Figure 1. The interactive tool (intervention, available at http://Ise.staging.kiln.digital/statins/) shows the overall ranking of 5 statins from best to worst in terms of 3 benefit outcomes (all-cause mortality, coronary events, and cerebrovascular events) and 4 harm outcomes (muscle pain, kidney enzyme elevations, liver enzyme elevations, and discontinuations because of other adverse events). Users can specify the relative importance of different outcomes by moving the cursors from not important to very important. Different colours correspond to different outcomes, the height of the bars corresponds to the relative weight put on each outcome, and the width of the bars corresponds to the probability that statin is the best on that outcome. The data visualization is dynamic and the overall ranking of individual statins changes depending on user preferences. For example, $(\mathbf{A})$ simvastatin ranks as the best option when the user specifies that all-cause mortality is the only important outcome; (B) pravastatin is the best treatment option when the user specifies kidney enzyme elevations as the only important outcome; and (C) simvastatin ranks best when the user considers all-cause mortality to be the most important outcome followed by coronary events and cerebrovascular events, which are in turn more important than all harm end points.


Figure 2. CONSORT Flowchart (9)


|  | Intervention$(n=122)$ |  | Control$(n=136)$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ (\mathrm{n}=258) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $p$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 32.3 | (8.8) | 32.62 | (9.32) | 32.44 | (9.08) | 0.754 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 76 | (62.3) | 85 | (62.5) | 161 | (62.4) | 0.792 |
| Female | 45 | (36.9) | 51 | (37.5) | 96 | (37.2) |  |
| Other | 1 | (0.8) | 0 | (0) | 1 | (0.4) |  |
| Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 89 | (73.0) | 92 | (67.6) | 181 | (70.2) | 0.326 |
| Black or African American | 6 | (4.9) | 13 | (9.6) | 19 | (7.4) |  |
| Hispanic | 8 | (6.6) | 11 | (8.1) | 19 | (7.4) |  |
| Asian | 15 | (12.3) | 19 | (14.0) | 34 | (13.2) |  |
| American Indian or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native Alaskan | 1 | (0.8) | 1 | (0.7) | 2 | (0.8) |  |
| Other | 3 | (2.5) | 0 | (0) | 3 | (1.2) |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than high school | 0 | (0) | 1 | (0.7) | 1 | (0.4) | 0.160 |
| High school degree | 31 | (25.4) | 38 | (27.9) | 69 | (26.7) |  |
| Associate degree | 19 | (15.6) | 33 | (24.3) | 52 | (20.2) |  |
| Bachelor degree | 63 | (51.6) | 52 | (38.2) | 115 | (44.6) |  |
| Graduate degree | 9 | (7.4) | 12 | (8.8) | 21 | (8.1) |  |
| Income (\$) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 20,000 | 25 | (20.5) | 26 | (19.1) | 51 | (19.8) | 0.611 |
| 20,000 to 34,999 | 33 | (27.0) | 33 | (24.3) | 66 | (25.6) |  |
| 35,000 to 49,999 | 32 | (26.2) | 29 | (21.3) | 61 | (23.6) |  |
| 50,000 to 74,999 | 20 | (16.4) | 31 | (22.8) | 51 | (19.8) |  |
| 75,000 to 99,999 | 7 | (5.7) | 13 | (9.6) | 20 | (7.8) |  |
| 100,000 to 149,999 | 4 | (3.3) | 4 | (2.9) | 8 | (3.1) |  |
| 150,000 or more | 1 | (0.8) | 0 | (0) | 1 | (0.4) |  |
| Has cardiovascular disease? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 113 | (92.6) | 122 | (89.7) | 235 | (91.1) | 0.513 |
| Yes | 9 | (7.4) | 14 | (10.3) | 23 | (8.9) |  |
| At high risk for cardiovascular disease? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 108 | (88.5) | 113 | (83.1) | 221 | (85.7) | 0.286 |
| Yes | 14 | (11.5) | 23 | (16.9) | 37 | (14.3) |  |
| Talked to a doctor about statins? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 107 | (87.7) | 112 | (82.4) | 219 | (84.9) | 0.296 |
| Yes | 15 | (12.3) | 24 | (17.6) | 39 | (15.1) |  |
| Currently or previously prescribed statins? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 113 | (92.6) | 124 | (91.2) | 237 | (91.9) | 0.820 |
| Yes | 9 | (7.4) | 12 | (8.8) | 21 | (8.1) |  |

[^0]Figure 3. Participants ratings of the importance of each of the benefits and harms associated with statins. The differences in ratings across the outcomes indicate that participants have differing preferences for the possible outcomes.


Benefits and Harms of Statins

Table 2. Self-reported decision conflict, decision self-efficacy, and preparation for decision makinga

|  | Intervention <br> $\mathbf{( n = 1 2 2 )}$ | Control <br> $\mathbf{( n = 1 3 6 )}$ | Mean Difference <br> $\mathbf{( 9 5 \% ~ C I )}$ | p value |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Decisional Conflict <br> Scale | 14.59 | $(15.04)$ | 23.13 | $(20.34)$ | $-8.53(-12.96,-4.11)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ |
| Decision Self-Efficacy <br> Scale | 82.86 | $(10.56)$ | 82.4 | $(9.96)$ | $0.46(-2.06,2.98)$ | 0.360 |
| Preparation for <br> Decision Making Scale | 96.11 | $(18.07)$ | 91.91 | $(18.04)$ | $4.19(-0.24,8.63)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 1}$ |

[^1]Figure 4. Comparison of first ranked statins with charts and subsequent ranking with the interactive tool in participants randomized to the intervention group ( $n=122$ ). Ranking with the charts is shown on the left and ranking with the interactive tool on the right (Diagram created using SankeyMATIC).


|  | Total ( $\mathrm{n}=258$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Presentation of information on ... | Very poor |  | Poor |  | Neutral |  | Good |  | Very Good |  |
| How to use the tool | 2 | (0.8) | 15 | (5.8) | 23 | (8.9) | 122 | (47.3) | 96 | (37.2) |
| The possible benefits and harms | 4 | (1.6) | 15 | (5.8) | 47 | (18.2) | 114 | (44.2) | 78 | (30.2) |
| The range of statins available | 3 | (1.2) | 10 | (3.9) | 37 | (14.3) | 114 | (44.2) | 94 | (36.4) |
| The benefits and harms of each statin | 4 | (1.6) | 26 | (10.1) | 35 | (13.6) | 120 | (46.5) | 73 | (28.3) |
| How the statins compare to each other | 0 | (0) | 8 | (3.1) | 23 | (8.9) | 104 | (40.3) | 123 | (47.7) |


| The tool presented ... |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Too much information | 59 | (22.9) |
| The right amount of information | 184 | $(71.3)$ |
| Not enough information | 15 | $(5.8)$ |
| The information is ... |  |  |
| Biased toward the benefits of statins | 19 | $(7.4)$ |
| Balanced | 196 | $(76.0)$ |
| Biased towards the harms of statins | 43 | $(16.7)$ |

How likely would you be to use the tool if it was
freely available?
Very unlikely 5 (1.9)
Unlikely 10 (3.9)
Neither 35 (13.6)
Likely 112 (43.4)
Very Likely 96 (37.2)
a Values are presented as number and proportion of participants


[^0]:    a Values are presented as number and proportions unless stated
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Mean and standard deviation reported

[^1]:    a Values are presented as means and standard deviations

