View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk
brought to you by CORE
provided by Repository of the Academy's Library

Miskolc Mathematical Notes
Vol. 19 (2018), No. 2, pp. 1223–1231
HU e-ISSN 1787-2413
DOI: 10.18514/MMN.2018.1163

DISTANCE k-DOMINATION IN SOME CYCLE RELATED GRAPHS

S. K. VAIDYA AND N. J. KOTHARI

Received 12 March, 2014

Abstract. In this paper we determine distance $k-$domination number of graph obtained by duplication of vertices altogether by edges in cycle C_n, splitting graph of cycle C_n as well as graph obtained by duplication of edges altogether by vertices in cycle C_n.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 05C69; 05C12; 05C38; 05C76

Keywords: dominating set, domination number, distance domination, splitting graph

1. INTRODUCTION

Graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$, we mean simple, finite, connected and undirected graph. The open neighbourhood $N(v)$ of $v \in V(G)$ is the set of all vertices adjacent to v. That is, $N(v) = \{u \in V(G)/uv \in E(G)\}$. The closed neighbourhood of $v \in V(G)$ is the set $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$. The distance $d(u,v)$ between two vertices u and v is the length of the shortest $uv-$path in G, if exists, otherwise $d(u,v) = \infty$. The open $k-$neighbourhood $N_k(v)$ of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is the set of all vertices of G which are different from v and at a distance at most k from v in G. That is $N_k(v) = \{u \in V(G)/d(u,v) \leq k\}$. The closed $k-$neighbourhood set is defined as $N_k[v] = N_k(v) \cup \{v\}$. It is obvious that $N(v) = N_1(v)$. A set $D \subseteq V(G)$ is called a dominating set if every vertex in $V(G) - D$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in D. For terminology and notation not defined here we follow West [13] and Haynes *et al.* [3]. The concept of distance dominating set was initiated by Slater [7] with special reference to communication network, while the term distance $k-$dominating set was given by Henning *et al.* [5]. For an integer $k \geq 1$, $D \subseteq V(G)$ is a distance $k-$dominating set of G, if every vertex in $V(G) - D$ is within the distance k from some vertex $v \in D$. That is, $N_k[D] = V(G)$. The minimum cardinality among all the distance $k-$dominating sets of G is called the distance $k-$domination number of G and it is denoted by $\gamma_k(G)$. It is obvious that $\gamma(G) = \gamma_1(G)$. A distance $k-$dominating set of cardinality $\gamma_k(G)$ is called a γ_k-set. Many reserchers have explored the concept of distance $k-$domination in graphs. The distance domination number for cartesian products of two paths has been investigated by Klobucar [6]

© 2018 Miskolc University Press

while the distance domination in the context of spanning tree of the graph is discussed by Griggs and Hutchinson [2]. The bounds on the distance two-domination number and the classes of graphs attaining these bounds are reported in Sridharan *et al.* [8]. Tian and Xu [9] have established upper bound for distance $k-$domination for connected graph G and show that $\gamma_k(G) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n-\Delta+k-1}{k} \right\rfloor$. The same authors in [10] have studied average distance and distance domination number and established an upper bound of average distance in terms of distance domination. Fischermann and Volkmann [1] have characterized the graphs whose distance $n-$domination number is equal to half of their number of vertices, when the diameter is greater or equal to $2n-1$. Vaidya and Kothari [12] have investigated distance $k-$domination number of total graph, shadow graph and middle graph of path P_n. The same authors in [11] have investigated distance $k-$domination number for the graphs obtained by graph operations on some standard graphs. For more bibliographic references on distance $k-$domination, the readers are advised to refer a survey article by Henning [4].

2. RESULTS

Proposition 1 ([4]). *Let $k \geq 1$ and D be a distance k-dominating set of a graph G. Then D is a minimal distance k-dominating set of G if and only if each $d \in D$ has at least one of the following two properties hold.*
(1) There exist a vertex $v \in V(G) - D$ such that $N_k(v) \cap D = \{d\}$.
(2) The vertex d is at distance at least $k+1$ from every other vertex d of D in G.

Definition 1. Duplication of a vertex v by a new edge $e = v'v''$ of graph G produces a new graph G' such that $N(v') \cap N(v'') = \{v\}$.

Theorem 1. *If G is a graph obtained by duplication of vertices altogether by edges in cycle C_n $(n \leq 2k-1)$ then $\gamma_k(G) = 1$.*

Proof. Let G be a graph obtained by duplication of vertices $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ by edges $u_{2i-1}u_{2i}$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ in cycle C_n. Then $D = \left\{ v_{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \right\}$ is distance k-dominating set of G as $n \leq 2k-1$. Hence $\gamma_k(G) = 1$. □

Theorem 2. *If G is a graph obtained by duplication of vertices altogether by edges in cycle C_n $(n > 2k-1)$ then*

$$\gamma_k(G) = \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \dfrac{n}{2k-1} \right\rfloor + 1, & \text{for } n \equiv 1,2,...,2k-2 \pmod{2k-1} \\ \dfrac{n}{2k-1} & \text{for } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2k-1} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let G be a graph obtained by duplication of vertices $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ by edges $u_{2i-1}u_{2i}$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ in cycle C_n. One can observe that v_i's dominate more vertices than u_i's. Here vertices from $v_{n-(k-1)}$ to v_{k+1}, $u_{2n-(2k-3)}$ to u_{2n} and u_1

to u_{2k} are dominated by a vertex v_1 at a distance k. Also $d(v_1, u_{2k}) = k$, and $d(u_{2n-(2k-3)}, v_1) = k$. Hence $2k-1$ consecutive vertices from u_i's dominated by only one vertex v_1. Hence

$$\gamma_k(G) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2k-1} \right\rfloor \tag{2.1}$$

Now depending upon the number of vertices of C_n, consider the following subsets,
For $n \equiv 1, 2, \ldots, 2k-2 \pmod{2k-1}$

$$D = \left\{ v_{1+(2k-1)j} / 0 \leq j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2k-1} \right\rfloor \right\}, |D| = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2k-1} \right\rfloor + 1,$$

for $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2k-1}$

$$D = \left\{ v_{1+(2k-1)j} / 0 \leq j < \frac{n}{2k-1} \right\}, |D| = \frac{n}{2k-1}.$$

We claim that each D is a distance k-dominating set as
For $j \neq 0$,

$$d(v_{1+(2k-1)j}, v_{i+(2k-1)j}) \leq k, \text{ where } -k+1 \leq i \leq k+1, i \neq 1$$

$$d(v_{1+(2k-1)j}, u_{i+(2k-1)j}) \leq k, \text{ where } (3-2k)+(2k-1)j \leq i \leq 2k+(2k-1)j$$

and for $j = 0$,

$$d(v_1, v_i) \leq k, \text{ where } n-k+1 \leq i \leq n, 2 \leq i \leq k+1$$

$$d(v_1, u_i) \leq k, \text{ where } 1 \leq i \leq 2k, 2n-(2k-3) \leq i \leq 2n$$

Therefore for $j \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} N_k(v_{1+(2k-1)j}) = \{ & v_{2+(2k-1)j}, v_{3+(2k-1)j}, \ldots, v_{(k+1)+(2k-1)j}, \ldots, v_{(2k-1)j}, \\ & v_{(2k-1)j-1}, \ldots, v_{(2k-1)j-(k-1)}, u_{1+2(2k-1)j}, u_{2(1+(2k-1)j)}, \\ & \ldots, u_{2k+2((2k-1)j)}, u_{(3-2k)+2((2k-1)j)}, u_{(4-2k)+2((2k-1)j)}, \\ & \ldots, u_{2(2k-1)j} \}. \end{aligned}$$

While for $j = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} N_k(v_1) = \{ & v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_{k+1}, v_{n-(k-1)}, v_{n-k}, \ldots, v_n, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{2k}, u_{2n}, \\ & u_{2n-1}, \ldots, u_{2n-(2k-3)} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $N_k[D] = N_k[v_1] \cup N_k[v_{1+(2k-1)j}] = V(G)$.
For some $j = j_1$, $v_{1+(2k-1)j_1} \in D$ and for some $j = j_2$, $v_{1+(2k-1)j_2} \in D$,

$$d(v_{1+(2k-1)j_1}, v_{1+(2k-1)j_2}) = (j_2 - j_1)(2k-1) \geq k+1.$$

Which implies that every vertex d of D is at a distance $k+1$ apart from every other vertex of D in G. Thus by Proposition 1 above defined D is a minimal distance

$k-$dominating set of G and by expression 2.1 it is also of minimum cardinality for $n > 2k-1$. Hence

$$\gamma_k(G) = \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \dfrac{n}{2k-1} \right\rfloor + 1, & \text{for } n \equiv 1,2,...,2k-2 \,(\text{mod } 2k-1) \\ \dfrac{n}{2k-1} & \text{for } n \equiv 0 \;(\text{mod } 2k-1) \end{cases}$$

□

Illustration 1. *Distance 3−dominating set in graph G obtained by duplication of vertices in cycle C_{22} altogether by edges is shown by solid vertices in* FIGURE *1*.



FIGURE 1.

Definition 2. For a graph G, the splitting graph $S'(G)$ of graph G is obtained by adding a new vertex v' corresponding to each vertex v of G such that $N(v) = N(v')$.

Theorem 3. *If $n \leq 2k+1$, $k \neq 1$, then $\gamma_k(S'(C_n)) = 1$.*

Proof. Let $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ be the vertices of cycle C_n and $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$ be the vertices corresponding to $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ which are added to obtain $S'(C_n)$. Then $D = \left\{ v_{\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil} \right\}$ is distance k-dominating set of $S'(C_n)$ as $n \leq 2k+1$. Hence $\gamma_k(S'(C_n)) = 1$. □

Theorem 4. *If $n > 2k+1$ then*

$$\gamma_k(S'(C_n)) = \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \dfrac{n}{2k+1} \right\rfloor + 1, & \text{for } n \equiv 1,2,...,2k \;(\text{mod } 2k+1) \\ \dfrac{n}{2k+1} & \text{for } n \equiv 0 \;(\text{mod } 2k+1) \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ be the vertices of cycle C_n and $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$ be the vertices corresponding to $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ which are added to obtain $S'(C_n)$. One can observe that v_i's dominate more vertices than u_i's at a distance k. In graph $S'(C_n)$

vertices $v_{n-(k-1)}$ to v_{k+1} and $u_{n-(k-1)}$ to u_{n+k} are dominated by a vertex v_1 at a distance k. Also $d(v_{n-(k-1)}, v_{k+1}) = 2k+1$, and $d(u_{n-(k-1)}, u_{k+1}) = 2k+1$. Hence $2k+1$ consecutive vertices from v_i's and $(2k+1)$ consecutive vertices from u_i's dominated by only one vertex at a distance k. Which implies that

$$\gamma_k\left(S'(C_n)\right) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2k+1} \right\rfloor \tag{2.2}$$

Now depending upon the number of vertices of C_n, consider the following subsets, For $n \equiv 1, 2, \ldots, 2k \pmod{2k+1}$

$$D = \left\{ v_{1+(2k+1)j} / 0 \leq j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2k+1} \right\rfloor \right\}, |D| = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2k+1} \right\rfloor + 1,$$

for $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2k+1}$

$$D = \left\{ v_{1+(2k+1)j} / 0 \leq j < \frac{n}{2k+1} \right\}, |D| = \frac{n}{2k+1}.$$

We claim that each D is a distance k-dominating set as
for $j \neq 0$,

$$d(v_{1+(2k+1)j}, v_{i+(2k+1)j}) \leq k, \text{ where } -k+1 \leq i \leq k+1, i \neq 1$$

$$d(v_{1+(2k+1)j}, u_{i+(2k+1)j}) \leq k, \text{ where } -k+1 \leq i \leq k+1$$

while for $j = 0$

$$d(v_1, v_i) \leq k, \text{ where } n-k+1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq i \leq k+1$$

$$d(v_1, u_i) \leq k, \text{ where } 1 \leq i \leq k+1, n-k+1 \leq i \leq n$$

Therefore $j \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} N_k(v_{1+(2k+1)j}) = \{&v_{2+(2k+1)j}, v_{3+(2k+1)j}, \ldots, v_{(k+1)+(2k+1)j}, v_{(2k+1)j}, \\ &v_{(2k+1)j-1}, \ldots, v_{(2k+1)j-(k-1)}, u_{1+(2k+1)j}, u_{2+(2k+1)j}, \ldots, \\ &u_{(k+1)+(2k+1)j}, u_{(2k+1)j}, u_{(2k+1)j-1}, \ldots, u_{(2k+1)j-(k-1)}\}. \end{aligned}$$

While for $j = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} N_k(v_1) = \{&v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_{k+1}, v_n, v_{n-1}, v_{n-(-k+1)}, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{k+1}, u_{n-k+1}, \\ &u_{n-k+2}, \ldots, u_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $N_k[D] = N_k[v_1] \cup N_k[v_{1+(2k+1)j}] = V(S'(C_n))$.
For some $j = j_1$, $v_{1+(2k+1)j_1} \in D$ and for some $j = j_2$, $v_{1+(2k+1)j_2} \in D$,

$$d(v_{1+(2k+1)j_1}, v_{1+(2k+1)j_2}) = (j_2 - j_1)(2k+1) \geq k+1$$

This implies that every vertex d of D is at a distance $k+1$ apart from every other vertex of D in $S'(C_n)$. Thus by Proposition 1 above defined D is a minimal distance

$k-$dominating set of G and by expression 2.2 it is also of minimum cardinality for $n > 2k-1$. Hence

$$\gamma_k(S'(C_n)) = \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2k+1} \right\rfloor + 1, & \text{for } n \equiv 1,2,...,2k \pmod{2k+1} \\ \frac{n}{2k+1} & \text{for } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2k+1} \end{cases}$$

□

Illustration 2. *Distance* $4-$*dominating set in* $S'(C_{20})$ *is shown by solid vertices in* FIGURE 2.



FIGURE 2.

Definition 3. Duplication of an edge $e = uv$ by a new vertex w in a graph G produces a new graph G' such that $N(w) = \{u, v\}$.

Theorem 5. *If G is a graph obtained by duplication of edges altogether by vertices in cycle* C_n
$(n \leq 2k)$ *then* $\gamma_k(G) = 1$.

Proof. Let G be a graph obtained by duplication of edges $v_i v_{i+1}$ altogether by vertices u_i, $(1 \leq i < n)$ in cycle C_n. Then $\left\{ v_{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \right\}$ is distance k-dominating set of G as $n \leq 2k$. Hence $\gamma_k(G) = 1$. □

Theorem 6. *If G is a graph obtained by duplication of edges altogether by vertices in cycle C_n $(n > 2k)$ then*

$$\gamma_k(G) = \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2k} \right\rfloor + 1, & \text{for } n \equiv 1, 2, ..., 2k-1 \,(\text{mod } 2k) \\ \frac{n}{2k} & \text{for } n \equiv 0 (\text{ mod } 2k) \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let G be a graph obtained by duplication of edges $v_i v_{i+1}$ altogether by vertices u_i $(1 \leq i < n)$ in cycle C_n. One can observe that v_i's dominate more vertices than u_i's at a distance k. In graph G vertices v_{n-k} to v_{k+1} and $u_{n-(k-1)}$ to u_k are dominated by a vertex v_1 at a distance k. Also $d(u_{n-(k-1)}, v_1) = k$ and $d(v_1, u_k) = k$. Hence $2k$ consecutive vertices of u_i's are dominated by only one vertex at a distance k. Hence

$$\gamma_k(G) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2k} \right\rfloor \tag{2.3}$$

Now depending upon the number of vertices of C_n, consider the following subsets. For $n \equiv 1, 2, \ldots, 2k-1$ (mod $2k$)

$$D = \left\{ v_{1+(2k)j} / 0 \leq j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2k} \right\rfloor \right\}, |D| = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2k} \right\rfloor + 1,$$

for $n \equiv 0$ (mod $2k$)

$$D = \left\{ v_{1+(2k)j} / 0 \leq j < \frac{n}{2k} \right\}, |D| = \frac{n}{2k}.$$

Now we claim that each D is a distance k-dominating set as
for $j \neq 0$,

$$d(v_{1+2kj}, v_{i+2kj}) \leq k, \text{ where } -k+1 \leq i \leq k+1, i \neq 1,$$

$$d(v_{1+2kj}, u_{i+2kj}) \leq k, \text{ where } -k+1 \leq i \leq k$$

while for $j = 0$,

$$d(v_1, v_i) \leq k, \text{ where } n-k+1 \leq i \leq n, 2 \leq i \leq k+1$$

$$d(v_1, u_i) \leq k, \text{ where } n-k+1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq i \leq k$$

Therefore for $j \neq 0$,

$$N_k(v_{1+2kj}) = \{v_{2+2kj}, v_{3+2kj}, \ldots, v_{(k+1)+2kj}, v_{2kj}, v_{2kj-1}, \ldots, v_{2kj-(k-1)}, u_{1+2kj}, u_{2+2kj}, \ldots, u_{k+2kj}, u_{2kj}, u_{2kj-1}, \ldots, u_{2kj-(k-1)}\}.$$

While for $j = 0$,

$$N_k(v_1) = \{v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_{k+1}, v_{n-k+1}, v_{n-k+2}, \ldots, v_n, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k, u_{n-k+1}, u_{n-k+2}, \ldots, u_n\}.$$

This implies that $N_k[D] = N_k[v_1] \cup N_k[v_{1+(2k)j}] = V(G)$.
For some $j = j_1$, $v_{1+(2k)j_1} \in D$ and for some $j = j_2$, $v_{1+(2k)j_2} \in D$,

$$d(v_{1+(2k)j_1}, v_{1+(2k)j_2}) = (j_2 - j_1)2k \geq k+1$$

Which implies that every vertex d of D is at a distance $k+1$ apart from every other vertex of D in G. Thus by Proposition 1 above defined D is a minimal distance $k-$dominating set of G and by expression 2.3 it is also of minimum cardinality for $n > 2k$. Hence

$$\gamma_k(G) = \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2k} \right\rfloor + 1, & \text{for } n \equiv 1,2,\ldots,2k-1 \pmod{2k} \\ \frac{n}{2k} & \text{for } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2k} \end{cases}$$

□

Illustration 3. *Distance* 2*−dominating set in graph G obtained by duplication of edges in* C_{18} *altogether by vertices is shown by solid vertices in* FIGURE *3*.



FIGURE 3.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Fischermann and L. Volkmann, "Graphs having distance-n domination number half their order." *Discrete Appl. Math.*, vol. 120, no. 1-3, pp. 97–107, 2002, doi: 10.1016/S0166-218X(01)00284-0.

[2] J. R. Griggs and J. P. Hutchinson, "On the r-domination number of a graph." *Discrete Math.*, vol. 101, no. 1-3, pp. 65–72, 1992, doi: 10.1016/0012-365X(92)90591-3.

[3] T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi, and P. J. Slater, *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs*. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.

[4] M. A. Henning, "Distance domination in graphs," in *Domination in Graphs: Advanced Topics*, T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi, and P. J. Slater, Eds. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998, pp. 321–349.

[5] M. Henning, O. R. Oellermann, and H. C. Swart, "Bounds on distance domination parameters." *J. Comb. Inf. Syst. Sci.*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 11–18, 1991.

[6] A. Klobučar, "On the k-dominating number of Cartesian products of two paths." *Math. Slovaca*, vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 141–154, 2005.

[7] P. J. Slater, "R-domination in graphs." *J. Assoc. Comput. Mach.*, vol. 23, pp. 446–450, 1976, doi: 10.1145/321958.321964.

[8] N. Sridharan, V. Subramanian, and M. Elias, "Bounds on the distance two-domination number of a graph." *Graphs Comb.*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 667–675, 2002, doi: 10.1007/s003730200050.

[9] F. Tian and J.-M. Xu, "A note on distance domination numbers of graphs." *Australas. J. Comb.*, vol. 43, pp. 181–190, 2009.

[10] F. Tian and J.-M. Xu, "Average distances and distance domination numbers." *Discrete Appl. Math.*, vol. 157, no. 5, pp. 1113–1127, 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.dam.2008.03.024.

[11] S. K. Vaidya and N. J. Kothari, "Distance k-domination of some path related graphs," *International Journal of Mathematics and Soft Computing*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1–5, 2014.

[12] S. K. Vaidya and N. J. Kothari, "Some new results on distance k-domination in graphs." *Int. J. Comb.*, vol. 2013, p. 4, 2013, doi: 10.1155/2013/795401.

[13] D. B. West, *Introduction to graph theory*, 2nd ed. Prentice-Hall, New Delhi, India, 2003.

Authors' addresses

S. K. Vaidya
Saurashtra University, Department of Mathematics, Rajkot - 360005, Gujarat, INDIA
E-mail address: samirkvaidya@yahoo.co.in

N. J. Kothari
L. E. College (Diploma), General Department, Morbi-363642, Gujarat, INDIA
E-mail address: nirang_kothari@yahoo.com