I	litie: The detrimental impact of extracellular bacterial proteases on wound healing
2	
3	Running title: Bacterial proteases
4	
5	Authors: Sharon Lindsay ¹ , Angela Oates ² , Katie Bourdillon ¹
6	
7	Author affiliations:
8	¹ Systagenix Wound Management Gargrave N. Yorkshire BD23 3RX UK
9	² School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, The University of Manchester
10	Manchester, M13 9PT, UK
11	
12	Corresponding author:
13	Katie Bourdillon
14	Key words:
15	Virulence factor, bacterial protease, wound infection, point-of-care diagnostic
16	

Article:

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Lindsay, S, Oates, A orcid.org/0000-0003-0519-4556 and Bourdillon, K (2017) The detrimental impact of extracellular bacterial proteases on wound healing. International Wound Journal, 14 (6). pp. 1237-1247. ISSN 1742-4801 which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1111/iwj.12790. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

17 Abstract

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

In addition to clinical signs of infection (e.g. inflammation, purulence and pain), a microbial count of ≥105 colony-forming units/g has historically been used to define wound infection. However, it is increasingly recognised that, rather than a high bioburden level alone being detrimental to wound healing, it is the virulence of the invading microorganism and the host's immune status that can affect clinical outcomes. Bacteria, such as Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus epidermidis, have developed a range of virulence factors to help them overcome host defences and proliferate within the underlying soft tissue. More specifically, bacterial proteases are one such virulence factor that has been implicated in promoting the invasion and destruction of the host tissue. Because of the complexities of microorganisms, the proteases can negatively impact the wound environment, leading to delayed wound healing. The aim of the present paper is to describe various extracellular bacterial proteases; review the impact they have on the wound environment, the host immune response and biofilms; and discuss potential wound management strategies against them. The evidence discussed suggests that proteases may play a profound role in wound infections, contribute to the development of an inflammatory response and impede wound healing.

Introduction

The wound-healing process consists of four highly integrated and overlapping phases: haemostasis, inflammation, proliferation and tissue remodelling [1]. Multiple factors can lead to impaired wound healing. Some are systemic factors, whereby the overall health or disease state of the individual affects his or her ability to heal [2]. Examples of systemic factors known to impact wound healing are patient age, ischaemia and pre-existing medical conditions such as diabetes [2]. Local factors that directly influence the characteristics of the wound itself may also contribute to delayed healing. Local factors include oxygenation, venous insufficiency and infection [2]. When skin is injured, it allows microorganisms to access the underlying tissues, leading to wound infection. Wound infection has various stages of increasing severity, from contamination to colonisation, local infection/critical colonisation and/or spreading invasive infection [2]. This is known as the continuum of infection [3].

Many of the causative organisms of wound infections are opportunistic pathogens; these microorganisms may be part of the body's normal flora (e.g. *Staphylococcus* spp., *Streptococcus pyogenes*) or be commonly found in the environment (e.g. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*). These organisms can exploit an ecological advantage, such as an immunocompromised host or a breech in the skin, to cause disease. The ability of such bacteria to cause disease is influenced by a variety of factors, including the number of bacteria present (known as the 'bioburden'), the site of infection and the 'virulence factors' of the microorganism. Virulence factors are produced by microorganisms and contribute to their pathogenicity [4-6].

Occasionally, the physical presence of bacteria may cause disease in the host; for example, high levels of bacteria may obstruct heart valves in endocarditis [7]. More commonly, however, virulence factors, such as enzymes or toxins produced by the microorganism, are the primary cause of detriment to the host [4, 6]. Examples of virulence factors contributing to disease can be found in conditions such as toxic shock syndrome [8] and Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhoea, where the symptoms of pseudomembranous colitis are caused by the effects of bacterial exotoxins [9, 10]. The same trend can be observed in sequelae such as wound infections [4].

Historically, a swab or biopsy sample returning a microbial count of >105/g tissue has been associated with wound infection and delayed wound healing [11, 12]. For some bacteria, such as S. pyogenes (β -haemolytic Streptococci; Group A Streptococci), levels far below <105/g tissue have been reported as leading to infection [13, 14]. Conversely, some wounds containing less pathogenic organisms, such as enterococci or diphtheroids, have been reported to heal with bioburden levels above 105/g tissue [4, 15]. Whilst the quantity of pathogenic bacteria in a wound has been shown to influence healing, this quantitative threshold and healing rate is also affected by endogenous host factors, such as the status of the immune system, underlying aetiologies and comorbidities, compounded by the type of

microbial species present and their associated virulence factors [15, 16]. The complexity of the establishment of infection can be expressed as: Infection = microbial bioburden x virulence/host resistance [17].

Overview of bacterial virulence factors

Virulence factors are molecules produced by microorganisms that contribute to the pathogenicity of the organism. There are many types of virulence factors, including adhesins, capsules, endotoxins, exotoxins, flagella, lipases, pilli and proteases. They can have a myriad of functional roles, including the capacity to facilitate microbial attachment, invasion or both as well as the promotion of the growth of a microbe in a host through avoidance of host detection, inhibition of phagocytosis and regulation of the capacity for intracellular survival [18]. Of these, proteases are discussed further in the following sections.

Bacterial proteases

Proteases are produced by a variety of microorganisms including both Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, fungi and viruses [19-22]. Many pathogenic bacteria produce a range of proteases [23, 24], of which a number of the bacteria characterised as producing proteases are known wound pathogens and include *Staphyloccocus* spp., *Streptococcus* spp., *Enterococcus* spp. and *P. aeruginosa* [19, 20]. Table 1 lists common organisms and the proteases they produce. It is important to note, however, that despite the importance of bacterial proteases in delayed healing, the majority of proteases in non-healing wounds are endogenous; that is, they are produced by the host themselves as a result of prolonged inflammation [25].

Proteases can be broadly classified according to the location at which they cleave the target protein. Exoproteases cleave at or near the carboxi or amino terminals, whereas endopeptidases can cleave at up to five residues from these terminals [26]. This broad classification is not inclusive of all proteases as some, such as ADP-dependent proteases, do not fit this definition [27]. Proteases can be further categorised according to their catalytic activity and include aspartic proteases, cysteine proteases, glutamic proteases, metalloproteases, serine proteases and threonine proteases [28, 29].

Bacterial proteases can act either extracellularly or intracellularly. Processes such as sporulation and protein maturation within the microbial cell involve/require intracellular proteases [25], whilst extracellular protease are active outside of the microbial cell where they interact with the host environment to aid in the survival and proliferation of the microbial cell. The physiological function of extracellular bacterial proteases is to provide peptidic nutrients for the bacteria by hydrolysing (degrading) proteins in their surrounding environment [20, 28]. However, a fortuitous by-product of protease production for the microorganism is the degradation of host proteins, growth factors and receptors, which can impede the immune response and contribute towards tissue degradation, enabling further microbial dissemination into the underlying soft tissue [19, 23, 30-33]. Arguably, microbial proteases are considered to be among the most important type of microbial virulence factor influencing wound healing [20, 34, 35].

Impact of wound environment on production of bacterial proteases

As with other virulence factors, production and release of bacterial proteases may be mediated by regulatory factors, which govern the transcription of protease genes in response to the local environment of the bacteria [36]. Production may be influenced by a variety of factors, including nutrient availability, quorum sensing (a cell density-dependent signalling mechanism), growth phase, osmolarity, pH and temperature [37-43]. Such factors may be encountered during infection of the soft tissue [36].

Research conducted in vitro on protease production by 95 clinical strains of *Enterococcus faecalis*, specifically looking at Gelatinase (GelE), indicated that production of this protease is influenced by carbon source availability, pH, presence of divalent cations and temperature, suggesting that such conditions could affect the virulence of *E. faecalis* clinically [43]. A notable observation from this study was the effect of pH on GelE production, whereby protease activity peaked at around pH 8 but decreased as the pH of the culture medium was lowered [43]. Additionally, it was also observed that the addition of iron, copper or zinc to the culture media either completely eliminated, or dramatically reduced, GelE activity [43]. Interestingly, iron availability has also been shown to affect protease production in other bacteria, with *P. aeruginosa* protease IV expression found to be enhanced upon iron limitation [42].

Impact of bacterial proteases on the wound environment

The impact of bacterial proteases has been documented in a range of acute and chronic medical conditions, including impairment of lungs in the cystic fibrosis patient [44], eye infections [45-47], gastroenteritis [48] and wound infections [19, 21]. The majority of bacterial proteases research has focussed on the Gram-negative bacterium *P. aeruginosa*, where a strong correlation between the severity of an infection and *P. aeruginosa* protease levels has been reported, with higher levels of the *P. aeruginosa* elastase linked to increased inflammation and tissue damage [49, 50], whilst protease-deficient *P. aeruginosa* strains have been found to be less virulent than their protease-producing counterparts in burn wound mouse models [51, 52].

P. aeruginosa produces a number of proteases, with 155 of 5568 predicted genes of the commonly studied type strain PAO1 strain estimated to encode proteases [53, 54]. Elastase B (pseudolysin; LasB), a major metalloproteinase expressed by *P. aeruginosa*, has been demonstrated to degrade collagen and is thought to play a key role in cystic fibrosis lung infections [55]. This role is supported by several studies that have detected *P. aeruginosa* proteases in the lungs of cystic fibrosis patients [56-58]. Such collagen-degrading activity of *P. aeruginosa* may also occur in wound infections and may contribute to tissue damage [59].

Impact of bacterial proteases on the host immune response

If the protective barrier of the epidermis is breached due to a cut, abrasion or bite for example, it allows bacteria access to the underlying tissue where they may colonise, migrate and proliferate, leading to localised infection. During these initial phases, it is of benefit to the organisms to impede the immune response and so ensure the best possibility of its survival. Bacterial proteases play a significant role in the inhibition of the hosts' immune response through a range of mechanisms including induction of an inflammatory reaction, reduction in

phagocytosis, inactivation of the complement system, cytokine degradation, immunoglobulin degradation and inactivation of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs).

Induction of inflammatory reaction

Wound healing is a complex series of overlapping phases (inflammation, proliferation and tissue remodelling) that involves a myriad cells and mediators [60]. An inflammatory response is a typical and necessary part of normal wound healing and occurs as blood vessels dilate, which allows antibodies, white blood cells, enzymes and other beneficial elements into the affected area [61]. In some instances, bacterial proteases can also induce a host inflammatory response. For example, *P. aeruginosa* elastase A (LasA) protease enhances activity of several host elastolytic proteases, including human leukocyte elastase and human neutrophil elastase [62]. Whilst this may appear counterintuitive for the survival of the organism as it aids the removal of bacterial organisms from the site, if this inflammatory phase is prolonged, this can result in a prolonged elevation of the host's immune response, including host proteases, leading to wound chronicity [19, 63]. In these cases, the host's own immune components actively degrade the surrounding tissue without resolving the infection, facilitating the further dissemination of the infection into the surrounding and deeper-seated tissues.

One of the most notorious examples of a host immune component providing a dual role in wound healing are the matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), which function in the extracellular environment of cells and degrade both matrix and non-matrix proteins. They play central roles in morphogenesis, wound healing, tissue repair and remodelling in response to injury, with several studies indicating that bacterial proteases may up-regulate host MMP production [64, 65]. MMPs play an important role in wound healing, facilitating several important processes including angiogenesis; removal of damaged extracellular matrix (ECM); transition of epithelial cells, fibroblasts and vascular endothelial cells across the ECM; contraction of scar ECM; and scar remodelling [66-71]. However, some chronic wounds become 'stalled' in the inflammatory phase of wound healing. In these instances, components pivotal in wound healing, such as growth factors, are degraded, and host proteases are abnormally elevated [72]. A direct consequence of abnormally elevated MMP activity includes a reduction in wound closure rates [73-75].

A further example of bacterial proteases contributing to induction of an inflammatory reaction in the host is through the proteases of *S. pyogenes* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. Proteases produced by these bacteria have been found to activate the kinin system and degrade kininogens, which subsequently induce an inflammatory reaction of oedema, redness and pain [34]. In addition, release of bacteria into the circulation may be promoted by kinin-enhanced vascular leakage, which will potentially allow for the spread of infection and may further perpetuate the pathophysiology of infectious diseases [34]. Reduction in phagocytosis

Similar to other immunological factors, phagocytosis can also be hindered by bacterial proteases [76]. The *P. aeruginosa* proteases alkaline protease (aeruginolysin; AprA) and LasB have been found to reduce leucocyte activity [77], inhibit the function of neutrophils and interfere with their chemotaxis [78]. The *S. aureus* cysteine protease staphopain B (SspB) can inhibit neutrophil phagocytosis and can also reduce neutrophil chemotactic activity [79, 80]. The intracellular survival of *S. pyogenes* in macrophages has been shown to be enhanced by

the streptopain (SpeB) cysteine protease in vivo [81], while Chiang-Hi and colleagues reported that SpeB can also prevent immune clearance of *S. pyogenes* by causing mitochondrial damage in polymorphonuclear neutrophils (PMN) [82].

Inactivation of the complement system

Complement involves a group of proteins that provide enzymatic activity and produce effector molecules, facilitating a range of immunological functions such as cell lysis (C5b-9), inflammation (C3a, C5a) and phagocytosis (C3b) [83]. Proteins C3 and C5 are involved in the initiation of an immune response and, as such, present as targets for bacterial proteases [84]. *P. aeruginosa* protease IV (lysyl endopeptidase; iron-regulated protein PrpL) can degrade a range of biologically important host proteins, such as the complement components C3 and C1q [85], whereas the *S. pyogenes* protease SpeB can prevent formation of C5 by degrading C3 [86, 87]. Consequently, as coating of bacteria with C3 is prevented, opsonisation and neutrophil phagocytosis is hindered or even prevented [84]. A further role of SpeB with respect to disarming the complement system is to cleave properdin. Properdin stabilises the formation of the C5 [88]. As such, cleavage of properdin can make the bacteria less susceptible to opsonophagocytosis by neutrophils [84]. Other bacterial species, such as the Gram-positive enteric bacterium E. faecalis, are also capable of inactivating complement. The protease gelatinase (coccolysin; GelE) of this microorganism is able to inactivate the host complement system by degrading C3 [89].

Cytokine degradation

Cytokines are small proteins (8–15 kDa) that include chemokines, colony-stimulating factors (CSF), interferons (IFN), interleukins (IL) and tumour necrosis factors (TNF) and are released in response to tissue damage. The many functions performed by cytokines include activation of phagocytic cells, antiviral and anti-parasitic activity, chemotaxis of neutrophils and T-cells, growth of macrophage colonies and proliferation of B- and T-cells. As such, cytokines represent an ideal target for bacteria in overcoming the host immune system, and a range of bacterial proteases have been found to be able to degrade cytokines and their receptors [84]. P. aeruginosa proteases hinder a range of cytokine activities and are also able to induce degradation of cytokines [59]. Examples include AprA degradation and inactivation of human interferon y (INF-y) [90], and inactivation of human tumour necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) by LasB [90, 91]. Both INF- γ and TNF- α play an important role in the host immune response, with a lack of INF-γ resulting in auto-inflammatory diseases [92, 93] and TNF-α involved in systemic inflammation and apoptosis [77]. The P. aeruginosa large extracellular protease (LepA) also increases IL-8 production and secretion [50, 94], which may have a detrimental effect on the host by elevating and prolonging an inflammatory response [95]. Another putative serine protease of P. aeruginosa (PA0328, also designated AaaA) has been shown to provide the bacterium with a selective advantage at establishing infection and long-term survival in a chronic mouse wound model. The authors also noted that higher levels of TNF- α and IL-1 α expression was detected in response to the wild-type P. aeruginosa strain compared with an AaaA deletion mutant [96]. Bacterial proteases from other organisms such as L. monocytes, Serratia marcescens and S. aureus have also been shown to elevate interleukin levels [22].

Proteases of the Gram-positive skin pathogen *S. pyogenes* can also affect cytokine activity. The *S. pyogenes* protease SpeB can cleave the IL-1 precursor to produce biologically active IL-1, a principle mediator of inflammation [97]. An additional protease of *S. pyogenes*,

260 Streptococcal chemokine protease (ScpC), has been found to degrade IL-8 [34]. Given that IL-8 mediates neutrophil migration and activation, expression of ScpC can be detrimental to the 262 host immune response. Proteases produced by other bacteria - for example, the Grampositive skin pathogen *S. aureus* – can also interfere with IL-8 function. The serine proteases of this bacterium can modulate IL-8 synthesis [98].

Degradation of immunoglobulins

265 266 267

268

269

270

271

272

273

261

263

264

A further function of bacterial proteases in overcoming the host immune system is in the degradation of host immunoglobulin [59]. This can be particularly detrimental to the host given the role of immunoglobulins in recognising and contributing to the neutralisation of invading microorganisms. Various groups have reported the impact of P. aeruginosa proteases on the degradation of immunoglobulins and include the degradation of immunoglobulin A (IgA) and immunoglobulin G (IgG) by P. aeruginosa protease LasB and protease IV [47], respectively [99]. The *Proteus mirabilis* metalloprotease ZapA has also been implicated in degrading IgA [100, 101].

274 275 276

277

278

279

280

Inactivation of antimicrobial peptides

AMPs are antimicrobial agents produced by eukaryotic organisms to prevent microbial invasion. In humans, specific roles of antimicrobial peptides include killing invading bacteria primarily by disrupting the membrane integrity of the bacterial cell wall [84]. In general, AMPs are relatively resistant to proteolytic degradation, although there are some bacteria that are capable of producing proteases effective at cleaving and inactivating AMPs [84].

281 282 283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

The strict anaerobe and opportunistic bacterium Finegoldia magna associated with skin infections produces a subtilisin-like serine protease SufA, which targets the human cathelicidin AMP LL-37 [102]. AMP LL-37 is also targeted by other bacterial proteases including SpeB of S. pyogenes, elastases of P. aeruginosa, GelE of E. faecalis and ZapA of P. mirabilis [102]. Proteolytic degradation of AMP LL-37 prevents binding of this antimicrobial peptide to the invading bacteria and, as such, destroys the bactericidal activity of the peptide [84]. Interestingly, recent data indicate that inactivation of LL-37 by the S. pyogenes protease SpeB can be found in patients with severe *S. pyogenes* soft tissue infections [103]. Bacterial proteases contributing to invasion

291 292 293

294

295

296

297

298

Once the innate barrier of the skin has been compromised and bacteria have gained entry to the underlying soft tissue, bacterial proteases can help the microorganism spread from the initial site of infection and invade the surrounding tissue [19, 20, 77, 104]. The presence of bacterial proteases and additional disruption of the epithelial barrier by these enzymes further compromises the protective barrier of the skin, which may allow other microbial species access to the location [34]. Specific examples of potential wound pathogens using proteases to contribute to invasion are discussed below.

299 300 301

302

303

304

305

306

Pseudomonas aeruginosa

P. aeruginosa proteases, including AprA, LasA, LasB and protease IV, can cause tissue damage during P. aeruginosa infections [59]. These proteases cause the proteolytic inactivation of the pathogen's adhesive molecules, which aids in the dissemination of bacteria from the initial site of infection [34]. Components of connective tissue, including collagen and elastin, have been demonstrated as being degraded by P. aeruginosa proteases in vitro [105, 106]. This may have a detrimental effect on wound healing because collagen controls cellular functions (e.g. cell differentiation and cell migration) that are important during the phases of wound healing [107]. *P. aeruginosa* elastase B and alkaline proteases have also been found to degrade laminin α 3 LG4-5, a component of the basement membrane in human skin [108]. Additionally, *P. aeruginosa* proteases may have a role in invasion and haemorrhagic tissue necrosis in infections [77], whilst protease IV can degrade fibrinogen [109].

LasA and LasB are among the most researched *P. aeruginosa* proteases and are thought to play a role in the pathogenesis of some *P. aeruginosa* strains [77, 110-113]. *P. aeruginosa* elastases have been found in clinical wound fluid samples [59] and are capable of degrading proteins on the surface of fibroblasts and inhibiting fibroblast growth [34]. Moreover, the *P. aeruginosa* protease LasA is involved in host ectodomain shedding whereby cell surface proteins are cleaved [114, 115], leading to epithelial disruption, tissue penetration and endothelial damage [116, 117]. *P. aeruginosa* strains producing LasB have also been found to inhibit fibroblast growth and degrade proteins from human wound fluid and skin biopsies [21, 59]. These observations suggest that *P. aeruginosa* proteases may be detrimental to wound healing [59].

Quorum sensing has been shown to contribute to the virulence of *P. aeruginosa*. For example, quorum sensing can regulate the expression of various virulence factors in *P. aeruginosa*, including pyocyanin, rhamnolipids and proteases such as the elastases LasA and LasB [77, 118]. The role of quorum sensing in infection has been demonstrated using quorum sensing-deficient *P. aeruginosa* strains in a range of in vivo models designed to mimic various conditions, including acute and chronic lung infections, burn wound infection and microbial keratitis. In these studies, the inability of quorum sensing-deficient strains to induce infection was thought to be due to decreased production of proteases and rhamnolipid [119-122]. These observations would appear to suggest that protease production in wound infections with *P. aeruginosa* increases as the density of the *P. aeruginosa* reaches a critical threshold.

Staphylococcus aureus

S. aureus proteases, such as Ssp (V8, a serine protease), can mediate a phenotypic change in the bacterium from adhesive to invasive by degrading its surface-associated adhesins [34]. The proteolysis of fibronectin-binding proteins by V8 decreases the adhesive phenotype of S. aureus, allowing for the diffusion of the pathogen. Such proteases (e.g. staphopain A) can also degrade host tissue, including collagen and elastin [34]. For example, the Staphopain A (ScpA) protease of S. aureus has comparable elastinolytic activity to host neutrophil elastase. This may contribute to the degradation of connective tissue in staphylococcal infections [123]. Additionally, similar to P. aeruginosa proteases, metalloprotease aureolysin and the serine proteinase V8 of S. aureus can also cleave laminin α3 LG4-5 [108].

Staphylococcus epidermidis

Staphylococcus epidermidis, a Gram-positive bacterium associated with the normal flora of healthy skin, may be pathogenic in immunocompromised patients and has been found to be responsible for surgical wound infections. Research indicates that the *S. epidermidis* cysteine protease (Ecp) has a similar sequence to ScpA and SspB proteases of *S. aureus* [124]. Moreover, Ecp mode of action is similar to ScpA and SspB in that it has elastinolytic activity.

Consequently, this may contribute to the invasiveness and pathogenicity of *S. epidermidis* in wounds [124].

355356 Streptococcus pyogenes

Proteases play a pivotal role in the invasiveness of *S. pyogenes*, as indicated by *S. pyogenes* protease deletion mutants that were found to be two- to threefold less invasive than the wild-type strains when assessed in vitro on epithelial cells [125]. Additionally, numerous authors report that SpeB (streptopain) may affect the severity and migration of *S. pyogenes* infections [126-131]. SpeB has also been shown to be produced in vivo during infection in mouse and primate models [132-134] and can degrade fibronectin (1993) [135]. Other *S. pyogenes* proteases include Streptolysin S, which is involved in skin penetration [34], and IdeS (immunoglobulin G-degrading enzyme), which inhibits opsonophagocytosis [136].

Finegoldia magna

Finegoldia magna is a Gram-positive anaerobic bacterium associated with the normal microbiota of the skin. In immunocompromised hosts or when the normal microflora of the skin is disrupted, however, *F. magna* may act as an opportunistic pathogen [137]. In such circumstances, *F. magna* has been commonly isolated from chronic wounds including diabetic and pressure ulcers [138-143].

Contributing to tissue invasion by *F. magna* is the serine protease SufA [102, 137, 144]. Using *F. magna* SufA deletion mutants and electron microscopy, Murphy and colleagues eloquently demonstrated that SufA can degrade collagen IV and collagen V, potentially enabling this opportunistic pathogen to establish a deep-seated infection [137].

A further example of the influence of environmental conditions on the production of proteases can be found with *S. pyogenes* [36, 145]. Using a mouse soft tissue model, Loughman and Caparon identified a number of environmental factors, including growth phase, pH and NaCl concentration, which altered the activity of the SpeB protease [36]. Consistent with other publications, the authors also found that SpeB protease activity was associated with low pH [109, 146, 147]. The authors noted that as *S. pyogenes* entered stationary phase, the culture medium fell from an initial pH 7·5 to pH 6, with SpeB activity peaking in stationary phase. When a culture medium was buffered to maintain a constant pH of around pH 6, SpeB activity was independent of growth phase, meaning that protease activity could be induced in exponential phase. NaCl concentration was also shown to affect the activity of SpeB, with limited protease expression detected at physiological levels of NaCl (150 mM) and increasing protease activity detected as the NaCl concentration was increased [36]. Such conditions may be encountered in a clinical setting, and variations in the wound environment could impact bacterial protease production.

Protease activity in biofilms

It is increasingly acknowledged that many microorganisms have a predisposition to attach to surfaces, aggregate and form biofilms [148]. Biofilms are complex microbial communities containing bacteria and fungi. The microorganisms synthesise and secrete a protective matrix that attaches the biofilm firmly to a living or non-living surface [149].

Given the frequent isolation of biofilms from a wide range of environments, it is perhaps unsurprising that they have been detected in chronic wounds, which provide ideal conditions for bacterial attachment and proliferation [150]. The wound bed often contains necrotic tissue and debris, aiding bacterial adherence, while exudate provides nutrients to support bacterial growth [151, 152]. Additionally, chronic wounds are often associated with an impaired host immune response, increasing susceptibility to infection [151-153].

A study by James *et al.* using microscopy techniques reported that 60% of chronic wound specimens contained a biofilm, compared with only 6% of acute wound samples examined [150]. Other research groups reported biofilms in 47–59% of chronic wounds tested, correlating well with James' data [154, 155]. A further study suggests the figure could even be as high as 90% [156].

Upon the transition from planktonic or 'free-floating' bacteria to the establishment of a biofilm, bacteria undergo a general reduction in growth rates and metabolic activity, possibly contributing to a reduced susceptibility to antimicrobials [157]. Such reductions in metabolic activity and the establishment of the biofilm phenotype are associated with down-regulation of a number of genes [157]. Work by Evans et al. on S. epidermidis biofilms in vitro, however, suggests that protease-encoding genes are not down-regulated in this way [158]. In this study, total protease activity was analysed using a casein assay and showed that protease activity was detected in S. epidermidis biofilms at levels over and above S. epidermidis planktonic populations. Moreover, protease activity increased as the growth rates of the biofilm and planktonic populations were increased, with protease activity of the biofilm always exceeding that detected for planktonic cultures [158]. Another study using an in vitro and in vivo C. elegans infection model demonstrated that secretion of S. epidermidis proteases inhibited the development of *S. aureus* biofilms, which was mainly due to serine protease activity [159]. It has also been reported that *S. aureus* proteases (e.g. metalloprotease aureolysin and Sp1 protease) are involved in detaching established biofilms (i.e. targeting the surface adhesions) [34].

Novel wound management strategies

Due to the detrimental impact of bacterial proteases on the host and the ubiquitous nature of these enzymes, they could be exploited for the development of a point-of-care diagnostic. It is now increasingly recognised that bioburden alone does not necessarily correlate with infection, particularly in the early stages, where clinical signs of infection may be difficult to define [160]. In addition, the clinical signs of infection (pain, swelling, heat, redness, exudate) may not be present in patients with comorbidities that suppress the immune response, such as diabetes [161]. Under such circumstances, a bacterial protease point-of-care diagnostic may help clinicians decide when bacteria present in a wound are problematic [162]. This would help guide clinicians as to when it would be most appropriate to administer prophylactic treatment.

Serena and coworkers have described a novel point-of-care diagnostic test capable of identifying a wound in a 'state of pathogenesis' even before the clinical signs of infection become apparent [163]. Using wound fluid swab samples collected from 366 chronic wounds, the authors noted that elevated levels of bacterial protease activity (BPA) was detected in 49% of wound fluid samples despite only 18% of this cohort of patients demonstrating three

or more signs of clinical infection. Using elevated BPA as a marker, early identification of wounds in a state of pathogenesis, but where infection is not obvious to the clinician, could lead to a rapid response to reduce bacterial bioburden [161]. Such prompt action could improve the clinical outcome and could have potential economic benefits [164, 165]. Identification of elevated BPA in chronic wounds also provides a novel target for the future development of bacterial protease inhibitors.

Conclusions

Although the pathogenicity of a bacterium is the combined activity of the multiple virulence factors present in its portfolio, proteases remain a central means in enabling the microorganism to overcome the host defences and proliferate. Indeed, some authors even regard proteases as the most effective virulence factor in the establishment of infection [20, 35, 84], with functions including overcoming the host immune system, tissue degradation and promoting the up-regulation of additional virulence factors. Taken together, the evidence discussed in the present review suggests that proteases play a central role in the establishment of wound infections, contribute to the development of an inflammatory response and can impede wound healing.

465 References

466

467 1 Gosain A, DiPietro LA. Aging and wound healing. World J Surg 2004;28:321-6. 468 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00268-003-7397-6.

469

- 470 2 Guo S, DiPietro LA. Factors affecting wound healing. J Dent Res 2010;89:219–29.
- 471 PubMed | CAS | Web of Science® Times Cited: 644

472

473 3 White RJ. The wound infection continuum. Br J Community Nurs 2013;7:7–9.

474

475 4 Landis SJ. Chronic wound infection and antimicrobial use. Adv Skin Wound Care 476 2008;21:531–40. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.ASW.0000323578.87700.a5.

477

478 5 Wilson JW, Schurr MJ, LeBlanc CL, Ramamurthy R, Buchanan KL, Nickerson CA. Mechanisms 479 of bacterial pathogenicity. Postgrad Med J 2002;78:216-24.

480

481 6 Madigan MT, Martinko JM, Parker J. Brock's biology of microorganisms. Prentice Hall, 1996.

482

- 483 7 Rohmann S, Erbel R, Gorge G, Makowski T, Mohr-Kahaly S, Nixdorff U, Drexler M, Meyer J.
- 484 Clinical relevance of vegetation localization by transoesophageal echocardiography in
- 485 infective endocarditis. Eur Heart J 1992;13:446-52.

486

- 487 8 Iwatsuki K, Yamasaki O, Morizane S, Oono T. Staphylococcal cutaneous infections: invasion, 488 aggression. Dermatol Sci 2006;42:203-14. evasion and J
- 489 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdermsci.2006.03.011.

490

491 9 George WL, Rolfe RD, Finegold SM. Clostridium difficile and its cytotoxin in feces of patients 492 with antimicrobial agent-associated diarrhea and miscellaneous conditions. J Clin Microbiol 493 1982;15:1049-53.

494

- 495
 - 10 Saxton K, Baines SD, Freeman J, O'Connor R, Wilcox MH. Effects of exposure of Clostridium 496 difficile PCR ribotypes 027 and 001 to fluoroquinolones in a human gut model. Antimicrob 497 Agents Chemother 2009;53:412–20. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00306-08.

498

499 11 Bendy RH Jr, Nuccio PA, Wolfe E, Collins B, Tamburro C, Glass W, Martin CM. Relationship 500 of quantitative wound bacterial counts to healing of decubiti: effect of topical gentamicin. 501 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1964;10:147–55.

502

503 12 Robson MC, Duke WF, Krizek TJ. Rapid bacterial screening in the treatment of civilian 504 wounds. J Surg Res 1973;14:426-30.

505

506 13 Robson MC, Shaw RC, Heggers JP. The reclosure of postoperative incisional abscesses 507 based on bacterial quantification of the wound. Ann Surg 1970;171:279-82.

508

509 14 Dow G. Bacterial swabs and the chronic wound: when, how, and what do they mean? 510 Ostomy Wound Manage 2003;49:8–13.

- 512 15 Tuttle MS. Association between microbial bioburden and healing outcomes in venous leg
- 513 а review of the evidence. Adv Wound Care 2015;4:1-11. ulcers:
- 514 https://doi.org/10.1089/wound.2014.0535.
- 515 16 Kingsley A. The wound infection continuum and its application to clinical practice. Ostomy
- 516 Wound Manage 2003;49:1-7.

518 17 Mertz PM, Ovington LG. Wound healing microbiology. Dermatol Clin 1993;11:739–47.

519

520 18 Casadevall A, Pirofski LA. Host-pathogen interactions: the attributes of virulence. J Infect 521 Dis 2001;184:337-44.

522

523 19 Lantz MS. Are bacterial proteases important virulence factors? J Periodontal Res 524 1997;32:126–32.

525

526 20 Lebrun I, Marques-Porto R, Pereira AS, Pereira A, Perpetuo EA. Bacterial toxins: an overview on bacterial proteases and their action as virulence factors. Mini Rev Med Chem 527 528 2009;9:820-8.

529

- 530 21 McCarty SM, Cochrane CA, Clegg PD, Percival SL. The role of endogenous and exogenous
- 531 enzymes in chronic wounds: a focus on the implications of aberrant levels of both host and
- 532 bacterial proteases in wound healing. Wound Repair Regen 2012;20:125-36.
- 533 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1524-475X.2012.00763.x.

534

- 535 22 Vollmer P, Walev I, Rose-John S, Bhakdi S. Novel pathogenic mechanism of microbial
- 536 metalloproteinases: liberation of membrane-anchored molecules in biologically active form
- 537 exemplified by studies with the human interleukin-6 receptor. Infect Immun 1996;64:3646-
- 538

539

540 23 Supuran CT, Scozzafava A, Mastrolorenzo A. Bacterial proteases: current therapeutic use 541 and future prospects for the development of new antibiotics. Expert Opin Ther Pat 542 2001;11:221-59.

543

544

- 24 Supuran CT, Scozzafava A, Clare BW. Bacterial protease inhibitors. Med Res Rev 545 2002;22:329-72. https://doi.org/10.1002/med.10007. 546
- 547 25 McCarty SM, Percival SL. Proteases and delayed wound healing. Adv Wound Care 548 2013;2:438-47. https://doi.org/10.1089/wound.2012.0370.

549

550 26 International Union of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. EC 3. Hydrolase Nomenclature. 2016; URL http://www.chem.qmul.ac.uk/iubmb/enzyme/EC3/ [accessed on 31 January 2017]

551

553 27 Rao MB, Tanksale AM, Ghatge MS, Deshpande VV. Molecular and biotechnological aspects 554 of microbial proteases. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 1998;62:597–635.

555

552

556 28 Barrett A, Woessner J, Rawlings N. Handbook of proteolytic enzymes, 2nd edn. Academic 557 Press, 2004.

- 29 Jisha VN, Smitha RB, Pradeep S, Sreedevi S, Unni KN, Sajith S, Priji P, Josh MS, Benjamin S.
- Versatility of microbial proteases. Adv Enzyme Res 2013;1:39–51.

- 30 Gupta R, Beg QK, Lorenz P. Bacterial alkaline proteases: molecular approaches and
- 563 industrial applications. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2002;59:15–32.
- 564 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-002-0975-y.

565

31 Wilson M, Seymour R, Henderson B. Bacterial perturbation of cytokine networks. Infect Immun 1998;66:2401–9.

568

32 Schultz GS, Wysocki A. Interactions between extracellular matrix and growth factors in wound healing. Wound Repair Regen 2009;17:153–62.

571

- 572 33 Kalisz H. Microbial proteases. In: Fiechter A, editor. Advances in biochemical
- engineering/biotechnology, 36th edn. Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelbeg, 1988:1–
- 574 65.
- 34 Koziel J, Potempa J. Protease-armed bacteria in the skin. Cell Tissue Res 2013;351:325–37.
- 576 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00441-012-1355-2.

577

- 35 Secades P, Guijarro JA. Purification and characterization of an extracellular protease from
- 579 the fish pathogen Yersinia ruckeri and effect of culture conditions on production. Appl Environ
- 580 Microbiol 1999;65:3969–75.

581

- 36 Loughman JA, Caparon M. Regulation of SpeB in *Streptococcus pyogenes* by pH and NaCl:
- a model for in vivo gene expression. J Bacteriol 2006;188:399–408.

584

- 585 37 Chaussee MS, Phillips ER, Ferretti JJ. Temporal production of streptococcal erythrogenic
- toxin B (streptococcal cysteine proteinase) in response to nutrient depletion. Infect Immun
- 587 1997;65:1956–9.

588

- 38 Lyon WR, Madden JC, Levin JC, Stein JL, Caparon MG. Mutation of luxS affects growth and
- virulence factor expression in Streptococcus pyogenes. Mol Microbiol 2001;42:145–57.
- 591 Wiley Online Library | 138

592

- 39 Podbielski A, Pohl B, Woischnik M, Körner C, Schmidt KH, Rozdzinski E, Leonard BA.
- Molecular characterization of group A streptococcal (GAS) oligopeptide permease (opp) and
- its effect on cysteine protease production. Mol Microbiol 1996;21:1087–99.

596

- 40 Podbielski A, Leonard BA. The group A streptococcal dipeptide permease (Dpp) is involved
- in the uptake of essential amino acids and affects the expression of cysteine protease. Mol Microbiol 1998;28:1323–34.
- (00

600

- 41 Smoot LM, Smoot JC, Graham MR, Somerville GA, Sturdevant DE, Migliaccio CA, Sylva GL,
- Musser JM. Global differential gene expression in response to growth temperature alteration
- 603 in group A Streptococcus. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001;98:10416–21.
- 604 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.191267598.

- 606 42 Wilderman PJ, Vasil AI, Johnson Z, Wilson MJ, Cunliffe HE, Lamont IL, Vasil ML.
- 607 Characterization of an endoprotease (PrpL) encoded by a PvdS-regulated gene in
- 608 Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Infect Immun 2001;69:5385–94.

43 Pires-Boucas PD, Izumi E, Furlaneto-Maia L, Sturion L, Suzart S. Effects of environmental and nutritional factors on gelatinolytic activity by Enterococcus faecalis strains isolated from clinical sources. Afr J Microbiol Res 2010;4:969–76.

613

614 44 Gilligan PH. Microbiology of airway disease in patients with cystic fibrosis. Clin Microbiol Rev 1991;4:35–51.

616

45 Nicas TI, Iglewski BH. The contribution of exoproducts to virulence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Can J Microbiol 1985;31:387–92.

619

- 46
 O'Callaghan RJ, Engel LS, Hobden JA, Callegan MC, Green LC, Hill JM. Pseudomonas keratitis.
- 622 The role of an uncharacterized exoprotein, protease IV, in corneal virulence. Invest
- 623 Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1996;37:534–43.

624

47 Engel LS, Hill JM, Caballero AR, Green LC, O'Callaghan RJ. Protease IV, a unique extracellular
 protease and virulence factor from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Biol Chem 1998;273:16792–
 7.

628

- 48 Heimesaat MM, Alutis M, Grundmann U, Fischer A, Tegtmeyer N, Böhm M, Kühl AA, Göbel UB, Backert S, Bereswill S. The role of serine protease HtrA in acute ulcerative enterocolitis and extra-intestinal immune responses during Campylobacter jejuni infection of gnotobiotic IL-10 deficient mice. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2014;4:77.
- 633 https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2014.00077.

634

49 Wretlind B, Pavlovskis OR. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* elastase and its role in pseudomonas infections. Rev Infect Dis 1983;5(Suppl 5):S998–1004.

637

- 50 Kon Y, Tsukada H, Hasegawa T, Igarashi K, Wada K, Suzuki E, Arakawa M, Gejyo F. The role of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* elastase as a potent inflammatory factor in a rat air pouch inflammation model. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 1999;25:313–21.
- 51 Holder IA, Haidaris CG. Experimental studies of the pathogenesis of infections due to Pseudomonas aeruginosa: extracellular protease and elastase as in vivo virulence factors. Can J Microbiol 1979;25:593–9.

644

52 Tang HB, DiMango E, Bryan R, Gambello M, Iglewski BH, Goldberg JB, Prince A. Contribution of specific *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* virulence factors to pathogenesis of pneumonia in a neonatal mouse model of infection. Infect Immun 1996;64:37–43.

- 53 Stover CK, Pham XQ, Erwin AL, Mizoguchi SD, Warrener P, Hickey MJ, Brinkman FS, Hufnagle WO, Kowalik DJ, Lagrou M, Garber RL. Complete genome sequence of *Pseudomonas*
- 651 aeruginosa PAO1, an opportunistic pathogen. Nature 2000;406:959–64.
- 652 https://doi.org/10.1038/35023079.

54 Rawlings ND, Barrett AJ, Bateman A. MEROPS: the peptidase database. Nucleic Acids Res

2010;38:D227–33. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkp971.

55 Voynow JA, Fischer BM, Zheng S. Proteases and cystic fibrosis. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 2008;40:1238–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2008.03.003.

- Cosgrove S, Guyot N, Greene CM, McElvaney NG. The effects of differential protease secretion
- by Pseudomonas aeruginosa under aerobic and anaerobic conditions in vitro [abstract].
- Presented at the American Thoracic Society 2009 International Conference; 2009 May 15–20,
- San Diego, CA, 2009.

57 Suter S, Schaad UB, Roux L, Nydegger UE, Waldvogel FA. Granulocyte neutral proteases and Pseudomonas elastase as possible causes of airway damage in patients with cystic fibrosis. J Infect Dis 1984;149:523-31.

58 Upritchard HG, Cordwell SJ, Lamont IL. Immunoproteomics to examine cystic fibrosis host interactions with extracellular Pseudomonas aeruginosa proteins. Infect Immun 2008;76:4624-32. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01707-07.

59 Schmidtchen A, Wolff H, Hansson C. Differential proteinase expression by *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa derived from chronic leg ulcers. Acta Derm Venereol 2001;81:406-9.

60 Broughton G, Janis JE, Attinger CE. The basic science of wound healing. Plast Reconstr Surg 2006;117:12S-34.

61 Eming SA, Krieg T, Davidson JM. Inflammation in wound repair: molecular and cellular mechanisms. J Invest Dermatol 2007;127:514-25.

Peters JE, Park SJ, Darzins A, Freck LC, Saulnier JM, Wallach JM, Galloway DR. Further studies on Pseudomonas aeruginosa LasA: analysis of specificity. Mol Microbiol 1992;6:1155-62.

63 Sorsa T, Ingman T, Suomalainen K, Haapasalo M, Konttinen YT, Lindy O, Saari H, Uitto VJ. Identification of proteases from periodontopathogenic bacteria as activators of latent human neutrophil and fibroblast-type interstitial collagenases. Infect Immun 1992;60:4491-5.

64 Cullen B. The role of oxidized regenerated cellulose/collagen in chronic wound repair. Part 2. Ostomy Wound Manage 2002;48:8-13.

65 Veves A, Sheehan P, Pham HT. A randomized, controlled trial of Promogran (a collagen/oxidized regenerated cellulose dressing) vs standard treatment in the management of diabetic foot ulcers. Arch Surg 2002;137:822-7.

- 698 66 Dasu MR, Hawkins HK, Barrow RE, Xue H, Herndon DN. Gene expression profiles from
- 699 hypertrophic scar fibroblasts before and after IL-6 stimulation. J Pathol 2004;202:476–85.
- 700 https://doi.org/10.1002/path.1539.

702 67 Parks WC. Matrix metalloproteinases in repair. Wound Repair Regen 1999;7:423–32.

703

68 Page-McCaw A, Ewald AJ, Werb Z. Matrix metalloproteinases and the regulation of tissue remodelling. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2007;8:221–33.

706

707 69 Sang QX. Complex role of matrix metalloproteinases in angiogenesis. Cell Res 1998;8:171–708 7. https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.1998.17.

709

710 70 Stetler-Stevenson WG. Matrix metalloproteinases in angiogenesis: a moving target for therapeutic intervention. J Clin Invest 1999;103:1237–41. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI6870.

712

713 71 Ulrich D, Ulrich F, Unglaub F, Piatkowski A, Pallua N. Matrix metalloproteinases and tissue 714 inhibitors of metalloproteinases in patients with different types of scars and keloids. J Plast 715 Reconstr Aesthet Surg 2010;63:1015–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjps.2009.04.021.

716

717 72 Gibson D, Cullen B, Legerstee R, Harding KG, Schultz G. MMPs made easy. Wounds Int 2010;1:1–6.

719

720 73 Ladwig GP, Robson MC, Liu R, Kuhn MA, Muir DF, Schultz GS. Ratios of activated matrix 721 metalloproteinase-9 to tissue inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinase-1 in wound fluids are 722 inversely correlated with healing of pressure ulcers. Wound Repair Regen 2002;10:26–37.

723

74 Liu Y, Min D, Bolton T, Nubé V, Twigg SM, Yue DK, McLennan SV. Increased matrix 725 metalloproteinase-9 predicts poor wound healing in diabetic foot ulcers. Diabetes Care 726 2009;32:117–9. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc08-0763.

727

75 Rayment EA, Upton Z, Shooter GK. Increased matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9) activity 729 observed in chronic wound fluid is related to the clinical severity of the ulcer. Br J Dermatol 730 2008;158:951–61. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2133.2008.08462.x.

731

732 76 Kharazmi A, Eriksen HO, Doring G, Goldstein W, Hoiby N. Effect of *Pseudomonas* 733 *aeruginosa* proteases on human leukocyte phagocytosis and bactericidal activity. Acta Pathol Microbiol Immunol Scand C 1986;94:175–9.

735

736 77 Hoge R, Pelzer A, Rosenau F, Wilhelm S. Weapons of a pathogen: proteases and their role in virulence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. In: Mendez-Vilas A, editor. Current research, technology and education topics in applied microbiology and microbial biotechnology. Badajoz: Formatex, 2010:383–95.

740

741 78 Kharazmi A, Hoiby N, Doring G, Valerius NH. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* exoproteases inhibit 742 human neutrophil chemiluminescence. Infect Immun 1984;44:587–91.

- 744 79 Smagur J, Guzik K, Magiera L, Bzowska M, Gruca M, Thøgersen IB, Enghild JJ, Potempa J. A 745 new pathway of staphylococcal pathogenesis: apoptosis-like death induced by Staphopain B 746 in human neutrophils and monocytes. J Innate Immun 2009;1:98–108. 747 https://doi.org/10.1159/000181014.
- 80 Smagur J, Guzik K, Bzowska M, Kuzak M, Zarebski M, Kantyka T, Walski M, Gajkowska B, Potempa J. Staphylococcal cysteine protease staphopain B (SspB) induces rapid engulfment of human neutrophils and monocytes by macrophages. Biol Chem 2009;390:361–71. https://doi.org/10.1515/BC.2009.042.

753754

762

767

771

775

779

783

787

A streptococci in macrophages during acute soft tissue infection. PLoS Med 2006;3:e53. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0030053.

81 Thulin P, Johansson L, Low DE, Gan BS, Kotb M, McGeer A, Norrby-Teglund A. Viable group

- 758 82 Chiang-Ni C, Wang CH, Tsai PJ, Chuang WJ, Lin YS, Lin MT, Liu CC, Wu JJ. Streptococcal pyrogenic exotoxin B causes mitochondria damage to polymorphonuclear cells preventing phagocytosis of group A streptococcus. Med Microbiol Immunol 2006;195:55–63. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00430-005-0001-y.
- 763 83 Roitt IM. Roitt's essential immunology. Blackwell Sciences Ltd: London, 1997. 764
- 84 Potempa J, Pike RN. Corruption of innate immunity by bacterial proteases. J Innate Immun
 2009;1:70–87. https://doi.org/10.1159/000181144.
- 85 Engel LS, Hill JM, Moreau JM, Green LC, Hobden JA, O'Callaghan RJ. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* protease IV produces corneal damage and contributes to bacterial virulence. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1998;39:662–5.
- 86 Kuo CF, Lin YS, Chuang WJ, Wu JJ, Tsao N. Degradation of complement 3 by streptococcal pyrogenic exotoxin B inhibits complement activation and neutrophil opsonophagocytosis. Infect Immun 2008;76:1163–9. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01116-07.
- 776 87 Terao Y, Mori Y, Yamaguchi M, Shimizu Y, Ooe K, Hamada S, Kawabata S. Group A 777 streptococcal cysteine protease degrades C3 (C3b) and contributes to evasion of innate 778 immunity. J Biol Chem 2008;283:6253–60. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M704821200.
- 88 Tsao N, Tsai WH, Lin YS, Chuang WJ, Wang CH, Kuo CF. Streptococcal pyrogenic exotoxin B cleaves properdin and inhibits complement-mediated opsonophagocytosis. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2006;339:779–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2005.11.078.
- 89 Park SY, Kim KM, Lee JH, Seo SJ, Lee IH. Extracellular gelatinase of Enterococcus faecalis destroys a defense system in insect hemolymph and human serum. Infect Immun 2007;75:1861–9. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01473-06.
- 788 90 Horvat RT, Parmely MJ. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* alkaline protease degrades human gamma interferon and inhibits its bioactivity. Infect Immun 1988;56:2925–32.

91 Parmely M, Gale A, Clabaugh M, Horvat R, Zhou WW. Proteolytic inactivation of cytokines by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Infect Immun 1990;58:3009–14.

793

92 Schroder K, Hertzog PJ, Ravasi T, Hume DA. Interferon-gamma: an overview of signals, mechanisms and functions. J Leukoc Biol 2004;75:163–89. https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0603252.

797

93 Schoenborn JR, Wilson CB. Regulation of interferon-gamma during innate and adaptive immune responses. Adv Immunol 2007;96:41–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-800 2776(07)96002-2.

801

94 Kida Y, Higashimoto Y, Inoue H, Shimizu T, Kuwano K. A novel secreted protease from 803 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* activates NF-kappaB through protease-activated receptors. Cell 804 Microbiol 2008;10:1491–504. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2008.01142.x.

805

95 Harada A, Sekido N, Akahoshi T, Wada T, Mukaida N, Matsushima K. Essential involvement of interleukin-8 (IL-8) in acute inflammation. J Leukoc Biol 1994;56:559–64.

808

96 Luckett JC, Darch O, Watters C, Abuoun M, Wright V, Paredes-Osses E, Ward J, Goto H, Heeb S, Pommier S, Rumbaugh KP, Cámara M, Hardie KR. A novel virulence strategy for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* mediated by an autotransporter with arginine-specific aminopeptidase activity. PLoS Pathog 2012;8:e1002854. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002854.

813814

97 Kapur V, Topouzis S, Majesky MW, Li LL, Hamrick MR, Hamill RJ, Patti JM, Musser JM. A conserved *Streptococcus pyogenes* extracellular cysteine protease cleaves human fibronectin and degrades vitronectin. Microb Pathog 1993;15:327–46. https://doi.org/10.1006/mpat.1993.1083.

819

98 Rudack C, Sachse F, Albert N, Becker K, von Eiff C. Immunomodulation of nasal epithelial cells by *Staphylococcus aureus*-derived serine proteases. J Immunol 2009;183:7592–601. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.0803902.

823

- 824 99
- Heck LW, Alarcon PG, Kulhavy RM, Morihara K, Russell MW, Mestecky JF. Degradation of IgA proteins by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* elastase. J Immunol 1990;144:2253–7.

827

828 100 Wassif C, Cheek D, Belas R. Molecular analysis of a metalloprotease from Proteus 829 mirabilis. J Bacteriol 1995;177:5790–8.

830

831 101 Walker KE, Moghaddame-Jafari S, Lockatell CV, Johnson D, Belas R. ZapA, the IgA-832 degrading metalloprotease of Proteus mirabilis, is a virulence factor expressed specifically in 833 swarmer cells. Mol Microbiol 1999;32:825–36.

- 102 Karlsson C, Andersson ML, Collin M, Schmidtchen A, Bjorck L, Frick IM. SufA a novel subtilisin-like serine proteinase of *Finegoldia magna*. Microbiology 2007;153:4208–18.
- 837 https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.2007/010322-0.

- 103 Johansson L, Thulin P, Sendi P, Hertzén E, Linder A, Åkesson P, Low DE, Agerberth B, Norrby-Teglund A. Cathelicidin LL-37 in severe *Streptococcus pyogenes* soft tissue infections in hymnography and part of the second sections.
- in humans. Infect Immun 2008;76:3399–404. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01392-07.

842

104 Janda JM, Bottone EJ. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* enzyme profiling: predictor of potential invasiveness and use as an epidemiological tool. J Clin Microbiol 1981;14:55–60.

845

105 Morihara K, Homma JY. In: Holder IA, editor. Pseudomonas proteases. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 1985:41–79.

848

849 106 Parmely MJ. Pseudomonas metalloproteases and the host-microbe relationship. Boca 850 Raton: CRC Press, 1993:79–94.

851

107 Brett D. A review of collagen and collagen-based wound dressings. Wounds 2008;20:347–53.

854

108 Senyurek I, Kempf WE, Klein G, Maurer A, Kalbacher H, Schäfer L, Wanke I, Christ C, Stevanovic S, Schaller M, Rousselle P, Garbe C, Biedermann T, Schittek B. Processing of laminin alpha chains generates peptides involved in wound healing and host defense. J Innate Immun 2014;6:467–84. https://doi.org/10.1159/000357032.

859

109 Elliott SD. The crystallization and serological differentiation of a streptococcal proteinase and its precursor. J Exp Med 1950;92:201–18.

862

110 Peters JE, Galloway DR. Purification and characterization of an active fragment of the LasA protein from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: enhancement of elastase activity. J Bacteriol 1990;172:2236–40.

866

111 Wolz C, Hellstern E, Haug M, Galloway DR, Vasil ML, Doring G. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* LasB mutant constructed by insertional mutagenesis reveals elastolytic activity due to alkaline
 proteinase and the LasA fragment. Mol Microbiol 1991;5:2125–31.

870

871 112 Cowell BA, Twining SS, Hobden JA, Kwong MS, Fleiszig SM. Mutation of lasA and lasB reduces *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* invasion of epithelial cells. Microbiology 2003;149:2291– 9. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.26280-0.

874

113 Kessler E, Safrin M, Abrams WR, Rosenbloom J, Ohman DE. Inhibitors and specificity of Pseudomonas aeruginosa LasA. J Biol Chem 1997;272:9884–9.

877

114 Arribas J, Coodly L, Vollmer P, Kishimoto TK, Rose-John S, Massague J. Diverse cell surface
 protein ectodomains are shed by a system sensitive to metalloprotease inhibitors. J Biol Chem
 1996;271:11376–82.

881

882 115 Hooper NM, Karran EH, Turner AJ. Membrane protein secretases. Biochem J 883 1997;321:265–79.

885 116 Park PW, Pier GB, Preston MJ, Goldberger O, Fitzgerald ML, Bernfield M. Syndecan-1 886 shedding is enhanced by LasA, a secreted virulence factor of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Biol

887 Chem 2000;275:3057-64.

888

889 117 Park PW, Pier GB, Hinkes MT, Bernfield M. Exploitation of syndecan-1 shedding by 890 enhances virulence. 2001;411:98-102. **Pseudomonas** aeruginosa Nature 891 https://doi.org/10.1038/35075100.

892

893 118 Gupta RK, Setia S, Harjai K. Expression of quorum sensing and virulence factors are 894 interlinked in Pseudomonas aeruginosa: an in vitro approach. Am J Biomed Sci 2011;3:116-895 25.

896

897 119 Deziel E, Lepine F, Milot S, He J, Mindrinos MN, Tompkins RG, Rahme LG. Analysis of 898 Pseudomonas aeruginosa 4-hydroxy-2-alkylquinolines (HAQs) reveals a role for 4-hydroxy-2-899 heptylquinoline in cell-to-cell communication. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004;101:1339-44. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0307694100.

900

901

902 120 Rumbaugh KP, Griswold JA, Iglewski BH, Hamood AN. Contribution of quorum sensing to 903 the virulence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in burn wound infections. Infect Immun 904 1999;67:5854-62.

905

906 121 Pearson JP, Feldman M, Iglewski BH, Prince A. Pseudomonas aeruginosa cell-to-cell 907 signaling is required for virulence in a model of acute pulmonary infection. Infect Immun 908 2000;68:4331-4.

909

910 122 Wu H, Song Z, Givskov M, Doring G, Worlitzsch D, Mathee K, Rygaard J, Høiby N. 911 Pseudomonas aeruginosa mutations in lasl and rhll quorum sensing systems result in milder 912 chronic lung infection. Microbiology 2001;147:1105–13. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-913 147-5-1105.

914

915 123 Potempa J, Dubin A, Korzus G, Travis J. Degradation of elastin by a cysteine proteinase 916 from Staphylococcus aureus. J Biol Chem 1988;263:2664-7.

917

918 124 Oleksy A, Golonka E, Banbula A, Szmyd G, Moon J, Kubica M, Greenbaum D, Bogyo M, 919 Foster TJ, Travis J, Potempa J. Growth phase-dependent production of a cell wall-associated 920 elastinolytic cysteine proteinase by Staphylococcus epidermidis. Biol Chem 2004;385:525–35. 921 https://doi.org/10.1515/BC.2004.062.

922

923 125 Tsai PJ, Kuo CF, Lin KY, Lin YS, Lei HY, Chen FF, Wang JR, Wu JJ. Effect of group A 924 streptococcal cysteine protease on invasion of epithelial cells. Infect Immun 1998;66:1460-6.

925

926 126 Ashbaugh CD, Warren HB, Carey VJ, Wessels MR. Molecular analysis of the role of the 927 group A streptococcal cysteine protease, hyaluronic acid capsule, and M protein in a murine 928 model of human invasive soft-tissue infection. J Clin Invest 1998;102:550-60. 929 https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI3065.

931 127 Holm SE, Norrby A, Bergholm AM, Norgren M. Aspects of pathogenesis of serious group 932 A streptococcal infections in Sweden, 1988-1989. J Infect Dis 1992;166:31–7.

933

934 128 Gubba S, Low DE, Musser JM. Expression and characterization of group A Streptococcus 935 extracellular cysteine protease recombinant mutant proteins and documentation of 936 seroconversion during human invasive disease episodes. Infect Immun 1998;66:765–70.

937

129 Lukomski S, Montgomery CA, Rurangirwa J, Geske RS, Barrish JP, Adams GJ, Musser JM. Extracellular cysteine protease produced by *Streptococcus pyogenes* participates in the pathogenesis of invasive skin infection and dissemination in mice. Infect Immun 1999;67:1779–88.

942

943

944

945

130 Kansal RG, McGeer A, Low DE, Norrby-Teglund A, Kotb M. Inverse relation between disease severity and expression of the streptococcal cysteine protease, SpeB, among clonal M1T1 isolates recovered from invasive group A streptococcal infection cases. Infect Immun 2000;68:6362–9.

946947

948 131 Svensson MD, Scaramuzzino DA, Sjobring U, Olsen A, Frank C, Bessen DE. Role for a 949 secreted cysteine proteinase in the establishment of host tissue tropism by group A 950 streptococci. Mol Microbiol 2000;38:242–53.

951

952 132 Graham MR, Smoot LM, Migliaccio CA, Virtaneva K, Sturdevant DE, Porcella SF, Federle 953 MJ, Adams GJ, Scott JR, Musser JM. Virulence control in group A Streptococcus by a two-954 component gene regulatory system: global expression profiling and in vivo infection 955 modeling. Proc Natl Acad Sci **USA** 2002;99:13855-60. 956 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.202353699.

957958

133 Virtaneva K, Graham MR, Porcella SF, Hoe NP, Su H, Graviss EA, Gardner TJ, Allison JE, Lemon WJ, Bailey JR, Parnell MJ, Musser JM. Group A Streptococcus gene expression in humans and cynomolgus macaques with acute pharyngitis. Infect Immun 2003;71:2199–207.

960961962

963

964

965

959

134 Virtaneva K, Porcella SF, Graham MR, Ireland RM, Johnson CA, Ricklefs SM, Babar I, Parkins LD, Romero RA, Corn GJ, Gardner DJ, Bailey JR, Parnell MJ, Musser JM. Longitudinal analysis of the group A Streptococcus transcriptome in experimental pharyngitis in cynomolgus macaques. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005;102:9014–9. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0503671102.

966967

968 135 Kapur V, Majesky MW, Li LL, Black RA, Musser JM. Cleavage of interleukin 1 beta (IL-1 beta) precursor to produce active IL-1 beta by a conserved extracellular cysteine protease from Streptococcus pyogenes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1993;90:7676–80.

971

136 Collin M, Olsen A. Extracellular enzymes with immunomodulating activities: variations on a theme in Streptococcus pyogenes. Infect Immun 2003;71:2983–92.

974

975 137 Murphy EC, Morgelin M, Reinhardt DP, Olin AI, Bjorck L, Frick IM. Identification of 976 molecular mechanisms used by *Finegoldia magna* to penetrate and colonize human skin. Mol 977 Microbiol 2014;94:403–17. https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.12773.

979 138 Higaki S, Morohashi M. Characteristics of anaerobes from skin specimens. Drugs Exp Clin 980 Res 2003;29:153–5.

982 139 Hansson C, Hoborn J, Moller A, Swanbeck G. The microbial flora in venous leg ulcers 983 without clinical signs of infection. Repeated culture using a validated standardised 984 microbiological technique. Acta Derm Venereol 1995;75:24–30.

140 Stephens P, Wall I, Wilson MJ, Hill KE, Davies CE, Hill CM, Harding KG, Thomas DW. Anaerobic cocci populating the deep tissues of chronic wounds impair cellular wound healing responses in vitro. Br J Dermatol 2003;148:456–66.

141 Dowd SE, Wolcott RD, Sun Y, McKeehan T, Smith E, Rhoads D. Polymicrobial nature of chronic diabetic foot ulcer biofilm infections determined using bacterial tag encoded FLX amplicon pyrosequencing (bTEFAP). PLoS One 2008;3:e3326. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0003326.

995 142 Dowd SE, Sun Y, Secor PR, Rhoads DD, Wolcott BM, James GA, Wolcott RD. Survey of bacterial diversity in chronic wounds using pyrosequencing, DGGE, and full ribosome shotgun sequencing. BMC Microbiol 2008;8:43. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-8-43.

143 Murphy EC, Frick IM. Gram-positive anaerobic cocci – commensals and opportunistic pathogens. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2013;37:520–53. https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6976.12005.

1002 144 Frick IM, Karlsson C, Morgelin M, Olin AI, Janjusevic R, Hammarström C, Holst E, de Château M, Björck L. Identification of a novel protein promoting the colonization and survival of *Finegoldia magna*, a bacterial commensal and opportunistic pathogen. Mol Microbiol 2008;70:695–708. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06439.x.

1007 145 Ichikawa M, Minami M, Isaka M, Tatsuno I, Hasegawa T. Analysis of two-component sensor proteins involved in the response to acid stimuli in Streptococcus pyogenes.
1009 Microbiology 2011;157:3187–94. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.050534-0.

1011 146 Cohen JO. Effect of culture medium composition and pH on the production of M protein and proteinase by group A Streptococci. J Bacteriol 1969;99:737–44.

1014 147 Gerlach D, Knoll H, Kohler W, Ozegowski JH, Hribalova V. Isolation and characterization of erythrogenic toxins. V. Communication: identity of erythrogenic toxin type B and streptococcal proteinase precursor. Zentralbl Bakteriol Mikrobiol Hyg A 1983;255:221–33.

1018 148 Phillips PL, Wolcott RD, Fletcher J, Schultz GS. Biofilms made easy. Wounds Int 2010;1:1–1019 6.

1021 149 Stoodley P, Sauer K, Davies DG, Costerton JW. Biofilms as complex differentiated communities. Annu Rev Microbiol 2002;56:187–209. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.56.012302.160705.

- 1025 150 James GA, Swogger E, Wolcott R, Pulcini Ed, Secor P, Sestrich J, Costerton JW, Stewart PS.
- Biofilms in chronic wounds. Wound Repair Regen 2008;16:37–44.

1028 151 Wolcott RD, Rhoads DD, Dowd SE. Biofilms and chronic wound inflammation. J Wound 1029 Care 2008;17:333–41.

1030

1031 152 Siddiqui AR, Bernstein JM. Chronic wound infection: facts and controversies. Clin Dermatol 2010;28:519–26.

1033

1034 153 Zhao G, Usui ML, Lippman SI, James GA, Stewart PS, Fleckman P, Olerud JE. Biofilms and 1035 inflammation in chronic wounds. Adv Wound Care 2013;2:389–99. 1036 https://doi.org/10.1089/wound.2012.0381.

1037

1038 154 Kirketerp-Moller K, Jensen PO, Fazli M, Madsen KG, Pedersen J, Moser C, Tolker-Nielsen T, Høiby N, Givskov M, Bjarnsholt T. Distribution, organization, and ecology of bacteria in chronic wounds. J Clin Microbiol 2008;46:2717–22. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00501-08.

1041

1042 155 Han A, Zenilman JM, Melendez JH, Shirtliff ME, Agostinho A, James G, Stewart PS, Mongodin EF, Rao D, Rickard AH, Lazarus GS. The importance of a multifaceted approach to characterizing the microbial flora of chronic wounds. Wound Repair Regen 2011;19:532–41. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1524-475X.2011.00720.x.

1046

1047 156 Attinger C, Wolcott R. Clinically addressing biofilm in chronic wounds. Adv Wound Care 2012;1:127–32. https://doi.org/10.1089/wound.2011.0333.

1049

1050 157 Gilbert P, Maira-Litran T, McBain AJ, Rickard AH, Whyte FW. The physiology and collective recalcitrance of microbial biofilm communities. Adv Microb Physiol 2002;46:202–56.

1052

1053 158 Evans E, Brown MR, Gilbert P. Iron chelator, exopolysaccharide and protease production in *Staphylococcus epidermidis*: a comparative study of the effects of specific growth rate in biofilm and planktonic culture. Microbiology 1994;140:153–7. https://doi.org/10.1099/13500872-140-1-153.

1057

1058 159 Vandecandelaere I, Depuydt P, Nelis HJ, Coenye T. Protease production by *Staphylococcus* 2014;70:321–31. https://doi.org/10.1111/2049-632X.12133.

1061

1062 160 European Wound Management Association. Position document: identifying criteria for wound infection. London: MEP Ltd., 2005.

1064

1065 161 Lauchli S, Swanson T, Serena T, Harding K. The use of a point-of-care test for bacterial protease activity in chronic wounds. Wounds Int 2015;6:22–8.

1067

1068 162 World Union of Wound Healing Societies. Principles of best practice: diagnostics and wounds. A Consensus document. London: MEP Ltd, 2008.

1072 in chronic wounds [abstract]. Presented at the Symposium on Advanced Wound Care, 2015 1073 April 29–May 3, San Antonio, TX, 2015. 1074 1075 164 Meaume S, Vallet D, Morere MN, Teot L. Evaluation of a silver-releasing hydroalginate 1076 dressing in chronic wounds with signs of local infection. J Wound Care 2005;14:411–9. 1077 1078 165 Kaman WE, Hays JP, Endtz HP, Bikker FJ. Bacterial proteases: targets for diagnostics and 1079 therapy. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2014;33:1081-7. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-014-1080 <u>2075-1</u>. 1081

163 Serena TE, Bayliff S, Brosnan P. Bacterial proteases: a marker for a 'state of pathogenesis'

TABLES.

Table 1. Proteases from common organisms [adapted from Koziel and Potempa (2012) [34]]

Organism	Bacterial protease
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	Las A (elastase A)
	Las B (elastase B)
	AprA (alkaline protease)
	Protease IV
Staphylococcus aureus	Aureolysin
	ScpA (staphopain A)
	SspB (staphopain B)
	SspA (staphylococcal serine protease)
Streptococcus pyogenes	SpeB (streptopain; cysteine proteinase)
	Streptlysin S
	IdeS (cysteine proteinase)
	ScpC
Enterococcus faecalis	GelE (gelatinase)
	SprE (serine protease)
Staphylococcus epidermidis	Esp (serine protease)
Finegoldia magna	SufA (subtilisin-like serine protease)
Proteus mirabilis	ZapA (metalloprotease)
Aeromonas sobria	ASP (serine protease)
Vibrio vulnificus	metalloprotease