ISOPERIMETRIC INEQUALITIES FOR SOME INTEGRAL OPERATORS ARISING IN POTENTIAL THEORY

MICHAEL RUZHANSKY AND DURVUDKHAN SURAGAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we review our previous isoperimetric results for the logarithmic potential and Newton potential operators. The main reason why the results are useful, beyond the intrinsic interest of geometric extremum problems, is that they produce *a priori* bounds for spectral invariants of operators on arbitrary domains. We demonstrate these in explicit examples.

1. INTRODUCTION

In a bounded domain of the Euclidean space $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$, it is well known that the solution to the Laplacian equation

$$-\Delta u(x) = f(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{1.1}$$

is given by the Newton potential formula (or the logarithmic potential formula when d = 2)

$$u(x) = \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon_d(|x-y|) f(y) dy, \ x \in \Omega,$$
(1.2)

for suitable functions f with $supp f \subset \Omega$. Here

$$\varepsilon_d(|x-y|) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{|x-y|}, \ d=2, \\ \frac{1}{(d-2)s_d} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{d-2}}, \ d\ge 3, \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

is the fundamental solution to $-\Delta$ and $s_d = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}$ is the surface area of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^d .

An interesting question having several important applications is what boundary conditions can be put on u on the (Lipschitz) boundary $\partial\Omega$ so that equation (1.1) complemented by this boundary condition would have the solution in Ω still given by the same formula (1.2), with the same kernel ε_d given by (1.3). It turns out that the answer to this question is the integral boundary condition

$$-\frac{1}{2}u(x) + \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial\varepsilon_d(|x-y|)}{\partial n_y} u(y) dS_y - \int_{\partial\Omega} \varepsilon_d(|x-y|) \frac{\partial u(y)}{\partial n_y} dS_y = 0, \ x \in \partial\Omega, \ (1.4)$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial n_y}$ denotes the outer normal derivative at a point y on $\partial\Omega$. A converse question to the one above would be to determine the trace of the Newton potential (1.2) on

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35P99, 47G40, 35S15.

Key words and phrases. Newton potential, logarithmic potential, Schatten *p*-norm, Rayleigh-Faber-Krahn inequality.

The authors were supported in parts by the EPSRC grant EP/K039407/1 and by the Leverhulme Grant RPG-2014-02. No new data was collected or generated during the course of research.

the boundary surface $\partial\Omega$, and one can use the potential theory to show that it has to be given by (1.4).

The boundary condition (1.4) appeared in M. Kac's work [13] where he called it "the principle of not feeling the boundary". This was further expanded in Kac's book [14] with several further applications to the spectral theory and the asymptotics of the Weyl's eigenvalue counting function. Independently in [19] T.Sh. Kal'menov and the second author proved the existence of the boundary condition (1.4) and as byproduct the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Newton potential (1.2) were calculated in the 2-disk and in the 3-ball. In general, the boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.4) has various interesting properties and applications (see, for example, [13, 14], [6], [34], [19], [29] and [33]). The boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.4) can also be generalised for higher degrees of the Laplacian, see [20, 21]. In the present paper we consider spectral problems of inverse operators to the nonlocal Laplacian (1.1)-(1.4), namely the logarithmic potential operator on $L^2(\Omega)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Omega}f(x) := \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{|x-y|} f(y) dy, \quad f \in L^{2}(\Omega), \quad \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2},$$
(1.5)

and the Newton potential operator on $L^2(\Omega)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_{\Omega}f(x) := \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{(d-2)s_d} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{d-2}} f(y) dy, \quad f \in L^2(\Omega), \quad \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \, d \ge 3.$$
(1.6)

Spectral properties of the logarithmic and the Newton potential operator have been considered in many papers (see, e.g. [2], [1], [6], [15], [21], [35], [36] and [37]). In this paper we are interested in isoperimetric inequalities of these operators, that is also, in isoperimetric inequalities of the nonlocal Laplacian (1.1)-(1.4). A recent general review of isoperimetric inequalities for the Dirichlet, Neumann and other Laplacians was made by Benguria, Linde and Loewe in [5]. In addition to [5], we refer G. Pólya and G. Szegö [27], Bandle [3] and Henrot [12] for historic remarks on isoperimetric inequalities, namely the Rayleigh-Faber-Krahn inequality and the Luttinger inequality.

We review an analogue of the Luttinger inequality for the Newton potential operator \mathcal{N}_{Ω} and provide related explicit examples. It is a particular case of our previous result with G. Rozenblum in [28] for the Newton potential (see also [30], [32] and [22] for a non-self adjoint operators). In Section 3 we present:

• Luttinger type inequality for \mathcal{N}_{Ω} : The *d*-ball is a maximizer of the Schatten *p*-norm of the Newton potential operator among all domains of a given measure in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 3$, for all integer $\frac{d}{2} .$

In Section 2, we review the following facts for the logarithmic potential from [31]:

- Rayleigh-Faber-Krahn inequality: The disc is a minimizer of the characteristic number of the logarithmic potential \mathcal{L}_{Ω} with the smallest modulus among all domains of a given measure.
- Pólya inequality: The equilateral triangle is a minimizer of the first characteristic number of the logarithmic potential \mathcal{L}_{Ω} with the smallest modulus among all triangles of a given area.

- Luttinger type inequality for \mathcal{L}_{Ω} : The disc is a maximizer of the Schatten *p*-norm of the logarithmic potential operator among all domains of a given measure in \mathbb{R}^2 , for all integer $2 \leq p < \infty$.
- Luttinger type inequality for \mathcal{L}_{Ω} in triangles: The equilateral triangle is a maximizer of the Schatten *p*-norm of the logarithmic potential operator among all triangles of a given area in \mathbb{R}^2 , for all integer $2 \leq p < \infty$.

2. Isoperimetric inequalities for \mathcal{L}_{Ω} and examples

In this section we review our results for the logarithmic potential from [31] and for the Newton potential [28]. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be an open bounded set. We consider the logarithmic potential operator on $L^2(\Omega)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Omega}f(x) := \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{|x-y|} f(y) dy, \quad f \in L^{2}(\Omega),$$
(2.1)

where ln is the natural logarithm and |x - y| is the standard Euclidean distance between x and y. Clearly, \mathcal{L}_{Ω} is compact and self-adjoint. Therefore, all of its eigenvalues and characteristic numbers are discrete and real. We recall that the characteristic numbers are inverses of the eigenvalues. The characteristic numbers of \mathcal{L}_{Ω} may be enumerated in ascending order of their modulus,

$$|\mu_1(\Omega)| \le |\mu_2(\Omega)| \le \dots$$

where $\mu_i(\Omega)$ is repeated in this series according to its multiplicity. We denote the corresponding eigenfunctions by $u_1, u_2, ...$, so that for each characteristic number μ_i there is a unique corresponding (normalized) eigenfunction u_i ,

$$u_i = \mu_i(\Omega) \mathcal{L}_{\Omega} u_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

It is known, see for example [19], that the equation

$$u(x) = \mathcal{L}_{\Omega}f(x) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{|x-y|} f(y) dy$$

is equivalent to the equation

$$-\Delta u(x) = f(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{2.2}$$

with the nonlocal integral boundary condition

$$-\frac{1}{2}u(x) + \int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{\partial}{\partial n_y}\frac{1}{2\pi}\ln\frac{1}{|x-y|}u(y)dS_y - \int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{1}{2\pi}\ln\frac{1}{|x-y|}\frac{\partial u(y)}{\partial n_y}dS_y = 0, \ x \in \partial\Omega,$$
(2.3)

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial n_y}$ denotes the outer normal derivative at a point y on the boundary $\partial \Omega$, which is assumed piecewise C^1 here.

Let H be a separable Hilbert space. By $\mathcal{S}^{\infty}(H)$ we denote the space of compact operators $P: H \to H$. Recall that the singular numbers $\{s_n\}$ of $P \in \mathcal{S}^{\infty}(H)$ are the eigenvalues of the positive operator $(P^*P)^{1/2}$ (see [11]). The Schatten *p*-classes are defined as

$$\mathcal{S}^p(H) := \{ P \in \mathcal{S}^\infty(H) : \{ s_n \} \in \ell^p \}, \quad 1 \le p < \infty.$$

In $\mathcal{S}^p(H)$ the Schatten *p*-norm of the operator *P* is defined by

$$||P||_p := \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 1 \le p < \infty.$$
 (2.4)

For $p = \infty$, we can set

$$||P||_{\infty} := ||P||$$

to be the operator norm of P on H. As outlined in the introduction, we assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is an open bounded set and we consider the logarithmic potential operator on $L^2(\Omega)$ of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Omega}f(x) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{|x-y|} f(y) dy, \quad f \in L^2(\Omega).$$
(2.5)

It is known that \mathcal{L}_{Ω} is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. By $|\Omega|$ we will denote the Lebesque measure of Ω .

Theorem 2.1. Let D be a disc centred at the origin. Then

$$\|\mathcal{L}_{\Omega}\|_{p} \le \|\mathcal{L}_{D}\|_{p} \tag{2.6}$$

for any integer $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ and any bounded open domain Ω with $|\Omega| = |D|$.

Let us give several examples calculating explicitly values of the right hand side of (2.6) for different values of p.

Example 2.2. Let $D \equiv U$ be the unit disc. Then by Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\|\mathcal{L}_{\Omega}\|_{p} \leq \|\mathcal{L}_{U}\|_{p} = \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{3}{j_{0,m}^{2p}} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{j_{l,m}^{2p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$
(2.7)

for any integer $2 \leq p < \infty$ and any bounded open domain Ω with $|\Omega| = |U|$. Here j_{km} denotes the m^{th} positive zero of the Bessel function J_k of the first kind of order k.

The right hand sight of the formula (3.6) can be confirmed by a direct calculation of the logarithmic potential eigenvalues in the unit disc, see Theorem 3.1 in [2].

Example 2.3. Let $D \equiv U$ be the unit disc. Then by Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\|\mathcal{L}_{\Omega}\| \le \|\mathcal{L}_{U}\| = \frac{1}{j_{01}^{2}}$$
(2.8)

for any bounded open domain Ω with $|\Omega| = |U|$. Here $\|\cdot\|$ is the operator norm on the space L^2 .

From Corollary 3.2 in [2] we calculate explicitly the operator norm in the right hand sight of (3.8).

In Theorem 2.1 when $p = \infty$, the following analogue of the Rayleigh-Faber-Krahn theorem for the integral operator \mathcal{L}_{Ω} is used.

Theorem 2.4. The disc D is a minimizer of the characteristic number of the logarithmic potential \mathcal{L}_{Ω} with the smallest modulus among all domains of a given measure, *i.e.*

$$0 < |\mu_1(D)| \le |\mu_1(\Omega)|$$

for an arbitrary bounded open domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $|\Omega| = |D|$.

In Landkof [23] the positivity of the operator \mathcal{L}_{Ω} is proved in domains $\Omega \subset U$, where U is the unit disc. In general, \mathcal{L}_{Ω} is not a positive operator. For any bounded open domain Ω the logarithmic potential operator \mathcal{L}_{Ω} can have at most one negative eigenvalue, see Troutman [35] (see also Kac [15]).

In other words Theorem 2.4 says that the operator norm of \mathcal{L}_{Ω} is maximized in a disc among all Euclidean bounded open domains of a given area.

It follows from the properties of the kernel that the Schatten *p*-norm of the operator \mathcal{L}_{Ω} is finite when p > 1 see e.g. the criteria for Schatten classes in terms of the regularity of the kernel in [7]. Our techniques do not allow us to prove Theorem 2.1 for 1 . In view of the Dirichlet Laplacian case, it seems reasonable toconjecture that the Schatten p-norm is still maximized on the disc also for 1 .However, In Section 3 by using different method we prove such conjecture for the Newton potential operator, see also [28].

We can ask the same question of maximizing the Schatten *p*-norms in the class of polygons with a given number n of sides. We denote by \mathcal{P}_n the class of plane polygons with n edges. We would like to identify the maximizer for Schatten pnorms of the logarithmic potential \mathcal{L}_{Ω} in \mathcal{P}_n . According to the Dirichlet Laplacian case, it is natural to conjecture that it is the *n*-regular polygon. Currently, we have proved this only for n = 3:

Theorem 2.5. The equilateral triangle centred at the origin has the largest Schatten p-norm of the operator \mathcal{L}_{Ω} for any integer $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ among all triangles of a given area. More precisely, if \triangle is the equilateral triangle centred at the origin, we have

$$\|\mathcal{L}_{\Omega}\|_{p} \le \|\mathcal{L}_{\Delta}\|_{p} \tag{2.9}$$

for any integer $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ and any bounded open triangle Ω with $|\Omega| = |\Delta|$.

When $p = \infty$, Theorem 2.5 implies the following analogue of the Pólya theorem [26] for the operator \mathcal{L}_{Ω} .

Theorem 2.6. The equilateral triangle \triangle centred at the origin is a minimizer of the first characteristic number of the logarithmic potential \mathcal{L}_{Ω} among all triangles of a given area, i.e.

$$0 < |\mu_1(\Delta)| \le |\mu_1(\Omega)|$$

for any triangle $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $|\Omega| = |\Delta|$.

In other words Theorem 2.6 says that the operator norm of \mathcal{L}_{Ω} is maximized in an equilateral triangle among all triangles of a given area.

3. The Newton Potential

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 3$, be an open bounded set. We consider the Newton potential operator $\mathcal{N}_{\Omega}: L^2(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_{\Omega}f(x) := \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon_d(|x-y|)f(y)dy, \quad f \in L^2(\Omega), \tag{3.1}$$

where $\varepsilon_d(|x-y|) = \frac{1}{(d-2)s_d} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{d-2}}, d \ge 3.$ Since ε_d is positive, real and symmetric function, \mathcal{N}_{Ω} is a positive self-adjoint operator. Therefore, all of its eigenvalues and characteristic numbers are positive real

numbers. We recall that the characteristic numbers are inverses of the eigenvalues. The characteristic numbers of \mathcal{N}_{Ω} may be enumerated in ascending order

$$0 < \mu_1(\Omega) \le \mu_2(\Omega) \le \dots,$$

where $\mu_i(\Omega)$ is repeated in this series according to its multiplicity. We denote the corresponding eigenfunctions by $u_1, u_2, ...$, so that for each characteristic number μ_i there is a unique corresponding (normalized) eigenfunction u_i ,

$$u_i = \mu_i(\Omega) \mathcal{N}_{\Omega} u_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

This spectral problem has various interesting properties and applications (see [13] and [34], for example). In particular, one can prove that in the unit ball its spectrum contains the spectrum of the corresponding Dirichlet Laplacian by using an explicit calculation (cf. [21]).

Kac [13] proved that

$$1 = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + \mu_j \delta} u_j(y) \int_{\Omega} u_j(x) dx, \quad y \in \Omega,$$
(3.2)

where $\mu_j, j = 1, 2, ..., and u_j, j = 1, 2, ..., are the characteristic numbers and the corresponding normalized eigenfunctions of the Newton potential operator (3.1), respectively. The purely analytic fact (3.2) expresses that the expansion of 1 in a series of orthonormal functions <math>u_j$ is summable to 1 for every $y \in \Omega$. In [16] Kac gave asymptotic formulae for the characteristic numbers in $\mathbb{R}^d, d \geq 3$. In this section we discuss some other pure analytic facts for the Newton potential. It should be noted that similar results are already known for the Dirichlet Laplacian.

By using the Feynman-Kac formula and spherical rearrangement, Luttinger proved that the ball Ω^* is the maximizer of the partition function of the Dirichlet Laplacian among all domains of the same volume as Ω^* for all positive values of time [25], i.e.

$$Z_{\Omega}^{\mathcal{D}}(t) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \exp(-t\lambda_i^{\mathcal{D}}(\Omega)) \le Z_{\Omega^*}^{\mathcal{D}}(t) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \exp(-t\lambda_i^{\mathcal{D}}(\Omega^*)), \quad |\Omega| = |\Omega^*|, \forall t > 0,$$

where $\lambda_i^{\mathcal{D}}(\Omega), i = 1, 2, ...,$ are the eigenvalues of the Dirichlet Laplacian $\Delta_{\Omega}^{\mathcal{D}}$ in Ω .

The partition function and the Schatten norms are related:

$$\|\Delta_{\Omega}^{\mathcal{D}}\|_{p}^{p} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(p)} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{p-1} Z_{\Omega}^{\mathcal{D}}(t) dt,$$

where Γ is the gamma function. Hence it easily follows that

$$\|\Delta_{\Omega}^{\mathcal{D}}\|_{p} \le \|\Delta_{\Omega^{*}}^{\mathcal{D}}\|_{p}, \ |\Omega| = |\Omega^{*}|, \tag{3.3}$$

when p > d/2, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Here the Schatten *p*-norm of the Dirichlet Laplacian is defined by

$$\|\Delta_{\Omega}^{\mathcal{D}}\|_p := \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{[\lambda_i^{\mathcal{D}}]^p}\right)^p, \quad d/2$$

The right hand side of the inequality (3.3) gives the exact upper bound of the Schatten *p*-norm and it can be calculated explicitly.

Example 3.1. Let U be the unit disk then

$$\|\Delta_U^{\mathcal{D}}\|_2^2 = 0.0493...$$

Therefore, from (3.3) we have

$$\|\Delta_{\Omega}^{\mathcal{D}}\|_{2}^{2} \le 0.0493..., \ |\Omega| = |U|.$$

This inequality is better than the inequality conjectured in [10]

$$\|\Delta_{\Omega}^{\mathcal{D}}\|_{p}^{p} \leq \frac{\Gamma(p-\frac{d}{2})}{\Gamma(p)} \frac{Vol|\Omega|^{\frac{2p}{d}}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}}, \ p > \frac{d}{2},$$
(3.4)

which implies that

$$\|\Delta_{\Omega}^{\mathcal{D}}\|_{2}^{2} \le 0.7853...,$$

when $|\Omega| = |U|$.

However, it is important to note that in (3.4) p is an arbitrary real number greater than $\frac{d}{2}$.

The condition p > d/2 in (3.3) is necessary to absolute convergence of series, but in case $p \le d/2$ one may use regularization process to get an absolute convergent series.

Example 3.2 ([8]). In $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ the sum

$$\|\Delta_{\Omega}^{\mathcal{D}}\|_{1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{k}^{\mathcal{D}}(\Omega)} = \infty, \ \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}.$$

However, using the following regularisation we find that if $U\equiv\Omega\subset R^2$ is the unit disk then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\mathcal{D}}(U)} - \frac{1}{4k} \right) = -0.3557....$$

As usual by $|\Omega|$ we will denote the Lebesque measure of Ω .

Theorem 3.3. Let B be a ball centred at the origin, $d \ge 3$. Then

$$\|\mathcal{N}_{\Omega}\|_{p} \le \|\mathcal{N}_{B}\|_{p} \tag{3.5}$$

for any integer $\frac{d}{2} and an arbitrary bounded open domain <math>\Omega$ with $|\Omega| = |B|$.

Let us give some examples:

Example 3.4. Let $B \equiv U$ be the unit 3-ball. Then by Theorem 3.3 we have

$$\|\mathcal{N}_{\Omega}\|_{p} \leq \|\mathcal{N}_{U}\|_{p} = \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2l+1}{j_{l-\frac{1}{2},m}^{2p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$
(3.6)

for any real $2 \leq p < \infty$ and any bounded open domain Ω with $|\Omega| = |U|$. Here j_{km} denotes the m^{th} positive zero of the Bessel function J_k of the first kind of order k. The right hand side of the formula (3.6) can be confirmed by a direct calculation of the characteristic numbers of the Newton potential in the unit 3-ball, see Theorem 4.1 in [2] (cf. [19]).

Example 3.5. For the Hilbert-Schmidt norm we have

$$\|\mathcal{N}_{\Omega}\|_{2} \le \|\mathcal{N}_{U}\|_{2} = \sqrt{\frac{7}{48}},$$
(3.7)

for any bounded open domain Ω with $|\Omega| = |U|$, where $B \equiv U$ is the unit 3-ball. Here, when p = 2, we have calculated the value on the right hand side of the inequality (3.7) by using the polar representation. We omit the routine technical calculation.

Example 3.6. When $p = \infty$ by Theorem 3.3 we have

$$\|\mathcal{N}_{\Omega}\|_{op} \le \|\mathcal{N}_B\|_{op} = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \tag{3.8}$$

for any domain Ω with $|\Omega| = |B|$, where $\Omega^* \equiv B$ is the unit ball. Here $\|\cdot\|_{op}$ is the operator norm of the Newton potential on the space L^2 .

References

- [1] Arazy J., Khavinson D.: Spectral estimates of Cauchy's transform in $L^2(\Omega)$. Integral Equations Operator Theory, **15** (6), 901–919, (1992)
- [2] Anderson J M., Khavinson D., Lomonosov V.: Spectral properties of some integral operators arising in potential theory. Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2), 43(172), 387– 407, (1992)
- Bandle C.: Isoperimetric inequalities and applications. Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), 7 of Monographs and Studies in Mathematics, Boston, Mass.-London, (1980)
- [4] Banuelos R., Latala R., Mendez-Hernandez P.J.: A Brascamp-Lieb-Luttinger-type inequality and applications to symmetric stable processes. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 129 (10), 2997–3008, (2001)
- [5] Benguria R.D., Linde H., and Loewe B.: Isoperimetric inequalities for eigenvalues of the Laplacian and the Schrödinger operator. Bull. Math. Sci., 2(1), 1–56, (2012)
- Birman M. S., Solomjak M. Z.: Estimates for the singular numbers of integral operators. Uspehi Mat. Nauk, **32** (1(193)), 17–84, (1977)
- [7] Delgado J., Ruzhansky M.: Schatten classes on compact manifolds: kernel conditions. J. Funct. Anal., 267(3), 772–798, (2014)
- [8] Dostanic M.R.: Regularized trace of the inverse of the Dirichlet Laplacian. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 64(8), 1148–1164, (2011)
- [9] Dostanic M. R.: The asymptotic behavior of the singular values of the convolution operators with kernels whose Fourier transform are rational. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 295(2), 496–500, (2012)
- [10] Harrell E.M., Hermib L.: Differential inequalities for Riesz means and Weyl-type bounds for eigenvalues, J. Funct. Anal., 254, 3173–3191, (2008)
- [11] Gohberg I.C., Kreĭn M.G.: Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators. Translated from the Russian by A. Feinstein. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 18. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., (1969)
- [12] Henrot A.: Extremum problems for eigenvalues of elliptic operators. Frontiers in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, (2006)
- [13] Kac M.: On some connections between probability theory and differential and integral equations. In *Proceedings of the Second Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability*, 1950, 189–215. University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, (1951)
- [14] Kac M.: On some probabilistic aspects of classical analysis. Amer. Math. Monthly, 77, 586–597, (1970)

- [15] Kac M.: Integration in function spaces and some of its applications. Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, Pisa, (1980). Lezioni Fermiane. [Fermi Lectures].
- [16] Kac M.: An application of probability theory to the study of Laplaces equation. Ann. Soc. Polon. Math. 25, 122–130, (1953)
- [17] Kac M.: Distribution of eigenvalues of certain integral operators, Mich. Math. J., 3, 141–148, (1956)
- [18] Kac M.: Aspects probabilistes de la Theorie du Potentiel, Les Presses de la Universite de Montreal, (1970)
- [19] Kal'menov T.Sh., Suragan D.: To spectral problems for the volume potential. Doklady Mathematics, 80, 646–649 (2009)
- [20] Kal'menov T.Sh., Suragan D.: Boundary conditions for the volume potential for the polyharmonic equation. Differential Equations, 48, 604–608, (2012)
- [21] Kalmenov T.Sh., Suragan D.: A boundary condition and Spectral Problems for the Newton Potentials. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 216, 187–210, (2011)
- [22] Kassymov A., Suragan D.: Some Spectral Geometry Inequalities for Generalized Heat Potential Operators. Complex Analysis and Operator Theory, to appear (2016), DOI: 10.1007/s11785-016-0605-9
- [23] Landkof N. S.: Foundations of modern potential theory. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, (1972). Translated from the Russian by A. P. Doohovskoy, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 180.
- [24] Lieb E. H., Loss M.: Analysis, volume 14 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, (2001)
- [25] Luttinger J. M.: Generalized isoperimetric inequalities. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 70, 1005–1006, (1973)
- [26] Pólya G.: On the characteristic frequencies of a symmetric membrane. Math. Z., 63, 331–337, (1955)
- [27] Pólya G., Szegö G.: Isoperimetric Inequalities in Mathematical Physics. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 27. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., (1951)
- [28] Rozenblum G., Ruzhansky M. and Suragan D.: Isoperimetric inequalities for Schatten norms of Riesz potentials. J. Funct. Anal., 271, 224–239, (2016)
- [29] Ruzhansky M., Suragan D.: On Kac's principle of not feeling the boundary for the Kohn Laplacian on the Heisenberg group. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 144(2), 709–721, (2016)
- [30] Ruzhansky M., Suragan D.: Schatten's norm for convolution type integral operator. Russ. Math. Surv., 71, 157–158, (2016)
- [31] Ruzhansky M., Suragan D.: Isoperimetric inequalities for the logarithmic potential operator. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 434, 1676–1689, (2016)
- [32] Ruzhansky M., Suragan D.: On first and second eigenvalues of Riesz transforms in spherical and hyperbolic geometries. Bull. Math. Sci., 6, 325-334, (2016)
- [33] M. Ruzhansky and D. Suragan: Layer potentials, Kac's problem, and refined Hardy inequality on homogeneous Carnot groups. Adv. Math., 308:483–528, 2017.
- [34] Saito N.: Data analysis and representation on a general domain using eigenfunctions of Laplacian. Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., 25(1), 68–97, (2008)
- [35] Troutman J. L.: The logarithmic potential operator. Illinois J. Math., 11, 365–374, (1967)
- [36] Troutman J. L.: The logarithmic eigenvalues of plane sets. Illinois J. Math., 13, 95–107, (1969)
- [37] Zoalroshd S.: A note on isoperimetric inequalities for logarithmic potentials. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 437(2), 1152-1158, (2016)

MICHAEL RUZHANSKY: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS IMPERIAL COLLEGE LONDON 180 QUEEN'S GATE, LONDON SW7 2AZ UNITED KINGDOM *E-mail address* m.ruzhansky@imperial.ac.uk

DURVUDKHAN SURAGAN: INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL MODELLING 125 PUSHKIN STR. 050010 Almaty KAZAKHSTAN *E-mail address* suragan@math.kz

10