



Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

This is an author produced version of a paper published in: *Global Ecology and Biogeography*

Cronfa URL for this paper: http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa48817

Paper:

Leles, S., Mitra, A., Flynn, K., Tillmann, U., Stoecker, D., Jeong, H., Burkholder, J., Hansen, P., Caron, D., et. al. (2019). Sampling bias misrepresents the biogeographical significance of constitutive mixotrophs across global oceans. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/geb.12853

This item is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Copies of full text items may be used or reproduced in any format or medium, without prior permission for personal research or study, educational or non-commercial purposes only. The copyright for any work remains with the original author unless otherwise specified. The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder.

Permission for multiple reproductions should be obtained from the original author.

Authors are personally responsible for adhering to copyright and publisher restrictions when uploading content to the repository.

http://www.swansea.ac.uk/library/researchsupport/ris-support/

- 1 **Title:**
- 2 Sampling bias misrepresents the biogeographic significance of constitutive mixotrophs
- 3 across global oceans
- 4 Suzana Gonçalves Leles¹, Aditee Mitra^{1*}, Kevin John Flynn¹, Urban Tillmann², Diane
- 5 Stoecker³, Hae Jin Jeong⁴, JoAnn Burkholder⁵, Per Juel Hansen⁶, David A. Caron⁷,
- 6 Patricia M. Glibert³, Gustaaf Hallegraef⁸, John Raven^{9,10}, Robert W. Sanders¹¹, Mikhail
- 7 Zubkov¹²

8 Affiliations

- 9 ¹Swansea University, Singleton Park, Swansea SA2 8PP, UK
- 10 ²Alfred-Wegener-Instutite, Hemholtz-Centre for Polar and Marine Research,
- 11 Am Handelshafen 12, 27570 Bremerhaven, Germany
- ³University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science, Horn Point Laboratory, 2020
- 13 Horns Point Rd., PO Box 775, Cambridge MD 21613, USA
- 14 ⁴School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, College of Natural Sciences, Seoul National
- 15 University, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea
- ⁵Center for Applied Aquatic Ecology, Department of Applied Ecology, North Carolina State
- 17 University, Raleigh, NC 27606 USA
- 18 ⁶Marine Biological Section, Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen,
- 19 Strandpromenaden 5, DK-3000 Helsingør, Denmark
- 20 ⁷Department of Biological Sciences, University of Southern California, 3616 Trousdale
- 21 Parkway, Los Angeles, 90089-0371 USA
- ⁹Division of Plant Science, University of Dundee at the James Hutton Institute, Invergowrie,
- 23 Dundee DD2 5DA, UK.
- ²⁴ ¹⁰Climate Change Cluster, University of Technology Sydney, Ultimo, NSW 2007, Australia.
- ²⁵ ¹¹Department of Biology, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122, USA
- 26
- 27 *corresponding author: A.Mitra@swansea.ac.uk
- 28
- 29 **Running title:** Sampling bias in mixotroph biogeography
- 30

31 ABSTRACT

- 32 Aim: Most protist plankton are mixotrophic, with potential to engage in photoautotrophy and
- 33 phagotrophy; however, the ecology of these organisms has been misdiagnosed for over a
- 34 century. A large proportion of these organisms are constitutive mixotrophs (CMs), with an
- 35 innate ability to photosynthesize. Here, for the first time, an analysis is presented of the
- 36 biogeography of CMs across the oceans.
- 37 Location: Global marine ecosystems
- 38 **Time period:** 1970 to 2018
- 39 Major taxa studied: Marine planktonic protists
- 40 Methods: Records for CM species, primarily from the Ocean Biogeographic Information

41 System (OBIS), were grouped by taxonomy and size to evaluate sampling efforts across

42 Longhurst's oceanic provinces. Biases were evaluated through non-parametric tests and

43 multivariate analysis. Biogeographies of CMs from OBIS data were compared with data from

- 44 studies that specifically targeted these organisms.
- 45 **Results:** CMs of different taxonomic groups, across all size ranges, are ubiquitous. However,
- 46 strong database biases were detected with respect to organism size, taxonomic groups, and
- 47 region. A strong bias was seen towards dinophytes. Species $< 20 \,\mu$ m, especially non-
- 48 dinophytes, were least represented, their recorded distribution limited to coastal regions and
- 49 to temperate and polar seas. Studies specifically targeting these organisms revealed their
- 50 distribution to be much wider. Such biases likely have occurred due to a failure to capture
- 51 and correctly identify these organisms in routine sampling protocols.
- 52 Main conclusions: CMs are dominant members of organisms traditionally termed
- 53 "phytoplankton". However, lack of routine protocols for measuring phagotrophy in
- 54 "phytoplankton" protists has led to widespread misrepresentation of the fundamental nature
- of marine planktonic primary producers; most express 'animal-like' as well as 'plant-like'
- 56 nutrition. Our results have implications for studies of the global biogeography of plankton, of
- 57 food web dynamics (including models), and of biogeochemical cycling in the oceans.

58 KEYWORDS

allometry, biogeography, global, Longhurst, mixotrophy, oceans, phytoplankton, taxonomy

61 Introduction

62 The term biogeography was coined in the late nineteenth-century stemming from studies of terrestrial plants and animals (Ebach, 2015). Studies of biogeography have typically focussed 63 64 on terrestrial ecosystems. Since the early twentieth century, however, there has been growing 65 recognition of the importance of the biogeography of marine microbes across the Earth's 66 oceans, the single largest continuous ecosystem (Smayda, 1958; Dolan, 2006; Cermeño, de Vargas, Abrantes, & Falkowski, 2010). Over the last decade, various studies have highlighted 67 68 technical advancements, such as the development of molecular and statistical techniques and the availability of online databases, as contributing factors to the rise in marine 69 70 biogeography-facing studies (Dolan, 2005; Fuhrman et al., 2008; de Vargas et al., 2015;

71 Biard et al., 2016; Leles et al., 2017).

72 Studies of biogeography of marine microbes (prokaryotes and eukaryotes) have 73 concentrated on functional groups, such as cyanobacteria vs phytoplankton vs 74 microzooplankton, or taxonomic groups, such as diatoms and tintinnids (Pierce & Turner, 75 1993; Cermeño & Falkowski, 2009; Malviya et al., 2016). Most functional or taxonomic 76 groupings have followed the traditional designations of either phototrophs or heterotrophs, 77 akin to the plant-animal dichotomy in terrestrial systems. Flynn et al. (2013) criticised this 78 dichotomy, identifying that the vast bulk of the protist plankton formally labelled as 79 "phytoplankton" or "microzooplankton" are potentially mixotrophic, merging phototrophy 80 and phagotrophy. Subsequently, Mitra et al. (2016) proposed a new functional classification 81 for marine protists to aid the exploration of the proposed new mixotroph-centric paradigm in 82 marine ecology (Zubkov & Tarran, 2008; Unrein, Gasol, Not, Forn, & Massana, 2014). According to this functional classification, marine protists are broadly divided between six 83 84 functional groups; two of these align with the traditional non-phagotrophic phytoplankton 85 (notably diatoms) and non-phototrophic microzooplankton, while the other four represent 86 contrasting mixotroph functional groups. The mixotroph groups are divided between those 87 with an innate (constitutive) ability to photosynthesize (constitutive mixotrophs - CMs), and 88 three non-constitutive mixotroph (NCM) groups. The NCMs do not have the innate ability to 89 photosynthesize; they derive their photosynthetic capabilities by incorporating plastids from a 90 range of different prey (generalist NCMs, e.g., Laboea), or from very specific phototrophic 91 prey (specialist NCMs, e.g., *Mesodinium*), or by enslaving phototrophic prey as symbionts 92 (endosymbiotic NCMs, e.g., Rhizarians).

93 It has been shown that incorporating mixotrophic organisms within *in silico* food web 94 studies alters the dominance of different plankton functional groups in freshwater as well as 95 marine systems (Mitra & Flynn, 2010; Wilken, Huisman, Naus-Wiezer, & Van Donk, 2013; 96 Mitra et al., 2014). An understanding of the true trophic status of plankton, including 97 different mixotrophic types, across biogeographic areas is thus important. Leles et al. (2017) 98 undertook a biogeographic analysis of the non-constitutive mixotroph (NCM) functional 99 groups. These NCM organisms are undeniably mixotrophic, as they must feed to acquire 100 phototrophic potential. However, the real trophic status of constitutive mixotrophs is 101 problematic because these organisms may not need to eat frequently if de facto at all (Flynn 102 et al., 2013; Mitra et al., 2016). The default expectation has been to retain the traditional 103 "phytoplankton" label for these organisms. Yet, if they are indeed functional mixotrophs, this 104 traditional label would misrepresent biogeographic distributions and allied trophic dynamics.

105 In this study we have undertaken the first global biogeographic analysis of marine 106 protists with a constitutive ability to photosynthesize and that are documented as being 107 significantly mixotrophic (i.e., organisms that are undeniably CMs). The CM group includes 108 various ecologically important taxonomic groups within a wide range of sizes, such as 109 species of picoplankton and nanoplankton in oligotrophic oceans and polar regions (Stoecker 110 & Lavrentyev, 2018), as well as various harmful algal bloom species in coastal waters (e.g., 111 Karlodinium spp., Alexandrium spp.) which result in fish kills and closure of aquaculture 112 facilities (Mitra et al., 2016; Stoecker, Hansen, Caron, & Mitra, 2017; Shumway, Burkholder, 113 & Morton, 2018). Biogeographic analysis of this important group of planktonic protists will 114 aid our understanding of impacts of environmental drivers on community composition and 115 ecosystem functioning especially in the face of climate change events. In our analyses, we 116 specifically identify how biases in the scientific community's perception of these organisms, 117 as well as sampling methods, impact on our understanding of their biogeography.

119 Methods

120 We conducted a global analysis of field data for different groups of constitutive mixotrophs

121 (CMs). CMs are defined according to Mitra et al. (2016); these are planktonic protists with an

122 inherent capability to photosynthesize and also a demonstrable potential to engage in

123 phagotrophy for their nutritional needs. Traditionally mixotrophy within many planktonic

124 phototrophs has included phototrophy *plus* osmotrophy (i.e., uptake of dissolved organic

125 substances; e.g., Glibert & Legrand, 2006; Burkholder, Glibert, & Skelton, 2008). Here,

126 however, we focus on photoautotrophic protists which engage in phagotrophy because

127 osmotrophy appears to be ubiquitous in protists; thus, it is assumed here that all species are

128 capable of osmotrophy (see Flynn et al. 2013; Mitra et al. 2016).

129 Data compilation

130 Data were compiled according to species name. AlgaeBase (<u>http://www.algaebase.org/</u>) was

131 used to resolve synonyms and basionyms of various species. Using expert knowledge within

132 our team (authors), we first assembled a database of all protist species known to be CMs

133 (Appendix S1, Table S1.1); the definitions of Mitra et al. (2016) were used to differentiate

134 between CMs and strict autotrophs (i.e., phytoplankton). We did not consider

135 coccolithophorids; to date, there has been only one published study reporting phagotrophic

136 activity by the cosmopolitan species *Emiliania huxleyi* (Rokitta et al., 2011).

Data for the global distribution of the CMs within our list were acquired through
interrogation of the Ocean Biogeographic Information System database (OBIS;
<u>http://www.iobis.org/</u>) on 20th January 2018. Geographic coordinates corresponding to the
locations where the CMs were recorded were obtained. Records with possible spatial errors,

such as data points located inland, were excluded from the analysis. Georeferenced

142 occurrence data were retrieved from OBIS using the 'devtools' and 'robis' packages in R (R

143 Core Team, 2017). Other packages in R used for data compilation and visualization were

144 'rgdal', 'plyr', 'ggplot2', 'ggalt', and 'gridExtra'.

In order to ensure that we captured distribution data of CMs across different size
classes, we conducted a survey of published literature in the electronic databases ISI Web of
Science and Elsevier on 20thJanuary 2018. All data sources are listed within Appendix 1.
Smaller planktonic protists are rarely, if at all, identified down to species level in field
surveys. The aim of these surveys was therefore to obtain records from studies which
specifically targeted known CMs in the nanoplankton spectrum (2–20 µm length). These

- 151 studies, albeit not being species-specific, reported *in situ* measurements of the abundance of
- 152 actively feeding mixotrophic nanoflagellates (i.e., CMs of $< 20 \,\mu m$ length) or the relative
- 153 contribution of pico- and nano- CMs to total bacterivory by flagellates. Geographic
- 154 coordinates were retrieved from each of these studies in order to compare these data with
- species-specific data obtained from the OBIS database.

156 Spatial analysis

157 Records compiled from online databases were aligned with the biogeographic classification 158 of the ocean according to Longhurst (2007). As in Leles et al. (2017), the 54 biogeographic 159 provinces proposed by Longhurst (2007) were grouped into seven principal biomes according 160 to primary production and physical forcing: Mediterranean Sea, Coastal Seas, Polar Seas, 161 Temperate Seas, Oligotrophic Gyres, Coastal Upwelling, and Equator (Appendix S1, Table 162 S1.2). However, due to a lack of data we did not include the Coastal Upwelling biome in our 163 analysis. Grids corresponding to Longhurst provinces used in the maps were obtained from 164 http://www.marineregions.org/. Geographic coordinates corresponding to the exact location 165 of where the CM species were found were then aligned with biogeographic provinces. The 166 records obtained for each species within each biogeographic province were exploited to 167 produce global distribution maps.

168 Data analysis

- 169 The biogeography of CMs was investigated according to taxonomy and size classes across
- 170 different oceanic provinces. Species size was obtained from the literature (Tomas, 1997;
- 171 Hoppenrath & Leander, 2007; Berge, Hansen, & Moestrup, 2008; Nézan & Chomérat, 2009;
- 172 Yoo et al., 2010; Kang et al., 2011; Lim et al., 2015; Jang, Jeong, Kwon, & Lee, 2017; Ok,
- 173 Jeong, Lim, & Lee, 2017) and online repositories (<u>http://nordicmicroalgae.org</u>;
- 174 <u>http://www.sccap.dk; http://www.marinespecies.org</u>). Global distribution maps were
- 175 generated to visualize the presence of each taxonomic group within each size class according
- 176 to the biogeographic provinces. The sampling locations from all 178 cruises included in the
- analysis were also mapped in order to compare the biogeographic patterns with the total
- sampling effort. It is noteworthy that we did not assume *a priori* that all taxonomic groups
- 179 should contain individuals within all size-classes; indeed, that is not the case. We used expert
- 180 knowledge and the literature to identify size class boundaries within each taxonomic group.
- 181 For example, there are no known species within prasinophytes, chrysophytes, and
- haptophytes which are > $15 \,\mu$ m in size (measured across the major cell axis). Likewise, all

183 known raphidophyte species are > 10 μ m. While there are some suggestions of presence of 184 so-called picoplanktonic marine dinoflagellates (< 5 μ m), this information is derived from 185 initial sequence data only (Moon-van der Staay, De Wachter, & Vaulot, 2001; Lin, Zhang, 186 Hou, Miranda, & Bhattacharya, 2006); thus, we could not include such organisms in this 187 analysis. Dinophytes were, therefore, not assumed to occur within the < 5 μ m size-class.

188 Potential biases related to size and location, when tracing the global distribution of 189 CMs, were analysed quantitatively. For this, we used the mean number of records obtained for each size class across different oceanic biomes. Our dataset did not follow a normal 190 191 distribution; therefore, Kruskal-Wallis rank tests were used to evaluate the effect of size and 192 of biome on CM distribution. Species were grouped according to size within the different 193 oceanic biomes to test for differences amongst size classes and across the biomes. Post-hoc 194 non-parametric tests (Dunn's test) were performed to identify pairs of size classes or oceanic 195 biomes that were significantly different from each other. The same procedure was performed 196 to evaluate the potential bias related to CM taxonomy and location, grouping species 197 according to taxonomy instead of size to test for any differences between taxonomic groups 198 and across the biomes. These analyses were conducted using the 'dunn.test' package in R.

199 Dissimilarities between occurrence patterns of CM species across the different 200 biogeographic provinces were explored using the non-metric multidimensional scaling 201 (NMDS) technique; this is akin to the analysis undertaken by Leles et al. (2017) on NCMs. 202 The georeferenced data compiled from OBIS (Appendix S2, Table S2.1) were used to build a 203 matrix with the number of occurrences for each species within each of the 54 Longhurst's 204 biogeographic provinces. CM species were then grouped according to size and taxonomy. 205 This analysis thus enables positioning of species and biomes within a 2D space; the former 206 are placed with respect to their distribution across the biogeographic provinces and the latter 207 according to species occurrence. Merging the positions of both species and biomes allowed 208 us to explore the placement of species with respect to each other as well as in relation to the 209 different biomes. The distance matrix used in the NMDS analysis was calculated after square 210 root transformation using the Bray-Curtis distance. NMDS was performed using the 211 'metaMDS' function ('vegan' package in R). Progressively lower number of dimensions (k = 212 5 to 2) were used in order to attain the value for k which provided the lowest stress value 213 (Legendre & Legendre, 1998).

The volume of seawater analysed will inevitably influence the identification of rare species (i.e., those present in low abundances). However, we could not account for this factor 216 since most publications do not report the volume sampled and analysed and, therefore, this 217 information could not be retrieved from OBIS. Even if this information were available, the 218 volume of water that ideally should be analysed can be highly variable depending on the 219 trophic status of the system (e.g., larger volumes of water should be inspected in oligotrophic 220 waters compared to eutrophic systems, and also depending on bloom seasonality); additional 221 knowledge on the different marine ecosystems investigated would be required to interpret 222 such data. Moreover, we would expect a minimal effect in our biogeographic analysis since 223 we grouped constitutive mixotrophs into different taxonomic groups and size-classes.

224

225 Results

226 The compiled list of CMs includes 80 species across the different taxonomic groups of 227 primarily cryptophytes, chrysophytes, haptophytes, prasinophytes, raphidophytes, dinophytes, 228 chlorarachniophytes, and synchromophytes (Appendix S1, Table S1.1). Nearly 250,000 229 records were obtained from OBIS for 51 out of the 80 species that comprised the initial 230 species list (Appendix S2, Table S2.1); these species were placed into taxonomic groups and 231 five size classes (Appendix S2, Table S2.1). The global distributions of CMs across the 232 different biogeographic provinces were mapped according to taxonomic groups and 233 allometrics (Figure 1). At least one record was necessary to assume the presence of a species 234 within a province; thus, only five taxonomic groups (Appendix S2, Table S2.1) were mapped 235 (Figure 1).

236 These maps demonstrate a strong bias in the available data towards larger species, and 237 specifically towards dinophytes. Nearly 50% of the constitutive mixotrophic species were 238 larger than 20 μ m; except for two raphidophytes, all species > 20 μ m were dinophytes. The 239 dearth of data for other CM groups across the different size classes is indicated by the "non-240 coloured" (white) biogeographic maps in Figure 1. In order to compare these biogeographic 241 patterns with the total sampling effort, we also mapped the sampling locations from all 178 242 cruises included in the analysis (Appendix S3, Figure S3.1). From this it can be seen which 243 biogeographic provinces were visited at different points in time and space but were not 244 associated with the presence of a CM group (white biogeographic provinces in Figures 1 and 245 2 vs Appendix S3, Figure S3.1).

The potential for biases relating to species size, taxonomic grouping, and location were tested using quantitative data (Appendix S3, Figure S3.2). The number of records differed significantly among size classes with a clear bias towards larger size classes (H = 249 11.8, p = 0.02; Appendix S3, Figure S3.2a). Post-hoc comparisons confirmed that sampling 250 effort was highest for species with maximum cell dimension $> 20 \,\mu$ m (Figure 2a; Appendix 251 S4, Table S4.1). Differences were also found when comparing taxonomic groups (H = 12.2, p 252 = 0.02; Appendix S3, Figure S3.2b). The number of records obtained for dinophytes was 253 significantly higher than those retrieved for any other taxonomic group and no significant 254 difference was found among the other groups (Figure 2b; Appendix S4, Table S4.2). We also 255 tested whether the number of records varied across biomes for each dataset (i.e., grouped by 256 size or by taxonomy, Appendix S3, Figure S3.1), and there were significant differences in 257 both analyses (H = 13.6, p = 0.02; and H = 11.2, p = 0.05, respectively). Fewer records were 258 available from oligotrophic gyres and equatorial regions compared to other biomes 259 (Appendix S3, Figure S3.1; Appendix S4, Tables S4.3 and S4.4); indeed, data within 260 oligotrophic gyres and equatorial regions were available primarily for dinophytes except for 261 one record of a raphidophyte species (Figure 2b). The analysis revealed no clear difference 262 among the other biomes (Appendix S4, Tables S4.3 and S4.4).

263 The relationships between size classes and taxonomy in the global distribution of 264 CMs were explored through the NMDS analysis (Figure 3). Our analysis revealed dinophyte 265 species within the larger size class (> $20 \,\mu$ m) closer to the different biomes thus displaying a 266 broader geographic distribution compared to other species (Figure 3). The different colours in 267 this figure allow the reader to discern that different taxonomic groups have different 268 distribution patterns. Through reference to the different symbols (Figure 3), it is clear that 269 size and taxonomy are not independent and most species of dinophytes are $> 20 \,\mu\text{m}$ while 270 most species within other groups are $< 20 \,\mu$ m. However, even among dinophytes there were 271 various outliers indicating a bias towards focussing on sampling species > $20 \,\mu m$ in field 272 studies; Alexandrium and ersonii and Fragilidium subglobosum are examples of these 273 "outlier" large dinophytes which ordinated closer to species belonging to other taxonomic 274 groups, such as the haptophyte Prymnesium polylepis and the prasinophyte Cymbomonas 275 tetramitiformis.

Non-dinophyte species $< 20 \,\mu$ m were the least represented group of CMs. To further illustrate the under-representation of these groups within global databases, and thus the incomplete status of global distribution data, we plotted the data available for these groups from generic studies (Figure 4) and from studies that specifically targeted these groups (Appendix S2). A total of 48 records from 21 studies were located when interrogating studies which specifically targeted known CMs in the nanoplankton spectrum (2–20 μ m; Appendix 282 S2, Table S2.2). It should be noted that these studies do not provide species-specific 283 information; unless a sampling study specifically targets smaller CM species and uses 284 specialist identification methods and skills, it is highly unlikely, and often impossible, for 285 these taxa to be identified to species level using standard light microscopy within generic 286 sampling protocols. Therefore, the maps derived using data from the generic versus the 287 specific studies present very different outputs (Figure 4). The map obtained from the generic 288 data depicts a limited distribution pattern for $CMs < 20 \ \mu m$ with occurrences recorded in 289 coastal regions, and in temperate and polar seas (Figure 4a). In contrast, studies which have 290 targeted these species show their distribution to largely encompass open oceans, including 291 regions closer to the Equator and within oligotrophic gyres in the Atlantic and the Pacific 292 Oceans (Figure 4b).

293

294 **Discussion**

Our results indicate a clear bias in the data for the biogeographic distribution of constitutive mixotrophs (CMs) across and within taxonomic groups, different size spectra, and Longhurst's oceanic regions. This appears primarily a reflection of the difficulties associated with sampling and identification of diagnostic features for small cells in natural water samples. Nevertheless, the wide-scale distributions of CMs of various sizes across the different provinces in the global oceans indicate the importance of considering this mixotroph functional group in studies of protist biogeography and thence in ecology.

Our current knowledge of CM species distribution remains incomplete primarily due to various biases in the data within global repositories. Even though it is now recognised that most eukaryote "phytoplankton" groups, with the important exception of diatoms, have the potential to demonstrate mixotrophy (Flynn et al., 2013; Stoecker et al., 2017), clear evidence of mixotrophy has been obtained for fewer than 150 species (Appendix S1, Table S1.1 and Leles et al., 2017). To place this in context, the total number of "phytoplankton" species are (as a guesstimate), often rounded to the nearest thousand within a major grouping (phylum).

309 Our work is the first attempt to document the biogeographies of organisms that are 310 undeniably CMs (Appendix S2, Table S2.1; Figures 1 and 2). During interrogation of the 311 online databases, we assumed that the species detected were originally correctly identified 312 and reported. An allied challenge is determining which species indeed express phagotrophy. 313 A recent study suggests that standard methodologies for detecting phagotrophy in field 314 plankton samples are inappropriate for use on these organisms and has most likely resulted in

non-identification of CM activity (Anderson et al., 2017). While Anderson et al. (2017)

316 focussed on nano-CMs, their findings suggest that similar methodological problems occur

317 widely, which could easily result in serious misinformation in the protist records of the

318 different databases.

319 Studies that specifically target CMs have various limitations. Quantitative estimates of abundance are challenging because current methods can only account for mixotrophs that 320 321 were actively feeding at the time of the sampling/experiment (Safi & Hall, 1999; Gast et al., 322 2014; Sato, Shiozaki, & Hashihama, 2017). Estimates of bacterivory rates are also 323 problematic because they are based on the assumptions that bacterivory remains constant 324 over the short time scales of incubation studies and that community ingestion rates can be 325 approximated to the average ingestion rate of all feeding individuals (Anderson et al., 2017). 326 In reality, feeding varies over the diel cycle (Tsai, Chin, & Chiang, 2009), and only a small 327 proportion of the total mixotroph assemblage is actively feeding at any time during an 328 experiment (Christaki, Wambeke, & Dolan, 1999; Anderson et al., 2017).

329 The CM species database is biased towards certain species and/or groups that have 330 been extensively investigated due to their perceived environmental importance. For example, 331 studies in the coastal regions covering low-salinity, estuarine, and marine waters have 332 focussed on harmful algal bloom (HAB) or toxic species within the dinophyte taxa 333 (Shumway et al., 2018). Some, if not most, of these HAB species are known mixotrophs 334 (Shumway et al., 2018). Some co-occurring protist species (including competitors, prey, and 335 predators) are also known mixotrophs as well, though many are not often recorded as they are 336 not themselves HAB species. Indeed, of the 80 CM species included within our analysis, 51 337 species were dinophytes, despite the importance of mixotrophy having been well recognized 338 in other taxonomic groups (Gast, McKie-Krisberg, Fay, Rose, & Sanders, 2014; Unrein et al., 339 2014; Stoecker & Lavrentyev, 2018).

Biases among records of CMs may also be particularly high due to differential efforts at sampling CMs of different sizes. It is not common practice to identify to species level in plankton surveys, especially among the smaller flagellate cells which are coincidentally mixotrophic (Sanders & Gast, 2012; Stoecker & Lavrentyev, 2018). While incubation experiments have demonstrated ingestion of prey by these small CMs (< 20 μ m), taxonomic identifications using traditional diagnostic approaches has been difficult due to few 346 distinctive features for these species. The available evidence indicates that field studies 347 typically neglect most CMs < 20 µm. However, mixotrophic pico- and nano- flagellates for 348 example, have been shown to be abundant and to play a major role as bacterivores and 349 primary producers in oligotrophic oceans (Zubkov & Tarran, 2008; Hartmann, Zubkov, 350 Scanlan, & Lepère, 2013; Mitra et al., 2014). Yet, global plankton databases have failed to 351 indicate the ubiquity of these organisms across the global oceans, particularly in the open 352 oceans (Figure 4). On the other hand, while according to survey data dinophytes appear to be 353 important in oligotrophic oceans (Figure 1), actually they are relatively minor contributors to 354 the total abundance and activity of mixotrophic flagellates within these areas (Unrein et al., 355 2014).

356 The strong bias towards larger cells and dinophytes is in part also attributed to 357 traditional sampling and preservation methods that are known to underestimate the 358 abundance of more fragile groups or smaller size fractions within the plankton (Gifford & 359 Caron, 2000; Edwards, Johns, Leterme, Svendsen, & Richardson, 2006). The resultant 360 distorted picture of plankton composition across the oceans (Biard et al., 2016) is typified by, 361 for example, survey data from the historic Continuous Plankton Recorder (CPR), a device 362 best suited (designed) for sampling mesozooplankton and larger (> 250 µm size) robust 363 phytoplankton (Richardson et al., 2006). The CPR cannot capture data for the majority of the 364 non-diatom protist species, an exception being very large dinoflagellates such as CMs within 365 the genus Tripos (formally Ceratium). Given the changes in our understanding of marine 366 ecology, with recognition of the increasing importance of the microbial loop and mixotrophic 367 protists, the CPR requires augmentation with a device specifically designed for sampling 368 small protists.

369 Recent advances in metagenomics and computational analysis allow identification of 370 the taxonomic and genomic content of marine communities, and investigation of their 371 functional potential (Sunagawa et al., 2015). Nevertheless, we could not exploit such datasets 372 in our analysis. While sequence datasets offer a powerful approach to provide molecular 373 taxonomy in the future, protistologists likely have decades of work ahead of them to match 374 sequence data against morphology/physiology. For the sequence datasets, one of the most 375 problematic issues is converting the data into species information (Bucklin, Lindeque, 376 Rodriguez-Ezpeleta, Albaina, & Lehtiniemi, 2016; Leray & Knowlton, 2016). Within the 377 arena of molecular analysis itself, there are different methodologies for obtaining sequence

information, so that forming operational taxonomic units (OTUs) from those data are far from
standardized (e.g. Callahan, McMurdie, & Holmes, 2017).

380 In addition, most mixotrophic protists fall into often closely-related groups that are 381 composed of a mixture of species with different nutritional modes, i.e., autotrophic, 382 heterotrophic, and mixotrophic. Although metagenomics may offer a means to identify active 383 mixotrophs, (Rokitta et al., 2011; Yelton et al., 2016), it remains to be fully resolved how to 384 use the potential of metagenomics to differentiate mixotrophs from their autotrophic or 385 heterotrophic counterparts (Santoferrara & McManus, 2017). Further, OTUs far outnumber 386 the number of morphologically-described or nutritionally-described species that have been 387 sequenced; there is presently no way of differentiating the mixotrophs among the many 388 OTUs typically recorded in molecular datasets. Meanwhile experimental studies continue to 389 provide increasing evidence that more and more species previously thought to be "strictly" 390 photoautotrophic phytoplankton are, in fact, CMs (e.g., Hoppenrath and Leander, 2007; 391 Berge et al., 2008; Nézan & Chomérat, 2009; Yoo et al., 2010; Kang et al., 2011; Lim et al., 392 2015; Jang et al., 2017; Ok et al., 2017; Kamennaya, Kennaway, Fuchs, & Zubkov, 2018).

393 In conclusion, our analyses show clearly that CMs of different taxonomic groups and 394 size ranges are present and active throughout the oceans. The oceanic plankton community 395 contributes an estimated 50% of total planetary primary production. The CMs are a major 396 contributing component of this community. Therefore, it is important that we are aware of the 397 biogeography of these organisms with reference to their physiology, ecology and seasonality. 398 Our findings are highly relevant to plankton biogeography, with implications scaling up to 399 the functioning of food webs and biogeochemical cycles in the global oceans. The challenge 400 now facing marine scientists is to develop widely accepted routine protocols for determining 401 mixotrophic potential and improved survey methods for sampling and identifying the smaller 402 plankton members. It is important that developments in plankton protist ecophysiology, 403 traditional and molecular taxonomy, field experimentation and sampling all remain well 404 aligned during the coming decade to ensure that we adequately and speedily resolve the 405 global importance of oceanic mixotrophy. Without this alignment, our abilities to develop 406 and deploy models to explore the consequences of climate change on oceanic (and thence 407 planetary) processes will be impaired.

409 **References**

- Anderson, R., Jürgens, K., & Hansen, P. J. (2017). Mixotrophic phytoflagellate bacterivory
 field measurements strongly biased by standard approaches: a case study. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 8, 1–12.
- Berge, T., Hansen, P. J., & Moestrup, Ø. (2008). Prey size spectrum and bioenergetics of the
 mixotrophic dinoflagellate *Karlodinium armiger*. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*, 50, 289–
 299.
- Biard, T., Stemmann, L., Picheral, M., Mayot, N., Vandromme, P., Hauss, H., ... Not, F.
 (2016). In situ imaging reveals the biomass of giant protists in the global ocean. *Nature*,
 532, 504–507.
- Bucklin, A., Lindeque, P. K., Rodriguez-Ezpeleta, N., Albaina, A., & Lehtiniemi, M. (2016).
 Metabarcoding of marine zooplankton: prospects, progress and pitfalls. *Journal of Plankton Research*, *38*, 393–400.
- Burkholder, J.M., Glibert, P.M., & Skelton, H.M. (2008). Mixotrophy, a major mode of
 nutrition for harmful algal species in eutrophic waters. *Harmful Algae*, *8*, 77–93.
- Callahan, B. J., McMurdie, P. J., & Holmes, S. P. (2017). Exact sequence variants should
 replace operational taxonomic units in marker-gene data analysis. *ISME Journal*, *11*,
 2639–2643.
- 427 Cermeño, P., de Vargas, C., Abrantes, F., & Falkowski, P. G. (2010). Phytoplankton
 428 biogeography and community stability in the ocean. *PLoS ONE*, 5.
 429 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010037
- 430 Cermeño, P., & Falkowski, P. (2009). Controls on Diatom Biogeography in the Ocean.
 431 *Science*, *325*, 1539–1542.
- 432 Christaki, U., Van Wambeke, F., & Dolan, J. R. (1999). Nanoflagellates (mixotrophs,
 433 heterotrophs and autotrophs) in the oligotrophic eastern Mediterranean: Standing stocks,
 434 bacterivory and relationships with bacterial production. *Marine Ecology Progress*435 *Series*, 181, 297–307.
- de Vargas, C., Audic, S., Henry, N., Decelle, J., Mahé, F., Logares, R., ... Karsenti, E.
 (2015). Eukaryotic plankton diversity in the sunlit ocean. *Science*, *348*, 1–11.
- 438 Dolan, J. R. (2005). An introduction to the biogeography of aquatic microbes. *Aquatic* 439 *Microbial Ecology*, 41, 39–48.
- 440 Dolan, J. R. (2006). Microbial biogeography? Journal of Biogeography, 33, 199–200.
- 441 Ebach, M. C. (2015). Origins of biogeography. New York, NY, Springer.
- Edwards, M., Johns, D., Leterme, S. C., Svendsen, E., & Richardson, A. J. (2006). Regional
 climate change and harmful algal blooms in the northeast Atlantic. *Limnology and Oceanography*, *51*, 820–829.
- 445 Flynn, K. J., Stoecker, D. K., Mitra, A., Raven, J. A., Glibert, P. M., Hansen, P. J., ...
- Burkholder, J. M. (2013). Misuse of the phytoplankton-zooplankton dichotomy: The
 need to assign organisms as mixotrophs within plankton functional types. *Journal of Plankton Research*, 35, 3–11.

- Fuhrman, J. A., Steele, J. A., Hewson, I., Schwalbach, M. S., Brown, M. V., Green, J. L., &
 Brown, J. H. (2008). A latitudinal diversity gradient in planktonic marine bacteria. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105, 7774–8.
- Gast, R. J., McKie-Krisberg, Z. M., Fay, S. A., Rose, J. M., & Sanders, R. W. (2014).
 Antarctic mixotrophic protist abundances by microscopy and molecular methods. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, *89*, 388–401.
- Gifford, D. J., & Caron, D. A. (2000). Sampling, preservation, enumeration and biomass of
 marine protozooplankton. In R. Harris, P. Wiebe, J. Lenz, H. R. Skjoldal, & M. Huntley
 (Eds.), *ICES Zooplankton Methodology Manual Book* (pp. 193–221). Elsevier Ltd.
- Glibert, P.M., & Legrand, C. (2006). The diverse nutritional strategies of harmful algae:
 focus on osmotrophy. In E. Granéli & J. Turner (Eds.), *The Ecology of Harmful Algae*(pp. 163-175). Springer-Verlag.
- 461 Hartmann, M., Zubkov, M. V., Scanlan, D. J., & Lepère, C. (2013). In situ interactions
 462 between photosynthetic picoeukaryotes and bacterioplankton in the Atlantic Ocean:
 463 Evidence for mixotrophy. *Environmental Microbiology Reports*, *5*, 835–840.
- Hoppenrath, M., & Leander, B. S. (2007). Character evolution in polykrikoid dinoflagellates. *Journal of Phycology*, *43*, 366–377.
- Jang, S. H., Jeong, H. J., Kwon, J. E., & Lee, K. H. (2017). Mixotrophy in the newly
 described dinoflagellate *Yihiella yeosuensis*: A small, fast dinoflagellate predator that
 grows mixotrophically, but not autotrophically. *Harmful Algae*, 62, 94–103.
- Kamennaya, N. A., Kennaway, G., Fuchs, B. M., & Zubkov, M. V. (2018). "Pomacytosis"—
 Semi-extracellular phagocytosis of cyanobacteria by the smallest marine algae. *PLoS Biology*, *16*, 1–13.
- Kang, N. S., Jeong, H. J., Yoo, Y. Du, Yoon, E. Y., Lee, K. H., Lee, K., & Kim, G. (2011).
 Mixotrophy in the newly described phototrophic dinoflagellate *Woloszynskia cincta*from western Korean waters: Feeding mechanism, prey species and Effect of prey
 concentration. *Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology*, *58*, 152–170.
- 476 Legendre, P., & Legendre, L. (1998). *Numerical Ecology* (Second). Amsterdam: Elsevier.
 477 Retrieved from http://www.onmyphd.com/?p=legendre.fenchel.transform
- Leles, S. G., Mitra, A., Flynn, K. J., Stoecker, D. K., Hansen, P. J., Calbet, A., ... Våge, S.
 (2017). Oceanic protists with different forms of acquired phototrophy display
 contrasting biogeographies and abundance. *Proceedings of The Royal Society B*, 284,
 20170664.
- Leray, M., & Knowlton, N. (2016). Censusing marine eukaryotic diversity in the twenty-first
 century. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *371*.
 doi:10.1098/rstb.2015.0331
- Lim, A. S., Jeong, H. J., Kim, J. H., Jang, S. H., Lee, M. J., & Lee, K. (2015). Mixotrophy in
 the newly described dinoflagellate *Alexandrium pohangense*: A specialist for feeding on
 the fast-swimming ichthyotoxic dinoflagellate *Cochlodinium polykrikoides*. *Harmful Algae*, 49, 10–18.
- 489 Lin, S., Zhang, H., Hou, Y., Miranda, L., & Bhattacharya, D. (2006). Development of a
 490 dinoflagellate-oriented PCR primer set leads to detection of picoplanktonic
 491 dinoflagellates from Long Island Sound. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 72,

492 5626–5630.

- 493
- 494 Longhurst, A. (2007). Ecological Geography of the sea (Second Edi). MA: Academic Press.
- Malviya, S., Scalco, E., Audic, S., Vincent, F., Veluchamy, A., Poulain, J., ... Bowler, C.
 (2016). Insights into global diatom distribution and diversity in the world's ocean. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *113*, E1516–E1525.
- 498 Mitra, A., & Flynn, K. J. (2010). Modelling mixotrophy in harmful algal blooms: More or
 499 less the sum of the parts? *Journal of Marine Systems*, *83*, 158–169.
- Mitra, A., Flynn, K. J., Burkholder, J. M., Berge, T., Calbet, A., Raven, J. A., ... Zubkov, M.
 V. (2014). The role of mixotrophic protists in the biological carbon pump. *Biogeosciences*, 11, 995–1005.
- Mitra, A., Flynn, K. J., Tillmann, U., Raven, J. A., Caron, D., Stoecker, D. K., ... Lundgren,
 V. (2016). Defining Planktonic Protist Functional Groups on Mechanisms for Energy
 and Nutrient Acquisition: Incorporation of Diverse Mixotrophic Strategies. *Protist*, 167,
 106–120.
- Moon-Van Der Staay, S. Y., De Wachter, R., & Vaulot, D. (2001). Oceanic 18S rDNA
 sequences from picoplankton reveal unsuspected eukaryotic diversity. *Nature*, 409, 607–610.
- 510 Nézan, E., & Chomérat, N. (2009). *Fragilidium duplocampanaeforme* sp. nov.
 511 (Dinophyceae): A new phagotrophic dinoflagellate from the French Atlantic coast.
 512 *European Journal of Protistology*, 45, 2–12.
- Ok, J. H., Jeong, H. J., Lim, A. S., & Lee, K. H. (2017). Interactions between the mixotrophic
 dinoflagellate *Takayama helix* and common heterotrophic protists. *Harmful Algae*, 68,
 178–191.
- 516 Pierce, R. W., & Turner, J. T. (1993). Global biogeography of marine tintinnids. *Marine* 517 *Ecology Progress Series*, 94, 11–26.
- 518 R Core Team (2017). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna,
 519 Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Richardson, A. J., Walne, A. W., John, A. W. G., Jonas, T. D., Lindley, J. A., Sims, D. W.,
 ... Witt, M. (2006). Using continuous plankton recorder data. *Progress in Oceanography*, 68, 27–74.
- Rokitta, S. D., de Nooijer, L. J., Trimborn, S., de Vargas, C., Rost, B., & John, U. (2011).
 Transcriptome analyses reveal differential gene expression patterns between the lifecycle stages of *Emiliania huxleyi* (haptophyta) and reflect specialization to different
 ecological niches. *Journal of Phycology*, 47, 829–838.
- Safi, K. A., & Hall, J. A. (1999). Mixotrophic and heterotrophic nanoflagellate grazing in the
 convergence zone east of New Zealand. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*, 20, 83–93.
- Santoferrara, L. F., & McManus, G. B. (2017). Integrating dimensions of biodiversity in
 choreotrichs and oligotrichs of marine plankton. *European Journal of Protistology*, *61*,
 323–330.
- 532 Sato, M., Shiozaki, T., & Hashihama, F. (2017). Distribution of mixotrophic nanoflagellates

- along the latitudinal transect of the central North Pacific. *Journal of Oceanography*, 73,
 159–168.
- Shumway, S. E., Burkholder, J. A. M., Morton, S. L. (2018). *Harmful algal blooms: a compendium desk reference*. Hoboken, NJ, John Wiley & Sons.
- 537 Smayda, T. J. (1958). Biogeographical studies of marine phytoplankton. *Oikos*, 9, 158–191.
- Stoecker, D. K., Hansen, P. J., Caron, D. A., & Mitra, A. (2017). Mixotrophy in the Marine
 Plankton. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, *9*, annurev-marine-010816-060617.
- 540 Stoecker, D.K., Lavrentyev, P.J., 2018. Mixotrophic Plankton in the Polar Seas: A Pan-Arctic
 541 Review. Front. Mar. Sci. 5
- Sunagawa, S., Coelho, L. P., Chaffron, S., Kultima, J. R., Labadie, K., Salazar, G., ... Bork,
 P. (2015). Structure and function of the global ocean microbiome. *Science*, *348*.
 doi:10.1126/science.1261359
- 545 Tomas, C. R. (1997). Identifying marine phytoplankton. Academic Press, San Diego,
 546 California.
- Tsai, A.-Y., Chin, W.-M., & Chiang, K.-P. (2009). Diel patterns of grazing by pigmented
 nanoflagellates on *Synechococcus* spp. In the coastal ecosystem of subtropical western
 pacific. *Hydrobiologia*, 636, 249–256.
- Unrein, F., Gasol, J. M., Not, F., Forn, I., & Massana, R. (2014). Mixotrophic haptophytes
 are key bacterial grazers in oligotrophic coastal waters. *The ISME Journal*, 8, 164–176.
- Wilken, S., Huisman, J., Naus-Wiezer, S., & Van Donk, E. (2013). Mixotrophic organisms
 become more heterotrophic with rising temperature. *Ecology Letters*, *16*, 225–233.
- Yelton, A. P., Acinas, S. G., Sunagawa, S., Bork, P., Pedrós-Alió, C., & Chisholm, S. W.
 (2016). Global genetic capacity for mixotrophy in marine picocyanobacteria. *ISME Journal*, 10, 2946–2957.
- Yoo, Y. Du, Jeong, H. J., Kang, N. S., Kim, J. S., Kim, T. H., & Yoon, E. Y. (2010). Ecology
 of *Gymnodinium aureolum*. II. Predation by common heterotrophic dinoflagellates and a
 ciliate. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*, *59*, 257–272.
- Zubkov, M. V, & Tarran, G. A. (2008). High bacterivory by the smallest phytoplankton in the
 North Atlantic Ocean. *Nature*, 455, 224–226.
- 562

563 Data Accessibility

- 564 CM species and the geographic coordinates of studies which specifically targeted CMs are
- 565 collated within the Supplementary Information (Appendix S1, Table S1.1 and Appendix S2,
- 566 Table S2.2, respectively). Records for different CM species are available as open access
- 567 within the OBIS database (<u>http://www.iobis.org/</u>); we also provide this information in

- 568 Appendix S2, Table S2.1. Grids of Longhurst's biogeographic provinces (Appendix S1,
- 569 Table S1.2) can be downloaded from <u>http://www.marineregions.org/.</u>



Figures

576 FIGURE 1 Global distribution of CMs across Longhurst's biogeographic provinces. Distribution maps are sh 577 (prasinophytes, raphidophytes, haptophytes, chrysophytes, and dinophytes) across different size classes (max 578 μ m; orange, 5–10 μ m; red, 10–15 μ m; green, 15–20 μ m; blue, > 20 μ m). Colour-cast provinces indicate the p

- 579 indicate no data. The absence of maps (i.e., white spaces) indicate that there are no known species of that size
- 580 See also Appendix S2, Table S2.1.



FIGURE 2 Number of records for CMs across different biogeographic provinces (Appendix
S1, Table S1.2 and Appendix S2, Table S2.1). Number of records provided for: (a) different
size-classes (µm, length), and, (b) different taxonomic groups. See also Appendix S3, Figure
S3.2.





594 FIGURE 3 Spatial distribution of CMs from NMDS analysis. NMDS ordination was based595 on the number of records observed for each species within each biogeographic province;

596 biomes were primarily derived from provinces (Appendix S3, Figure S3.3). Each symbol

597 represents a species which was grouped by taxonomy (different colours) and by size and

taxonomy (different symbols). Ellipses are shown at 75% confidence interval and were used

- 599 to define dinophytes (green) and other groups (grey).
- 600
- 601





- Appendix 1: Reference list of studies which specifically targeted CMs but not cited within
 the main text (see also Appendix S2; Table S2.2)
- Arenovski, A. L., Lim, E. L., & Caron, D. A. (1995). Mixotrophic nanoplankton in
 oligotrophic surface waters of the Sargasso Sea may employ phagotrophy to obtain
 major nutrients. *Journal of Plankton Research*, *17*, 801–820.
- 619 Czypionka, T., Vargas, C. A., Silva, N., Daneri, G., González, H. E., & Iriarte, J. L. (2011).
- 620 Importance of mixotrophic nanoplankton in Aysén Fjord (Southern Chile) during austral
 621 winter. *Continental Shelf Research*, *31*, 216–224.
- Hall, J. A., Barrett, D. P., & James, M. R. (1993). The importance of phytoflagellate,
- 623 heterotrophic flagellate and ciliate grazing on bacteria and picophytoplankton sized prey
- 624 in a coastal marine environment. *Journal of Plankton Research*, 15, 1075–1086.
- 625 Hartmann, M., Grob, C., Tarran, G. Martin, A. P., Burkill, P. H., Scanlan, D. J. & Zubkov,
- M. A. (2012). Mixotrophic basis of Atlantic oligotrophic ecosystems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *109*, 5756–5760.
- Havskum, H., & Hansen, A. S. (1997). Importance of pigmented and colourless nano-sized
 protists as grazers on nanoplankton in a phosphate-depleted Norwegian fjord and in
 enclosures. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*, *12*, 139–151.
- Havskum, H., & Riemann, B. (1996). Ecological importance of bacterivorous, pigmented
 flagellates (mixotrophs) in the Bay of Aarhus, Denmark. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 137, 251–263.
- Moorthi, S., Caron, D. A., Gast, R. J., & Sanders, R. W. (2009). Mixotrophy: A widespread
 and important ecological strategy for planktonic and sea-ice nanoflagellates in the Ross
 Sea, Antarctica. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*, *54*, 269–277.
- Nygaard, K., & Tobiesen, A. (1993). Bacterivory in algae: A survival strategy during nutrient
 limitation. *Limnology and Oceanography*, *38*, 273–279.
- 639 Sanders, R. W., Berninger, U. G., Lim, E. L., Kemp, P. F., & Caron, D. A. (2000).
- Heterotrophic and mixotrophic nanoplankton predation on picoplankton in the Sargasso
 Sea and on Georges Bank. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *192*, 103–118.
- 642 Sanders, R. W., & Gast, R. J. (2012). Bacterivory by phototrophic picoplankton and
 643 nanoplankton in Arctic waters. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 82, 242–253.

644	Stukel, M. R., Landry, M. R., & Selph, K. E. (2011). Nanoplankton mixotrophy in the eastern
645	equatorial Pacific. Deep-Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 58,
646	378–386.

Tsai, A. Y., Gong, G. C., Sanders, R. W., Chen, W. H., Chao, C. F., & Chiang, K. P. (2011).
Importance of bacterivory by pigmented and heterotrophic nanoflagellates during the
warm season in a subtropical western Pacific coastal ecosystem. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*, 63, 9–18.

- Unrein, F., Massana, R., Alonso-Sáez, L., & Gasol, J. M. (2007). Significant year-round
 effect of small mixotrophic flagellates on bacterioplankton in an oligotrophic coastal
 system. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 52, 456–469.
- 654 Vargas, C., Contreras, P., & Iriarte, J. (2012). Relative importance of phototrophic,
- heterotrophic, and mixotrophic nanoflagellates in the microbial food web of a river-
- 656 influenced coastal upwelling area. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*, 65, 233–248.

657

658 Supporting Information

- 659 Appendix S1 List of CM species and biogeographic classification of the ocean.
- 660 Appendix S2 Data compiled from OBIS and from studies which specifically targeted CMs.
- 661 Appendix S3 Supplementary Figures.
- Appendix S4 Supplementary results for the post-hoc pairwise comparisons using Dunn'stest.

664

665 Supporting information is provided in a separate Word document (Supporting

666 Information – Leles et al) and two Excel files (TableS1.1.xlsx and TableS2.2.xlsx).

667