



5th Historic Mortars Conference

HMC 2019

Book of Abstracts

University of Navarra, Pamplona

19th – 21st June, 2019

5th Historic Mortars Conference – HMC 2019
Pamplona, 19th-21st June 2019

This 5th HMC is a RILEM co-sponsored event and the organization has been supported in part by the Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (MINECO) under project MAT2015-70728-P. The companies Puma Group, Heidelberg Cement, Saint-Astier, Kerakoll, Lhoist, Gordillos Cal de Morón, SINT Technology have provided funds.



© MIMED (Heritage, Materials and Environment) Research Group

mimed@unav.edu

<https://www.unav.edu/en/web/heritage-materials-environment/home>

Department of Chemistry

School of Sciences

Universidad de Navarra

www.unav.edu

ISBN 978-84-09-09077-8

URL: <http://hdl.handle.net/10171/56440>

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Topic 3 | 31 |
| Gypsum-based plasters and mortars in historical constructions | 31 |
| Stucco marble in the Portuguese architecture: first insights in mineralogical, physical and mechanical properties | 33 |
| <i>Maria Teresa Freire; António Santos Silva; Maria do Rosário Veiga</i> | |
| Detailed studies of gypsum renders and plasters from the Ishrat Khane Mausoleum in Samarkand, Uzbekistan | 34 |
| <i>Steffen Laue</i> | |
| Historic gypsum mortars from Emilia Romagna (Italy). Mineralogical and petrographic analysis | 35 |
| <i>David Sanz-Arauz; Fabio Fratini; Emma Cantisani; Gian Carlo Grillini</i> | |
| The use of stucco-marble to restore veined polished limestone. The case of the pavement in the major sacristy of the Cathedral of Seville | 36 |
| <i>Antonio González Portillo; Maria Teresa Freire</i> | |
| Thermal monitoring of a traditional gypsum oven in Ribera d'Ondara (Lleida) and simulation of the calcination process | 37 |
| <i>Antonia Navarro Ezquerro; Belén González Sánchez; Manuel Julià i Macias; Ana Maria Lacasta Palacio; Marc Tous Coll; Bryan Rivas Guevara; Felipe Buill Pozuelo; Judith Ramírez Casas</i> | |
| Study of properties of gypsum plasters of Araripe's pole for application in restoration mortars | 38 |
| <i>Fernanda Cavalcanti Ferreira; Jose Getulio Gomes de Sousa; Arnaldo Manoel Pereira Carneiro</i> | |
| Clay and gypsum mortars used during antiquity in Cyprus | 39 |
| <i>Maria Philokyprou</i> | |
| Physical- mechanical comparison of the traditional gypsums from Albarracín and Pallars | 40 |
| <i>David Osmar Batres Hernández; Antonia Navarro Ezquerro; Joan Ramon Rosell Amigó</i> | |
| Characterisation of Gypsum Renders in the Paris Region and Determination of the Traditional Fabrication Process | 41 |
| <i>Jean Ducasse-Lapeyrousse; Véronique Vergès-Belmin</i> | |
| Figural Renaissance stucco in the Czech Republic – Technological and material characterisation | 42 |
| <i>R. Tišlová; L. Bartůňková; T. Köberle; Z. Kovařík; V. Krájček; D. Všianský; P. Majoroš</i> | |
| Topic 4 | 43 |
| Functional mortars for the conservation of historic and modern cultural heritage structures | 43 |
| Hydrophobized lime grouts prepared with microsilica and superplasticizers | 45 |
| <i>J.F. González-Sánchez; I. Navarro-Blasco; J.M. Fernández; A. Duran; R. Sirera; J.I. Alvarez</i> | |
| Self-cleaning of previously healed historic mortars with multi-functional coatings | 46 |
| <i>Jonjaua Ranogajec; Maria Malesevic-Cuculis; Helena Hirszenberger; John Milan van der Bergh; Snežana Vučetić</i> | |
| Use of natural zeolite aggregate in restoration lime renders | 47 |
| <i>Martin Vyšvařil; Patrik Bayer; Tomáš Žižlavský; Pavla Rovnaníková</i> | |
| Addressing safety and durability requirements of architectural heritage by developing functional conservation mortars | 48 |
| <i>Ioannis Karatasios; Zoi S. Metaxa; Stavros K. Kourkoulis; Nikolaos D. Alexopoulos; Vassilis Kilikoglou</i> | |
| Autogenic vs. autonomic self-healing process in conservation mortars with crystalline admixture | 49 |
| <i>Maria Amenta; Stamatoula Papaioannou; Marios S. Katsiotis; Dimitris Gournis; Vassilis Kilikoglou; Ioannis Karatasios</i> | |
| Lime-based rendering mortars with photocatalytic and hydrophobic agents: assessment of the water repellency and biocide effect | 50 |
| <i>Jesús F. González-Sánchez; Burcu Taşçı; Guillermo Martínez de Tejada; José M. Fernández; Íñigo Navarro-Blasco; José I. Alvarez</i> | |
| Self-healing lime-based mortars using biological mechanisms and microvascular networks | 51 |
| <i>Cristina De Nardi; Magdalini Theodoridou; Philip Sim; Michael Harbottle; Anthony Jefferson</i> | |
| Comparative evaluation of the morphological and rheological characteristics of nanolime dispersions for the consolidation of architectural monuments | 52 |
| <i>Anastasia Michalopoulou; Elisavet Michailidi; Evangelos Favvas; Noni-Pagona Maravelaki; Vassilis Kilikoglou; Ioannis Karatasios</i> | |
| Photoactive Fe-TiO₂ lime Plasters for Building Protection | 53 |
| <i>Chrysi Kapridaki; Nikolaos Xynidis; Nikolaos Xekoukoulotakis; Nikolaos Kallithrakas-Kontos; Noni Maravelaki</i> | |
| SRG composite systems for strengthening masonry structures: from laboratory to field applications | 54 |
| <i>Paolo Casadei; Paolo Girardello</i> | |

Hydrophobized lime grouts prepared with microsilica and superplasticizers

J.F. González-Sánchez; I. Navarro-Blasco; J.M. Fernández; A. Duran; R. Sirera; J.I. Alvarez

Heritage, Materials & Environment research group (MIMED), Department of Chemistry, School of Sciences, University of Navarra, Pamplona, Spain, jgonzalez.65@alumni.unav.es; inavarro@unav.es; jmfdez@unav.es; adrianduran@unav.es; rsirera@unav.es; jalvarez@unav.es

Abstract

This work reports the obtaining of lime-based grouts as repairing materials. Microsilica was added as pozzolanic additive to enhance the compressive strength of the hardened grouts. Sodium oleate, as water repellent admixture, and different superplasticizers were also incorporated to reduce the water absorption and to enhance the injectability of the grouts. Polycarboxylate ether (PCE), polynaphthalene sulfonate (PNS), melamine sulfonate (MMS) and polyacrylic acid (PA) were tested as plasticizing agents. Regarding the fluidity of the grouts, PCE was seen to improve the injectability, followed by PNS, MMS and PA. However, PCE addition was also accompanied by a severe delay in the setting time. The other three superplasticizers did not provoke significant delays in the hardening of the samples. The water contact angle underwent an increase pointing to an effective hydrophobization of the surface as a consequence of the water repellent admixture. The combination with PCE was the most effective in keeping the water repellency in comparison with the control sample (lime grout + oleate). MMS yielded high compressive strengths and durability of the mortars, in the face of freezing-thawing cycles, was enhanced.

Keywords

Grout; Microsilica; Injectability; Water Repellent

Acknowledgments

Funded by MINECO under Project MAT2015-70728-P, and by the Government of Navarra under grant number Exp. 0011-1383-2018-000005, project PC065 RECURBAN. J.F. González-Sánchez thanks the Friends of the University of Navarra, Inc., for a pre-doctoral grant.