Analytical validation of variants to aid in genotype-guided therapy for oncology

Marelize Swart¹, Wesley M. Stansberry², Victoria M. Pratt², Elizabeth B. Medeiros², Patrick J. Kiel¹, Fei Shen⁴, Bryan P. Schneider^{3,4}, and Todd C. Skaar¹

¹Department of Medicine, Division of Clinical Pharmacology, ²Department of Medical and Molecular Genetics, ³Department of Medicine, Division of Hematology/Oncology, ⁴Department of Medicine, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, 46202

Short running title: Validation of oncology PGx genotyping

Funding: Supported by the NIH-funded NHGRI-IGNITE network project grant (U01HG007762;T.C.S and V.M.P.); the Indiana University Health – Indiana University School of Medicine Strategic Research Initiative (V.M.P and E.B.M); Vera Bradley Foundation for Breast Cancer (M.S and T.C.S.); and the Indiana University Grand Challenge Precision Health Initiative and the Indiana Institute for Personalized Medicine.

Disclosures: The Indiana University School of Medicine Pharmacogenomics Laboratory is fee-forservice clinical laboratory that offers clinical pharmacogenetic testing.

Corresponding author:

Victoria M. Pratt, PhD Indiana University School of Medicine, Department of Medical and Molecular Genetics 975 W. Walnut St., IB350, Indianapolis IN 46202 Email: vpratt@iu.edu

This is the author's manuscript of the article published in final edited form as:

Swart, M., Stansberry, W. M., Pratt, V. M., Medeiros, E. B., Kiel, P. J., Shen, F., ... Skaar, T. C. (2019). Analytical Validation of Variants to Aid in Genotype-Guided Therapy for Oncology. The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoldx.2019.01.009

Abstract

The Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA) of 1988 requires that pharmacogenetic genotyping methods need to be established according to technical standards and laboratory practice guidelines before testing can be offered to patients. Testing methods for variants in *ABCB1*, *CBR3*, *COMT*, *CYP3A7*, *C80RF34*, *FCGR2A*, *FCGR3A*, *HAS3*, *NT5C2*, *NUDT15*, *SBF2*, *SEMA3C*, *SLC16A5*, *SLC28A3*, *SOD2*, *TLR4*, and *TPMT* were validated in a CLIA-accredited laboratory. As no known reference materials were available, DNA samples that were from Coriell Cell Repositories (Camden, NJ) were used for the analytical validation studies. Pharmacogenetic testing methods developed here were shown to be accurate and 100% analytically sensitive and specific. Other CLIA-accredited laboratories interested in offering pharmacogenetic testing for these genetic variants, related to genotype-guided therapy for oncology, could use these publicly available samples as reference materials when developing and validating new genetic tests or refining current assays.

2

Introduction

Genetic variants exist in genes coding for enzymes that are targets of oncology medications or responsible for their metabolism and transport. Pharmacogenetic tests are used to assess whether an individual has the variant allele for these known genetic changes and provide information on risk of toxicity or inefficacy to assist a patient's medical care team in developing therapeutic strategies. For example, the cytotoxic agent 5-fluorouracil undergoes fluoropyrimidine catabolism facilitated by dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD). Individuals with reduced- or no-function variants in the *DPYD* gene (codes for DPD) have reduced activity of DPD, reduced 5-fluorouracil clearance, increased half-life and profound dose-related toxicities (eg, mucositis, diarrhea, neutropenia, and neurotoxicity). Treatment outcomes can be improved by testing for the *DPYD* variants and then following recommendations according to the Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC, https://cpicpgx.org/, last accessed on 29 October 2018) guidelines which suggest a reduction in the dose by 25% to 50% or avoiding 5-fluorouracil depending on the specific *DPYD* genetic variants present ¹. Pharmacogenetic tests can only be used to improve patient care if the test is analytically and clinically valid.

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) includes pharmacogenetic test information in drug labels for several approved oncology medications, including belinostat (*UGT1A1*), irinotecan (*UGT1A1*), nilotinib (*UGT1A1*), pazopanib (*UGT1A1* and *HLA-B*), capecitabine (*DPYD*), cisplatin (*TPMT*), mercaptopurine (*TPMT*), and thioguanine (*TPMT*). The suggestion that patients should be tested for genetic variants in the genes included in drug labels results in a need for more clinical laboratories with the ability to validate and perform pharmacogenetic testing. The Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA) of 1988 were developed to regulate all facilities or sites in the United States that test human specimens for health assessment or to diagnose, prevent, or treat disease. Pharmacogenetic tests need to be established according to the technical standards and laboratory practice guidelines required by CLIA before testing can be offered to patients.

To achieve regulatory requirements and meet best practice standards, the testing laboratories will often use reference materials for assay development and validation, quality control, and proficiency testing. Genomic DNA samples from cell lines or remaining de-identified patient material are regularly used to develop and validate assays. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) established the Genetic Testing Reference Material Coordination (GeT-RM) Program, in 2010, to address the need for characterized genomic DNA reference materials. Several DNA samples from the Coriell Cell Repositories (Camden, NJ) have been tested for genetic variants in five commonly tested genes: CYP2D6, CYP2C19, CYP2C9, VKORC1, and UGT1A1^{2,3}. As more genetic variants are established as markers of toxicity or inefficacy to cytotoxic agents, more pharmacogenetic testing methods can be validated to offer testing to patients with cancer. This study provides the rationale for chosen genes and variants as well as the analytical validation of genotyping methods for pharmacogenetic variants. For analytical validation, approximately 200 Coriell DNA samples for the variants of which methods were being validated were screened and Sanger sequencing used as an orthogonal method on a subset of samples both positive and negative for the variants of interest. All of the genes included in the analytical validation are involved in metabolism and transport of medicines (ABCB1, CBR3, CYP3A7, SLC16A5, SLC28A3, TPMT, NT5C2, NUDT15), or are targets of medications (FCGR2A, FCGR3A), or have an unclear role (COMT, C80RF34, HAS3, SBF2, SEMA3C, SOD2, TLR4), in a CLIA-accredited laboratory, related to genotypeguided therapy for oncology.

Materials and Methods

Selection of variants

Oncology pharmacogenetic literature was reviewed to select 27 clinically-relevant genetic variants in 17 genes that have been associated with inter-individual variability in efficacy or toxicity of cytotoxic agents. Genetic variants in *ABCB1* were selected because *ABCB1* codes for the drug transporter P-glycoprotein and these variants are associated with variability in achieving complete control of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting when using ondansetron ⁴⁻⁶. The *CBR3* gene encodes for a carbonyl reductase which

is involved in metabolism of anthracyclines. The selected variant is associated with increased risk of anthracycline-induced cardiomyopathy in pediatric patients ⁷⁻⁹. Similarly, the HAS3 and SLC28A3 variants were included for their role in risk of anthracycline-induced cardiomyopathy ¹⁰⁻¹⁶. Although TPMT is involved in metabolism of thiopurines, the particular variant included in this study is associated with increased risk of cisplatin-induced hearing impairment. Similarly, the COMT genetic variants were included in this study based on studies reporting the variants play a role in cisplatin-induced hearing impairment in pediatric patients with medulloblastoma, neuroblastoma, or osteosarcoma¹⁷⁻²². Like SLC28A3, SLC16A5 codes for a protein that is a member of the solute carrier transporter superfamily. In a recent in vitro study, cisplatin induced expression of SLC16A5 in a dose-dependent manner. The selected SLC16A5 variant was identified as a marker of hearing loss in a group of testicular cancer patients treated with cisplatin-containing chemotherapy²³. Many clinically used medications are metabolized by CYP3A enzymes including CYP3A7 which is expressed in a fraction of adult human livers. The CYP3A7 *1C allele is associated with lower urinary unconjugated estrogen metabolite levels and increased risk of mortality among individuals with breast cancer treated with medicines that are CYP3A substrates ^{24, 25}. A genome-wide association study among Korean individuals with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer receiving irinotecan plus cisplatin reported associations between the SEMA3C variants and increased risk of grade 4 neutropenia and the *C8orf34* variant and increased risk of grade 3 diarrhea²⁶. Genetic variants in FCGR2A and FCGR3A were included because these genes code for fragment C receptor subtypes that are targets for trastuzumab or rituximab binding. The variants are associated with altered risk of disease progression and progression-free survival ²⁷⁻³⁵. The NT5C2 gene codes for an enzyme involved in dephosphorylation of monophosphorylated gemcitabine and the particular variant included is associated with decreased clearance of intravenous gemcitabine ^{36, 37}. NUDT15 was selected as it is important in metabolism of thiopurines and variants in NUDT15 are associated with increased risk of thiopurineinduced toxicity. The relationship between the function of SBF2 and taxanes is unknown, yet five variants have been associated with increased risk of taxane-induced peripheral neuropathy in a group of African-Americans ³⁸. The protein coded for by SOD2 is a manganese superoxide dismutase that acts as a mitochondrial antioxidant enzyme by endogenously converting superoxide into oxygen and hydrogen peroxide. The *SOD2* variant selected were reported to affect enzyme function and increase risk of asparaginase-induced hepatotoxicity. *TLR4* is a member of the Toll-like receptor family which plays a role in activation of innate immunity. Individuals with the selected *TLR4* variant were more likely to experience methotrexate-induced gastrointestinal, liver, pneumonitis, and skin and mucosal adverse events ³⁹. Table 1 summarizes the risk genotypes for each genetic variant related to a specific medication. Currently no clinical guidelines are available to recommend dose adjustment for these selected genetic variants.

Samples

189 existing reference DNA samples in the laboratory obtained from Coriell Cell Repository (Camden, New Jersey)^{2,3} were used for analytical validation (Supplemental Table S1).

Taqman genotyping for selected variants

Commercially available genotyping assays and reagents were used for each variant. DNA was amplified by real time PCR on the LifeTech QuantStudio 12K Flex (software v1.2.2; Grand Island, NY) and subjected to Taqman allelic discrimination using commercially available LifeTech (Grand Island, New York) reagents in a custom designed open array. The assay identification numbers are shown in Table 2.

Primer design and Sanger sequencing of samples for accuracy

Primers for each genetic variant were designed specific to the gene of interest (by aligning the gene sequence with that of genes with similar sequences to select a region that is unique to the gene of interest). The following tools were used for primer design: Primer 3 version 2004 which was developed by Rozen and Skaletsky in 2000⁴⁰ (http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/, last accessed on 29 October 2018), NCBI Primer-BLAST (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/, last accessed on 29 October 2018), and IDT OligoAnalyzer from Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc. (Coralville, IA). Integrated DNA

Technologies, Inc. performed synthesis of the primers. Primer sequences for each genetic variant are provided in Table 3 with PCR amplification conditions.^{41,42}

PCR amplification was performed using the following conditions: initial denaturation at 98 °C for 30 seconds, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 98 °C for 10 seconds, annealing at the specific annealing temperature provided in Table 3 for 10 seconds, primer extension at 72 °C for 30 seconds, and final extension at 72 °C for 5 minutes. A "MyCycler Thermal cycler" (Bio-Rad, Hercules) was used and the PCR reaction contained the following reagents: 10ng genomic DNA, 1X Platinum SuperFi PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts), and 0.112µM of the forward and reverse primers (Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc.).

Purification of the PCR amplicons were performed using the MinElute PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). The protocol was adjusted by performing elution twice in 20μ L of DNase-free water. Purified samples were mixed with 0.25μ M of the primer used for sequencing and submitted to ACGT, Inc. (Wheeling, IL) for Sanger sequencing. Analysis of the sequences was performed using BioEdit biological sequence alignment editor (v7.0.5, Ibis Therapeutics, Carlsbad, CA).

Results

All of the variants were detected using the Taqman reagents. Both the amplification traces and allelic discrimination plots showed good allele separation (http://tools.thermofisher.com/content/sfs/manuals/cms_042798.pdf, last accessed 11/21/2018). The sequencing results were compared to the genotyping results and were 100% concordant (Table 2). The number of variant alleles and non-variant (ie, wild type) alleles detected by sequencing were evaluated to calculate the analytical sensitivity and specificity. The analytical sensitivity was 100% for the detection of variant alleles, with no reported false negatives. The analytical specificity was 100% for detection of non-

variant alleles, with no false positive results reported (confidence intervals varied based on samples tested and allele frequency, Table 2).

DNA samples obtained from Coriell Cell Biorepositories were used to assess intra- and inter-assay variation. Intra- (within) assay variation studies showed that all three replicates of the samples analyzed in the same run, had concordant results. The inter- (between) assay variation study showed that the samples consistently got the same result across three separate runs. All assays were robust and consistent genotyping results were obtained using two different instruments on different days and using input DNA within a concentration range of 15.4 to 50.8ng/µL.

A total of 189 DNA samples from Coriell Cell Repositories were genotyped successfully, with the results provided in Supplemental Table S1. Sanger sequencing was used as an orthogonal method to confirm the accuracy of the array genotyping results for a majority of the 27 variants, except for *SBF2 rs146987383* and *SBF2 rs149501654*. All 189 Coriell reference materials were negative for both the *SBF2 rs146987383* and *rs149501654* variants and known reference materials were obtained from a research laboratory. The number of samples, from the Coriell sample set, carrying a variant allele for each of the 27 genetic variants is summarized in Table 4 to show how many samples in this data set had a variant allele. The variant alleles for *CYP3A7 rs45446698*, *NUDT15 rs116855232*, *NUDT15 rs186364891*, *SBF2 rs141368249*, and *SBF2 rs117957652* are rare and only observed in five, six, one, five, and three samples, respectively (Table 4).

Discussion

Pharmacogenomic testing methods can be complex to create and complicated by gene sequence similarity between members of the same gene family. The benefit of publishing our validated genotyping methods is that other CLIA-accredited laboratories can access this information and confidently establish these methods knowing that the assays were robust, accurate, and had 100% analytical sensitivity and

specificity. Furthermore, identification of samples with the variant allele among genomic DNA samples from the Coriell Cell Biorepositories is useful for other clinical laboratories. These publicly available DNA samples and associated data can be used when developing and validating new genetic tests or refining current assays. The 1000 Genomes Project ⁴³ or ExAC Project ⁴⁴ may be used as another resource for identifying reference materials. Having validated methods and positive samples will improve standardization of pharmacogenomic testing across clinical laboratories.

The goal of analytical validation in clinical laboratories is to determine how well the test system can detect what it is designed to detect, ie, defined genetic variants from genomic DNA. Analytical validation can be challenging when there is a lack of reference materials for the defined variants, or lack of "truth". We chose the approach of screening approximately 200 Coriell DNA samples for the variants that were being validated and using Sanger sequencing as an orthogonal method on a subset of both positive and negative samples to determine truth. This approach works well when variants are rather common, greater than 0.01 frequency. For rarer alleles, a different approach was chosen. A research laboratory was contacted and DNA samples requested for validation studies.

A novel discovery during the validation studies was that several of the variants were in *cis*. Several DNA samples were positive for more than two variants in the same gene (eg, *ABCB1, COMT, SBF2, SEMA3C, SLC28A3*). In clinical testing some examples include *CFTR* (*p.R117H* and *c.1210–12[5][7][9]*) ⁴⁵, *CYP2D6* ⁴⁶, and *MTHFR* ⁴⁷, *cis* variants have been well-documented as both impacting clinical phenotype and as a confounder for clinical interpretation.. If any of these markers are used in risk models for toxicity, these risk models may need to be revised.

Establishing standardized methods is challenging, but once these genotyping methods are validated another difficulty is interpretation and implementation of the test results. Interpretation of pharmacogenomic test results for patients with cancer is particularly complex because both germline and

somatic DNA alterations could inform therapy: somatic mutations can be used to select a targeted therapeutic agent whereas germline genetic variation can highlight possible risk of toxicity or inefficacy of therapy. An approach, for clinical pharmacogenomic testing in oncology is to perform testing on cancer patients as part of precision genomics initiatives/clinics and then discuss test results during molecular tumor boards. Molecular tumor boards are forums through which interprofessional teams discuss and interpret genomic test results and make treatment recommendations. If a clinical genetics testing laboratory with the ability to perform pharmacogenomic tests is aligned with a molecular tumor board, testing can be performed and results can be used to assess a cancer patients' risk of toxicity or treatment inefficacy when decisions are made about which cytotoxic agents will be preferred. If a clinical testing laboratory is in proximity and its services are integrated into a molecular tumor board, there may be added benefits such as shorter turn-around-time and, in some cases, genotyping prior to therapy selection instead of reactive genotyping. This approach along with the provided pharmacogenetic testing methods for genetic variants in ABCB1, CBR3, COMT, CYP3A7, C8ORF34, FCGR2A, FCGR3A, HAS3, NT5C2, NUDT15, SBF2, SEMA3C, SLC16A5, SLC28A3, SOD2, TLR4, and TPMT have the potential to better understand a patient's risk of toxicity or treatment inefficacy for oncology medications such as taxanes, anthracyclines, platinum agents, trastuzumab, rituximab, and 5-hydroxytriptamine-3 receptor antagonists.

Acknowledgements

M.S., W.M.S., V.M.P., and T.C.S wrote the manuscript. M.S., W.M.S., V.M.P., E.B.M., P.J.K., B.P.S., and T.C.S designed the research. M.S., W.M.S., V.M.P., and E.B.M. performed the research and analyzed the data. B.P.S. and F.S. provided additional control DNA samples.

References

- Amstutz U, Henricks LM, Offer SM, Barbarino J, Schellens JHM, Swen JJ, Klein TE, McLeod HL, Caudle KE, Diasio RB, Schwab M: Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) Guideline for Dihydropyrimidine Dehydrogenase Genotype and Fluoropyrimidine Dosing: 2017 Update. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2018, 103:210-216.
- Pratt VM, Zehnbauer B, Wilson JA, Baak R, Babic N, Bettinotti M, Buller A, Butz K, Campbell M, Civalier C, El-Badry A, Farkas DH, Lyon E, Mandal S, McKinney J, Muralidharan K, Noll L, Sander T, Shabbeer J, Smith C, Telatar M, Toji L, Vairavan A, Vance C, Weck KE, Wu AH, Yeo KT, Zeller M, Kalman L: Characterization of 107 genomic DNA reference materials for CYP2D6, CYP2C19, CYP2C9, VKORC1, and UGT1A1: a GeT-RM and Association for Molecular Pathology collaborative project. J Mol Diagn 2010, 12:835-846.
- 3. Pratt VM, Everts RE, Aggarwal P, Beyer BN, Broeckel U, Epstein-Baak R, Hujsak P, Kornreich R, Liao J, Lorier R, Scott SA, Smith CH, Toji LH, Turner A, Kalman LV: Characterization of 137 Genomic DNA Reference Materials for 28 Pharmacogenetic Genes: A GeT-RM Collaborative Project. J Mol Diagn 2016, 18:109-123.
- 4. He H, Yin JY, Xu YJ, Li X, Zhang Y, Liu ZG, Zhou F, Zhai M, Li Y, Li XP, Wang Y, Zhou HH, Liu ZQ: Association of ABCB1 polymorphisms with the efficacy of ondansetron in chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. Clin Ther 2014, 36:1242-1252 e1242.
- 5. Babaoglu MO, Bayar B, Aynacioglu AS, Kerb R, Abali H, Celik I, Bozkurt A: Association of the ABCB1 3435C>T polymorphism with antiemetic efficacy of 5-hydroxytryptamine type 3 antagonists. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2005, 78:619-626.
- 6. Zoto T, Kilickap S, Yasar U, Celik I, Bozkurt A, Babaoglu MO: Improved anti-emetic efficacy of 5-HT3 receptor antagonists in cancer patients with genetic polymorphisms of ABCB1 (MDR1) drug transporter. Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol 2015, 116:354-360.
- 7. Blanco JG, Sun CL, Landier W, Chen L, Esparza-Duran D, Leisenring W, Mays A, Friedman DL, Ginsberg JP, Hudson MM, Neglia JP, Oeffinger KC, Ritchey AK, Villaluna D, Relling MV, Bhatia S: Anthracycline-related cardiomyopathy after childhood cancer: role of polymorphisms in carbonyl reductase genes--a report from the Children's Oncology Group. J Clin Oncol 2012, 30:1415-1421.
- 8. Blanco JG, Leisenring WM, Gonzalez-Covarrubias VM, Kawashima TI, Davies SM, Relling MV, Robison LL, Sklar CA, Stovall M, Bhatia S: Genetic polymorphisms in the carbonyl reductase 3 gene CBR3 and the NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase 1 gene NQO1 in patients who developed anthracycline-related congestive heart failure after childhood cancer. Cancer 2008, 112:2789-2795.
- Visscher H, Rassekh SR, Sandor GS, Caron HN, van Dalen EC, Kremer LC, van der Pal HJ, Rogers PC, Rieder MJ, Carleton BC, Hayden MR, Ross CJ, consortium C: Genetic variants in SLC22A17 and SLC22A7 are associated with anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity in children. Pharmacogenomics 2015, 16:1065-1076.
- Wang X, Liu W, Sun CL, Armenian SH, Hakonarson H, Hageman L, Ding Y, Landier W, Blanco JG, Chen L, Quinones A, Ferguson D, Winick N, Ginsberg JP, Keller F, Neglia JP, Desai S, Sklar CA, Castellino SM, Cherrick I, Dreyer ZE, Hudson MM, Robison LL, Yasui Y, Relling MV, Bhatia S: Hyaluronan synthase 3 variant and anthracycline-related cardiomyopathy: a report from the children's oncology group. J Clin Oncol 2014, 32:647-653.
- 11. Visscher H, Ross CJ, Rassekh SR, Sandor GS, Caron HN, van Dalen EC, Kremer LC, van der Pal HJ, Rogers PC, Rieder MJ, Carleton BC, Hayden MR, Consortium C: Validation of variants in SLC28A3 and UGT1A6 as genetic markers predictive of anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity in children. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2013, 60:1375-1381.
- 12. Visscher H, Ross CJ, Rassekh SR, Barhdadi A, Dube MP, Al-Saloos H, Sandor GS, Caron HN, van Dalen EC, Kremer LC, van der Pal HJ, Brown AM, Rogers PC, Phillips MS, Rieder MJ, Carleton BC, Hayden MR, Canadian Pharmacogenomics Network for Drug Safety C:

Pharmacogenomic prediction of anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity in children. J Clin Oncol 2012, 30:1422-1428.

- 13. Tanaka M, Javle M, Dong X, Eng C, Abbruzzese JL, Li D: Gemcitabine metabolic and transporter gene polymorphisms are associated with drug toxicity and efficacy in patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer. Cancer 2010, 116:5325-5335.
- 14. Reichwagen A, Ziepert M, Kreuz M, Godtel-Armbrust U, Rixecker T, Poeschel V, Reza Toliat M, Nurnberg P, Tzvetkov M, Deng S, Trumper L, Hasenfuss G, Pfreundschuh M, Wojnowski L: Association of NADPH oxidase polymorphisms with anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity in the RICOVER-60 trial of patients with aggressive CD20(+) B-cell lymphoma. Pharmacogenomics 2015, 16:361-372.
- 15. Hertz DL, Caram MV, Kidwell KM, Thibert JN, Gersch C, Seewald NJ, Smerage J, Rubenfire M, Henry NL, Cooney KA, Leja M, Griggs JJ, Rae JM: Evidence for association of SNPs in ABCB1 and CBR3, but not RAC2, NCF4, SLC28A3 or TOP2B, with chronic cardiotoxicity in a cohort of breast cancer patients treated with anthracyclines. Pharmacogenomics 2016, 17:231-240.
- 16. Serie DJ, Crook JE, Necela BM, Dockter TJ, Wang X, Asmann YW, Fairweather D, Bruno KA, Colon-Otero G, Perez EA, Thompson EA, Norton N: Genome-wide association study of cardiotoxicity in the NCCTG N9831 (Alliance) adjuvant trastuzumab trial. Pharmacogenet Genomics 2017, 27:378-385.
- 17. Ross CJ, Katzov-Eckert H, Dube MP, Brooks B, Rassekh SR, Barhdadi A, Feroz-Zada Y, Visscher H, Brown AM, Rieder MJ, Rogers PC, Phillips MS, Carleton BC, Hayden MR, Consortium C: Genetic variants in TPMT and COMT are associated with hearing loss in children receiving cisplatin chemotherapy. Nat Genet 2009, 41:1345-1349.
- 18. Pussegoda K, Ross CJ, Visscher H, Yazdanpanah M, Brooks B, Rassekh SR, Zada YF, Dube MP, Carleton BC, Hayden MR, Consortium C: Replication of TPMT and ABCC3 genetic variants highly associated with cisplatin-induced hearing loss in children. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2013, 94:243-251.
- 19. Yang JJ, Lim JY, Huang J, Bass J, Wu J, Wang C, Fang J, Stewart E, Harstead EH, E S, Robinson GW, Evans WE, Pappo A, Zuo J, Relling MV, Onar-Thomas A, Gajjar A, Stewart CF: The role of inherited TPMT and COMT genetic variation in cisplatin-induced ototoxicity in children with cancer. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2013, 94:252-259.
- 20. Thiesen S, Yin P, Jorgensen AL, Zhang JE, Manzo V, McEvoy L, Barton C, Picton S, Bailey S, Brock P, Vyas H, Walker D, Makin G, Bandi S, Pizer B, Hawcutt DB, Pirmohamed M: TPMT, COMT and ACYP2 genetic variants in paediatric cancer patients with cisplatin-induced ototoxicity. Pharmacogenet Genomics 2017, 27:213-222.
- 21. Lanvers-Kaminsky C, Malath I, Deuster D, Ciarimboli G, Boos J, Am Zehnhoff-Dinnesen AG: Evaluation of pharmacogenetic markers to predict the risk of Cisplatin-induced ototoxicity. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2014, 96:156-157.
- 22. Hagleitner MM, Coenen MJ, Patino-Garcia A, de Bont ES, Gonzalez-Neira A, Vos HI, van Leeuwen FN, Gelderblom H, Hoogerbrugge PM, Guchelaar HJ, Te Loo MW: Influence of genetic variants in TPMT and COMT associated with cisplatin induced hearing loss in patients with cancer: two new cohorts and a meta-analysis reveal significant heterogeneity between cohorts. PLoS One 2014, 9:e115869.
- 23. Drogemoller BI, Monzon JG, Bhavsar AP, Borrie AE, Brooks B, Wright GEB, Liu G, Renouf DJ, Kollmannsberger CK, Bedard PL, Aminkeng F, Amstutz U, Hildebrand CA, Gunaretnam EP, Critchley C, Chen Z, Brunham LR, Hayden MR, Ross CJD, Gelmon KA, Carleton BC: Association Between SLC16A5 Genetic Variation and Cisplatin-Induced Ototoxic Effects in Adult Patients With Testicular Cancer. JAMA Oncol 2017, 3:1558-1562.
- 24. Johnson N, De Ieso P, Migliorini G, Orr N, Broderick P, Catovsky D, Matakidou A, Eisen T, Goldsmith C, Dudbridge F, Peto J, Dos-Santos-Silva I, Ashworth A, Ross G, Houlston RS, Fletcher O: Cytochrome P450 Allele CYP3A7*1C Associates with Adverse Outcomes in Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia, Breast, and Lung Cancer. Cancer Res 2016, 76:1485-1493.

- 25. Sood D, Johnson N, Jain P, Siskos AP, Bennett M, Gilham C, Busana MC, Peto J, Dos-Santos-Silva I, Keun HC, Fletcher O: CYP3A7*1C allele is associated with reduced levels of 2hydroxylation pathway oestrogen metabolites. Br J Cancer 2017, 116:382-388.
- 26. Han JY, Shin ES, Lee YS, Ghang HY, Kim SY, Hwang JA, Kim JY, Lee JS: A genome-wide association study for irinotecan-related severe toxicities in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. Pharmacogenomics J 2013, 13:417-422.
- 27. Musolino A, Naldi N, Bortesi B, Pezzuolo D, Capelletti M, Missale G, Laccabue D, Zerbini A, Camisa R, Bisagni G, Neri TM, Ardizzoni A: Immunoglobulin G fragment C receptor polymorphisms and clinical efficacy of trastuzumab-based therapy in patients with HER-2/neupositive metastatic breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2008, 26:1789-1796.
- 28. Tamura K, Shimizu C, Hojo T, Akashi-Tanaka S, Kinoshita T, Yonemori K, Kouno T, Katsumata N, Ando M, Aogi K, Koizumi F, Nishio K, Fujiwara Y: FcgammaR2A and 3A polymorphisms predict clinical outcome of trastuzumab in both neoadjuvant and metastatic settings in patients with HER2-positive breast cancer. Ann Oncol 2011, 22:1302-1307.
- 29. Gavin PG, Song N, Kim SR, Lipchik C, Johnson NL, Bandos H, Finnigan M, Rastogi P, Fehrenbacher L, Mamounas EP, Swain SM, Wickerham DL, Geyer CE, Jr., Jeong JH, Costantino JP, Wolmark N, Paik S, Pogue-Geile KL: Association of Polymorphisms in FCGR2A and FCGR3A With Degree of Trastuzumab Benefit in the Adjuvant Treatment of ERBB2/HER2-Positive Breast Cancer: Analysis of the NSABP B-31 Trial. JAMA Oncol 2017, 3:335-341.
- 30. Weng WK, Levy R: Two immunoglobulin G fragment C receptor polymorphisms independently predict response to rituximab in patients with follicular lymphoma. J Clin Oncol 2003, 21:3940-3947.
- 31. Nishio M, Endo T, Fujimoto K, Yamamoto S, Obara M, Yamaguchi K, Takeda Y, Goto H, Kasahara I, Sato N, Koike T: FCGR3A-158V/F polymorphism may correlate with the levels of immunoglobulin in patients with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma after rituximab treatment as an adjuvant to autologous stem cell transplantation. Eur J Haematol 2009, 82:143-147.
- 32. Kim DH, Jung HD, Kim JG, Lee JJ, Yang DH, Park YH, Do YR, Shin HJ, Kim MK, Hyun MS, Sohn SK: FCGR3A gene polymorphisms may correlate with response to frontline R-CHOP therapy for diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Blood 2006, 108:2720-2725.
- 33. Ahlgrimm M, Pfreundschuh M, Kreuz M, Regitz E, Preuss KD, Bittenbring J: The impact of Fcgamma receptor polymorphisms in elderly patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with CHOP with or without rituximab. Blood 2011, 118:4657-4662.
- 34. Quartuccio L, Fabris M, Pontarini E, Salvin S, Zabotti A, Benucci M, Manfredi M, Biasi D, Ravagnani V, Atzeni F, Sarzi-Puttini P, Morassi P, Fischetti F, Tomietto P, Bazzichi L, Saracco M, Pellerito R, Cimmino M, Schiavon F, Carraro V, Semeraro A, Caporali R, Cavagna L, Bortolotti R, Paolazzi G, Govoni M, Bombardieri S, De Vita S: The 158VV Fcgamma receptor 3A genotype is associated with response to rituximab in rheumatoid arthritis: results of an Italian multicentre study. Ann Rheum Dis 2014, 73:716-721.
- 35. Kim SH, Jeong IH, Hyun JW, Joung A, Jo HJ, Hwang SH, Yun S, Joo J, Kim HJ: Treatment Outcomes With Rituximab in 100 Patients With Neuromyelitis Optica: Influence of FCGR3A Polymorphisms on the Therapeutic Response to Rituximab. JAMA Neurol 2015, 72:989-995.
- 36. Mitra AK, Kirstein MN, Khatri A, Skubitz KM, Dudek AZ, Greeno EW, Kratzke RA, Lamba JK: Pathway-based pharmacogenomics of gemcitabine pharmacokinetics in patients with solid tumors. Pharmacogenomics 2012, 13:1009-1021.
- 37. Khatri A, Williams BW, Fisher J, Brundage RC, Gurvich VJ, Lis LG, Skubitz KM, Dudek AZ, Greeno EW, Kratzke RA, Lamba JK, Kirstein MN: SLC28A3 genotype and gemcitabine rate of infusion affect dFdCTP metabolite disposition in patients with solid tumours. Br J Cancer 2014, 110:304-312.
- 38. Schneider BP, Lai D, Shen F, Jiang G, Radovich M, Li L, Gardner L, Miller KD, O'Neill A, Sparano JA, Xue G, Foroud T, Sledge GW, Jr.: Charcot-Marie-Tooth gene, SBF2, associated

with taxane-induced peripheral neuropathy in African Americans. Oncotarget 2016, 7:82244-82253.

- 39. Kooloos WM, Wessels JA, van der Straaten T, Allaart CF, Huizinga TW, Guchelaar HJ: Functional polymorphisms and methotrexate treatment outcome in recent-onset rheumatoid arthritis. Pharmacogenomics 2010, 11:163-175.
- 40. Rozen S, Skaletsky H: Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. Methods Mol Biol 2000, 132:365-386.
- 41. Swart M, Ren Y, Smith P, Dandara C: ABCB1 4036A>G and 1236C>T Polymorphisms Affect Plasma Efavirenz Levels in South African HIV/AIDS Patients. Front Genet 2012, 3:236.
- 42. Rhodes KE, Zhang W, Yang D, Press OA, Gordon M, Vallbohmer D, Schultheis AM, Lurje G, Ladner RD, Fazzone W, Iqbal S, Lenz HJ: ABCB1, SLCO1B1 and UGT1A1 gene polymorphisms are associated with toxicity in metastatic colorectal cancer patients treated with first-line irinotecan. Drug Metab Lett 2007, 1:23-30.
- 43. Genomes Project C, Auton A, Brooks LD, Durbin RM, Garrison EP, Kang HM, Korbel JO, Marchini JL, McCarthy S, McVean GA, Abecasis GR: A global reference for human genetic variation. Nature 2015, 526:68-74.
- 44. Lek M, Karczewski KJ, Minikel EV, Samocha KE, Banks E, Fennell T, O'Donnell-Luria AH, Ware JS, Hill AJ, Cummings BB, Tukiainen T, Birnbaum DP, Kosmicki JA, Duncan LE, Estrada K, Zhao F, Zou J, Pierce-Hoffman E, Berghout J, Cooper DN, Deflaux N, DePristo M, Do R, Flannick J, Fromer M, Gauthier L, Goldstein J, Gupta N, Howrigan D, Kiezun A, Kurki MI, Moonshine AL, Natarajan P, Orozco L, Peloso GM, Poplin R, Rivas MA, Ruano-Rubio V, Rose SA, Ruderfer DM, Shakir K, Stenson PD, Stevens C, Thomas BP, Tiao G, Tusie-Luna MT, Weisburd B, Won HH, Yu D, Altshuler DM, Ardissino D, Boehnke M, Danesh J, Donnelly S, Elosua R, Florez JC, Gabriel SB, Getz G, Glatt SJ, Hultman CM, Kathiresan S, Laakso M, McCarroll S, McCarthy MI, McGovern D, McPherson R, Neale BM, Palotie A, Purcell SM, Saleheen D, Scharf JM, Sklar P, Sullivan PF, Tuomilehto J, Tsuang MT, Watkins HC, Wilson JG, Daly MJ, MacArthur DG, Exome Aggregation C: Analysis of protein-coding genetic variation in 60,706 humans. Nature 2016, 536:285-291.
- 45. Watson MS, Cutting GR, Desnick RJ, Driscoll DA, Klinger K, Mennuti M, Palomaki GE, Popovich BW, Pratt VM, Rohlfs EM, Strom CM, Richards CS, Witt DR, Grody WW: Cystic fibrosis population carrier screening: 2004 revision of American College of Medical Genetics mutation panel. Genet Med 2004, 6:387-391.
- 46. Yang Y, Botton MR, Scott ER, Scott SA: Sequencing the CYP2D6 gene: from variant allele discovery to clinical pharmacogenetic testing. Pharmacogenomics 2017, 18:673-685.
- 47. Brown NM, Pratt VM, Buller A, Pike-Buchanan L, Redman JB, Sun W, Chen R, Crossley B, McGinniss MJ, Quan F, Strom CM: Detection of 677CT/1298AC "double variant" chromosomes: implications for interpretation of MTHFR genotyping results. Genet Med 2005, 7:278-282.

Gene and rs number	Genotypes	Description and References	Relevant Population	PharmGKB Level of Evidence†	CPIC Level of Evidence†	Medications with suggested testing in FDA label
ABCB1 rs1045642 ABCB1 rs1128503	T/T T/T	More likely to experience efficacy and achieve complete control with ondansetron		2A	C/D	
ABCB1 rs2032582	T/T	treatment in postoperative or chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting ⁴⁻⁶		2A		
CBR3 rs1056892	G/G	Increased risk of anthracycline-induced cardiomyopathy or reduced ejection fraction if cumulative anthracycline exposure is 1 to 250mg/m ² ^{7.9}	Pediatric - Caucasian/European	28	D	
COMT rs4646316 and rs9332377	rs4646316T/T and rs9332377C/C	Decreased risk of cisplatin-induced hearing impairment ¹⁷⁻²²	Pediatric - Caucasian/European	3	C/D	
CYP3A7 rs45446698 (*1C)	*1/*1 and *1/*1C	Lower urinary unconjugated estrogen metabolite levels and increased risk of mortality if treated with CYP3A substrates ^{24,25}	Caucasian/European breast and lung cancer patients			
C80RF34	G/C and C/C	Increased risk of irinotecan-induced grade	Asian advanced non-small-	2B	D	
FCG R2A rs1801274	<i>G/G</i> and <i>A/G</i>	Increased risk of stable or progressive disease and more likely to have shorter progression-free survival following treatment of HER2 + breast cancer with trastuzumab-based therapy ²⁷⁻²⁹ Increased risk of stable or progressive disease and more likely to have shorter progression-free survival following treatment of lymphoma with rituximab- based therapy ³⁰		2B		
FCGR3A rs396991	C/C	Decreased risk of stable or progressive disease and more likely to have longer progression-free survival following treatment of HER2 ⁺ metastatic breast cancer with trastuzumab-based therapy ²⁷⁻ ²⁹ Decreased risk of stable or progressive disease and more likely to have longer progression-free survival following treatment of lymphoma with rituximab- based therapy ³⁰⁻³⁵		2B	D	
HAS3 rs2232228	A/A and A/G	Increased risk of anthracycline-induced cardiomyopathy or reduced ejection fraction if cumulative anthracycline exposure is 1 to 450mg/m ² ¹⁰	Pediatric - Caucasian/European	2 B	D	
NT5C2 rs11598702	A/A	Decreased clearance of intravenous gemcitabine ^{36, 37}	Caucasian solid tumor patients	2B	D	

Table 1: Selected germline genetic variants and genotypes related to oncology therapy.

NUDT15 rs116855232 and rs186364861 (*3 and *5)	*1/*3, *1/*5, *3/*3, *5/*5, and *3/*5	Increased risk of thiopurine-induced toxicity, including early leukopenia, neutropenia, alopecia totalis, pancytopenia, and treatment discontinuation		1B	A/B	Mercaptopurine and Thioguanine
SBF2 rs7102464	T/T and C/T					
SBF2 rs146987383	C/C and G/C					
SBF2 rs141368249	A/A and G/A	Increased risk of taxane-induced	African American			
SBF2 rs117957652	<i>C</i> / <i>C</i> and <i>G</i> / <i>C</i>	peripheral neuropathy				
SBF2 rs149501654	G/G and C/G					
SEMA3C rs7779029	C/C and T/C	Increased risk of irinotecan-induced severe	Advanced non-small-cell	2B	D	
SEMA3C rs11979430	T/T and C/T	neutropenia ²⁶	lung cancer patients			
SLC16A5 rs4788863	T/T and T/C	Decreased risk of cisplatin-induced hearing impairment	Caucasian/European testicular cancer patients	3		
SLC28A3 rs885004	A/A and G/A	Decreased risk of anthracycline-induced	Pediatric -	2B	D	
SLC28A3 rs7853758	A/A and G/A	fraction ¹¹⁻¹⁶	Caucasian/European			
SOD2 rs4880	<i>C/C</i>	Increased risk of asparaginase-induced hepatotoxicity	Hispanic or Caucasian/European acute lymphoblastic leukemia patients	3	D	
TLR4 rs4986790	G/G and A/G	Increased risk of methotrexate-induced gastrointestinal (nausea, vomiting, diarrhea and constipation), liver (elevated liver enzymes), pneumonitis, and skin and mucosal adverse events ³⁹		3		
TPMT rs12201199	T/A and A/A	Increased risk of cisplatin-induced hearing impairment	Pediatric – Caucasian/European	3		Cisplatin

[†]Several of these genetic variants have not been reviewed by PharmGKB or CPIC and have not been assigned a level of evidence.

Gene	rs number	TagMan Assay ID	Intra assav	Inter assav	Verified by	Accuracy	Robustness [†]	Analytical	Analytical
		1	concordance	concordance	Sanger			sensitivity	specificity
					sequencing			·	1 1
ABCB1	rs1045642	C 7586657 20	100% (12	100% (18	yes	100% (12 samples)	ves	100% (95%	100% (95%
	rs1128503	C 7586662 10	samples in	samples in	5		5	CI; 93-100)	CI; 83-100)
	rs2032582	$C_{11711720}C_{30}$ and	triplicate)	triplicate)					
		C_11711720D_40	1 /	1 /					
CBR3	rs1056892	C9483603_10	100% (14	100% (18	yes	100% (11 samples)	yes	100% (95%	100% (95%
			samples in	samples in	•		•	CI; 68-100)	CI; 78-100)
			triplicate)	triplicate)					
COMT	rs4646316	C_29193982_10	100% (14	100% (18	yes	100% (11 samples)	yes	100% (95%	100% (95%
	rs9332377	C_29614343_10	samples in	samples in	•		•	CI; 77-100)	CI; 89-100)
			triplicate)	triplicate)					
СҮРЗА7	rs45446698	C30634320_10	100% (14	100% (18	no	100% (96 samples)	yes	100% (95%	100% (95%
	(* <i>1C</i>)		samples in	samples in			•	CI; 51-100)	CI; 98-100)
			triplicate)	triplicate)					
C8orf34	rs1517114	C8341581_20	100% (7 samples	100% (7 samples	yes	100% (16 samples)	yes	100% (95%	100% (95%
			in triplicate)	in triplicate)			•	CI; 86-100)	CI; 68-100)
FCGR2A	rs1801274	C9077561_20	100% (14	100% (18	yes	100% (9 samples)	yes	100% (95%	100% (95%
			samples in	samples in		-	-	CI; 70-100)	CI; 70-100)
			triplicate)	triplicate)					
FCGR3A	rs396991	C_25815666_10	100% (14	100% (18	yes	100% (10 samples)	yes	100% (95%	100% (95%
			samples in	samples in				CI; 72-100)	CI; 90-100)
			triplicate)	triplicate)					
HAS3	rs2232228	C3283947_1_	100% (14	100% (18	yes	100% (12 samples)	yes	100% (95%	100% (95%
			samples in	samples in				CI; 68-100)	CI; 81-100)
			triplicate)	triplicate)					
NT5C2	rs11598702	C_11196884_20	100% (7 samples	100% (7 samples	yes	100% (16 samples)	yes	100% (95%	100% (95%
			in triplicate)	in triplicate)				CI; 61-100)	CI; 87-100)
NUDT15	rs116855232	C_154823200_10	100% (7 samples	100% (7 samples	yes	100% (24 samples)	yes	100% (95%	100% (95%
	(*3)		in triplicate)	in triplicate)				CI; 65-100)	CI; 96-100)
	rs186364861	C_181955856_10							
	(*5)								
SBF2	rs7102464	C_29019176_10	100% (14	100% (18	yes	100% (12 samples and an	yes	100% (95%	100% (95%
	rs146987383	C_161447122_10	samples in	samples in		additional 9 samples from		CI; 81-100)	CI; 98-100)
	rs141368249	C_161190467_10	triplicate)	triplicate)		another laboratory)			
	rs117957652	C_152435684_10		Y					
	rs149501654	C_161562183_10							
SEMA3C	rs7779029	C334680_10	100% (14	100% (18	yes	100% (12 samples)	yes	100% (95%	100% (95%
	rs11979430	C2621121_10	samples in	samples in				CI; 78-100)	CI; 89-100)
a. a. () 5	1700060	G 15(000 10	triplicate)	triplicate)		1000/ (14 1)		1000/ (050/	1000/ /050/
SLC16A5	rs4/88803	C156080_10	100% (7 samples	100% (/ samples	yes	100% (16 samples)	yes	100% (95%	100% (95%
GI G2043	005004	G 0750 (07 10	in triplicate)	in triplicate)		1000/ (11 1)		CI; 85-100)	CI; 72-100)
SLC28A3	rs885004	$C_{2/52627_{10}}$	100% (14	100% (18	yes	100% (11 samples)	yes	100% (95%	100% (95%
	rs/853/58	C1820227_30	samples in	samples in				CI; 70-100)	CI; 90-100)
5002	4990	C 9700052 10	triplicate)	triplicate)		1000/ (8 1 -)		1000/ (050)	1000/ (0.56)
SOD2	rs4880	C8/09053_10	100% (/ samples	100% (/ samples	yes	100% (8 samples)	yes	100% (95%	100% (95%
	4006700	C 11700000 00	in triplicate)	in triplicate)		1000/ (12 1)		CI; 44-100)	CI; //-100)
ILK4	rs4980/90	C_11/22238_20	100% (14	100% (18	yes	100% (12 samples)	yes	100% (95%	100% (95%

Table 2: Assay results: intra- and inter-assay concordance, accuracy, precision, sensitivity, and specificity.

			samples in	samples in	l			CI; 21-100)	CI; 86-100)
TPMT	rs12201199	C 31923406 10	100% (7 samples	100% (7 samples	ves	100% (16 samples)	ves	100% (95%	100% (95%
			in triplicate)	in triplicate))		J	CI; 70-100)	CI; 86-100)
†Robus	ness means of	ptaining the same geno	otyping result using	g two different in	nstrumen	ts on different days and using i	nput DNA wi	ithin a concentrat	ion range of
15.4 to :	50.8ng/µL								
						<u></u>			
					Y				

Gene	rs number	HGVS nomenclature	Sequence accession number	Forward primer sequence	Reverse primer sequence	PCR annealing temperatur e (°C)	PCR product/amplico n length (bp)
ABCB1	rs1045642	<i>c.3435T>C</i> or <i>p.Ile1145</i> =	NM_000927.4 or NP_000918.2	5'-ACTCTTGTTTTCAGCTGCTTG-3'	5'- AGAGACTTACATTAGGCAGTGACTC- 31 ⁴¹	63	231
	rs1128503	<i>c.1236T>C</i> or <i>p.Gly412=</i>		5'- TGTGTCTGTGAATTGCCTTGAAG-3' 42	5'-CCTCTGCATCAGCTGGACTGT-3' 42	63	149
	rs2032582	c.2677T>G/A or p.Ser893Ala/Thr		5'- ATGGTTGGCAACTAACACTGTTA- 3' ⁴²	5'- AGCAGTAGGGAGTAACAAAATAAC A-3' ⁴²	63	208
CBR3	rs1056892	<i>c.730G>A</i> or <i>p.Val244Met</i>	NM_001236.3 or NP 001227.1	5'-CCAGGACCAGTGAAGACAGA-3'	5'-CCGAAGCAGACGTTTACCAG-3'	63	166
COMT	rs4646316	c.615+310C>T	NM 000754.3	5'-ACACGCTTCTCTTGGAGGTG-3'	5'-CTGTCTAGCCTCACTCGGG-3'	63	519
	rs9332377	c.616-367C>A/T	-	5'-GCTTGTTGATGGGAGGTCTG-3	5'-TCCCTTAGAACAGCATGTGG-3'	61	217
C8ORF3 4	rs1517114	c.736+8162C>G/T/ A	NM_052958.2	5'-CTGTGCTTTCTCGTCTTCAG-3'	5'-CAGCCTGGAACCTACCCTTG-3'	58	238
FCGR2A	rs1801274	<i>c.500A>G</i> or <i>p.His167Arg</i>	NM_001136219. 1 or NP 001129691.1	5'-CAAGCCTCTGGTCAAGGTCA-3'	5'-AAGGATTCCCCTTAGCCCCT-3'	58	663
FCGR3A	rs396991	<i>c.841T>C/G</i> or <i>p.Phe281Leu/Val</i>	NM_000569.7: or NP_000560.6	5'- CACATATTTACAGAATGGCAAAG G-3'	5'-GATTCTGGAGGCTGGTGCTACA-3'	58	969
HAS3	rs2232228	<i>c.279A>G</i> or <i>p.Ala93</i> =	NM_001199280. 1 or NP_001186209.1	5'-GTGACGGGCTACCAGTTCAT-3'	5'-CACAACCCAAGGGACCTAGA-3'	58	654
NT5C2	rs11598702	c.175+1178A>G/C	NM 012229.4	5'-GACGGGTTTATAGGTGCAGC-3'	5'-TCAATGACTTCTTGCCCAGT-3'	58	222
NUDT15	rs11685523 2 (*3)	<i>c.415C>T</i> or <i>p.Arg139Cys</i>	NM_018283.3 or NP 060753.1	5'-GCCTTTGTAAACTGGGCTTC-3'	5'-CAAATCTTCTCGGCCACCTA-3'	58	411
	rs18636486 1 (*5)	c.52G > A or p.Val18Ile	-	5'-CATTCCCCAACCTGATAGCC-3'	5'-CAACCGAGCCTTTCCTCTTC-3'	58	296
SBF2	rs7102464	<i>c.2035G>A</i> or <i>p.Glu679Lys</i>	NM_030962.3 or NP 112224.1	5'-ACAGAAACTTGCCCCTGGAG-3'	5'-ACCCAAATACACTGGCAGGA-3'	63	289
	rs14698738 3	<i>c.2050C>G</i> or <i>p.Leu684Val</i>	-	5'-ACAGAAACTTGCCCCTGGAG-3'	5'-ACCCAAATACACTGGCAGGA-3'	63	289
	rs14136824 9	<i>c.2081C>T</i> or <i>p.Ala694Val</i>		5'-ACAGAAACTTGCCCCTGGAG-3'	5'-ACCCAAATACACTGGCAGGA-3'	63	289
	rs11795765 2	<i>c.3292C>G/T</i> or <i>p.Leu1098Val/=</i>		5'- CCTGTCTTGGTGTAAGAGTCTTCT- 3'	5'-ACCTCTTTTTGGAGCCCACT-3'	63	843
	rs14950165 4	<i>c.4111G>C</i> or <i>p.Val1371Leu</i>	Υ, ΄	5'-TCTTCATCCGCAGAACTTCA-3'	5'-AGTGTGCCTTTGGTGGGTAG-3'	63	649
SEMA3C	rs7779029	c.103+13883A>G	NM_006379.3	5'-GGCTTAGGTCTCTGCCCTTT-3'	5'-GTTCCCATTTCCAGGCTCCA-3'	58	200
	rs11979430	c.103+36739G>A	—	5'-GGAAAGGGCAGACTGTGGTA-3'	5'-ACCAAACCTCTTCAGGGTGA-3'	58	383
SLC16A5	rs4788863	<i>c.121T>C</i> or <i>p.Leu81</i> =	NM_004695.3 or NP_004686.1	5'-AGGTCCCCCTGTTGACTTCT-3'	5'-TGAAATCTGGTGAAACCTTAGGA- 3'	58	725
SLC28A3	rs885004	c.862-360C>T	NM_022127.2	5'-TGTGTCTGCCATCCAGTAGG-3'	5'-CCTGGTGCTAAAAAGACATGG-3'	58	161

Table 3: Primer sequences and PCR amplification conditions for validated genetic variants before performing verification by Sanger sequencing.

r	rs7853758	c.1381C>T	or	NM_022127.2 or	5'-CCCCTGACAACTCCTTGGTA-3'	5'-CAGGGGCGTGATGTGATTAT-3'	58	239	
		p.Leu461=		NP_071410.1					
SOD2 r	rs4880	c.47T>C	or	NM_000636.3 or	5'-CTGTGCTTTCTCGTCTTCAG-3'	5'-CAGCCTGGAACCTACCCTTG-3'	58	238	
		p.Val16Ala		NP_000627.2					
TLR4 r	rs4986790	c.776A>G	or	NM_003266.3 or	5'-AGTCCATCGTTTGGTTCTGG-3'	5'-TGCCATTGAAAGCAACTCTG-3'	58	635	
		p.Asp299Gly		NP_612564.1					
TPMT r	rs12201199	c.419+94T>A		NM_000367.3	5'-GTTCTTCGGGGGAACATTTCA-3'	5'-AAGTGATTGAGCCACAAGCC-3'	58	975	

Accession numbers are available from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/snp, last accessed 2/1/2019.

JIZO19.

Gene and rs number	HGVS nomenclature	Genotype	Ν	Genotype frequencies	
ABCB1 rs1045642	c.3435T>C or p.Ile1145=	T/T	34	0.18	
		T/C	84	0.44	
		C/C	71	0.38	
ABCB1 rs1128503	<i>c.1236T>C or p.Gly412=</i>	T/T	28	0.15	
		T/C	88	0.47	
		C/C	73	0.39	
ABCB1 rs2032582	c.2677T>G/A or p.Ser893Ala/Thr	T/T	33	0.17	
		T/G	70	0.37	
CDD2 105(902	72000 4 12 12 4 44 4	G/G	86	0.46	
CBR3 rs1030892	c./30G>A or p.vai244Met	G/G	101	0.34	
		G/A	22	0.55	
COMT rs4646316	c615+310C>T	A/A C/C	117	0.12	
COM1754040510	2.015+510€>1	C/T	57	0.02	
		C/1 T/T	15	0.08	
COMT rs9332377	c.616-367C>A/T	C/C	125	0.66	
		C/T	59	0.31	
		T/T	5	0.03	
CYP3A7 rs45446698 (*1C)	<i>c232A>C</i>	A/A	184	0.97	
		A/C	4	0.02	
		C/C	1	0.01	
C8orf34 rs1517114	c.736+8162C>G/T/A	C/C	22	0.12	
		C/G	81	0.43	
		G/G	86	0.46	
FCGR2A rs1801274	c.500A>G or p.His167Arg	A/A	59	0.31	
		A/G	94	0.50	
EGGDAL ANGOAL		G/G	36	0.19	
FCGR3A rs396991	c.8411>C/G or p.Phe281Leu/Val	1/1	78	0.41	
		1/G	94	0.50	
114 52	2704 C = 41-02	G/G	01	0.09	
HA33 F\$2232228	c.279A>G or p.Ala95	A/A	01 97	0.45	
		A/O G/G	21	0.11	
NT5C2 rs11598702	c.175+1178A>G/C	A/A	102	0.54	
1115 02 1511570702		A/G	75	0.40	
		G/G	12	0.06	
NUDT15 rs116855232 (*3)	c.415C>T or p.Arg139Cvs	C/C	183	0.97	
	1 0 5	C/T	6	0.03	
		T/T	0	0.00	
NUDT15 rs186364861 (*5)	c.52G>A or p.Val18Ile	G/G	188	0.99	
		G/A	1	0.01	
		A/A	0	0.00	
SBF2 rs7102464	c.2035G>A or p.Glu679Lys	G/G	168	0.89	
		G/A	18	0.10	
		A/A	3	0.01	
SBF2 rs146987383	c.2050C>G or p.Leu684Val	<i>C/C</i>	0	0.00	
		C/G	0	0.00	
SDF2	2001C T AL 6041/ 1	G/G	189	1.00	
SBF2 F\$141308249	c.2081C>1 or p.Ala094 val	C/C	4	0.02	
		C/1 T/T	18/	0.01	
SRF2 rs117057652	c 3202C \ G/T or p L au 1008Val/-		0	0.00	
55121311/95/052	-0.5272070/1 0/ p.Leu1070VUV-	C/G	3	0.02	
		G/G	186	0.98	
SBF2 rs149501654	c.4111G>C or p.Val1371Leu	G/G	0	0.00	
		G/C	0	0.00	
		C/C	189	1.00	
SEMA3C rs7779029	c.103+13883A>G	A/A	134	0.71	
		A/G	44	0.23	
		G/G	11	0.06	
SEMA3C rs11979430	c.103+36739G>A	G/G	143	0.76	
		G/A	39	0.21	
		A/A	7	0.03	
SLC16A5 rs4788863	c.121T > C or p.Leu 81 =	T/T	24	0.13	

Table 4: Genotype frequencies for validated genetic variants.

		<i>T/C</i>	71	0.38	
SI C2813 re885001	c 862 360C>T	<u> </u>	94	0.49	
SLC20AJ 1800J004	c.802-500C>1	C/C C/T	44	0.70	
		T/T	2	0.01	
SLC28A3 rs7853758	c.1381C>T or p.Leu461=	C/C	123	0.65	
		C/T	56	0.30	
GOD2 (000		<u>T/T</u>	10	0.05	
SOD2 rs4880	c.4/1>C or p.Val16Ala	1/1 T/C	86 62	0.46	
		1/C C/C	41	0.33	
TLR4 rs4986790	c.776A>G or p.Asp299Gly	A/A	176	0.93	
		A/G	13	0.07	
		G/G	0	0.00	
TPMT rs12201199	c.419+94T>A	T/T T/A	18	0.10	
		1/A A/A	20 143	0.14	
		A/A	143	0.76	