

**Original citation:**

Bradbury-Jones, C, Bradshaw, S, Clark, M, Lewis, A. "I keep hearing reports on the news that it's a real problem at the moment": Public health nurses' understandings of sexting practices among young people. *Health & Social Care in the Community*. 2019; 00: 1– 11. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hsc.12723>

Permanent WRaP URL:

<https://eprints.worc.ac.uk/7693/>

Copyright and reuse:

The Worcester Research and Publications (WRaP) makes this work available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRaP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Publisher's statement:

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Bradbury-Jones, C, Bradshaw, S, Clark, M, Lewis, A. "I keep hearing reports on the news that it's a real problem at the moment": Public health nurses' understandings of sexting practices among young people. *Health & Social Care in the Community*. 2019; 00: 1– 11, which has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1111/hsc.12723>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or, version of record, if you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the 'permanent WRaP URL' above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact wrapteam@worc.ac.uk



'I keep hearing reports on the news that it's a real problem at the moment': Public Health Nurses' Understandings of Sexting Practices among Young People

Journal:	<i>Health & Social Care in the Community</i>
Manuscript ID	HSCC-OA-18-0325.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Keywords:	Adolescent Health, Child Abuse, Health Visiting Practice, Public Health Nursing, Technology, Risk

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4
5
6 **‘I keep hearing reports on the news that it’s a real problem at the moment’: Public**
7
8 **Health Nurses’ Understandings of Sexting Practices among Young People**
9

10
11
12
13
14 **Abstract**
15

16
17
18 Over the past decade, the potential harms regarding young people’s use of technology has
19 attracted mounting political, media and research attention worldwide. One practice engaged
20 in by many young people is that of ‘sexting’ and the sharing of partially, or complete nude
21 images (‘selfies’). Such images are not always retained within private spaces and are prone to
22 be shared, with significant psychosocial consequences for young people involved. A
23 significant risk is the hidden nature of some on-line interactions, with potential for grooming
24 and child sexual exploitation. As key professionals working with young people, public health
25 nurses have potential to educate and explore the risks with them. Yet to date, to our
26 knowledge there has been no research in relation to public health nurses’ understandings of
27 the practices involved or their potential harms. A qualitative study was undertaken drawing
28 theoretically on the Common-Sense Model (CSM) to frame the analysis. Eighteen semi-
29 structured interviews were conducted with public health nurses in a region of England in
30 2016. Data were analysed through thematic analysis, and mapped to the five domains of
31 CSM. Public health nurses’ understandings of young people’s sexting practices were shaped
32 largely by media reports, rather than scientific, disciplinary knowledge. Sexting did not
33 resonate with many public health nurses’ own experiences of being a young person and was
34 therefore difficult to understand. All were able to express an opinion about the causes and
35 consequences of sexting and we present these as a ‘perceived hierarchy of risk’. All public
36 health nurses acknowledged the importance of their role in dealing with harm reduction
37 associated with sexting among young people, but they need education and support to do this
38 effectively and confidently. Findings can be transferred carefully to many contexts and
39 countries because sexting is a practice among young people that transcends geographical
40 boundaries.
41
42
43
44

45 **Key words:** UK; Child Sexual Exploitation; Common-Sense Model; Digital Safety; Public
46 Health Nurses; Risk; Sexting; Qualitative; Young People.
47

48 **What is known about this topic**
49

50 The potential harms regarding young people’s use of technology and social media has
51 attracted mounting political, media and research attention over recent years.
52

53 A significant risk is the hidden nature of some on-line interactions, with potential for
54 grooming and child sexual exploitation.
55

56 Public health nurses have an important role in educating and exploring risks with young
57 people.
58

59 **What this paper adds**
60

1
2
3 Public health nurses' understandings of young people's sexting practices were shaped largely
4 by media reports, rather than scientific, disciplinary knowledge.
5

6 All were able to express an opinion about the causes and consequences of sexting but many
7 found it difficult to understand the practice.
8

9 All public health nurses acknowledged the importance of their role in dealing with harm
10 reduction associated with sexting among young people.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

Background

During the 21st century the production and sharing of sexualised, self-produced images has become a regular activity, particularly – although not exclusively - among young people (Döring 2014; Klettke et al. 2014). Under a broader category of what Courtice and Shaughnessy (2017) refer to as ‘technology-mediated sexual interaction’, there are two main forms. *Sexting*, the use of digital devices such as computers and mobile phones to create and exchange sexually explicit content; and *cybersex*, the interactive and real-time exchange of messages (Shaughnessy, Byers & Thornton 2011). In this study we used the term *sexting* because it was likely to be the most familiar to those who took part. Lenhart found that the prevalence of sexting amongst the 12-17 age range was 4% of all cell-owning teens (that is, those young people who own a mobile phone), with little difference in gender (Lenhart 2017). Older teens (17-year olds) most commonly reported sending a sexually explicit image within a text message, and one in six claimed to have received a sexually explicit image from someone they knew. The results of an international survey of 3,257 young people aged 13-17 years from Denmark, Hungary and UK published in December 2017, point to a much higher prevalence and a gender differential (Childnet International 2017). The survey found that in the last year, 30% of girls had received unwanted sexual messages online, compared to 13% of boys. Similarly, Cox Communications (2017) found that 60% of teens within this age range reported sending images to a girlfriend or boyfriend with whom they felt safe. When asked why they sexted, 43% said because they were asked and 40% because it was fun. The problem is that this seemingly ‘fun’ activity can have significant negative impacts; sexting carries risks.

Such images are not always retained within private spaces, and there are risks that they may be shared. For some young people, an assumed private sharing with a partner they trust, is

1
2
3 then distributed, for example within a social network such as school, or posted on-line. This
4
5 can hold significant psychosocial consequences for young people involved. The international
6
7 literature exploring the impact of sexting is beginning to grow (Kosenko et al. 2017; Doring
8
9 2014; Houck et al. 2014; Van Ouysel et al. 2017; West et al. 2014). Among the mounting
10
11 evidence, are firm suggestions of the associated adverse mental and sexual health
12
13 implications (Benotsch et al. 2013; Dake et al. 2012; O'Keeffe & Clarke-Pearson 2011). Thus
14
15 the negative impacts of sexting for some young people can be seen as misplaced trust, with
16
17 the unconsented distribution of an assumed private image. It is tempting to regard this naïvely
18
19 as a 'trust gone wrong between teenagers who know no better'. It is important however to
20
21 disabuse such notions and to recognise the psychological and social impacts on the young
22
23 people involved. There are predatory risks of on-line grooming, to which some young people
24
25 and children fall prey.

31 **1. Sexting practices and Child Sexual Exploitation**

32
33
34 The hidden nature of some on-line interactions with which some young people engage, opens
35
36 the opportunity for grooming and child sexual exploitation (CSE) (Public Health England
37
38 2017). Globally, CSE is associated with the use of the internet and new digital technologies,
39
40 enabling perpetrators of sexual abuse and violence to access children and young people
41
42 through anonymised means (Boies et al, 2004; Brickell, 2012; Hughes 2002, Livingstone &
43
44 Palmer 2012). Cameron et al. (2015) reported that sexual exploitation of children commonly
45
46 involves them in situations where the person responsible for the exploitation may not have
47
48 direct sexual contact with the child. The following description captures what is meant by
49
50 CSE, but also its association with technology:
51
52
53
54
55

56 *Child sexual exploitation is a form of child sexual abuse. It occurs where an*
57
58 *individual or group takes advantage of an imbalance of power to coerce,*
59
60

1
2
3 *manipulate or deceive a child or young person under the age of 18 into sexual*
4 *activity (a) in exchange for something the victim needs or wants, and/or (b) for*
5 *the financial advantage or increased status of the perpetrator or facilitator.*
6
7 *The victim may have been sexually exploited even if the sexual activity*
8 *appears consensual. Child sexual exploitation does not always involve*
9 *physical contact; it can also occur through the use of technology* (Department
10 for Education, 2017, p.5).
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 Table 1 provides an overview of the manifestations of CSE, showing its relationship both to
21 technology and to consent. Consent is often difficult to clarify in sexting cases where consent
22 has been assumed, implied or even explicit (Lorang et al. 2016). Overall though, sharing a
23 young person's sexts with other people is unacceptable, irrespective of the level of perceived
24 or actual consent and as we explore in the discussion section, it has legal implications.
25 Moreover, CSE is a crime with devastating and long lasting consequences for its victims and
26 their families (Department for Education, 2017). This article is not about CSE as such, it is
27 about public health nurses' understandings of sexting, but these need to be understood against
28 a backdrop of risks associated with the practice.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 [Insert Table 1]
45
46
47
48

49 **Sexting and public health nurses**

50
51
52 As the evidence base regarding the risks of sexting begins to swell, so too does the literature
53 on health professionals' role in addressing the issue (Ahern & Mechling 2013; Houck et al.
54 2014; Ybarra & Mitchell 2014). Internationally, public health nurses (including family
55 nurses, health visitors and school nurses) are uniquely placed to identify adolescent health
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 needs and to offer guidance to young people engaged in sexting practices. However, the
4 literature in this field is limited and there are few empirical studies. Available evidence does
5 indicate however, that there are significant opportunities and gaps in nursing knowledge and
6 skills to address the issue (Ahern & Mechling 2013; Crown 2014; Van Ouytsel et al. 2017).
7
8 To our knowledge there has been no research in relation to public health nurses'
9 understandings of sexting practices among young people. Yet it makes theoretical sense that
10 such understandings might impact considerably on their capabilities in supporting young
11 people. This study therefore, addressed this gap.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 **Study aims**

23
24
25 To elucidate public health nurses' understandings about sexting and its impact on the health
26 and wellbeing of young people.
27
28
29

30 **Methods**

31 Research Design

32
33
34 This was a qualitative study that integrated the Critical Incident Technique (CIT). CIT
35 focuses on 'real' events, rather than abstract concepts (Bradbury-Jones & Tranter 2008;
36 Yonas et al. 2013). It involves asking respondents to recount *actual* incidents. We have
37 reported on the critical incidents in a separate article that focuses on the public health nurses'
38 role [*insert reference after blind review*]. However, we felt there was much more to our data
39 than we had accounted for through CIT reporting alone, hence the need for this article.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 Theoretical framework

52
53
54 There is an argument that theory-infused qualitative studies are preferable to those where
55 theory is absent (Bradbury-Jones et al. 2014). In seeking a framework for the current study,
56 theoretically, we drew upon the Common-Sense Model (CSM) of self-regulation of health
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 and illness (Leventhal, 2003). Representations and beliefs about illness are at the core of this
4 model. Leventhal and colleagues describe five elements of such representations/beliefs as:
5 Identity (the label or name given to a condition); Timeline (beliefs about how long the
6 condition will last); Cause (ideas about perceived causes of a condition); Consequences
7 (perceptions regarding the consequences and impact of a condition); Control (beliefs about
8 the extent to which a condition can be cured or controlled).
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 CSM is a complex, multi-level framework that is typically used in disease/condition
20 orientated research relating to how peoples' beliefs alter their behaviour. CSM has been used
21 extensively in health research, to understand medical issues such as asthma (Achstetter et al.
22 2016), diabetes (Paddison et al. 2010) and hearing loss (Heffernan et al. 2016). One of the
23 authors [*insert initials after blind review*] has used CSM successfully in previous studies to
24 explore non-disease/illness related issues [*insert reference after blind review*]. Its five
25 domains were deemed to be entirely congruent with the more social-slant of the phenomenon.
26 This inspired us to draw on CSM again in the context of the current study, as a novel lens
27 through which to analyse the data. Our interpretations of the five domains are shown in Table
28 2.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 [Insert Table 2]

43 Recruitment and data collection

44
45
46
47 Public health nurses were recruited from one locality in England. To be included, they needed
48 to be practicing within the field of public health nursing (as a family nurse, health visitor, or
49 school nurse) and working with children and young people. Data were generated through
50 semi-structured, 1:1 interviews undertaken between May and August 2016.
51
52
53
54
55
56

57 Data analysis

To ensure consistency all interviews were conducted by one researcher (AL). All interviews were audio recorded and subsequently transcribed verbatim. To protect participants' anonymity, they were assigned a code from Participant 1 to 18. The analytical processes involved three discrete, yet inter-linking phases: 1) Open inductive coding of all interview transcripts using a team approach. One researcher (SB) analysed all 18 interviews and for rigour and a sample of 13 interview transcripts were analysed independently by two research team members (MC, CB-J). Transcripts were shared across the team and we met on two occasions to reflexively discuss and debate our evolving analysis; 2) Extraction and analysis of critical incidents which has been published separately [Add reference]; 3) Deductive coding to map the data to the five CSM domains which is reported here. Pre-defined codes spanning the CSM domains included descriptions of sexting, changes in sexual practices and attitudes, perceived causal factors and consequences, and beliefs about what can or cannot be done in relation to sexting. QSR NVivo 11 software was used throughout the data analysis process.

Ethics

Ethical approval was obtained via the NHS Integrated Research Application Service (IRAS 197125). Informed, written consent was gained from all participants.

Findings

Eighteen public health nurses took part. They were aged between 30 and 60 years of age; their length of time in a public health nursing role ranged from less than one year to 25 years and most (n=10) had held more than one public health nursing role over their career. In sum, they were a reasonably mature and experienced group of practitioners. Findings are reported in relation to the domains of CSM, with reference to our interpretations as indicated in Table 2. Readers can take the word 'domain' to mean 'theme'. The rich words of our participants

1
2
3 do not fit neatly into each domain and there is some over-lap. The quotes presented are
4
5 considered the most representative for each. The verbatim quotes have been subject to
6
7 minimal 'tidying' where necessary for the sake of readability.
8
9

10 11 *Identity*

12
13 For many public health nurses, sexting was a new phenomenon and one that they knew little
14
15 about, for example:

16
17 I think it just concerns me that I don't know much about it. I think it is important to
18
19 say that somebody somewhere needs to be getting the information to all health
20
21 workers about what it is, what it means and also where is the policy and how we
22
23 should be reacting when we hear about this working with young people. P2
24
25
26

27
28 For some public health nurses, understandings were based on information from the media or
29
30 hearsay:

31
32
33 From what I'm hearing on the news there have been some serious cases where young
34
35 people are being charged with offences. See, I don't know that much and I think I
36
37 need to because I keep hearing reports on the news that it's a real problem at the
38
39 moment. P3
40
41

42
43 In terms of beginning to identify the risks of sexting, understandings varied from it being a
44
45 normalised practice within a 'caring' relationship (P4, P5, P13), potentially abusive (P4),
46
47 manipulative and exploitive (P6):
48
49

50
51 Is it that serious or is there some acceptable sexting? Is some of it ok, is it just fun? I
52
53 don't know really. P4
54

55
56 I think it's quite normal... I think they think it's a normal part of a relationship in a
57
58 way. That actually it's normal to share explicit photographs. P5
59
60

1
2
3 What you've got is sharing images of each other innocently, possibly, which it
4 normally is, but it's what then happens with that image and, and then is the concern...
5
6 They see it as a private thing, between each other, but then the whole world can see at
7
8 the touch of a button, the whole world could see it... I would imagine it's done as an
9
10 intimate thing between two people, most of the time. P13
11
12
13

14
15 I guess it can crop up in all sorts of potentially abusive relationships. Clearly there
16 could be pressure on either party. My guess is that it's going to be mainly boys
17 pressurising girls, but not necessarily. My understanding is that it can be a two way of
18 sharing this kind of stuff, from what I've heard. But it's clear that that can be part of
19 an abusive relationship between two young people. P4
20
21
22
23
24
25

26
27 Sexting is one of those things that can get you embroiled in child sexual exploitation
28 because... building up that relationship and then sharing photos of each other and
29 then being manipulated by saying, 'If you don't do this with a certain person, I'll
30 share this image of you to whoever'. P6
31
32
33
34
35

36 One public health nurse in particular found it extremely difficult to understand the
37 motivations of young people towards sexting:
38
39

40
41 Absolutely horrifying and I don't understand it. I...I don't understand it. I just don't
42 understand it. P14
43
44
45

46 ***Timeline***

47
48 The speed at which sexting has come about has brought with it an element of surprise:
49

50
51 I think it's come up very quickly and I think it's taken a lot of people by surprise both
52 professionals and young people. I think it's something that's almost just emerged so
53 rapidly that before anyone really understood what was going on, suddenly this issue
54 has appeared. P16
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Generational aspects of sexting were discussed, with some public health nurses trying to
4 understand the issue by linking it to their own experiences of being a young person:
5
6
7

8 Through the generations things seem to come in and go out, don't they? This is
9 obviously quite a new thing that's happening. We've certainly not heard about it in
10 previous years, have we? P11
11
12
13

14
15 I think it's always gone on, but because we have social media, we have the technology
16 to make it instant and recordable and kept, that is the problem. So, if I take myself
17 back to being a teenager, there was always some lad wanting to show himself off or
18 some girl would, you know, that - there would be that sort of, you know, talk about
19 sex and showing yourself off and that's wanting to promote yourself, because that's
20 what you do when you're a teenager, you're very much around body and showing off
21 and that sort of thing. P13
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 *Cause*

33
34 Cause and consequences are intricately linked, but in this context cause responds to the
35 question: why do they do it? For some participants, the 'causes' are young people's naivety
36 and lack of awareness of the implications:
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 Probably, lack of knowledge, like I have, really. They think it's all a bit of fun and
44 don't actually think about the implications of sending the image to somebody else. P2
45
46
47

48 I think a lot of it is because they're quite naïve at times... A lot of them are quite
49 naïve and they don't really know what risks they're putting themselves under. P17
50
51
52

53 Like P13 who talked of the legal implications of sexting, P15 also raised the issue in terms of
54 young people being unaware that they may be breaking the law:
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Young people aren't aware that it's against the law to share images of anybody under
4 the age of eighteen. That's quite shocking news to them. They're not aware that they
5 could be breaking the law. P15
6
7
8
9

10 Almost every public health nurse included in the study understood sexting in terms of
11 contemporary culture and normalisation, as captured in these selected quotes:
12
13
14

15
16 I really think it's a huge part of adolescent culture now. There seems to be an idea
17 that, even before a relationship has got very far before it becomes a serious
18 relationship, that it is perfectly acceptable to be showing your body parts to other
19 people. P1
20
21
22
23
24

25
26 Why wouldn't teenagers be taking photographs of each other? I mean... that's what I
27 would expect... it doesn't surprise me, it wouldn't surprise me at all. P13
28
29
30

31 *Consequences*

32
33
34 In terms of consequences, four of the public health nurses understood the risks in terms of a
35 sexually explicit image being 'out there', for example:
36
37
38

39 The trouble is that once it's out there, it's out there. I don't think they realise that; that
40 actually it's very difficult to get a picture taken off of the internet, before it's gone
41 viral. P5
42
43
44
45

46
47 I'm sure for some young people, they start getting involved in that, it might be some
48 great fun to some of them, but for some of them, give them lots of feelings of regret
49 and - because once it's out there, it's out there, isn't it? P11
50
51
52
53

54 Three different public health nurses also understood the risks in terms of an image being
55 'posted' and sent around the world, with reference to the emotional impacts, as captured in
56 the following quote:
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 It's really difficult, isn't it, because if they're giving consent at the time they think
4 they're in a relationship, they're probably not thinking further of where their images
5 can go, which is obviously devastating for the health of the young person, if that other
6 person sending these pictures around... So, I think health-wise it can make them
7 obviously very depressed, very insecure, very isolated, being called names, just quite
8 devastating for them. P10
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 Six participants linked the consequences to adverse sexual health consequences, as reflected
18 in the following example:
19

20
21 Obviously sexting has a huge issue for the young person that's involved, because I
22 think that... from a public health point of view, you've got issues in terms of, sexual
23 activity... and exposure to sexually transmitted infections. You've got exposure to
24 unwanted pregnancies. P16
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 Some participants understood the potential consequences of sexting on vulnerability and
33 abusive relationships, leading into associations with grooming, for example:
34

35
36 It could almost be steps towards grooming. All sorts could come from this type of
37 behaviour. That's my worry. I think. P3
38
39
40
41

42 I think it's an issue around consent and criminal activity as well, you know, child
43 sexting to an adult, a 14-year-old sexting to a male, that's in his 20's or whatever...
44 I've had one [vulnerable girl] here, where she was - he was getting her to send pictures
45 of herself and he was actually a paedophile and he was grooming her. So, there's a
46 massive issue. P13
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 In a perspective that was slightly unusual in terms of the overall focus on victims, one
55 participant made reference to the criminality of the act and legal responses, with some
56 sympathy for the 'perpetrator':
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 We don't want all these teenagers getting criminal records... It worries me a bit that
4
5 sometimes there may be a knee jerk reaction, from law, from the government, from,
6
7 from law and stuff and we end up having all these teenagers criminalised for
8
9 something that was really silly to do and actually they shouldn't have done it, but it's a
10
11 lack of understanding about sharing images... they do silly things, all teenagers. P13
12
13
14

15 ***Control***

16
17
18 Control is concerned with the ability to do something about the issue. Five participants
19
20 referred to early intervention in schools as a systems approach to addressing the risks of
21
22 sexting, for example:
23
24

25
26 I just think that it needs to be much higher on schools' agenda because there is a lot of
27
28 children out there who are emotionally scarred because of it and there's nothing that
29
30 we can do now to help them apart from emotional support, but the damage is done.
31
32 We need to get into schools earlier. P6
33
34

35
36 Some public health nurses in the study were confident in addressing sexting with young
37
38 people:
39
40

41
42 It's really important. That's why I always discuss that with young people... it is
43
44 always very much part of the conversation around safe relationships and what a safe
45
46 relationship looks like and how to keep themselves safe by not sending inappropriate
47
48 images to each other. P6
49
50

51
52 An important finding from this study is the lack of confidence among many public health
53
54 nurses in dealing with the issue, for example:

55
56 If I brought it up what would I be saying, where would I be pointing that young
57
58 person for support. It's pretty, yes, I'm not confident at all at the moment. P2
59
60

1
2
3 It's having the right tool... I'm not confident that I've got the right tool in my bag to
4
5 say, 'right let's think about sexting' P5
6
7

8 Perhaps unsurprisingly, the need for education and training was suggested:
9

10
11 There needs to be a huge educational training programme for all health and education
12
13 professionals because it's the Police that hold all the rules at the moment about this
14
15 and we are not really aware of what it involves. P2
16
17

18
19 The final quote brings together different domains of CSM, summing up many of the issues
20
21 presented in the findings:
22
23

24 I wasn't aware of it until a couple of months ago, so I think for me it needs to be
25
26 shared more, spoken about more, vocalised more... It's like a hidden subject, isn't it?
27
28 Like CSE has only just come out in the last couple of years, whereas before, it
29
30 probably went on and this is possibly the same. It's something that needs to be
31
32 addressed and I think at the moment we're possibly not addressing it. P10
33
34
35

36 **Limitations**

37
38

39 Theoretically, we found CSM to be a useful framework for organising our analysis and
40
41 findings, but there are inherent limitations that we acknowledge. Firstly, we have interpreted
42
43 some representations more broadly than Leventhal may have intended. Some may see this as
44
45 an unacceptable deviation from the model's origins. That said, CSM has been used
46
47 extensively by Leventhal himself in a number of contexts and along with others, he continues
48
49 to work with the model (McAndrew et al 2017) describing it recently as a 'dynamic
50
51 framework' (Leventhal et al. 2016). With this in mind, adhering to a purist application of
52
53 CSM is unlikely to be necessary. Secondly as described, our analytical processes involved
54
55 inductive analysis followed by a mapping onto the CSM domains. We acknowledge that this
56
57 approach risks 'squeezing' data to fit the model (Bradbury-Jones et al. 2014). Or as Bourdieu
58
59
60

1
2
3 observed in his critique of practice and logic, we have potential to ‘*wring incoherences out of*
4 *it or to thrust upon it a forced coherence*’ (1997, p. 109). We argue that we have done
5
6 neither. The fact that some of our quotes may appear to straddle more than one theoretical
7
8 domain, demonstrates our commitment to the fullness of data as opposed to the rigid
9
10 adherence to the model.
11
12
13

14 15 16 17 **Discussion** 18

19
20 Through viewing public health nurses’ understandings of sexting in relation to CSM,
21
22 although some were less knowledgeable and confident than others, collectively they were
23
24 able to talk of its identity and causes. Most knew of the risks associated with young people’s
25
26 sexting practices and they perceived it was part of a myriad of sexual behaviours. Their
27
28 understandings in this regard align with the considerable literature in the field. It is clear from
29
30 extant literature that sexting poses risk to the mental and sexual health of many young people
31
32 (O’Keeffe & Clarke-Pearson 2011; Rice et al. 2011; Dake et al. 2012; Benotsch et al. 2013;
33
34 Van Ouytsel et al. 2017). A cluster of risky sexual behaviours exist (Rice et al. 2011; West et
35
36 al. 2014; Kosenko et al. 2017), including the practice of sexting, along with multiple sexual
37
38 partners and substance abuse (Ahern & Mechling 2013; Van Ouytsel et al. 2017). In the
39
40 online survey conducted by Benotsch and colleagues (2013) with 763 young people, sexting
41
42 was robustly associated with high-risk sexual behaviour and/or using substances.
43
44
45
46
47

48 **Most public health nurses showed appreciation of the consequences of sexting, particularly in**
49 **relation to non-consensual sharing of images. Similarly, the survey by Childnet International**
50 **(2017) highlighted significant risks in this regard.** The results of that survey highlighted the
51
52 risks of threats, coercion and exploitation, with 10% of the young people who took part being
53
54 pressured to share a nude image by a girlfriend/boyfriend, and 9% receiving a sexual threat
55
56 online, including threats of rape. Also, 6% had experienced the sharing of their image and
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 41% had witnessed their peers circulating sexualised images of someone they knew (referred
4 to as ‘revenge porn’) and 25% had witnessed a peer secretly taking sexualised images of
5 someone and sharing them online (known as ‘upskirting’ or ‘creep shots’). To that end,
6 young people are enmeshed in risky practices both as potential victim and as witness to the
7 act of non-consensual sharing.
8
9

10
11
12
13
14
15 Our study illuminated some of intricacies of dealing with sexting within a socio-legal context.
16 In the UK, by law, it is an offence to make, distribute, possess or show any indecent images
17 of anyone aged less than 18, even if the content was created with the consent of that young
18 person (UK Government, 1978). Lorang and colleagues (2016) explored the legal
19 implications of sexting in the U.S.A. and suggested that for those involved, transferring and
20 viewing sexually explicit material can be considered child pornography. As such, several
21 states enacted legislation to help differentiate between child pornography and sexting by
22 minors. Recent changes in statutes suggested that some minors involved in sexting could be
23 charged with a less serious offense. Nonetheless, in the U.S.A. - as in the U.K. – as yet there
24 is no clear national consensus on how sexting by minors is adjudicated.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 In our study, one participant in particular (P13), pointed out the fine line between culpability
40 and vulnerability. Being attuned to the potential criminality associated with sexting, reflects a
41 sound understanding of an emerging legal context. International literature shows how sexting
42 behaviour can be associated with criminality (Gomez & Ayala 2014) and as Korenis and
43 Billick (2014, p.100) put it ‘sexting can entangle a child or adolescent in the criminal justice
44 system’. As of January 2016 in England and Wales, if a young person is found creating or
45 sharing sexual images, the police can choose to record that a crime has been committed.
46 Crimes recorded this way are unlikely to appear on future records or checks, unless the young
47 person has been involved in other similar ‘grooming’ activities which may indicate that they
48 pose a risk to others. While offering an important protective mechanism from a legal
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 standpoint, it is important not to problematise and demonise social media *per se*, because they
4 offer opportunities as well as risks (Hasinoff 2012; Children’s Commissioner, 2017). Quayle
5 (2017) suggests a proactive harm reduction approach to young people’s on-line behaviour
6 that enables young people to safely participate in the benefits of digital communication.
7
8
9
10
11

12
13 A pervasive theme throughout our study was that of risk. Interpretations of risk and how
14 professionals come to know risk has received little research attention (Gale et al. 2016) and
15 so findings from the study make a useful contribution. As Cowley and colleagues (2004)
16 point out, the daily work of most health visitors is taken up with child welfare work and this
17 requires well-honed skills in assessing risk. Veltkamp and Brown (2017) refer to the
18 ‘intractable uncertainties’ of ‘risk work’, which - they argue - is far from simple and involves
19 implicit valuing, categorising, intuiting and use of tacit knowledge. **Our synthesis of data**
20 **relating to identity, cause and consequences, juxtaposed with emerging literature on the**
21 **subject, can discern three discourses regarding levels of risk. These are presented in tabular**
22 **form as a hierarchy of perceived risk (Table 3).** The table may be useful in encouraging
23 public health nurses (and other professionals) who perceive sexting solely as a low risk, naïve
24 practice, to look beyond this viewpoint and consider the potential for escalating risk. To that
25 end, the hierarchy might stimulate an empirical way of knowing, that supplements the
26 intuiting and tacit knowledge identified by Veltkamp and Brown (2017).
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 [Insert Table 3]
47
48

49 **In terms of the CSM domain of control, addressing harmful sexual behaviours among young**
50 **people is a global public health aim with national and local implications for child protection**
51 **(Bradbury-Jones et al. 2015; Quayle 2017; National Institute for Health and Care Excellence**
52 **(NICE) 2017; Public Health England 2017).** Recently, the UK government and public-sector
53 organisations have produced written guidance on the safe use of social media (Childrens’
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Commissioner 2017; Royal Society of Public Health 2017; National Institute for Health and
4 Care Excellence (NICE): 2017) suggesting the risks and benefits for child health and
5 wellbeing. But for some public health nurses in the study, sexting was a baffling phenomenon
6 that they found difficult to understand. **Some had never heard of the issue before taking part**
7 **in the study.** They tried to make sense of it by reflecting on their own experience of
8 adolescence and in so doing encountered dissonance. Had we not mapped the data to the
9 CSM domain of 'timeline', there is a chance that we may not have given this issue due credit.
10 Yet it is an important finding. Attempting to understand the contemporary sexual practices of
11 others in light of one's own historic viewpoint is distorted by a generational gap. The techno-
12 cultural world is too different to make meaningful comparisons. **Additionally, for many**
13 **public health nurses who participated, understandings of sexting were based on what they had**
14 **managed to glean from informal networks and the media. As participant 3 informed us (and**
15 **as reflected in the title of this article) 'I keep hearing reports on the news that it's a real**
16 **problem at the moment'. In our view this is wholly inadequate and public health nurses need**
17 **formal education and support as part of on-going professional development.**

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
The study findings point to the need for public health nurses' understandings of sexting to be
informed by young people themselves. O'Keeffe and Clarke-Pearson (2011) and Rice et al.
(2011) suggest that a route into broaching the subject may be through conversations about
sexual activity, sexually transmitted diseases and unwanted adolescent pregnancies. All of
these are familiar ground for most public health nurses. We suggest a harm minimisation
approach is appropriate, as advocated by Quayle (2017). **This acknowledges and respects the**
realities of many young people's on-line practices and as we have suggested elsewhere it
recognises their agency and decision-making [insert author reference]. However, it also stays
alert to the considerable inherent risks and educates young people about these. As Courtice
and Shaughnessy (2017) note, discussion of such practices could include some of the legal

1
2
3 risks. To be successful however, public health nurses need to be fully rehearsed with the risks
4 of sexting and the contexts in which it occurs. Moreover, this understanding needs to come at
5
6 least in part from formal training and support.
7
8
9

10
11 The hierarchy of perceived risk proposed in this paper may be a useful start for educating
12 public health nurses themselves and used as a tool for them to embark on the crucial
13 conversations that they need to have with young people about the risks of sexting.
14
15
16

17 18 **Conclusions**

19
20 This study took place with one group of health professionals in the UK, but we suggest that
21 the findings can be transferred carefully to many contexts and countries because sexting is a
22 practice among young people that transcends geographical boundaries. It is also a practice
23 that is likely to grow along with advancing technologies. The public health nurses who
24 participated in the study had a collective profile of considerable life and practice experience,
25 yet their understandings and confidence in dealing with sexting was limited in some areas.
26 However they acknowledged the importance of their role in addressing the issue with young
27 people and the need for robust education and support to ensure that their capabilities are
28 maximised in this important sphere of practice. This article provides new insights into the
29 issue and moreover, a hierarchy of perceived risk is proposed as a tentative explanatory
30 framework. We envisage that it might be used for educational purposes with public health
31 nurses, other professional groups and perhaps young people themselves to challenge
32 misconceptions of sexting as a low-risk activity. It will of course be expanded upon and
33 critiqued as the limited knowledge base in this area of public health nursing develops.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

- Ahern NR, Mechling B. 2013. Sexting: serious problems for youth. *Journal of Psychosoc Nurs Ment Health Serv*, 51(7):22-30.
- Achstetter, L, Schultz, K, Faller, H & Schuler, M. 2016. Leventhal's common-sense model and asthma control: Do illness representations predict success of an asthma rehabilitation? *Asthma Journal of Health Psychology*, DOI: 10.1177/1359105316651332.
- Benotsch E, Snipes DJ, Martin AM, Bull SS: Sexting, Substance Use and Sexual Risk Behaviour in Young Adults. *Journal of Adolescent Health* 2013, 52(3):307-313.
- Boies, S. C., Knudson, G., & Young, J. 2004. The Internet, Sex, and Youths: Implications for Sexual Development. *Sexual Addiction & Compulsivity*, 1(4),343–363.
doi:10.1080/10720160490902630
- Bradbury-Jones C, Paavilainen E, Taylor J. 2015. Looking beyond the UK. In: Child Protection, Public Health and Nursing. Edited by Appleton J, Peckover S. Edinburgh: Dunedin, p. 44-56.
- Bradbury-Jones C., Taylor J. & Herber O. 2014. How theory is used and articulated in qualitative research: Development of a new typology. *Social Science & Medicine* 2014, 120, 135-141.
- Bradbury-Jones C, Tranter S. 2008. Inconsistent use of the Critical Incident Technique in nursing research. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 64(4):399-407.
- Brickell, C. 2012. Sexuality, power and the sociology of the internet. *Current Sociology*, 60(1), 28–44.doi:10.1177/0011392111426646
- Bourdieu, P. 1997. *Outline of a Theory of Practice*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Cameron, G, Mendez Sayer, E, Thomson, L, Wilson, S. 2015. Child Sexual Exploitation: A Study of International Comparisons. *Office for Public Management* Online report accessed 15.11.2017 <https://www.opm.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/CSE-Main-Report-Final.pdf>
- Childnet International 2017. *Young people's experiences of online sexual harassment: A cross country report from project DeSHAME*. Available: http://www.childnet.com/ufiles/Project_deSHAME_Dec_2017_Report.pdf
- Childrens' Commissioner. 2017. Digital 5 A Day. Simple steps to a balanced digital diet and better wellbeing <https://www.internetmatters.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/childrens-commissioners-office-digital-5-a-day.pdf>. Accessed 20 Aug 2017.
- Cox Communications. 2017. Cyberbullying, sexting and parental controls. Research findings <http://www.cox.com/wcm/en/aboutus/datasheet/sandiego/internetsafety.pdf>. Accessed 30 Aug 2017.

1
2
3 Courtice, E. L. & Shaughnessy, K. 2017. Technology-mediated sexual interaction and
4 relationships: a systematic review of the literature. *Sexual and Relationship Therapy*, 32(3-4),
5 269-290.
6

7
8 Cowley, S., Mitcheson, J. & Houston, A.M. 2004. Structuring health needs assessments: the
9 medicalisation of health visiting. *Sociology of Health & Illness*, 26(5), 503-526.
10

11
12 Crown H. 2014. Do you feel confident tackling the issue of sexting with students? *British*
13 *Journal of School Nursing*, 19(4):190-194.
14

15
16 Dake, J, Price J, Maziarz L, Ward B. 2012. Prevalence and Correlates of Sexting Behavior in
17 Adolescents *American Journal of Sexuality Education*, 7(1):1-15.
18

19
20 Department for Education (2017) *Child Sexual Exploitation: Definition and a guide for*
21 *practitioners, local leaders and decision makers working to protect children from child*
22 *sexual exploitation*. Accessed online 01.12.18

23 [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/591903/CSE_Guidance_Core_Document_13.02.2017.pdf)
24 [/file/591903/CSE_Guidance_Core_Document_13.02.2017.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/591903/CSE_Guidance_Core_Document_13.02.2017.pdf)

25
26 Döring N. 2014. Consensual sexting among adolescents: Risk prevention through abstinence
27 education or safer sexting. *Cyberpsychology: Journal of Psychosocial Research on*
28 *Cyberspace*, 8(1).
29

30
31 Everett F. 2015. Don't Criminalise sexting teenagers – mistakes are part of growing up. . In:
32 *The Guardian*. vol. 03.09.15: The Guardian Newspaper.

33
34 Gale, N., Thomas, G., Thwaites, R., Greenfield, S. et al. 2016. Towards a sociology of risk
35 work: a narrative review and synthesis. *Sociology Compass*, 10(11), 1046-1071.
36

37
38 Gomez LC, Ayala ES. 2014. Psychological Aspects, Attitudes and Behaviour Related to the
39 Practice of Sexting: A Systematic Review of the Existent Literature. *Procedia - Social and*
40 *Behavioral Sciences* 2014, 132(114-120).
41

42
43 Gorden-Messer D, Bauermeister J, Grodzinski A, Zimmerman M. 2013. Sexting among
44 young adults. *J Adolesc Health*, 52(3):301-306.
45

46
47 Hasinoff AA. 2012. Sexting as media production: Rethinking social media and sexuality.
48 *New Media & Society*, 15(4), 449-465.
49

50
51 Heffernan, E, Coulson, NS, Henshaw, H, Barry JG & Ferguson MA. 2016. Understanding the
52 psychosocial experiences of adults with mild/moderate hearing loss: An application of
53 Leventhal's self-regulatory model, *International Journal of Audiology*, 55:sup3, S3-S12,
54 DOI: 10.3109/14992027.2015.1117663.
55

56
57 Houck CD, Barker D, Rizzo C, Hancock. E., Norton A., Brown E. 2014. Sexting and Sexual
58 Behavior in At-Risk Adolescents. *Pediatrics*, 133(2):1-7.
59

60
Hughes, D.M: The use of new communications and digital technologies for exploitation of
women and children *Hastings Women's Law Journal*, 2002, 13 (1), 129-148.

1
2
3 Klettke, B., Hallford, D.J. & Mellor, D.J. 2014. Sexting prevalence and correlates: A
4 systematic literature review. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 34(1), 44-53.

5
6 **Korenis P & Billick SB (2014) Forensic Implications: Adolescent Sexting and Cyberbullying.**
7 *Psychiatr Q.*, 85: 97-101. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11126-013-9277-z>

8
9
10 Kosenko K, Luurs L, Binder A. 2017. Sexting and Sexual Behavior, 2011–2015. A Critical
11 Review and Meta-Analysis of a Growing Literature. *Journal of Computer-Mediated*
12 *Communication*, 22:141-160.

13
14
15 Lenhart A. 2017. Teens and Sexting: How and why minor teens are sending sexually
16 suggestive nude or nearly nude images via text messaging
17 http://www.pewinternet.org/files/old-media/Files/Reports/2009/PIP_Teens_and_Sexting.pdf.
18 Accessed 18 June 2017.

19
20
21 Leventhal H., Brissette I. & Leventhal E.A. 2003. The Common-Sense Model of self-
22 regulation of health and illness. In: L.D. Cameron and H. Leventhal (Eds) *The Self-*
23 *Regulation of Health and Illness Behaviour*, pp. 42–61. Routledge, London, UK.

24
25 Livingstone, S, Palmer, T. 2012. *Identifying vulnerable children online and what strategies*
26 *can help them*. UK Safer Internet Centre, London, UK.

27
28
29 McAndrew, LM, Martin, JL, Friedlander, ML, Shaffer, K, Breland, J.Y, Slotkin S &
30 Leventhal H. 2017. The common sense of counseling psychology: introducing the Common-
31 Sense Model of self-regulation, *Counselling Psychology Quarterly*, DOI:
32 10.1080/09515070.2017.1336076.

33
34
35 Leventhal, H, Phillips, LA, Burns, E. 2016. The Common-Sense Model of Self-Regulation
36 (CSM): a dynamic framework for understanding illness self-management. *J Behav Med*, 39,
37 935–946. DOI 10.1007/s10865-016-9782-2.

38
39 **Lorang MR, Dale E, McNeil R & Binder L (2016) Minors and Sexting: Legal Implications.**
40 *Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law*, 44(1):73– 81.

41
42
43 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). 2017. *Guidance on Harmful*
44 *Sexual Behaviour Among Children and Young People - NICE guideline [NG55]*
45 <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng55> Accessed online 01.12.18.

46
47
48 O'Keeffe GS, Clarke-Pearson K. 2011. The impact of social media on children, adolescents,
49 and families. *Pediatrics*, 127(4):800-804.

50
51
52 Paddison, C.A.M, Alpass, F.M., Stephens, C.V. 2010. Using the Common Sense Model of
53 illness selfregulation to understand diabetes-related distress: The importance of being able to
54 'make sense' of diabetes. *New Zealand Journal of Psychology*, 39(1), 45-50.

55
56
57 Public Health England. 2017. *Child Sexual Exploitation: Prevention and Intervention*.
58 Available: www.gov.uk

59
60
61 Shauhnessy, K., Byers, S. & Thornton, S.J. 2011. What is cybersex? Heterosexual students'
62 definitions. *International Journal of Sexual Health*, 23(2), 79-89.

1
2
3
4 Quayle E. 2017. *Over the internet, under the radar, Online Child Sexual Abuse and*
5 *Exploitation –a brief literature review*
6 http://www.barnardos.org.uk/over_the_internet_under_the_radar_literature_review.pdf.
7
8 Accessed online 01.12.18.

9
10 Rice E, Rhoades H, Winetrobe H, Sanchez M, Montoya J, Plant A, Kordic T. 2011. Sexually
11 Explicit Cell Phone Messaging Associated With Sexual Risk Among Adolescents. .
12 *Pediatrics*, 130(4).

13
14 Taylor J., Bradbury-Jones C., Kroll T., Duncan F. 2013. Health Professionals' Beliefs about
15 Domestic Abuse and the issue of Disclosure: A Critical Incident Technique Study. *Health &*
16 *Social Care in the Community*, 21(5):489-499.

17
18 Van Ouytsel J, Van Gool E, Walgrave M, Ponnett.K, Peeters E. 2017. Sexting: adolescents'
19 perceptions of the applications used for, motives for, and consequences of sexting. *Journal of*
20 *Youth Studies*, (4):446-470.

21
22 Van Ouytsel J, Walgrave M, Ponnett K, Heirman W. 2015. The association between
23 adolescent sexting, psychosocial difficulties, and risk behavior: integrative review. *The*
24 *Journal of School Nursing*, 31(1):54-69.

25
26 Veltkamp, G. & Brown, P. 2017. The everyday risk work of Dutch child-healthcare
27 professionals: inferring 'safe' and 'good' parenting through trust, as mediated by a lens of
28 gender and class. *Sociology of Health & Illness*, 39(8), 1297-1313.

29
30 UK Government (1978) *Protection of Children Act (Chapter 37): An Act to prevent the*
31 *exploitation of children by making indecent photographs of them; and to penalise the*
32 *distribution, showing and advertisement of such indecent photographs*. Accessed online
33 01.12.18. <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1978/37>

34
35 West J, Lister CE, Cougar Hall P, Crookston BT, Rivera Snow P, Zvietcovich ME, West R.
36 2014. Sexting among peruvian adolescents. *BMC Public Health*, 14(811):811.

37
38 Ybarra M, Mitchell K. 2014. "Sexting" and Its Relation to Sexual Activity and Sexual Risk
39 Behavior in a National Survey of Adolescents. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 55(6):757-764.

40
41 Yonas M, Aronson R, Schall J, Eng E, Hardy C, Jones N. 2013. Critical incident technique:
42 an innovative participatory approach to examine and document racial disparities in breast
43 cancer healthcare services. *Health Education Research*, 28(5):748-759.

Table 1: Manifestation of CSE (Adapted from Department for Education, 2017)

Like all forms of child sexual abuse, CSE can:

Affect all children and young people under the age of 18 years

Still be abuse even if the sexual activity appears consensual

Include both contact (penetrative and non-penetrative acts) and non-contact sexual activity

Take place in person or via technology (or a combination of both)

Involve force and/or enticement-based methods of compliance

Be accompanied by violence or threats of violence

Occur without the child or young person's immediate knowledge

Be perpetrated by individuals or groups, males or females, and children or adults

CSE is *always*:

Underpinned by some form of power imbalance in favour of those perpetrating the abuse

Table 2: Interpretation of CSM applied to this study

Domain	Original understandings	Interpretations in this study
Identity	The label given to a condition	Descriptions of what sexting is to help build a picture of what participants understand by sexting
Timeline	Beliefs about how long the condition will last	Descriptions of how sexual practices have changed and generational shifts in attitudes and behaviours
Cause	Ideas about perceived causes	Any times a participant alludes to a factor that has either led to sexting becoming an issue, or an individual becoming involved
Consequences	Perceptions regarding the consequences and impact of a condition	Consequences of sexting
Control	Beliefs about the extent to which a condition can be cured	Instances where participants reference either their beliefs that things can or cannot be done, or ideas about what could be done

Table 3: Sexting practices and a hierarchy of perceived risk

Perceived level of risk	Nature of practice	Characteristics of practice
Low	Normalised practice	<p>Consensual sharing between two people</p> <p>Takes place in a seemingly caring and trusting relationship</p> <p>A fun, naïve activity</p>
Medium	Potentially abusive practice	<p>Pressure to share images</p> <p>Risks of the image being 'out there'</p> <p>Potential for non-consensual sharing of image</p>
High	Manipulative and exploitive	<p>Predatory behaviours</p> <p>Risks of grooming</p> <p>Potential for sexual exploitation</p>