Centrality Dependence of High- p_T Hadron Suppression in Au + Au Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 130$ GeV

C. Adler,¹¹ Z. Ahammed,²³ C. Allgower,¹² J. Amonett,¹⁴ B. D. Anderson,¹⁴ M. Anderson,⁵ G. S. Averichev,⁹ J. Balewski,¹² O. Barannikova,^{9,23} L. S. Barnby,¹⁴ J. Baudot,¹³ S. Bekele,²⁰ V.V. Belaga,⁹ R. Bellwied,³¹ J. Berger,¹¹ H. Bichsel,³⁰ A. Billmeier,³¹ L. C. Bland,² C. O. Blyth,³ B. E. Bonner,²⁴ A. Boucham,²⁶ A. Brandin,¹⁸ A. Bravar,² R.V. Cadman,¹ H. Caines,³³ M. Calderón de la Barca Sánchez,² A. Cardenas,²³ J. Carroll,¹⁵ J. Castillo,²⁶ M. Castro,³¹ D. Cebra,⁵ P. Chaloupka,²⁰ S. Chattopadhyay,³¹ Y. Chen,⁶ S. P. Chernenko,⁹ M. Cherney,⁸ A. Chikanian,³³ B. Choi,²⁸ W. Christie,² J. P. Coffin,¹³ T. M. Cormier,³¹ J. G. Cramer,³⁰ H. J. Crawford,⁴ W. S. Deng,² A. A. Derevschikov,²² L. Didenko,² T. Dietel,¹¹ J. E. Draper,⁵ V. B. Dunin,⁹ J. C. Dunlop,³³ V. Eckardt,¹⁶ L. G. Efimov,⁹ V. Emelianov,¹⁸ J. Engelage,⁴ G. Eppley,²⁴ B. Erazmus,²⁶ P. Fachini,² V. Faine,² J. Faivre,¹³ K. Filimonov,¹⁵ E. Finch,³³ Y. Fisyak,² D. Flierl,¹¹ K. J. Foley,² J. Fu,^{15,32} C. A. Gagliardi,²⁷ N. Gagunashvili,⁹ J. Gans,³³ L. Gaudichet,²⁶ M. Germain,¹³ F. Geurts,²⁴ V. Ghazikhanian,⁶ O. Grachov,³¹ V. Grigoriev,¹⁸ M. Guedon,¹³ E. Gushin,¹⁸ T. J. Hallman,² D. Hardtke,¹⁵ J.W. Harris,³³ T.W. Henry,²⁷ S. Heppelmann,²¹ T. Herston,²³ B. Hippolyte,¹³ A. Hirsch,²³ E. Hjort,¹⁵ G.W. Hoffmann,²⁸ M. Horsley,³³ H. Z. Huang,⁶ T. J. Humanic,²⁰ G. Igo,⁶ A. Ishihara,²⁸ Yu. I. Ivanshin,¹⁰ P. Jacobs,¹⁵ W.W. Jacobs,¹² M. Janik,²⁹ I. Johnson,¹⁵ P.G. Jones,³ E.G. Judd,⁴ M. Kaneta,¹⁵ M. Kaplan,⁷ D. Keane,¹⁴ J. Kiryluk,⁶ A. Kisiel,²⁹ J. Klay,¹⁵ S. R. Klein,¹⁵ A. Klyachko,¹² A. S. Konstantinov,²² M. Kopytine,¹⁴ L. Kotchenda,¹⁸ A. D. Kovalenko,⁹ M. Kramer,¹⁹ P. Kravtsov,¹⁸ K. Krueger,¹ C. Kuhn,¹³ A. I. Kulikov,⁹ G. J. Kunde,³³ C. L. Kunz,⁷ R. Kh. Kutuev,¹⁰ A. A. Kuznetsov,⁹ L. Lakehal-Ayat,²⁶ M. A. C. Lamont,³ J. M. Landgraf,² S. Lange,¹¹ C. P. Lansdell,²⁸ B. Lasiuk,³³ F. Laue,² J. Lauret,² A. Lebedev,² R. Lednický,⁹ V. M. Leontiev,²² M. J. LeVine,² Q. Li,³¹ S. J. Lindenbaum,¹⁹ M. A. Lisa,²⁰ F. Liu,³² L. Liu,³² Z. Liu,³² Q. J. Liu,³⁰ T. Ljubicic,² W. J. Llope,²⁴ G. LoCurto,¹⁶ H. Long,⁶ R. S. Longacre,² M. Lopez-Noriega,²⁰ W. A. Love,² T. Ludlam,² D. Lynn,² J. Ma,⁶ R. Majka,³³ S. Margetis,¹⁴ C. Markert,³³ L. Martin,²⁶ J. Marx,¹⁵ H. S. Matis,¹⁵ Yu. A. Matulenko,²² T. S. McShane,⁸ F. Meissner,¹⁵ Yu. Melnick,²² A. Meschanin,²² M. Messer,² M. L. Miller,³³ Z. Milosevich,⁷ N. G. Minaev,²² J. Mitchell,²⁴ V. A. Moiseenko,¹⁰ C. F. Moore,²⁸ V. Morozov,¹⁵ M. M. de Moura,³¹ M. G. Munhoz,²⁵ J. M. Nelson,³ P. Nevski,² V. A. Nikitin,¹⁰ L.V. Nogach,²² B. Norman,¹⁴ S. B. Nurushev,²² G. Odyniec,¹⁵ A. Ogawa,²¹ V. Okorokov,¹⁸ M. Oldenburg,¹⁶ D. Olson,¹⁵ G. Paic,²⁰ S. U. Pandey,³¹ Y. Panebratsev,⁹ S. Y. Panitkin,² A. I. Pavlinov,³¹ T. Pawlak,²⁹ V. Perevoztchikov,² W. Peryt,²⁹ V. A Petrov,¹⁰ M. Planinic,¹² J. Pluta,²⁹ N. Porile,²³ J. Porter,² A. M. Poskanzer,¹⁵ E. Potrebenikova,⁹ D. Prindle,³⁰ C. Pruneau,³¹ J. Putschke, ¹⁶ G. Rai, ¹⁵ G. Rakness, ¹² O. Ravel, ²⁶ R. L. Ray, ²⁸ S.V. Razin, ^{9,12} D. Reichhold, ⁸ J. G. Reid, ³⁰ G. Renault, ²⁶ F. Retiere,¹⁵ A. Ridiger,¹⁸ H. G. Ritter,¹⁵ J. B. Roberts,²⁴ O.V. Rogachevski,⁹ J. L. Romero,⁵ A. Rose,³¹ C. Roy,²⁶ V. Rykov,³¹ I. Sakrejda,¹⁵ S. Salur,³³ J. Sandweiss,³³ A. C. Saulys,² I. Savin,¹⁰ J. Schambach,²⁸ R. P. Scharenberg,²³ N. Schmitz,¹⁶ L. S. Schroeder,¹⁵ A. Schüttauf,¹⁶ K. Schweda,¹⁵ J. Seger,⁸ D. Seliverstov,¹⁸ P. Seyboth,¹⁶ E. Shahaliev,⁹ K. E. Shestermanov,²² S. S. Shimanskii,⁹ V. S. Shvetcov,¹⁰ G. Skoro,⁹ N. Smirnov,³³ R. Snellings,¹⁵ P. Sorensen,⁶ J. Sowinski,¹² H. M. Spinka,¹ B. Srivastava,²³ E. J. Stephenson,¹² R. Stock,¹¹ A. Stolpovsky,³¹ M. Strikhanov,¹⁸ B. Stringfellow,²³ C. Struck,¹¹ A. A. P. Suaide,³¹ E. Sugarbaker,²⁰ C. Suire,² M. Šumbera,²⁰ B. Surrow,² T. J. M. Symons,¹⁵ A. Szanto de Toledo,²⁵ P. Szarwas,²⁹ A. Tai,⁶ J. Takahashi,²⁵ A. H. Tang,¹⁴ J. H. Thomas,¹⁵ M. Thompson,³ V. Tikhomirov,¹⁸ M. Tokarev,⁹ M. B. Tonjes,¹⁷ T. A. Trainor,³⁰ S. Trentalange,⁶ R. E. Tribble,²⁷ V. Trofimov,¹⁸ O. Tsai,⁶ T. Ullrich,² D. G. Underwood,¹ G. Van Buren,² A. M. VanderMolen,¹⁷ I. M. Vasilevski,¹⁰ A. N. Vasiliev,²² S. E. Vigdor,¹² S. A. Voloshin,³¹ F. Wang,²³ H. Ward,²⁸ J. W. Watson,¹⁴ R. Wells,²⁰ G. D. Westfall,¹⁷ C. Whitten , Jr.,⁶ H. Wieman,¹⁵ R. Willson,²⁰ S. W. Wissink,¹² R. Witt,³² J. Wood,⁶ N. Xu,¹⁵ Z. Xu,² A. E. Yakutin,²² E. Yamamoto,¹⁵ J. Yang,⁶ P. Yepes,²⁴ V. I. Yurevich,⁹ Y.V. Zanevski,⁹ I. Zborovský,⁹ H. Zhang,³³ W. M. Zhang,¹⁴ R. Zoulkarneev,¹⁰ and A. N. Zubarev⁹

(STAR Collaboration)

¹Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439
 ²Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973
 ³University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom
 ⁴University of California, Berkeley, California 94720
 ⁵University of California, Davis, California 95616
 ⁶University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095
 ⁷Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

⁸Creighton University, Omaha, Nebraska 68178 ⁹Laboratory for High Energy (JINR), Dubna, Russia ¹⁰Particle Physics Laboratory (JINR), Dubna, Russia ¹¹University of Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany ¹²Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47408 ¹³Institut de Recherches Subatomiques, Strasbourg, France ¹⁴Kent State University, Kent, Ohio 44242 ¹⁵Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California ¹⁶Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, Munich, Germany ¹⁷Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824 ¹⁸Moscow Engineering Physics Institute, Moscow Russia ¹⁹City College of New York, New York City, New York 10031 ²⁰The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210 ²¹Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802 ²²Institute of High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia ²³Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907 ²⁴Rice University, Houston, Texas 77251 ²⁵Universidade de Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil ²⁶SUBATECH, Nantes, France ²⁷Texas A & M, College Station, Texas 77843 ²⁸University of Texas, Austin, Texas 78712 ²⁹Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland ³⁰University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195 ³¹Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48201 ³²Institute of Particle Physics, Wuhan, Hubei 430079 China ³³Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520 (Received 18 June 2002; published 28 October 2002)

Inclusive transverse momentum distributions of charged hadrons within $0.2 < p_T < 6.0 \text{ GeV}/c$ have been measured over a broad range of centrality for Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 130$ GeV. Hadron yields are suppressed at high p_T in central collisions relative to peripheral collisions and to a nucleonnucleon reference scaled for collision geometry. Peripheral collisions are not suppressed relative to the nucleon-nucleon reference. The suppression varies continuously at intermediate centralities. The results indicate significant nuclear medium effects on high- p_T hadron production in heavy-ion collisions at high energy.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.202301

PACS numbers: 25.75.-q

QCD predicts a phase transition at high energy density from hadronic matter to a deconfined quark-gluon plasma [1]. This transition may be studied in the laboratory through the collision of heavy ions at ultrarelativistic energies. Partons propagating in a medium lose energy through gluon bremsstrahlung [2-4], with the magnitude of the energy loss predicted to depend strongly on the gluon density of the medium. Measurement of partonic energy loss therefore provides a unique probe of the density of the medium.

Analysis of deep inelastic scattering and Drell-Yan pair production using nuclear targets indicates that the energy loss in cold nuclear matter is 0.2–0.5 GeV/fm for quarks with energy greater than 10 GeV [5,6]. Hard scattering of partons in nuclear collisions occurs early in the evolution of the extended system, thereby probing the phase of highest density. Energy loss softens the fragmentation of jets, leading to the suppression of high transverse momentum (high p_T) hadrons in the final state [7]. The PHENIX Collaboration has reported the suppression of charged hadron and π^0 production at high p_T in central Au + Au collisions at center-of-mass energy per nucleon pair $\sqrt{s_{NN}} =$ 130 GeV, relative both to reference data from nucleonnucleon (*NN*) collisions and to peripheral Au + Au collisions [8]. The suppression is in qualitative agreement with predictions of partonic energy loss in dense matter, though quantitative conclusions require the understanding of other nuclear effects [8].

This Letter presents a measurement of the inclusive charged hadron yield $(h^+ + h^-)/2$ within $0.2 < p_T <$ 6.0 GeV/c, measured for a broad range of centrality in Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 130$ GeV by the STAR Collaboration at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC). Suppression of charged hadron production at high p_T in central collisions is observed, in qualitative agreement with the PHENIX measurement [8]. The high precision and wide kinematic and centrality coverage of the data presented here permit a detailed study of nuclear medium effects on hadron production from the soft to the hard scattering regime.

For comparison of spectra from nuclear collisions to an NN reference, the nuclear modification factor is defined as

$$R_{AA}(p_T) = \frac{d^2 N^{AA}/dp_T d\eta}{T_{AA} d^2 \sigma^{NN}/dp_T d\eta},$$
(1)

where $T_{AA} = \langle N_{\text{bin}} \rangle / \sigma_{\text{inel}}^{NN}$ accounts for the collision geometry, averaged over the event centrality class. $\langle N_{\rm bin} \rangle$, the equivalent number of binary NN collisions, is calculated using a Glauber model. $R_{AA}(p_T)$ is less than unity at low p_T [9]. In contrast, the yield for hard processes scales as $\langle N_{\rm bin} \rangle$ in the absence of nuclear medium effects $[R_{AA}(p_T) = 1]$, and effects of the medium may be measured at high p_T by the deviation of $R_{AA}(p_T)$ from unity. In addition to final state energy loss, $R_{AA}(p_T)$ may be affected by initial state multiple scattering [7,10] and transverse flow, both of which will enhance hadron production at high p_T , and by the shadowing of nuclear parton distributions. At significantly lower \sqrt{s} than the present study, enhancement of hadron production at high p_T has been observed in *p*-nucleus [11] and α - α [12] collisions, as well as central collisions of heavy nuclei [13]. Current estimates of shadowing effects at RHIC energies contain large uncertainties [7,14].

The STAR detector is described in [15]. Data collection utilized both a minimum bias trigger and a trigger selecting the 10% most central events. Charged particle tracks were detected in the Time Projection Chamber (TPC), with momentum determined from their curvature in a 0.25 T magnetic field. After event selection cuts, the central data set contained 320 000 events, while the minimum bias data set contained 240 000 events. The measured minimum bias distribution, corrected for vertex finding efficiency at low multiplicity, corresponds to $94 \pm 2\%$ of the Au + Au geometric cross section $\sigma_{\text{geom}}^{\text{AuAu}}$, assumed to be 7.2 b [16].

Centrality selection is based on the primary charged particle multiplicity N_{ch} within the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 0.5$. The most central bin is 0%-5% of σ_{geom}^{AuAu} , while the most peripheral bin is 60%-80%. Alternative centrality measures incorporate forward neutral energy [9,17] and its correlation with multiplicity at midrapidity. The maximum variation of the p_T spectrum for different centrality measures is 4% for central events and less than 4% for more peripheral events. This variation is included in the systematic uncertainties of the reported spectra.

The analysis of inclusive charged particle spectra for $p_T < 2 \text{ GeV}/c$ has been described previously [9]. Accepted tracks for $p_T > 2 \text{ GeV}/c$ have $|\eta| < 0.5$ and a

distance of closest approach to the primary vertex less than 1 cm to reject background. Acceptance, efficiency, and momentum resolution were determined by embedding simulated tracks into real raw data events. For $p_T > 1.5 \text{ GeV}/c$, the Gaussian distribution of track curvature $k \propto 1/p_T$ has a relative width of $\delta k/k =$ $0.016(p_T/(\text{GeV}/c)) + 0.012$ for central events and $\delta k/k = 0.011(p_T/(\text{GeV}/c)) + 0.013$ for peripheral events. Correction was made for distortion due to finite momentum resolution.

The hadron yield decreases rapidly with increasing p_T and its measurement is sensitive to small spatial distortions, which generate charge sign-dependent systematic errors in the measured track curvature. Measurement of the summed hadron yield, $(h^+ + h^-)/2$, is markedly less sensitive to such distortions than the yield of one charge sign alone. Each half of the cylindrical TPC is divided azimuthally into 12 sectors. High- p_T tracks have small sagitta ($s \sim 0.8$ cm at $p_T = 5 \text{ GeV}/c$) and are confined to a single sector. The sectorwise distribution of $(h^+ + h^-)/2$ has a p_T -dependent rms variation of less than 5%, though with correlated variations for adjacent sectors. These effects contribute to the systematic uncertainty.

The most significant background corrections are due to weak particle decays and antinucleon annihilation in detector material. The former are estimated based on Λ , $\bar{\Lambda}$, and K_s^0 yields measured for $p_T < 2.5 \text{ GeV}/c$ [18,19], with extrapolation to higher p_T using an exponential fit [18]. The latter are based on measured antiproton yields [20].

The major correction factors and their uncertainties are given in Table I. "Tracking" incorporates efficiency, acceptance, and the effects of the spatial nonuniformity of the TPC, with the latter dominating its systematic uncertainty. The total systematic uncertainty of the spectra is the quadrature sum of the uncertainties in Table I. For the highest p_T bins it is $\approx 27\%$ for central events and $\approx 21\%$ for peripheral events.

Figure 1 shows the inclusive p_T distributions of $(h^+ + h^-)/2$ within $|\eta| < 0.5$ for various centrality bins, for Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 130$ GeV. Error bars, which are dominated by systematic uncertainties at all p_T , are generally smaller than the symbols. The spectra were fit by the pQCD-inspired power law function [21]

TABLE I. Typical multiplicative correction factors and systematic uncertainties, applied to the yields for peripheral and central collisions.

	$p_{T} = 2$	GeV/c	$p_T = 5.5 \text{ GeV}/c$		
Centrality	60%-80%	0%-5%	60%-80%	0%-5%	
Tracking	1.16 ± 0.08	1.59 ± 0.16	1.14 ± 0.23	1.49 ± 0.30	
Background	0.95 ± 0.03	0.90 ± 0.05	0.95 ± 0.05	0.88 ± 0.12	
p_T resolution	$1.00\substack{+0\\-0.01}$	0.99 ± 0.01	0.92 ± 0.05	0.76 ± 0.10	



FIG. 1. Inclusive p_T distributions of $(h^+ + h^-)/2$. Noncentral bins are scaled down by the indicated factors. The combined statistical and systematic errors are shown. Curves are fits to Eq. (2). Hash marks at the top indicate bin boundaries for $p_T > 1.5 \text{ GeV}/c$.

$$\frac{1}{2\pi p_T} \frac{dN}{dp_T} = C(1 + p_T/p_0)^{-n},$$
 (2)

which describes p_T spectra of charged hadrons for *NN* collisions over a wide range of \sqrt{s} [21–23]. Systematic changes in shape of the spectra with centrality are revealed by the fit parameters *C*, *n*, and mean transverse momentum $\langle p_T \rangle = 2p_0/(n-3)$ in Table II. Also shown in the Table are fit parameters for $\overline{p} + p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV [21]. Equation (2) yields a poor fit relative to the uncertainties of the data for the most central bin,

with systematic deviations from the fit function of 10%–20%, whereas for more peripheral collisions it fits well, with parameters tending smoothly to those for $\overline{p} + p$ collisions.

A more direct comparison of yields for different centralities relies on estimating $\langle N_{\rm bin} \rangle$ and the mean number of participants $\langle N_{\rm part} \rangle$ for each centrality bin. For this purpose, the distribution $d\sigma/dN_{\rm bin}$ (and equivalently, $d\sigma/dN_{\rm part}$) was calculated using a Monte Carlo Glauber model [17] with $\sigma_{\rm inel}^{NN} = 41 \pm 1$ mb and Woods-Saxon nuclear matter density, using radius $r = 6.5 \pm 0.1$ fm and surface diffuseness $a = 0.535 \pm 0.027$ fm [16]. Percentile intervals of $d\sigma/dN_{\rm bin}$ (calculated) and $d\sigma/dN_{\rm ch}$ (measured) were equated to extract $\langle N_{\rm bin} \rangle$ for each centrality bin. The Glauber model parameters and geometric cross section were varied to estimate the systematic uncertainties. Results are given in Table II.

Because charged particle distributions at midrapidity are used for centrality selection, biases in the relation between $d\sigma/dN_{\rm bin}$ and $d\sigma/dN_{\rm ch}$ due to fluctuations and autocorrelations were assessed. Variation of parameters in a Monte Carlo calculation of multiplicity fluctuations for fixed collision geometry and comparison of the measured multiplicity distribution in an azimuthal quadrant centered on a high- p_T particle against those in the other quadrants both yielded negligible uncertainties in $\langle N_{\rm bin} \rangle$ and $\langle N_{\rm part} \rangle$.

Table II shows the charged particle yield per participant pair, $\frac{2}{\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle} \frac{dN_{\text{ch}}}{d\eta}$, obtained by integrating the p_T spectra. The extrapolated yield in $p_T < 0.2 \text{ GeV}/c$ is $\sim 20\%$ of the total for all centralities. The dependence of $\frac{2}{\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle} \frac{dN_{\text{ch}}}{d\eta}$ on $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$ is consistent with observations in [17].

Figure 2 shows the ratio of the central (0%-5%) spectrum to that of the two peripheral bins (40%-60%, 60%-80%), normalized by $\langle N_{\rm bin} \rangle$. The dashed lines at unity and below show scaling with $\langle N_{\rm bin} \rangle$ and $\langle N_{\rm part} \rangle$, respectively, and the shaded regions show the systematic uncertainties from Table II. The vertical error bars on the data points

TABLE II. Geometric quantities, charged particle density per participant pair, and fit parameters to Eq. (2), for various centrality bins and for $\overline{p} + p$ at 200 GeV [21], assuming $\sigma_{\text{inel}}^{NN} = 41$ mb. The fits to the Au + Au data use uncorrelated measurement errors, which are largely systematic and non-Gaussian. Parameter errors shown also include correlated systematic uncertainties, which are added to parameter errors resulting from the fit.

					Power law fit $(0.2 < p_T < 6.0 \text{ GeV}/c)$		
Centrality	$\langle N_{\rm bin} \rangle$	$\langle N_{\rm part} \rangle$	$\frac{dN_{\rm ch}}{d\eta}$	$\frac{2}{\langle N_{\rm part} \rangle} \frac{dN_{\rm ch}}{d\eta}$	$C((\text{GeV}/c)^{-2})$	$\langle p_T \rangle (\text{GeV}/c)$	n
0%-5%	965^{+67}_{-67}	350^{+4}_{-4}	563 ± 39	3.22 ± 0.23	797 ± 60	0.520 ± 0.010	21.9 ± 0.5
5%-10%	764_{-63}^{+59}	296^{+7}_{-7}	452 ± 32	3.05 ± 0.23	654 ± 50	0.517 ± 0.010	20.7 ± 0.4
10% - 20%	551^{+48}_{-56}	232_{-9}^{+9}	344 ± 24	2.96 ± 0.24	520 ± 40	0.511 ± 0.010	18.9 ± 0.4
20%-30%	348_{-45}^{+44}	165^{+10}_{-10}	234 ± 16	2.83 ± 0.26	372 ± 28	0.504 ± 0.011	17.3 ± 0.3
30%-40%	210^{+36}_{-36}	115^{+10}_{-12}	144 ± 10	2.51 ± 0.30	252 ± 19	0.497 ± 0.011	17.2 ± 0.3
40%-60%	90^{+22}_{-22}	$62^{+9^{12}}_{-11}$	72.2 ± 5.1	2.35 ± 0.42	141 ± 11	0.480 ± 0.011	14.8 ± 0.2
60% - 80%	20_{-9}^{+7}	20^{+5}_{-6}	21.0 ± 1.5	2.13 ± 0.61	50 ± 4	0.446 ± 0.011	13.0 ± 0.2
$\overline{p} + p(200)$	1	2	2.65 ± 0.08	2.65 ± 0.08	7.7 ± 0.4	0.392 ± 0.003	11.8 ± 0.4



FIG. 2. Ratio of charged hadron yields within $|\eta| < 0.5$ for central over peripheral collisions, normalized to $\langle N_{\text{bin}} \rangle$.

are the uncertainties of the central data, while the horizontal caps are the quadrature sum of the uncertainties of both data sets. Approximate participant scaling at low p_T is seen. The ratio rises monotonically below $p_T \sim 2 \text{ GeV}/c$ and decreases at high p_T . The ratio of central over most peripheral achieves a value at $p_T = 5.5 \text{ GeV}/c$ of 0.27 ± 0.12 with additional uncertainty ± 0.12 due to $\langle N_{\text{bin}} \rangle$, establishing significant suppression of charged hadron production at high p_T in central collisions.



FIG. 3. $R_{AA}(p_T)$ for various centrality bins, for Au + Au relative to an NN reference spectrum. Error bars are described in the text. Errors between different p_T and centrality bins are highly correlated.

Figure 3 shows $R_{AA}(p_T)$ for various centrality bins relative to an NN reference spectrum, parametrized by Eq. (2) with $C\sigma_{\text{inel}}^{NN} = 267^{+4}_{-6} \text{ mb}/(\text{GeV}/c)^2$, $p_0 = 1.90^{+0.17}_{-0.09} \text{ GeV}/c$, and $n = 12.98^{+0.92}_{-0.47}$ (the superscripts and subscripts are curves that bound the systematic uncertainty). The reference was determined by fitting Eq. (2) to UA1 $\overline{p} + p$ data at $\sqrt{s} = 200-900$ GeV [21] and extrapolating to $\sqrt{s} = 130$ GeV. Extrapolation of the UA1 200 GeV spectrum to 130 GeV using pQCD calculations agrees to within 5% with the reference at $p_T = 6 \text{ GeV}/c$ [24,25]. Correction to the NN reference for the UA1 acceptance ($|\eta| < 2.5$), which differs from this analysis $(|\eta| < 0.5)$, was based on two independent pQCD calculations [24,26], giving a multiplicative correction of 1.17 ± 0.06 at $p_T = 2.0 \text{ GeV}/c$ and 1.35 ± 0.10 at $p_T =$ 5.5 GeV/c. The p_T -dependent systematic uncertainty of the NN reference is the quadrature sum of the power law parameter and the acceptance correction uncertainties. Isospin effects are negligible for $p_T < 6 \text{ GeV}/c$ [25]. The error bars are the systematic uncertainties of the measured spectra, while the caps show their quadrature sum with the systematic uncertainty of the NN reference.

 $R_{AA}(p_T)$ increases monotonically for $p_T < 2 \text{ GeV}/c$ at all centralities and saturates near unity for $p_T >$ 2 GeV/c in the most peripheral bins. In contrast, $R_{AA}(p_T)$ for the central bins reaches a maximum and then decreases strongly above $p_T = 2 \text{ GeV}/c$, showing suppression of the charged hadron yield relative to the NN reference of $0.36 \pm 0.16(\text{syst})$ at $p_T = 5.5 \text{ GeV}/c$ for the 0%-5% bin, with additional uncertainty ± 0.03 due to $\langle N_{\text{bin}} \rangle$. $R_{AA}(p_T)$ varies continuously as a function of centrality, and no centrality threshold for the onset of suppression is observed [27].

In summary, charged hadron production in high energy collisions of heavy nuclei has been studied over a wide range of p_T and event centrality. At high p_T , hadron yields scale with the number of binary collisions for peripheral collisions, while significant suppression of hadron production is seen for central collisions. This phenomenon indicates substantial energy loss of the final state partons or their hadronic fragments in the medium generated by high energy nuclear collisions, though quantitative measurement of this effect requires additional reference data.

We thank the RHIC Operations Group and the RHIC Computing Facility at Brookhaven National Laboratory, and the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory for their support. This work was supported by the Division of Nuclear Physics and the Division of High Energy Physics of the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy, the United States National Science Foundation, the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung of Germany, the Institut National de la Physique Nucléaire et de la Physique des Particules of France, the United Kingdom Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council, Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo, Brazil, the Russian Ministry of Science and Technology, the Ministry of Education of China, and the National Science Foundation of China.

- J.W. Harris and B. Müller, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 46, 71 (1996).
- [2] M. Gyulassy and M. Plümer, Phys. Lett. B 243, 432 (1990).
- [3] X. N. Wang and M. Gyulassy, Phys. Rev. D 44, 3501 (1991); Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1480 (1992).
- [4] M. Gyulassy and X. N. Wang, Nucl. Phys. B420, 583 (1994); R. Baier, D. Schiff, and B. G. Zakharov, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 50, 37 (2000).
- [5] E. Wang and X. N. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 162301 (2002).
- [6] F. Arleo, Phys. Lett. B 532, 231 (2002).
- [7] X. N. Wang, Phys. Rev. C 61, 064910 (2000).
- [8] K. Adcox et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 022301 (2002).
- [9] C. Adler et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 112303 (2001).
- [10] G. Papp et al., Nucl. Phys. A698, 627c (2002).
- [11] D. Antreasyan et al., Phys. Rev. D 19, 764 (1979).

- [12] A. L. S. Angelis et al., Phys. Lett. B 185, 213 (1987).
- [13] M. M. Aggarwal *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C **23**, 225 (2002).
- [14] S. Li and X. N. Wang, Phys. Lett. B 527, 85 (2002).
- [15] C. Adler *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A, RHIC Special Volume (to be published).
- [16] A. J. Baltz *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **417**, 1 (1998).
- [17] B. B. Back *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **65**, 031901(R) (2002);
 K. Adcox *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 3500 (2001); I.G. Bearden *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **523**, 227 (2001).
- [18] C. Adler et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 092301 (2002).
- [19] C. Adler *et al.*, nucl-ex/0206008.
- [20] C. Adler *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 262302 (2001);
 K. Adcox *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 242301 (2002).
- [21] C. Albajar et al., Nucl. Phys. B335, 261 (1990).
- [22] B. Alper et al., Nucl. Phys. B100, 237 (1975).
- [23] F. Abe et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 1819 (1988).
- [24] T. Sjöstrand *et al.*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **135**, 238 (2001).
- [25] I. Vitev (private communication).
- [26] F. Yuan (private communication); A. Polleri and F. Yuan, nucl-th/0108056.
- [27] X. N. Wang, Phys. Rev. C 63, 054902 (2001).