

1 Title: Predation drives recurrent convergence of an interspecies mutualism

2  
3 Authors: William E. Feeney<sup>1,2,†,\*</sup>, Rohan M. Brooker<sup>2,3,†</sup>, Lane N. Johnston<sup>2</sup>, James D. J.  
4 Gilbert<sup>4</sup>, Marc Besson<sup>5,6</sup>, David Lecchini<sup>5</sup>, Danielle L. Dixon<sup>2</sup>, Peter F. Cowman<sup>7</sup>, Andrea  
5 Manica<sup>8</sup>

6  
7 Affiliations: <sup>1</sup>School of Biological Sciences, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia;  
8 <sup>2</sup>School of Marine Sciences and Policy, University of Delaware, Newark, USA; <sup>3</sup>School of  
9 Life and Environmental Sciences, Deakin University, Geelong, Australia; <sup>4</sup>School of  
10 Environmental Sciences, University of Hull, Hull, UK; <sup>5</sup>PSL Research University, CRIOBE,  
11 USR3278-CNRS-EPHE-UPVD, Moorea, French Polynesia; <sup>6</sup>BIOM Observatoire  
12 Océanologique de Banyuls-sur-Mer, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Banyuls-sur-Mer,  
13 France; <sup>7</sup>ARC Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, James Cook University,  
14 Townsville, Australia; <sup>8</sup>Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK.  
15 †Indicates equal contribution and \*Indicates corresponding author.

16  
17 Author email addresses: [william.e.feeney@gmail.com](mailto:william.e.feeney@gmail.com), [r.brooker@deakin.edu.au](mailto:r.brooker@deakin.edu.au),  
18 [lanej@udel.edu](mailto:lanej@udel.edu), [james.gilbert@hull.ac.uk](mailto:james.gilbert@hull.ac.uk), [marc.besson@ens-lyon.fr](mailto:marc.besson@ens-lyon.fr), [lecchini@univ-perp.fr](mailto:lecchini@univ-perp.fr),  
19 [dixon@udel.edu](mailto:dixon@udel.edu), [petercowman@gmail.com](mailto:petercowman@gmail.com), [am315@cam.ac.uk](mailto:am315@cam.ac.uk)

20  
21 *This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: [Feeney, W. E., Brooker, R. M.,*  
22 *Johnston, L. N., Gilbert, J. D., Besson, M., Lecchini, D., Dixon, D. L., Cowman, P. F. and*  
23 *Manica, A. (2019), Predation drives recurrent convergence of an interspecies mutualism. Ecol*  
24 *Let, 22: 256-264], which has been published in final form at*  
25 *<https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13184>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in*  
26 *accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.*

27  
28 Short title: Predation selects convergence of cooperation

29  
30 Keywords: convergent evolution, coral reefs, mutualism, predator-prey interactions

31  
32 Type of article: Letter

33  
34 Number of words (abstract): 150

35  
36 Number of words (manuscript): 4,582

37  
38 Number of references: 53

39  
40 Number of figures: 4

41  
42 Number of tables and text boxes: 0

43  
44 Corresponding author information: Dr William Feeney; E: [william.e.feeney@gmail.com](mailto:william.e.feeney@gmail.com); T:  
45 +61450315532; postal address: 8 Hendon St, Newmarket, Brisbane QLD 4051 Australia

46  
47 Author contributions: W.E.F and R.M.B conceived the study, with important contributions  
48 from A.M and P.F.C; phylogenetic comparative analyses and ancestral state reconstructions  
49 were conducted by P.F.C, with assistance from W.E.F, R.M.B, J.D.J.G and A.M; field and  
50 laboratory studies of fish behaviour were conducted by W.E.F and R.M.B, with assistance from

51 L.N.J, M.B, and D.L; statistical analyses were conducted by W.E.F, A.M and P.F.C; W.E.F  
52 wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.

53

54 Data accessibility statement: The authors confirm that, should this paper be accepted, the data  
55 supporting the results will be archived in an appropriate public repository such as Dryad or  
56 Figshare and the data DOI will be included at the end of the article.

57

## 58 **Predation drives recurrent convergence of an interspecies mutualism**

59 William E. Feeney<sup>1,2,†,\*</sup>, Rohan M. Brooker<sup>2,3,†</sup>, Lane N. Johnston<sup>2</sup>, James D. J. Gilbert<sup>4</sup>,

60 Marc Besson<sup>5,6</sup>, David Lecchini<sup>5</sup>, Danielle L. Dixon<sup>2</sup>, Peter F. Cowman<sup>7</sup>, Andrea Manica<sup>8</sup>

61

### 62 **ABSTRACT**

63 Mutualisms are important ecological interactions that underpin much of the world's  
64 biodiversity. Predation risk has been shown to regulate mutualism dynamics in species-specific  
65 case studies; however, we lack studies which investigate whether predation can also explain  
66 broader patterns of mutualism evolution. We report that fish-anemone mutualisms have  
67 evolved on at least 55 occasions across 16 fish families over the past 60 MY and that adult  
68 body size is associated with the ontogenetic stage of anemone mutualisms: larger-bodied  
69 species partner with anemones as juveniles, while smaller-bodied species partner with  
70 anemones throughout their lives. Field and laboratory studies show that predators target smaller  
71 prey, that smaller fishes associate more with anemones, and that these relationships confer  
72 protection to small fishes. Our results indicate that predation is likely driving the recurrent  
73 convergent evolution of fish-anemone mutualisms and suggest that similar ecological  
74 processes may have selected convergence in interspecies interactions in other animal clades.

75 **INTRODUCTION**

76 Mutualistic relationships, in which individuals from two or more species cooperate, are  
77 important ecological interactions (Bronstein 1994; Hay *et al.* 2004; Bascompte & Jordano  
78 2007). Partners exchange a variety of resources or services (Grutter 1999; Dixson & Hay 2012;  
79 Canestrari *et al.* 2014) and range from opportunistic to obligate in their reliance on one another.  
80 Networks of mutualistic interactions can comprise hundreds of species (Thébault & Fontaine  
81 2010) and by mediating competition, they can promote coexistence and increase surrounding  
82 biodiversity (Schmitt & Holbrook 2003; Bastolla *et al.* 2009; Weber & Agrawal 2014).  
83 External pressures, such as predation, have been shown in a number of case studies to be  
84 important for mediating mutualism dynamics: the removal of herbivores resulted in the  
85 breakdown of an ant-plant mutualism in Africa (Palmer *et al.* 2008) and the prevalence of nest  
86 predation determines whether hosting a cuckoo nestling is detrimental or beneficial in a  
87 European host (Canestrari *et al.* 2014). However, the extent to which these kinds of processes  
88 can influence convergent patterns of mutualism evolution remains to be formally investigated  
89 (Doebeli & Knowlton 1998; Toby Kiers *et al.* 2010; Bittleston *et al.* 2016).

90

91 Since first being described off the coast of Borneo in 1868 (Collingwood 1868), the  
92 relationships between fishes and anemones have provided tractable models for studying  
93 interspecies mutualisms. These partnerships are instigated by the fishes, and partner reliance  
94 spans from facultative to obligate for fishes and is facultative for anemones (Fautin 1991). Past  
95 research has primarily focused on understanding the ecology and evolution of the 29 obligately  
96 associated Amphiprioninae anemonefishes (*Amphiprion* spp. and *Premnas* sp.; *hereafter*  
97 ‘anemonefishes’) (Buston 2003a, 2004; Munday *et al.* 2009) as well as interactions between  
98 them and the ten anemone species that they associate with throughout their Indo-Pacific range  
99 (Elliott *et al.* 1999; Schmitt & Holbrook 2003; Ollerton *et al.* 2007). While anemones that host

100 fishes gain benefits such as increased access to nutrition or increased aeration (Szczebak *et al.*  
101 2013; Lim *et al.* 2016), protection from predators is widely credited as a key benefit that  
102 anemonefishes receive through their associations with anemones (reviewed in Fautin 1991).  
103 However, given the obligate nature of these relationships, this has proven difficult to  
104 conclusively demonstrate. In contrast, while no prior research has investigated the evolution or  
105 evolutionary history of facultative mutualisms between fishes and anemones, these species  
106 exhibit notable phylogenetic diversity (Randall & Fautin 2002), which suggests that these  
107 relationships have evolved on multiple occasions. Several experimental, long-term monitoring  
108 and observational studies on a variety of fish-anemone partnerships also provide direct and  
109 indirect evidence in support of the hypothesis that protection from predators is a key benefit  
110 of, at least, some of these relationships (e.g. Mariscal 1970a; Elliott 1992; Holbrook & Schmitt  
111 2002; Buston 2003b). Taken together, these studies indicate that fish-anemone mutualisms may  
112 provide a useful model to test whether predation can explain recurrent patterns of mutualism  
113 evolution.

114

115 In this study, we first conducted a series of phylogenetic analyses to investigate: i) the  
116 evolutionary history of fish-anemone mutualisms among both facultative and obligate fish  
117 clades, and ii) whether fish body size or life-history stage could explain patterns of anemone  
118 associations. Considering that many predatory fishes are gape-limited (Goatley & Bellwood  
119 2016) we expected that these relationships have evolved on multiple occasions and that fish  
120 body size, rather than life-history stage should determine patterns of fish-anemone mutualism  
121 evolution: smaller-bodied species should associate with anemones throughout their lives (i.e.  
122 as juveniles and adults) while larger-bodied species should only associate with anemones when  
123 they are small (i.e. as juveniles). Second, we carried out a series of field and laboratory  
124 experiments on the threespot dascyllus (*Dascyllus trimaculatus*) – a facultative anemone-

125 mutualist – to investigate: i) if body size could explain patterns of anemone associations  
126 between individuals under natural conditions, ii) whether smaller individuals were more  
127 vulnerable to predation by three common predators compared to larger counterparts, and iii)  
128 whether associations with anemones conferred protective benefits compared to (A) a locally  
129 abundant and closely related non-anemone associated comparison species, the surge  
130 damselfish (*Chrysiptera brownriggi*), and (B) individuals from the same species that had  
131 access to a coral refuge.

132

## 133 **MATERIALS & METHODS**

### 134 **Phylogenetic and ancestral-state analyses**

135 To investigate the evolutionary history of anemone-associations in fishes, we first compiled  
136 records of fishes that associate with anemones from the literature (table S1). We recorded two  
137 pieces of information for each anemone-associated fish species: i) the ontogenetic stage at  
138 which the species had been observed to associate with anemones, and ii) whether the  
139 association was facultative or obligate. This resulted in a dataset of 88 species across 16  
140 families that could be placed into three possible character states: i) “juvenile-only facultative”  
141 ( $n = 19$ ) – facultative use of anemones only recorded in juvenile individuals (average max body  
142 size =  $332.0 \text{ mm} \pm 34.8 \text{ mm}$ ); ii) “facultative” ( $n = 22$ ) – facultative use of anemones recorded  
143 in both juvenile and adult individuals (average max body size =  $82.9 \pm 6.63 \text{ mm}$ ); iii) “obligate”  
144 ( $n = 29$ ) – obligate use of anemones recorded across life history stages (average max body size  
145 =  $126.0 \text{ mm} \pm 4.87 \text{ mm}$ ). We also included “non-mutualist” ( $n = 1823$ ) as an additional  
146 character state, which comprised species that had not previously been recorded to associate  
147 with anemones but were sampled in the phylogeny and found within families that had at least  
148 one anemone-associated representative (average max body size =  $239 \text{ mm} \pm 6.63 \text{ mm}$ ). The

149 ontogenetic stage of anemone-association was unknown for 18 of the 88 species (average max  
150 body size = 113.0 mm  $\pm$  7.6 mm) (table S1).

151

152 To investigate the number of independent origins of fish-anemone mutualisms, the number of  
153 transitions between states and whether there was an effect of size on life-stage of anemone-  
154 association when compared to non-anemone associated counterparts, we used a recently  
155 published time-calibrated fish ‘tree of life’ (Rabosky *et al.* 2018), which we pruned down to  
156 the most inclusive clade that represented all families that contain species that have been  
157 recorded to display mutualistic relationships with anemones. The resulting phylogeny included  
158 16 families within the Acanthopterygii. There were 18 species in our dataset that were not  
159 represented in the pruned phylogeny. To incorporate uncertainty regarding the placement of  
160 these unsampled tips, we generated a set of 1000 phylogenies and grafted the unsampled tips  
161 to their most likely sister species or clade based on previously published information (table  
162 S2). The tips were grafted with a branching point drawn at random along the grafted lineage  
163 using the *bind.tip* function in the R package PHYTOOLS v0.6-2 20 (Revell 2012). The final  
164 phylogeny included 1956 species.

165

166 Stochastic character mapping (Huelsenbeck *et al.* 2003) was used to infer possible histories of  
167 anemone use across the phylogeny. First, we tested and compared models which allowed  
168 reversible or irreversible transitions between mutualist states. In each analysis the transition  
169 (Q) matrix was fitted to the tree and data using the ‘fitML()’ function of PHYTOOLS. For each  
170 model, 1000 stochastic character histories were simulated across the 1000 set of grafted trees  
171 (one map per tree). The irreversible model performed slightly better when compared by AIC  
172 score (IRR: 675.22, SYM:676.56); but AIC weights could not separate the two models. To  
173 allow for possible reversal from mutualist to non-mutualist, we chose the SYM model. The

174 1000 simulated character histories were summarised to explore the number of independent  
175 origins of anemone use across the tree and number of transition between states. This was done  
176 for three variations on the mutualism state coding: one where the unknown life history stage  
177 mutualist species were coded as “facultative” as adults, one where there were coded as  
178 “unknown”, and one where equal probability were given to the species being facultative as  
179 juvenile-only or as juveniles and adults. The final coding scheme allowed the stochastic  
180 analysis to predict which state the unknown facultative tips were likely to be in.

181

182 Finally, to investigate the effect of body size on association type, we retrieved adult body  
183 lengths from fishbase.org. Out of the 1956 species tree (Rabosky et al. 2018) there were 50  
184 species for which body size data were not available. As these species were non-mutualists and  
185 phylogenetically distant from any transition to any mutualism category, we pruned them from  
186 the tree prior to analyses. We used phylogenetic generalised least squares regression (PGLS)  
187 to explore whether maximum adult body size (TL) varied among species that display  
188 mutualism behaviour with anemones as juveniles or as juveniles and adults, and whether there  
189 was a relationship between maximum body size and association type (facultative, juvenile-only  
190 facultative, obligate and unknown). As more closely related species are assumed to exhibit  
191 more similar traits (Revell 2010), PGLS takes into account the expected covariance structure  
192 of residuals for a given phylogeny. The correlation structure was first derived from the  
193 phylogenetic tree assuming Brownian motion model of evolution and a maximum likelihood  
194 estimate of Pagel’s  $\lambda$  (Pagel 1997) using the *corPagel* function of the R package *ape* (Paradis  
195 *et al.* 2004). The PGLS was then run using the *gls* function in the R package *nlme* (Pinheiro *et*  
196 *al.* 2013).

197

198 **Field and aquaria studies**

199 *Study Site and Species*

200 Field and aquaria studies were conducted at Moorea (17°29'S, 149°49'W), French Polynesia,  
201 between January and February 2016. Transects and animal collection were conducted on the  
202 shallow reef flats (depth: 2 – 12 m) surrounding the island; aquaria experiments were conducted  
203 at CRIOBE Research Station. Threespot dascyllus, surge damselfish, arc-eye hawkfish  
204 (*Paracirrhites arcatus*), and speckled sandperch (*Parapercis hexophthalma*) were collected on  
205 snorkel and SCUBA using anaesthetic clove oil solution (15% clove oil; 15% ethanol; 70%  
206 seawater), hand nets and small barrier nets. Anemones (*Heteractis magnifica*) and corals  
207 (*Pocillopora eydouxi*) were collected from the same shallow reefs. Smallmouth squirrelfish  
208 (*Sargocentron microstoma*) were opportunistically collected as by-catch from a crest net.

209

210 Specimen collection was conducted on a rolling schedule to meet experimental demands.  
211 Dascyllus and surge damselfish were sorted into 4 size categories for use in field and laboratory  
212 studies: “recruit” (0 – 2 cm), “juvenile” (2.1 – 4 cm), “small adult” (4.1 – 6 cm), and “large  
213 adult” (> 6.1 cm). Following collection and prior to use in aquaria experiments; dascyllus,  
214 surge damselfish, anemones ( $n = 9$ , all ~ 30 cm diameter) and corals ( $n = 12$ , all ~ 30 cm  
215 diameter) were held together in a 630 L tank (350 \* 60 \* 30 cm). Anemones were fed a 1 cm<sup>2</sup>  
216 piece of prawn every 48 h. Dascyllus and surge damselfishes were fed *ad libitum* with  
217 granulated fish food (Tetra) and newly hatched *Artemia nauplii*. Anemones and corals were  
218 placed in experimental tanks a minimum of 48 h prior to use in experiments and were switched  
219 indiscriminately between tanks prior to each trial to control for any potential specimen effects.  
220 Potential prey (dascyllus and surge damselfishes) were placed in tanks 30 minutes prior to the  
221 start of a trial to allow time for acclimation prior to the addition to a predator (hawkfish,  
222 sandperch, or squirrelfish) and the trial beginning. Each fish was only used in one experimental  
223 trial before being released back onto the reef from which it was collected (predation trial

224 methods similar to Cortesi *et al.* 2015). Hawkfishes, sandperches, and squirrelfishes were held  
225 together in two 843 L holding tanks (150 \* 75 \* 75) and were fed *ad libitum* with small pieces  
226 of prawn. Twenty-four hours prior to use in experiments they were transferred to individual 45  
227 L holding tanks (50 \* 30 \* 30 cm) where they were not fed to help control for satiation level.  
228 Tanks were cleaned between each trial.

229

### 230 *Transects*

231 To investigate patterns of anemone-associations by fishes, patterns of habitat use by dascyllus  
232 and surge damselfish, and whether anemone-associations varied through ontogeny in  
233 dascyllus, we conducted thirty 25 m transects along the shallow reef flats at Tema'e Bay  
234 (17°29'41.4" S, 149°45'07.6" W). The observer noted the habitat over which all dascyllus  
235 (recruits, juveniles, small adults and large adults), surge damselfish (recruits, juvenile, small  
236 adults, large adults) and orange-fin anemonefish (*Amphiprion chrysopterus*) were at the time  
237 of first observation as well as the total number of anemones along each transect. Fishes and  
238 anemones were recorded if they were sighted within 1.5 m either side of the transect tape, and  
239 each transect was run at approximately 3 m intervals perpendicular to the coastline. Of the 133  
240 anemones sighted during the transects, 124 (93.23 %) hosted threespot dascyllus, 9 (6.77 %)   
241 hosted orange-fin anemonefish and 9 (6.77 %) hosted no fish. Orange-fin anemonefishes were  
242 always found on anemones that also hosted threespot dascyllus, and surge damselfish were  
243 never found in association with anemones. Hawkfish, sandperch and squirrelfish were all  
244 commonly observed during transects, but their abundances were not recorded. We used a  
245 generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) with a Poisson distribution to investigate how  
246 anemone-associations varied through ontogeny in dascyllus in the R package *lme4* (Bates &  
247 Maechler 2009). The full model included substrate type and fish size as fixed effects, and  
248 transect ID as a random effect. We used a zero-inflated generalized linear mixed model with a

249 Poisson distribution to investigate whether fishes of different sizes associated more with  
250 anemones of different sizes in the R package *glmmTMB* (Magnusson *et al.* 2018). The full  
251 model included anemone size and fish size class as fixed effects, and transect ID as a random  
252 effect.

253

### 254 ***Predation Experiment #1***

255 To investigate whether predator pressure varied according to prey body size in *dascyllus*, we  
256 conducted a prey-choice experiment using three common predators of juvenile fishes.  
257 Hawkfish (SL =  $7.84 \pm 0.47$  cm, range = 6.1 – 8.7 cm), sandperch (SL =  $12.4 \pm 1.05$  cm, range  
258 = 9.3 – 15.8 cm) and squirrelfish (SL =  $16.32 \pm 0.15$  cm, range = 15.8 – 16.6 cm) were used as  
259 the predators. We conducted preliminary trials to confirm that the smallest predator individuals  
260 from each species were able to consume the largest prey individuals from the recruit and  
261 juvenile size classes. We also included small adults in our trials to investigate whether these  
262 predators were a threat to larger *dascyllus* individuals.

263

264 Experimental tanks ( $n = 6$ ) contained equal amounts of rubble substrate. One hour prior to each  
265 experimental trial, a *dascyllus* from each size class (recruit, juvenile and small adult) was  
266 placed into an experimental tank. Prey size within size class did not differ across predator  
267 treatments ( $\chi^2_4 = 467.05, P = 0.88$ ). The trial began when one of the predators was added to  
268 a tank ( $n = 10$  trials for each of the three predator species). Each trial was checked after 3 h and  
269 then approximately every hour until either a fish was captured or 24 h passed. If the latter  
270 occurred, all fish were replaced and the trial was re-run (this occurred in two of 32 trials).  
271 Following each trial, the remaining fish were removed from the experimental tank and placed  
272 in a holding tank prior to release. We used a generalized linear mixed model with a binomial  
273 distribution to investigate whether predators preferentially targeted small, medium or large

274 prey items. The full model included prey size, predator species and their interaction as fixed  
275 effects, and trial as a random effect. Main effects were tested only if the relevant interaction  
276 was not significant. We used a generalized linear mixed model with a Poisson distribution to  
277 investigate whether prey size varied within size classes across predator treatments. The full  
278 model included prey size category and predator species as fixed effects and trial as a random  
279 effect.

280

### 281 ***Predation experiment #2***

282 To investigate whether predators would preferentially target prey not associated with an  
283 anemone over those with an anemone, we conducted a predation experiment using surge  
284 damselfishes (non-anemone-associated) and dascyllus (anemone-associated). Each  
285 experimental tank ( $n = 8$ ) contained one coral, one anemone and equal amounts of rubble  
286 substrate. One hour prior to each experimental trial ( $n = 20$ ), we placed 10 dascyllus and 10  
287 surge damselfish recruits in the tank to acclimate. Following acclimation, a hawkfish (SL =  
288  $7.75 \pm 0.27$  cm, range = 6.1 – 8.8 cm) was added and the experiment was left to run for 24 h.  
289 After the 24 h experimental period, the hawkfish was removed from the tank and the remaining  
290 damselfish and dascyllus were counted, removed from the tank and placed into the holding  
291 tank prior to being released. We used a generalised linear model with a gamma distribution to  
292 investigate survival rates of surge damselfish and dascyllus individuals. The full model  
293 included prey species as a fixed effect.

294

### 295 ***Predation experiment #3***

296 Finally, we investigated whether the presence of anemones decreased the likelihood of juvenile  
297 dascyllus being captured. Each experimental tank ( $n = 8$ ) contained an equal amount of rubble  
298 substrate as well as either an anemone or a coral. Each experimental trial comprised an

299 anemone and coral treatment ( $n = 20$  trials). Prior to each experimental treatment, ten dascyllus  
300 recruits were placed into the tank and allowed 1 h acclimation, after which a hawkfish (SL =  
301  $7.79 \pm 0.23$  cm, range = 6.2 – 8.8 cm) was added to the tank and the experiment was left to run  
302 for 24 h. After the 24 h experimental period, the hawkfish was removed from the tank and the  
303 remaining dascyllus were counted, removed from the tank and placed into the holding tank  
304 prior to being released. We used a generalized linear model with a gamma distribution to  
305 investigate survival rates of dascyllus individuals. The full model included refuge type as a  
306 fixed effect.

307

## 308 **RESULTS**

### 309 **Evolutionary History of Fish-Anemone Mutualisms**

310 We found that fish-anemone mutualisms independently evolved on at least 55 occasions across  
311 16 families over the past 60 million years (MY) (Fig. 1). Our results indicate that obligate  
312 anemone associations evolved on one occasion approximately 22 MYA, while facultative  
313 associations evolved on 54 occasions over the past 60 MY and show no congruent pattern in  
314 their temporal emergence (Fig. 2A-C). Stochastic character mapping performed on a modified  
315 time-calibrated fish phylogeny (Rabosky *et al.* 2018) indicates that anemone-associations  
316 evolved directly from non-anemone-associated ancestors in both facultative and obligate clades  
317 and that this behaviour has been lost on at least 2 occasions among facultative lineages (Fig.  
318 2D). The same number of transitions was recorded when species with an unknown life-history  
319 stage of anemone association (18 species) were coded as “unknown” rather than “facultative”.  
320 Further, when we allowed the stochastic mapping analysis to predict whether unknown  
321 facultative taxa were mutualists as juveniles only or as juveniles and adults (i.e. facultative), it  
322 definitively predicted a tip to be facultative in only one instance (Moluccan cardinal fish  
323 *Ostorhinchus moluccensis* – 97% of stochastic maps). For all other unknown taxa, the

324 prediction was equivocal (< 60% of maps in either stage) due to the lack of closely related taxa  
325 that are also associated with anemones. Given the lack of predictability and the distribution of  
326 body sizes in the unknown facultative taxa, we proceeded with analyses where unknowns were  
327 coded as “facultative”.

328

329 Using published records of the life stage(s) at which fishes associate with anemones (table S1),  
330 we tested whether the adult body size of fishes that associate with anemones correlates with  
331 the life history stage at which they maintain a mutualism. There were significant differences in  
332 maximum body size among mutualist categories ( $F_{3,1902} = 3.62$ ,  $P = 0.012$ ). We found that,  
333 within families that included both anemone-associated and non-anemone-associated species,  
334 lineages that have evolved a facultative association as juveniles-only ( $332 \pm 34.8$  mm) were  
335 significantly larger than non-mutualist lineages ( $239 \pm 6.63$  mm) (coefficient: 0.06,  $t_{1902} = 2.9$ ,  
336  $P = 0.003$ ), while lineages that display facultative associations as both juveniles and adults  
337 ( $96.6 \pm 6.86$  mm) were not significantly smaller than non-mutualist lineages (coefficient: -  
338 0.02,  $t_{1902} = -1.35$ ,  $P = 0.17$ ), and obligately associated lineages ( $126 \pm 4.87$  mm) were also not  
339 significantly different in size from non-mutualists (coefficient: 0.05,  $t_{1902} = 0.75$ ,  $P = 0.45$ )  
340 (Fig. 2E). These results did not change when we excluded the obligate anemonefishes ( $F_{2, 1874}$   
341  $= 6.17$ ,  $P = 0.002$ ) from our analyses, when species with an unknown life-history stage of  
342 anemone association were excluded from our analysis ( $F_{3, 1884} = 4.17$ ,  $P = 0.006$ ), or when we  
343 removed the 18 mutualist species that were grafted to the molecular phylogeny ( $F_{3, 1884} = 6.08$ ,  
344  $P = 0.0004$ ).

345

346 **Field and Laboratory Studies: Does Predation Select Anemone Mutualisms?**

347 Our transect data revealed that there was a significant interaction between substrate type and  
348 fish size ( $\chi^2_3 = 452.57, P < 0.0001$ , Fig. 3): whilst recruit (< 2 cm TL,  $n = 326$ ) and juvenile  
349 (2 – 4 cm TL,  $n = 387$ ) dascyllus, which were both found almost exclusively in association  
350 with anemones, did not differ in their substrate use (coefficient: 1.35,  $z = 1.24, P = 0.22$ ), there  
351 was a progressive decrease in the use of the anemone in the larger dascyllus size classes (small  
352 adults, 4 – 6 cm TL,  $n = 240$ ; coefficient: 3.39,  $z = 3.32, P = 0.0009$ ; and large adults, > 6 cm  
353 TL,  $n = 169$ , coefficient: 6.60,  $z = 1.01, P < 0.0001$ ). Our analysis also revealed an interaction  
354 between the abundance of fishes within a particular size class and anemone size ( $\chi^2_3 =$   
355 27.96,  $P < 0.0001$ ). However, with the exception of juvenile fishes, which were found in  
356 significantly higher abundances on larger anemones (coefficient: 0.23,  $z = 4.24, P < 0.0001$ ),  
357 there were no significant interactions between fish size and anemone size in small adults  
358 (coefficient: 0.09,  $z = 1.37, P = 0.72$ ) or large adults (coefficient: 0.04,  $z = 0.21, P = 0.84$ ),  
359 indicating no evidence of larger fishes associating more frequently with larger anemones.

360

361 When investigating whether three different predators (arc-eye hawkfish,  $n = 10$ ; speckled  
362 sandperch,  $n = 10$ ; and smallmouth squirrelfish,  $n = 10$ ) preferentially targeted small, medium  
363 or large dascyllus, we found no difference in prey body size preference ( $\chi^2_2 = 57.46, P = 0.89$ ).

364 Across these three predators, recruit fishes were removed significantly more than both juvenile  
365 and small adult dascyllus by all three predator species ( $\chi^2_1 = 57.46, P < 0.001$ ) (Fig. 4a, b, c).

366 When ten dascyllus and ten surge damselfish recruits were placed in a tank with an anemone,  
367 a coral, coral rubble, and a hawkfish predator ( $n = 20$  trials), significantly more dascyllus  
368 survived compared to surge damselfishes ( $F_{1, 39} = 28.9, P < 0.0001$ , Fig. 4d). When ten  
369 dascyllus recruits were placed in a tank with coral rubble, a hawkfish predator and either a  
370 coral ( $n = 20$  trials) or an anemone ( $n = 20$  trials), significantly more dascyllus survived when  
371 an anemone refuge was available ( $F_{1, 39} = 31.98, P < 0.0001$ , Fig. 4e). We also detected no

372 significant differences when we compared survival of dascyllus with access to a coral refuge  
373 to that of surge damselfishes ( $W = 161, P = 0.276$ ), nor in total predation across these trials ( $W$   
374  $= 513.5, P = 0.063$ ).

375

## 376 **DISCUSSION**

377 Predation has been shown to select protective mutualisms in a variety of species-specific case-  
378 studies (Bronstein 1994; Hay *et al.* 2004; Bascompte & Jordano 2007), as well as regulate  
379 mutualism dynamics between interacting species (Palmer *et al.* 2008; Canestrari *et al.* 2014).  
380 However despite being an intuitive expectation, no prior study appears to have directly  
381 investigated whether predation might also select globally generalizable patterns of convergence  
382 in interspecies mutualisms (Doebeli & Knowlton 1998; Toby Kiers *et al.* 2010; Bittleston *et*  
383 *al.* 2016). When considering fishes that form both facultative and obligate mutualisms with  
384 anemones, our phylogenetic and transition-state analyses indicate that: i) these relationships  
385 have evolved on numerous occasions, ii) while obligate associations with anemones evolved  
386 on one occasion, facultative associations have continuously emerged and disappeared over the  
387 past 60 MY, and iii) maximum adult body size is associated with the life-history stage at which  
388 fishes have been recorded to associate with anemones, with smaller-bodied species, or  
389 individuals within species, associating with anemones more than their larger counterparts. To  
390 investigate the causality of these patterns, we conducted field transects and a series of predation  
391 experiments on the threespot dascyllus as a case study, which show that: i) there is an inverse  
392 relationship between fish body size and its likelihood of being observed in association with an  
393 anemone, and no evidence that larger fishes seek out larger anemones, ii) three common  
394 piscivorous predators all preferentially target smaller prey over larger prey, iii) predators  
395 preferentially target prey from species that do not associate with anemones over those that do,  
396 and iv) within a species, individuals that associate with anemones are less likely to be preyed

397 on compared to those that only have access to a coral refuge. Considering that several other  
398 species-specific case studies have suggested that protection from predators is a benefit of these  
399 relationships in both facultative (Elliott 1992) and obligate (Mariscal 1970b) fish lineages, our  
400 results strongly suggest that selection by predators has been instrumental in the recurrent  
401 convergent evolution of fish-anemone mutualisms, and that this pattern of evolutionary  
402 convergence is globally generalizable.

403

404 Our results highlight that fish-anemone mutualisms are geographically and phylogenetically  
405 common relationships. When considering facultative and obligate mutualisms together, our  
406 results show that these relationships have evolved on at least 55 occasions across 16 families  
407 (Fig. 1), indicating that over a quarter (27%) of coral reef associated fish families contain at  
408 least one representative that has been recorded to form mutualisms with anemones. While it is  
409 difficult to compare facultative versus obligate mutualisms, given that obligate relationships  
410 are only represented in the monophyletic anemonefishes, there does appear to be some notable  
411 differences between the two. Facultative mutualisms appear to be highly labile, behaviourally  
412 opportunistic relationships that have evolved on numerous occasions in geographically  
413 disparate locations, such as the Mediterranean, West-Atlantic, and Indo-Pacific. Once they  
414 emerge, they show little evidence of subsequent diversification, with exception of some small  
415 clades within the Pomacentridae, Apogonidae and Labrisomidae (Fig. 1). Obligate mutualisms,  
416 in contrast, are associated with accompanying specialized phenotypes, which may contribute  
417 to them being rarer and less labile compared to facultative mutualisms (Santini & Polacco  
418 2006). The emergence of obligate mutualisms with anemones also appears to have preceded a  
419 period of rapid diversification (Cowman & Bellwood 2011; Litsios *et al.* 2012). One question  
420 concerns why obligate mutualisms have evolved so rarely compared to their facultative  
421 counterparts. However, this result is perhaps unsurprising given that the creation of reef

422 habitats throughout the Indo-Australian Archipelago during the Oligocene and Miocene  
423 underpinned high rates of cladogenesis and niche-specialization (Cowman & Bellwood 2011).  
424 For example, cleaning and corallivory are relatively common and geographically diverse life-  
425 history strategies in coral reef fishes, but similar to anemone mutualisms, obligate  
426 representatives are also primarily restricted to the Indo-Pacific and evolved around this period  
427 (Cowman & Bellwood 2011; Frédérick *et al.* 2013; Baliga & Law 2016). Overall, despite  
428 facultative and obligate mutualisms appearing to exhibit at least some differences, the general  
429 lack of a pattern in the temporal emergence of these relationships over the past 60 MY as well  
430 as their geographic and phylogenetic diversity suggests that a pervasive ecological pressure,  
431 rather than a discrete biological or geological event, may best explain the repeated evolution  
432 of this trait.

433

434 The high fitness costs that are experienced by smaller fishes, regardless of their phylogenetic  
435 position or life-history stage, makes fish-anemone mutualisms well-suited to explore the  
436 ecological pressures that can select the recurrent evolution of interspecies mutualisms. The life-  
437 history of many reef-associated fishes comprises a dispersive larval phase followed by more  
438 sedentary juvenile and adult phases. Larval fishes face a severe predation bottleneck as they  
439 return to the reef (Almany & Webster 2006), with a recent meta-analysis estimating an average  
440 daily mortality rate of approximately 30% of predator-naïve recruits per day across species  
441 during this time (Goatley & Bellwood 2016). While the likelihood of predation dramatically  
442 decreases as experience with predators and/or body-size increases (Munday & Jones 1998;  
443 Depczynski & Bellwood 2006; Mitchell *et al.* 2013; Goatley & Bellwood 2016), mortality risk  
444 still averages approximately 3-8% per day until total length reaches approximately 43 mm,  
445 after which it stabilizes around 0.2% per day (Goatley & Bellwood 2016). When comparing  
446 across species, our phylogenetic analysis shows that maximum adult body size varies

447 significantly with the stage at which species maintain mutualisms with anemones: species that  
448 associate with anemones as both juveniles and adults tend to be smaller bodied species, and  
449 species that associate with anemones exclusively as juveniles are significantly larger than non-  
450 mutualists as adults (Fig. 2E). Our field transects and aquaria experiments corroborate these  
451 patterns, showing that smaller fishes associate more with anemones compared to larger fishes,  
452 and that they are the primary beneficiaries of these mutualisms. It is interesting that our transect  
453 data, as well as data from other mutualism systems, indicates that these relationships weaken  
454 once individuals reach approximately 40 mm in length. For example, several species of tubelip  
455 wrasse (*Diproctacanthurus xanthurus* and *Labropsis alleni*) have been observed to exhibit  
456 cleaning behaviours, which are associated with decreased predation risk (Côté 2000) until they  
457 reach approximately 35-50 mm in length (Cole 2010; Grutter & Feeney 2016) and similar to  
458 fish-anemone associations, numerous small fishes obtain protective benefits through  
459 associations with other sessile organisms, such as corals or urchins, to whom they provide  
460 nutrition through their excrement (Lieberman *et al.* 1995; Vagelli & Erdmann 2002). Therefore,  
461 while our study focused on fish-anemone mutualisms, our results may indicate that the gape-  
462 limitations inherent to many predatory fishes might help explain and unify diverse studies  
463 regarding the evolution of size-dependent protective mutualisms, especially in marine  
464 environments.

465

466 Our key insight is that, in addition to affecting mutualism evolution in species-specific case  
467 studies and regulating mutualism dynamics between interacting species (Palmer *et al.* 2008;  
468 Canestrari *et al.* 2014), the pressure imposed on prey by predators might also explain global  
469 patterns of convergence of interspecies mutualism evolution. While protective mutualisms are  
470 near ubiquitous components of almost all ecosystems (Bronstein 1994; Hay *et al.* 2004;  
471 Bascompte & Jordano 2007), the size-dependent nature of these relationships in marine

472 environments appears to make them well-suited for discerning the pressures selecting  
473 protective mutualisms across a wide range of species compared to their terrestrial counterparts,  
474 where predation pressure is less linked to prey body size (Chamberlain & Holland 2009; Trager  
475 *et al.* 2010). We emphasise the importance of understanding how external pressures can  
476 influence convergent patterns of interspecies interactions and the fundamental role that  
477 predation has played in shaping the evolution of the world's biodiversity.

478

479 **Acknowledgements** Research was conducted with approval by the Animal Ethics Committees  
480 at University of Delaware (1292-2016-0) and the PSL Research University (IRCP-2016-02).  
481 We thank Ricardo Beldade, Suzanne Mills, and Gerrit Nanninga for helpful discussions in the  
482 field; and the staff at CRIOBE for logistical help. WEF was supported by the Australian-  
483 American Fulbright Commission, RMB was supported by an IRCP research grant, PFC was  
484 supported by the Australian Research Council and DLD was supported by the University of  
485 Delaware.

486

487 **Author Contributions** W.E.F and R.M.B conceived the study, with important contributions  
488 from A.M and P.F.C; phylogenetic comparative analyses and ancestral state reconstructions  
489 were conducted by P.F.C, with assistance from W.E.F, R.M.B, J.D.J.G and A.M; field and  
490 laboratory studies of fish behaviour were conducted by W.E.F and R.M.B, with assistance from  
491 L.N.J, M.B, and D.L; statistical analyses were conducted by W.E.F, A.M and P.F.C; W.E.F  
492 wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.

493

494 **Declaration of Interests** The authors declare no competing interests.

495 **Data DOI** [10.6084/m9.figshare.7150115](https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.7150115)

496

497

498

499 **References**

- 500 Almany, G. & Webster, M. (2006). The predation gauntlet: early post-settlement mortality in  
501 reef fishes. *Coral Reefs*, 25, 19–22.
- 502 Baliga, V.B. & Law, C.J. (2016). Cleaners among wrasses: phylogenetics and evolutionary  
503 patterns of cleaning behavior within Labridae. *Molecular Phylogenetics and*  
504 *Evolution*, 94, 424–435.
- 505 Bascompte, J. & Jordano, P. (2007). Plant-animal mutualistic networks: the architecture of  
506 biodiversity. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 567–593.
- 507 Bastolla, U., Fortuna, M.A., Pascual-García, A., Ferrera, A., Luque, B. & Bascompte, J.  
508 (2009). The architecture of mutualistic networks minimizes competition and increases  
509 biodiversity. *Nature*, 458, 1018–1020.
- 510 Bates, D. & Maechler, M. (2009). *lme4: Linear mixed-effects models using S4 classes*.
- 511 Bittleston, L.S., Pierce, N.E., Ellison, A.M. & Pringle, A. (2016). Convergence in  
512 multispecies interactions. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 31, 269–280.
- 513 Bronstein, J.L. (1994). Our current understanding of mutualism. *The Quarterly Review of*  
514 *Biology*, 69, 31–51.
- 515 Buston, P. (2003a). Social hierarchies: size and growth modification in clownfish. *Nature*,  
516 424, 145.
- 517 Buston, P.M. (2003b). Mortality is associated with social rank in the clown anemonefish  
518 (*Amphiprion percula*). *Marine Biology*, 143, 811–815.
- 519 Buston, P.M. (2004). Territory inheritance in clownfish. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of*  
520 *London B: Biological Sciences*, 271, S252–S254.

521 Canestrari, D., Bolopo, D., Turlings, T.C.J., Röder, G., Marcos, J.M. & Baglione, V. (2014).  
522 From parasitism to mutualism: unexpected interactions between a cuckoo and its host.  
523 *Science*, 343, 1350–1352.

524 Chamberlain, S.A. & Holland, J.N. (2009). Quantitative synthesis of context dependency in  
525 ant–plant protection mutualisms. *Ecology*, 90, 2384–2392.

526 Cole, A.J. (2010). Cleaning to corallivory: ontogenetic shifts in feeding ecology of tubelip  
527 wrasse. *Coral Reefs*, 29, 125–129.

528 Collingwood, C. (1868). Note on the existence of gigantic sea anemones in the China Sea,  
529 containing within them quasi parasitic fish. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History*  
530 *series 4*, 1, 31–33.

531 Cortesi, F., Feeney, W.E., Ferrari, M.C.O., Waldie, P.A., Phillips, G.A.C., McClure, E.C., *et*  
532 *al.* (2015). Phenotypic plasticity confers multiple fitness benefits to a mimic. *Current*  
533 *Biology*, 25, 949–954.

534 Côté, I.M. (2000). Evolution and ecology of cleaning symbioses in the sea. *Oceanography*  
535 *And Marine Biology: An Annual Review*, 38, 311–355.

536 Cowman, P.F. & Bellwood, D.R. (2011). Coral reefs as drivers of cladogenesis: expanding  
537 coral reefs, cryptic extinction events, and the development of biodiversity hotspots.  
538 *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 24, 2543–2562.

539 Depczynski, M. & Bellwood, D.R. (2006). Extremes, plasticity, and invariance in vertebrate  
540 life history traits: insights from coral reef fishes. *Ecology*, 87, 3119–3127.

541 Dixon, D.L. & Hay, M.E. (2012). Corals chemically cue mutualistic fishes to remove  
542 competing seaweeds. *Science*, 338, 804–807.

543 Doebeli, M. & Knowlton, N. (1998). The evolution of interspecific mutualisms. *Proceedings*  
544 *of the National Academy of Sciences*, 95, 8676–8680.

545 Elliott, J. (1992). The role of sea anemones as refuges and feeding habitats for the temperate  
546 fish *Oxylebius pictus*. *Environmental biology of fishes*, 35, 381–400.

547 Elliott, J.K., Loughheed, S.C., Bateman, B., McPhee, L.K. & Boag, P.T. (1999). Molecular  
548 phylogenetic evidence for the evolution of specialization in anemonefishes.  
549 *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 266, 677–685.

550 Fautin, D.G. (1991). The anemonefish symbiosis: what is known and what is not. *Symbiosis*,  
551 10, 23–46.

552 Frédérick, B., Sorenson, L., Santini, F., Slater, G.J. & Alfaro, M.E. (2013). Iterative  
553 ecological radiation and convergence during the evolutionary history of damselfishes  
554 (Pomacentridae). *The American Naturalist*, 181, 94–113.

555 Goatley, C.H.R. & Bellwood, D.R. (2016). Body size and mortality rates in coral reef fishes:  
556 a three-phase relationship. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological*  
557 *Sciences*, 283, 20161858.

558 Grutter, A.S. (1999). Cleaner fish really do clean. *Nature*, 398, 672–673.

559 Grutter, A.S. & Feeney, W.E. (2016). Equivalent cleaning in a juvenile facultative and  
560 obligate cleaning wrasse: an insight into the evolution of cleaning in labrids? *Coral*  
561 *Reefs*, 1–7.

562 Hay, M.E., Parker, J.D., Burkepile, D.E., Caudill, C.C., Wilson, A.E., Hallinan, Z.P., *et al.*  
563 (2004). Mutualisms and aquatic community structure: the enemy of my enemy is my  
564 friend. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 35, 175–197.

565 Holbrook, S.J. & Schmitt, R.J. (2002). Competition for shelter space causes density-  
566 dependent predation mortality in damselfishes. *Ecology*, 83, 2855–2868.

567 Huelsenbeck, J.P., Nielsen, R. & Bollback, J.P. (2003). Stochastic mapping of morphological  
568 characters. *Systematic Biology*, 52, 131–158.

569 Liberman, T., Genin, A. & Loya, Y. (1995). Effects on growth and reproduction of the coral  
570 *Stylophora pistillata* by the mutualistic damselfish *Dascyllus marginatus*. *Marine*  
571 *Biology*, 121, 741–746.

572 Lim, A.N., Guerra, J.A.D.L. & Blumstein, D.T. (2016). Sea anemones modify their hiding  
573 time based on their commensal damselfish. *Royal Society Open Science*, 3, 160169.

574 Litsios, G., Sims, C.A., Wüest, R.O., Pearman, P.B., Zimmermann, N.E. & Salamin, N.  
575 (2012). Mutualism with sea anemones triggered the adaptive radiation of clownfishes.  
576 *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 12, 212.

577 Magnusson, A., Skaug, H., Nielsen, A., Berg, C., Kristensen, K., Maechler, M., *et al.* (2018).  
578 *glmmTMB: Generalized Linear Mixed Models using Template Model Builder*.

579 Mariscal, R.N. (1970a). An experimental analysis of the protection of *Amphiprion xanthurus*  
580 Cuvier & Valenciennes and some other anemone fishes from sea anemones. *Journal*  
581 *of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 4, 134–149.

582 Mariscal, R.N. (1970b). The nature of the symbiosis between Indo-Pacific anemone fishes  
583 and sea anemones. *Marine Biology*, 6, 58–65.

584 Mitchell, M.D., McCormick, M.I., Chivers, D.P. & Ferrari, M.C.O. (2013). Generalization of  
585 learned predator recognition in coral reef ecosystems: how cautious are damselfish?  
586 *Functional Ecology*, 27, 299–304.

587 Munday, P.L., Dixson, D.L., Donelson, J.M., Jones, G.P., Pratchett, M.S., Devitsina, G.V., *et*  
588 *al.* (2009). Ocean acidification impairs olfactory discrimination and homing ability of  
589 a marine fish. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106, 1848–1852.

590 Munday, P.L. & Jones, G.P. (1998). The ecological implications of small body size among  
591 coral reef fishes. *Oceanography And Marine Biology: An Annual Review*.

592 Ollerton, J., McCollin, D., Fautin, D.G. & Allen, G.R. (2007). Finding NEMO: nestedness  
593 engendered by mutualistic organization in anemonefish and their hosts. *Proceedings*  
594 *of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 274, 591–598.

595 Pagel, M. (1997). Inferring evolutionary processes from phylogenies. *Zoologica Scripta*, 26,  
596 331–348.

597 Palmer, T.M., Stanton, M.L., Young, T.P., Goheen, J.R., Pringle, R.M. & Karban, R. (2008).  
598 Breakdown of an ant-plant mutualism follows the loss of large herbivores from an  
599 African savanna. *Science*, 319, 192–195.

600 Paradis, E., Calude, J. & Strimmer, K. (2004). APE: analyses of phylogenetics and evolution  
601 in R language. *Bioinformatics*, 20, 289–290.

602 Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D. & R Development Core Team. (2013). *nlme:*  
603 *linear and nonlinear mixed effects models*.

604 Rabosky, D.L., Chang, J., Title, P.O., Cowman, P.F., Sallan, L., Friedman, M., *et al.* (2018).  
605 An inverse latitudinal gradient in speciation rate for marine fishes. *Nature*, 559, 392–  
606 395.

607 Randall, J. & Fautin, D. (2002). Fishes other than anemonefishes that associate with sea  
608 anemones. *Coral Reefs*, 21, 188–190.

609 Revell, L.J. (2010). Phylogenetic signal and linear regression on species data. *Methods in*  
610 *Ecology and Evolution*, 1, 319–329.

611 Revell, L.J. (2012). phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other  
612 things). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 3, 217–223.

613 Santini, S. & Polacco, G. (2006). Finding Nemo: molecular phylogeny and evolution of the  
614 unusual life style of anemonefish. *Gene*, 385, 19–27.

615 Schmitt, R.J. & Holbrook, S.J. (2003). Mutualism can mediate competition and promote  
616 coexistence. *Ecology Letters*, 6, 898–902.

617 Szczebak, J.T., Henry, R.P., Al-Horani, F.A. & Chadwick, N.E. (2013). Anemonefish  
618 oxygenate their anemone hosts at night. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 216, 970–  
619 976.

620 Thébault, E. & Fontaine, C. (2010). Stability of ecological communities and the architecture  
621 of mutualistic and trophic networks. *Science*, 329, 853–856.

622 Toby Kiers, E., Palmer, T.M., Ives, A.R., Bruno, J.F. & Bronstein, J.L. (2010). Mutualisms in  
623 a changing world: an evolutionary perspective. *Ecology Letters*, 13, 1459–1474.

624 Trager, M.D., Bhotika, S., Hostetler, J.A., Andrade, G.V., Rodriguez-Cabal, M.A., McKeon,  
625 C.S., *et al.* (2010). Benefits for Plants in Ant-Plant Protective Mutualisms: A Meta-  
626 Analysis. *PLOS ONE*, 5, e14308.

627 Vagelli, A.A. & Erdmann, M.V. (2002). First comprehensive ecological survey of the  
628 Banggai cardinalfish, *Pterapogon Kauderni*. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 63, 1–  
629 8.

630 Weber, M.G. & Agrawal, A.A. (2014). Defense mutualisms enhance plant diversification.  
631 *PNAS*, 111, 16442–16447.

632

633

634 **Figure Legends**

635

636 **Fig. 1.** Representative stochastic character map of anemone use on a pruned, time calibrated  
637 phylogeny (Rabosky *et al.* 2018) for 1956 fish species. Concentric circles indicate time (MY)  
638 and the alternating colours (grey and black) in the peripheral ring indicates fish Families/Orders  
639 where mutualistic behaviour with anemones has been recorded by member species.

640

641 **Fig. 2.** Percent of state changes recorded from 1000 stochastic character mapping through time  
642 (A, B, C, D) and the distribution of species' maximum body sizes across association type and  
643 life history stage of recorded anemone association ('facultative' indicates species that have  
644 been recorded to partner with anemones as juveniles and adults) (E). Boxplots denote median,  
645 quartiles and range; brackets denote sample sizes; asterisks denote significant differences  
646 between non-mutualist and juvenile-only facultative, and juvenile-only facultative and  
647 facultative.

648

649 **Fig. 3.** Percent of dascyllus individuals observed in association with anemones across four size  
650 classes on the reef flats at Tema'e Bay, Moorea.

651

652 **Fig. 4.** Likelihood of dascyllus individuals from different size classes surviving (percent  $\pm$   
653 standard error) when exposed to three common piscivores (A, B, C). Juvenile dascyllus and  
654 surge damselfish survival (percent  $\pm$  standard error) when exposed to a hawkfish predator for  
655 24 h with both an anemone and coral refuge (D). Juvenile dascyllus survival (percent  $\pm$   
656 standard error) when exposed to a hawkfish predator for 24 h with either an anemone or coral  
657 refuge (E).