

Article



Sorption and Desorption Studies of Pb(II) and Ni(II) from Aqueous Solutions by a New Composite Based on Alginate and Magadiite Materials

Keltoum Attar^{1,2}, Hary Demey^{3,4,*}, Djamila Bouazza¹ and Ana Maria Sastre^{4,*}

- ¹ University of Oran 1 Ahmed Ben Bella, Laboratory of Chemistry of Materials, B.P 1524 El M'naouer Oran, Algeria; attar_keltoum@hotmail.com (K.A.); bouazzadjamila99@gmail.com (D.B.)
- ² Department of Chemical Engineering, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, EPSEVG, Av. Víctor Balaguer, s/n, 08800 Vilanova i la Geltrú, Spain
- ³ Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique et aux Energies Alternatives, CEA/DRT/LITEN/DTBH/STBH/L2CS,
 17 rue des Martyrs, 38054 Grenoble, France
- ⁴ Department of Chemical Engineering, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, ETSEIB, Diagonal 647, 08028 Barcelona, Spain
- * Correspondence: hary.demey@upc.edu (H.D.); ana.maria.sastre@upc.edu (A.M.S.); Tel.: +34-938-937-778 (H.D.)

Received: 23 January 2019; Accepted: 10 February 2019; Published: 15 February 2019



Abstract: A new composite material based on alginate and magadiite/Di-(2-ethylhexyl) phosphoric acid (CAM-D2EHPA) was successfully prepared by previous impregnation of layered magadiite with D2EHPA extractant, and then immobilized into the alginate matrix. Air dried beads of CAM-D2EHPA were characterized by FTIR and SEM–EDX techniques. The sorbent was used for the separation of lead and nickel from nitrate solutions; the main parameters of sorption such as contact time, pH of the solution, and initial metal concentration were studied. The beads recovered 94% of Pb(II) and 65% of Ni(II) at pH 4 from dilute solutions containing 10 mg L⁻¹ of metal (sorbent dosage, S.D. 1 g L⁻¹). The equilibrium data gave a better fit using the Langmuir model, and kinetic profiles were fitted using a pseudo-second order rate equation. The maximum sorption capacities obtained (at pH 4) were 197 mg g⁻¹ and 44 mg g⁻¹ for lead and nickel, respectively. The regeneration of the sorbent was efficiently carried out with a dilute solution of HNO₃ (0.5 M). The composite material was reused in 10 sorption–elution cycles with no significant differences on sorption uptake. A study with synthetic effluents containing an equimolar concentration of both metals indicated a better selectivity towards lead ions.

Keywords: alginate; heavy metal; H-magadiite; organophosphorus extractants; sorption; desorption

1. Introduction

The removal of pollutants (such as metal ions) from water is currently an important environmental concern. Heavy metals are particularly toxic and carcinogenic; their presence in the aquatic ecosystems poses human health risks due to their non-degradable and persistent nature. The presence of these contaminants in the environment is mainly due to industrial activities, such as metal plating, oil refining, petrochemical, dyeing, painting, mining, and fertilizers/pesticides production [1].

Lead and nickel are among the most toxic metals released into the environment, according to the World Health Organization. The recommended limit concentrations were set in the guidelines for drinking water as 0.01 mg L^{-1} and 0.07 mg L^{-1} for Pb(II) and Ni(II), respectively [2]. The common methods used for the removal of these metals are chemical precipitation [3,4], electrochemical treatment [5,6], solvent extraction [7], membrane technology [8,9], ion-exchange [10,11], and

sorption [12–15]. According to Demey et al. [16], all these techniques have intrinsic operation shortcomings; e.g., membrane technology and electrochemical treatment require high energy consumption, which is not cost-effective for high volumes of contaminated water; chemical precipitation involves the disposal and treatment of toxic sludge, whereas solvent extraction produces a secondary effluent due to the use of large amounts of organic solvents. Sorption is considered as the most suitable method for removing heavy metals because of its promising advantages [12–15]: (i) high efficiency uptake for binding metal ions at low concentrations; (ii) energy saving, and (iii) easy recycling of the loaded sorbent. Nevertheless, a good design of the material is required to achieve high performances [17–19].

Bouazza et al. [20] agree that the sorption process is non-expensive in comparison with liquid–liquid extraction (in which large volumes of extractant are used). The impregnation of resins was proposed as a technological alternative to a direct solvent extraction technique. Layered silicate materials have been used as supports for impregnation with efficient solvents [7]; the layered crystalline polysilicates are mainly composed of tetrahedral SiO₄, they usually exhibit a high metal uptake and their manufacturing does not require difficult steps. In recent years, several researchers have studied the adsorption of organic pollutants on modified and unmodified layered silicate [21,22]. Due to their simple manufacture, these materials have become attractive for industrial applications.

Several support-materials have been used for impregnating with extracting agents for metal ion binding; e.g. Berdous et al. [23] used impregnated Amberlite XAD-4 with D2EHPA for the removal of heavy metals from water, the resins based on styrene-divinyl-benzene are effective supports but cannot be considered readily bio-degradable. Vellaichamy [24] investigated the use of multiwall carbon nanotubes impregnated with D2EHPA to remove copper, nickel, lead, zinc, and cadmium from aqueous solutions where the best sorption capacities were obtained at pH 5, and followed the order: Ni(II) > Cu(II) > Pb(II) > Zn(II) > Cd(II). The research developed by Kozlowska [25] studied the transport of heavy metals across cellulose triacetate plasticized membranes containing organo-phosphorous acids; by using D2EHPA, the selectivity order achieved was: Zn(II) > Pb(II) > Cd(II). It is evident that the metal species and the functional groups present in the matrices play an important role in the sorption affinity.

In our previous work [26], a magadiite-based material impregnated with Cyanex 272 was tested as a potential sorbent for cadmium recovery from water. The impregnation of the magadiite support with the extractant was found to increase the selectivity toward cadmium in the presence of nickel ions (at equimolar concentrations). Nevertheless due to the small particle size of the material, it was not possible to evaluate in continuous systems.

It is noteworthy that most sorption studies present in the literature are focused on the use of sorbents in powder form (micro/nano particle size). The small particle size may improve the diffusion properties of the materials and reduce the required contact time on sorption lab-scale assessments, but it could involve several technical problems in industrial applications, such as: low mechanical strength, difficulty in separation from the aqueous phase and high head-loss in column arrangements (continuous system). Demey et al. [16] stated that these drawbacks can be avoided by immobilization of the active powder in biopolymer beads (so-called hybrid materials).

Hybrid 'low-cost' sorbents have become a hot topic that has attracted major attention of environmental researchers in recent years. The combination of different matrices with several functional groups may improve the sorption performance and enhance the physical properties of the resulting material [27]. Calcium alginate is a polysaccharide, which is largely used in the preparation of composite sorbents due to the associated versatility, low-cost, and high content in active groups (such as carboxylic and hydroxyl groups) [28]. Some studies found a high affinity of the alginate-based materials for the chelation of heavy metal ions (Cu^{2+,} Ni2⁺, Co²⁺) [29], metalloids (such as boron) [28,30,31] and rare earth elements [32]. Wang et al. [33] studied the sorption of Nd(III) on alginate–silica sorbent (ALG/SiO₂). It was found that the doped silica can improve the mechanical properties of the beads. Moreover, a raw material based on solid waste containing iron(III) hydroxide was entrapped in a

calcium alginate matrix for the sorption of As(V) [34]. The results suggested that the hybrid beads are promising for As(V) removal from waters.

Ngomsik et al. [35] used magnetic alginate beads containing Cyanex 272 for the removal of cobalt and nickel ions from effluents; the sorption results demonstrated that the introduction of Cyanex 272 into the beads increases the selectivity towards cobalt metal. Similarly, Lai et al. [36] tested the performance of four different sorption systems, orange peel cellulose (OPC), banana peel cellulose (BPC), and orange peel cellulose, immobilized in Ca-alginate beads (OPCCA), plus, the banana peel cellulose immobilized in Ca-alginate beads (BPCCA) to recover copper, lead, and zinc ions from aqueous solutions. The authors concluded that the immobilization of the biomass into alginate beads improves the metal sorption uptake.

In this work, H-magadiite impregnated with D2EHPA was immobilized in an alginate matrix for manufacturing beads by ionotropic gelation with a calcium solution. The resulting material (calcium alginate/H-magadiite-D2EHPA: CAM-D2EHPA) was characterized with FTIR, SEM–EDX, and evaluated for the removal of Pb(II) and Ni(II) from aqueous solutions (in both batch and continuous systems). This new composite material, has several advantages compared to the raw H-magadiite powder since it is easy to handle, it can be used in continuous systems to avoid clogging of the columns, it can be reused several times, and the mass loss of the sorbent during several sorption cycles can be significantly reduced due to the rigid encapsulation of the impregnated H-magadiite powder into beads. The regeneration of CAM-D2EHPA beads was evaluated with dilute solutions of HNO₃ (0.5 M).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Heavy metal stock solutions were prepared by dissolving lead and nickel nitrate (Pb(NO₃)₂ and Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O respectively) in Milli-Q water (at constant ionic strength: 0.005 M NaNO₃) at the required concentrations, the metal salts were provided by Panreac (Barcelona, Spain); solution pH was adjusted with HNO₃ or NaOH solutions (as required). Silica gel (Ludox 40% w/w) was used for the H-magadiite preparation, and it was supplied by Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany); sodium hydroxide was supplied by Probus (Barcelona, Spain). D2EHPA extractant (98.2% purity) was provided by Sigma-Aldrich (Darmstadt, Germany) and it was used without any further purification. Organic solvents of chloroform (99% purity) and ethanol (96% purity) were obtained from Panreac (Bordeaux-Pessac, France).

The sodium alginate used for the preparation of the composite (CAM-D2EHPA), and the calcium nitrate were supplied by Panreac (Barcelona, Spain).

2.2. Preparation of Sorbents

2.2.1. Preparation of H-Magadiite/D2EHPA Material (HM-D2EHPA)

The preparation of H-magadiite was obtained by slow titration of Na-magadiite in suspension with HNO₃ (1 M) at room temperature for 24 h under mechanical agitation (300 rpm). The detailed process was reported in our previous work [26]. Titration with HNO₃ up to a pH of 4, is to ensure the exchange of Na ions (from raw Na-magadiite) with the protons of the acid solution [37]. The obtained solid (H-magadiite: HM) was filtered, then washed with distilled water, and dried in an oven at 40 °C for 24 h. Then, the HM material was impregnated with D2EHPA using a dry impregnation mode: (i) D2EHPA was dissolved in 10 mL of ethyl alcohol solution (high purity) and then mixed with 1 g of H-magadiite; (ii) the mixture was stirred overnight (under atmospheric pressure) until complete evaporation of the solvent; (iii) the resulting solid was washed with 0.1 M nitric acid solution to prevent the release of the extracted molecules, and then dried in an oven at 80 °C for 24 h [26].

2.2.2. Preparation of the Calcium Alginate/H-Magadiite-D2EHPA Material (CAM-D2EHPA)

The alginate gel (2% w/w) was prepared by adding 2 g of sodium alginate in 100 ml of Milli-Q deionized water under stirring (300 rpm) over 3 h, until a homogeneous gel was obtained (stirrer: AGIMATIC-S, Salamanca, Spain). Then, the agitation speed was decreased to 50 rpm for 2 h, to allow the removal of air bubbles from the viscous solution.

CAM-D2EHPA beads were prepared by immobilizing 1 g of HM-D2EHPA in 100 ml of sodium alginate gel (2% w/w); the suspension was kept under agitation (300 rpm) until the homogenization of the mixture was achieved. Then, the gel obtained was pumped (through a peristaltic pump: MINIPULS3-GILSON, Coueron, France) to form drops with a flow rate of 0.025 L h⁻¹, the ionotropic gelation was made in a solution of calcium nitrate Ca(NO₃)₂ (1 M). The manufactured beads had an average diameter of 1.8 mm; these were systematically washed with distilled water and air dried for utilization in sorption applications.

2.3. Characterization of Sorbents

The identification of the chemical functional groups of the sorbent was determined by Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) analyses; samples of 2 mg of CAM-D2EHPA were studied using a BRUKER IFS 66 FTIR spectrophotometer (Ettlingen, Germany) equipped with a reflection diamond accessory (Platinium ATR); the spectrum interval was registered from 4000–400 cm⁻¹.

Morphology and micrographs of CAM-D2EHPA were obtained by SEM–EDX studies using a JEOL JSM 7100 F Schottky Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (JEOL Ltd, Peabody, MA, USA). The microscope was equipped with an Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectrometer (INCA 250, Oxford instruments, Oxford, UK) for chemical analyses. The samples were coated with carbon before observations. The apparatus was operated at 20 keV. SEM–EDX analyses were used to detect the main elements present at the whole solid surface.

The amount of D2EHPA inserted into the H-magadiite was determined using a UV–vis spectrophotometer (model SPECORD-210, Bayern-Munich, Germany) at a wavelength of 254 nm. The metal concentrations were analyzed by using an Agilent 4100 MP–AES Spectrometer (Microwave Plasma–Atomic Emission Spectrometry; Agilent Technologies, Melbourne, Australia).

2.4. Methods

2.4.1. Effect of Equilibrium pH

The sorption of Pb(II) and Ni(II) was carried out with batch experiments at 20 °C. A known amount of 0.1 g of CAM-D2EHPA was mixed (in polypropylene recipients) with synthetic solutions containing 10 mL of lead and nickel (50 mg L⁻¹), at different pH conditions (controlled by the addition of HNO₃ or NaOH, as required); the tubes were shaken at 180 rpm for 180 min, and then the solid phase was separated. The equilibrium pH (pHe) was measured by using a pH-meter from Jenco Electronics LTD (Missouri, TX, USA). The metal concentration was determined by an Agilent 4100 MP–AES Spectrometer at 405.78 and 352.45 nm wavelengths (for lead and nickel, respectively). The experiments were performed in triplicate and the standard deviation was estimated in the order of $\pm 2\%$. The sorption efficiency (SE%) was assessed by Equation (1):

$$SE(\%) = \frac{(C_0 - C_{eq})}{C_0} \times 100$$
(1)

where C_0 and C_{eq} are the initial and equilibrium metal concentrations in solution (mg L⁻¹); respectively.

In order to evaluate the selectivity of the material under the binary system, a bimetallic solution was prepared at equimolar concentration (i.e., 0.24 mmol L^{-1} of Pb(II) and Ni(II)) and tested at different pHs with CAM-D2EHPA beads.

The separation factor (β) was calculated as the ratio of the amount of the sorbed metal, and the amount of metal present in the aqueous phase at equilibrium (Equation (2)).

$$\beta = \frac{D_{\rm Pb}}{D_{\rm Ni}} = \frac{[\rm Pb]_{\rm sorbed} / [\rm Pb]_{\rm eq}}{[\rm Ni]_{\rm sorbed} / [\rm Ni]_{\rm eq}}$$
(2)

2.4.2. Sorption Isotherms

Metal sorption was carried out in reactors of polypropylene tubes at 20 °C. A known amount of air-dried sorbent (0.01 g) and 10 mL of aqueous metal solution (i.e., sorbent dosage, SD, of 1 g L⁻¹) were used at different concentrations (10–1000 mg L⁻¹). The pH was adjusted to 4, and after 3 h of agitation, the final pH was measured. The initial and equilibrium metal concentration were analyzed by 4100 MP–AES equipment. The amount of the metal ions sorbed onto the CAM-D2EHPA was calculated as follows Equation (3):

$$q = \frac{\left(C_0 - C_{\rm eq}\right)V}{W} \tag{3}$$

where *q* is the sorption capacity of the material (mg g⁻¹), C_0 is the initial metal concentration in the solution (mg L⁻¹), C_{eq} is the equilibrium concentration of the metal in the solution (mg L⁻¹), *V* is the volume of the solution (L), and W is the mass of the sorbent (g).

Several sorption models are commonly used for describing the experimental data (such as Langmuir, Freundlich, and Sips equations) [16]. The Langmuir model is associated with homogeneous monolayer adsorption of the sorbate molecules onto the surface of sorbent [27]. The non-linear form of this isotherm is given by Equation (4):

$$q = \frac{q_{\max}bC_{eq}}{1+bC_{eq}} \tag{4}$$

where *q* is the amount of sorbed metal per gram of sorbent at equilibrium (mg g⁻¹), q_{max} is the theoretical monolayer saturation capacity of the sorbent (mg g⁻¹), the constant *b* (L mg⁻¹) is the Langmuir equilibrium constant, and C_{eq} is the equilibrium concentration of the solution (mg L⁻¹).

The non-linear form of the Freundlich equation is expressed as follows:

$$q = K_F C_{\rm eq}^{\frac{1}{n}} \tag{5}$$

where *q* is the amount of sorbed metal per gram of sorbent at equilibrium (mg g⁻¹), K_F and n are the Freundlich adsorption constants, indicative of the relative capacity and the adsorption intensity, and C_{eq} is the equilibrium concentration of the solution (mg L⁻¹).

The Sips model is a combination of the Langmuir and Freundlich equations, and the non-linear form is represented as follows:

$$q = \frac{q_{\max}k_{s}C_{eq}^{\frac{1}{ns}}}{1 + k_{s}C_{eq}^{\frac{1}{ns}}}$$
(6)

where K_S (L mg⁻¹) is the affinity constant and n_S describes the surface heterogeneity of the materials. When $1/n_S$ close to 1, the Sips model reverts to the Langmuir equation (homogeneous surface); on the contrary, when the value is close to zero, the surface is generally supposed to be heterogeneous.

2.4.3. Effect of Contact Time

The sorption kinetics experiments were carried out by adding 0.1 g of CAM-D2EHPA beads to 10 ml of metal solution (50 mg L⁻¹) under continuous stirring (at 180 rpm), the initial pH values of aqueous solutions were adjusted to pH 4 using 0.1 M HNO₃ solution. The final pH was measured after collecting the sample at different contact times; then, the metal solution was analyzed with the

Agilent 4100 MP–AES equipment. The pseudo-first order and pseudo-second order rate equations (PFORE and PSORE, respectively) were used for fitting the experimental data.

Pseudo-first order rate Equation (PFORE):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}q_{\mathrm{t}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = K_1 (q_{\mathrm{eq}} - q_{\mathrm{t}}) \tag{7}$$

Pseudo-second order rate Equation (PSORE):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}q_{\mathrm{t}}}{\left(q_{\mathrm{eq}} - q_{\mathrm{t}}\right)^2} = K_2 \mathrm{d}t \tag{8}$$

where q_{eq} is the equilibrium sorption capacity (mg g⁻¹), q_t is the sorption capacity (mg g⁻¹) at any time *t* (min) and k_2 is the pseudo-second order rate constant (g mg⁻¹ min⁻¹). The parameters k_1 and k_2 are pseudo-constants depending on the experimental conditions.

2.4.4. Sorption–Desorption Experiments

The elution of metal ions from CAM-D2EHPA was performed with HNO₃ solution (0.5 M) at room temperature. Between the sorption–desorption cycles the sorbent beads were washed with distilled water to remove the excess of eluent on the surface. The equilibrium pH of the sorption step was set as pH 2.5. The metal concentrations were measured after each sorption and desorption experiments. The desorption efficiency (DE%) of the cycles was calculated by the mass balance: (Desorbed mass/sorbed mass)*100.

2.4.5. Dynamic System

A Fixed bed system was used for evaluating the sorption efficiency and the selectivity of CAM-D2EHPA towards lead and nickel ions. The continuous sorption–desorption experiments were performed in a glass column of 0.5 cm (internal diameter, i.d.) and 20 cm length. The CAM-D2EHPA beads were carefully packed in the column (0.52 g in dry weight, d.w.). A known volume of distilled water (25 mL) was passed through the fixed-bed before running the experiment, to improve the arrangement of the beads and to avoid the 'side-wall' effect. The distilled water was evacuated and then, the binary solution (at equimolar concentration of lead and nickel, 0.24 mmol L^{-1}) was pumped from the bottom to the top of the column (up-flow) at room temperature using a peristaltic pump (with a constant flow rate of 0.13 mL min⁻¹).

The tests were carried out at pH 2.2 in order to avoid metal precipitation and to compare with the results obtained in the batch experiments. After exhaustion, the sorbed metals were desorbed with dilute HNO₃ solution (0.5 M) using the same flow-rate of the sorption step (0.13 mL min⁻¹). Samples of 5 mL of effluent were periodically collected for analysis during the sorption and desorption steps using an automatic fraction collector (Gilson FC-203 B, Dunstable, UK). The breakthrough and the exhaustion points were set as $C_t/C_0 = 0.05$ and $C_t/C_0 = 0.95$, respectively. The experimental sorption capacity can be obtained from Equation (9):

$$q_{\exp} = \int_0^{V_{\text{total}}} \frac{(C_0 - C_t)}{m} dV$$
(9)

The Thomas model equation was used to describe the theoretical performance of the columns due to its simplicity and adequate accuracy in predicting breakthrough curves. The model can be represented by Equation (10) [16]:

$$\frac{C_{\rm t}}{C_0} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left[K_{\rm T}(q_{\rm T}m - C_0 V)/Q\right]}$$
(10)

where $K_{\rm T}$ is the Thomas rate constant (L h⁻¹ mg⁻¹), m is the mass of sorbent (g), Q is the volumetric flow rate (L h⁻¹), V is the volume of the solution into the column (L), $C_{\rm t}$ is the metal concentration (mg L⁻¹), and $q_{\rm T}$ is the Thomas sorption capacity (mg g⁻¹). The constants of the non-linearized form were obtained by origin 9.0 software (OriginLab Inc., Northampton, MA, USA, 2012).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of the Materials

3.1.1. FTIR Analyses

FTIR analyses were used in order to identify the main bands corresponding to the functional groups of the sorbents (Figure 1). The characteristic FTIR bands of calcium alginate are shown in Figure 1a. Two specific bands at 1616 and 1419 cm⁻¹ can be attributed to carboxyl groups (COO–) [25]. The bands at 3450, 2918, 1085, and 1033 cm⁻¹ are attributed to –OH hydroxyl groups (stretching), –CH₂ (stretching), C–O–H (stretching), and C–O–C (stretching) vibrations, respectively [29].

Figure 1b shows the IR spectrum of HM-D2EHPA. The shoulder band at 1076 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the Si–O stretching vibration; the bands at 705 and 785 cm⁻¹ are attributed to the stretching and asymmetric bend vibrations of Si–O–Si, respectively [38]. The bands between 3443 and 3590 cm⁻¹ correspond to the hydroxyl groups of H-magadiite [24]. The band appearing at 2960 cm⁻¹ was attributed to the C–H stretching of D2EHPA extractant. The results are in agreement with Darvishi et al. [39], the main characteristic bands of D2EHPA (i.e., P=O and C–H bending) occur at 1206, and 1466 cm⁻¹, respectively. The spectrum of CAM-D2EHPA (Figure 1c) shows the characteristic bands of both CA and HM-D2EHPA materials, this confirms the total immobilization of the synthesized powder into the alginate beads.



Figure 1. FTIR analyses of the sorbent material. (a) CA; (b) HM-D2EHPA; (c) CAM-D2EHPA.

3.1.2. SEM-EDX Analyses

Air-dried beads of CAM-D2EHPA were studied with scanning electron microscopy (SEM), to examine the surface morphology. Figure 2a shows the spherical shape of the sorbent; the surface is rough and the HM-D2EHPA particles seem to be homogeneously dispersed over the entire topography of the sorbent. The immobilization with alginate allows a constant shape to be maintained (with an average diameter of 1.8 mm), which is more operationally useful than the irregular powder particles, for evaluating in columns systems.

EDX-analyses of the CAM-D2EHPA (Figure 2b) confirm the presence of the main elements of alginate such as carbon, oxygen, calcium, chloride, and sodium as expected [16]. Additionally, the presence of silicon from H-magadiite (Si–O bond), and phosphorous from D2EHPA (P–OH bond) was detected in the whole volume of the material (the beads were dissected and the EDX-analyses show the presence of the same elements in the different surface zones). This is evidence of the good distribution of the particles in the beads.



Figure 2. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) images of the CAM-D2EHPA material: (**a**) Topography of the sorbent; (**b**) Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis on the surface area of the solid.

3.2. Effect of pH

The pH of the solution plays an important role in the removal of cations from an aqueous medium. The pH may affect the speciation of the metals through formation of complex molecules [40], and the protonation of carboxylic groups present in the alginate chains (the mannuronic and guluronic blocks; i.e., M and G-blocks) [41]. Figure 3 shows the influence of pH on the sorption efficiency of lead and nickel from aqueous solutions using CAM-D2EHPA material as sorbent. In order to avoid a precipitation phenomenon, the initial pH was varied within the pH range of 1.0–5.5 at dilute metal concentration (50 mg L⁻¹). According to the speciation diagram (Figure S1a,b, in the Supplementary Materials section), the lead and nickel hydroxides precipitate at pH \geq 5.5 and pH \geq 6.5, respectively.

The lower sorption efficiency (below 50%) obtained at $pH \le 2.0$ is partially due to the strong competition between H⁺ and M²⁺ species, which can also be related to the diffusion into actives sites of the sorbent [41]. In the same range of pH, the sorption efficiency of lead is better in comparison with nickel, this is the first indicative of the higher affinity of CAM-D2EHPA toward Pb(II) ions. The metal removal is favored at pH above 4, the sorption performance increases up to 95% for lead and 80% for nickel (Figure 3a). This can be explained by two possible mechanisms participating: (i) metal binding through carboxylic groups of the alginate, which was particularly evidenced by the competition between the metals and the protons of the solution (Figure 3b), a buffer-effect is produced between pH 3.5 and 5.0; (ii) Ion-exchange interactions between H-magadiite and phosphoric groups (P–OH) of D2EHPA with the metal species.

The points of zero charge (so-called pH_{PZC}) of the sorbent and their main components were determined by the drift method [26]. Figure S2 shows that the introduction of H-magadiite impregnated with D2EHPA into the alginate matrix, contributes to reduce the pH_{PZC} values from 6.2 to 5.9. This finding improves the metal binding of alginates and enhances the electrostatic sorbent/sorbate interactions. At $pH < pH_{PZC}$, the surface of the sorbent is positively charged, this justifies the low removal of lead and nickel at acidic conditions. By increasing the pH ($pH > pH_{PZC}$), the surfaces become more negative, which promotes the attraction between the sorbent and the metal cations [42].



Figure 3. Influence of pH on lead and nickel removal: (a) Sorption efficiency; (b) Variation in pH using CAM-D2EHPA as sorbent (*T*: 20 °C; *V*: 0.01 L; *m*: 0.1 g; agitation speed: 180 rpm; contact time: 3 h; C_0 : 50 mg L⁻¹; 0.005 M NaNO₃).

The selectivity of the sorbent was studied by using a bi-metallic solution of lead and nickel at equimolar concentration (0.24 mmol L⁻¹) and at different pH conditions. The separation factor $\beta(D_{Pb}/D_{Ni})$ values for Pb(II) and Ni(II) with equilibrium pH are given in Table 1. It is noteworthy that the β values are significantly greater than 1, which confirm the high affinity toward Pb(II) ions [33].

The selectivity of the sorbent increases with a decrease in the pH values; this can be attributed to the effect of the introduction of impregnated H-magadiite with D2EHPA into the sorbent, which was demonstrated previously to be strongly selective toward lead ions [23]. The contribution of carboxylic groups to the metal removal at pH < 3.4 is negligible since H⁺ ions of the medium tend to

compete for the active sites. Haug et al. [43] reported pKa values of COO^- groups in mannuronic and guluronic acids as 3.38 and 3.65, respectively; it means that at pH < 3.4 the carboxylic groups are particularly protonated and the interactions with the metal species are weak, this in agreement with Demey et al. [16]. According to Papageorgiou et al. [44] and Wang et al. [33], the selectivity of the metals also depends on the valence orbital energy (X_m^2r : 531 for lead and 392 for nickel), and according to Bohli et al. [45] it can additionally be correlated to the hydrolysis constants (pKh: 7.80 for lead and 9.40 for nickel).

Initial pH	Equilibrium pH	SE _{Pb(II)} (%)	SE _{Ni(II)} (%)	D_{Pb}	$D_{\rm Ni}$	$\beta = D_{Pb}/D_{Ni}$
1.27	1.64	87.2	0.6	6.8	0.01	1136.5
1.44	2.10	95.8	11.2	22.5	0.13	179.4
1.58	2.83	97.9	42.6	48.6	0.74	65.6
1.87	2.92	98.4	47.2	59.5	0.90	66.7
2.05	3.34	99.1	62.5	104.4	1.66	62.7

Table 1. Selectivity of Pb(II) and Ni(II) using CAM-D2EHPA material as sorbent.

3.3. Sorption Isotherms

The sorption isotherms of Pb(II) and Ni(II) (Figure 4) were studied to interpret the distribution of the solute between the liquid and the solid phase at equilibrium. The maximum loading capacity of metal on 1 g of CAM-D2EHPA (air-dried beads) was determined; the initial concentration of the solutions was varied from 10 to 1000 mg L⁻¹ for each metal, at pH 4 and at room temperature. Figure 4 shows that an increase in the metal concentration results in an increase in the sorption capacity until a saturation plateau is achieved; the maximum sorption capacity of lead and nickel was 197 mg g⁻¹ and 44 mg g⁻¹, respectively. At dilute metal concentration (i.e., <10 mg L⁻¹) the sorbent recovered more than 94% and 65% of Pb(II) and Ni(II), respectively. This gives evidence for the high performance and the feasibility of CAM-D2EHPA for separating trace metals from dilute wastewaters.

The fitting values of the Langmuir, Freundlich, and Sips models are given in Table 2. The experimental data is better described using the Langmuir and Sips equations (by comparison of the determination coefficients, r^2). The values of $1/n_S$ (Sips model) for lead and nickel are closer to 1, indicating a homogeneous distribution of the sorbent sites into the sorbent, and the Sips model reverts to the Langmuir equation [46].



Figure 4. Isotherm plots for lead and nickel removal. (Dashed line: Langmuir model; *T*: 20 °C; *V*: 0.01 L; *m*: 0.01 g; agitation speed: 180 rpm; contact time: 3 h; pH: 4; C_0 : 10–1000 mg L⁻¹; 0.005 M NaNO₃).

The affinity parameter *Ks* (Table 2) of lead is a little higher compared to the *Ks* values of nickel, these differences are attributed to the type of reactive groups present in the sorbent, and to the physical–chemical characteristics of the metal species. Similar order of affinity (Pb(II) >Ni(II))

was obtained by Demey et al. [16] and Bhattacharyya et al. [47]; the new CAM-D2EHPA material possesses more affinity towards Pb(II) and Ni(II)) compared to the calcium alginate beads (Table S1 of Supplementary Materials section). It is directly associated to the presence of D2EHPA in the composite.

In addition, Table 3 shows a comparison of the maximum sorption capacities of the materials reported in the literature; e.g., CAM-D2EHPA has a greater nickel sorption capacity compared to the impregnated solids studied by Gonzalez et al [48] (XAD-2-Cyanex 272). The removal of lead is also comparable with the results obtained by Pereira et al. [17], Bhattacharyya et al. [47], Asuquo et al. [49], and Puppa et al. [50]. CAM-D2EHPA has two important advantages: (i) it is easy to manufacture, which is interesting for industrial scale production, and (ii) it has a high sorption uptake. Abu Al-Rub et al. [51] obtained a poor adsorption of nickel with calcium alginate beads (25.60 mg g⁻¹). The introduction of powder impregnated with D2EHPA into the beads may increase the affinity and the sorption metal uptake.

Expe	erimental		Langmuir		Fre	undlich			Sips		
Metal	q_{\exp} (mg g ⁻¹)	$q_{\rm max} \ ({ m mg}{ m g}^{-1})$	$k_{ m L}$ (L mg ⁻¹)	r^2	$k_{\rm F} \ ({ m mg}^{1-1/n} \ { m g}^{-1} \ { m L}^{1/n})$	n _F	r^2	$q_{\rm max}$ (mg g ⁻¹)	k _S (L mg ⁻¹)	ns	r^2
Pb(II) Ni(II)	197.17 42.84	197.26 44.36	0.027 0.022	0.990 0.992	33.55 9.20	3.68 4.31	0.955 0.957	221.68 47.73	$0.054 \\ 0.050$	1.36 1.32	0.998 0.996

Table 2. Langmuir, Freundlich, and Sips constants of CAM-D2EHPA sorbent.

Sorbents	Experimental Conditions	Sorption Capacity (mg g^{-1}) Pb(II)	Sorption Capacity (mg g ⁻¹) Ni(II)	References
Silica gel (SG) Modified silica gel (S2A)	pH = 2.0	0.72	0.34 0.95	[18]
Kaolinite Acid-activated kaolinite	pH = 7.0	11.10 12.10	10.40 11.90	[47]
Mesoporous activated carbon adsorbent	pH = 7.0	20.32	-	[49]
EIRs	pH = 1.0	80.00	-	[52]
4-amino-2-mercaptopyrimidine modified silica gel	pH = 3.0	80.20	-	[17]
Fe ₃ O ₄ -SO ₃ H MNPs	pH = 7.0	108.93	-	[53]
ChiFer(III)	pH = 4.5	116.03	-	[54]
Amorphous manganese oxide (AMO)	pH = 4.0 pH = 5.5	124.32 125.15	-	[50]
CAM-D2EHPA	pH = 4.0	197.26	44.36	[This work]
AF-PEI A-PEI	pH = 4.0	225.85 229.99	60.45 48.71	[16]
XAD-2-Cyanex 272 XAD-2-Cyanex 302	pH = 2.0	-	6.49 3.65	[48]
Free dead algal cells Blank alginate beads Immobilized dead algal cells	pH = 5.0		13.90 25.60 31.30	[51]
Activated carbon Irradiation grafted Activated carbon	pH = 7.0	-	44.10 55.70	[55]
Raw poplar (trunk) Torrefied poplar (250 °C, 75 min) Torrefied poplar (280 °C, 60 min)	pH = 4.0 pH = 4.0 pH = 4.0	28.50 27.55 30.89	- - -	[56]

 Table 3. Metal removal by different sorbents in the literature.

3.4. Sorption Kinetics

The kinetics of sorption is important for selecting the best sorbent, as a function of the required contact time for achieving equilibrium. Figure 5 plots the kinetic profiles of lead and nickel using CAM-D2EHPA as sorbent. The removal of Pb(II) and Ni(II) have a similar trend and three pseudo steps can be characterized: (i) a first step that lasts about 20 min, and the sorption uptake is faster (the efficiency increased from 36% to 72% for Pb(II) and from 45% to 75% for nickel, respectively); (ii) a second step, in which the sorption uptake is slower, and lasts about 20–180 min (the yield increased from 73% to 92% for Pb(II) and from 76% to 79.6% for nickel); (iii) a third step that takes 3 h

approximately, and the uptake is very slow (the sorption yield increased to 97% for lead and to 85.7% for nickel).



Figure 5. Effect of contact time on CAM-D2EHPA dried beads. (Dashed line: Pseudo-second order model (PSORE) model; *T*: 20 °C; *V*: 0.01 L; *m*: 0.1 g; agitation speed: 180 rpm; contact time: 3 h; pH: 4; C_0 : 50 mg L⁻¹, 0.005 M NaNO₃).

The calculated values of PFORE and PSORE are given in Table 4, the sorption kinetic profiles of Pb(II) and Ni(II) can be described by both pseudo-first and pseudo-second order models. Although PSORE was originally used for describing chemisorption processes [57]; the good fitting of the experimental data does not necessarily mean that the principles of the models are demonstrated; nevertheless it is useful for interpreting the involved mechanisms.

Experi	mental	Pseudo-Fir	st Order Mode	el (PFORE)	Pseudo-Second	Order Model (PS	SORE)
Metal	q_{\exp} (mg g ⁻¹)	k_1 (min ⁻¹)	$q_1 \pmod{g^{-1}}$	<i>r</i> ²	$k_2 (g m g^{-1} m i n^{-1})$	$q_1 \pmod{g^{-1}}$	r^2
Pb(II) Ni(II)	4.71 4.22	0.057 0.097	4.57 4.07	0.992 0.954	0.019 0.037	4.88 4.30	0.994 0.992

Table 4. Kinetic parameters of CAM-D2EHPA sorbent.

3.5. Sorption–Desorption Cycles

A good sorbent, especially for large-scale application, must be recyclable in order to be competitive [13]. The reusability of CAM-D2EHPA was demonstrated by ten consecutives sorption–desorption cycles using single solutions of lead and nickel at dilute concentration (50 mg L^{-1}).

The results reported in Table 5 (and Figure S3) confirm the high performance of the sorbent toward the target metals; sorption efficiencies in the order of 98% for lead and 80% for nickel were obtained. It was demonstrated that HNO₃ (0.5 M) is a good eluent for metal recovery from the loaded beads; the obtained desorption rate was in the order of 88%–96% for lead and 93%–99% for nickel. The mechanical stability of the sorbent was verified and monitored during the cycles; CAM-D2EHPA conserves the characteristic spherical shape. The beads tend to swell and after ten elution cycles, the average diameter (\emptyset) was found to be 2 mm; these are similar to the original hydrated beads (before air-drying), which have an average diameter of 1.8 mm.

On the contrary, the physical evaluations carried out with the raw calcium alginate beads (CA) showed that after three cycles, the CA beads tend to swell very rapidly as a result of the fragile stability in the presence of sodium salts (Figure S4); these are not stable in effluents containing Na⁺ (even at low ionic-strength: 0.005 M NaNO₃). After ten cycles, the average diameter of CA was found to be

two times greater than CAM-D2EHPA (i.e., 3.9 mm). The introduction of HM-magadiite/D2EHPA into the alginate matrix improves the mechanical strength of the resulting CAM-D2EHPA material, making this material appropriate for industrial applications (this will be the scope of a future work).

Cycles		Pb(II)			Ni(II)	
Number of Cycles	pHe	Sorption (%)	Desorption (%)	pHe	Sorption (%)	Desorption (%)
1	2.5	97.8	94.0	2.5	83.2	99.1
2	2.6	97.8	92.5	2.6	74.8	99.5
3	2.5	97.6	88.8	2.5	74.8	99.6
4	2.6	97.7	97.5	2.7	80.7	93.7
5	2.6	97.9	89.3	2.6	76.5	92.6
6	2.6	97.7	94.1	2.6	74.7	95.0
7	2.6	97.7	89.0	2.6	76.3	93.8
8	2.6	98.3	87.0	2.6	74.9	96.5
9	2.6	98.3	81.4	2.6	77.2	94.7
10	2.6	98.5	88.0	2.6	77.2	95.7

Table 5. Sorption and desorption cycles using CAM-D2EHPA sorbent.

3.6. Dynamic System

Columns experiments are very useful for evaluating CAM-D2EHPA beads in similar conditions to the real wastewater treatment application. It is noteworthy that most of the sorption studies present in the literature have been reported using a batch system; the dynamic system experiments are very important since they allow the design and the scaling-up of the sorption technique to be improved.

The simplicity of using a fixed amount of packed sorbent for treating large volumes of contaminated effluents is the main advantage of the column configuration; it involves a better engineering conception of the material, and a better knowledge of the operating conditions. Additionally, the column configuration allows several steps of the batch system to be reduced (such as agitation of the batch reactor, separation of the solid sorbent from the aqueous phase, etc.). Figure 6 shows the typical S-shape of the curves by using a simulated effluent of lead and nickel (at equimolar inlet concentration of 0.24 mmol L^{-1} and pH 2.2). A dilute concentration of the solution was chosen in order to be close to industrial wastewater [16].

The breakthrough curves (Figure 6) have three relevant features: (i) the first step of the curves is more prolonged for Pb(II) than for Ni(II), indicating the special preference of the sorbent toward lead ions; (ii) the bed-volumes (BV) required for achieving the breaking point (q_{BP}) are 10 times greater for Pb(II) than for Ni(II), i.e., 59.1 bed-volumes for Pb(II) and 5.9 bed-volumes for Ni(II) (it means that 5% of the feed concentration is leached after 5.9 bed-volume; Table 6); (iii) Nickel in the presence of lead is rapidly eluted from the column. Lead ions compete with nickel for active sites in the sorbent, thus nickel overshoot is produced; the sorbent has a limited sorption capacity and the species with lower affinity are pushed-off and displaced by the species of higher affinity. This phenomenon is in agreement with Sag and Kutsal [58].

Table 6 reports the sorption capacity obtained by the fixed-bed CAM-D2EHPA at the breaking and exhaustion points (q_{BP} and q_{exp} , respectively); for lead, 22.3 mg g⁻¹ at the breaking point and 61.5 mg g⁻¹ at the exhaustion point were obtained. The sorption capacity corresponds well to the preliminary results obtained in the binary system (Table 1), but it is almost three times lower of that obtained in the batch system at single conditions (Table 2). The difference in sorption capacity values (between single and binary system) could be due mainly to the poor mass transfer in the column, which is associated with the resistance to film diffusion (particularly active in dilute concentrations) [59]. The mass transfer is improved on reducing the flow rate [13]. As expected, the removal of nickel was low (5.7 mg g⁻¹); the sorbent is very selective toward lead ions at the chosen operating conditions. This agrees with the results of Table 1.



Figure 6. Breakthrough curves for a binary system of Pb(II) and Ni(II) using hydrated CAM-D2EHPA as sorbent (dashed line: Thomas model; *T*: 20 °C; internal diameter of the column, \emptyset : 0.5 cm; column height: 20 cm; flow rate: 0.13 mL min⁻¹; pH: 2.2; *C*₀: 0.24 mmol L⁻¹).

It is noteworthy that sorption unities are commonly used as a "polishing" step for treating volumes of effluents containing traces of pollutants., and these are usually installed downstream of the primary techniques (e.g., coagulation–flocculation) in industrial water treatment applications [13]. Thus, the recycling of the fixed-bed is important to achieve a high performance and reduce the operational costs of the process. The elution of the column was performed with dilute HNO₃ solution (0.5 M). As expected, the sorbed lead was entirely recovered (Figure 7): the elution efficiency was found to be 99% for lead and 21.5% for nickel. The volume required for the fixed-bed regeneration was estimated as 0.05 L. This makes the large-scale utilization of CAM-D2EHPA for the selective sorption of lead from industrial effluents promising and feasible.



Figure 7. Simultaneous elution of Pb(II) and Ni(II) from the fixed-bed column by using HNO₃ (0.5 M) as eluent (**Left side**: Recovered metal concentration as a function of the volume (L), dashed line: desorption trend; **right side**: Elution efficiency as a function of the volume (L); *T*: 20 °C; internal diameter of the column, \emptyset : 0.5 cm; column height: 20 cm; flow rate: 0.13 mL min⁻¹).

	Experim	ental		Tho	omas Parameters	
Sorbate	qexp (mg·g ^{−1})	$q_{\rm BP}$ (mg·g ⁻¹)	BV _{BP}	$q_{\rm T}$ (mg·g ⁻¹)	$\frac{K_{\rm T}}{({\rm L}\cdot{\rm h}^{-1}\cdot{\rm mg}^{-1})}$	r^2
Pb(II) Ni(II)	61.50 5.51	22.3 0.58	59.13 5.91	56.71 5.69	$8.62 imes 10^{-4} \ 1.44 imes 10^{-2}$	0.986 0.992

Table 6. Sorption parameters for continuous system using CAM-D2EHPA material as sorbent.

4. Conclusions

In the present work, the manufactured CAM-D2EHPA material was especially conceived for the selective removal of lead and nickel ions from nitrate solutions; the introduction of HM-D2EHPA in the calcium alginate gel involves the addition of new functional groups (e.g., phosphoryl groups), improving the sorption uptake for the target metal ions at the same operating conditions. The sorption of Pb(II) and Ni(II) ions by CAM-D2EHPA beads was strongly influenced by the pH solution; an increase in pH, increases the sorption efficiency. The beads have a high selectivity toward lead in the presence of nickel ions over a wide pH range (at equimolar concentrations); this selectivity toward Pb(II) was attributed to the presence of extractant (D2EHPA) in the hybrid sorbent. The sorption isotherm data were better fitted with the Langmuir equation, the maximum sorption capacity was found to be 197 and 44 mg g⁻¹ for lead and nickel, respectively. A contact time of about 180–240 min was required to achieve equilibrium at pH 4; the sorption kinetic data followed a pseudo-second order rate equation (PSORE).

The regeneration of CAM-D2EHPA sorbent was examined with 10 consecutive sorption–desorption cycles using a dilute solution of HNO_3 (0.5 M); sorption efficiencies in the order of 98% and 80% for lead and nickel respectively were obtained. The possibility of using CAM-D2EHPA beads in a fixed-bed column was demonstrated with a simulated effluent of lead and nickel (in a binary system). The results were in agreement with the batch system, and the experimental data were accurately described with the Thomas model. The main advantages of using CAM-D2EHPA are the very simple manufacturing procedure, low-cost, high mechanical strength, added to high capacity for sorption of heavy metals compared to the hybrid materials reported in the literature.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/11/2/340/s1. Figure S1: Metal species as a function of pH (Pb(II), Ni(II)), Figure S2: Points of zero charge of different sorbents. (a) HM-D2EHPA; (b) CA; (c) CAM-D2EHPA, Table S1: Langmuir, Freundlich, and Sips constants of CA material, Figure S3: Sorption and desorption efficiency of lead (a) and nickel (b) by CAM-D2EHPA material. (*T*: 20 °C; sorbent dosage, SD: 10 g L⁻¹; agitation speed: 180 rpm; contact time: 3 h; *C*₀: 50 mg L⁻¹; C (HNO₃): 0.5 M; time of desorption: 30 min), Figure S4: Images of calcium alginate beads, and CAM-D2EHPA hybrid beads; a) before adsorption; b) after adsorption.

Author Contributions: H.D., K.A. and A.M.S. conceived and designed the experiments; K.A. performed the tests and collected the experimental data; the manuscript was written by K.A. and H.D. The revision of the result interpretations was performed by H.D., K.A., D.B., and A.M.S.

Acknowledgments: A.M. Sastre and H. Demey would like to thank the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness, MINECO (project Ref: CTM2017-83581-R). K. Attar would like to thank the Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, Government of Algeria, for the financial support under Project (fellowship No 49/PNE). The authors would like to thank T. Trifonov, M. Dominguez, and A. Fortuny from Universitat Politecnica de Catalunya for their technical assistance in this project.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

HM	H-magadiite
D2EHPA	Bis (2-ethylhexyl) phosphoric acid
HM-D2EHPA	H-magadiite impregnated with D2EHPA
CA	calcium alginate beads
CAM-D2EHPA	HM-D2EHPA immobilized into calcium alginate beads

References

- 1. Seyedi, S.M.; Anvaripour, B.; Motavassel, M.; Jadidi, N. Comparative Cadmium Adsorption from Water by Nanochitosan and Chitosan. *Int. J. Eng. Innov. Technol.* **2013**, *2*, 145–148.
- 2. Gorchev, H.G.; Ozolins, G. *Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality*; WHO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2011; Volume 1, p. 564.
- 3. Mirbagheri, S.A.; Hosseini, S.N. Pilot plan investigation on petrochemical wastewater treatment for the removal of copper and chromium with the objective of reuse. *Desalination* **2005**, *171*, 85–93. [CrossRef]
- 4. Özverdi, A.; Erdem, M. Cu⁺², Cd⁺² and Pb⁺² adsorption from aqueous solutions by pyrite and synthetic iron sulphide. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2006**, 137, 626–632. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Aji, B.A.; Yavuz, Y.; Koparal, A.S. Electrocoagulation of heavy metals containing model wastewater using monopolar iron electrodes. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **2012**, *86*, 248–254. [CrossRef]
- 6. Chen, G. Electrochemical technologies in wastewater treatment. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **2004**, *38*, 11–41. [CrossRef]
- Coll, M.T.; Fortuny, A.; Kedari, C.S.; Sastre, A.M. Studies on the extraction of Co(II) and Ni(II) from aqueous chloride solutions using Primene JMT-Cyanex272 ionic liquid extractant. *Hydrometallurgy* 2012, 125–126, 24–28. [CrossRef]
- Blöcher, C.; Dorda, J.; Mavrov, V.; Chmiel, H.; Lazaridis, N.K.; Matis, K.A. Hybrid flotation-membrane filtration process for the removal of heavy metal ions from wastewater. *Water Res.* 2003, 37, 4018–4046. [CrossRef]
- 9. Samper, E.; Rodriguez, M.; De la Rubia, M.A.; Prats, D. Removal of metal ions at low concentration by micellar-enhanced ultrafiltration (MEUF) using sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and linear alkylbenzene sulfonate (LAS). *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **2009**, *65*, 337–342. [CrossRef]
- 10. Edebali, S.; Pehlivan, E. Evaluation of chelate and cation exchange resins to remove copper ions. *Powder Technol.* **2016**, *301*, 520–525. [CrossRef]
- 11. Kang, S.Y.; Lee, J.U.; Moon, S.H.; Kim, KW. Competitive adsorption characteristics of Co⁺², Ni⁺², and Cr⁺³ by IRN-77 cation exchange resin in synthesized wastewater. *Chemosphere* **2004**, *56*, 141–147. [CrossRef]
- 12. Elwakeel, K.Z.; Guibal, E. Selective removal of Hg(II) from aqueous solution by functionalized magnetic-macromolecular hybrid material. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2015**, *281*, 345–359. [CrossRef]
- 13. Demey, H.; Lapo, B.; Ruiz, M.; Fortuny, A.; Marchand, M.; Sastre, A.M. Neodymium recovery by chitosan/Iron(III) hydroxide [ChiFer(III)] sorbent material: Batch and Column systems. *Polymers* **2018**, *10*, 204. [CrossRef]
- Demey, H.; Tria, S.A.; Soleri, R.; Guiseppi-Elie, A.; Bazin, I. Sorption of his-tagged Protein G and Protein G onto chitosan/divalent metal ion sorbent used for detection of microcystin-LR, Environ. *Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 2017, 24, 15–24. [CrossRef]
- 15. Zhuo, N.; Lan, Y.; Yang, W.; Yang, Z.; Li, X.; Zhou, X.; Liu, Y.; Shen, J.; Zhang, X. Adsorption of three selected pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) onto MIL-101(Cr)/natural polymer composite beads. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **2017**, *177*, 272–280. [CrossRef]
- 16. Demey, H.; Vincent, T.; Guibal, E. A novel algal-based sorbent for heavy metal removal. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2018**, 332, 582–595. [CrossRef]
- Pereira, A.S.; Ferreira, G.; Caetano, L.; Castro, R.S.D.; dos Santos, A.; Padilha, P.M.; Castro, G.R.
 4-amine-2-mercaptopyrimidine modified silica gel applied in Cd(II) and Pb(II) extraction from an aqueous medium. *Pol. J. Chem. Technol.* 2010, *12*, 7–11. [CrossRef]
- 18. Tzvetkova, P.; Nickolov, R. Modified and unmodified silica gel used for heavy metal ions removal from aqueous solutions. *J. Univ. Chem. Technol. Metall.* **2012**, *47*, 498–504.
- 19. Bari, F.; Begum, N.; Jamaludin, S.B.; Hussin, K. Extraction and separation of Cu(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) by sol–gel silica immobilized with Cyanex 272. *Hydrometallurgy* **2009**, *96*, 140–147. [CrossRef]
- 20. Bouazza, D.; Miloudi, H.; Adjdir, M.; Tayeb, A.; Boos, A. Competitive adsorption of Cu (II) and Zn (II) on impregnate raw Algerian bentonite and efficiency of extraction. *Appl. Clay Sci.* **2018**, *151*, 118–123. [CrossRef]
- 21. Ge, M.; Wang, X.; Du, M.; Liang, G.; Hu, G.; Jahangir-Alam, S.M. Adsorption analyses of phenol from aqueous solutions using magadiite modified with organo-functional groups: Kinetic and equilibrium studies. *Materials* **2019**, *12*, 96. [CrossRef]

- Ge, M.; Cao, L.; Du, M.; Hu, G.; Jahangir-Alam, S.M. Adsorptive characterization of a pure magadiite and an organic modified magadiite on removal of methylene blue from related aqueous solution. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 2018, 217, 533–540. [CrossRef]
- 23. Berdous, D.; Akretche, D. Recovery of heavy metal using solvent impregnated resin (SIR) coupled with Donnan Dialysis. *Mater. Sci. Appl.* **2012**, *3*, 704–712. [CrossRef]
- 24. Vellaichamy, S. Adsorptive separation of copper, nickel, lead, zinc and cadmium from aqueous solution using MWCNTs impregnated with D2EHPA and prior to their determination by FAAS: Kinetic and equilibrium studies. *Sep. Sci. Technol.* **2016**. [CrossRef]
- 25. Kozlowska, J.; Kozłowski, C.A.; Koziol, J.J. Transport of Zn (II), Cd(II), and Pb(II) across CTA plasticized membranes containing organophosphorous acids as an ion carriers. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **2007**, *57*, 430–434. [CrossRef]
- Attar, K.; Bouazza, D.; Miloudi, H.; Tayeb, A.; Boos, A.; Sastre, A.M.; Demey-Cedeno, H. Cadmium removal by a low-cost magadiite-based material: Characterization and sorption applications. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* 2018, 6, 5351–5360. [CrossRef]
- Mousa, N.; Simonescu, C.M.; Pătescu, R.E.; Onose, C.; Tardei, C.; Culiță, D.C.; Oprea, O.; Patroi, D.; Lavric, V. Pb²⁺ removal from aqueous synthetic solutions by calcium alginate and chitosan coated calcium alginate. *React. Funct. Polym.* 2016, 109, 137–150. [CrossRef]
- 28. Ruiz, M.; Tobalina, C.; Demey-Cedeno, H.; Barron-Zambrano, J.A.; Sastre, A.M. Sorption of boron on calcium alginate gel beads. *React. Funct. Polym.* **2013**, *73*, 653–657. [CrossRef]
- 29. Algothmi, W.M.; Bandaru, N.M.; Yu, Y.; Shapter, J.G.; Ellis, A.V. Alginate-graphene oxide hybrid gel beads: An efficient copper adsorbent material. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2013**. [CrossRef]
- 30. Demey-Cedeño, H.; Ruiz, M.; Barron-Zambrano, J.A.; Sastre, A.M. Boron removal from aqueous solutions using alginate gel beads in fixed-bed systems. *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.* **2014**, *89*, 934–940. [CrossRef]
- 31. Ruiz, M.; Roset, L.; Demey, H.; Castro, S.; Sastre, A.M.; Perez, J.J. Equilibrium and dynamic studies for adsorption of boron on calcium alginate beads using principal components analysis (PCA) and partial least squares (PLS). *Materialwiss. Werkstofftech.* **2013**, *44*, 410–415. [CrossRef]
- 32. Xu, S.; Wang, Z.; Gao, Y.; Zhang, S.; Wu, K. Adsorption of rare earths (III) using an efficient sodium alginate hydrogel cross-linked with poly-γ-Glutamate. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0124826. [CrossRef]
- 33. Wang, F.; Zhao, J.; Pan, F.; Zhou, H.; Yang, X.; Li, W.; Liu, H. Adsorption properties toward trivalent rare earths by alginate beads doping with silica. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2013**, *52*, 3453–3461. [CrossRef]
- Garlaschelli, F.; Alberti, G.; Fiol, N.; Villaescusa, I. Application of anodic stripping voltammetry to assess sorption performance of an industrial waste entrapped in alginate beads to remove As (V). *Arab. J. Chem.* 2017. [CrossRef]
- 35. Ngomsik, A.; Beea, A.; Siauguea, J.; Talbot, D.; Cabuila, V.; Coted, G. Co (II) removal by magnetic alginate beads containing Cyanex 272[®]. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2009**, *166*, 1043–1049. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lai, Y.; Thirumavalavan, M.; Lee, J. Effective adsorption of heavy metal ions (Cu²⁺, Pb²⁺, Zn²⁺) from aqueous solution by immobilization of adsorbents on Ca-alginate beads. *Toxicol. Environ. Chem.* 2010, 92, 697–705. [CrossRef]
- 37. Rojo, J.M.; Ruiz-Hitzky, E.; Sanz, J. Proton-sodium exchange in magadiite. Spectroscopic study (NMR, IR) of the evolution of interlayer OH groups. *Inorg. Chem.* **1988**, *27*, 2785–2790. [CrossRef]
- 38. Song, S.; Gao, Q.; Jiang, J.; Gao, L.; Yu, L. A novel kind of porous carbon nitride using H-magadiite as the template. *Mater. Lett.* **2008**, *62*, 2520–2523. [CrossRef]
- 39. Darvishi, D.; Haghshenas, D.F.; Keshavarz Alamdari, E.; Sadrnezhaad, S.K.; Halali, M. Synergistic effect of Cyanex 272 and Cyanex 302 on separation of cobalt and nickel by D2EHPA. *Hydrometallurgy* **2005**, 77, 227–238. [CrossRef]
- 40. Bidari, E.; Irannajad, M.; Gharabaghi, M. Investigation of the influence of acetate ions on cadmium extraction with D2EHPA. *Hydrometallurgy* **2014**, *144–145*, 129–132. [CrossRef]
- 41. Benettayeb, A.; Guibal, E.; Morsli, A.; Kessas, R. Chemical modification of alginate for enhanced sorption of Cd (II), Cu (II) and Pb (II). *Chem. Eng. J.* **2017**. [CrossRef]
- 42. Nasiruddin Khan, M.; Sarwar, A. Determination of points of zero charge of natural and treated adsorbents. *Surf. Rev. Lett.* **2007**, *14*, 461–469. [CrossRef]
- 43. Haug, A. Dissociation of alginic acid. Acta Chem. Scand. 1961, 15, 950–952. [CrossRef]

- 44. Papageorgiou, S.K.; Katsaros, F.K.; Kouvelos, E.P.; Nolan, J.W.; Le Deit, H.; Kanellopoulos, N.K. Heavy metal sorption by calcium alginate beads from Laminaria digitata. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2006**, 137, 1765–1772. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Bohli, T.; Villaescusa, I.; Ouederni, A. Comparative study of bivalent cationic metals adsorption Pb(II), Cd(II), Ni(II) and Cu(II) on olive stones chemically activated carbon. *J. Chem. Eng. Process Technol.* **2013**, *4*, 158–164. [CrossRef]
- 46. Nethaji, S.; Sivasamy, A.; Mandal, A.B. Adsorption isotherms, kinetics and mechanism for the adsorption of cationic and anionic dyes onto carbonaceous particles prepared from Juglans regia shell biomass. *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *10*, 231–242. [CrossRef]
- 47. Bhattacharyya, K.G.; Sen Gupta, S. Adsorptive Accumulation of Cd(II), Co(II), Cu(II), Pb(II) and Ni(II) Ions from Water onto Kaolinite: Influence of Acid Activation. *Adsorpt. Sci. Technol.* **2009**, 27, 47–68. [CrossRef]
- Gonzalez, M.P.; Saucedo, I.; Navarro, R.; Avila, M.; Guibal, E. Selective Separation of Fe(III), Cd(II), and Ni(II) from Dilute Solutions Using Solvent-Impregnated Resins. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2001, 40, 6004–6013. [CrossRef]
- Asuquo, E.; Martin, A.; Nzerem, P.; Siperstein, F.; Fan, X. Adsorption of Cd(II) and Pb(II) ions from aqueous solutions using mesoporous activated carbon adsorbent: Equilibrium, kinetics and characterisation studies. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* 2017, *5*, 679–698. [CrossRef]
- 50. Puppa, L.D.; Komarek, M.; Bordas, F.; Bollinger, J.C.; Joussein, E. Adsorption of copper, cadmium, lead and zinc onto a synthetic manganese oxide. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2013**, *399*, 99–106. [CrossRef]
- 51. Abu Al-Rub, F.A.; El-Naas, M.H.; Benyahia, F.; Ashour, I. Biosorption of nickel on blank alginate beads, free and immobilized algal cells. *Process Biochem.* **2004**, *39*, 1767–1773. [CrossRef]
- 52. Alba, J.; Navarro, R.; Saucedo, I.; Vincent, T.; Guibal, E. Extractant Immobilization in Alginate Capsules (Matrix- and Mononuclear-Type): Application to Pb(II) Sorption from HCl Solutions. *Materials* **2017**, *10*, 634. [CrossRef]
- 53. Chen, K.; He, J.; Li, Y.; Cai, X.; Zhang, K.; Liu, T.; Hu, Y.; Lin, D.; Kong, L.; Liu, J. Removal of cadmium and lead ions from water by sulfonated magnetic nanoparticle adsorbents. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2017**, 494, 307–316. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Lapo, B.; Demey, H.; Zapata, J.; Romero, C.; Sastre, A.M. Sorption of Hg(II) and Pb(II) Ions on Chitosan-Iron(III) from Aqueous Solutions: Single and Binary Systems. *Polymers* **2018**, *10*, 367. [CrossRef]
- 55. Ewecharoena, A.; Thiravetyana, P.; Wendelb, E.; Bertagnolli, H. Nickel adsorption by sodium polyacrylate-grafted activated carbon. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2009**, *171*, 335–339. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Demey, H.; Melkior, T.; Chatroux, A.; Attar, K.; Thiery, S.; Miller, H.; Grateau, M.; Sastre, A.M.; Marchand, M. Evaluation of torrefied poplar-biomass as a low-cost sorbent for lead and terbium removal from aqueous solutions and energy co-generation. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2019**, *361*, 839–852. [CrossRef]
- 57. Miyah, Y.; Lahrichi, A.; Idrissi, M.; Boujraf, S.; Taouda, H.; Zerrouq, F. Assessment of adsorption kinetics for removal potential of Crystal Violet dye from aqueous solutions using Moroccan pyrophyllite. *J. Assoc. Arab. Univ. Basic Appl. Sci.* **2017**, *23*, 20–28. [CrossRef]
- 58. Sag, Y.; Kutsal, T. Recent trends in the biosorption of heavy metals: A review. *Biotechnol. Bioprocess Eng.* 2001, *6*, 376–385. [CrossRef]
- Kleinübing, S.J.; Da Silva, E.A.; Da Silva, M.G.C.; Guibal, E. Equilibrium of Cu(II) and Ni(II) biosorption by marine alga Sargassum filipendula in a dynamic system: Competitiveness and selectivity. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2011, 7, 4610–4617. [CrossRef]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).