1 **Seal carrion is a predictable resource for coastal ecosystems**

2 Maria-Martina Quaggiotto[1], Philip S. Barton[2], Christopher Morris[3], Simon E. W.

3 Moss[3], Patrick P. Pomeroy[3], Dominic J. McCafferty[1], David M. Bailey[1]

4

5 [1] Institute of Biodiversity, Animal Health and Comparative Medicine, College of

6 Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

7 [2] Fenner School of Environment and Society, Australian National University,

8 Canberra, Australia

9 [3] Sea Mammal Research Unit, Scottish Oceans Institute, East Sands, University of

10 St Andrews, St Andrews, Fife, UK

11 Corresponding author: martina.quaggiotto@gmail.com

12 orcid.org/0000-0002-3547-0219

Abstract

The timing, magnitude, and spatial distribution of resource inputs can have large
effects on dependent organisms. Few studies have examined the predictability of
such resources and no standard ecological measure of predictability exists. We
examined the potential predictability of carrion resources provided by one of the UK's
largest grey seal (*Halichoerus grypus*) colonies, on the Isle of May, Scotland. We
used aerial (11 years) and ground surveys (3 years) to quantify the variability in time,
space, quantity (kg), and quality (MJ) of seal carrion during the seal pupping season.
We then compared the potential predictability of seal carrion to other periodic
changes in food availability in nature. An average of 6893 kg of carrion $\cdot yr^{-1}$
corresponding to 110.5×10^3 MJ$\cdot yr^{-1}$ was released for potential scavengers as
placentae and dead animals. A fifth of the total biomass from dead seals was
consumed by the end of the pupping season, mostly by avian scavengers. The
spatial distribution of carcasses was similar across years, and 28% of the area
containing >10 carcasses ha^{-1} was shared among all years. Relative standard errors
(RSE) in space, time, quantity, and quality of carrion were all below 34%. This is
similar to other allochthonous-dependent ecosystems, such as those affected by
migratory salmon, and indicates high predictability of seal carrion as a resource. Our
study illustrates how to quantify predictability in carrion, which is of general relevance
to ecosystems that are dependent on this resource. We also highlight the importance
of carrion to marine coastal ecosystems, where it sustains avian scavengers thus
affecting ecosystem structure and function.

1 Introduction

Many ecosystems are spatially linked by flows of nutrients and energy (e.g. Polis et al. 1997; Power and Rainey 2000; Anderson and Polis 1998; Reiners and Driese 2001). Such flows shape the structure and function of donor and receiving ecosystems by regulating nutrient availability and the dynamics of consumers that depend on them (Polis and Hurd 1996b).

Coastal regions are often affected by marine-derived inputs transferred from the ocean to the terrestrial ecosystem (Polis and Hurd 1996a, b; Polis et al. 1997; Rose and Polis 1998; Stapp and Polis 2003; Barton 2015). A noticeable example of this is the stranding of plant detritus and carrion on the shore (Polis et al. 1996). Animals also play a key role in the transport of marine nutrients when they move from one system to another. Salmon (Cederholm et al. 1999), sea turtles (Bouchard and Bjorndal 2000) and penguins (Erskine et al. 1998) play a fundamental role in this process by congregating at similar times of the year and in defined areas. The periodic availability of carrion and other nutrients released at such animal aggregations attract terrestrial foragers to the coast (e.g. Polis and Hurd 1995), locally increase the number of invertebrate consumers (e.g. Sánchez-Piñero and Polis 2000; Janetski et al. 2009; Spiller et al. 2010), and nourish soil and plants (Fariña et al. 2003). Despite the known effects of such inputs on both consumers and plants, few studies have examined the variation and predictability of these resources in coastal ecosystems. Whether a periodic resource pulse is predictable or not has important implications for how they are used by consumers and how they affect ecosystem function.

A challenge to understanding resource predictability is its definition and measurement. If similar food resources occur in similar places and at similar times each year we might consider this to be "predictable", but how should "predictability" be quantified? Colwell (1974) was one of the first to define the predictability of periodic phenomena, by using their constancy (temporal uniformity) and contingency (consistency of timing between years). However, this method uses data categorised into classes and not continuous measures of true totals. Alternatively, a pulsed resource can be described as having low frequency, large magnitude and short duration (Yang et al. 2008), but these attributes can characterise both unpredictable and predictable resource pulses. Other attributes of predictability can be relevant

80   from a consumer perspective. This can include whether resource availability is

81   related to day length or the lunar cycle (Horning and Trillmich 1999), or whether it is

82   stable in space and time (Cama et al. 2012), thus permitting consumers to find it

83   (Weimerskirch 2007) and adapt their foraging behaviour to its availability (Overington

84   and Lefebvre 2011). Although there are many examples of animal responses to the

85   predictability of resource subsidies at both ecosystem and individual levels (e.g.

86   Davenport 1995; Graham et al. 2006; Furness et al. 2007; Hoogenboom et al. 2013;

87   Reid et al. 2012; Monsarrat et al. 2013; Oro et al. 2013), a clear definition of

88   predictability is often not given. This means that measures of predictability are

89   typically study-specific, and cannot be compared across ecosystems or resources.

90   When a subsidy is temporally and spatially constrained, consumers can

91   predict where and when it will occur using prior knowledge and learning (Stephens

92   and Krebs 1986), or programmed and evolved responses to signals (Berthold 1996).

93   Female savanna elephants (*Loxodonta africana*), for instance, use past experience

94   to adjust their timing of reproduction according to seasonal pulses in vegetation

95   productivity (Wittemyer et al. 2007). Seabirds arrive to certain areas at times of high

96   food availability, even if this means beginning to move before the food itself is

97   detectable (Weimerskirch 2007). In these cases, both elephants and seabirds can

98   predict resource availability, and respond to the location and timing of a resource, as

99   well as its quantity and quality. While it is clear that some animals predict timing,

100   spatial distribution, quantity, and quality of a resource, no study to our knowledge

101   has examined all these aspects of predictability at once. A method to quantify the

102   different aspects of predictability in common units will greatly help to understand how

103   the characteristics of resources affect the response of populations dependent upon

104   them and their success.

105   In this study, we examined resource predictability in a coastal island

106   ecosystem that experiences seal carrion pulses. Like many other animal

107   aggregations, the inputs of nutrients released by pinnipeds when forming breeding

108   colonies are likely to be substantial for the coastal systems. In sea lion colonies, for

109   instance, onshore mortality together with defecation are major sources of nutrients

110   (Fariña et al. 2003). Seal colonies represent an important system for studying the

111   predictability of a resource as there is an annual pulse of carrion which is used either

112   directly by above ground secondary consumers, or indirectly as a source of nutrients

113   to primary producers (Anderson and Polis 1998).

114   Here we asked the question: Is seal carrion a predictable resource for
115 scavengers on seal colonies? We investigated the seal carrion (placentae and dead
116 seals) produced during the pupping season in one of the largest grey seal
117 (*Halichoerus grypus*) colonies in the UK (Isle of May, Scotland). We evaluated
118 variability in the timing, spatial distribution, biomass, and energy content of this
119 carrion by calculating their relative standard errors (RSE). This provides a common
120 metric to evaluate variability across different measurement units. We hypothesised
121 that the RSEs of seal carrion production would be similar to those of resources that
122 animal consumers are known to predict (e.g. salmon runs). The flux of carrion to the
123 local avian scavenging community was estimated as the biomass consumed at the
124 end of the seal pupping season. Finally, we discuss the potential importance of seal
125 carrion for the ecosystem as a whole.
126
127 **2 Methods**
128 **2.1 Study area**

129   This study was undertaken on the Isle of May (56°11'19"N, 2°33'27"W),
130 situated at the entrance to the Firth of Forth on the east coast of Scotland. The island
131 (1.8 x 0.5 km), covers an area of 45 ha, with the long axis extending in a northwest-
132 southeast direction (Fig. 1). The Isle of May is a designated Special Area of
133 Conservation (SAC) because it hosts a breeding colony of grey seals. This colony
134 contributed ca. 4.3% to the annual UK pup production in 2010 (2153 pups born) and
135 appears to be increasing since then (SCOS 2016).
136   The main scavenger occurring on the island is the great black-backed gull
137 (*Larus marinus*): 40 pairs were counted nesting on the Isle of May during summer
138 2012 (SNH 2012). Between October and March the Scottish resident gull population
139 receives immigrants from Scandinavia and Russia (Forrester et al. 2007).

140 **2.2 Data collection**

141   We used a combination of aerial surveys and ground visual censuses of pups
142 and carcasses to quantify the characteristics of the carrion resource (placentae and
143 dead seals) available at the seal colony.

Aerial survey data

The Sea Mammal Research Unit (SMRU, University of St. Andrews) has carried out annual aerial surveys of the Isle of May (and all other major Scottish grey seal breeding colonies) since the early 1990s, in order to estimate seal pup production (number of pups born per year) and the mean pupping date. Surveys were carried out annually up until 2010, when the frequency was reduced to every two years. The number of white coated pups and moulted pups are counted from a series of 3-6 aerial photo surveys carried out at approximately 10-12 day intervals throughout the breeding season. The pup counts are used together with estimates of 'time to moult' and 'time to leave' (Wyile, 1988) to model the birth curve and obtain estimates of total pup production (with 95% confidence limits) and mean pupping date. Dead pups were also counted for each survey, but pup mortality was not estimated. For further details of the methods see SCOS (1996).

The number of dead pups counted in aerial surveys was used here to approximate the number of carcasses released into the ecosystem every year for the decade 2000-2010 and the year 2012. The highest number of dead pups counted each year (among all the aerial surveys) was considered to be the most accurate, even though it is still likely to be an underestimation. To minimise this underestimation, data from ground visual census of carcasses conducted in 2008 and 2012 were used (223 and 226 carcasses, respectively; see below). Both censuses showed a greater number of dead pups than the highest count obtained by aerial surveys performed in both years. Therefore an error of underestimation was calculated from the percentage of dead pups missing in the temporally closest aerial survey count when the ground visual census was completed in 2008 and 2012 (35.0% and 42.0% of extra carcasses were found in the two years, respectively). As the underestimation was reasonably consistent between the two years, the mean error (38.5%, SD = 5.0%) was then used to adjust counts for all other years.

Ground visual census data

Ground visual census of carcasses was carried out at the end of the breeding season (late November to early December) in 2008, 2012 and 2013. Carcasses were detected by a team (3-6 people) systematically searching the seal breeding areas of the island. Sex and developmental stage (from 1 to 5, according to Kovacs and Lavigne, 1986) were determined for each carcass. However, those that appeared starved, scavenged, or in late state of decay could not be scored for sex

178 and/or developmental stage (coded N/A). Starvelings (pups starved to death whose

179 carcass lacked the natural layer of blubber) and dead adults were also recorded.

180 GPS fixes (Garmin eTrex Summit; accuracy: <15m RMS) or marks on aerial

181 photographs (in 2012), were made for all dead animals.

182 Carcasses recorded during the ground visual census of 2012 were scored for

183 consumption state as follow: A = intact, B = lacked both eyes and/or showed one

184 opening on the body, C = showed multiple openings, D = body looked flat and lacked

185 some internal organs, head and/or some bones, E = remains (only bones, fur and

186 skin). To assign an estimated mass loss to each consumption state, during the 2013

187 pupping season 11 experimental carcasses were deployed and weighed at regular

188 time intervals to monitor changes in mass due to scavenging activity. The mean

189 cumulative mass loss (in %) for consecutive consumption states was then

190 calculated.

191 **2.3 Data analysis**

192 We calculated several attributes of the carrion resources on the Isle of May from

193 aerial and ground surveys. These included the timing, quantity, quality, spatial

194 distribution, and consumption of carrion.

195 **Timing of pupping**

196   Mean pupping dates for the decade 2000-2010 and 2012 were compared and

197 the inter-annual variability in timing calculated.

198 **Quantity of seal carrion**

199   Seal carrion was divided into two sources: placentae and dead seals. The

200 annual total estimated pup production was used to calculate the mean annual

201 biomass of placentae produced during the period 2000 – 2010 and 2012. For this

202 purpose average biomass of placentae was acquired by analysing placenta samples

203 collected in the field during the pupping season 2013: six whole placentae (including

204 amnion) were collected, weighed (± 10g) and sampled in fresh condition. Biomass

205 (kg) of placentae available to the ecosystem for each year was then estimated by

206 multiplying the pup production by the average wet mass of a grey seal placenta.

207   Because pup carcasses were not weighed during the ground visual census,

208 the discrepancy between the mass of alive and dead pups estimated by using raw

209 data collected by Baily (2014) was then used to adjust mass values according to

210 Kovacs and Lavigne (1986). Differences in mass of alive (n = 80) and dead (n = 37)

211 pups belonging to the 2nd-3rd-4th developmental stages were analysed. The 1st and

212 5th stages were excluded from this analysis because of low sample size. For this

213 reason, mass (kg) of pup carcasses was first calculated by using the equations

214 provided by Kovacs and Lavigne (1986) and the resulting values were finally

215 adjusted by subtracting the difference in mass found between alive and dead pups.

216 Biomass of pups was estimated considering sex and developmental stage of

217 carcasses; mass of pups of non-identifiable sex was approximated by averaging the

218 mass of male and female pups for the different developmental stages. Mass of dead

219 female pups belonging to the first developmental stage was given as a conservative

220 value for starvelings and pups where developmental stage was not known. The

221 mean maternal mass at weaning according to Pomeroy and Fedak (1999) was given

222 for female adult carcasses (117 ± 18kg). A value of 234 kg was assigned to dead

223 male adults, as breeding adult males are typically twice the mass of females when

224 animals mate (Pomeroy and Fedak 1999). Mass of dead juvenile seals (called

225 yearlings) was assumed as 56.4 ±6.3 kg (Addison and Stobo 1993).

226 **Quality of seal carrion**

227   Energy content of placenta produced each year during the period 2000 –

228 2010 and 2012 was estimated by analysing the gross energy density (MJ kg^{-1}) of

229 placenta samples collected in 2013 by bomb calorimetry (Sciantec Analytical

230 Service, UK). The energy content (MJ) of the total biomass of placentae was

231 estimated by multiplying the total biomass with the energy density of grey seal

232 placenta.

233   The body composition of pup carcasses was calculated according to Lang et

234 al. (2011), in which percentages of water, protein and fat of grey seal pups at day 3

235 and 12 post-partum and at weaning are given. Percentages belonging to pups

236 nursed by primiparous grey seal mothers, instead of multiparous, were used as a

237 conservative approximation. Day 3 post-partum percentage of each body component

238 was given to first and second stage carcasses, but also to starvelings and pups

239 where developmental stage was not known. Day 12 post-partum percentages were

240 assigned to third stage carcasses, whereas fourth and the fifth stage carcasses were

241 assigned percentages calculated at weaning (Table 1). Body composition of female

242 adult carcasses was also estimated from Lang et al. (2011), considering percentages

243 of body components for primiparous grey seal mothers at day 12 post-partum.

244 Values provided by Lidgard et al. (2005) were used for male adult and juvenile
245 carcasses. Percentages of each body component were then transformed in terms of
246 biomass (kg) before estimating their energy content (MJ). Similar to body mass,
247 body composition might also differ between dead pups and healthy living pups.
248 Reduction or lack of blubber is evident in starvelings (Trites and Jonker 2000; Baily
249 2014). However, there is no documentation comparing alive and dead starveling
250 pups. The energy content of protein and fat was quantified based on values of
251 energy densities of 39.33 kJ g^{-1} and 17.99 kJ g^{-1} for fat and protein, respectively
252 (Schmidt-Nielsen 1997). Zero energy was attributed to the water component. Results
253 from this analysis represent an approximation of biomass and energy released into
254 the ecosystem at the moment when carcasses become immediately available to the
255 ecosystem. This analysis does not take into account possible variation in biomass
256 and energy content of carrion over time caused by decomposition.

257 **Spatial distribution of seal carrion**

258 To identify the areas of the island impacted by carcasses, the locations of
259 dead seals recorded during ground visual censuses in 2008, 2012 and 2013 were
260 used to produce maps showing the kernel smoothed intensity of the point pattern
261 created by the carcasses. From here onwards, the term *intensity* is used only when
262 referring to kernel smoothed intensity of the point process for carcasses, while the
263 term *density* is used in the strict sense in all other cases. Both measurements have
264 the same units (n carcasses or placentae m^{-2}). Kernel estimation is a tool used in
265 spatial ecology for detection of hotspots within the landscape (areas of high
266 abundance or biomass) (Nelson and Boots 2008). Here, the spatial pattern of dead
267 seals was analysed in order to produce maps showing the kernel smoothed intensity
268 of the point pattern created by the carcasses. Boundaries of the island and locations
269 of dead pups were projected onto a map using the British National Grid Reference
270 System based on the OSGB36 datum (Ordnance Survey Great Britain 1936).
271 The intensity of carcasses was calculated for the area of the island within the mean
272 low water boundary (697102 m^2). Likelihood cross-validation was used to select the
273 proper smoothing bandwidth for each year as it assumes an inhomogeneous
274 Poisson process. The edge effect was set as 'false' as carcasses were not observed
275 outside the window represented by the island (20 x 20 m pixels were used).
276 Polygons of areas delimited by a minimum intensity of 0.001 carcasses m^{-2} were
277 used as spatial thresholds of presence of carcasses and identified for each year. The

278 union and the intersection of these polygons was plotted to highlight areas of
279 persistent availability of carrion from year to year. Simple biomass density (kg m^{-2})
280 was also calculated for the whole island and for the total area formed by the above-
281 mentioned polygons. Mean density (n placentae m^{-2}) and biomass (kg m^{-2}) of
282 placentae for the decade were also estimated considering the area of the island at
283 mean low water (697102 m^2).

Carcass consumption by scavengers

285 The consumption of carrion by gulls was calculated for the 2012 pupping
286 season. To do so, the estimated percentage of scavenged mass, calculated
287 according to the given consumption state (from A to E), was removed from each
288 carcass mass. The scavenged portion of the total biomass available was then
289 calculated as: starting mass – consumption state mass = scavenged mass.

Statistical analysis

291 We calculated means, standard errors, and relative standard errors (RSE =
292 standard error / mean *100) expressed as a percentage, as measures of inter-annual
293 variability of the different characteristics of the seal carrion resource (mean pupping
294 date, biomass, energy and density of both placentae and carcasses).

295 We used two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to test for the effect of pup
296 status (dead/alive), developmental stage (1-5), and their interaction on carcass
297 mass.

298 We used Ripley's function (Ripley 1977) to analyse inter-annual variation in
299 the spatial pattern and distribution of carcasses. This method is used in
300 epidemiology, but also in forensic science and plant ecology (Lancaster and Downes
301 2004), and provides a visual comparison of the spatial distribution of points
302 belonging to different patterns, as well as the identification of clustering. Considering
303 a circle of radius *r* and the number of points inside the circle, the Ripley's K function
304 represents the expected number of points within a distance *r* from an arbitrary point,
305 divided by the intensity of points occurring in the study area. The linearised
306 $\hat{L}$ function is the corresponding transformation of the Ripley's K function and here it is
307 used to facilitate the visualisation (Santos and Schiavetti 2014). As the point patterns
308 of this study varied from location to location, the inhomogeneous *Linhom (r)* function
309 was used as proposed by Baddeley (2008). The three functions for 2008, 2012 and
310 2013 were estimated using the Ripley's isotropic correction for a polygonal window,

311 as an edge correction is needed to reduce bias (Ripley 1988, Baddeley 1998). They

312 were then tested for Complete Spatial Randomness (CSR) by running Monte Carlo

313 permutations (n = 99) for statistical tests (Lancaster and Downes 2004) providing a

314 level of significance of clustering. The latter functions were finally compared by

315 computing a bootstrap 95% confidence band for each function and plotted together

316 in order to evaluate whether the pattern of the functions was repeated in years

317 showing overlaps. We interpreted the observed spatial patterning of carcasses

318 against the null hypothesis of a random distribution of carcasses. This null model

319 was indicated by a horizontal line of null x values between the x and y axes

320 corresponding respectively to the radius r (in metres) and the $\hat{L}$ function values. The

321 function *Linhom (r)* is located above the horizontal line when the spatial pattern of

322 points is aggregated and below it when it is uniform. Spatial analyses were run using

323 R 3.1.1 and the following packages: Spatstat, Maptools, Rgdal, Rgeos, Ggplot2 and

324 Splancs (Baddeley and Turner 2005, Wickham 2009, Bivand and Lewin-Koh 2014,

325 Bivand and Rundel 2014, Bivand et al. 2014).

326 **2.4 Comparison with salmon runs**

327 To complement our empirical analyses, we investigated if carrion provided by

328 the Isle of May seal colony was a predictable food supply relative to other published

329 studies. We focused on salmon runs during the spawning season as a predictable

330 resource for a wide range of consumers, in particular predatory bears which predict

331 salmon availability (Luque and Stokes 1976; Fortin et al. 2007). The papers reviewed

332 were Reimchen (2000), Gende et al. (2001), Dickerson et al. (2002), Quinn and

333 Adams (1996), and Hocking and Reimchen (2009) which included data on annual

334 variability of salmon runs.

335

336 **3 Results**

337 **3.1 Timing of mean pupping dates**

338 The annual mean pupping date observed during 2000-2010 and 2012 was 30

339 October (SE = 0.58; n = 12; RSE = 1.97%) (Fig. 2a).

340 **3.2 Quantity of seal carrion**

341 Aerial survey data showed that the mean pup production was 1988 (SE =

342 47.72; n = 12; RSE = 2.40%) pups per year. Therefore, the same mean number of

343 placentae was released yearly. The mean mass of a placenta was 1.6 kg (SD =

344 0.24) (Table 2) and the resulting total biomass for the period 2000-2010 and 2012
345 was estimated to be 3124.3 kg·yr^{-1} (SE = 74.99; n = 12; RSE = 2.40%). The mean
346 number of dead pups estimated by aerial surveys was 262 (SE = 16.32; n = 12; RSE
347 = 6.23%), representing a mean annual mortality of 13.3 % (SE = 0.91) (Fig. 2b).

348 Ground visual censuses performed in 2008 and 2012 recorded similar
349 numbers of dead seals (adult and pups), with 229 and 233 respectively, whereas in
350 2013 a total of 165 carcasses were observed. The mean number of seal carcasses
351 counted for the three years was 209 (SE = 22.03; n = 3; RSE = 10.54%). On
352 average, more than half the carcasses (55.63%; SE = 4.15) were second stage pups
353 (Fig. 3), aged between 1.7 and 7.9 days. Starvelings formed 8.66% (SE = 3.94) of
354 the total number of carcasses. Dead adults were found every year (2.12% of the total
355 number of carcasses; SE = 0.70), and 12 out of 14 individuals were female.

356 There was a significant difference in mass between dead and live pups
357 (ANOVA, $F_{1,115}$ = 34.84, P < 0.001), and between pup stages (ANOVA, $F_{2,114}$ =
358 46.49, P < 0.001), but there was no significant interaction (ANOVA, $F_{2,114}$ = 0.11, P =
359 0.9). Therefore, the average difference in mass of 8.02 kg measured between alive
360 and dead pups for the three developmental stages was used to calculate the
361 biomass contributed by dead pups (Table 3). The mean annual biomass of dead
362 seals (adults and pups) was estimated to be 3768.2 kg (SE = 713.73; n = 3; RSE =
363 18.94%). The second developmental stage contributed the greatest proportion and
364 represented 42.1% (SE = 5.16) while the mass of adult carcasses represented
365 14.6% (SE = 5.04) of the total biomass released.

366 **3.3 Quality of seal carrion**

367 Mean gross energy density of placentae was estimated to be 21.8 MJ·kg^{-1}
368 (SD = 1.15). From this the estimated average annual energy delivered as placentae
369 on the Isle of May was 68.1 x 10^3 MJ (SE = 1.64; n = 12; RSE = 2.41%).

370 The biomass of dead seals was primarily composed of water (58.6%; SE =
371 1.46). Fat (18.9%, SE = 2.15) and protein (19.9%; SE = 0.62) inputs combined
372 reached 1481.7 kg·yr^{-1} (SE = 329.43; n = 3; RSE = 22.23%) and were estimated to
373 release 42.4 x 10^3 MJ·yr^{-1} (SE = 10.42; n = 3; RSE = 24.58%) of energy to the
374 ecosystem. Fat was the largest contributor to the total energy released (67.2%; SE =
375 3.12).

376 **3.4 Spatial distribution of seal carrion**

377   The mean annual placental density on the island was 0.003 placentae m^{-2},
378   (SE = 0.00007; n = 12; RSE = 2.33%) while the mean annual placental biomass per
379   area unit was 0.004 kg m^{-2} (SE = 0.0001; n = 12; RSE = 2.50%).

380   Carcasses found on the Isle of May were distributed according to the main
381   breeding sites, mainly in the north and south of the island. The three years showed
382   similar patterns with most dead pups located above the mean high water line.
383   However, 13.1% (SE = 3.85) of carcasses occurred in the area between mean high
384   water and mean low water (166902 m^2). The mean kernel smoothed intensity was
385   estimated to be 0.0003 carcasses m^{-2} (SE = 0.00003; n = 3; RSE = 10.00%) on the
386   whole island for the three years, whereas the mean density of carcasses in the
387   polygons was 0.005 carcasses m^{-2} (SE = 0.001). The highest intensity each year
388   was observed in the area between Rona and North Ness, particularly in 2012 when
389   the maximum intensity was 0.03 carcasses m^{-2} (Fig. 4). The union of polygons
390   characterised by an intensity equal or higher than 0.001 covered 11% of the total
391   area of the island at mean low water. Two thirds of this area was located in the north.
392   The intersection of polygons (areas shared across years) corresponded to 3% of the
393   total area of the island and 28% of the union of polygons (Fig. 5). The biomass per
394   unit area was 0.006 kg m^{-2} (SE = 0.001; n = 3; RSE = 16.67%) for the whole island
395   and 0.09 kg m^{-2} (SE = 0.03, n = 3; RSE = 33.33%) for the area covered by the
396   polygons.

397   Initially the spatial pattern of carcasses was highly aggregated, but as the
398   value of the inhomogeneous *Linhom (r)* function increased, it became uniform. The
399   overlap of the three curves demonstrated that areas with carcasses were repeated
400   and had a similar distance across years, both in the north and in the south of the
401   island. The 2008 curve, however, showed a faster decrease towards uniformity
402   (Supplementary material Appendix 1 Fig. A1).

403   **3.5 Carcass consumption by scavengers**

404   The mean cumulative mass loss (% of starting mass) for consecutive
405   consumption states (A-E) observed on experimental carcasses deployed in 2013
406   was calculated (Table 4). In 2012, the total biomass scavenged was 1032.0 kg
407   representing 21.4% of the total mass available. The energy intake by scavengers
408   was 12.8 x 10^3 MJ.

3.6 Comparison with salmon runs

Our results showed that the annual variability in the timing, quantity (expressed as number and mass of carcasses) and quality (expressed as energy) of dead seals and placentae ranged between 1.97% and 24.50% of relative standard errors (RSE). The spatial intensity of biomass for dead seals had the highest value among all (33.33%; Fig. 6). The analysis of published data indicates that variability in the timing of salmon spawning, quantity and biomass per unit of salmon across years ranges between 1.67 and 25% (RSE). Both seal carrion and salmon runs showed the lowest RSE in their timing relative to quantity and density (Fig. 6; Supplementary material Appendix 1 Table A1).

4 Discussion

Predictability of the carrion resource

Although predictability is a widely used concept in ecology, there has not been a standard definition of resource predictability. In this study, we used relative standard errors (RSE) to standardise different kinds of data (timing, abundance, biomass, energy, density of two separate carrion sources) to compare different studies. We showed that seal carrion had a similar range of RSE as spawning salmon runs. Salmon runs clearly are predictable because brown bears (*Ursus arctos*, in undisturbed conditions) move towards rivers an average of two weeks before the arrival of salmon (Fortin et al. 2007) to prey on the spawning fish to accumulate fat for hibernation (Hilderbrand et al. 1999). We suggest this range of RSE values could be used as a benchmark for comparing predictability in future studies dealing with other resources and ecosystems.

The timing, quantity, quality and spatial distribution of seal carrion were selected as key attributes of the resource that can be expected to have a major influence on the ecological response of its consumers. Quantity and nutrient content of the food were chosen as they correlate with survival, health, reproductive success of animals, but also population and ecosystem dynamics (White 2008; Marcarelli et al. 2011). Moreover, when a resource is predictable in time and space, animals can learn how to predict its future occurrence (e.g. Reimchen 2000; Wittemyer et al. 2007; Schindler et al. 2013). The animal learning process involves the temporal awareness (when food occurs), which can be promoted by environmental cues

15

442 (Frederiksen et al. 2004), but also spatial perception (where food occurs) (Sherry et
443 al. 1992). Individual bears, for instance, return to the same river to fish for salmon
444 year after year (Luque and Stokes 1976). Timing and location were therefore the
445 other crucial features used to describe the predictability of a resource. Furthermore,
446 predictability of salmon runs in riparian systems leads to synchronisation between
447 feeding strategy and food input in black bears (*Ursus americanus*), wolves (*Canis*
448 *lupus*) and riverine and coastal mink (*Neovison vison*) (Ben-David et al. 1997;
449 Reimchen 2000; Darimont et al. 2003). Recently, it has been demonstrated that
450 brown bears (*U. arctos*) and glaucous-winged gulls (*Larus glaucescens*) shift their
451 spatial distribution from early to late sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*)
452 spawning areas according to the precise timing of spawning of different populations
453 (Schindler et al. 2013).
454   The relative influence of the timing, spatial distribution, quantity, and quality of
455 a food resource on the behaviour and learning of its consumers, seems largely
456 unknown. Our comparison between seal carrion and salmon runs showed that timing
457 had lower RSEs than quantity and density. Does this mean that low variability in
458 timing is the most important factor determining resource predictability for scavenging
459 gulls and bears? Or simply, are foragers less responsive to interannual variation in
460 food quantity rather than timing? In Sigler et al. 2004, pre-spawning aggregation of
461 eulachon (*Thaleichthys pacificus*), a small ocean fish, had seasonal impacts on the
462 abundance of Steller sea lions (*Eumetopias jubatus*) attending the area for feeding
463 despite the peak in quantity of this resource greatly varies between years (RSE =
464 65%). Also in this case, the timing was more consistent (RSE = 33.33%; values
465 derived from Sigler et al. 2004). There are very few studies giving details on the
466 inter-annual variation of pulsed resources, and none considering all four components
467 of predictability considered here. Thus, it is difficult to understand how animals
468 assess the individual and combined variations of timing, spatial distribution, quantity,
469 and quality before responding to predictable pulses.
470   Considering absolute values given in studies on other apparently predictable
471 resources, it appeared that sea turtle eggs provide an amount of energy to plants,
472 decomposers and detritivores similar to seal carrion at pupping (91.1 x 10^3 MJ on 21
473 km stretch of beach; Bouchard and Bjorndal 2000). Seabird chick mortality on
474 nesting islands in the Gulf of California, instead, provides up to 10-fold higher mass
475 of carrion per area unit than seals on the Isle of May (Polis and Hurd 1996a,

476 Sánchez-Piñero and Polis 2000). Moreover, a much higher biomass of marine

477 plankton deposits on the shore of upwelling areas (ca. 4 kg m^{-2}; Davenport 1995).

478

479 *Carrion consumption*

480   At the end of the breeding season 21.4% of the dead seal biomass available

481 on the Isle of May was scavenged resulting in an estimated energy intake of 12.8 x

482 10^3 MJ. Because of low air temperatures, decomposition rate in winter at high

483 latitude is slow (Beasley et al. 2012), and scavenging is likely the main determinant

484 of mass loss by carcasses. Considering that the daily energy required by a great

485 black-backed gull is 2.6 MJ (Camphuysen et al. 1993; Walter and Becker 1997), the

486 total amount of energy released as dead seals and placentae (110.5 x 10^3 MJ) would

487 be equivalent to 42500 daily rations for these birds, which would be enough to feed

488 more than a thousand gulls per day during the duration of the seal pupping season.

489 This is likely an underestimate as the scavenging activity may continue once the seal

490 pupping season has concluded. Incomplete depletion of the carcasses may have

491 occurred because of their high abundance (Reimchen 2000; Wilmers et al. 2003),

492 and/or low abundance of scavengers and availability of alternative food sources

493 (e.g., fishery discards). In fact, it may take six weeks for a single seal pup carcass to

494 be entirely scavenged by the local gull population (Quaggiotto et al. 2016). Placentae

495 are likely to be immediately and fully consumed by gulls because they are easier to

496 handle and 1.6 times more nutritious than seal carcasses. Energy density of

497 placenta has rarely been measured. In this study grey seal placenta contained three

498 times more energy than harp seal *Pagophilus groenlandicus* placenta (4.73 MJ kg^{-1};

499 Lavigne and Stewart 1979), but similar amounts to that of west Atlantic grey seals

500 (23 MJ kg^{-1}; Yunker et al. 2005).

501 We cannot ascertain here whether gulls predict carrion resource pulses. However,

502 gulls are known to respond to other predictable food sources, such as fishery

503 discards (Bartumeus et al. 2010; Cama et al. 2012). Gulls at the open sea distribute

504 according to fishery vessel density during fish discarding time, with apparent optimal

505 foraging strategies (Cama et al. 2012). Seal carrion on the Isle of May might be

506 crucially important for scavenging gulls as a predictable food resource, in particular,

507 in preparation for winter. This is similar to salmon for many predatory and

508 scavenging animals during autumn (Cederholm et al. 1999). Changes in seal carrion

509 consumption by gulls may occur in the near future as a result of the fishery discards

ban. Their reliance on carcasses, in fact, could increase after discards availability drops. It may also lead to the specialisation of the great black-backed gull in exploiting carrion, as this species is seen regularly scavenging at the grey seal colony on Sable Island (Canada) (Ronconi et al. 2014) and also on North Rona (N. Scotland) (Twiss et al. 2003).

We found that a proportion of dead seals (13%) occurred between mean high and low water. This means that carrion is exposed not only to gulls, but also to marine scavengers (Quaggiotto et al. 2016). However, these carcasses may represent only a proportion of carrion available to the marine system, as other dead seals are likely to have been already transported by tides and waves before the surveys were undertaken. To our knowledge, the effects of predictable carrion from seabird and pinniped (and therefore from the wider ocean) to small areas of the coastal marine environment have been little explored so far (Watts et al. 2011).

Broader ecosystem effects

Repeated and predictable events lead to long term impacts on ecosystems, and can promote lasting changes in the soil, microbial, and plant communities. Stable isotope δ^{15}N signatures of salmon found in plants and invertebrates (Hocking and Reimchen 2009), for instance, persist for decades after the salmon population disappeared (Koyama et al. 2005). Similar effects are also likely to occur at our study site. Moreover, a higher nitrogen enrichment is also expected in plants and soil than at other animal colonies because of the high position that pinnipeds have in the trophic chain (Erskine et al 1998). Since the distribution of seal carcasses was similar across years, hotspots of soil and vegetation enrichment by marine-derived nutrients might be identified from the maps produced here. The relationship between seal carrion distribution and nutrient hotspots at different spatial scales is an interesting avenue for future research.

References

554 **References**

555   1. Addison, R. F. and Stobo, W. T. 1993. Organochlorine residue concentrations

556   and burdens in grey seal (Halichoerus grypus) blubber during the first year of

557   life. - J. Zool. 230: 443–450.

558   2. Anderson, W. and Polis, G. 1998. Marine Subsidies of Island Communities in

559   the Gulf of California: Evidence from Stable Carbon and Nitrogen isotopes. -

560   Oikos 81: 75–80.

561   3. Baddeley, A. 1998. Spatial sampling and censoring. - In: Barndorff-Nielsen, O.

562   et al. (eds), Stochastic Geometry: Likelihood and Computation. Cressie, N.

563   John Wiley, pp. 37–78.

564   4. Baddeley, A. and Turner, R. 2005. Spatstat: an R package for analyzing

565   spatial point patterns. - J. Stat. Softw. 12: 42.

566   5. Baddeley, A. 2008, February. Analysing spatial point patterns in R. Technical

567   report, CSIRO, 2010. Version 4.

568   6. Baily, J. L. 2014. The pathology and occurrence of pathogens in Scottish grey

569   seals (Halichoerus grypus). Ph.D. thesis, University of St Andrews, UK.

570   7. Barton, P. S. 2015. The role of carrion in ecosystems. - In: M. Eric Benbow, J.

571   K. T. and A. M. T. (ed), Carrion Ecology, Evolution, and Their Applications.

572   CRC Press, pp. 273–290.

573   8. Bartumeus, F. et al. 2010. Fishery Discards Impact on Seabird Movement

574   Patterns at Regional Scales. - Curr. Biol. 20: 215–222.

575   9. Beasley, J.C., Olson, Z.H. and DeVault, T.L., 2012. Carrion cycling in food
576   webs: comparisons among terrestrial and marine ecosystems. Oikos, 121(7),
577   pp.1021–1026.

578   10. Ben-David, M. et al. 1997. Seasonal-Changes in Diets of Coastal and
579   Riverine Mink: the Role of Spawning Pacific Salmon. - Can. J. Zool. 75: 803–
580   811.

581   11. Berthold, P. 1996. Control of bird migration. - Springer Science & Business
582   Media.

583   12. Bivand, R. and Lewin-Koh, N. 2014. Package "maptools": Tools for reading
584   and handling spatial objects. R package version 0.8-30. http://CRAN.R-
585   project.org/package=rgeos.

586   13. Bivand, R. and Rundel, C. 2014. rgeos: Interface to Geometry Engine - Open
587   Source (GEOS). R package version 0.3-4. http://CRAN.R-
588   project.org/package=rgeos

589   14. Bivand, R. et al. 2014. rgdal: Bindings for the Geospatial Data Abstraction
590   Library. R package version 0.9-1. https://r-forge.r-project.org/projects/rgdal

591   15. Bouchard, S. S. and Bjorndal, K. A. 2000. Sea turtles as biological
592   transporters of nutrients and energy from marine to terrestrial ecosystems. -
593   Ecology 81: 2305–2313.

594   16. Cama, A. et al. 2012. Living on predictability: modelling the density distribution
595   of efficient foraging seabirds. - Ecography (Cop.). 35: 912–921.

596   17. Camphuysen, C. J. et al. 1993. Seabirds feeding on discards in winter in the
597   North Sea: 142pp.

598   18. Cederholm, C. J. et al. 1999. Pacific Salmon Carcasses: Essential
599   Contributions of Nutrients and Energy for Aquatic and Terrestrial Ecosystems.
600   - Fisheries 24: 6–15.

601   19. Colwell, R. 1974. Predictability, constancy, and contingency of periodic
602   phenomena. - Ecology 55: 1148–1153.

603   20. Darimont, C. T. et al. 2003. Foraging behaviour by gray wolves on salmon
604   streams in coastal British Columbia. - Can. J. Zool. 81: 349–353.

605   21. Davenport, J. 1995. Upwelling-generated plankton strandlines: important
606   predictable food sources for seabirds at Husvik, South Georgia. - Mar. Biol.
607   123: 207–217.

608   22. Dickerson, B. R. et al. 2002. Body size, arrival date, and reproductive success

of pink salmon, Oncorhynchus gorbuscha. - Ethol. Ecol. Evol. 14: 29–44.

23. Erskine, P. D. et al. 1998. Subantarctic Macquarie Island - a model ecosystem for studying animal-derived nitrogen sources using 15 N natural abundance. – Oecologia 117: 187–193.

24. Fariña, J. M. et al. 2003. Nutrient exchanges between marine and terrestrial ecosystems: the case of the Galapagos sea lion Zalophus wollebaecki. - J. Anim. Ecol. 72: 873–887.

25. Forrester, R. et al. 2007. The birds of Scotland. The Scottish Ornithologists Club, Aberlady.

26. Fortin, J. K. et al. 2007. Dietary and spatial overlap between sympatric ursids relative to salmon use. - Ursus 18: 19–29.

27. Frederiksen, M. et al. 2004. Scale-dependent climate signals drive breeding phenology of three seabird species. - Glob. Chang. Biol. 10: 1214–1221.

28. Furness, R. W. et al. 2007. Influence of management practices and of scavenging seabirds on availability of fisheries discards to benthic scavengers. - Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 350: 235–244.

29. Gende, S. M. et al. 2001. Consumption choice by bears feeding on salmon. - Oecologia 127: 372–382.

30. Graham, R. T. et al. 2006. Diving behaviour of whale sharks in relation to a predictable food pulse. - J. R. Soc. Interface 3: 109–16.

31. Hilderbrand, G. V. et al. 1999. The importance of meat, particularly salmon, to body size, population productivity, and conservation of North American brown bears. - Can. J. Zool. 77: 132–138.

32. Hocking, M. D. and Reimchen, T. E. 2009. Salmon species, density and watershed size predict magnitude of marine enrichment in riparian food webs. - Oikos 118: 1307–1318.

33. Hoogenboom, M. O. et al. 2013. The growth benefits of aggressive behavior vary with individual metabolism and resource predictability. - Behav. Ecol. 24: 253–261.

34. Horning, M. and Trillmich, F. 1999. Lunar cycles in diel prey migrations exert a stronger effect on the diving of juveniles than adult Galápagos fur seals. - Proc. Biol. Sci. 266: 1127–1132.

35. Janetski, D. J. et al. 2009. Pacific salmon effects on stream ecosystems: a quantitative synthesis. - Oecologia 159: 583–95.

643   36. Kovacs, K. M. and Lavigne, D. M. 1986. Growth of grey seal (Halichoerus
644   grypus) neonates: differential maternal investment in the sexes. - Can. J.
645   Zool. 64: 1937 – 1943.

646   37. Koyama, A. et al. 2005. Marine nitrogen in central Idaho riparian forests:
647   evidence from stable isotopes. - Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 62: 518–526.

648   38. Lancaster, J. and Downes, B. J. 2004. Spatial point pattern analysis of
649   available and exploited resources. - Ecograhpy 27: 94–102.

650   39. Lang, S. L. C. et al. 2011. The influence of reproductive experience on milk
651   energy output and lactation performance in the grey seal (Halichoerus
652   grypus). - PLoS one 6: e19487.

653   40. Lavigne, D. M. and Stewart, R. E. A. 1979. Energy content of harp seal
654   placentas. - J. Mammal. 60: 854–856.

655   41. Lidgard, D. C. et al. 2005. State-dependent male mating tactics in the grey
656   seal: the importance of body size. - Behav. Ecol. 16: 541–549.

657   42. Luque, M. H. and Stokes, A. W. 1976. Fishing Behaviour of Alaska Brown
658   Bear. - Bears Their Biol. Manag. 3: 71–78.

659   43. Marcarelli, A. M. et al. 2011. Quantity and quality: unifying food web and
660   ecosystem perspectives on the role of resource subsidies in freshwaters. -
661   Ecology 92: 1215–1225.

662   44. Monsarrat, S. et al. 2013. How predictability of feeding patches affects home
663   range and foraging habitat selection in avian social scavengers? - PLoS one
664   8: e53077.

665   45. Nelson, T. A. and Boots, B. 2008. Detecting spatial hot spots in landscape
666   ecology. - Ecography (Cop.). 31: 556–566.

667   46. Oro, D. et al. 2013. Ecological and evolutionary implications of food subsidies
668   from humans. - Ecol. Lett. 16: 1501–1514.

669   47. Overington, S. E. and Lefebvre, L. 2011. Food Unpredictability and Foraging.
670   - In: Preedy, V. R. et al. (eds) Handbook of Behavior, Food and Nutrition,
671   Springer New York, pp. 941–956.

672   48. Polis, G. A. and Hurd, S. D 1995. Extraordinary high spider densities on
673   islands: flow of energy from the marine to terrestrial food webs and the
674   absence of predation. - Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 92: 4382–4386.

675   49. Polis, G. A. and Hurd, S. D. 1996a. Linking marine and terrestrial food webs:
676   allochthonous input from the ocean supports high secondary productivity on

677   small islands and coastal land communities. - Am. Nat. 147: 396 – 423.

678 50. Polis, G. A. and Hurd, S. D. 1996b. Allochthonous input across habitats,
679   subsidized consumers, and apparent trophic cascades: examples from the
680   ocean-land interface. - In: Polis, G. A. and Winemiller, K. O. (eds), Food
681   Webs. Springer US, pp. 275–285.

682 51. Polis, G. A. et al. 1996. Time, space, and life history: influences on food webs.
683   - In: Polis, G. A. and Winemiller, K. O. (eds), Food Webs. Springer US, 435–
684   460.

685 52. Polis, G. A. et al. 1997. Toward an integration of landscape and food ecology:
686   The Dynamics of Spatially Subsidized Food Webs. - Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.
687   28: 289–316.

688 53. Pomeroy, P. P. and Fedak, M. A. 1999. Consequences of maternal size for
689   reproductive expenditure and pupping success of grey seals at North Rona,
690   Scotland. - J. Anim. Ecol. 68: 235–253.

691 54. Power, M. and Rainey, W. 2000. Food webs and resource sheds: towards
692   spatially delimiting trophic interactions. - In: Hutchings, M. et al. (eds),
693   Ecological Consequences of Habitat Heterogeneity. (Symposia of the British
694   Ecological Society). Blackwell Scientific, Oxford Print., pp. 291–314.

695 55. Quaggiotto, M.-M. et al. 2016. First investigation of the consumption of seal
696   carcasses by terrestrial and marine scavengers. - Glas. Nat. 26(3), pp. 33-52.

697 56. Quinn, T. P. and Adams, D. J. 1996. Environmental Changes Affecting the
698   Migratory Timing of American Shad and Sockeye Salmon. - Ecology 77:
699   1151–1162.

700 57. Reid, D. et al. 2012. The performance advantage of a high resting metabolic
701   rate in juvenile salmon is habitat dependent. - J. Anim. Ecol. 81: 868–875.

702 58. Reimchen, T. E. 2000. Some ecological and evolutionary aspects of bear-
703   salmon interactions in coastal British Columbia. - Can. J. Zool. 78: 448–457.

704 59. Reiners, W. A. and Driese, K. L. 2001. The Propagation of Ecological
705   Influences through Heterogeneous Environmental Space.– BioScience. 51:
706   939-950.

707 60. Ripley, B. 1977. Modeling Spatial Patterns. - J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B 39: 172 –
708   212.

709 61. Ripley, B. 1988. Statistical inference for spatial processes. - Cambridge
710   University Press.

711 62. Ronconi, R. a. et al. 2014. Gull diets reveal dietary partitioning and ecosystem
712   changes at a remote colony. - Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 514: 247–261.

713 63. Rose, M. D. and Polis, G. A. 1998. The distribution and abundance of
714   coyotes: the effects of allochthonous food subsidies from the sea. - Ecology
715   79: 998–1007.

716 64. Sánchez-Piñero, F. and Polis, G. A. 2000. Bottom-up dynamics of
717   allochthonous input: direct and indirect effects of seabirds on islands. -
718   Ecology 81: 3117–3132.

719 65. Santos, C. Z. and Schiavetti, A. 2014. Spatial analysis of Protected Areas of
720   the coastal/marine environment of Brazil. - J. Nat. Conserv. 22: 453–461.

721 66. Schmidt-Nielsen, K. 1997. Animal Physiology: Adaptation and Environment. -
722   Cambridge University Press.

723 67. SCOS 1996. Advice on the Status of British Grey Seal Populations:1996.
724   Special Committee on Seals, University of St Andrews.

725 68. SCOS 2016. Scientific Advice on Matters Related to the Management of Seal
726   Populations 2016. Special Committee on Seals, University of St Andrews.

727 69. Sherry, D. F. et al. 1992. Spatial memory and adaptive specialization of the
728   hippocampus. - Trends Neurosci. 15: 298–303.

729 70. Schindler, D. E. et al. 2013. Riding the crimson tide: mobile terrestrial
730   consumers track phenological variation in spawning of an anadromous fish. -
731   Biol. Lett. 9: 20130048.

732 71. Sigler, M. F. et al. 2004. Availability to Steller sea lions (Eumetopias jubatus)
733   of a seasonal prey resource: a prespawning aggregation of eulachon
734   (Thaleichthys pacificus). - Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 61: 1475–1484.

735 72. SNH 2012. Isle of May National Nature Reserve Annual Report. Scottish
736   Natural Heritage, Cupar, Fife.

737 73. Spiller, D. A. et al. 2010. Marine subsidies have multiple effects on coastal
738   food webs. - Ecology 91: 1424–34.

739 74. Stapp, P. and Polis, G. A. 2003. Influence of pulsed resources and marine
740   subsidies on insular rodent populations. - Oikos 102: 111–123.

741 75. Stephens, D. W. and Krebs, J. R. 1986. Foraging Theory - Princeton
742   University Press.

743 76. Trites, A. W. and Jonker, R. A.H. 2000. Morphometric measurements and
744   body condition of healthy and starveling Steller sea lion pups (Eumetopias

745   jubatus). - Aquat. Mamm.26: 151–157.

746 77. Twiss, S. D. et al. 2003. Grey seal (Halichoerus grypus) pup mortality not

747   explained by local breeding density on North Rona, Scotland. - J. Zool. 259:

748   83–91.

749 78. Walter, U. and Becker, P. H. 1997. Occurrence and consumption of seabirds

750   scavenging on shrimp trawler discards in the Wadden Sea. - ICES J. Mar. Sci.

751   54: 684–694.

752 79. Watts, A. et al. 2011. Does seabird carrion contribute to the diet of the shore

753   crab Carcinus maenas on the Isle of May, Scotland? An isotopic perspective.

754   - J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. United Kingdom 91: 1459–1464.

755 80. Weimerskirch, H. 2007. Are seabirds foraging for unpredictable resources? -

756   Deep. Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr. 54: 211–223.

757 81. White, T. C. R. 2008. The role of food, weather and climate in limiting the

758   abundance of animals. - Biol. Rev. 83: 227–48.

759 82. Wickham, H. 2009. ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. Springer

760   Science & Business Media.

761 83. Wilmers, C. C. et al. 2003. Resource dispersion and consumer dominance:

762   Scavenging at wolf- and hunter-killed carcasses in Greater Yellowstone,

763   USA. - Ecol. Lett. 6: 996–1003.

764 84. Wittemyer, G. et al. 2007. Breeding phenology in relation to NDVI variability in

765   free-ranging African elephant. - Ecography 30: 42–50.

766 85. Wyile, G. 1988. Assessment of grey seals production from counts of pups.

767   Ph.D. thesis, University of Cambridge, UK.

768 86. Yang, L. H. et al. 2008. What can we learn from resource pulses? - Ecology

769   89: 621–34.

770 87. Yunker, G. B. et al. 2005. Foetal growth in north-west Atlantic grey seals

771   (Halichoerus grypus). - J. Zool. 265: 411–419.

772

773

774 Figure 1. Map of the Isle of May. Maps provided by EDINA Digimap Service,

775 http://digimap.edina.ac.uk/roam/os.

776

Figure 2. a) Mean pupping date for the decade 2000-2010 and 2012 from aerial survey data (1 = 1st Oct); b) Estimated maximum number of dead pups and total pup production for the years 2000 – 2010 and 2012. Number of dead pups re-estimated from aerial survey data is represented by columns and scaled to the y-axis on the left side. Pup productivity is represented by line with CV (%, ranged between 0.02 and 0.05) and scaled to the y-axis on the right side.

785 Figure 3. Number of dead pups per year belonging to different sex and
786 developmental stages from the ground visual census performed in 2008, 2012 and
787 2013: M = male, F = female and N/A = not identified sex; 1 = first, 2 = second, 3 =
788 third, 4 = fourth, 5 = fifth developmental stage, starveling = pups who died of
789 starvation, n/a = not identified developmental stage and adult.

Kernel smoothed intensity − Polygons (intensity ≥ 0.001)

790

Figure 4. Kernel smoothed intensity of carcasses and polygons of density ≥ 0.001.

792 Left: maps of kernel smoothed intensity (n carcasses m^{-2}; range= 0 – 0.03) for

793 carcasses counted during the ground visual censuses performed in 2008, 2012 and

794 2013. The smoothing bandwidth was selected by likelihood cross-validation. Pixel

795 size: 20 x 20 m. Right: maps showing polygons (in green) characterised by intensity

796 equal or higher than 0.001 carcasses m^{-2} in 2008, 2012 and 2013.

797

Union and intersection of polygons (intensity ≥ 0.001)

798

799 Figure 5. Union (sum) and intersection (overlap) of polygons of intensity ≥ 0.001.

800 Union of polygons characterised by intensity equal or higher than 0.001 carcasses

801 m^{-2} for any of the three years (2008, 2012 and 2013) (blue), and polygons of areas

802 used in all three years (yellow).

803

804

Time

salmon spawning (a)

seal pupping

0   10   20   30   40

Quantity

salmon (b) (n)

salmon (c) (n)

salmon (d) (n)

placentae (kg)

placentae (n)

carcasses (kg)

carcasses (n)

0   10   20   30   40

Quality

placentae (MJ)

carcasses (MJ)

0   10   20   30   40

Space

salmon (e) (n m^{-2})

salmon (c) (n m^{-2})

placentae (kg m^{-2})

placentae (n m^{-2})

carcasses (kg m^{-2})

carcasses (n m^{-2})

0   5   10   15   20   25   30   35   40

Relative Standard Errors (RSE%)

805
806

807 Figure 6. Comparison between RSE of resource availability resulting from the Isle of

808 May seal carrion and spawning salmon. Inter-annual variability, measured as RSE,

809 of carcasses (black) and placentae (red) occurring on the Isle of May during seal

810 pupping seasons 2008, 2012 and 2013 and spawning salmon (orange) according to

811 time (mean date for seal pupping and salmon spawning), quantity (abundance as n

812 and total mass available as kg), quality (energy released as MJ) and space (density

813 as n m^{-2} and kg m^{-2}). Reviewed papers: (a) Quinn and Adams 1996, (b) Reimchen

814 (2000), (c) Gende et al. 2001, (d) Dickerson et al. 2002 and (e) Hocking and

815 Reimchen (2009).

816

817 Table 1. Energy content for developmental stages of grey seal pups, adult female,

818 and adult and juvenile male individuals according to energy density calculated per

819 body component (%).

Stage	Body component	Component content (%) (Lang et al. 2011; Lidgard et al. 2005)	Energy density (MJ kg^{-1})	Energy content (MJ kg^{-1})
1 and 2	water	65.1	0	
	fat	9.4	3.8	7.9
	protein	22.6	4.1	
3	water	47.3	0	
	fat	35.5	14.0	16.8
	protein	15.1	2.8	
4 and 5	water	45.7	0	
	fat	38.1	15.0	17.6
	protein	14.4	2.6	
Adult female	water	55.2	0	
	fat	23.9	9.4	12.7
	protein	18.4	3.3	
Adult or juvenile male	water	52.8	0	
	fat	27.3	10.7	13.8
	protein	17.4	3.1	

820

821   Table 2. Grey seal placentae (n = 6) collected showing date, pup sex, total, amnion

822   and placenta mass (kg).

Date of collection	Pup Sex	Total Wet Mass (kg)	Amnion Mass (kg)	Placenta Mass (kg)	Wet Sample Mass (kg)	Dry Matter (%)	Energy Density (MJ kg^{-1})
29-Oct	F	1.42	0.76	0.66	0.03	15.66	22.165
30-Oct	n/a	1.91	0.46	1.45	0.03	16.96	22.474
31-Oct	n/a	1.46	0.34	1.12	0.03	15.44	22.099
31-Oct	F	1.19	0.64	0.55	0.05	14.88	20.297
01-Nov	M	1.81	0.33	1.48	0.04	17.23	20.328
05-Nov	n/a	1.63	0.36	1.27	0.03	17.20	23.490

823

824

825 Table 3. Re-estimated mass of dead grey seal pups belonging to different

826 developmental stages. Each developmental stage is associated with the re-

827 estimated body mass (kg) for male and female pups calculated according to the

828 equations of Kovacs and Lavigne (1986) and adjusted by the average difference in

829 mass measured between alive and dead pups. When sex of pup was unavailable,

830 the body mass was calculated using the mean body mass of both sexes.

Developmental Stage	Mean mass (kg)		
	Female	Male	Sex not determined
1	9.6	11.7	10.6
2	12.6	15.0	13.8
3	21.7	25.1	23.4
4	32.6	36.1	34.3
5	28.8	33.2	31.0

831

832

833   Table 4. States of consumption of scavenged carcasses (n = 11) with associated

834   description and cumulative percentage mass loss.

| State of consumption	Description	Mean % mass loss (SE)	n sample
A	intact	0.4 % (0.49)	15
B	lack of both eyes and occurrence of one opening on the body	10.2 % (2.23)	30
C	additional openings	31.3 % (4.89)	8
D	body appears flat and lacking internal organs; loss of the head; missing bones	41.2 % (2.43)	7
E	remains (only bones and skin)	65.2 % (3.00)	4

835

836

837 Supplementary material - Appendix 1.

838

839   Appendix 1 Figure A1. Test for Complete Spatial Randomness on *Linhom* summary

840   functions.

841   Comparison among *Linhom* summary functions illustrating spatial point patterns of

842   carcasses found in 2008 (light grey, dashed line), 2012 (dark grey, midline ellipsis)

843   and 2013 (medium grey, continuous line) during the ground visual census. Bands of

844   95% confidence interval were obtained by bootstrap simulations (n = 99). The three

845   functions were tested for Complete Spatial Randomness (CSR, dashed red line) by

846   running Monte Carlo permutations (n = 99) for statistical tests, bands borders

847   represent the p-value 0.05.

Test for Complete Spatial Randomness (p-value = 0.05, nsim = 99)
on *Linhom* summary functions (95% CI, nsim = 99)

848

849   Two peaks in the aggregation pattern were observed in each year: one around 40-70

850   m and the other around 150-180 m, showing that the area of carcasses were

851   repeated and had a similar distance across years, both in the north and in the south

852   of the island. Around 215-230 m, the pattern became uniform and the function

853   curves fell under the line illustrating complete spatial randomness (CSR). As the

854   maximum r displayed does not exceed 400 m, aggregations further than this

855   distance are not shown. There was no statistical significance for the test of CSR, as

856   the observed patterns were outside of the simulation envelopes representing the p-

857   value 0.05. This indicated that well defined areas of carrion availability occurred.

858   Moreover the bootstrap 95% confidence bands of the functions overlapped

859   demonstrating that the spatial pattern of carcasses is consistent across years.

860

861

Appendix 1 Table A1. Comparison between the Isle of May seal carrion availability
and apparently predictable pulses in resource availability according to time (a),
quantity (b), quality (c) and space (d).

Time (a)					
Reference	Resource	Mean	SE	RSE	Effect
Present study	Seal pupping	30th Oct	0.58	1.97	Scavenging great black-backed gulls
Quinn and Adams 1996	Salmon spawning	2nd July	0.58	1.83	n/a

Quantity (b)					
Reference	Resource	Mean	SE	RSE	Effect
Present study	Dead seals (ground visual census)	n = 209.0 3777.7 kg	22.03 720.87	10.54% 19.08%	Scavenging great black-backed gulls
	Placentae (aerial survey)	n = 1988.1 3124.3 kg	47.72 74.99	2.40% 2.40%	
Reimchen 2000	Salmon spawning run	n = 4,000	1011.60	25.00%	Black bears predation
Gende et al. 2001	Salmon spawning run (Hansen Creek)	n = 13791.3	3281.44	23.80%	Brown and black bears predation
Dickerson et al. 2002	Salmon spawning run	MaxN = 587.3	119.78	20.40%	Brown bears predation

Quality (c)					
Reference	Resource	Mean	SE	RSE	Effect
Present study	Dead seals (ground visual census)	42.4 10^3 MJ	10.42	4.58%	Scavenging great black-backed gulls
	Placentae (aerial survey)	68.1 10^3 MJ	1.64	2.41%	

Space (d)					
Reference	Resource	Mean	SE	RSE	Effect
Present study	Dead seals (ground visual census)	0.005 carc m^{-2} [A]	0.001	20.00%	Scavenging great black-backed gulls
		0.09 kg m^{-2} [A]	0.03	33.33%	
	Placentae (aerial survey)	0.04 plac m^{-2} [B]	0.001	2.50%	
		0.07 kg m^{-2} [B]	0.002	2.86%	

Gende et al. 2001	Salmon spawning run	1.73 salm m^{-2} [C]	0.40	23.68%	Brown and black bears predation
Hocking and Reimchen 2009	Salmon spawning run	16.3 kg m^{-1}	5.00	1.67%	Marine enrichment in riparian food webs

865

866 Summary table showing results obtained by the present study and other published

867 sources. The table is divided horizontally according to the factors explaining

868 predictability (time, quantity, quality and space) and by columns according to the

869 type of predictable resource, values considered and effect observed in the

870 ecosystem. Values in kg are of wet mass.

871 [A] calculated considering polygons of intensity higher than 0.001 (2008 = 55976 m^2,

872 2012 = 32746 m^2, 2013 = 50803 m^2);

873 [B] calculated considering polygons of intensity higher than 0.001 (mean of the three

874 years, mean = 46508 m^2);

875 [C] calculated using data for Hansen Creek (8000 m^2).