1 Contrasting vegetation change (1974-2015) in hedgerows and forests in

- 2 an intensively used agricultural landscape
- 3 Sanne Van Den Berge, Sam Tessens, Lander Baeten, Cecile Vanderschaeve & Kris
- 4 Verheyen
- 5 Van Den Berge, S. (corresponding author, sanne.vandenberge@ugent.be)^{1*}
- 6 Tessens, S. (<u>sam.tessens@gmail.com</u>)
- 7 Baeten, L. (Lander.baeten@ugent.be)¹
- 8 Vanderschaeve, C. (cecile.vanderschaeve@gmail.com)
- 9 Verheyen, K. (<u>kris.verheyen@ugent.be</u>)¹
- ¹ Forest & Nature Lab, Department Environment, Ghent University, Campus Gontrode,
- 11 Geraardsbergsesteenweg 267, 9090 Melle-Gontrode, Belgium
- 12 Running head: Opposite herbal changes in hedgerows and forests
- 13 **Printed journal page estimate:** 6495 words (7 pages), table 0.5 pages, figures 2
- 14 pages, total 9.5 pages.

15 ABSTRACT

Questions: How did hedgerows and forests change in area between 1974 and 2015 and did hedgerows still show the same vegetation composition in 2015? To what degree did the vegetation change in hedgerows and how do these changes compare to changes in forests? What is the nature of the species that changed and, from these, can we make general inferences about possible drivers of change?

Location: The countryside in the municipality of Turnhout, province of Antwerp,
 northern Belgium.

Methods: Through a resurvey of 54 and 20 quasi-permanent plots in hedgerows and forests, respectively, we investigated shifts in the herb layer over the period 1974-2015. The plot-level mean Ellenberg Indicator Values (EIVs) were calculated and soil samples were taken in 2015. We compared diversity statistics and used GLMM to detect trends in species richness (SR) and EIVs. Via a NMDS-ordination based on the Sørensen dissimilarity, we compared shifts at the community level.

29 **Results:** Our study shows severe, however opposite changes in SR in forests and 30 hedgerows. In forests, SR declined and a homogenization occurred. The shifts in EIVs indicate that forest vegetation evolved to more shade tolerant and nutrient demanding 31 species, likely due to eutrophication combined with natural forest succession. In 32 hedgerows, SR significantly increased. The species pool became more diverse and 33 more heterogeneous. Changes in EIVs suggest a change towards more light 34 demanding species, possibly caused by fragmentation of the network, and towards 35 species indicative for nutrient rich habitats, benefiting from eutrophication. 36

Conclusions: In general, SR in hedgerows is higher than in forests in our studied
 region, being a suitable habitat for a wide range of plant species in the countryside.

However, the loss of almost 30% of the hedgerow habitat in 41 years, confronts us with the challenge of protecting the hedgerow remnants, in the interest of the agrobiodiversity.

KEYWORDS: Hedgerows; small forests; resurvey; temporal vegetation shift; historical
 plot data; species richness; Ellenberg indicator values; eutrophication; acidification;
 semi-permanent plots

NOMENCLATURE: Lambinon et al. (2015) for plants; Runhaar et al. (2004) for plant
 communities

47 **ABBREVIATIONS**:

- 48 Al: aluminum
- 49 Ca: calcium
- 50 C: carbon
- 51 EIVs: Ellenberg indicator values
- 52 K: potassium
- 53 Mg: magnesium
- 54 N: nitrogen
- 55 P: phosphorus
- 56 SR: species richness

57 **INTRODUCTION**

Improving the integration of biodiversity conservation into key policies for agriculture and forestry is one of the six targets of the EU 2020 Biodiversity Strategy. These two sectors combined are involved in the management of 72% of the land in the EU, therefore playing a major role in the status of Europe's biodiversity (EC 2015). Semi-natural habitats in agricultural landscapes (e.g. grass strips, single trees,

hedgerows and forest patches) support the vast majority of the species diversity in 63 agro-ecosystems (Dover & Sparks 2000; Butet & Leroux 2001; Sullivan et al. 2012). 64 However, agricultural landscapes have drastically changed in many countries as farms 65 intensified their activities and became highly mechanized, at the expense of semi-66 natural habitats (Baudry et al. 2000). When situated on the field borders, semi-natural 67 habitats may be removed to increase the field's cultivatable area or to allow for 68 merging adjacent fields into larger parcels. This trend has led to a decrease in the 69 diversity and abundance of fauna and flora in rural areas, sometimes even pushing 70 71 species towards local extinction (Suding et al. 2005; Jennings & Pocock 2009).

72 Among the semi-natural habitats in agricultural areas, hedgerows and small forest patches provide relatively permanent habitats within a constantly disturbed 73 74 environment, acting as suitable habitats for many plant and animal species (Roy & de Blois 2008; Decocq et al. 2016; Van Den Berge et al. 2018). They provide shelter, 75 breeding sites, habitats and food resources for a range of invertebrates (Burel 1989; 76 Duelli et al. 1990; Dover & Sparks 2000), birds (Dmowski & Koziakiewicz 1990; 77 Clergeau & Burel 1997; Hinsley & Bellamy 2000), small mammals (Tattersall et al. 78 2000; Butet & Leroux 2001; Gelling et al. 2007; Sullivan et al. 2012) and several 79 carnivore species (Šálek et al. 2009; Cervinka et al. 2013; Dondina et al. 2016). Here 80 we focus on the diversity and composition of the plant community, because of its 81 importance for the functioning of these ecosystems, including their relationship with 82 many trophic levels (Siemann et al. 1998; Steffan-Dewenter & Tscharntke 2001). 83

The largest contribution to plant diversity in both hedgerow (e.g. Deckers et al. 2004) and forest ecosystems (e.g. Gilliam 2007) originates from the herb layer. Studies that aim at explaining what drives the spatial patterns of plant community diversity and composition are widely available for forests (e.g. Brunet et al. 2000; Kolb

& Diekmann 2004; Houle 2007; Gazol & Ibáñez 2010) and hedgerows (e.g. Le Coeur 88 et al. 1997; de Blois et al. 2002; Deckers et al. 2004; Alignier & Baudry 2015; Van Den 89 90 Berge et al. 2018). However, for decision-making in management and conservation 91 strategies, we need to understand how these communities are changing over time as 92 well. The drivers of spatial patterns may be used to infer how environmental change 93 may cause the vegetation changes over time. Alternatively, resurveys of historical vegetation records provide direct data on decadal changes in vegetation, although the 94 opportunities for such research are determined by the availability of high quality legacy 95 data. Such resurveys have been widely used to study forest understory vegetation 96 dynamics (e.g. Verheyen et al. 2017), but very few studies exist on temporal changes 97 98 in vegetation in hedgerows (but see Huwer & Wittig 2012; Staley et al. 2013; Litza & Diekmann, 2017). 99

Syntheses across temperate forest vegetation resurvey studies have shown 100 101 that several global and local environmental changes have a strong impact on forest plant diversity and composition. Changes include climate, altered forest management, 102 103 nitrogen deposition, and herbivore mammal abundances (Verheven et al. 2012; De 104 Frenne et al. 2013; Bernhardt-Römermann et al. 2015; Perring et al. 2016). While it is tempting to assume vegetation in hedgerows in the same regions of these studied 105 forests experiences similar changes, hedgerows actually form very distinct structures 106 and are managed differently. Because of their linear structure, they are far more 107 exposed to anthropogenic influences. Several studies (e.g. Schmucki et al. 2002; 108 Deckers et al. 2004) show that important drivers for hedgerow vegetation are the 109 adjacent land use and the agricultural practices in the neighboring fields; the use of 110 pesticides, the ploughing of the land and the harvest of the crops influence hedgerow 111 vegetation in a chemical or physical way. This clearly illustrates the potential value of 112

simultaneously focusing on temporal vegetation change in both hedgerows and forestsin the same study area.

115 Here we present the results of a vegetation resurvey study (1974 - 2015) in hedgerow and forest plots in an intensively used agricultural landscape in northern 116 Belgium. The countryside in this region has a high degree of urbanization and 117 agriculture has further intensified and increased in area between the surveys. We 118 determined the shifts in plant community diversity and composition over the past four 119 120 decades, including only understory plant species in our analysis, as woody species are often more driven by management choices than by natural processes (French & 121 Cummins 2001). With these data we will answer the following research questions: 122

- (1) How did the hedgerow and forest habitats change in area between 1974 and
 2015 and did hedgerows still show the same vegetation structure like 41 years
 ago?
- (2) To what degree did the understory vegetation change over a period of 41 years
 in the hedgerows and how do these changes compare to changes in forest
 patches in the same region?
- (3) What is the nature of the species that changed the most and, from these, can
 we make some general inferences about the possible drivers of change?

131 METHODS

132 Study area and study systems

The municipality of Turnhout (province of Antwerp, northern Belgium, Figure 1) has a total area of 56 km², 16.42% of which are forests and 55.86% are agricultural landscapes (based on the CORINE methodology, European Environment Agency 2013). Altitude varies between 18 and 35 m above sea level (Van der Veken et al. 2004). The climate is temperate with a total annual rainfall of 755 mm and an average temperature of 10.1 °C. The region is dominated by Aeolian sandy soils, deposited during the last glacial period.

140 About three quarters (76%) of the studied hedgerows in the countryside (anno 2015) are elevated (woody vegetation on artificial ground, so called 'wooded banks') 141 and the remainder are at the same height as the surrounding land; however, all will be 142 143 referred to as 'hedgerows'. The average width is 3.1 ± 1.7 m and the average length is 183.1 ± 135.4 m. Quercus robur, Frangula alnus, Quercus rubra and Betula pendula 144 are the most frequent species in the tree and shrub layer (present in 94.4; 31.5; 24.9 145 and 24.9% of the hedgerow plots, respectively). The studied forest patches have an 146 average area of 1.94 ± 1.51 ha; most common species in the tree layer are Quercus 147 robur (in 55.5% of the plots) and Betula pendula (40.0%). Sorbus aucuparia (60.0%) 148 149 and Frangula alnus (50.0%) are the most frequent species in the shrub layer.

150 Vegetation (re)sampling

During the summer of 1974 (July and August), a phytosociological vegetation study was carried out in Turnhout (Vanderschaeve 1975). The vegetation was recorded in a total of 81 plots in hedgerows and 26 plots in forests. Selection of hedgerows was done using this criterion: they showed a well-developed herb, shrub and tree layer to avoid already degraded hedgerows. The forest patches, on the other

hand, were randomly selected in the landscape. A representative part of the vegetation 156 was recorded via plots varying between 25 - 150 m² and 60 – 150 m² for hedgerows 157 and forests, respectively. The plot size was assigned to every single hedgerow and 158 forest according to several a priori chosen sample sizes. Sizes were defined during an 159 160 exploratory study (before the actual study took place) based on the "minimal area principle": The minimal area of a community is the smallest area on which the 161 community can develop its characteristic composition and structure (Cain & Oliveira-162 Castro 1959). Plots were chosen at a position in the middle of the hedgerow length 163 and randomly in the forest patch. All species in the herb (< 0.5 m tall), shrub (< ca. 3 164 m tall) and tree layer were identified and their percentage cover was estimated as a 165 166 measure of their abundance (Barkman scale; Barkman et al. 1964). All plots were indicated on a topographical map and can be considered quasi-permanent (Kapfer et 167 al. 2017). 168

During the summer of 2015 (July and August), the plots were revisited. We used the topographic map from the original study (scale 1/25000, marked with hand drawn plots) in combination with current aerial pictures with the digitized plots obtained via QGIS (QGIS Development Team 2016. Software version Desktop 2.18.18, QGIS Geographic Information System. Open Source Geospatial Foundation Project). In this way, we were able to relocate the sampled plots in a very accurate way.

In the field, each hedgerow was classified based on the general degradation status along its complete length (Appendix S1): 'intact' (hedgerow with well-developed herb, shrub and tree layer), 'degraded' (hedgerow with depleted or missing tree layer or shrub layer, mostly due to cutting) and 'lost' (hedgerow cleared). Also some additional attributes were recorded: whether or not the hedgerow was a wooded bank, presence of a ditch, and adjacent land use (arable land, forest, brushwood, grassland,

paved road, dirt road, garden, build-up area). We resurveyed 54 vegetation plots (3260 181 m² in total) in the degraded and intact hedgerows analogous to the 1974 recordings 182 (27 plots had disappeared). Likewise, 20 plots (1985 m² in total) in the forests were 183 resurveyed (three plots had disappeared and three plots were no longer accessible). 184 185 Some of the hedgerows became more narrow (n = 9) or wider (n = 6) and in both cases we respected the original plot size by adjusting the length of the plot. In the few 186 occasions (n = 5) that the original plot was no longer located within the hedgerow 187 (hedgerow was shortened) we relocated it within the remnants, in the middle of the 188 current length. Indeed, even though the exact location of the original sampling plot is 189 not precise, still accurate vegetation changes can be determined (Kopecký & Macek 190 191 2015). We estimated the abundance of all species in the herb, shrub and tree layer in percentage classes (Hennekens 2009). Since the original survey used the Barkman 192 abundance scale, data were converted into percentage classes sensu Hennekens 193 194 (2009) (Appendix S2).

195 Environmental variables

Because in the original study no soil samples were taken, we used Ellenberg indicator values (EIVs) to explore how environmental conditions have changed between the old and recent vegetation records. For each plot, we calculated unweighted mean EIVs for productivity (N), soil reaction (R), soil moisture (F) and light availability (L). We decided to use unweighted values to account for a possible consistent difference in abundance estimates between observers. Ellenberg values are known to be good proxies for these environmental conditions (Diekmann 2003).

Besides, we collected soil samples in a subset of the plots in both ecosystems during the summer of 2016 to allow for a better interpretation of patterns in EIVs in terms of the measured soil chemistry. A fraction of 40% of the plots was selected along

a gradient in mean Ellenberg R-values (weighted sample: hedgerows: n = 21, forests: 206 n = 9). In each plot, we collected a mixed soil sample consisting of eight subsamples. 207 All subsamples were taken with a gouge auger in the 0-10 cm mineral topsoil layer 208 after removing the organic litter layer. Sample positions within the plot were randomly 209 210 chosen over the entire plot area, but at least 1 m distance from trunks and edges to avoid edge and 'trunk' effects. In the laboratory, oven-dried (40 °C for a period of 48 211 hours) and sieved soil was mixed in water in a 1/5 ratio, shaken for five minutes and 212 left to stand for two hours. The pH-H₂O-value was measured using a pH meter with 213 Ross Sure-Flow combined electrode (Orion, USA). The carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) 214 concentrations were determined with a vario MACRO cube (Elementar, Germany). 215 216 The phosphorus (P) and base cation concentrations (potassium (K), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), and aluminum (Al)) were measured after an ammonium lactate-217 EDTA extraction. 218

219 Data analysis

220 Structural changes

Surface loss of forests and hedgerows over the 41-year period was calculated via QGIS. The association of the hedgerow status (lost, degraded, intact) with the features adjacent land use (converted to dummy variables), the presence of a ditch and whether or not the hedgerow was elevated was tested via a Chi²-test.

225 Vegetation changes and ecological characteristics of species

We looked into the ecology of the species by allocating every species to a particular species group *sensu* Runhaar et al. (2004) (pioneer species, grassland species, tall herb species and forest species) and looked up their EIVs *sensu* Ellenberg et al. (2001). For each species group, we counted the number of species (species richness = 'SR') and the mean abundance of these species in each plot. The plot-level mean EIVs were also calculated.

To illustrate the conservation status of the species in our inventories, we allocated a threat status to every species according to the red list for Belgium (Van Landuyt et al. 2006). Furthermore, we classified species according to their observed change in frequency over time: species that had disappeared by 2015 ('lost species'), species that appeared since 1974 ('new species'), and species that are common in both inventories. Among these common species, we classified 'losers' and 'winners' (present in 10% less and 10% more plots compared to 1974, respectively).

For both forest and hedgerow plots, we considered the size of the total species pool in each period (γ diversity), rarefied to the number of plots in 2015 (Chao et al. 2014). So, by interpolation, the expected γ diversity could be correctly compared between the years (Colwell et al. 2004). Absolute numbers of species (in total and per species group) of the species pools in the two periods were compared via a Chi²-test.

Local plot-level taxonomic diversity (i.e. α diversity) was estimated as a diversity 244 245 of order zero (species richness; ⁰D) and order one (exponential of Shannon; ¹D), the latter to take into account SR and abundance of each species within the plot. By taking 246 the exponential of the Shannon index, the index is converted into an effective number 247 of species, in this way two plots with equal diversity also acquire the same value. This 248 facilitates the interpretation of the results (Jost 2006). Compositional differences 249 between plots were assessed with pairwise dissimilarity metrics (ß diversity). A 250 Sørensen dissimilarity (β sor) was used to quantify overall compositional differences 251 and was further partitioned into its turnover (β_{Sim}) and nestedness (β_{Nes}) components 252 253 to discriminate between species replacements across plots and richness differences leading to nestedness patterns across plots, respectively (Baselga 2010). The 254 255 significance in differences for these diversity statistics between the two years was 256 tested with a paired Wilcoxon signed-rank test (i.e. nonparametric test, when the data

were not normally distributed) or paired t-test (i.e. parametric test, when the data were normally distributed).

We used Generalized Linear Mixed-Effects Models (GLMMs) via the glmer 259 function in the 'lme4' package (Bates et al. 2015) with Poisson distribution to detect 260 significant trends in all these response variables (overall SR, SR of the four species 261 groups, EIVs) over the two periods and between the two ecosystems (hedgerow and 262 forest). Plot size was initially included in the models to check its influence on the 263 264 response variables, but due to its small effect, we decided to leave it out in the final analyses. Plot identity was used as a random effect to account for the paired nature 265 of the design (the same plot was recorded in two time points). To be able to plot the 266 significance of the differences over time between the response variables within one 267 ecosystem (i.e. forest or hedgerow), we used a paired t-test, as we could not use p-268 values from the models because of significant year x ecosystem interactions. 269

In order to compare the vegetation shifts at the community level, we performed 270 a Non-metric multidimensional scaling ordination (NMDS) via the metaMDS function 271 in the R package 'vegan' (Oksanen et al. 2015) with three a priori chosen dimensions 272 for the hedgerows (stress was too high with two), two a priori chosen dimensions for 273 the forests, and maximum 50 iterations. Our ordination was based on the Sørensen 274 dissimilarity matrix of the full vegetation data sets (sets of 1974 containing only the 275 plots that could be revisited). Significance of the effect of time on composition was 276 277 tested with a permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) on the same dissimilarity matrix (2000 permutations). An analysis of the multivariate 278 dispersion (betadisper function in the vegan package) was performed to examine 279 280 whether the multivariate spread was the same for the two periods or differed significantly. Correlations between the mean EIVs of the vegetation in the hedgerow 281

and forest plots and the two axes of the NMDS-analysis was assessed with the envfit
function (also from the vegan package) and visualised by plotting the vectors onto the
NMDS plots.

For the hedgerow plots, the influence of its status (intact vs degraded) on the average SR in the herb layer and on the species group-specific diversity, as well as on the average EIVs was tested with a t-test (when normally distributed) or Wilcoxon Signed-rank test (nonparametric statistics).

289 Soil characteristics

The significance in differences for all measured soil characteristics between the two ecosystems was tested via a Wilcoxon signed-rank test, as these variables were not normally distributed. Spearman rank correlations were performed between the soil characteristics and mean EIVs of the plots for forests and hedgerows (only for the year 2015).

All statistical tests were performed at the 5% level of significance, in R 3.2.3 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, AT). 297 **RESULTS**

298 Structural changes

The total surface of the studied forests decreased by ca 6.9%, from 45.88 ha in 299 300 1974 to 42.71 ha in 2015. For the studied hedgerows, we found that the total length 301 decreased by ca. 28.2%, from 20.93 km in 1974 to 15.02 km in 2015. Only 64.5% of the remaining network length was coded as 'intact' (9.69 km) and the remainder was 302 'degraded' (Figure 1). Hedgerows without a ditch were more likely to become lost (p < p303 0.05). Of the remaining hedgerows, the wooded banks were more likely to remain 304 intact (p < 0.001). The status of the hedgerow (intact, degraded, lost) was not related 305 to the current adjacent land use. 306

307 Vegetation changes in the hedgerow and forest plots

For hedgerows, the rarefied y diversity significantly increased from 1974 to 308 2015 (p < 0.001, Table 1). There were 53 species common to both surveys, among 309 which nine winners and eight losers. 26 species were lost between the two surveys, 310 and 67 species were new. In 1974, the species pool consisted for 93.6% of common 311 species that are currently not threatened and 6.4% declining species: Calluna vulgaris, 312 313 Erica tetralix, Potentilla erecta, Salix repens, Solidago virgaurea and Melampyrum pratense. In 2015, percentages in hedgerows shifted even more towards not 314 threatened species (96.7% of the pool) and less declining species (2.5%: P. erecta, 315 C. vulgaris and M. pratense) and one threatened species (Juncus tenageia). New 316 species were mainly native except for Conyza canadensis, Galinsoga guadriradiata 317 and Impatiens parviflora and scored generally high in EIVs (e.g. Eupatorium 318 cannabinum, Cirsium vulgare and Lamium album with L-value = 7, 8, 7; N-value = 8, 319 8, 9, respectively). 320

At the plot level, the mean α diversity ⁰D and ¹D significantly increased in hedgerows (Table 1). In terms of compositional variation, the mean Sørensen dissimilarity ($\beta_{Sør}$) increased slightly between 1974 and 2015, though the individual turnover (β_{Sim}) and nestedness (β_{Nes}) components showed no significant change (Table 1).

In the intact hedgerows, the overall plot-level diversity (⁰D and ¹D) and the 326 species group-specific diversity (forest species; grassland species; tall herbs and 327 pioneer species) were not significantly higher compared to the degraded hedgerows 328 (all comparisons p > 0.05). Diversity in both the intact and degraded hedgerows was 329 significantly higher in 2015 compared to 1974 (both overall species richness and 330 species group-specific diversity, all comparisons p < 0.001). Mean EIVs in intact and 331 degraded hedgerows did not differ significantly nor changed in a different way over 332 time (all comparisons p < 0.001). 333

In forests, the v diversity decreased, however, not significantly (p > 0.05, Table 334 1). There were 15 species common to both surveys (nine among which were losers), 335 33 lost species and 9 new species. 91.7% of the species in forests in 1974 were not 336 threatened and 8.3% were declining (C. vulgaris and E. tetralix). In 2015, only common 337 species occurred in the forests. New species were native except for *I. parviflora* and 338 scored generally low in L-values and high in N-values (e.g. Geum urbanum and Ribes 339 *rubrum*). At the plot level, the mean α diversity ⁰D and ¹D decreased in forests. Also 340 both β_{Sor} and β_{Sim} decreased significantly (Table 1). 341

The shift in EIVs at the community level is visualized in the NMDS analysis (*Stress* = 0.20): hedgerow surveys from 1974 and 2015 are clearly distinct on the first two axes (*PERMANOVA Pseudo-F* = 9.8, p < 0.001, Figure 2) and on the first *vs.* the

third axis (Appendix S3). The variance between the two years was almost the same 345 (ANOVA, p > 0.05). Correlations between the vectors of the EIVs and the (co)ordinates 346 of site projections onto the first two axes are all significant (p < 0.001). The first axis is 347 strongly positively correlated with the N- and R-values ($\rho = 0.96$ and $\rho = 0.82$, 348 349 respectively), to a lesser extent to the F value and negatively correlated with the Lvalue ($\rho = 0.55$ and $\rho = -0.50$, respectively). The second axis is strongly positively 350 correlated with the L-value ($\rho = 0.82$); and to a lesser extent to the F-, R- and N-values 351 $(\rho = 0.77, \rho = 0.56, \rho = 0.26, respectively)$. Also forest surveys from 1974 and 2015 352 were easily distinguished from each other in the NMDS-ordination (Stress = 0.16, 353 *Pseudo-F* = 6.0, p < 0.001). However, variation between the two years was not the 354 same (ANOVA, p < 0.05), possibly explaining a part of the difference between the two 355 years.Correlations between the vectors of the EIVs and the two axes were all 356 significant (p < 0.001), except for the F-value (p > 0.05). The first axis is strongly 357 positively correlated with the F-, R and N-values ($\rho = 0.99$, $\rho = 0.96$ and $\rho = 0.93$, 358 respectively). The second axis is strongly positively correlated to the L-value ($\rho = 0.99$, 359 Figure 2). 360

361 Ecological characteristics of the species

In the species pool of hedgerow plots, representation of forest and pioneer species significantly increased (p < 0.05); grassland species and tall herbs decreased. In the species pool found in the forests plots in 2015, pioneer species did not occur anymore and distribution had shifted towards more forest species and tall herbs and significantly less grassland species (p < 0.05).

At the plot level, the mean number of forest species, grassland species, tall herbs and pioneer species consistently increased in the hedgerow plots and decreased in forest plots (Figure 3). Temporal patterns in the number of the species groups were different between hedgerows and forests (*year x ecosystem interaction* p < 0.01). In both periods, hedgerow plots contained significantly more grassland species and tall herbs compared to forest plots and comparable amounts of forest species and pioneer species (Figure 3). Results for mean abundances of each species group were qualitatively similar, except for forest species and tall herbs in forests, which increased their abundance whereas their richness decreased (Appendix S4).

The mean EIVs changed consistently over time in hedgerow and forest plots: N-, R- and F-values increased whereas L-values decreased, although none of the shifts were significant (Figure 4). N-values and R-values were strongly correlated across plots in both ecosystems (*forests:* $\rho = 0.76$, p < 0.05; *hedgerows:* $\rho = 0.71$, p < 0.001).

Soil characteristics in hedgerows and forests differed significantly (Appendix 381 382 S5). Soil pH was significantly higher in hedgerow plots compared to forest plots (4.7 ± 0.6 vs. 4.1 \pm 0.3, respectively). In hedgerows, pH-values in almost all plots (76%) 383 ranked above 4.2 and thus above the AI buffer range (Ulrich 1983). In forests, 78% of 384 the plots showed pH-values below 4.2 units. In addition, ammonium lactate-P and 385 base cation concentrations (K, Ca, and Mg) were significantly higher in hedgerow plots 386 compared to forest plots. Only C, N and AI concentrations did not differ significantly 387 across plots in both ecosystems (Appendix S5). Furthermore, N-values in both forest 388 and hedgerow plots were positively correlated with the concentration of P in the soil 389 (Appendix S5). In forest plots, R-values were also positively correlated with P 390 concentrations and mean N-values were positively correlated with the concentration 391 of K in the soil (Appendix S5). Mean R-values in hedgerow plots were negatively 392 393 correlated with the concentration of AI. None of the EIVs were correlated with soil pH nor N, C, and Mg concentrations. 394

395 **DISCUSSION**

All over Europe, fragmentation of semi-natural habitats has continued to 396 increase during the last 20 years (EEA 2011). Habitat patches are broken apart, 397 reduced in size and become increasingly isolated. Consequently, the remaining 398 ecological network provides less and less connectivity, negatively affecting ecological 399 communities (EEA 2011). Also in our studied landscape, forest area has been lost and 400 401 one third of the hedgerows was completely destructed over 41 years. However, in the remaining plots, (still) many plant species were found; hedgerow plots in particular 402 hosted surprisingly many species (120 different species in total). In both ecosystems 403 there were losses over the last four decades; the more threatened and rare species 404 declined at the expense of generalists. Generally speaking, plant species richness in 405 406 forests decreased clearly, whereas hedgerows strongly increased in plant diversity.

407 Hedgerows vs. forest patches in the agricultural landscape

Comparing both habitat types, we found clearly higher diversity in plants in 408 hedgerows compared with forests. The species pool in hedgerows was much larger 409 and the distribution among species groups more balanced. Even though the sampled 410 411 area in hedgerows and forests was not the same (bigger sampled area in hedgerows) so the comparison is not completely fair, it is clear that hedgerows offer suitable 412 habitats to more different plant species than do forests in our studied region. 413 414 Hedgerows are typical edge habitats. They are structurally more heterogeneous then core habitats, including shaded parts as well as forest edge conditions. There is also 415 variation in exposure to solar radiation and wind, creating even more niches (Critchley 416 et al. 2013; French and Cummins 2001). In addition, hedgerow soils seem to have 417 more suitable soil properties for plant growth compared to forest soils in our studied 418 region, as we found higher pH and higher availability of P and basic cations. The soil 419

420 characteristics in our studied forests demand a more customized life strategy of the421 vegetation resulting in a smaller species pool.

422 Biodiversity decrease in forests

The plant biodiversity in the forest fragments in this agricultural landscape 423 decreased over the past four decades, in terms of local diversity within forests as well 424 as compositional variation between forests. Similar patterns have been shown in 425 several other forest resurvey studies (e.g. Keith et al. 2009, but not in others e.g. 426 Verheyen et al. 2012). In our study, forest communities clearly shifted towards more 427 shade tolerant species (lower L-value), at the expense of the more light demanding 428 grassland species and pioneers - the latter totally gone in 2015. This indicates that 429 forest succession might be ongoing. Most of the forests in the Campine region were 430 planted during the 19th or 20th century, when afforestation of former heathland took 431 place (Kint et al. 2010). Young forests growing older entails the establishment of more 432 shading trees and shrubs, being a possible driver of plant biodiversity loss, as only 433 434 well-adapted species can survive beneath a densely closed forest cover (Baeten et al. 2009). 435

In addition, the subtle community shift towards more nutrient demanding 436 species (higher N-value), indirectly indicates an increase in the availability of nutrients. 437 Natural forest succession might also be a possible explanation here, as in general, 438 439 this leads to eutrophication of the soil via the building up of organic matter (Hédl et al. 2010). The correlation of the N-values of the vegetation with the amount of P and K in 440 the soil – these two elements being main elements of fertilizers – also indicates 441 eutrophication is ongoing. Fertilization of adjacent fields, combined with atmospheric 442 deposition of reactive nitrogen from agriculture, traffic and industry (Bobbink et al. 443 2010) is probably contributing to the eutrophication of the forests. Although 444

atmospheric deposition has declined in recent decades, the long-term accumulation
has caused nitrogen-saturated ecosystems (De Schrijver et al. 2007). This
eutrophication is mirrored as a decline in forest vegetation richness, at the expense of
more specialized forest species, such as *Teucrium scorodonia* and *Vaccinium myrtillus*.

Deposition of atmospheric nitrogen and other acidifying pollutants, can also 450 lead to forest soil acidification (Heisner et al. 2003; Van Calster et al. 2007; Baeten et 451 452 al. 2009). Indeed, acidification is a widespread pattern in agro-ecosystems, mainly caused by the input of organic fertilizers that contain ammonium, which is oxidized to 453 nitrate by which protons are released (Barak et al. 1997). Surprisingly, we found higher 454 R-values for the forest community in 2015, whereas an acidification signal would be 455 indicated by a decrease in R. However, there is no evidence for an ongoing 456 deacidification nor acidification, as mean R-values were actually not correlated to the 457 measured pH-values. R-values were, just like N-values, correlated with the amount of 458 P in the soil, and both R- and N-values showed positive covariation. Such correlations 459 between indicator values can create problems in the interpretation of ecological 460 resurvey studies (Diekmann 2003; Naaf and Kolk 2015; Litza and Diekmann 2017). 461 The pH-values in our resurvey were all situated in the AI buffer range (buffering stage 462 "AI hydroxides": pH 4.2-2.8), which is characterized by a high bioavailability of AI 463 (Ulrich 1983; Appendix S5). Inhibition of root growth and function is the primary effect 464 of AI on plants. Owing to AI inhibition of primary and lateral root growth, the growth of 465 root systems is strongly reduced, negatively affecting the survival chances of a species 466 (Lukac & Godbold 2011). Even though we cannot compare pH-values over time, it is 467 plausible that AI toxicity might have become a factor decreasing species diversity in 468 469 the forests.

470 **Biodiversity increase in hedgerows**

Hedgerows became more diverse (both in overall plant diversity and in diversity 471 of the forest species, grassland species, tall herbs and pioneers) and taxonomically 472 473 more heterogeneous over time. This is guite a different result compared to the findings of previous hedgerow resurvey studies, which have consistently reported a decline in 474 overall diversity (Huwer and Wittig 2012; Staley et al. 2013; Litza and Diekmann 2017) 475 and most also reported an increasing homogenization of the vegetation (e.g. Staley et 476 477 al. 2013). However, in our study, a transition phase might be ongoing where original vegetation is still present and newly established vegetation increases the number of 478 479 species, but reorganization may follow.

Fragmentation might be one of the underlying factors in our study of maintaining 480 and increasing beta diversity. Due to fragmentation, the edge-to-core ratio of the 481 hedgerows increases even more - being already quite high, as they are typical edge 482 habitats – when they are shortened or when gaps appear. Edge habitat is influenced 483 more profoundly by the surroundings, supporting the establishment of disturbed 484 vegetation such as pioneers (Runhaar et al. 2004). This might also explain the shift in 485 the species pool towards a composition of more light demanding species (higher L-486 487 value).

Over time, also more species indicative for nutrient rich soils found their way to the hedgerow habitats, resulting in higher diversity. Again, eutrophication seems to be the logical explanation for this shift (cf. also the findings of Staley et al. 2013; Litza and Diekmann 2017). Hedgerows – especially those located in agricultural fields – are exposed to high inputs of fertilizers. Moreover, crop plants in bordering agricultural fields tend to grow less strong because the shading of the hedgerow, using less of the applied fertilizers, possibly causing accumulation of fertilizers in the soil over time

(Mette 1994). Additionally, just like forests, hedgerows are exposed to atmospheric 495 depositions causing further eutrophication and acidification of the ecosystem. The 496 edge character of the hedgerows causes locally an increased wind turbulence, which 497 allows an even higher nitrogen deposition and deposition of other acidifying pollutants 498 499 compared to the deposition inside forest patches. The observed shift of hedgerow vegetation towards species with higher R-values would indicate a deacidification is 500 ongoing, even though they were not correlated to the measured soil pH. Also Litza 501 and Diekmann (2017) stated that in their studied hedgerows, the increasing nutrient 502 503 availability (reflected in increasing mean N) overruled the responses of species to pH, as they found proof that soil pH decreased over 50 years while the mean R-values 504 increased. The pH-values in our resurvey were almost all above the AI buffer range. 505 However, the proportion of acid-tolerant species was strongly determined by AI 506 concentrations in the soil (strong negative correlation between R-value of the 507 508 vegetation and AI concentration in the soil). If soil acidification continues, AI-toxicity might become a problem for plant species diversity in the hedgerows. 509

510 In our studied hedgerows, a shift of typical vegetation well suited within the syntaxa one had in mind for the original thesis, to a kind of frame communities with 511 the presence of non-typical species (e.g. more grassland species and pioneers), may 512 have occurred. This shift may have resulted in a biodiversity increase for now. 513 However, challenges such as climate change, further fragmentation and immigration 514 of new plant species can enhance reorganization and dominance of single species in 515 the future (Smith et al. 2009). Follow-up research remains needed to study the 516 temporal vegetation changes in hedgerows and forests in intensively used agricultural 517 lands. 518

519 CONCLUSION

In a period of four decades, major changes in both the structure and species 520 composition of our semi-natural habitats in the countryside occurred. Over time, 521 several threatened species were lost in both ecosystems, as eutrophication may have 522 led to a shift towards more competitive species typical for nutrient rich habitats. 523 Additionally, forest succession led to a strong light-determined diversity decline, 524 whereas hedgerows hosted significantly more species in 2015. These different 525 temporal vegetation shifts demonstrate the importance of considering diversity 526 patterns in more than one ecosystem at once at a landscape scale, to get a broader 527 idea about vegetation changes at this scale. 528

Irrespective of the fact that species richness in hedgerow networks is not 529 declining and the existing species pool is relatively species rich, it is striking that in a 530 531 period of 41 years, almost one third of the studied length of the network was cleared. In 100 years from now, hedgerows may be gone if this 'business as usual' continues 532 (i.e. when considering a constant loss of 150 m/year). Even though it is more likely 533 that only a specific proportion and not all of the hedgerows will be lost in our studied 534 agro-ecosystem, it is clear that we are losing significant amounts of hedgerows. They 535 are clearly suitable habitats to many different plant species, hosting a bigger variety of 536 functional plant species groups and more threatened species than forests in our 537 studied region. Proportionally much more hedgerow habitat than forest habitat 538 539 disappeared during our studied period. The extent to which we will do efforts to ensure 540 conservation of these hedgerows, will definitely determine the future biological content 541 of the landscape.

542 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors are grateful to Koen Van Den Berge for his help during the fieldwork in 543 the summer of 2015 and his contributions in identifying the plant species. To Kris 544 Ceunen, Jorgen Op De Beeck and Jonathan Janssens for their help during the 545 fieldwork in the summers of 2015 and 2016. To Luc Willems and Greet De bruyn, for 546 the chemical analyses of the soil samples and to Haben Blondeel for his advice 547 concerning the QGIS applications. The authors also thank the reviewers for their 548 comments on an earlier version of the manuscript. The European Research Council 549 through the PASTFORWARD project (ERC Consolidator Grant no. 614839) provided 550 financial support to Kris Verheyen. 551

552 **REFERENCES**

- Alignier, A. & Baudry, J. 2015. Changes in management practices over time
 explain most variation in vegetation of field margins in Brittany, France.
 Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 211: 164-172.
- Baeten, L., Bauwens, B., De Schrijver, A., De Keersmaeker, L., Van Calster,
 H., Vandekerkhove, K., Roelandt, B., Beeckman, H. & Verheyen, K. 2009. Herb
 layer changes (1954-2000) related to the conversion of coppice-with-standards
 forest and soil acidification. *Applied Vegetation Science* 12(2): 187–197.
- Barak, P., Jobe, B.O., Krueger, A.R., Peterson, L.A. & Laird, D.A. 1997. Effects
 of long-term soil acidification due to nitrogen fertilizer inputs in Wisconsin. *Plant Soil* 197: 61–69.
- Barkman, J. J., Doing, H. & Segal, A. 1964. Kritische Bemerkungen und
 Vorschläge zur quantitativen Vegetationsanalyse. *Acta Botanica Neerlandica* 13: 394–419.
- Baselga, A. 2010. Partitioning the turnover and nestedness components of beta
 diversity. Global Ecology and Biogeography 19(1): 134–143.
- Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S. 2015. Fitting Linear Mixed Effects Models Using Ime4. Journal of Statistical Software 67(1): 1-48.
- Baudry, J., Bunce, R.G.H. & Burel, F. 2000. Hedgerows: An international
 perspective on their origin, function and management. *Journal of Environmental Management* 60: 7–22.
- Bernhardt-Römermann, M., Baeten, L., Craven, D., De Frenne, P., Hédl, R.,
 Lenoir, J., Bert, D., Brunet, J., Chudomelová, M., (...) & Verheyen, K. 2015.
 Drivers of temporal changes in temperate forest plant diversity vary across
 spatial scales. *Global Change Biology* 21: 3726-3737.
- Bobbink, R., Hicks, K., Galloway, J., Spranger, T., Alkemade, R., Ashmore, M.

- 578 & De Vries, W. 2010. Global assessment of nitrogen deposition effects on 579 terrestrial plant diversity: a synthesis. *Ecological Applications* 20(1): 30–59.
- Brunet, J., von Oheimb, G. & Diekmann, M. 2000. Factors influencing
 vegetation gradients across ancient-recent woodland borderlines in southern
 Sweden. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 11: 515–524.
- Burel, F. 1989. Landscape structure effects on carabid beetles spatial patterns
 in Western France. Landscape Ecology 2: 215–226.
- Butet, A. & Leroux, A.B.A. 2001. Effect of agriculture development on vole
 dynamics and conservation of Montagu's harrier in Western French wetlands.
 Biological Conservation 100: 289–295.
- Cain, S.A & Oliveira-Castro, G. M. 1959. Manual of Vegetation Analysis.
 Science 131(3408):1218-1219.
- Cervinka, J., Šálek, M., Padyšáková, E., Šmilauer, P. 2013. The effects of local
 and landscape-scale habitat characteristics and prey availability on corridor use
 by carnivores: a comparison of two contrasting farmlands. *Journal for Nature Conservation* 21: 105–113.
- Chao, A., Gotelli, N.J., Hsieh, T.C., Sander, E.L., Ma, K.H., Colwell R.K. &
 Ellison, A.M. 2014. Rarefaction and extrapolation with Hill numbers: a
 framework for sampling and estimation in species diversity studies. *Ecological Monographs* 84(1): 45–67.
- Clergeau P. & Burel F. 1997. The role of spatio-temporal patch connectivity at
 the landscape level: an example in a bird distribution. *Landscape and Urban Planning* 38: 37–43.

- Colwell, R.K., Mao, C.X. & Chang, J. 2004. Interpolating, Extrapolating, and
 Comparing Incidence-Based Species Accumulation Curves. *Ecology* 85: 2717–
 2727.
- Critchley, C.N.R., Wilson, L.A., Mole, A.C., Norton, L.R. & Smart, S.M. 2013. A
 functional classification of herbaceous hedgerow vegetation for setting
 restoration objectives. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 22: 701–717.
- Croxton, P.J. & Sparks, T.H. 2002. A farm-scale evaluation of the influence of
 hedgerow cutting frequency on hawthorn (Crataegus monogyna) berry yields.
 Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 93: 437–439.
- de Blois, S., Domon, G. & Bouchard, A. 2002. Factors affecting plant species
 distribution in hedgerows of southern Quebec. *Biological Conservation* 105:
 355–367.
- De Frenne, P., Rodriguez-Sanchez, F., Coomes, D.A., Baeten, L., Verstraeten,
 G., Vellend, M., Bernhardt-Römermann, M., Brown, C.D., Brunet, (...) &
 Verheyen, K. 2013. Microclimate moderates plant responses to macroclimate
 warming. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*States of America 110(46): 18561–18565.
- De Schrijver, A., Devlaeminck, R., Mertens, J., Wuyts, K., Hermy, M. &
 Verheyen, K. 2007. On the importance of incorporating forest edge deposition
 for evaluating exceedance of critical pollutant loads. *Applied Vegetation Science* 10(2): 293–298.
- Deckers, B., Hermy, M. & Muys, B. 2004. Factors affecting plant species
 composition of hedgerows: relative importance and hierarchy. *Acta Oecologica* 26: 23–37.

- Decocq, G., Andrieu, E., Brunet, J., Chabrerie, O., De Frenne, P., De Smedt,
 P., Deconchat, M., Diekmann, M., Ehrmann, S., (...) & Wulf, M. 2016.
 Ecosystem Services from Small Forest Patches in Agricultural Landscapes. *Current Forestry Reports* 2(1): 30–44.
- Diekmann, M. 2003. Species indicator values as an important tool in applied
 plant ecology a review. *Basic and Applied Ecology* 4: 493–506.
- Dmowski, K. & Koziakiewicz, M. 1990. Influence of a shrub corridor on
 movements of passerine birds to a lake littoral zone. *Landscape Ecology* 4: 98–
 108.
- Dondina, O., Kataoka, L., Orioli, V., Bani, L. 2016. How to manage hedgerows
 as effective ecological corridors for mammals: A two-species approach.
 Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 231: 283–290.
- Bover, J. & Sparks, T. 2000. A review of the ecology of butterflies in British
 hedgerows. *Journal of Environmental Management* 60: 51-63.
- Duelli, P., Studer, M., Marchland, I., Jakob, S. 1990. Population movements of
 arthropods between natural and cultivated areas. *Biological Conservation* 54:
 193–207.
- EC 2015. Report from the commission to the European parliament and the
 council. *The mid-term review of the EU biodiversity strategy to 2020*. European
 Commission.
- EEA 2011. Joint EEA-FOEN Report. Landscape Fragmentation in Europe.
 European Environment Agency.
- Ellenberg, H., Weber, H.E., Düll, R., Wirth, V. & Werner, W. 2001. *Zeigerwerte von Pflanzen in Mitteleuropa*. Verlag Erich Goltze, Göttingen.
- European Environment Agency, 2013. CORINE Land Cover (CLC) 2006,

650 Version 17, Kopenhagen K, Denmark. CRC/TR32 Database (TR32DB).

- French, D.D. & Cummins, R.P. 2001. Classification, composition, richness and
 diversity of British hedgerows. *Applied Vegetation Science* 4: 213–228.
- Gazol, A. & Ibáñez, R. 2010. Variation of plant diversity in a temperate
 unmanaged forest in northern Spain: behind the environmental and spatial
 explanation. *Plant Ecology* 207: 1–11.
- Gelling, M., Macdonald, D.W., Mathews, F. 2007. Are hedgerows the route to
 increased farmland small mammal density? Use of hedgerows in British
 pastoral habitats. *Landscape Ecology* 22: 1019–1032.
- Gilliam, F. 2007. The ecological significance of the herbaceous layer in
 temperate forest ecosystems. *Bioscience* 57(10): 845–858.
- Hédl, R., Kopecky, M., Komárek & J. 2010. Half a century of succession in a
 temperate oakwood: from species-rich community to mesic forest. *Diversity and Distributions* 16(2): 267-276
- Heisner, U., von Wilpert, K. & Hildebrand & E.E. 2003. Vergleich aktueller
 Messungen zum Aziditätsstatus südwestdeutscher Waldböden mit historischen
 Messungen von 1927. [Comparison of recent measurements of the acidity
 status in forest soils of southwest Germany with historical measurements from
 1927]. Allgemeine Forst- und Jagdzeitung 174: 41-44.
- Hennekens, S. 2009. *Protocol 'Vegetatieopname'*, Alterra, Wageningen. 12 pp.
- Hinsley, S. & Bellamy, P. 2000. The influence of hedge structure, management
 and landscape context on the value of hedgerows to birds: A review. *Journal of Environmental Management* 60: 33–49.
- Houle, G. 2007. Determinants of fine-scale plant species richness in a
 deciduous forest of northeastern North America. *Journal of Vegetation Science*

- 18: 345–354.
- Huwer, A. & Wittig, R. 2012. Changes in the species composition of hedgerows
 in the Westphalian Basin over a thirty-five-year period. *Tuexenia* 32: 31–53.
- Jennings, N. & Pocock, M.J.O. 2009. Relationships between Sensitivity to
 Agricultural Intensification and Ecological Traits of Insectivorous Mammals and
 Arthropods. *Conservation Biology* 23(5): 1195-1203.
- Jost, L. 2006. Entropy and diversity. *Oikos* 113, 363–375.
- Kapfer, J., Hedl, R., Jurasinski, G., Kopecký, M., Schei, F.H. & Grytnes, J.A.
 2017. Resurveying historical vegetation data-opportunities and challenges. *Applied Vegetation Science* 20(2): 164-171.
- Keith, S.A., Newton, A.C., Morecroft, M.D., Bealey, C.E. & Bullock, J.M. 2009.
 Taxonomic homogenization of woodland plant communities over 70 years.
 Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences Royal Society (Great Britain) 276: 3539–3544.
- Kint, V., Geudens, G. & den Ouden, J. 2010. Bos op arme gronden. In: den
 Ouden J., Muys B., Mohren F., Verheyen K. (Eds.), Bosecologie en bosbeheer.
 Acco[s.l.], pp. 511-526.
- Kolb, A. & Diekmann, M. 2004. Effects of environment, habitat configuration
 and forest continuity on the distribution of forest plant species. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 15: 199–208.
- Kopecký, M. & Macek, M. 2015. Vegetation resurvey is robust to plot location
 uncertainty. *Diversity and Distributions* 21: 322–330.
- Lambinon, J., De Langhe, J.E. & Delvosalle, L. 2015. *Flora van Belgie, Gr. Hertogdom Luxemburg, Noord-Frankrijk en aangrenzende gebieden.* Agora/
 Nationale Plantentuin.

- Le Coeur, D., Baudry, J. & Burel, F. 1997. Field margins plant assemblages:
 variation partitioning between local and landscape factors. *Landscape and Urban Planning* 37: 57-71.
- Litza, K. & Diekmann, M. 2017. Resurveying hedgerows in Northern Germany:
 Plant community shifts over the past 50 years. *Biological Conservation* 206:
 226-235.
- Lukac, M., & Godbold, D. 2011. Soil Ecology in Northern Forests: A
 Belowground View of a Changing World. Cambridge: Cambridge University
- Mette, R. 1994. Ertragsstruktur und Mineralstoffaufnahme von Mais und Hafer
 im Einflußbereich von Wallhecken: Auswirkungen auf den Mineralstoff- und
 Wasserhaushalt unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der Wurzelökologie von
 Kulturpflanzen und Knickvegetation: Dissertation. Universität Kiel.
- Naaf, T. & Kolk, J. 2016. Initial site conditions and interactions between multiple
 drivers determine herb-layer changes over five decades in temperate forests.
 Forest Ecology and Management 366: 153–165.
- Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P.R., O'Hara,
 R.B., Simpson, G.L., Solymos, P., Stevens, M.H.H., Wagner, H., 2015. The
 vegan package. Version 2.3-0.
- Perring, M., De Frenne, P., Baeten, L., Maes, S., Depauw, L., Blondeel, H.,
 Carón, M.M., Verheyen, K. 2016. *Global Change Biology* 22(4): 1361-1371.
- Roy, V. & de Blois, S. 2008. Evaluating hedgerow corridors for the conservation
 of native forest herb diversity. *Biological Conservation* 141: 298–307.
- Runhaar, J., van Landuyt, W., Groen, C.L.G., Weeda, E.J. & Verloove F. 2004.
 Herziening van de indeling in ecologische soortengroepen voor Nederland en
 Vlaanderen. *Gorteria* 30: 12-26.

- Šálek, M., Kreisinger, J., Sedlácek, 9 F., Albrecht, T. 2009. Corridor vs hayfield
 matrix use by mammalian predators in an agricultural landscape. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 134: 8–13.
- Siemann, E., Tilman, D., Haarstad, J. & Ritchie, M. 1998. Experimental tests of
 the dependence of arthropod diversity on plant diversity. *The American Naturalist* 152: 738–750.
- Smith, M. D., Knapp, A. K., & Collins, S. L. 2009. A framework for assessing
 ecosystem dynamics in response to chronic resource alterations induced by
 global change. *Ecology* 90: 3279–3289.
- Schmucki, R., de Blois, S., Bouchard, A. & Domon, G. 2002. Spatial and
 Temporal Dynamics of Hedgerows in Three Agricultural Landscapes of
 Southern Quebec, Canada. *Environmental Management* 30: 651–664.
- Suding, K.N., Collins, S.L., Gough, L., Clark, C., Cleland, E.E., Gross, K.L.,
 Milchunas, D.G. & Pennings, S. 2005. Functional- and abundance-based
 mechanisms explain diversity loss due to N fertilization. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 102: 4387–4392.
- Sullivan, T.P., Sullivan, D.S. & Thistlewood, H.M.A. 2012. Abundance and
 diversity of small mammals in response to various linear habitats in semi-arid
 agricultural landscapes. *Journal of Arid Environments* 83: 54-61.
- Staley, J.T., Bullock, J.M., Baldock, K.C.R., Redhead, J.W., Hooftman, D.A.P.,
- Button, N. & Pywell, R.F. 2013. Changes in hedgerow floral diversity over 70 years in an English rural landscape, and the impacts of management. *Biological Conservation* 167: 97–105.
- Steffan-Dewenter, I. & Tscharntke, T. 2001. Succession of bee communities on
 fallows. *Ecography* 24(1): 83-93.

Tattersall, F.H., Avundo, A.E., Manley, W.J., Hart, B.J. & Macdonald, D.W.
 2000. Managing set-aside for field voles (Microtus agrestis). *Biological Conservation* 96(1): 123-128.

- Ulrich, B. 1983. Soil acidity and its relation to acid deposition. In: Ulrich, B.,
 Pankrath, J. (Eds.), Effects of Accumulation of Air Pollutants in Forest
 Ecosystems. Reidel, Dordrecht, pp. 127–146.
- Van Calster, H., Baeten, L., De Schrijver, A., De Keersmaeker, L., Rogister, J.
 E., Verheyen, K. & Hermy, M. 2007. Management driven changes (1967-2005)
 in soil acidity and the understorey plant community following conversion of a
 coppice-with-standards forest. *Forest Ecology and Management*241:258–271.
- Van Den Berge, S., Baeten, L., Vanhellemont, M., Ampoorter, E., Proesmans,
 W., Eeraerts, M., Hermy, M., Smagghe, G., Vermeulen, I. & Verheyen, K. 2018.
 Species diversity, pollinator resource value and edibility potential of woody
 networks in the countryside in northern Belgium. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 259: 119-126.
- Van der Veken, S., Verheyen, K. & Hermy, M. 2004. Plant species loss in an
 urban area (Turnhout, Belgium) from 1880 to 1999 and its environmental
 determinants. *Flora* 199: 516-523.
- Van Landuyt, W., Vanhecke, L. & Hoste, I. 2006. *Rode Lijst van de vaatplanten van Vlaanderen en het Brussels Hoofdstedelijk Gewest*. INBO en Nationale
 Plantentuin van België, Brussel.
- Vanderschaeve, C. 1975. Fytosociologie van de houtwallen in het Turnhoutse
 (Antw.). [Phytosociology of the wooded banks in Turnhout (Antwerp)]. Scriptie.
 Rijksuniversiteit Gent.

Verheyen, K., Baeten, L., De Frenne, P., Bernhardt-Römermann, M., Brunet,
J., Cornelis, J., Decocq, G., Dierschke, H., Eriksson, O. (...) & Verstraeten, G.,
2012. Driving factors behind the eutrophication signal in understorey plant
communities of deciduous temperate forests. *Journal of Ecology* 100(2): 352–
365.

- Verheyen, K., De Frenne, P., Baeten, L., Waller, D., Hedl, R., Perring, M.,
 Blondeel, H., Brunet, J., Chudomelová, M., (...) & Bernhardt-Römermann, M.,
- 781 2017. Combining community resurvey data to advance global change research.
- 782 BioScience 67: 73-83.
- 783 SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

- 786 APPENDIX S1. Intact, degraded and lost hedgerows.
- 787 Appendix S2. Abundance scale *sensu* Barkman and *sensu* Hennekens.
- Appendix S3. NMDS-ordination diagrams of hedgerow plots (Axis 1 vs Axis 3; Axis 2
- 789 *vs* Axis 3).
- Appendix S4. Shift in abundance of considered species groups.
- 791 Appendix S5. Results of soil analyses (2015).

TABLES AND FIGURES

Figure 1



Figure 1. Study area. Map showing the location of the study area in the province of Antwerp
 in the north of Belgium. The municipality of Turnhout is presented in detail with indication of
 studied hedgerows and forests. Source aerial picture: Geopunt Vlaanderen.







Figure 2. NMDS analysis showing temporal vegetation shift. NMDS-ordination diagrams (ordination based on Sørensen dissimilarity) for hedgerow plots (a) and forest plots (b) are shown. The diagrams include the original plots (n = 54 for hedgerows and n = 20 for forests) and the resurveyed plots (n = 54 for hedgerows and n = 20 for forests). The vectors generated via the 'envfit' analysis (function in the R package vegan) indicate the correlation of the axes with the Ellenberg indicator values.

Figure 3



822

Figure 3. Temporal vegetation change. Changes in mean species richness in hedgerows and forests between 1974 and 2015 for forest species (a), grassland species (b), tall herbs (c) and pioneer species (d) (*sensu* Runhaar et al. 2004). The boxes indicate the 25% and 75% quartile, with a median line and 2 whiskers showing the 10% and 90% quantiles. Significant differences in species richness as derived from paired t-tests are indicated with asterisks (*pvalue levels ns: not significant; ***: p ≤ 0.001*).

829 **Figure 4**



Figure 4. Shifts in Ellenberg indicator values. Changes in mean Ellenberg values for soil fertility N (a), soil reaction R (b), light L (c), and soil moisture F (d) (*sensu* Ellenberg et al. 2001) in hedgerows and forests between 1974 and 2015. The boxes indicate the 25% and 75% quartile, with a median line and 2 whiskers showing the 10% and 90% quantiles. Significant differences in mean Ellenberg values as derived from paired t-tests are indicated with asterisks (*p*-value levels ns: not significant; *: $p \le 0.05$; p^{***} : $p \le 0.001$).

837 Table 1

838 Table 1. Diversity statistics for 1974 & 2015.

839 Diversity statistics for the vegetation in hedgerow plots and forest plots in 1974 and 2015: species pool (γ diversity), average species richness (α diversity ⁰D), exponential of Shannon 840 (α diversity 1D) and beta diversity in terms of Sørensen dissimilarity (β sor), turnover (β sim) and 841 nestedness (β_{Nes}) are shown. For the year 1974, y diversity is presented in absolute number 842 of species (cf. original number of sampled plots anno 1974: hedgerows = 81; forests = 26) and 843 in rarefied number of species (cf. rarefied number of sampled plots anno 1974: hedgerows = 844 54; forests = 20). The significance in differences for these statistics between the two years is 845 indicated for the Chi squared test (Chi²), paired Wilcoxon signed-rank test (W) or paired t-test 846 (T), where the superscripts display the p-value levels (*ns: not significant;* *: $p \le 0.05$; ***: $p \le$ 847 848 0.001).

849

	1974	2015	Statistical test
	Hedgerov	ws	
Species pool	79 (<i>n</i> = 81); 70 (<i>n</i> = 54)	120 (<i>n</i> = 54)	Chi ^{2***}
(γ diversity)			(<i>n</i> = 54)
Species richness	mean = 8.69 ± 2.93 SD	mean = 13.20 ± 6.13 SD	T***
(a diversity ⁰ D)			
Exponential of Shannon	mean = 4.14 ± 1.54 SD	mean = 6.14 ± 3.65 SD	W***
(a diversity ¹ D)			
βSor	mean = 0.72 ± 0.05 SD	mean = 0.74 ± 0.04 SD	W*
βSim	mean = 0.55 ± 0.08 SD	mean = 0.56 ± 0.07 SD	T ^{ns}
βNes	mean = 0.10 ± 0.05 SD	mean = 0.12 ± 0.06 SD	Wns
	Forests	;	
Species pool	33 (<i>n</i> = 26); 30 (<i>n</i> = 20)	24 (<i>n</i> = 20)	Chi ^{2ns}
(y diversity)			(<i>n</i> = 20)
Species richness	mean 5.60 ± 3.47 SD	mean 3.65 ± 2.85 SD	T ^{ns}
(a diversity ⁰ D)			
Exponential of Shannon	mean 2.92 ± 1.97 SD	mean 2.1 ± 1.75 SD	Wns
(a diversity ¹ D)			
βSor	mean 0.73 ± 0.06 SD	mean 0.67 ± 0.09 SD	T*
βSim	mean 0.59 ± 0.12 SD	mean 0.52 ± 0.09 SD	T*
βNes	mean 0.14 ± 0.07 SD	mean 0.153 ± 0.04 SD	Wns

850