

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

## Open Access



# Migraine-specific quality of life questionnaire and relapse of medication overuse headache

Stefano Caproni<sup>1</sup>, Elisa Bianchi<sup>2</sup>, Letizia M. Cupini<sup>3</sup>, Ilenia Corbelli<sup>1</sup>, Ettore Beghi<sup>2</sup>, Paolo Calabresi<sup>1,4</sup>, Paola Sarchielli<sup>1\*</sup> and SAMOHA Study Group

## Abstract

**Background:** The management of Medication overuse headache (MOH) represents a difficult challenge for clinicians and headache experts, particularly for the responder rate after a successful withdrawal treatment. The purpose of this study was to investigate the role of demographic and clinical characteristics as well as the score of Migraine-Specific Quality of Life Questionnaire (MSQ), Migraine Disability Questionnaire and Leeds Dependence Questionnaire in predicting a response after a successful withdrawal treatment in patients with MOH.

**Methods:** This ancillary study is part of a randomized trial that demonstrated the safety and the efficacy of a 3-month treatment with sodium valproate (VPA) (800 mg/day vs placebo) in MOH. Demographic and clinical characteristics and questionnaire results were obtained from the entire sample.

**Results:** A significant correlation was found only between MOH relapse and the total MSQ score, the Role Preventive sub-scale and the Emotional Function sub-scale, suggesting a poorer quality of life in non responders.

**Conclusion:** A high MSQ score could be associated with a poor short-term outcome in MOH patients after a successful treatment with detoxification followed by a new treatment.

**Keywords:** MOH, MSQ, Relapse

## Background

Medication-overuse headache (MOH) is a chronic headache having a relevant impact in clinical practice, with a prevalence of 1-2 % in the general population [1, 2]. The primary headache leading to MOH is migraine in most cases. The management of MOH represents a difficult challenge for clinicians and headache experts [3]. Indeed, even in case of a successful withdrawal treatment, the relapse rate of MOH ranges between 24 % and 43 % (40 % during the first year after withdrawal) [4]. Most studies indicate that the relapse usually occurs within few months after detoxification [5].

In recent years an increasing number of studies focused on the predictors of relapse of MOH, but no reproducible results have been achieved. While in a 4-year follow-up no significant predictors were found [6], in three studies with 1-year follow-up, predictors of relapse were, respectively, a

long duration of migraine before medication overuse, a higher frequency of migraine after withdrawal, and a great number of previous preventive treatments [7], male sex, intake of combination analgesics after withdrawal, nicotine and alcohol consumption [8], the type of primary headache and the type of the overused medication [9]. Generally, even if proper instructions and appropriate surveillance are considered necessary to avoid relapse [4], no definite clinical predictors for the management of MOH patients are available.

The complexity of MOH often depends on the comorbidities that are reported more frequently compared to what happens for episodic headaches. Thus, MOH patients show increased disability, reduced mood and social impairment compared with episodic migraineurs, leading to a worsening in their quality of life [3]. Recently, a high score measured by a self-reported quality of life tool resulted a predictor for a poor outcome of MOH patients [10]. In the last years patient-reported outcome measures have been applied to MOH to evaluate the consequence of this disorder on patients' daily lives. Therefore, as

\* Correspondence: [paola.sarchielli@gmail.com](mailto:paola.sarchielli@gmail.com)

<sup>1</sup>Clinica Neurologica, Azienda Ospedaliera - Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

with migraine, self-reported quality of life questionnaire's scores could be considered as secondary endpoints in clinical trials along with clinical characteristics of MOH. Moreover, they could be investigated as outcome and relapse predictors.

Recently, the Sodium valproate in the treatment of Medication Overuse Headache (SAMOHA) study demonstrated the efficacy and safety of sodium valproate (VPA), after detoxification, in the short-term treatment of MOH patients with a history of migraine without aura. Moreover, patients treated with VPA reported a significantly higher improvement in the MSQ at the end of the treatment, compared to controls, whereas this difference was non-significant at the 3-month follow-up visit [11]. In light of this, we analysed data obtained from patients enrolled in the SAMOHA study, in order to investigate the role of the basal demographic and clinical characteristics along with disability, dependence and quality of life as outcome and response predictors.

## Methods

### Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents

As an ancillary study, the protocol was submitted for approval to the Ethics Committees of each participating center. The SAMOHA trial was registered on the European Union Drug Regulating Authorities Clinical Trials website (EudraCT code 2007-006773-92; <https://www.clinicaltrialsregister.eu/ctr-search/trial/2007-006773-92/IT>). The SAMOHA study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and its amendments (Seoul, October 2008). All patients provided their written consent to participate in the study.

### Subjects

The SAMOHA study was a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study that randomized 88 MOH patients for a 3-month treatment period with VPA (800 mg/day) or placebo after a 6-day outpatient detoxification regimen, followed by a 3-month follow-up [12].

### Statistical analysis

This report describes post-hoc secondary data analysis from the SAMOHA study. Analyses were performed in the intent-to-treat population. Missing data were handled using the last observation carried forward approach (LOCF) in patients with at least one assessment. Demographic (sex, age), clinical (body mass index, comorbidity, surgery) and headache characteristics (frequency, intensity, total and MOH duration, overused drugs), the Migraine Specific Quality of Life Questionnaire (MSQ) [12] score, and the scores of the three sub-scales— Role Restriction (RR), Role Preventive (RP) and Emotional Function (EF), – as well as the Migraine DisAbility

Questionnaire (MIDAS) [13] and the Leeds Dependence Questionnaire (LDQ) [14] scores, were compared at baseline in Responders (patients achieving  $\geq 50$  % reduction in the number of days with headache per month) and Non-Responders at the 24-week visit (R and NR, respectively). Comparisons between R and NR are reported as count and percentage, or median and interquartile range (IQR). Differences between the two groups were tested using the Fisher's exact test or the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test. Data resulting significant in univariate analysis were also adjusted for treatment using logistic regression models. Results are reported as adjusted odds ratios (OR) with 95 % confidence intervals (CI). All tests were two-tailed, and the significance level was set at 5 %. All analyses were performed using the SAS statistical analysis system version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

## Results

The LOCF imputation method allowed the identification of 31 R and 51 NR at 24 weeks (3 months after the discontinuation of treatment). The 3-month proportion of patients achieving  $\geq 50$  % reduction in the number of days with headache per month in the entire sample was 34.1 %, while this rate was 37.8 % at the 24-week visit. A significant difference between R and NR was found for the total MSQ score (median 33.0, IQR 24.0-41.0, vs. 40.0, IQR 27.0-50.0,  $p = 0.0180$ ), the RP sub-scale (median 6.0, IQR 5.0-9.0, vs. 9.0, IQR 6.0-13.0,  $p = 0.0195$ ) and the EF sub-scale (median 5.0, IQR 2.0-7.0, vs. 8.0, IQR 4.0-12.0), while the RR sub-score and the total MIDAS and LDQ total scores as well as the other demographic, clinical and headache variables were evenly distributed in the two groups (Table 1). After adjusting for treatment, the association between MOH relapse and the total MSQ, the RP sub-scale and the EF sub-scale, was still statistically significant. The adjusted ORs were: 1.04 (95 % CI 1.01 – 1.08,  $p = 0.0249$ ) for the total MSQ, 1.15 (95 % CI 1.03 – 1.29,  $p = 0.0163$ ) for the RP sub-scale, and 1.14 (95 % CI 1.02 – 1.28,  $p = 0.0257$ ) for the EF sub-scale.

## Discussion

Our findings suggest that only a high MSQ score is associated with a poor short-term outcome after a successful treatment with detoxification followed by a new treatment. In particular, the most significant difference was found for the RP and EF sub-scales, that measure the degree to which performance of normal activities is prevented or completely interrupted by headache and, respectively, the impact of symptoms on emotional well-being. These aspects can be considered the very disabling aspects of headache compared to those measured by the RR sub-scale. The MSQ score can be considered an indicator of headache severity self-reported by the patients, assessing

**Table 1** Comparison of baseline demographic, clinical and headache characteristics, total MSQ, EF, RP and RR, MIDAS and LDQ, between responders and non-responders at the 24-week visit

	Non-responders (51)	Responders (31)	p-value
	n (%)	n (%)	
Sex			
F	41 (80.4)	24 (77.4)	0.7475
M	10 (19.6)	7 (22.58)	
Age class (years)			
18-34 years	8 (15.7)	5 (16.1)	0.6547
35 - 44 years	20 (39.2)	10 (32.3)	
45 - 54 years	18 (35.3)	10 (32.3)	
55 - 64 years	5 (9.8)	6 (19.3)	
BMI class			
(<18) Underweight	2 (4)	1 (3.2)	0.4466
(18–24.9) Normalweight	32 (64)	17 (54.8)	
(25–29.9) Overweight	10 (20)	11 (35.5)	
(>30) Obese	6 (12)	2 (6.5)	
Missing	1		
Comorbidity			
No	36 (70.6)	25 (80.7)	0.3117
Yes	15 (29.4)	6 (19.3)	
Surgery			
No	15 (30.6)	7 (23.3)	0.4836
Yes	34 (69.4)	23 (76.7)	
Missing	2	1	
Headache days/month			
15-25	22 (43.1)	17 (54.8)	0.3036
>25	29 (56.9)	14 (45.2)	
Headache intensity			
Slight	0 (0)	3 (9.7)	0.0639
Moderate	20 (39.2)	9 (29)	
Severe	31 (60.8)	19 (61.3)	
Headache duration (years)			
0 - 10 years	8 (15.7)	3 (9.7)	0.8787
11 - 20 years	12 (23.5)	7 (22.6)	
21 - 30 years	16 (31.4)	11 (35.5)	
>30 years	15 (29.4)	10 (32.2)	
MOH duration (years)			
<1 year	7 (13.7)	3 (9.7)	0.6263
1-3 years	13 (25.5)	11 (35.5)	
3-5 years	13 (25.5)	5 (16.1)	
>5 years	18 (35.3)	12 (38.7)	
Over used drugs			
Analgesics > 14 days	20 (39.2)	9 (29)	0.3617
Analgesicscombinations > 9 days	5 (9.8)	7 (22.6)	
Drugcombinations > 9 days	15 (29.4)	7 (22.6)	

**Table 1** Comparison of baseline demographic, clinical and headache characteristics, total MSQ, EF, RP and RR, MIDAS and LDQ, between responders and non-responders at the 24-week visit (*Continued*)

	11 (21.6)	8 (25.8)	
	Median (IQR)	Median (IQR)	<i>p</i> -value
MSQ	40.0 (27.0 - 50.0)	33.0 (24.0 - 41.0)	0.0180
EF	8.0 (4.0 - 12.0)	5.0 (2.0 - 7.0)	0.0330
RP	9.0 (6.0 - 13.0)	6.0 (5.0 - 9.0)	0.0195
RR	23.0 (16.0 - 27.0)	18.0 (15.0 - 24.0)	0.1188
MIDAS	70 (24.0 - 96.0)	38.0 (15.0 - 75.0)	0.1119
LDQ	7.0 (5.0 - 14.0)	8.0 (7.0 - 13.0)	0.5031

BMI: Body Mass Index

MSQ: Migraine-Specific Quality of Life Questionnaire

EF: Emotional Function

RP: Role Preventive

RR: Role Restriction

MIDAS: Migraine DisAbility questionnaire

LDQ: Leeds Dependence Questionnaire

IQR: Interquartile range

the most disabling impact of MOH in daily life. Thus, MOH patients reporting a high MSQ score before treatment should be considered at high risk of short-term treatment failure. This result can be of substantial help for clinicians in the management of this type of headache. Of note, the lack of correlation between response and the MIDAS and the LDQ scores indicates that quality of life rather than functional disability and dependence is likely to have an influence on the response to any new treatment in patients with MOH.

All the other variables were evenly distributed in the two groups. These findings are in contrast with previous studies [6–9], perhaps because of the high variability among study populations. Further main reasons for non-reproducible results are probably differences in protocol, treatment and follow-up.

On this background, our results can represent a useful starting point for the general management of MOH. In fact, it is possible to argue that if a high MSQ score is reported, even in absence of relevant comorbidities, the patient should be considered at high risk of short-term treatment failure. Moreover, MSQ is easy to obtain, patient-friendly, reproducible, and suitable for short- and long-term follow-up.

Our study has some limitations. First, the small sample size prevents definitive results on the demographic and clinical characteristics we investigated. Second, our study analyzed a short-term follow-up, thus the correlation between MSQ score and response to treatment in the long-term was not assessed. Third, as we did not adjust for multiple comparisons, the possibility that our results are chance findings cannot be excluded. A prospective study is thus needed to compare MSQ with the clinical characteristics of patients suffering from MOH (including the

treatment protocols) to predict short- and long-term specific outcomes.

## Conclusions

In conclusion, our findings suggest that a high MSQ score may be associated to a negative outcome of MOH patients after detoxification followed by a new treatment. Larger studies with longer follow-up are needed to clarify this issue given that their results could lead to a better management of MOH patients.

## Abbreviations

EF: Emotional function; IQR: Interquartile range; NR: Non-responders; MOH: Medication-overuse headache; MSQ: Migraine-specific quality of life questionnaire v2.1; R: Responders; RP: Role preventive; RR: Role restriction; SAMOHA: Sodium valproate in the treatment of Medication Overuse Headache; VPA: Sodium valproate.

## Competing interests

Dr. Caproni, Dr. Bianchi, Dr. Cupini, Dr. Corbelli, Dr. Sarchielli report no disclosures; Dr. Beghi reports personal fees from Viropharma for participation in a Steering Committee, personal fees from GSK for a seminar in a teaching course, grants from the Italian Drug Agency (AIFA), the Italian Ministry of Health, the American ALS Association, and from EISAI and UCB-Pharma, outside the submitted work; Prof. Calabresi has received research grants from: Bayer, Schering, Biogen, BoehringerIngelheim, Eisai, Novartis, Lundbeck, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Sanofi-Aventis, Sigma-Tau, and UCB Pharma.

## Authors' contributions

Dr. SC contributed in drafting/ revising the manuscript, study concept and design, analysis and interpretation of data, acquisition of data, statistical analysis, study supervision or coordination and obtaining funding. Dr. EB contributed in drafting/ revising the manuscript, analysis and interpretation of data and statistical analysis. Dr. LMC contributed in drafting/ revising the manuscript, analysis and interpretation of data and acquisition of data. Dr. IC contributed in drafting/ revising the manuscript, study concept and design, analysis and interpretation of data, acquisition of data, statistical analysis, study supervision or coordination and obtaining funding. Prof. EB contributed in drafting/ revising the manuscript, analysis and interpretation of data and statistical analysis. Prof. PC contributed in drafting/ revising the manuscript, study concept and design, analysis and interpretation of data, acquisition of data, statistical analysis, study supervision or coordination and obtaining funding.

funding. Dr. PS contributed in drafting/revising the manuscript, study concept and design, analysis and interpretation of data, acquisition of data, statistical analysis, study supervision or coordination and obtaining funding. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Acknowledgments

### SAMOHA Study Group

Elisabetta Pupillo, PhD (Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche "Mario Negri", Milano, Italy); LA. Pini, MD (Centro Cefalee, Università degli Studi di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Italy); Cesare Iani, MD (Centro Cefalee e Malattie Cerebrovascolari, Ospedale S. Eugenio, Roma, Italy); P. Livrea, MD and Maria Pia Prudenzano, MD (Clinica Neurologica, Policlinico di Bari, Italy); G. Bernardi, MD and Marina Diomed, MD (Clinica Neurologica, Policlinico Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy); G. Tedeschi MD and Antonio Russo, MD (Clinica Neurologica, II Università degli Studi di Napoli, Italy); F. Pisani, MD and Laura Rosa Pisani, MD (Clinica Neurologica, Università di Messina, Italy); G. Bono, MD and Giulia Misaggi, MD (Centro Cefalee / Sez. UCADH - Università degli Studi dell'Insubria, Varese, Italy); V. Di Piero, MD and Laura Di Clemente, MD (Dipartimento di Neurologia e Psichiatria "Sapienza" Università di Roma, Italy); G. Sandrini, MD and Marta Allena, MD (IRCCS Fondazione "Istituto Mondino", Università di Pavia, Italy).

Dr. Sarchielli confirms that obtained written permission from each co-investigator.

## Funding

This project proposal was approved and funded (announcement year 2006) by "Agenzia Italiana del Farmaco" as part of the project AREA "Pharmacoeconomic studies aimed at defining the benefit-risk profile of treatments and the impact of strategies for improving the appropriateness of drug use. TOPIC: Studies on pharmacological treatments of chronic headache".

Dr. Sarchielli had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

## Author details

<sup>1</sup>Clinica Neurologica, Azienda Ospedaliera - Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy. <sup>2</sup>IRCCS Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche "Mario Negri", Milan, Italy. <sup>3</sup>Centro Cefalee e Malattie Cerebrovascolari, Ospedale S. Eugenio, Rome, Italy. <sup>4</sup>IRCCS Fondazione "S. Lucia", Rome, Italy.

Received: 4 March 2015 Accepted: 6 May 2015

Published online: 21 May 2015

## References

- Colás R, Muñoz P, Temprano R, Gómez C, Pascual J. Chronic daily headache with analgesic overuse: epidemiology and impact on quality of life. *Neurology*. 2014;62:1338–42.
- Calabresi P, Cupini LM. Medication-overuse headache: similarities with drug addiction. *Trends Pharmacol Sci*. 2005;26:62–8.
- Evers S, Marziniak M. Clinical features, pathophysiology, and treatment of medication-overuse headache. *Lancet Neurol*. 2010;9:391–401.
- Corbelli I, Caproni S, Eusebi P, Sarchielli P. Drug-dependence behaviour and outcome of medication-overuse headache after treatment. *J Headache Pain*. 2012;13:653–60.
- Evers S, Jensen R. European Federation of Neurological Societies, Treatment of medication overuse headache—guideline of the EFNS headache panel. *Eur J Neurol*. 2011;18:1115–21.
- Hagen K, Albrechtsen C, Vilming ST, Salvesen R, Grønning M, Helde G, et al. A 4-year follow-up of patients with medication-overuse headache previously included in a randomized multicentre study. *J Headache Pain*. 2011;12:315–22.
- Rossi P, Faroni JV, Nappi G. Medication overuse headache: predictors and rates of relapse in migraine patients with low medical needs. A 1-year prospective study. *Cephalalgia*. 2008;28:1196–200.
- Sances G, Ghiotto N, Galli F, Guaschino E, Rezzani C, Guidetti V, et al. Risk factors in medication-overuse headache: a 1-year follow-up study (care II protocol). *Cephalalgia*. 2010;30:329–36.
- Katsarava Z, Limmroth V, Finke M, Diener HC, Fritsche G. Rates and predictors for relapse in medication overuse headache: a 1-year prospective study. *Neurology*. 2003;60:1682–3.
- Bøe MG, Salvesen R, Mygland A. Chronic daily headache with medication overuse: a randomized follow-up by neurologist or PCP. *Cephalalgia*. 2009;29:855–63.
- Sarchielli P, Messina P, Cupini LM, Tedeschi G, Di Piero V, Livrea P, et al. Sodium valproate in migraine without aura and medication overuse headache: a randomized controlled trial. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2014;24:1289–97.
- Raggi A, Giovannetti AM, Schiavolin S, Leonardi M, Bussone G, Grazzi L, et al. Validating the Migraine-Specific Quality of Life Questionnaire v2.1 (MSQ) in Italian inpatients with chronic migraine with a history of medication overuse. *Qual Life Res*. 2014;23:1273–7.
- Stewart WF, Lipton RB, Kolodner KB, Sawyer J, Lee C, Liberman JN. Validity of the Migraine Disability Assessment (MIDAS) score in comparison to a diary-based measure in a population sample of migraine sufferers. *Pain*. 2000;88:41–52.
- Raistrick D, Bradshaw J, Tober G, Weiner J, Allison J, Healey C. Development of the Leeds Dependence Questionnaire (LDQ): a questionnaire to measure alcohol and opiate dependence in the context of a treatment evaluation package. *Addiction*. 1994;89:563–72.

**Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:**

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at  
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

