with Lewy bodies and Parkinson's disease dementia. *Clinical interventions in aging* 2017; **12**: 1215-22.
74. Logemann JA, Gensler G, Robbins J, et al. A randomized study of three interventions for aspiration of thin liquids in patients with dementia or Parkinson's disease. *J Speech Lang Hear Res* 2008; **51**(1): 173-83.
75. Arbouw MEL, Movig KLL, Koopmann M, et al. Glycopyrrolate for sialorrhoea in Parkinson disease. *A randomized, double-blind, crossover trial* 2010; **74**(15): 1203-7.
76. Doi H, Sakakibara R, Masuda M, et al. Gastrointestinal function in dementia with Lewy bodies: a comparison with Parkinson disease. *Clinical Autonomic Research* 2019.
77. Goetze O, Nikodem AB, Wieczorek J, et al. Predictors of gastric emptying in Parkinson's disease. *Neurogastroenterology & Motility* 2006; **18**(5): 369-75.
78. Palma J-A, Kaufmann H. Treatment of autonomic dysfunction in Parkinson disease and other synucleinopathies. *Movement Disorders* 2018; **33**(3): 372-90.
79. Soykan I, Sarosiek I, Shifflett J, Wooten GF, McCallum RW. Effect of chronic oral domperidone therapy on gastrointestinal symptoms and gastric emptying in patients with parkinson's disease. *Movement Disorders* 1997; **12**(6): 952-7.
80. Pedrosa Carrasco AJ, Timmermann L, Pedrosa DJ. Management of constipation in patients with Parkinson's disease. *npj Parkinson's Disease* 2018; **4**(1): 6.
81. Pagano G, Tan EE, Haider JM, Bautista A, Tagliati M. Constipation is reduced by beta-blockers and increased by dopaminergic medications in Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism & Related Disorders* 2015; **21**(2): 120-5.
82. Zangaglia R, Martignoni E, Glorioso M, et al. Macrogol for the treatment of constipation in Parkinson's disease. A randomized placebo-controlled study. *Movement Disorders* 2007; **22**(9): 1239-44.
83. Ashraf W, Pfeiffer RF, Park F, Lof J, Quigley EMM. Constipation in parkinson's disease: Objective assessment and response to psyllium. *Movement Disorders* 1997; **12**(6): 946-51.
84. Ondo WG, Kenney C, Sullivan K, et al. Placebo-controlled trial of lubiprostone for constipation associated with Parkinson disease. *Neurology* 2012; **78**(21): 1650-4.

85. Tateno F, Sakakibara R, Ogata T, et al. Lower urinary tract function in dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB). *Mov Disord* 2015; **30**(3): 411-5.
86. Zesiewicz TA, Evatt M, Vaughan CP, et al. Randomized, controlled pilot trial of solifenacin succinate for overactive bladder in Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism & Related Disorders* 2015; **21**(5): 514-20.
87. Vouri SM, Kebodeaux CD, Stranges PM, Teshome BF. Adverse events and treatment discontinuations of antimuscarinics for the treatment of overactive bladder in older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2017; **69**: 77-96.
88. Peyronnet B, Vurture G, Palma JA, et al. Mirabegron in patients with Parkinson disease and overactive bladder symptoms: A retrospective cohort. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2018.
89. Swinn L, Schrag A, Viswanathan R, Bloem BR, Lees A, Quinn N. Sweating dysfunction in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 2003; **18**(12): 1459-63.
90. Witjas T, Kaphan E, Azulay JP, et al. Nonmotor fluctuations in Parkinson's disease: frequent and disabling. *Neurology* 2002; **59**(3): 408-13.
91. Schaeffer E, Berg D. Dopaminergic Therapies for Non-motor Symptoms in Parkinson's Disease. *CNS Drugs* 2017; **31**(7): 551-70.
92. Medeiros CA, Carvalhedo de Bruin PF, Lopes LA, Magalhaes MC, de Lourdes Seabra M, de Bruin VM. Effect of exogenous melatonin on sleep and motor dysfunction in Parkinson's disease. A randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled study. *J Neurol* 2007; **254**(4): 459-64.
93. Zhang W, Chen XY, Su SW, et al. Exogenous melatonin for sleep disorders in neurodegenerative diseases: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Neurol Sci* 2016; **37**(1): 57-65.
94. Asaly A, Kolenberg Geron L, Treves N, Matok I, Perlman A. Z-drugs and risk for falls and fractures in older adults—a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Age and Ageing* 2017; **47**(2): 201-8.
95. Schaeffer E, Berg D. Dopaminergic Therapies for Non-motor Symptoms in Parkinson's Disease. *CNS Drugs* 2017; **31**(7): 551-70.
96. Iftikhar IH, Alghothani L, Trotti LM. Gabapentin enacarbil, pregabalin and rotigotine are equally effective in restless legs syndrome: a comparative meta-analysis. *Eur J Neurol* 2017; **24**(12): 1446-56.
97. Terzaghi M, Arnaldi D, Rizzetti MC, et al. Analysis of video-polysomnographic sleep findings in dementia with Lewy bodies. *Mov Disord* 2013; **28**(10): 1416-23.
98. Mery VP, Gros P, Lafontaine A-L, et al. Reduced cognitive function in patients with Parkinson disease and obstructive sleep apnea. *Neurology* 2017; **88**(12): 1120-8.
99. Jordan AS, McSharry DG, Malhotra A. Adult obstructive sleep apnoea. *Lancet* 2014; **383**(9918): 736-47.
100. Ferman TJ, Boeve BF, Smith GE, et al. Inclusion of RBD improves the diagnostic classification of dementia with Lewy bodies. *Neurology* 2011; **77**(9): 875-82.
101. Zhang X, Sun X, Wang J, Tang L, Xie A. Prevalence of rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder (RBD) in Parkinson's disease: a meta and meta-regression analysis. *Neurol Sci* 2017; **38**(1): 163-70.
102. Iranzo A, Fernández-Arcos A, Tolosa E, et al. Neurodegenerative Disorder Risk in Idiopathic REM Sleep Behavior Disorder: Study in 174 Patients. *PLoS one* 2014; **9**(2): e89741.
103. St Louis Erik K, Boeve Angelica R, Boeve Bradley F. REM Sleep Behavior Disorder in Parkinson's Disease and Other Synucleinopathies. *Movement Disorders* 2017; **32**(5): 645-58.
104. Gagnon J-F, Postuma RB, Montplaisir J. Update on the pharmacology of REM sleep behavior disorder. *Neurology* 2006; **67**(5): 742-7.
105. Jung Y, St. Louis EK. Treatment of REM Sleep Behavior Disorder. *Current Treatment Options in Neurology* 2016; **18**(11): 50.
106. Ecker D, Unrath A, Kassubek J, Sabolek M. Dopamine Agonists and their risk to induce psychotic episodes in Parkinson's disease: a case-control study. *BMC Neurology* 2009; **9**(1): 23.

107. McGrane IR, Leung JG, St Louis EK, Boeve BF. Melatonin therapy for REM sleep behavior disorder: a critical review of evidence. *Sleep medicine* 2015; **16**(1): 19-26.
108. Larsson V, Aarsland D, Ballard C, Minthon L, Londos E. The effect of memantine on sleep behaviour in dementia with Lewy bodies and Parkinson's disease dementia. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 2010; **25**(10): 1030-8.
109. Ferman TJ, Smith GE, Dickson DW, et al. Abnormal daytime sleepiness in dementia with Lewy bodies compared to Alzheimer's disease using the Multiple Sleep Latency Test. *Alzheimer's research & therapy* 2014; **6**(9): 76.
110. Devos D, Krystkowiak P, Clement F, et al. Improvement of gait by chronic, high doses of methylphenidate in patients with advanced Parkinson's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2007; **78**(5): 470-5.
111. Moreau C, Delval A, Defebvre L, et al. Methylphenidate for gait hypokinesia and freezing in patients with Parkinson's disease undergoing subthalamic stimulation: a multicentre, parallel, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2012; **11**(7): 589-96.
112. Shen Y, Huang J-Y, Li J, Liu C-F. Excessive Daytime Sleepiness in Parkinson's Disease: Clinical Implications and Management. *Chinese medical journal* 2018; **131**(8): 974-81.
113. Outeiro TF, Koss DJ, Erskine D, et al. Dementia with Lewy bodies: an update and outlook. *Molecular Neurodegeneration* 2019; **14**(1): 5.
114. Hindle JV. The practical management of cognitive impairment and psychosis in the older Parkinson's disease patient. *J Neural Transm (Vienna)* 2013; **120**(4): 649-53.
115. Schoffer KL, Henderson RD, O'Maley K, O'Sullivan JD. Nonpharmacological treatment, fludrocortisone, and domperidone for orthostatic hypotension in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 2007; **22**(11): 1543-9.
116. Hauser RA, Hewitt LA, Isaacson S. Droxidopa in patients with neurogenic orthostatic hypotension associated with Parkinson's disease (NOH306A). *Journal of Parkinson's disease* 2014; **4**(1): 57-65.
117. Hauser RA, Isaacson S, Lisk JP, Hewitt LA, Rowse G. Droxidopa for the short-term treatment of symptomatic neurogenic orthostatic hypotension in Parkinson's disease (nOH306B). *Mov Disord* 2015; **30**(5): 646-54.

Appendix

Section I: Description of search methods

The review was underpinned by two major systematic reviews conducted by the Diamond-Lewy study group focussing on pharmacological¹ and non-pharmacological² management strategies in Lewy body dementia (LBD). Details of the search strategy, study selection and data synthesis as described in Stinton et al.¹ and Connor et al.² are described below.

Pharmacological management strategies¹

Search Strategy

Studies were identified through bibliographic databases, trials registers, and gray literature (see the registered protocol for full details). Reference lists of relevant studies and previous systematic reviews were also examined, and input was sought from experts on Lewy body dementia. We used the keywords “Lewy or parkinson” and “dementia,” conducting searches until March 2015, without restrictions on time or language.

Study Selection

Titles and abstracts were screened independently by four of the authors, with non-English language papers screened by native speakers. Discrepancies were resolved through discussion between screeners. Potentially relevant studies were obtained in full and examined in detail by the first author against the following criteria: 1) participants had a diagnosis of DLB, PDD, or Lewy body dementia (or were the caregivers of patients with these diagnoses); 2) studies examined pharmacological strategies; and 3) outcome measures and scores were specified. No restrictions were placed on study design, but opinion papers were excluded.

Data Extraction

Data were extracted by two reviewers and recorded in an Excel spreadsheet. We collected information related to participant demographic characteristics, selection criteria, study design, management strategies, outcome measures and scores, adverse events, and withdrawals.

Data Synthesis

Studies were grouped and analyzed according to pharmacological strategy. For each strategy, studies of the highest level of evidence were included in the review. Classification of level of evidence was determined using guidelines from the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine (<https://www.cebm.net/2016/05/ocebml-levels-of-evidence>).

Methodological Quality

Methodological quality was assessed by three of the authors using the Quality Assessment Tool for Quantitative Studies (QATQS, www.ehpp.ca/tools.html)³, which was developed to assess quality across study designs, aiding consistency and clarity of reporting. The QATQS examines selection bias, study design, confounders, blinding, data collection methods, withdrawals, and dropouts. Domains are rated as being of weak, moderate, or strong quality, which feed into an overall rating of study quality. The reliability and validity of the QATQS have been demonstrated.⁴

Statistical Analysis

Meta-analysis was conducted using the Cochrane Collaboration’s RevMan, version 5.3 (www.tech.cochrane.org/revman), employing the inverse variance method. Heterogeneity was assessed using the chi-square and I² statistics and considered significant with p values <0.10 for chi-square and >40% for I². We employed random-effects models when there was significant study heterogeneity and fixed-effect models when heterogeneity was not significant. Missing data were sought from study authors; for data that were not

obtainable, values were estimated using methods outlined in the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* (www.cochrane-handbook.org). We estimated risk ratio with 95% confidence intervals for dichotomous outcomes and weighted mean difference or standardized mean difference with 95% confidence intervals for continuous outcomes. Descriptive summaries were provided when studies could not be combined.

Non-pharmacological management strategies review²

Search strategy

The search identified studies through bibliographic databases, trial registers, and the grey literature. Bibliographic databases and trial registers included the following: Medline (1946–present); PreMedline, PubMed; EMBASE (1974–present), Scopus, Web of Science (1900–current); PsychInfo (1806–present); CINAHL (1981–present); Cochrane libraries: Cochrane database of systematic reviews (2005–October 2016), Cochrane central register of controlled trials (August 2016), Cochrane Methodology register (3rd–Quarter 2012); other EBM databases: ACP journal club (1991–September 2016), Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects (1st-quarter 2015), Health technology assessment (3rd-quarter 2016), and NHS economic evaluation (1st-quarter 2015); Ageline (1978–present); ALOIS; AMED (Allied and Complementary Medicine; 1985–present); PEDro (Physiotherapy Evidence Database; 1929–present); Social work Abstracts (1968–present); and the National Association of Social Workers (NASW) clinical register (14th edition). The grey literature was searched using such resources as SIGLE (System for Information on Grey Literature in Europe), NTIS (National Technical Information Service) database, and PsychEXTRA (1908–present).

The search strategy used only population and intervention terms to maximise the likelihood of identifying relevant studies (comparator and outcome terms were not used). The population was people with Lewy body dementia or their carers. This was identified using the search terms: [(Lewy OR Park*) and Dementia]. Interventions were any non-pharmacological treatment and identified using a wide range of terms: (activit*, acupuncture, alternative, animal, aromatherapy, art therapy, assisted, balance, behav*, bicycle, calisthenics, carer intervention, caregiver intervention, CBT, Chi gong, cognit*, cognitive behavioral therapy, cognitive behavioural therapy, counsel*, creative arts, dance, dancing, diet, direct current stimulation, drama, ECT, educat*, electroconvulsive therapy, enhanc*, environmental intervention, environmental modification, exercise, flexibility, humor therapy, humour therapy, hydrotherapy, intervention*, leisure, light therapy, management, martial arts, massage, meditation, Montessori, multisensory, music, non-pharm*, nonpharm*, nutrition, occupational therapy, pet therapy, physical activity, physical therapy, physiotherapy, pilates, psychoeducation, psychol*, psychosocial, psychotherapy, Qi gong, reality orientation, recreation*, reminiscence, resistance training, run*, sensory, simulated presence, stimulation, Snoezelen, support*, support group*, swim*, tai chi, therap*, therapeutic activity, TMS, training, training carers, training caregivers, transcranial magnetic stimulation, treatment*, validation, weight training, yoga). Searches were conducted on 30 October 2016.

In addition to bibliographic database searches, the reference lists of papers included in the review and previous systematic reviews on both Lewy body dementia and non-pharmacological interventions were checked for relevant papers. Advice was also sought from experts in the field.

Study selection

Two reviewers independently assessed search results for inclusion by title and abstract. All articles deemed relevant by either reviewer were obtained in full. Both reviewers then independently evaluated full-text articles for inclusion. Any disagreements were resolved through discussion or, if necessary, with a third reviewer.

Data extraction

Two reviewers independently extracted relevant data from publications using a standardised form. This included participant details (e.g. demographics, number, recruitment, clinical context, dementia severity), intervention type, study design, measures, and results. Qualitative data were also collated.

The primary outcomes were measures of cognition, function, neuropsychiatric symptoms, and motor symptoms. The secondary outcomes were measures of any other clinically relevant outcomes, such as quality of life, carer burden, financial costs, other symptoms (sleep or autonomic disturbances), and objective endpoints (e.g.

falls, hospitalisation, institutionalisation, mortality). Secondary outcomes also included the perceived acceptability of treatments, reported side effects, and dropout rates (a measure of treatment acceptability).

Quality assessment

Two reviewers independently assessed study quality and risk of bias using standardised tools. These included the Effective Public Health Practice Project Quality Assessment Tool for Quantitative Studies (<http://www.ehphp.ca/tools.html>)⁴ and the NICE Methodology Checklist: Qualitative Studies (<https://www.nice.org.uk/process/pmg10/>). Any disagreements were resolved through discussion.

References

1. Stinton C, McKeith I, Taylor JP, et al. Pharmacological Management of Lewy Body Dementia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Am J Psychiatry* 2015: appiajp201514121582.
2. Connors MH, Quinto L, McKeith I, et al. Non-pharmacological interventions for Lewy body dementia: a systematic review. *Psychological medicine* 2017: 1-10.
3. Thomas BH, Ciliska D, Dobbins M, Micucci S. A Process for Systematically Reviewing the Literature: Providing the Research Evidence for Public Health Nursing Interventions. *Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing* 2004; **1**(3): 176-84.
4. Armijo-Olivo S, Stiles CR, Hagen NA, Biondo PD, Cummings GG. Assessment of study quality for systematic reviews: a comparison of the Cochrane Collaboration Risk of Bias Tool and the Effective Public Health Practice Project Quality Assessment Tool: methodological research. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice* 2012; **18**(1): 12-8.

Section II: Summary of expert consensus panel approach and method

Structured expert consensus approaches such as the Delphi method can provide a complimentary framework to evidence based medicine for defining treatment approaches particularly in areas where there is an absence of high quality evidence.^{1,2} Typically the process is iterative, with expert feedback provided in successive rounds helping to refine previous statements of practise and best management. It also offers, particularly if administered electronically, advantages in incorporating multiple opinions and managing conflicting perspectives, whilst overcoming challenges of groupthink, as it is done individually and anonymously.^{2,3}

This Delphi expert consensus approach supported key statements in our review and also underpins the development of our management guideline and toolkits which are available online at <https://research.ncl.ac.uk/diamondlewy/>

We took a process led approach:

- 1) *Establishment of the existing evidence base in LBD*: as noted in Section I, formal systematic reviews and meta-analysis were conducted to capture all available, recent published information about the pharmacological and non-pharmacological management of LBD.⁴⁻⁵
- 2) *Public-patient workshops*: Two public-patient workshops were held with participation of 38 people with LBD and their family/care-givers, with the first event focussing upon identifying best practice in LBD clinical management, based on their own experiences. The emergent themes were developed further in the second event and refined into a set of guiding principles.
- 3) Using the systematic reviews and public-patient feedback an initial draft of the guidelines was developed by two authors (J-P.T and IGM). Specific statements, framed under symptom domains were created and submitted to an online anonymised online platform for review by our Delphi expert panel. The panel comprised experts in the field (n=26; psychology, geriatrics, psychiatry, neurology, primary care, physiotherapy, nursing, academic experts as well as internationally recognised key opinion leaders), identified through consultation with relevant stakeholder groups and supported by an extensive search of the literature for their publications, and/or the role as keynote speakers on management of LBD at major conferences. The Delphi process was conducted over three rounds. A high level of agreement was sought across the three rounds (85% for rounds 1 and 2 and 75% for round 3). Controversial statements were modified on the basis of feedback and rerun in subsequent rounds or removed. Of 252 original statements, 161 were kept, with 78 of these (48.4%) gaining full consensus panel agreement for inclusion, 52(32.3%) with 90% to 99% consensus agreement and 31 statements (19.3%) agreed by 75% to 89% of the panel. After this process, the guideline statements were re-collated and formulated into one document.
- 4) Where there was an absence of evidence in our review, we draw upon the statements and the opinion of our Delphi expert group to inform best clinical practise.

References

1. Adler M, Ziglio E. Gazing into the oracle: The Delphi method and its application to social policy and public health.: Jessica Kingsley, London 1996.
2. Jones J, Hunter D. Consensus methods for medical and health services research. *Bmj* 1995; **311**(7001): 376-80.
3. Donohoe H, Stellefson M, Tennant B. Advantages and Limitations of the e-Delphi Technique. *American Journal of Health Education* (2012) **43**(1): 38-46.
4. Stinton C, McKeith I, Taylor JP, et al. Pharmacological Management of Lewy Body Dementia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Am J Psychiatry* 2015: appiajp201514121582.
5. Connors MH, Quinto L, McKeith I, et al. Non-pharmacological interventions for Lewy body dementia: a systematic review. *Psychological medicine* 2017: 1-10.

Expert Delphi panel contributors

Dag Aarsland	Kings College, London, United Kingdom
Neil Archibald	South Tees Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Middlesbrough, United Kingdom
Louise Allan	The University of Exeter Medical School, Exeter, United Kingdom
Clive Ballard	The University of Exeter Medical School, Exeter, United Kingdom
Bob Barber	Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom
Roger Barker	University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom
Brad Boeve	Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, United States of America
Daniel Collerton	Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom
Tom Dening	University of Nottingham, Nottingham, United Kingdom
Murat Emre	Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey
Duncan Forsyth	Cambridge University Hospitals Foundation Trust, Cambridge, United Kingdom
John Hindle	Bangor University, Bangor, United Kingdom
Clive Holmes	University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom
Clare Lawton	Cambridgeshire & Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust (Retired), Cambridge, United Kingdom
Ian Maidment	Aston University, Birmingham, United Kingdom
Eneida Mioshi	University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom
Sean Page	Bangor University, Bangor, United Kingdom
Jill Rasmussen	UK Lewy Body Society, London, United Kingdom
Sharon Reading	Newcastle Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom
Louise Robinson	Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom
Lynn Rochester	Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom
Ben Underwood	Cambridgeshire & Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, United Kingdom

Zuzana Walker	University College London & Essex Partnership University NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom
Daniel Weintraub	University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States of America
Brian Wood	Logan Hospital, Brisbane, Australia
Alison Yarnall	Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom