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#### Abstract

Denote by $F G$ the group algebra of a group $G$ over a field $F$, by $U(F G)$ its group of units, and by $\operatorname{dl}(U(F G))$ the derived length of $U(F G)$. We know very little about $\operatorname{dl}(U(F G))$, especially when $F$ has characteristic 2 . In this short note, it is shown that, if $F$ is of characteristic $2, G^{\prime}$ is cyclic of order $2^{n}$ and the nilpotency class of $G$ is less than $n+1$, then $\operatorname{dl}(U(F G))$ is equal to $n$ or $n+1$. In addition, if $n>1$ and $G^{\prime}=\operatorname{Syl}_{2}(G)$, then $\mathrm{dl}(U(F G))=n$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $F G$ be the group algebra of a group $G$ over a field $F$ of prime characteristic $p$, and let $U(F G)$ be the group of units of $F G$. It is determined in [4] when $U(F G)$ is solvable, however, we know very little about the derived length of $U(F G)$.

[^0]Assume first that $p$ is an odd prime. For this case, the group algebras $F G$ with metabelian group of units are classified in [16], under restriction $G$ is finite, and this result is extended to torsion $G$ in [6]. In [7, 8] the finite groups $G$ are described, such that $U(F G)$ has derived length 3. According to [1], if $G$ is a finite $p$-group with cyclic commutator subgroup, then $\operatorname{dl}(U(F G))=\left\lceil\log _{2}\left(\left|G^{\prime}\right|+1\right)\right\rceil$, where $\lceil\cdot\rceil$ is the upper integer part function. The aim of [2] and [10] is to extend this result, and determine the value of $\operatorname{dl}(U(F G))$ for arbitrary groups $G$ with $G^{\prime}$ is a cyclic $p$ group, where $p$ is still an odd prime. As it turned out, if $G$ is nilpotent and torsion, then the derived length of $U(F G)$ remains $\left\lceil\log _{2}\left(\left|G^{\prime}\right|+1\right)\right\rceil$, but for non-nilpotent or non-torsion $G$ it can be different. However, the description is not complete yet, for the open cases we refer the reader to [10].

For $p=2$ and finite group $G$, necessary and sufficient conditions for $U(F G)$ to be metabelian is given in [9], and independently, in [14]. This result is extended in [6] as follows: if $F$ is a field of characteristic 2 , and $G$ is a nilpotent torsion group, then $U(F G)$ is metabelian exactly when $G^{\prime}$ is a central elementary abelian group of order dividing 4. In [13], it is established that if $G$ is a group of maximal class of order $2^{n}$, then $\operatorname{dl}(U(F G))$ is less or equal to $n-1$. To the best of the author's knowledge, for $p=2$ there is no other result concerning the derived length of $U(F G)$. The aim of this paper to draw the attention to this uncovered area by sharing the author's experience and an introductory result.

The group of units of a group algebra can be investigated via the Lie structure of the group algebra. For example, we can obtain an upper bound on the derived length of $U(F G)$, by the help of the strong Lie derived length of $F G$. Let $\delta^{(0)}(F G)=F G$, and for $i \geq 1$, denote by $\delta^{(i)}(F G)$ the associative ideal generated by all the Lie commutators $[x, y]=x y-y x$ with $x, y \in \delta^{(i-1)}(F G)$. $F G$ is said to be strongly Lie solvable, if there exists $i$, for which $\delta^{(i)}(F G)=0$, and the first such $i$ is called the strong Lie derived length of $F G$, which will be denoted by $\mathrm{dl}^{L}(F G)$. For $x, y \in U(F G)$ we have that the group commutator $(x, y)=x^{-1} y^{-1} x y$ is equal to $1+x^{-1} y^{-1}[x, y]$, which implies that $\delta_{i}(U(F G)) \subseteq 1+\delta^{(i)}(F G)$ for all $i$, where $\delta_{i}(U(F G))$ denotes the $i$ th term of the derived series of $U(F G)$. Therefore, if $F G$ is strongly Lie solvable, then $\mathrm{dl}(U(F G)) \leq \mathrm{dl}^{L}(F G)$.

According to [15, Theorem 5.1], $F G$ is strongly Lie solvable if and only if either $G$ is abelian, or $G^{\prime}$ is a finite $p$-group and $F$ is a field of characteristic $p$. By [11, Proposition 1], if $F G$ is strongly Lie solvable such that $G$ is nilpotent and $\gamma_{3}(G) \subseteq\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{p}$, then $\mathrm{dl}^{L}(F G)=\left\lceil\log _{2}\left(t\left(G^{\prime}\right)+1\right)\right\rceil$, where by $t\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ we mean the nilpotency index of the augmentation ideal of the subalgebra $F G^{\prime}$.

Assume now that $G$ is a group with cyclic commutator subgroup of order $2^{n}$ and $F$ is a field of characteristic 2 . Then $G$ is nilpotent with nilpotency class $\operatorname{cl}(G) \leq n+1$, so we can apply the above formulas to get

$$
\mathrm{dl}(U(F G)) \leq \mathrm{dl}^{L}(F G)=\left\lceil\log _{2}\left(2^{n}+1\right)\right\rceil=n+1
$$

Hence, if $n=1$, then $\operatorname{dl}(U(F G))=2$. For the case when $n>1$ and $\operatorname{cl}(G) \leq n$, we are able to give a lower bound on $\operatorname{dl}(U(F G))$ as well.

Theorem 1.1. Let $F$ be a field of characteristic 2 , and let $G$ be a group with cyclic commutator subgroup of order $2^{n}$, where $n>1$. Then $\operatorname{dl}(U(F G)) \geq n$, whenever $G$ has nilpotency class at most $n$.

According to [12, Theorem 1], under conditions of Theorem 1.1, $U(F G)$ is nilpotent and, by [5, Theorem 4.3], if $G^{\prime}=\operatorname{Syl}_{2}(G)$, then $\operatorname{cl}(U(F G))=2^{n}-1$. Using the well-known relation $\delta_{i}(U(F G)) \subseteq \gamma_{2^{i}}(U(F G))$ between terms of the derived series and the lower central series of groups, we have the following assertion.

Corollary 1.2. Let $F$ be a field of characteristic 2, and let $G$ be a group with cyclic commutator subgroup of order $2^{n}$, where $n>1$. If $G^{\prime}=\operatorname{Syl}_{2}(G)$ and $\operatorname{cl}(G) \leq n$, then $\operatorname{dl}(U(F G))=n$.

For instance, if

$$
G=\left\langle a, b, c \mid c^{2^{n}}=1, b^{-1} a b=a c, a c=c a, b c=c b\right\rangle
$$

with $n>1$, and $\operatorname{char}(F)=2$, then $\operatorname{dl}(U(F G))=n$. This example also witnesses that for non-torsion $G, U(F G)$ can be metabelian, even if $G^{\prime}$ is cyclic of order 4.

The GAP system for computational discrete algebra [17] and its package, the LAGUNA [3] open the door to compute the derived length of $U(F G)$ for $G$ of not too large size. Computing $\mathrm{dl}(U(F G))$ for some group $G$ of order not greater than 512 and $F$ of 2 elements, it seems that $\operatorname{dl}(U(F G))$ will always be at least $n$, even if $\operatorname{cl}(G)=n+1$. However, it would also be interesting to know when $\operatorname{dl}(U(F G))$ is $n$ or when it is $n+1$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We will use the following notations. For a normal subgroup $H$ of $G$ we denote by $\mathfrak{I}(H)$ the ideal in $F G$ generated by all elements of the form $h-1$ with $h \in H$. For the subsets $X, Y \subseteq F G$ by $[X, Y]$ we mean the additive subgroup of $F G$ generated by all Lie commutators $[x, y]$ with $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$.

Write $G^{\prime}=\left\langle x \mid x^{2^{n}}=1\right\rangle$, and assume that $n>1$. Then for any $m>1$, $y \in \gamma_{m}(G)$ and $g \in G$ we have $g^{-1} y g=y^{k}$, where $k$ is odd, thus $(y, g)=y^{k-1} \in$ $\gamma_{m}(G)^{2}$. Hence, $\gamma_{m+1}(G) \subseteq \gamma_{m}(G)^{2}$ for all $m>1$, so $G$ is nilpotent of class at most $n+1$. Evidently, if $\gamma_{3}(G) \subseteq\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{4}$, then $\operatorname{cl}(G)$ cannot exceed $n$. We show first the converse, that is, if $\operatorname{cl}(G) \leq n$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{3}(G) \subseteq\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{4} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is clear, if $n=2$. For $n \geq 3$, it is well known that the automorphism group of $G^{\prime}$ is the direct product of the cyclic group $\langle\alpha\rangle$ of order 2 and the cyclic group $\langle\beta\rangle$ of order $2^{n-2}$, where the action of these automorphisms on $G^{\prime}$ is given by $\alpha(x)=x^{-1}, \beta(x)=x^{5}$. Consequently, for every $g \in G$ there exists $i \geq 0$, such that either $g^{-1} x g=x^{5^{i}}$ or $g^{-1} x g=x^{-5^{i}}$. Assume that there is a $g \in G$ such that $g^{-1} x g=x^{-5^{i}}$ for some $i$, and let $y \in \gamma_{m}(G)$ with $m>1$. Then $(y, g)=y^{-1-5^{i}} \in$
$\gamma_{m+1}(G)$, and as $-1-5^{i} \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$, we have that $\gamma_{m+1}(G)=\left(\gamma_{m}(G)\right)^{2}$. This means that $\operatorname{cl}(G)=n+1$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, for any $g \in G$ there exists $i$ such that $g^{-1} x g=x^{5^{i}}$ and $(x, g)=x^{-1+5^{i}}=x^{4 k}$ for some integer $k$, which forces 2.1.

Let $F$ be a field of characteristic 2 . The next step is to show by induction that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right)^{m}, F G\right] \subseteq \Im\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{m+3} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $m \geq 1$. Let $y \in G^{\prime}$ and $g \in G$. Then, using that $\gamma_{3}(G) \subseteq\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{4}$, we have

$$
[y+1, g]=[y, g]=g y((y, g)+1) \in \mathfrak{I}\left(\gamma_{3}(G)\right) \subseteq \Im\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{4} .
$$

Since the Lie commutators of the form $[y+1, g]$ span the subspace $\left[\omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right), F G\right]$, (2.2) holds for $m=1$. Assume now (2.2) for some $m \geq 1$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right)^{m+1}, F G\right] } & \subseteq \omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right)^{m}\left[\omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right), F G\right]+\left[\omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right)^{m}, F G\right] \omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right) \\
& \subseteq \Im\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{m+4}
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired. Furthermore, by using (2.2), for all $k, l \geq 1$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\mathfrak{I}\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{k}, \mathfrak{I}\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{l}\right]} \\
& =\left[F G \omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right)^{k}, F G \omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right)^{l}\right] \\
& \subseteq F G\left[\omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right)^{k}, F G \omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right)^{l}\right]+\left[F G, F G \omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right)^{l}\right] \omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right)^{k} \\
& \subseteq F G\left[\omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right)^{k}, F G\right] \omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right)^{l}+F G\left[F G, \omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right)^{l}\right] \omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right)^{k}  \tag{2.3}\\
& +[F G, F G] \omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right)^{k+l} \\
& \subseteq \Im\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{k+l+1} \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

At this stage, it may be worth mentioning that without the assumption $\operatorname{cl}(G) \leq$ $n$ we can only claim that $\gamma_{3}(G) \subseteq\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{2}$ and $\left[\omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right)^{m}, F G\right] \subseteq \omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right)^{m+1}$ instead of (2.1) and (2.2). Although those would be enough for (2.3), but not for what follows.

Denote by $S$ the set of those $a \in G$, for which there exists $b \in G$, such that $\langle(a, b)\rangle=G^{\prime}$. We are going to show that for all $k \geq 1$ and $a \in S$, there exists $w_{k} \in \Im\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+a(x+1)^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}-1}+w_{k} \in \delta_{k}(U(F G)) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $\delta_{k}(U(F G))$ contains non-identity element, while $3 \cdot 2^{k-1}-1<2^{n}$, and then

$$
\mathrm{dl}(U(F G)) \geq\left\lceil\log _{2}\left(\frac{2}{3}\left(2^{n}+1\right)\right)\right\rceil=n
$$

and the proof of Theorem 1.1 will be done.
Let $a \in S$. Then there exists $b \in G$ such that $(a, b)=x^{i}$, where $i$ is odd. By (2.2), $[x+1, b] \in \mathfrak{I}\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{4}$, and

$$
u:=(1+a(x+1), b)=1+(1+a(x+1))^{-1} b^{-1}[a(x+1), b]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \equiv 1+(1+a(x+1))^{-1} b^{-1}[a, b](x+1) \\
& \equiv 1+(1+a(x+1))^{-1} a\left(x^{i}+1\right)(x+1) \quad\left(\bmod \Im\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $1+a(x+1)$ belongs to the normal subgroup $1+\Im\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, so does its inverse, and

$$
u \equiv 1+a\left(x^{i}+1\right)(x+1) \quad\left(\bmod \Im\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{3}\right)
$$

Using that $x^{i}+1 \equiv i(x+1)=x+1\left(\bmod \omega\left(F G^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)$, we obtain that

$$
u \equiv 1+a(x+1)^{2} \quad\left(\bmod \mathfrak{I}\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{3}\right)
$$

and (2.4) is confirmed for $k=1$. Assume, by induction, the truth of (2.4) for some $k \geq 1$, and let $a \in S$. Then there exists $b \in G$ such that $\langle(a, b)\rangle=G^{\prime}$, and of course, $b$ also belongs to $S$. Moreover, $b^{-1} a \in S$, because $\left(b^{-1} a, b\right)=(a, b)$. By the inductive hypothesis, there exist $w_{k}, w_{k}^{\prime} \in \Im\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}}$, such that

$$
u:=1+b^{-1} a(x+1)^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}-1}+w_{k} \in \delta_{k}(U(F G))
$$

and

$$
v:=1+b(x+1)^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}-1}+w_{k}^{\prime} \in \delta_{k}(U(F G)) .
$$

According to (2.3),

$$
[u, v] \equiv\left[b^{-1} a(x+1)^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}-1}, b(x+1)^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}-1}\right] \quad\left(\bmod \Im\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{3 \cdot 2^{k}}\right)
$$

Applying (2.2), we have that $\left[(x+1)^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}-1}, b\right]$ and $\left[b^{-1} a,(x+1)^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}-1}\right]$ belong to $\mathfrak{I}\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}+2}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[u, v] \equiv } & b^{-1} a\left[(x+1)^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}-1}, b\right](x+1)^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}-1} \\
& +b\left[b^{-1} a,(x+1)^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}-1}\right](x+1)^{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}-1}+\left[b^{-1} a, b\right](x+1)^{3 \cdot 2^{k}-2} \\
\equiv & a\left(x^{i}+1\right)(x+1)^{3 \cdot 2^{k}-2} \equiv a(x+1)^{3 \cdot 2^{k}-1} \quad\left(\bmod \Im\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{3 \cdot 2^{k}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i$ is not divisible by 2 . Since $u^{-1}, v^{-1} \in 1+\Im\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, so

$$
(u, v)=1+u^{-1} v^{-1}[u, v] \equiv 1+a(x+1)^{3 \cdot 2^{k}-1} \quad\left(\bmod \Im\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{3 \cdot 2^{k}}\right)
$$

and the induction is done.
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