



Cochrane
Library

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Delivery arrangements for health systems in low-income countries: an overview of systematic reviews (Review)

Ciapponi A, Lewin S, Herrera CA, Opiyo N, Pantoja T, Paulsen E, Rada G, Wiysonge CS, Bastías G, Dudley L, Flottorp S, Gagnon MP, Garcia Marti S, Glenton C, Okwundu CI, Peñaloza B, Suleman F, Oxman AD

Ciapponi A, Lewin S, Herrera CA, Opiyo N, Pantoja T, Paulsen E, Rada G, Wiysonge CS, Bastías G, Dudley L, Flottorp S, Gagnon MP, Garcia Marti S, Glenton C, Okwundu CI, Peñaloza B, Suleman F, Oxman AD.

Delivery arrangements for health systems in low-income countries: an overview of systematic reviews.

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2017, Issue 9. Art. No.: CD011083.

DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD011083.pub2.

www.cochranelibrary.com

Delivery arrangements for health systems in low-income countries: an overview of systematic reviews (Review)

Copyright © 2017 The Authors. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. on behalf of The Cochrane Collaboration.

WILEY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

HEADER	1
ABSTRACT	1
PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY	2
BACKGROUND	4
OBJECTIVES	5
METHODS	5
RESULTS	8
Figure 1.	9
DISCUSSION	23
AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS	26
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	27
REFERENCES	28
ADDITIONAL TABLES	36
APPENDICES	111
CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS	182
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	182
SOURCES OF SUPPORT	182
INDEX TERMS	182

Delivery arrangements for health systems in low-income countries: an overview of systematic reviews

Agustín Ciapponi¹, Simon Lewin^{2,3}, Cristian A Herrera^{4,5}, Newton Opiyo⁶, Tomas Pantoja^{5,7}, Elizabeth Paulsen², Gabriel Rada^{5,8}, Charles S Wiysonge^{9,10}, Gabriel Bastías⁴, Lilian Dudley¹¹, Signe Flottorp¹², Marie-Pierre Gagnon¹³, Sebastian Garcia Marti¹⁴, Claire Glenton¹⁵, Charles I Okwundu¹⁰, Blanca Peñaloza^{5,7}, Fatima Suleman¹⁶, Andrew D Oxman²

¹Argentine Cochrane Centre, Institute for Clinical Effectiveness and Health Policy (IECS-CONICET), Buenos Aires, Argentina. ²Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway. ³Health Systems Research Unit, South African Medical Research Council, Tygerberg, South Africa. ⁴Department of Public Health, School of Medicine, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile. ⁵Evidence Based Health Care Program, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile. ⁶Cochrane Editorial Unit, Cochrane, London, UK. ⁷Department of Family Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile. ⁸Department of Internal Medicine and Evidence-Based Healthcare Program, Faculty of Medicine, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile. ⁹Cochrane South Africa, South African Medical Research Council, Cape Town, South Africa. ¹⁰Centre for Evidence-based Health Care, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa. ¹¹Division of Community Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa. ¹²Department for Evidence Synthesis, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway. ¹³Population Health and Optimal Health Practices Research Unit, CHU de Québec - Université Laval Research Centre, Québec City, Canada. ¹⁴Institute for Clinical Effectiveness and Health Policy, Buenos Aires, Argentina. ¹⁵Global Health Unit, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway. ¹⁶Discipline of Pharmaceutical Sciences, School of Health Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa

Contact address: Agustín Ciapponi, Argentine Cochrane Centre, Institute for Clinical Effectiveness and Health Policy (IECS-CONICET), Dr. Emilio Ravignani 2024, Buenos Aires, Capital Federal, C1414CPV, Argentina. aciapponi@iecs.org.ar, aciapponi@gmail.com.

Editorial group: Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care Group.

Publication status and date: New, published in Issue 9, 2017.

Citation: Ciapponi A, Lewin S, Herrera CA, Opiyo N, Pantoja T, Paulsen E, Rada G, Wiysonge CS, Bastías G, Dudley L, Flottorp S, Gagnon MP, Garcia Marti S, Glenton C, Okwundu CI, Peñaloza B, Suleman F, Oxman AD. Delivery arrangements for health systems in low-income countries: an overview of systematic reviews. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2017, Issue 9. Art. No.: CD011083. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD011083.pub2.

Copyright © 2017 The Authors. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. on behalf of The Cochrane Collaboration. This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial](#) Licence, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

ABSTRACT

Background

Delivery arrangements include changes in who receives care and when, who provides care, the working conditions of those who provide care, coordination of care amongst different providers, where care is provided, the use of information and communication technology to deliver care, and quality and safety systems. How services are delivered can have impacts on the effectiveness, efficiency and equity of health systems. This broad overview of the findings of systematic reviews can help policymakers and other stakeholders identify strategies for addressing problems and improve the delivery of services.

Delivery arrangements for health systems in low-income countries: an overview of systematic reviews (Review)

Copyright © 2017 The Authors. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. on behalf of The Cochrane Collaboration.

Objectives

To provide an overview of the available evidence from up-to-date systematic reviews about the effects of delivery arrangements for health systems in low-income countries. Secondary objectives include identifying needs and priorities for future evaluations and systematic reviews on delivery arrangements and informing refinements of the framework for delivery arrangements outlined in the review.

Methods

We searched Health Systems Evidence in November 2010 and PDQ-Evidence up to 17 December 2016 for systematic reviews. We did not apply any date, language or publication status limitations in the searches. We included well-conducted systematic reviews of studies that assessed the effects of delivery arrangements on patient outcomes (health and health behaviours), the quality or utilisation of healthcare services, resource use, healthcare provider outcomes (such as sick leave), or social outcomes (such as poverty or employment) and that were published after April 2005. We excluded reviews with limitations important enough to compromise the reliability of the findings. Two overview authors independently screened reviews, extracted data, and assessed the certainty of evidence using GRADE. We prepared SUPPORT Summaries for eligible reviews, including key messages, 'Summary of findings' tables (using GRADE to assess the certainty of the evidence), and assessments of the relevance of findings to low-income countries.

Main results

We identified 7272 systematic reviews and included 51 of them in this overview. We judged 6 of the 51 reviews to have important methodological limitations and the other 45 to have only minor limitations. We grouped delivery arrangements into eight categories. Some reviews provided more than one comparison and were in more than one category. Across these categories, the following intervention were effective; that is, they have desirable effects on at least one outcome with moderate- or high-certainty evidence and no moderate- or high-certainty evidence of undesirable effects.

Who receives care and when: queuing strategies and antenatal care to groups of mothers.

Who provides care: lay health workers for caring for people with hypertension, lay health workers to deliver care for mothers and children or infectious diseases, lay health workers to deliver community-based neonatal care packages, midlevel health professionals for abortion care, social support to pregnant women at risk, midwife-led care for childbearing women, non-specialist providers in mental health and neurology, and physician-nurse substitution.

Coordination of care: hospital clinical pathways, case management for people living with HIV and AIDS, interactive communication between primary care doctors and specialists, hospital discharge planning, adding a service to an existing service and integrating delivery models, referral from primary to secondary care, physician-led versus nurse-led triage in emergency departments, and team midwifery.

Where care is provided: high-volume institutions, home-based care (with or without multidisciplinary team) for people living with HIV and AIDS, home-based management of malaria, home care for children with acute physical conditions, community-based interventions for childhood diarrhoea and pneumonia, out-of-facility HIV and reproductive health services for youth, and decentralised HIV care.

Information and communication technology: mobile phone messaging for patients with long-term illnesses, mobile phone messaging reminders for attendance at healthcare appointments, mobile phone messaging to promote adherence to antiretroviral therapy, women carrying their own case notes in pregnancy, interventions to improve childhood vaccination.

Quality and safety systems: decision support with clinical information systems for people living with HIV/AIDS.

Complex interventions (cutting across delivery categories and other health system arrangements): emergency obstetric referral interventions.

Authors' conclusions

A wide range of strategies have been evaluated for improving delivery arrangements in low-income countries, using sound systematic review methods in both Cochrane and non-Cochrane reviews. These reviews have assessed a range of outcomes. Most of the available evidence focuses on who provides care, where care is provided and coordination of care. For all the main categories of delivery arrangements, we identified gaps in primary research related to uncertainty about the applicability of the evidence to low-income countries, low- or very low-certainty evidence or a lack of studies.

PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Effects of delivery arrangements for health systems in low-income countries

What is the aim of this overview?

The aim of this Cochrane Overview is to provide a broad summary of what is known about the effects of delivery arrangements for health systems in low-income countries.

This overview is based on 51 systematic reviews. These systematic reviews searched for studies that evaluated different types of delivery arrangements. The reviews included a total of 850 studies.

This overview is one of a series of four Cochrane Overviews that evaluate health system arrangements.

What was studied in the overview?

Delivery arrangements include changes in who receives care and when, who provides care, the working conditions of those who provide care, coordination of care amongst different health care providers, where care is provided, the use of information and communication technology to deliver care, and quality and safety systems. How services are delivered can have impacts on the effectiveness, efficiency and equity of health systems. This overview can help policymakers and other stakeholders to identify evidence-informed strategies to improve the delivery of services.

What are the main results of the overview?

When focusing only on evidence assessed as high to moderate certainty, the overview points to a number of delivery arrangements that had at least one desirable outcome and no evidence of any undesirable outcomes. These include the following:

Who receives care and when

- Queuing strategies
- Group antenatal care

Who provides care - role expansion or task shifting

- Lay or community health workers supporting the care of people with hypertension
- Community-based neonatal packages that include additional training of outreach workers
- Lay health workers to deliver care for mothers and children or for infectious diseases
- Mid-level, non-physician providers for abortion care
- Health workers providing social support during at-risk pregnancies
- Midwife-led care for childbearing women and their infants
- Non-specialist health workers or other professionals with health roles to help people with mental, neurological and substance-abuse disorders
- Nurses substituting for physicians in providing care

Coordination of care

- Structured multidisciplinary care plans (care pathways) used by health care providers in hospitals to detail essential steps in the care of people with a specific clinical problem
- Interactive communication between collaborating primary care physicians and specialist physicians in outpatient care
- Planning to facilitate patients' discharge from hospital to home
- Adding a new health service to an existing service and integrating services in health care delivery
- Integrating vaccination with other healthcare services
- Using physicians rather than nurses to lead triage in emergency departments
- Groups or teams of midwives providing care for a group of women during pregnancy and childbirth and after childbirth

Where care is provided - site of service delivery

- Clinics or hospitals that manage a high volume of people living with HIV and AIDS rather than smaller volumes
- Intensive home-based care for people living with HIV and AIDS
- Home-based management of malaria in children
- Providing care closer to home for children with long-term health conditions
- Community-based interventions using lay health workers for childhood diarrhoea and pneumonia
- Youth HIV and reproductive health services provided outside of health facilities
- Decentralising care for initiation and maintenance of HIV and AIDS medicine treatment to peripheral health centres or lower levels of healthcare

Information and communication technology

- Mobile phone messaging for people with long-term illnesses
- Mobile phone messaging reminders for attendance at healthcare appointments
- Mobile phone messaging to promote adherence to antiretroviral therapy
- Women carrying their own case notes in pregnancy
- Information and communication interventions to improve childhood vaccination coverage

Quality and safety systems

- Establishing clinical information systems to organize patient data for people living with HIV and AIDS

Packages that include multiple interventions

- Interventions to improve referral for emergency care during pregnancy and childbirth

How up to date is this overview?

The overview authors searched for systematic reviews that had been published up to 17 December 2016.

BACKGROUND

This is one of four overviews of systematic reviews of strategies for improving health systems in low-income countries ([Herrera 2014](#); [Pantoja 2014](#); [Wiysonge 2014](#)). The aim is to provide broad overviews of the evidence about the effects of health system arrangements, including delivery, financial and governance arrangements, and implementation strategies. This overview addresses delivery arrangements.

The scope of each of the four overviews is summarised below.

1. Delivery arrangements include changes in who receives care and when, who provides care, the working conditions of those who provide care, coordination of care amongst different

providers, where care is provided, the use of information and communication technology to deliver care, and quality and safety systems.

2. Financial arrangements include changes in how funds are collected, insurance schemes, how services are purchased, and the use of targeted financial incentives or disincentives ([Wiysonge 2014](#)).

3. Governance arrangements include changes in rules or processes that determine authority and accountability for health policies, organisations, commercial products and health professionals, and the involvement of stakeholders in decision-making ([Herrera 2014](#)).

4. Implementation strategies include interventions designed to bring about changes in healthcare organisations, the behaviour of healthcare professionals or the use of health services by healthcare recipients (Pantoja 2014).

How services are delivered can have impacts on the effectiveness, efficiency and equity of health systems. Outcomes that can potentially be affected by changes in delivery arrangements include patient outcomes (health and health behaviours), the quality or utilisation of healthcare services, resource use, healthcare provider outcomes (e.g. overall well-being, fatigue, drug/alcohol use, stress, physical/mental health complaints, job satisfaction), and social outcomes (such as poverty or employment) (EPOC 2017). Impacts on these outcomes can be intended and desirable or unintended and undesirable. In addition, the effects of delivery arrangements on these outcomes can either reduce or increase inequities.

Health systems in low-income countries differ from those in high-income countries in terms of the availability of resources and access to services. Thus, some problems in high-income countries are not relevant to low-income countries, such as how best to deliver expensive technologies that are not available in low-income countries. Similarly, some problems in low-income countries are not relevant to high-income countries, such as how to deliver services that are already widely available or not needed in high-income countries. Our focus in this overview is specifically on delivery arrangements in low-income countries. By low-income countries, we mean countries that are classified as low- or lower-middle-income by World Bank 2016. Because upper-middle-income countries often have a mixture of health systems with problems similar to both those in low-income countries and high-income countries, our focus is relevant to middle-income countries but excludes consideration of conditions that are not relevant in low-income countries and are relevant in middle-income countries.

Description of the interventions

Health system delivery arrangements include options related to who receives care, who provides care, coordination of care amongst different providers, where care is provided, the use of information and communication (or eHealth) technologies to deliver care, quality and safety systems, and the working conditions of those who provide care.

The types of interventions that we included in this overview are listed in Table 1 using a framework derived from the taxonomy for health system arrangements developed by Lavis 2015.

How the intervention might work

Changes in delivery arrangements can affect health and related goals in multiple ways and can have both desirable and undesirable

effects. Examples of how changes in different types of delivery arrangements might lead to improvements in health systems and thereby better health outcomes are listed in Table 2.

Why it is important to do this overview

Our aim is to provide a broad overview of the evidence from systematic reviews about the effects of alternative delivery arrangements for health systems in low-income countries. Such a broad overview can help policymakers, their support staff and other stakeholders to identify strategies for addressing problems and for improving their health systems. This overview will also help to identify where new primary and secondary research is needed and how this research should be carried out. Furthermore, it will help to refine the framework outlined in Table 1 for considering delivery arrangements.

Additionally, changes in health systems are complex. They may be difficult to evaluate, the applicability of the findings of evaluations from one setting to another may be uncertain, and synthesising the findings of evaluations may be difficult. However, the alternative to well-designed evaluations is poorly designed evaluations, the alternative to systematic reviews is non-systematic reviews, and the alternative to using the findings of systematic reviews to inform decisions is making decisions without the support of this rigorous evidence

Other types of information, including context-specific information and judgments such as those about the applicability of the findings of systematic reviews in a specific context, are still needed. Nevertheless, this overview can help people making decisions about delivery arrangements by summarising the findings of available systematic reviews, including estimates of the effects of changes in delivery arrangements and the certainty of those estimates, by identifying important uncertainties identified by those systematic reviews and by identifying where new or updated systematic reviews are needed. The overview can also help to inform judgments about the relevance of the available evidence in a specific context (Rosenbaum 2011).

OBJECTIVES

To provide an overview of the available evidence from up-to-date systematic reviews about the effects of delivery arrangements for health systems in low-income countries. Secondary objectives include identifying needs and priorities for future evaluations and systematic reviews on delivery arrangements and informing refinements of the framework for delivery arrangements outlined in the review (Table 1).

METHODS

We used the methods described below in all four overviews of health system arrangements and implementation strategies in low-income countries (Herrera 2014; Pantoja 2014; Wiysonge 2014).

Criteria for considering reviews for inclusion

We included systematic reviews that:

- had a Methods section with explicit inclusion criteria;
- assessed the effects of delivery arrangements (as defined in [Background](#));
 - reported at least one of the following types of outcomes: patient outcomes (health and health behaviours), the quality or utilisation of healthcare services, resource use, healthcare provider outcomes (such as sick leave), or social outcomes (such as poverty or employment);
 - were relevant to low-income countries as classified by the World Bank ([World Bank 2016](#));
 - were published after April 2005.

Judging relevance to low-income countries is sometimes difficult, and we are aware that evidence from high-income countries is not directly generalisable to low-income countries. We based our judgments on an assessment of the likelihood that the health systems arrangements considered in a review address a problem that is important in low-income countries, would be feasible, and would be of interest to decision-makers in low-income countries, regardless of where the included studies took place. So, for example, we excluded arrangements requiring technology that is not widely available in low-income countries. At least two of the overview authors made judgments about the relevance to low-income countries and discussed with the other overview authors whenever there was uncertainty. We excluded reviews that only included studies from a single high-income country due to concerns about the wider applicability of the findings of such reviews. However, we included reviews with studies from high-income countries if the interventions were relevant for low-income countries.

We excluded reviews published before April 2005 as these were highly unlikely to be up-to-date. We also excluded reviews with methodological limitations important enough to compromise the reliability of the findings ([Appendix 1](#)).

Search methods for identification of reviews

We searched [Health Systems Evidence](#) in November 2010 using the following filters.

- Health system topics = delivery arrangements.
- Type of synthesis = systematic review or Cochrane Review.
- Type of question = effectiveness.
- Publication date range = 2000 to 2010.

We conducted subsequent searches using PDQ ('pretty darn quick')-Evidence, which was launched in 2012. We searched PDQ

up to 17 December 2016, using the filter 'Systematic reviews' with no other restrictions. We updated that search, excluding records that were entered into PDQ-Evidence prior to the date of the last search.

[PDQ-Evidence](#) is a database of evidence for decisions about health systems, which is derived from the Epistemonikos database of systematic reviews ([Rada 2013](#)). It includes systematic reviews, overviews of reviews (including evidence-based policy briefs) and studies included in systematic reviews. The following databases are included in Epistemonikos and PDQ-Evidence searches, with no language or publication status restrictions.

1. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR).
2. PubMed.
3. Embase.
4. Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness (DARE).
5. Health Technology Assessment Database.
6. CINAHL.
7. LILACS.
8. PsycINFO.
9. Evidence for Policy and Practice Information and Co-ordinating Centre (EPPI-Centre) Evidence Library.
10. 3ie Systematic Reviews and Policy Briefs.
11. World Health Organization (WHO) Database.
12. Campbell Library.
13. Supporting the Use of Research Evidence (SURE) Guides for Preparing and Using Evidence-Based Policy Briefs.
14. European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies.
15. UK Department for International Development (DFID).
16. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) public health guidelines and systematic reviews.
17. Guide to Community Preventive Services.
18. Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) Rx for Change.
19. McMaster Plus KT+.
20. McMaster Health Forum Evidence Briefs.

We describe the detailed search strategies for Pubmed, Embase, LILACS, CINAHL and PsycINFO in [Appendix 2](#). We screened all records in the other databases. PDQ staff and volunteers update these searches weekly for PubMed and monthly for the other databases, screening records continually and adding new reviews to the database daily.

In addition, we screened all of the Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care (EPOC) Group systematic reviews in Archie (i.e. the Cochrane Collaboration's central server for managing documents) and the reference lists of relevant policy briefs and overviews of reviews.

Data collection and analysis

Selection of reviews

Two of the overview authors independently screened the titles and abstracts found in PDQ-Evidence to identify reviews that appeared to meet the inclusion criteria (AC, GB, SF, MPG, SGM, CG, CH, CIO, NO, TP, EP, BP, GR, FS or CW). Two other authors (AO and SL) screened all of the titles and abstracts that could not be confidently included or excluded after the first screening to identify any additional eligible reviews. One of the overview authors screened the reference lists.

One of the overview authors applied the selection criteria to the full text of potentially eligible reviews and assessed the reliability of reviews that met all of the other selection criteria (Appendix 1). Two other authors (AO or SL) independently checked these judgments.

Data extraction and management

We summarised each included review using the approach developed by the SUPPORT Collaboration (Rosenbaum 2011). We used standardised forms to extract data on the background of the review (interventions, participants, settings and outcomes), the key findings; and considerations of applicability, equity, economic considerations, and monitoring and evaluation. We assessed the certainty of the evidence for the main comparisons using the GRADE approach (EPOC 2017; Guyatt 2008; Schünemann 2011a; Schünemann 2011b). Each completed SUPPORT Summary has been peer-reviewed and published on an open access website (www.supportsummaries.org).

Each completed SUPPORT Summary underwent peer review and was published on an [open access website](#), where there are details about [how the summaries were prepared](#), including how we assessed the applicability of the findings, impacts on equity, economic considerations, and the need for monitoring and evaluation. The rationale for the criteria that we used for these assessments is described in the SUPPORT Tools for evidence-informed health policymaking (Fretheim 2009; Lavis 2009; Oxman 2009a; Oxman 2009b). As noted there, “a local applicability assessment must be done by individuals with a very good understanding of on-the-ground realities and constraints, health system arrangements, and the baseline conditions in the specific setting” (Lavis 2009). In this overview we have made broad assessments of the applicability of findings from studies in high-income countries to low-income countries using the criteria described in the SUPPORT Summaries database, with input from people with relevant experience and expertise in low-income countries.

Assessment of methodological quality of included reviews

We assessed the reliability of systematic reviews that met our inclusion criteria using criteria developed by the SUPPORT and SURE collaborations (Appendix 1). Based on these criteria, we categorised each review as having:

- only minor limitations;
- limitations that are important enough that it would be worthwhile to search for another systematic review and to interpret the results of this review cautiously, if no better review is available;
- limitations that are important enough to compromise the reliability of the findings of the review and prompt the exclusion of the review.

Data synthesis

We describe the methods used to prepare a SUPPORT Summary of each review in detail on the [SUPPORT Summaries website](#). Briefly, for each included systematic review we prepared a table summarising what the review authors searched for and what they found, we prepared ‘Summary of findings’ tables for each main comparison, and we assessed the relevance of the findings for low-income countries. The SUPPORT Summaries include key messages, important background information, a summary of the findings of the review, and structured assessments of the relevance of the review for low-income countries. The SUPPORT Summaries were reviewed by the lead author of each review, at least one content area expert, people with practical experience in low-income settings, and a Cochrane EPOC Group editor (AO or SL). The authors of the SUPPORT Summaries responded to each comment and made appropriate revisions, and the summaries were copy edited. The editor determined whether the comments had been adequately addressed and the summary was ready for publication on the [SUPPORT Summary website](#).

We organised the review using a modification of the taxonomy that [Health Systems Evidence](#) uses for health systems arrangements (Lavis 2015). We adjusted this framework iteratively to ensure that we appropriately categorised all of the included reviews and included and logically organised all relevant health system delivery arrangements. We prepared a table listing the included reviews as well as the types of delivery arrangements for which we were not able to identify a reliable, up-to-date review (Table 3). We also prepared a table of excluded reviews (Table 4). This included reviews that addressed a question for which another (more up-to-date or reliable) review was included, reviews that were published before April 2005 (for which a SUPPORT Summary had previously been prepared), reviews with results that were considered not to be transferable to low-income countries, and reviews with limitations that were important enough that the findings of the review were not reliable.

We described the characteristics of the included reviews in a table that included the date of the last search, any important limitations, and what the review authors searched for and what they found (Appendix 3). We summarised our detailed assessments of the reliability of the included reviews in a separate table (Table 5) showing whether each criterion in Appendix 1 was met for each review.

Our structured synthesis of the findings of our overview was based on two tables. We summarised the main findings of each review in a table that included the key messages from each SUPPORT Summary (Table 6). In a second table (Table 7), we reported the direction of the results and the certainty of the evidence for each of the following types of outcomes: health and other patient outcomes; access, coverage or utilisation; quality of care; resource use; social outcomes; impacts on equity; healthcare provider outcomes; adverse effects (not captured by undesirable effects on any of the preceding types of outcomes); and any other important outcomes (that did not fit into any of the preceding types of outcomes) (EPOC 2016). The direction of results were categorised as: a desirable effect, little or no effect, an uncertain effect (very low certainty evidence), no included studies, an undesirable effect, not reported (i.e. not specified as a type of outcome that was considered by the review authors), or not relevant (i.e. no plausible mechanism by which the type of health system arrangement could affect the type of outcomes).


We took into account all other relevant considerations besides the findings of the included reviews when drawing conclusions about implications for practice (EPOC 2016). Our conclusions about implications for systematic reviews were based on types of delivery arrangements for which we were unable to find a reliable, up-to-date review along with limitations identified in the included reviews. These limitations include considerations related to the

applicability of the findings and likely impacts on equity. Our conclusions about implications for future evaluations were based on the findings of the included reviews (EPOC 2016).

RESULTS

We identified 7272 systematic reviews of health system arrangements and implementation strategies and excluded 6848 reviews from this overview following a review of titles and abstracts. We retrieved the full texts of 165 reviews for further detailed assessment (Figure 1). This overview includes a total of 51 primary systematic reviews (Table 3, Appendix 3 and Appendix 4), plus two supplementary reviews (Appendix 5). We excluded 112 systematic reviews of delivery arrangements: 42 focused on an area already covered by one of the included reviews, 30 had major methodological limitations and 17 were of limited relevance to low-income countries. Eleven of the excluded reviews were out-of-date, three were not systematic reviews of interventions, one was outside the scope and one was uninformative (Table 4). Seven reviews were covered in another overview. We focus here on the results of the 51 primary reviews. Following the screening of titles and abstracts of the subsequent searches of PDQ-Evidence, we identified additional systematic reviews of delivery arrangements that are awaiting assessment (Appendix 6).

Figure 1. Flowchart



Description of included reviews

Out of the 51 included systematic reviews, 32 were Cochrane Reviews and 19 were non-Cochrane reviews. Twenty-four reviews were published in the last five years (2013 to 2017) (see [Appendix 4](#)). A structured summary of each included review can be found in the [SUPPORT Summaries](#) database. Each summary includes key messages, background information, including what the review authors searched for and what they found, GRADE 'Summary of findings' tables, and an assessment of the relevance of the findings for low-income countries. The assessments of relevance include what the review authors found and our interpretation of the applicability of the evidence to low-income countries, impacts on equity, economic considerations, and the need for monitoring and evaluation.

The reviews reported results from 850 included studies. The reviews included the following study designs: randomised trials (54%), non-randomised trials (5%), and interrupted time series studies (9%). They also included 65 cross-sectional or non-comparative studies, which we have not included in this overview. The number of studies included in each review ranged from zero in [Van Lonkhuijzen 2012](#) to 89 in [Davey 2013](#). Dates of the most recent searches in the reviews ranged from February 2004 to February 2013.

Out of the 51 primary reviews covered by this overview, 11 included studies took place exclusively or mostly in low-income countries, 7 in exclusively or mostly middle-income countries and 29 in exclusively or mostly high-income countries. Two reviews included all three categories, but studies mostly took place in low- and middle-income countries, and one review included no studies but provided additional information for a review that included mostly studies from low-income countries. Most studies in the reviews were from the USA (257 studies), the UK (68 studies), Australia (37 studies) and Canada (29 studies) ([Appendix 3](#) and [Appendix 4](#)).

Study settings varied and included 13 family, work, home or community settings; 10 primary care settings; 16 hospital or health centre settings, and 11 a mix of settings ([Appendix 3](#) and [Appendix 4](#)). The health professionals who participated in studies included in the reviews were physicians, nurses, pharmacists, psychologists, social workers, lay health workers, midlevel health professionals, non-physician healthcare providers, allied health professionals (paramedics, physiotherapists, occupational therapists, language therapists and radiographers), clinical officers, pharmacists, skilled birth attendants, and dental therapists. The patients who participated in studies included in the reviews were children, adults and pregnant women ([Appendix 3](#)). Outcomes examined included patient outcomes, access to care, coverage, utilisation of health services, quality of care, resource use, social outcomes (social isolation), impacts on equity, healthcare provider performance and ad-

verse effects.

Four reviews included two comparisons each ([Dudley 2011](#); [Hansen 2011](#); [Pasricha 2012](#); [Young 2010](#)), and another three reviews, three comparisons each ([Butler 2011](#); [Handford 2006](#); [Theodoratou 2010](#)), so the total number of comparisons evaluated in the 51 included reviews was 60 ([Appendix 3](#) provides details of interventions and comparisons).

We grouped the delivery arrangements in eight categories, seven pre-specified in the protocol and an additional one for complex interventions that cut across categories of delivery arrangements and included components that were not delivery arrangements (i.e. financial arrangements, governance arrangements and implementation strategies). Three reviews were in more than one category ([Butler 2011](#); [Handford 2006](#); [Young 2010](#)). The number of reviews and comparisons by category were:

- who receives care and when (2 reviews, 2 comparisons);
- who provides care (15 reviews, 16 comparisons);
- coordination of care (14 reviews, 18 comparisons);
- where care is provided (12 reviews, 13 comparisons);
- information and communication technology (6 reviews, 5 comparisons);
- quality and safety systems (3 reviews, 4 comparisons);
- working conditions of health workers (1 review, 1 comparison);
- complex interventions (cutting across delivery categories and across the other overviews) (1 review, 1 comparison).

Methodological quality of included reviews

We report our assessment of the methodological quality (reliability) of the included reviews in [Table 5](#). We judged 6 out of the 51 included reviews to have important methodological limitations (that are important enough that it would be worthwhile to search for another systematic review and to interpret the review results cautiously, if a better review cannot be found). We judged the other 45 reviews to have only minor limitations.

Overall, we found few problems with respect to the identification, selection and critical appraisal of studies in the included reviews. One review had important limitations and 17 reviews only partially met the criterion for comprehensiveness of the search. We also found few problems overall with respect to the analysis of the findings. Three reviews had important limitations in their analysis, 12 reviews had limitations in examining factors that might explain differences in the results of included studies and 10 reviews in reporting characteristics and results of the included studies.

Effect of interventions

We summarise the key messages from the included reviews in [Table 6](#). The key findings are summarised in [Table 7](#), which provides an overview of the reported effects and the certainty of the evidence for each intervention on each of the following categories of outcomes: patient outcomes; access, coverage or utilisation; quality of care; resource use; social outcomes; impacts on equity; healthcare provider outcomes; and adverse effects.

Some systematic reviews included both interventions outside and within the scope of this overview. For example, one review included both implementation strategies and delivery arrangements to improve referrals from primary to secondary care ([Akbari 2008](#)). In this overview, we have only included comparisons of delivery arrangements from those reviews.

We divided the review findings into four categories.

1. **Effective:** interventions found to have desirable effects on at least one outcome with moderate- or high-certainty evidence, and no moderate- or high-certainty evidence of undesirable effects.

2. **Ineffective:** interventions found to have at least one outcome with little or no effect with moderate- or high-certainty evidence, and no moderate- or high-certainty evidence of desirable or undesirable effects.

3. **Undesirable:** interventions found to have at least one outcome with an undesirable effect with moderate- or high-certainty evidence, and no moderate- or high-certainty evidence of desirable effects.

4. **Uncertain:** interventions for which the certainty of the evidence was low or very low (or no studies were found) for all outcomes examined.

Where findings from a review were mixed in terms of whether the interventions were effective, ineffective etc., we listed each finding in the relevant category rather than trying to assign all of the findings to one category.

Effective delivery arrangements

We found moderate- or high-certainty evidence of desirable effects on at least one outcome and no moderate- or high-certainty evidence of undesirable effects for the delivery arrangements described below.

Who receives care and when

Queuing strategies

A review of the effects of interventions to reduce waiting times for elective procedures included eight studies ([Ballini 2015](#)). Direct/open access and direct booking systems probably slightly decrease median waiting times in hospital settings (moderate-certainty evidence). The effects of direct/open access and direct booking systems on mean waiting times in outpatient settings, and on the proportion of patients waiting less than a recommended time, are

uncertain. The effects of other interventions to reduce waiting times, including increasing the supply of services, are uncertain.

Group antenatal care

A review of the effects of providing antenatal care to groups of mothers, compared to providing usual care to individual mothers ([Catling 2015](#)), included four studies. Group antenatal care was provided by midwives or obstetricians to groups of 8 to 12 women. The review found that group antenatal care probably reduces preterm births compared to individual antenatal care (moderate-certainty evidence). Also, group antenatal care probably has little or no effect on the number of newborns with low birthweight and who are small for gestational age, compared to individual antenatal care (moderate-certainty evidence), and it may have little or no effect on perinatal mortality (low-certainty evidence) ([Catling 2015](#)).

Who provides care

Role expansion or task shifting

Lay health workers: hypertension

A review of the effects of community or lay health workers in supporting the care of people with hypertension included 14 studies from high-income settings. In people with hypertension, lay or community health workers probably improve behavioural changes (such as appointment keeping and adherence to medication), blood pressure control, and the 5-year mortality rate (moderate-certainty evidence), and they may slightly improve healthcare utilisation and health systems outcomes, such as the number of hospital admissions (low-certainty evidence) ([Brownstein 2007](#)).

Community-based neonatal packages that include additional training of outreach workers

A review of the effects of community-based neonatal intervention packages, compared to usual maternal and newborn care services, included 26 studies ([Lassi 2015](#)). The packages had a range of components including additional training for lay health workers and other outreach workers, building community support, community mobilisation, antenatal and intrapartum home visits, and home-based care and treatment. The review found that community mobilisation and antenatal and postnatal home visits decrease neonatal mortality (high-certainty evidence) and may reduce maternal mortality (low-certainty evidence). Community mobilisation and home-based neonatal treatment probably reduce neonatal mortality (moderate-certainty evidence) and may reduce maternal

mortality (low-certainty evidence). Community support groups or women's groups probably reduce neonatal mortality (moderate-certainty evidence) and may reduce maternal mortality (low-certainty evidence). Training traditional birth attendants who make antenatal and intrapartum home visits may reduce neonatal mortality and maternal mortality (low-certainty evidence). Other community-based intervention packages that may reduce neonatal mortality include home-based neonatal care and treatment and education of mothers and antenatal and postnatal visits (low-certainty evidence).

Lay health workers: maternal and child health and infectious diseases

A review of the effects of using lay health workers to deliver care for mothers and children or for infectious diseases included 82 studies (Lewin 2010). Lay health workers provided varied services, including visiting parents at home; giving parents information about the importance of routine childhood immunisations and encouraging them to visit clinics for child immunisation; providing counselling to promote exclusive breastfeeding, health education, management of common childhood illness; and supporting adherence in people with tuberculosis. The review found that using lay health workers probably leads to an increase in the number of women who breastfeed and the number of children with up-to-date immunisation schedules (moderate-certainty evidence). The use of lay health workers in tuberculosis programmes probably leads to an increase in the number of people with tuberculosis who are cured (moderate-certainty evidence). The use of lay health workers in maternal and child health programmes may lead to fewer deaths among children under five years and fewer children who suffer from fever, diarrhoea and pneumonia and may increase the number of parents who seek help for their sick child (low-certainty evidence).

Midlevel health professionals for abortion care

A review of the effects of using non-physician providers for abortion care included five studies (Ngo 2013). The review compared the performance of trained midlevel providers (midwives, nurses, and other non-physician providers) with trained physicians (gynaecologists and obstetricians) when conducting surgical aspiration abortions and managing medical abortions. The review found that surgical aspiration procedures administered by midlevel providers rather than doctors probably lead to little or no difference in incomplete and failed abortions (moderate-certainty evidence). Medical abortion procedures administered by midlevel providers probably lead to slightly fewer incomplete and failed abortions compared to doctors (moderate-certainty evidence). However, surgical aspiration abortion procedures administered by midlevel

providers probably lead to slightly more complications compared to doctors (moderate-certainty evidence).

Social support to pregnant women at risk

A review of the effects of health workers providing social support during at-risk pregnancies, compared to usual care, included 17 trials (Hodnett 2010). Additional social support may include advice and counselling (e.g. about nutrition, rest, stress management, or the use of alcohol), tangible assistance (e.g. transportation to clinic appointments or household help) and emotional support (e.g. reassurance, or sympathetic listening). Midwives or nurses, social workers, a multi-disciplinary team of nurses, psychologists, midwives, or trained lay health workers provided the support. Additional social support during at-risk pregnancy probably leads to fewer caesarean sections compared to usual care (moderate-certainty evidence) and may lead to fewer antenatal hospital admissions (low-certainty evidence). Compared to usual care, providing additional social support during an at-risk pregnancy probably has little or no effect on the incidence of low birthweight, preterm births, or perinatal deaths (moderate-certainty evidence) (Hodnett 2010).

Midwife-led care for childbearing women

A review compared midwife-led care with other models of care for childbearing women and their infants, and included 15 studies (Sandall 2013). In midwife-led care, midwives are the lead professionals in the planning, organisation and delivery of care given to women from the initial booking to the postnatal period. Non-midwife models of care include obstetrician-provided; family physician-provided; and shared models of care, in which different health professionals share responsibility for the organisation and delivery of care. The review found that midwife-led care compared to other models of care reduces: preterm births (before 37 weeks) and overall fetal loss and neonatal death before 24 weeks (high-certainty evidence); the use of regional analgesia (epidural/spinal) during labour (high-certainty evidence); and instrumental vaginal births (high-certainty evidence). It also increases spontaneous vaginal births (high-certainty evidence) and probably reduces caesarean births and increases the number of women with an intact perineum (moderate-certainty evidence).

Non-specialist providers versus specialists for mental health

A review of the effects of non-specialist providers (like doctors, nurses or lay health workers) compared with specialist providers in mental health or neurology for caring for adults with depression, anxiety or both included 38 studies (Van Ginneken 2013). It found that using non-specialist health workers in the care of adults

with dementia probably slightly improves behavioural symptoms in people with dementia and probably improves the mental well-being, burden and distress in caregivers of people with dementia (moderate-certainty evidence).

Physician-nurse substitution

A review assessed the impact on clinical outcomes of physician-nurse substitution in primary care, and included 11 randomised trials (Martínez-González 2014). Most studies were conducted in high-income countries. In all studies, nurses provided care for complex conditions including HIV, hypertension, heart failure, cerebrovascular diseases, diabetes, asthma, Parkinson's disease and incontinence. This review found that nurse-led care probably leads to lower systolic blood pressure as well as to lower CD4 cell counts in people with HIV and AIDS compared to physician-led care (moderate-certainty evidence). However, nurse-led care probably leads to little or no difference in other clinical outcomes, such as diastolic blood pressure, total cholesterol level, and glycosylated haemoglobin concentrations (moderate-certainty evidence).

Coordination of care

Care pathways: hospital clinical pathways

A review of the effects of hospital clinical pathways, compared to usual care, included 27 studies (Rotter 2010). Clinical pathways are structured multidisciplinary care plans used by healthcare providers to detail essential steps in the care of patients with a specific clinical problem. The review found that clinical pathways in hospitals probably decrease the length of stay (moderate-certainty evidence).

Interactive communication between primary care doctors and specialists

A review of the effects of interactive communication between collaborating primary care physicians and key specialists for patients receiving ambulatory care included 23 studies (Foy 2010). Interactive communication included face-to-face meetings, letters written on paper, telephone discussions, videoconferencing, electronic records or letters, and combined methods of communication. The review found that interactive communication between primary care doctors and specialists probably leads to substantial improvements in patient outcomes, compared to usual care (moderate-certainty evidence).

Hospital discharge planning

A review of the effects of discharge planning from hospital to home, compared to usual care, included 30 studies (Gonçalves-Bradley 2016). Discharge planning should ensure that patients are discharged from hospital at an appropriate point in their care and that, with adequate notice, the provision of other services is adequately organised. The review found that discharge planning probably reduces unscheduled readmission rates at three months in patients admitted with a medical condition and probably reduces the length of hospital stays (moderate-certainty evidence). All the included studies were conducted in high income countries.

Integration

Adding a service to an existing service and integrating delivery models

A review compared integration to usual care and included nine studies (Dudley 2011). Integration brings together the inputs, delivery, management and organisation of particular service functions in order to improve care at the point of delivery. The review identified two types of interventions: adding a service to an existing vertical programme and fully integrating services in routine healthcare delivery. The review found, firstly, that adding family planning to other services compared to those services alone probably increases the use of family planning services (moderate-certainty evidence) but probably results in little or no difference in the number of new pregnancies (moderate-certainty evidence). Secondly, adding provider-initiated HIV counselling and testing to tuberculosis and sexually transmitted infection services probably increases the number of people receiving HIV testing (moderate-certainty evidence). Thirdly, integrated community and facility provision of HIV prevention and control improves the proportion of STIs treated effectively in men (high-certainty evidence).

Referral systems

Referral from primary to secondary care

A review assessed the effects of interventions to change primary care outpatient referral rates or improve outpatient referral appropriateness, and included 17 studies (Akbari 2008). The review found that professional education that includes guidelines, checklists, video materials and educational outreach by specialists probably improves the quantity and quality of referrals (moderate-certainty evidence), and that joint primary care practitioner and consultant sessions probably result in improved patient outcomes (moderate-certainty evidence).

Physician-led versus nurse-led triage in emergency departments

A review of the effects of physician-led triage in emergency departments, compared to nurse-led triage, included 28 studies (Rowe 2011). Triage systems are used to decide who needs urgent care and who can wait, with the aim of prioritising or assigning patients to treatment categories in order to assist in their management. The review found that physician-led triage compared to nurse-led triage probably reduces emergency department length of stay, physicians' initial assessment time, and the proportion of patients leaving without being seen (moderate-certainty evidence). However, physician-led triage may lead to little or no difference in the proportion of patients leaving the emergency department against medical advice (low-certainty evidence). None of the included studies was conducted in low-income country.

Teams: midwifery

A review of the effect of hospital nurse staffing models included 15 studies (Butler 2011). One comparison examined team midwifery in relation to standard care. A midwifery team includes a group of midwives providing care and taking shared responsibility for antenatal, intrapartum and postnatal care for a group of women. The review found that team midwifery shortens length of stay in special care nurseries for infants and slightly shortens the length of stay in hospital for women giving birth (high-certainty evidence) while probably leading to little or no difference in perinatal deaths (moderate-certainty evidence). None of the included studies was conducted in low-income country.

Where care is provided

Site of service delivery

High-volume institutions

A review of the effects of the setting and organisation of care for people living with HIV and AIDS included 28 studies (Handford 2006). Interventions included dedicated hospital units for the treatment of people living with HIV and AIDS; clinics, hospitals or hospital wards that managed larger numbers of people living with HIV and AIDS; and the incorporation of trainees in care delivery. The review found that units that manage larger numbers of people living with HIV and AIDS probably reduce the number of emergency department visits and the length of hospital stays among people living with this health issue (moderate-certainty evidence).

Home-based care for people living with HIV/AIDS

The effects of home-based care for people living with HIV and AIDS was compared to other delivery options in a review that included 11 studies (Young 2010). Home-based care included medical management; counselling and teaching; and physical, psychosocial, palliative and social support. Intensive home-based care delivered by nurses to people living with HIV/AIDS probably improves their knowledge about HIV and HIV medications (moderate-certainty evidence). It may also improve adherence to medication and physical functioning among people living with HIV and AIDS (low-certainty evidence). However, intensive home-based care probably leads to little or no difference in CD4 counts and viral loads in this group (moderate-certainty evidence). The review also found that home-based safe water systems probably reduce the frequency and severity of diarrhoea among people living with HIV and AIDS (moderate-certainty evidence).

Home-based management of malaria

A review of the effects of home-based management of malaria (presumptive treatment of children with symptoms) compared to usual care included 10 studies (Okwundu 2013). Home- or community-based programmes for treating malaria probably increase the number of children who are treated promptly with an effective antimalaria medicine and probably reduce all-cause mortality (moderate-certainty evidence). However these programmes may have little or no effect on the prevalence of anaemia (low-certainty evidence). The review also examined the use of rapid diagnostic tests in home- or community-based programmes for treating malaria, compared to clinical diagnosis. Such home-based testing probably reduces the number of children treated with antimalarials (moderate-certainty evidence) but may have little or no effect on all-cause mortality and hospitalisations (low-certainty evidence).

Home versus facility care for children with long-term conditions

Evidence on the effectiveness and costs of care closer to home for children with long-term conditions was examined in one review. Home care for children with acute physical conditions probably increases costs for the health system but decreases the costs incurred by families (moderate-certainty evidence) (Parker 2013).

Community-based interventions for childhood diarrhoea and pneumonia

Community-based interventions for childhood diarrhoea and pneumonia, compared to routine care, were examined in one review that included 24 studies (Das 2013). Community-based interventions probably increase care seeking for diarrhoea and pneu-

monia in children, increase use of oral rehydration solution and antibiotics for diarrhoea and pneumonia respectively, and reduce mortality due to diarrhoea and acute pneumonia among children aged up to 4 years (moderate-certainty evidence).

Out-of-facility HIV and reproductive health services for youth

A review of the effects of out-of-facility HIV and reproductive health services for youth, compared to facility-based services, included 20 studies (Denno 2012). Out-of-facility interventions include promoting HIV or reproductive health services (including for sexually transmitted infections (STIs), HIV, or pregnancy testing) and making commodities available (including condoms, contraceptives or emergency contraception; clean needles and syringes or exchanges). The review found that improved access to self-test kits probably leads to more youth being screened for chlamydia, compared to clinic-based testing (moderate-certainty evidence).

Decentralised HIV care

Decentralised HIV care for initiation and maintenance of antiretroviral therapy, compared to centralised care, was assessed in a review that included 16 studies (Kredo 2013). Decentralisation of care broadly means relocating services from centralised sites (i.e. hospitals) to peripheral health centres or lower levels of health-care, generally geographically closer to patients' homes. The review found that partial decentralisation of HIV treatment (starting care at hospital and then moving to health centre care) probably reduces the combined number of people who die or are lost to care at one year (moderate-certainty evidence) and may reduce the costs of travel for patients (low-certainty evidence). Full decentralisation of HIV treatment (starting and continuing care at a health centre) probably reduces the number of people lost to care (moderate-certainty evidence), but it is uncertain if it reduces deaths at one year (very low-certainty evidence). The review also found that decentralisation of HIV treatment from facility to community probably leads to little or no difference in the number of people who die or are lost to care at one year (moderate-certainty evidence) and may reduce total costs to people living with HIV and AIDS and to the health services (low-certainty evidence).

Information and communication technology

E-health

Mobile phone messaging for patients with long-term illnesses

Mobile phone messaging for patients with long-term illnesses, such as diabetes, hypertension and asthma, was compared to usual care in a review that included four studies (De Jongh 2012). Mobile phone messaging tools include medication reminders, supportive care messages, or communicating information with healthcare providers and receiving feedback from them. The review found that mobile phone messaging support probably improves medication adherence in people with hypertension (moderate-certainty evidence).

Mobile phone messaging reminders for attendance at healthcare appointments

A review compared the effects of mobile phone messaging for attendance at healthcare appointments to various other interventions, and included four studies (Gurol-Urganci 2013). It found that mobile phone text message reminders probably increase attendance at healthcare appointments compared to no reminders (moderate-certainty evidence).

Mobile phone messaging to promote adherence to antiretroviral therapy

A review of the effects of mobile phone messaging to promote adherence to antiretroviral therapy (ART) compared these interventions to usual care and included three trials (Mbuagbaw 2013). The review found, firstly, that mobile phone text messages compared to standard care improves adherence to ART for up to 12 months (high-certainty evidence) and may lead to little or no difference in mortality or loss to follow-up to 12 months (low-certainty evidence). Secondly, weekly text messages probably improve adherence compared to daily text messages; and interactive text messages probably improve adherence compared to non-interactive text messages (moderate-certainty evidence). All of the studies were conducted in low-income countries in Africa.

Health information systems for managing the care of people living with HIV/AIDS

A review examined the effects of the setting of care and the organisation of care on medical, immunological/virological, psychosocial and economic outcomes for people living with HIV/AIDS (Handford 2006). The review included twenty-eight studies, all conducted in high-income countries. In relation to organisation of care, the review found that computer prompts for primary care providers probably hasten initiation of recommended treatments for patients with HIV/AIDS (moderate-certainty evidence). Other effects of computer prompts and information systems are uncertain.

Women carrying their own case notes in pregnancy

A review evaluated the effects of women carrying their own case notes during pregnancy, and included three trials conducted in high-income countries (Brown 2011). The findings suggest that women carrying their own case notes probably feel more in control and involved in decision making about their care, and that they want to carry their notes again in subsequent pregnancies (moderate-certainty evidence). The evidence for all other outcomes was uncertain (see below).

Interventions to improve childhood vaccination

A review of the effects of interventions to improve childhood vaccination coverage included 14 studies (Oyo-Ita 2016). Interventions included health education, monetary incentives, parent reminders, provider-oriented interventions, home visits, integration of immunisation services with intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in infants, regular immunisation outreach sessions and a combination of provider training and quality assurance. The review found that community-based health education probably improves DTP3 coverage (moderate-certainty evidence). Another review of the effects of reminders for routine childhood vaccination compared to usual care included 43 studies (Jacobson Vann 2005). The studies used a variety of methods to remind parents about their child's routine vaccinations including a letter alone or in combination with other interventions such as postcards, telephone calls and home visits. The review found that reminders and recall strategies probably increase routine childhood vaccinations (moderate-certainty evidence).

Quality and safety systems

Decision support and clinical information system for people living with HIV/AIDS

A review of the effects of decision support and clinical information systems on healthcare processes and health outcomes for people with HIV included a total of 16 trials (Pasricha 2012). Clinical information-system interventions were defined as information systems to organise patient data in order to improve the delivery of care, for example by developing schedules for patients with certain conditions, audit and feedback, change in medical records systems or reminders. The review found that clinical information systems probably improve the proportion of patients with a suppressed HIV load (moderate-certainty evidence) and may increase adherence to recommended practice by health professionals and adherence to treatment by patients (low-certainty evidence). It is uncertain whether they improve healthcare utilisation (very low-certainty evidence). For all other interventions, the outcomes were uncertain (see below).

Package of multiple interventions

Emergency obstetric referral interventions

A review of the effects of emergency obstetric referral interventions, compared to no intervention, included 19 studies from low- and middle-income countries (Hussein 2012). The emergency obstetric referral interventions examined included financial arrangements, implementation strategies and delivery arrangements such as information and communication technologies, changes in where care is provided, integration of services, and the use of ambulances. The review found that emergency obstetric referral interventions probably lead to a reduction in neonatal mortality (moderate-certainty evidence).

Ineffective delivery arrangements

We found moderate- or high-certainty evidence of little or no effect and no moderate- or high-certainty evidence of desirable or undesirable effects for the following delivery arrangements.

Who receives care and when

Role expansion or task shifting

Lay health workers: maternal and child health and infectious diseases

Using lay health workers in tuberculosis programmes probably makes little or no difference to the number of people who complete preventive treatment for tuberculosis (moderate-certainty evidence) (Lewin 2010).

Care pathways: hospital clinical pathways

Multifaceted interventions that include clinical pathways probably lead to little or no difference in hospital mortality (moderate-certainty evidence) and may lead to little or no difference in length of stay or hospital costs (low-certainty evidence) (Rotter 2010).

Integration: adding a service to an existing service and integrated delivery models

A review of the effects of integration compared to usual care found that integrated community and facility provision of HIV prevention and control leads to little or no difference in the proportion of STIs treated effectively in women (high-certainty evidence) and results in little or no difference in STI or HIV incidence in the population (high-certainty evidence) (Dudley 2011).

Referral systems: from primary to secondary care

Interventions to change primary care outpatient referrals were examined in one review which found that professional education that only includes the passive dissemination of referral guidelines probably leads to little or no difference in both the quantity and quality of referrals (moderate-certainty evidence) (Akbari 2008).

Site of service delivery

Strategies for increasing ownership and use of insecticide-treated bednets

A review examined the effects of distributing insecticide-treated bednets for free compared to making them available for purchase and included 10 studies (Augustincic 2015). Providing free insecticide-treated bednets, compared to providing subsidised or full market price bednets, probably increases the number of pregnant women, adults and children who possess insecticide-treated bednets (moderate-certainty evidence) but probably leads to little or no difference in their appropriate use (moderate-certainty evidence).

Information and communication technology

E-health

Mobile phone messaging for long-term illnesses

A review that compared mobile phone messaging for patients with long-term illnesses with usual care found that such messaging probably leads to little or no difference in people's knowledge about their diabetes, in adherence to diabetes medication in young people with diabetes, or in care plan adherence in people with asthma (moderate-certainty evidence). Mobile phone messaging support for people living with diabetes probably leads to little or no difference in glycaemic control (moderate-certainty evidence) and may lead to little or no difference in diabetes complications (low-certainty evidence) (De Jongh 2012).

Mobile phone messaging reminders for attendance at healthcare appointments

The effects of mobile phone messaging for attendance at healthcare appointments, compared to various other interventions, was assessed in one review (Guroi-Urganci 2013). This found that mobile phone text message reminders probably lead to little or no difference in attendance at healthcare appointments compared to

phone call reminders. However, the cost per text message and per attendance may be lower compared to the cost of mobile phone call reminders.

Delivery arrangements with undesirable effects

We did not find any delivery arrangements for which there was moderate- or high-certainty evidence of at least one outcome with an **undesirable effect** and no moderate- or high-certainty evidence of desirable effects. However, only five reviews reported adverse effects (Dudley 2011; Martínez-González 2014; Ngo 2013; Parker 2013; Wilson 2011), and one review did not find any studies reporting adverse effects (Van Lonkhuijzen 2012). Wilson 2011 reported undesirable effects on patient outcomes, and Dudley 2011 on access, coverage or utilisation, both with low- or very low-certainty evidence. Dudley 2011 also found that integrating STI services into routine primary healthcare may decrease women's utilisation of these services and their attendance following referral (low certainty of the evidence). Ngo 2013 reported that using midlevel health professionals rather than doctors for abortion care with surgical aspiration probably leads to slightly more complications when compared to doctors (moderate-certainty evidence).

Delivery arrangements with uncertain effects

For the following delivery arrangements, the certainty of the evidence was low or very low (or no studies were found) for all outcomes examined.

Who provides care

Pre-licensure education to increase health worker supply

A review assessed the effect of changes in the pre-licensure education of health professionals on health-worker supply, and included two studies focusing on academic advising programmes for minority groups (Pariyo 2009). The review found that minority academic advising programmes may increase the number of minority students enrolled in health sciences, may slightly increase retention through to graduation, and may decrease differences between minority and non-minority students in retention levels through to graduation (low-certainty evidence). More broadly, there is little evidence of the effects of interventions to increase the capacity of health professional training institutions, reduce student dropout rates or increase the number of students recruited from other countries. Furthermore, no studies were found on other pre-licensure measures to increase health worker supply.

Recruitment and retention strategies

The effectiveness of interventions to increase the proportion of healthcare professionals working in rural and other underserved areas was examined in one review (Grobler 2015). The review identified one study conducted in Taiwan, a high-income country. This study assessed the impacts of introducing a mandatory national health insurance scheme and found that it is uncertain

whether such schemes, including a single-payer system and comprehensive benefits for different types of care, improves the equality of geographic distribution of physicians, doctors of Chinese medicine and dentists (very low-certainty evidence). No evidence was found on other types of interventions to increase the proportion of health professionals practising in underserved communities.

A supplementary review found low to very low-certainty evidence that the following delivery arrangements may lead to retention of health workers in rural areas in low-income countries (WHO 2010).

- Educational interventions, including: admission of students with rural backgrounds, locating training institutions in rural areas, provision of rotations in rural areas during pre-service education, and revising curricula to include rural health topics.
- Regulatory interventions, including: introducing different types of health workers with appropriate training and regulation for rural practice and imposing compulsory service in exchange for licensing.
- Professional and personal support, including: improving living conditions, provision of good and safe working environments, specialist outreach, caregiver development programmes, professional support networks, and public recognition measures for health workers in rural areas.

Role expansion or task shifting

Clinical officers versus physician for caesarean section

Whether the key outcomes of caesarean section differ between non-physician clinicians and medical doctors was explored in one review that included six studies conducted in low-income countries. The review found that non-physician clinicians performing caesarean sections may lead to slightly more wound infections and occurrences of wound dehiscence than doctors (low-certainty evidence). It is uncertain whether there are any differences in maternal or perinatal mortality between caesarean sections performed by non-physician clinicians and by doctors (very low-certainty evidence) (Wilson 2011).

Non-specialist providers versus specialists for mental health

A review compared the effects of non-specialist providers (such as doctors, nurses or lay health workers), compared with specialist providers in mental health or neurology, in caring for adults with depression, anxiety or both (Van Ginneken 2013). The review found, firstly, that using non-specialist health workers in caring for adults with depression, anxiety or both may increase the number of adults who recover two to six months after treatment and may reduce symptoms for mothers with depression (low-certainty evidence). Secondly, the use of non-specialist health workers may also

decrease the quantity of alcohol consumed in problem drinkers and may reduce symptoms in adults with post-traumatic stress disorder (low-certainty evidence). Thirdly, it is uncertain whether lay health workers or teachers reduce post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms among children (very low-certainty evidence) (Van Ginneken 2013).

Specialist nursing post or dietary assistants added to hospital nurse staffing

A review of the effect of hospital nurse staffing models found that the addition of a specialist nursing post to staffing may decrease patients' length of stay (low-certainty evidence). However, it may lead to little or no difference in in-hospital mortality, readmissions, emergency department attendance within 30 days, and postdischarge adverse events (low-certainty evidence). Adding support staff (dietary assistants) to nurse staffing may decrease mortality in trauma units, in hospital, and at four months after discharge (low-certainty evidence) (Butler 2011).

Pharmacists delivering non-dispensing services to patients

Whether additional services provided by pharmacists reduce healthcare costs or the demand for healthcare in low- and middle-income countries was examined in one review that included twelve randomised trials (Pande 2013). The evidence indicates that the provision of additional services by pharmacists targeted at patients, such as patient health education and follow-up, may lead to: a decrease in the rate of hospitalisation, general practice visits and emergency room visits; a reduction in patients' medication costs; and improvements in some clinical outcomes (low-certainty evidence). The provision of additional services by pharmacists targeted at healthcare professionals, such as educational outreach visits, may improve patient outcomes (low-certainty evidence).

Skilled birth attendants

A review explored the effect of provision of skilled birth attendance, as well as basic and emergency obstetric care, on stillbirths and included 21 studies. The review found that skilled birth attendance may reduce stillbirths and perinatal mortality (low-certainty evidence). However, it is uncertain what the effects of alternative ways of providing emergency obstetric care are on stillbirths or perinatal mortality (very low-certainty evidence) (Yakoob 2011).

Dental care by dental therapists

A review examined whether midlevel dental providers improve oral health, compared to no care or care by dentists. It is uncertain

whether midlevel providers decrease the incidence, prevalence, or severity of dental caries or increase treatment of caries (very low-certainty evidence) (Wright 2013).

Coordination of care

Care pathways

Improved pre-hospital trauma systems

The effectiveness of pre-hospital trauma systems in low- and middle-income countries was examined in one review which found that these systems may reduce mortality and the response time from injury to first medical contact in the field (low-certainty evidence) (Henry 2012).

Rapid response systems in hospitals

A review assessed the effects of rapid response systems on hospital mortality and cardiopulmonary arrests outside the intensive care unit (Maharaj 2015). The evidence indicates that, firstly, rapid-response systems for hospitalised adults may slightly reduce hospital mortality and cardiopulmonary arrests outside of intensive care units, but may lead to little or no difference in admissions to intensive care units (low-certainty evidence). Secondly, rapid-response systems for hospitalised children may slightly reduce cardiopulmonary arrests outside of intensive care units (low-certainty evidence); the effects on hospital mortality and admissions to intensive care units are uncertain (very low-certainty evidence).

Hospital clinical pathways

Clinical pathways compared to usual care in hospitals may decrease complications and hospital admissions (low-certainty evidence). However, it is uncertain whether clinical pathways reduce in-hospital mortality or hospital costs (very low-certainty evidence). It is also uncertain whether multifaceted interventions that include a clinical pathway decrease hospital complication or readmissions (very low-certainty evidence) (Rotter 2010).

Case management

Community-based case management with antibiotics

The effectiveness of community case management of pneumonia in children was examined in one review, which identified fourteen

studies of this intervention. The review found that community case management with antibiotics for children with pneumonia may reduce all-cause mortality as well as mortality due to acute lower respiratory infection (low-certainty evidence) (Theodoratou 2010).

Case management for people living with HIV/AIDS

A review of the effects of the setting and organisation of care for people living with HIV and AIDS examined a range of interventions and included 28 studies (Handford 2006). The review found that case management may reduce mortality and the number of emergency department visits among people living with HIV/AIDS (low-certainty evidence). Other effects of case management are uncertain. The review also reported that the effects of multidisciplinary or multifaceted interventions are uncertain (very low-certainty evidence).

Pre-/postdischarge interventions and transition interventions to reduce rehospitalisation

The impacts of interventions to reduce rehospitalisation within thirty days of discharge were examined in one review that included 43 studies examining a range of strategies (Hansen 2011). The review found, firstly, that it is uncertain whether interactions between patients and nurses before and after discharge to support patient self-care reduce rehospitalisation (very low-certainty evidence). Secondly, inpatient-outpatient provider continuity may slightly reduce rehospitalisation (low-certainty evidence). Thirdly, postdischarge interventions may lead to little or no difference in rehospitalisation. Finally, it is uncertain whether pre-discharge interventions or patient-centred discharge instructions reduce rehospitalisation (very low-certainty evidence).

Hospital discharge planning

Discharge planning may lead to increased satisfaction for patients and healthcare professionals (low-certainty evidence). However, the effect of discharge planning on unscheduled readmissions for patients admitted to hospital following a fall, and the costs or savings of discharge planning, are uncertain (very low-certainty evidence). All of the included studies were conducted in high income countries and the effects of discharge planning in low-income countries are therefore uncertain (Gonçalves-Bradley 2016).

Integration

Adding a service to an existing service and integrating delivery models

A review of the effects of integration compared to usual care found that integrating STI services for female sexual partners of truck drivers into routine primary care may reduce women's utilisation of these services and their attendance following referral (low-certainty evidence) (Dudley 2011).

Integrating vaccination with other healthcare services

The effects of interventions to improve childhood vaccination coverage were considered in one review which found that integrating vaccination with other healthcare services may increase diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis (DTP3) and measles vaccine coverage but may have little or no effect on Bacillus Calmette Guérin (BCG) coverage (low-certainty evidence) (Oyo-Ita 2016).

Referral systems: from primary to secondary care

Interventions to change primary care outpatient referral rates or improve outpatient referral appropriateness were studied in one review. The review found that organisational interventions that may improve referral rates and referral appropriateness include: the provision of physiotherapy services in primary care; obtaining a second, in-house assessment of referrals; and dedicated appointment slots at secondary levels for each primary care practice (low-certainty evidence). The review also found that the effects of financial incentives on referral rates are uncertain (very low-certainty evidence) (Akbari 2008).

Site of service delivery

HIV voluntary counselling and testing at optional locations instead of at clinics

Offering people a choice of settings in which to receive voluntary counselling and testing, including at home, may increase acceptance of HIV pre-test counselling and HIV testing, acceptance of HIV post-test counselling, and receipt of HIV test results (low-certainty evidence) (Bateganya 2010).

Units dedicated to care for people living with HIV/AIDS and institutions managing a high volume of people living with HIV/AIDS

The impacts of the setting and organisation of care for people living with HIV and AIDS was examined in one review (Handford 2006). This found that units dedicated to AIDS care and institutions that managed high numbers of people living with HIV and AIDS may reduce mortality within this group (low-certainty evidence). The effects of other interventions related to the setting of care, such as outreach or interventions to reduce travel time to providers, are uncertain (very low-certainty evidence).

Teams

Practice-based interventions to promote collaboration

A review assessed the impact of practice-based interventions to improve collaboration between professionals, and included nine randomised trials (Reeves 2017). The review found, firstly, that the use of externally facilitated interprofessional activities or interprofessional meetings may slightly improve adherence to recommended practices and prescription of medicines (low-certainty evidence). However, it is uncertain if externally facilitated interprofessional activities improve collaborative working, team communication, co-ordination, patient-assessed quality of care or continuity of care (very low-certainty evidence). Secondly, interprofessional checklists, interprofessional rounds and externally facilitated interprofessional activities may slightly improve overall use of resources and slightly decrease length of hospital stay and costs (low-certainty evidence).

Where care is provided

Site of service delivery

Early discharge from hospital for mothers and infants born at term

The safety, impact and effectiveness of a policy of early discharge for healthy mothers and term infants was assessed in one review that included ten randomised trials (Brown 2007). Compared to standard discharge, early discharge may lead to little or no difference in the number of infant or maternal readmissions or breastfeeding rates at two months (low-certainty evidence). In addition, the effect of early discharge on the cost of care is uncertain (very low-certainty evidence). The review found that although the costs of hospitalisation are probably lower in the early discharge group, the postnatal costs associated with early postnatal discharge from hospital and total costs are uncertain.

Out-of-facility HIV and reproductive health services for youth

The effects of out-of-facility HIV and reproductive health services for youth, compared to facility-based services, was explored in one review (Denno 2012). The review found, firstly, that access to emergency contraception through pharmacies without a doctor's prescription (over-the-counter access) may increase non-prescription emergency contraception use but may have mixed effects on overall use of emergency contraception, with increases in some settings but not others (low-certainty evidence). Secondly,

the distribution of condoms and health education messages by street outreach workers may increase condom use (low-certainty evidence). Finally, it is uncertain whether street and youth centre-based outreach improves follow-through on HIV referral for homeless or street-based youth; whether the use of community youth programme promoters and integrated youth centres increase the use of contraceptives; and whether members of the poorest households are more likely to use home-based counselling and testing for HIV, compared to those living in wealthier households (very low-certainty evidence).

Home-based care with multidisciplinary teams for people living with HIV/AIDS

The effects of home-based care for people living with HIV and AIDS was compared to other delivery options in one review (Young 2010). The review found that multiprofessional team care in the home, including medical management, counselling and teaching, and physical, psychosocial, palliative and social support, may lead to little or no difference in quality of life, time in care or survival of people living with HIV/AIDS, compared with usual care by primary care nurses (low-certainty evidence). It was also found that the provision of information, communication and decision support via a computer in the homes of people living with AIDS may lead to little or no difference in the health status, decision-making skills or confidence of patients, but may slightly reduce social isolation and improve their quality of life (low-certainty evidence). Evidence from this review indicates that it is uncertain whether exercise at home improves the physical functioning, well-being, body composition measures or biochemical measures of people living with HIV/AIDS (very low-certainty evidence).

Home-based management of malaria

Home-based management of malaria (presumptive treatment of children with symptoms) was compared to usual care in one review (Okwundu 2013). This found that the effects of home- or community-based programmes for treating malaria on hospitalisations, severe malaria, the prevalence of parasitaemia, and adverse effects are uncertain (very low-certainty evidence). In addition, the effects of using rapid diagnostic tests in home- or community-based programmes for treating malaria on treatment failures, severe malaria, the prevalence of parasitaemia, anaemia, and adverse effects are uncertain (very low-certainty evidence).

Strategies for increasing ownership and use of insecticide treated bednets

A review compared the effects of distributing insecticide-treated bednets for free compared to making them available for purchase,

and included 10 studies (Augustincic 2015). Education about appropriate use of insecticide-treated bednets may increase the number of adults and children under five sleeping under bednets. However, providing incentives to encourage the use of insecticide-treated bednets may lead to little or no difference in use (low-certainty evidence).

Home versus facility care for children with long-term conditions

Evidence on the effectiveness and costs of care closer to home for children with long-term conditions was examined in one review (Parker 2013). Compared with hospital care, home care may lead to little or no difference in re-admissions or the time spent by families caring for children with acute physical conditions (low-certainty evidence). The review also found that for children with traumatic brain injury, home rehabilitation compared with clinic-based rehabilitation may slightly improve mental functioning (low-certainty evidence). However, the effects on adverse events, family and carers, and costs were not reported. In addition, for children with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia, home chemotherapy compared with hospital chemotherapy may slightly improve their quality of life and may lead to little or no difference in adverse events or family costs (low-certainty evidence). The impact on family and carers is uncertain.

Workplace programmes for HIV

Workplace programmes for the diagnosis or treatment of HIV or tuberculosis (TB) were examined in one review (Yassi 2013). The evidence indicates that, firstly, workplace programmes for health workers may increase the uptake of HIV testing and awareness of postexposure prophylaxis to prevent HIV infection (low-certainty evidence). Secondly, onsite compared with offsite rapid HIV testing may increase the uptake of voluntary counselling and testing among workers in sectors other than health (low-certainty evidence). Finally, workplace programmes offering free antiretroviral therapy may improve markers of effective antiretroviral therapy among workers living with HIV and AIDS in sectors other than health (low-certainty evidence).

Maternity waiting homes

The effects of maternity waiting homes on perinatal and maternal mortality and morbidity in low-resource settings are uncertain, since the review found no studies that met the inclusion criteria (Van Lonkhuijzen 2012).

Information and communication technology

E-health

Mobile phone messaging for long-term illnesses

One review compared mobile phone messaging for patients with long-term illnesses to usual care (De Jongh 2012). The review found, firstly, that mobile phone messaging support may improve people's self-efficacy in relation to their diabetes (low-certainty evidence). Secondly, mobile phone messaging support for people living with asthma or hypertension may lead to little or no difference in control of these conditions. Finally, it is uncertain whether mobile phone messaging support changes health service utilisation by people living with diabetes and asthma.

Mobile phone messaging reminders for attendance at healthcare appointments

A review compared the effects of mobile phone messaging for attendance at healthcare appointments to various other interventions (Gurol-Urganci 2013). Mobile phone text message reminders plus postal reminders may lead to improved attendance at healthcare appointments, compared to postal reminders alone (low-certainty evidence).

Health information systems

Women carrying their own case notes in pregnancy

The effects of women carrying their own case notes during pregnancy was examined in one review (Brown 2011). This showed that women carrying their own case notes may lead to an increase in assisted deliveries and a slight increase in epidural analgesia (low-certainty evidence). Carrying case notes may also lead to little or no difference in miscarriages, stillbirths or neonatal deaths, breastfeeding initiation, smoking cessation, or in availability of complete antenatal records at the time of delivery or the loss of case notes (low-certainty evidence). In addition, women carrying their own case notes may be slightly more satisfied with antenatal care (low-certainty evidence).

Interventions to improve childhood vaccination

A review of interventions to improve childhood vaccination found that the effects of facility-based health education on coverage of DTP3 may vary from little or no impact to potentially important benefits (low-certainty evidence). The review also found that

health education combined with reminders may increase DTP3 coverage (low-certainty evidence) and home visits may improve OPV3 and measles coverage (low-certainty evidence). In contrast, household monetary incentives may have little or no effect on achieving full vaccination coverage (Oyo-Ita 2016).

Quality and safety systems

Medication review for hospitalised adult patients

Whether medication review improves the health outcomes of hospitalised adult patients was examined in one review. The evidence indicates that medication review may reduce hospital emergency department contacts and may lead to little or no difference in mortality or hospital readmissions (low-certainty evidence) (Christensen 2016).

Interventions to improve antibiotic prescribing to hospital inpatients

One review assessed whether professional interventions are effective in antibiotic stewardship for hospital inpatients (Davey 2013). The review found, firstly, that restrictive interventions (for example, compulsory order form and expert approval) may improve antibiotic prescribing at one month but may lead to little or no difference in antibiotic prescribing at longer follow-up, compared with persuasive interventions, such as the dissemination of educational materials or audit and feedback (low-certainty evidence). Secondly, interventions intended to decrease unnecessary antibiotic prescribing probably lead to little or no difference in all-cause mortality (moderate-certainty evidence), and it is uncertain whether they affect the length of stay or readmissions (very low-certainty evidence). Thirdly, interventions intended to increase effective antibiotic prescribing for pneumonia may decrease mortality from this condition (low-certainty evidence). Finally, interventions intended to decrease unnecessary antibiotic prescribing probably lead to little or no difference in all-cause mortality (moderate-certainty evidence).

Decision support with or without clinical information systems for people living with HIV/AIDS

A review examined the effects of decision support systems, with or without clinical information systems, on healthcare processes and health outcomes, compared to usual care or non-chronic models of care (Pasricha 2012). Decision support (with or without clinical information systems) may improve health professionals' adherence to recommended practice and patients' adherence to treatment (low-certainty evidence). It is uncertain whether decision support

systems (with or without clinical information systems) improve health outcomes, healthcare utilisation or at-risk behaviours (very low-certainty evidence).

Working conditions of health workers

Staff support

Managerial supervision to improve quality of primary health care

A review assessed the effects of supervision on health sector performance, and included nine studies from low- and middle-income countries (Bosch-Capblanch 2011). The findings were as follows: managerial supervision may improve provider practices and knowledge compared with no supervision. However, low-intensity managerial supervision (e.g. fewer visits) may lead to little or no difference in indicators such as the number of new family planning client visits or the number of clients that re-visit (low-certainty evidence). It is uncertain whether managerial supervision improves medicine stock management and whether enhanced managerial supervision (e.g. increased supervision or the use of tools such as checklists) improves the performance of lay or community health workers or midwives, or improves patient and health worker satisfaction (very low-certainty evidence).

Training vaccination managers to provide supportive supervision for healthcare providers

A review of the effects of interventions to improve childhood vaccination coverage found that training vaccination managers to provide supportive supervision for healthcare providers may have little or no effect on coverage of DTP3 vaccine, oral polio vaccine (OPV) and hepatitis B virus (HBV) vaccine (low-certainty evidence) (Oyo-Ita 2016).

Complex interventions cutting across delivery categories and across other reviews

Package of multiple interventions

Emergency obstetric referral interventions

The effects of emergency obstetric referral interventions, compared to no intervention, were explored in one review. This found that emergency referral interventions may lead to a reduction in

maternal mortality (low-certainty evidence) but that the effect of these interventions on stillbirths is uncertain (very low-certainty evidence). None of the included studies reported cost outcomes, and the cost implications of emergency referral interventions are therefore uncertain (Hussein 2012).

DISCUSSION

Summary of main results

This overview included 51 systematic reviews that evaluated different types of delivery arrangements. In these reviews, only two categories of outcomes were reported in more than half of the included reviews: firstly, patient outcomes and, secondly, outcomes related to access to care, coverage or utilisation of health services. Quality of care was the next most commonly reported outcome. The included reviews generally did not consider other types of outcomes, such as resource use, social outcomes, equity and adverse effects (Table 7). The overview shows wide variability in the magnitude of effect sizes and the certainty of the estimates for the different delivery arrangements. However, when focusing only on evidence assessed as high to moderate certainty, the overview points to a substantial number of delivery arrangements that were found to have desirable effects on at least one outcome with moderate- or high-certainty evidence, and no moderate- or high-certainty evidence of undesirable effects.

Overall completeness and applicability of evidence

The overview identified extensive evidence on the effects of delivery arrangements, including on who provides care (14 reviews, 16 comparisons), coordination of care (11 reviews, 18 comparisons), where care is provided (10 reviews, 123 comparisons) and information and communication technology (5 reviews, 5 comparisons). However, there is uncertainty about the applicability of some of this evidence to low-income countries (Table 8).

Twenty-three (47%) of the reviews focused on out-of-hospital settings, including primary and community care, the workplace, families and homes. Fifteen reviews (31%) focused on hospitals or health centres, while 11 (22%) included a mix of settings.

Table 7 summarises the outcomes examined in the individual reviews and comparisons. Thirteen reviews found at least one study reporting costs and cost-effectiveness of interventions (Akbari 2008; Bosch-Capblanch 2011; Brown 2007; Butler 2011; Gonçalves-Bradley 2016; Gurol-Urganci 2013; Kredo 2013; Oyo-Ita 2016; Pande 2013; Parker 2013; Reeves 2017; Rotter 2010; Young 2010), and an additional six reviews searched for but did not find such outcomes (Catling 2015; De Jongh 2012; Hussein

2012; Lewin 2010; Pasricha 2012; Wright 2013). Only one review explicitly examined effects of the intervention/s on equity (differential effects of interventions for disadvantaged populations, such as pregnant women, under-five children, rural poor) (Pariyo 2009).

We incorporated our judgments about the applicability of summarised evidence (particularly, indirectness in relation to settings, populations and outcomes) into the GRADE assessments of its certainty, and we reported these applicability judgments in each of the SUPPORT Summaries. Most of the studies included in the reviews were undertaken in high-income countries. In general, it is difficult to make generalisable conclusions regarding the applicability of the findings to low-income countries, given marked differences in the settings (including local health systems arrangements), interventions, target behaviours and populations studied. Whether the effects of these interventions are likely to be similar in low-income countries is therefore often uncertain. This is particularly true for interventions that require substantial resources or advanced technology for their delivery, such as information and communication technology, communication between providers, or quality and safety systems. There were some exceptions to this: for example, mobile phone messaging was mostly evaluated in low- and middle-income countries (Gurol-Urganci 2013; Mbuagbaw 2013).

Certainty of the evidence

Although some of the included reviews had methodological limitations, they were, for the most part, relatively well conducted (Table 5). The certainty of the evidence for the effect estimates for the different interventions was highly variable, ranging from very low to high (Table 7).

Potential biases in the overview process

We could have missed a number of relevant reviews since our searches were restricted to reviews included in PDQ-Evidence and published within the past 10 years. We are unaware of any evidence of biased publication of systematic reviews, but the overview may be incomplete.

There was some overlap in the primary studies included in the contributing reviews (32 studies were included in at least two reviews). However, we excluded overlapping reviews from the synthesis of results or included them as supplementary reviews (Table 1), which provided additional information used in the interpretation of the findings of the included reviews. Therefore, it is unlikely that this biased the effect estimates that we reported in the SUPPORT Summaries (www.supportsummaries.org).

It is possible that we misclassified reviews, given the lack of standardised terminology or a standard classification scheme for health system arrangements. In particular, the distinction between de-

livery arrangements and implementation strategies, which are addressed in a related overview (Pantoja 2014), was not always clear. While this might generate some confusion, it is unlikely to have biased the overviews.

Agreements and disagreements with other studies or reviews

We identified 10 related overviews of reviews published in the last 10 years (Althabe 2008; Black 2011; Chopra 2008; Davoli 2006; Franx 2008; Lewin 2008; Momsen 2012; Oxman 2008; Wensing 2006; Wilson 2013). These overviews addressed a range of delivery arrangements, barriers and facilitators of change, disease conditions, and behaviours in diverse settings and populations.

Althabe 2008 included reviews of strategies for improving the quality of health care in maternal and child health in low- and middle-income countries. It found inconsistent effects for formal integration of services, while improving office systems appeared to increase use of health services, and the results for changes in medical record systems were inconclusive.

Black 2011 included systematic reviews assessing the effectiveness and consequences of various e-health technologies on the quality and safety of care. The authors found that despite support from policymakers, there was relatively little empirical evidence to substantiate many of the claims made in relation to technologies about storing, managing and transmitting data; supporting clinical decisions; and facilitating care from a distance. It was unclear whether the success of those relatively few solutions identified to improve quality and safety could be replicated beyond the contexts in which they were originally developed. Overview authors also found a lack of robust research on the risks of implementing these technologies and their cost-effectiveness.

Chopra 2008 aimed to identify all available policy options to address human resources for health in low- and middle-income countries and to assess the effectiveness of these policy options. It identified a need for more systematic reviews of the effects of policy options to improve human resources for health and for assessments of any interventions that policymakers introduce to manage human resources for health.

Damery 2016 summarised the evidence of 50 reviews regarding the effectiveness of integrated care interventions in reducing hospital activity. Interventions must have delivered care crossing the boundary between at least two health and/or social care settings. The authors found that 11/21 reviews reported significantly reduced emergency admissions (15% to 50%); 11/24 showed significant reductions in all-cause (10% to 30%) or condition-specific (15% to 50%) readmissions; 9/16 reported length of stay reductions, and 4/9 showed significantly lower accident and emergency use (30% to 40%). Ten out of 25 reviews reported significant cost reductions but provided little robust evidence. Effective interventions included discharge management with postdischarge support, multidisciplinary team care with teams that include condition-

specific expertise, specialist nurses and/or pharmacists and self-management as an adjunct to broader interventions. Interventions were most effective when targeting single conditions such as heart failure and when patients received care in their homes.

[Davoli 2006](#) aimed to identify areas, clinical conditions or interventions for which an association between volume and outcome has been investigated. They found that in some areas the evidence seems strong enough to guide healthcare organisational choices, although it is not possible to identify well-defined volume thresholds. In other areas, particularly for non-surgical conditions, there was insufficient evidence.

[Franx 2008](#) included reviews of organisational change to transfer knowledge and improve quality and outcomes of care for people with severe mental illness. It found that multidisciplinary teams and integrated care services can improve the quality of care and should be promoted in severe mental healthcare settings. On the other hand, systematic reviews had not studied popular and costly organisational changes used in daily practice, such as quality management or routine outcome measurement and the introduction of computer systems.

[Hisashige 2012](#) evaluated the evidence on effectiveness and efficiency of disease management approaches. The authors included 28 meta-analyses and systematic reviews and found that the improvement with a reasonable amount of evidence was the highest for process indicators (69%), followed by outcomes in health services (63%), quality of life (57%), health (51%), satisfaction (50%) and costs (38%). The review found statistically significant mortality reductions only for coronary heart disease.

[Lewin 2008](#) reviewed the effects of health system arrangements for primary health care in low- and middle-income countries. It found that although evidence was scant, there were several promising health systems arrangements that could strengthen primary health care. These included distribution of health workers, specialist outreach clinics, lay health workers, and training of traditional birth attendants to reduce inequalities; lay health workers and training of traditional birth attendants to increase participation in health by consumers; contracting out of health services, integrating primary healthcare services, reminders and recall for immunisation; working with for-profit providers to increase the effectiveness of care; subcontracting the delivery of health services, integrating primary healthcare services, addressing the distribution of health workers, specialist outreach clinics, substitution of doctors by nurses, lay health workers, and training of traditional birth attendants to increase coverage or access; and outpatient referrals to improve the coordination of care. The overview concluded that the evidence base needs to be strengthened.

[Luna 2013](#) aimed to identify systematic reviews on the domain of health informatics in developing countries and included 11 systematic reviews based mainly on poor quality primary research. In spite of challenges facing the developing world such as lack of human expertise and financial resources, unreliable electric power and erratic Internet connectivity, most studies have shown some

positive effects and reported on the feasibility of designing and implementing health information systems into this environment. [Martinez-Gonzalez 2014](#) reviewed the effects of integrated care programmes in chronically ill patients. Most included systematic reviews covered comprehensive services across the care continuum or standardisation of care through interprofessional teams, but they rarely assessed organisational culture, governance structure or financial management. Most reviews found beneficial effects of integration, including reduced hospital admissions and re-admissions, improved adherence to treatment guidelines or quality of life. Few reviews showed reductions in costs.

[Momsen 2012](#) investigated the current scientific evidence about the effectiveness of multidisciplinary team rehabilitation for different health problems. They found that multidisciplinary team care effectively improves rehabilitation interventions but concluded that further research in this area was needed.

[Oxman 2008](#) included a broad range of reviews to inform deliberations among policymakers and stakeholders regarding how best to reform the Norwegian healthcare system to improve the coordination or integration of health care for people with chronic conditions. It found that components of broad frameworks or service delivery models that have been shown to be effective generally have modest effects, including patient education and motivational counselling, provider education, feedback, reminders, multidisciplinary team work, some interventions targeted at patients discharged from hospital or the emergency department to home, complex interventions to improve physical function and maintain independent living in elderly people, rehabilitation services targeted towards stroke patients living at home, computerised central recall, with prompting for patients and their family doctors, community mental health teams, collaborative care for depressed patients in primary care, and intensive case management for patients with severe mental illness. The effectiveness of many other components was very uncertain, including evidence-based care pathways, case management, shared care, home visiting programmes for older people with poor health, and most information and communication technologies.

[Wensing 2006](#) included reviews of organisational interventions to implement improvements in patient care. It found that none of the strategies produced consistent effects. Professional performance generally improved with revision of professional roles and computer systems for knowledge management. Patient outcomes generally improved with multidisciplinary teams, integrated care services, and computer systems, and the benefits of quality management remained uncertain.

[Wilson 2013](#) investigated counselling, case management and health promotion for people living with HIV/AIDS. Key findings from high-quality systematic reviews supported centralising care in high-concentration or high-volume settings, in addition to using cognitive behavioural interventions for reducing symptoms of depression, stress and anxiety; interventions to promote adherence; and the use of aerobic and progressive resistance exercise.

AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS

Implications for practice

The following delivery arrangements were found to be **effective** (moderate- or high-certainty evidence of desirable effects on at least one outcome and no moderate- or high-certainty evidence of undesirable effects).

Who receives care and when

- Queuing strategies (Ballini 2015)
- Group versus individual antenatal care (Catling 2015)

Who provides care

- Lay or community health workers supporting the care of people with hypertension (Brownstein 2007)
- Community-based neonatal packages that include additional training of outreach workers (Lassi 2015)
- Lay health workers to deliver care for mothers and children or for infectious diseases (Lewin 2010)
- Mid-level, non-physician providers for abortion care (Ngo 2013)
- Health workers providing social support during at-risk pregnancies (Hodnett 2010)
- Midwife-led care for childbearing women and their infants (Sandall 2013)
- Non-specialist health workers or other professionals with health roles to help people with mental, neurological and substance-abuse disorders (Van Ginneken 2013)
- Nurses substituting for physicians in providing care (Martínez-González 2014)

Coordination of care

- Structured multidisciplinary care plans (care pathways) used by health care providers in hospitals to detail essential steps in the care of people with a specific clinical problem (Rotter 2010)
- Interactive communication between collaborating primary care physicians and specialist physicians in outpatient care (Foy 2010)
- Planning to facilitate patients' discharge from hospital to home (Gonçalves-Bradley 2016)
- Adding a new health service to an existing service and integrating services in health care delivery (Dudley 2011)

- Integrating vaccination with other healthcare services (Oyo-Ita 2016)
- Referral from primary to secondary care (Akbari 2008)
- Using physicians rather than nurses to lead triage in emergency departments (Rowe 2011)
- Groups or teams of midwives providing care for a group of women during pregnancy and childbirth and after childbirth (Butler 2011)

Where care is provided

- Clinics or hospitals that manage larger numbers of people living with HIV and AIDS (Handford 2006)
- Intensive home-based care for people living with HIV and AIDS (Young 2010)
- Home-based management of malaria in children (Okwundu 2013)
- Providing care closer to home for children with long-term health conditions (Parker 2013)
- Community-based interventions using lay health workers for childhood diarrhoea and pneumonia (Das 2013)
- Youth HIV and reproductive health services provided outside of health facilities (Denno 2012)
- Decentralising care for initiation and maintenance of HIV and AIDS medicine treatment to peripheral health centres or lower levels of healthcare (Kredo 2013)

Information and communication technology

- Mobile phone messaging for people with long-term illnesses (De Jongh 2012)
- Mobile phone messaging reminders for attendance at healthcare appointments (Guroi-Urganci 2013)
- Mobile phone messaging to promote adherence to antiretroviral therapy (Mbuagbaw 2013)
- Women carrying their own case notes in pregnancy (Brown 2011)
- Information and communication interventions to improve childhood vaccination coverage (Jacobson Vann 2005; Oyo-Ita 2016)

Quality and safety systems

- Decision support systems with clinical information systems to improve the healthcare process and health outcomes for people living with HIV/AIDS (Pasricha 2012)

Package of multiple interventions

- Interventions to improve referral for emergency care during pregnancy and childbirth (Hussein 2012)

The following delivery arrangements were found to be **ineffective** (moderate- or high-certainty evidence of little or no effect and no moderate- or high-certainty evidence of desirable or undesirable effects).

Role expansion or task shifting

- Lay health workers to support preventive treatment for tuberculosis (Lewin 2010)

Care pathways: hospital clinical pathways

- Multifaceted interventions that include clinical pathways (Rotter 2010).

Integration: integrated delivery models

- Integrated community and facility provision of HIV prevention and control - STI impacts (Dudley 2011).

Referral systems: from primary to secondary care

- Professional education that only includes the passive dissemination of referral guidelines (Akbari 2008).

Site of service delivery

- Providing free insecticide-treated bednets, compared to providing subsidised or full market price bednets - impacts on appropriate use (Augstincic 2015)

Information and communication technology; E-health

- Mobile phone messaging support for people living with diabetes (De Jongh 2012)
- Mobile phone message reminders for attendance at healthcare appointments, compared to phone call reminders (Gurol-Urganci 2013)

We did not find evidence that any interventions were **harmful** (moderate- or high-certainty evidence of at least one outcome with an undesirable effect and no moderate- or high-certainty evidence of desirable effects).

There is low- or very low-certainty evidence of the effects of other delivery arrangements.

Implications for research

Based on the included reviews, we identified gaps in primary research due to uncertainty about the applicability of the evidence

to low-income countries, mainly due to the lack of evidence from low-income countries (Table 8) and due to low-certainty evidence or a lack of studies (Table 9). The included reviews rarely evaluated social outcomes, impacts on equity, healthcare provider outcomes and adverse effects for delivery arrangements in almost any category (Table 1, Table 2 and Table 7). All of the included reviews found that primary research is needed for at least one of these categories of outcomes: patient outcomes; access, coverage or utilisation; quality of care; or resource use (Table 9).

We also have identified gaps in the availability of reliable up-to-date systematic reviews in a number of categories of delivery arrangements in low-income countries (Table 10).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the following editors and peer referees who provided comments to improve the overview: Sasha Shepperd (editor), Jane Goudge, George Pariyo and to Meggan Harris for copy-editing the overview.

We would also like to acknowledge the following colleagues who helped to produce the SUPPORT Summaries upon which this overview is based: Cristián Mansilla, Peter Steinmann, Atif Riaz, Hossein Joudaki, Taryn Young, Karumbi Jamlick, Natalia Zamorano, Celeste Naude, Andrea Basagoitia and Nadja van Ginneken.

We would also like to thank Susan Munabi-Babigumira, Atle Fretheim, Simon Goudie and Hanna Bergman for editing some of the SUPPORT Summaries, as well as the review authors and others who provided feedback on them.

Charles S Wiysonge's work is supported by the South African Medical Research Council and the National Research Foundation of South Africa (Grant Numbers: 106035 and 108571).

The Norwegian Satellite of the Effective Practice and Organisation of Care (EPOC) Group receives funding from the Norwegian Agency for Development Co-operation (Norad), via the Norwegian Institute of Public Health to support review authors in the production of their reviews.

This overview is a product of the Effective Health Care Research Consortium, which provided funding to make this overview open access. The Consortium is funded by UK aid from the UK Government for the benefit of developing countries (Grant: 5242). The views expressed in this overview do not necessarily reflect UK government policy.

REFERENCES

References to included reviews

- Akbari A, Mayhew A, Al-Alawi M A, Grimshaw J, Winkens R, Glidewell E, et al. Interventions to improve outpatient referrals from primary care to secondary care. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2008, Issue 4. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005471.pub2
- Augustincic PL, Petkovic J, Welch V, Ueffing E, Tanjong GE, Pardo PJ, et al. Strategies to increase the ownership and use of insecticide-treated bednets to prevent malaria. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2015, Issue 3. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD009186.pub2
- Ballini L, Negro A, Maltoni S, Vignatelli L, Flodgren G, Simeri I, Holmes J, Grilli R. Interventions to reduce waiting times for elective procedures. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2015, Issue 2. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005610.pub2
- Bateganya M, Abdulwadud OA, Kiene S M. Home-based HIV voluntary counselling and testing (VCT) for improving uptake of HIV testing. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2010, Issue 7. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005471.pub2
- Bosch-Capblanch X, Liaqat S, Garner P. Managerial supervision to improve primary health care in low- and middle-income countries. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2011, Issue 9. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006413.pub2
- Brown S, Small R, Faber B, Krastev A, Davis P. Early postnatal discharge from hospital for healthy mothers and term infants. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2002, Issue 3. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002958
- Brown HC, Smith HJ. Giving women their own case notes to carry during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2004, Issue 2. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002856.pub2
- Brownstein JN, Chowdhury FM, Norris SL, Horsley T, Jack L Jr, Zhang X, et al. Effectiveness of community health workers in the care of people with hypertension. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 2007;**32**:435–47.
- Butler M, Collins R, Drennan J, Halligan P, O'Mathuna DP, Schultz TJ, et al. Hospital nurse staffing models and patient and staff-related outcomes. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2011, Issue 7. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD007019.pub2
- Catling CJ, Medley N, Foureur M, Ryan C, Leap N, Teate A, Homer CSE. Group versus conventional antenatal care for women. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2015, Issue 2. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD007622.pub3
- Christensen M, Lundh A. Medication review in hospitalised patients to reduce morbidity and mortality. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2016, Issue 2. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008986.pub3
- Das JK, Lassi ZS, Salam RA, Bhutta ZA. Effect of community based interventions on childhood diarrhea and pneumonia: uptake of treatment modalities and impact on mortality. *BMC Public Health* 2013;**13**(Suppl 3):S29.
- Davey P, Brown E, Charani E, Fenelon L, Gould IM, Holmes A, et al. Interventions to improve antibiotic prescribing practices for hospital inpatients. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2013, Issue 4. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003543.pub4
- De Jongh T, Gurol-Urganci I, Vodopivec-Jamsek V, Car J, Atun R. Mobile phone messaging for facilitating self-management of long-term illnesses. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2012, Issue 12. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD007459.pub2
- Denno DM, Chandra-Mouli V, Osman M. Reaching youth with out-of-facility HIV and reproductive health services: a systematic review. *Journal of Adolescent Health* 2012;**51**(2): 106–21.
- Dudley L, Garner P. Strategies for integrating primary health services in low- and middle-income countries at the point of delivery. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2011, Issue 7. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003318.pub3
- Foy R, Hempel S, Rubenstein L, Suttrop M, Seelig M, Shanman R, et al. Meta-analysis: effect of interactive communication between collaborating primary care physicians and specialists. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 2010;**152**(4):247–58.
- Gonçalves-Bradley DC, Lannin NA, Clemson LM, et al. Discharge planning from hospital. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2016, Issue 1. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000313.pub5
- Grobler L, Marais B J, Mabunda S. Interventions for increasing the proportion of health professionals practising in rural and other underserved areas. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2015, Issue 6. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005314.pub3
- Gurol-Urganci I, de Jongh T, Vodopivec-Jamsek V, Atun R. Mobile phone messaging reminders for attendance at healthcare appointments. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2013, Issue 12. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD007458.pub2
- Handford C D, Tynan A M, Rackal J M, Glazier R H. Setting and organization of care for persons living with HIV/AIDS. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2006, Issue 3. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004348.pub2
- Hansen LO, Young RS, Hinami K, Leung A, Williams MV. Interventions to reduce 30-day rehospitalization: a systematic review. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 2011;**155**(8): 520–8.
- Henry JA, Reingold A L. Prehospital trauma systems reduce mortality in developing countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery* 2012;**73**(1):261–8.
- Hodnett E D, Fredericks S, Weston J. Support during pregnancy for women at increased risk of low birthweight babies. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2010, Issue 6. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000198.pub2
- Hussein J, Kanguru L, Astin M, Munjanja S. The

- effectiveness of emergency obstetric referral interventions in developing country settings: a systematic review. *PLOS Medicine* 2012;**9**:e1001264.
- Jacobson Vann JC, Szilagyi P. Patient reminder and recall systems to improve immunization rates. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2005, Issue 3. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003941.pub2
- Kredo T, Ford N, Adeniyi FB, Garner P. Decentralising HIV treatment in lower- and middle-income countries. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2013, Issue 6. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD009987.pub2
- Lassi Z S, Bhutta Z A. Community-based intervention packages for reducing maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality and improving neonatal outcomes. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2015, Issue 3. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD007754.pub2
- Lewin S, Munabi-Babigumira S, Glenton C, Daniels K, Bosch-Capblanch X, van Wyk B E, et al. Lay health workers in primary and community health care for maternal and child health and the management of infectious diseases. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2010, Issue 3. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004015.pub3
- Maharaj R, Raffaele I, Wendon J. Rapid response systems: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Critical Care* 2015;**19**:254.
- Martínez-González NA, Tandjung R, Djalali S, Huber-Geismann F, Markun S, Rosemann T. Effects of physician-nurse substitution on clinical parameters: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLOS ONE* 2014;**9**(2):e89181.
- Mbuagbaw L, van der Kop ML, Lester RT, Thirumurthy H, Pop-Eleches C, Ye C, et al. Mobile phone text messages for improving adherence to antiretroviral therapy (ART): an individual patient data meta-analysis of randomised trials. *BMJ Open* 2013;**3**:e003950.
- Ngo TD, Park MH, Free C. Safety and effectiveness of termination services performed by doctors versus midlevel providers: a systematic review and analysis. *International Journal of Women's Health* 2013;**5**:9–17.
- Okwundu C I, Nagpal S, Musekiwa A, Sinclair D. Home- or community-based programmes for treating malaria. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2013;**5**:CD009527. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD009527.pub2
- Oyo-Ita A, Wiysonge C, Oranganje C, Nwachukwu CE, Oduwole O, Meremikwu MM. Interventions for improving coverage of child immunization in low and middle-income countries. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2016, Issue 7. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008145.pub2
- Pande S, Hiller J E, Nkansah N, Bero L. The effect of pharmacist-provided non-dispensing services on patient outcomes, health service utilisation and costs in low- and middle-income countries. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2013, Issue 2. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD010398
- Pariyo G W, Kiwanuka S N, Rutebemberwa E, Okui O, Ssenooba F. Effects of changes in the pre-licensure education of health workers on health-worker supply. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2009, Issue 2. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD007018.pub2
- Parker G, Spiers G, Gridley K, Atkin K, Birks Y, Lowson K, et al. Systematic review of international evidence on the effectiveness and costs of paediatric home care for children and young people who are ill. *Child Care Health and Development* 2013;**39**(1):1–19.
- Pasricha A, Deinstadt RT, Moher D, Killoran A, Rourke SB, Kendall CE. Chronic care model decision support and clinical information systems interventions for people living with HIV: a systematic review. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 2012;**28**(1):127–35.
- Reeves S, Pelone F, Harrison R, Goldman J, Zwarenstein M. Interprofessional collaboration to improve professional practice and healthcare outcomes. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2017, Issue 6. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000072.pub2
- Rotter T, Kinsman L, James E, Machotta A, Gothe H, Willis J, et al. Clinical pathways: effects on professional practice, patient outcomes, length of stay and hospital costs. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2010, Issue 3. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006632.pub2
- Rowe B H, Guo X, Villa-Roel C, Schull M, Holroyd B, Bullard M, et al. The role of triage liaison physicians on mitigating overcrowding in emergency departments: a systematic review. *Academic Emergency Medicine* 2011;**18**(2):111–20.
- Sandall J, Soltani H, Gates S, Shennan A, Devane D. Midwife-led continuity models versus other models of care for childbearing women. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2013, Issue 8. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004667.pub5
- Theodoratou E, Al-Jilaihawi S, Woodward F, Ferguson J, Jhass A, Balliet M, et al. The effect of case management on childhood pneumonia mortality in developing countries. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2010;**39**(Suppl 1):i155–71.
- van Ginneken N, Tharyan P, Lewin S, Rao G N, Meera S, Pian J, et al. Non-specialist health worker interventions for the care of mental, neurological and substance-abuse disorders in low- and middle-income countries. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2013, Issue 11. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD009149.pub2
- Van Lonkhuijzen L, Stekelenburg J, van Roosmalen J. Maternity waiting facilities for improving maternal and neonatal outcome in low-resource countries. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2012, Issue 10. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006759.pub3
- Wilson A, Lissauer D, Thangaratinam S, Khan KS, MacArthur C, Coomarasamy A. A comparison of clinical officers with medical doctors on outcomes of caesarean section in the developing world: meta-analysis of controlled studies. *BMJ* 2011;**342**:d2600.
- Wright JT, Graham F, Hayes C, Ismail AI, Noraian KW, Weyant RJ, et al. A systematic review of oral health outcomes produced by dental teams incorporating midlevel providers. *Journal of the American Dental Association* 2013;

144(1):75–91.

Yakoob MY, Ali MA, Ali MU, Imdad A, Lawn JE, Van Den Broek N, et al. The effect of providing skilled birth attendance and emergency obstetric care in preventing stillbirths. *BMC Public Health* 2011;**11**(Suppl 3):S7.

Yassi A, O'Hara LM, Lockhart K, Spiegel JM. Workplace programmes for HIV and tuberculosis: a systematic review to support development of international guidelines for the health workforce. *AIDS Care* 2013;**25**(5):525–43.

Young T, Busgeeth K. Home-based care for reducing morbidity and mortality in people infected with HIV/AIDS. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2010, Issue 1. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005417.pub2

References to excluded reviews

Arnold SR, Straus SE. Interventions to improve antibiotic prescribing practices in ambulatory care. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2005, Issue 4. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003539.pub2

Black AD, Car J, Pagliari C, Anandan C, Cresswell K, Bokun T, et al. The impact of eHealth on the quality and safety of health care: a systematic overview. *PLOS Medicine* 2011;**8**(1):e1000387.

Blalock SJ, Roberts AW, Lauffenburger JC, Thompson T, O'Connor SK. The effect of community pharmacy-based interventions on patient health outcomes: a systematic review. *Medical Care Research and Review: MCRR* 2013;**70**(3):235–66.

Cabana MD, Jee SH. Does continuity of care improve patient outcomes?. *Journal of Family Practice* 2004;**53**(12):974–80.

Callaghan M, Ford N, Schneider H. A systematic review of task-shifting for HIV treatment and care in Africa. *Human Resources for Health* 2010;**8**:8.

Carroli G, Villar J, Piaggio G, Khan-Neelofur D, Gulmezoglu M, Mugford M, et al. WHO systematic review of randomised controlled trials of routine antenatal care. *Lancet* 2001;**357**(9268):1565–70.

Darmstadt GL, Lee AC, Cousens S, Sibley L, Bhutta ZA, Donnay F, et al. 60 Million non-facility births: who can deliver in community settings to reduce intrapartum-related deaths?. *International Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics* 2009;**107**(Suppl 1):S89–112.

Deglise C, Suggs LS, Odermatt P. SMS for disease control in developing countries: a systematic review of mobile health applications. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare* 2012;**18**(5):273–81.

Dolea C, Stormont L, Braichet JM. Evaluated strategies to increase attraction and retention of health workers in remote and rural areas. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 2010;**88**:379–85.

Dowswell T, Middleton P, Weeks A. Antenatal day care units versus hospital admission for women with complicated pregnancy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2009, Issue 4. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001803.pub2

Dowswell T, Carroli G, Duley L, Gates S, Gulmezoglu A M, Khan-Neelofur D, et al. Alternative versus standard

packages of antenatal care for low-risk pregnancy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2010, Issue 10. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000934.pub2

Engstrom S, Foldevi M, Borgquist L. Is general practice effective? A systematic literature review. *Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care* 2001;**19**(2):131–44.

Faulkner A, Mills N, Bainton D, Baxter K, Kinnersley P, Peters TJ, et al. A systematic review of the effect of primary care-based service innovations on quality and patterns of referral to specialist secondary care. *British Journal of General Practice* 2003;**53**(496):878–84.

Fearon P, Langhorne P. Early Supported Discharge Trialists. Services for reducing duration of hospital care for acute stroke patients. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2012, Issue 9. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000443.pub3

Fernandez R, Johnson M, Tran DT, Miranda C. Models of care in nursing: a systematic review. *International Journal of Evidence-based Healthcare* 2012;**10**(4):324–37.

Ford N, Chu K, Mills EJ. Safety of task-shifting for male medical circumcision: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS* 2012;**26**(5):559–66.

Fraser H S, Biondich P, Moodley D, Choi S, Mamlin BW, Szolovits P. Implementing electronic medical record systems in developing countries. *Informatics in Primary Care* 2005;**13**(2):83–95.

Fraser HS, Allen C, Bailey C, Douglas G, Shin S, Blaya J. Information systems for patient follow-up and chronic management of HIV and tuberculosis: a life-saving technology in resource-poor areas. *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 2007;**9**(4):e29.

Garg AX, Adhikari NK, McDonald H, Rosas-Arellano MP, Devereaux PJ, Beyene J, et al. Effects of computerized clinical decision support systems on practitioner performance and patient outcomes: a systematic review. *JAMA* 2005;**293**(10):1223–38.

Griffiths PD, Edwards MH, Forbes A, Harris RL, Ritchie G. Effectiveness of intermediate care in nursing-led inpatient units. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2007, Issue 2. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002214.pub3

Gruen RL, Weeramanthri TS, Knight SE, Bailie RS. Specialist outreach clinics in primary care and rural hospital settings. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2004, Issue 1. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003798.pub2

Gurol-Urganci I, de Jongh T, Vodopivec-Jamsek V, Car J, Atun R. Mobile phone messaging for communicating results of medical investigations. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2012, Issue 6. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD007456.pub2

Harding KE, Taylor NF, Leggat SG. Do triage systems in healthcare improve patient flow? A systematic review of the literature. *Australian Health Review* 2011;**35**(3):371–83.

Hatem M, Sandall J, Devane D, Soltani H, Gates S. Midwife-led versus other models of care for childbearing women. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2008, Issue 4. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004667.pub2

Haws RA, Thomas AL, Bhutta ZA, Darmstadt GL. Impact of packaged interventions on neonatal health: a review of

- the evidence. *Health Policy Plan* 2007;**22**(4):193–215.
- Heintze C, Velasco Garrido M, Kroeger A. What do community-based dengue control programmes achieve? A systematic review of published evaluations. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 2007;**101**(4):317–25.
- Hesselink G, Schoonhoven L, Barach P, Spijker A, Gademan P, Kalkman C, et al. Improving patient handovers from hospital to primary care: a systematic review. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 2012;**157**(6):417–28.
- Hickam DH, Weiss JW, Guise J-M, Buckley D, Motu'apuaka M, Graham E, Wasson N, Saha S. Outpatient Case Management for Adults With Medical Illness and Complex Care Needs. *Comparative Effectiveness Review No. 99*. Rockville (MD): AHRQ Publication No.13- EHC031-EF, 2013.
- Hopkins H, Talisuna A, Whitty CJ, Staedke SG. Impact of home-based management of malaria on health outcomes in Africa: a systematic review of the evidence. *Malaria Journal* 2007;**6**:134.
- Horrocks S, Anderson E, Salisbury C. Systematic review of whether nurse practitioners working in primary care can provide equivalent care to doctors. *BMJ* 2002;**324**(7341): 819–23.
- Horvath T, Azman H, Kennedy GE, Rutherford GW. Mobile phone text messaging for promoting adherence to antiretroviral therapy in patients with HIV infection. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2012, Issue 3. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD009756
- Hundley VA, Avan BI, Brauholtz D, Graham WJ. Are birth kits a good idea? A systematic review of the evidence. *Midwifery* 2012;**28**(2):204–15.
- Hussein J, Kanguru L, Astin M, Munjanja S. What kinds of policy and programme interventions contribute to reductions in maternal mortality? The effectiveness of primary level referral systems for emergency maternity care in developing countries. Technical report. 2011. r4d.dfid.gov.uk/PDF/Outputs/SystematicReviews/Maternal-mortality-2011Hussein.pdf. London.: EPPi-Centre, Social Science Research Unit, Institute of Education, University of London., (Accessed 7 May 2015). [ISBN: 978–1–907345–16–6]
- Ioannidis JP, Lau J. Evidence on interventions to reduce medical errors: an overview and recommendations for future research. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 2001;**16**(5):325–34.
- Jamal A, McKenzie K, Clark M. The impact of health information technology on the quality of medical and health care: a systematic review. *Health Information Management Journal* 2009;**38**(3):26–37.
- Joshi R, Reingold AL, Menzies D, Pai M. Tuberculosis among health-care workers in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *PLOS Medicine* 2006;**3**(12): e494.
- Kaboli PJ, Hoth AB, McClimon BJ, Schnipper JL. Clinical pharmacists and inpatient medical care: a systematic review. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 2006;**166**(9):955–64.
- Kennedy CE, Spaulding AB, Brickley DB, Almers L, Mirjahangir J, Packer L, et al. Linking sexual and reproductive health and HIV interventions: a systematic review. *Journal of the International AIDS Society* 2010;**13**: 26.
- Kidney E, Winter HR, Khan KS, Gulmezoglu AM, Meads CA, Deeks JJ, et al. Systematic review of effect of community-level interventions to reduce maternal mortality. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* 2009;**9**:2.
- Ko H, Turner T, Jones C, Hill C. Patient-held medical records for patients with chronic disease: a systematic review. *Quality and Safety in Health Care* 2010;**19**(5):e41.
- Koshman SL, Charrois TL, Simpson SH, McAlister FA, Tsuyuki RT. Pharmacist care of patients with heart failure: a systematic review of randomized trials. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 2008;**168**(7):687–94.
- Krause D S. Economic effectiveness of disease management programs: a meta-analysis. *Disease Management* 2005;**8**(2): 114–34.
- Krishna S, Boren SA, Balas EA. Healthcare via cell phones: a systematic review. *Telemedicine Journal and E-Health* 2009;**15**(3):231–40.
- Kuethe MC, Vaessen-Verberne AA, Elbers RG, Van Aalderen WM. Nurse versus physician-led care for the management of asthma. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2013, Issue 2. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD009296.pub2
- Kuhlmann AS, Gavin L, Galavotti C. The integration of family planning with other health services: a literature review. *International Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health* 2010;**36**(4):189–96.
- Lee AC, Lawn JE, Cousens S, Kumar V, Osrin D, Bhutta ZA, et al. Linking families and facilities for care at birth: what works to avert intrapartum-related deaths?. *International Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics* 2009;**107**(Suppl 1):S65-85, S86-8.
- Legido-Quigley H, Montgomery CM, Khan P, Atun R, Fakoya A, Getahun H, et al. Integrating tuberculosis and HIV services in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *Tropical Medicine & International Health* 2013;**18**(2):199–211.
- Liang X, Wang Q, Yang X, Cao J, Chen J, Mo X, et al. Effect of mobile phone intervention for diabetes on glycaemic control: a meta-analysis. *Diabetic Medicine* 2011;**28**(4):455–63.
- Lim D, Emery J, Lewis J, Sunderland VB. A systematic review of the literature comparing the practices of dispensing and non-dispensing doctors. *Health Policy* 2009;**92**(1):1–9.
- Lindgren ML, Kennedy CE, Bain-Brickley D, Azman H, Creanga AA, Butler LM, et al. Integration of HIV/AIDS services with maternal, neonatal and child health, nutrition, and family planning services. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2012, Issue 9. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD010119
- Macinko J, Starfield B, Erinosh T. The impact of primary healthcare on population health in low- and middle-income countries. *Journal of Ambulatory Care Management* 2009;**32**

- (2):150–71.
- Malarcher S, Meirik O, Lebetkin E, Shah I, Spieler J, Stanback J. Provision of DMPA by community health workers: what the evidence shows. *Contraception* 2011;**83**(6):495–503.
- Marcos Y, Phelps BR, Bachman G. Community strategies that improve care and retention along the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV cascade: a review. *Journal of the International AIDS Society* 2012;**15**(Suppl 2):17394.
- Marine A, Ruotsalainen J, Serra C, Verbeek J. Preventing occupational stress in healthcare workers. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2006, Issue 4. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002892.pub2
- Mattke S, Seid M, Ma S. Evidence for the effect of disease management: is \$1 billion a year a good investment?. *American Journal of Managed Care* 2007;**13**(12):670–6.
- McGaughey J, Alderdice E, Fowler R, Kapila A, Mayhew A, Moutray M. Outreach and Early Warning Systems (EWS) for the prevention of intensive care admission and death of critically ill adult patients on general hospital wards. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2007, Issue 3. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005529.pub2
- McNeill G, Bryden D. Do either early warning systems or emergency response teams improve hospital patient survival? A systematic review. *Resuscitation* 2013;**84**(12):1652–67.
- McPherson K, Kersten P, George S, Lattimer V, Breton A, Ellis B, et al. A systematic review of evidence about extended roles for allied health professionals. *Journal of Health Service Research and Policy* 2006;**11**(4):240–7.
- Mdege ND, Chindove S, Ali S. The effectiveness and cost implications of task-shifting in the delivery of antiretroviral therapy to HIV-infected patients: a systematic review. *Health Policy and Planning* 2013;**28**(3):223–36.
- Millard T, Elliott J, Girdler S. Self-management education programs for people living with HIV/AIDS: a systematic review. *AIDS Patient Care STDS* 2013;**27**(2):103–13.
- Minkman M, Ahaus K, Huijsman R. Performance improvement based on integrated quality management models: what evidence do we have? A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Quality in Health Care* 2007;**19**(2):90–104.
- Mitchell GK, Tieman JJ, Shelby-James TM. Multidisciplinary care planning and teamwork in primary care. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2008;**188**(8 Suppl):S61–4.
- Mohanan P, Kamath A. Family support for reducing morbidity and mortality in people with HIV/AIDS. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2009, Issue 3. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006046.pub2
- Montgomery EC, Kunik ME, Wilson N, Stanley MA, Weiss B. Can paraprofessionals deliver cognitive-behavioral therapy to treat anxiety and depressive symptoms?. *Bulletin of the Menninger Clinic* 2010;**74**(1):45–62.
- Muthu V, Fischbacher C. Free-standing midwife-led maternity units: a safe and effective alternative to hospital delivery for low-risk women?. *Evidence-Based Healthcare and Public Health* 2004;**8**:325–31.
- Norris SL, Chowdhury FM, Van Le K, Horsley T, Brownstein JN, Zhang X, et al. Effectiveness of community health workers in the care of persons with diabetes. *Diabetic Medicine* 2006;**23**(5):544–56.
- Nyamtema AS, Urassa DP, van Roosmalen J. Maternal health interventions in resource limited countries: a systematic review of packages, impacts and factors for change. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2011;**11**:30.
- Orton L, Barnish G. Unit-dose packaged drugs for treating malaria. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2005, Issue 2. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004614.pub2
- Ostini R, Hegney D, Jackson C, Williamson M, Mackson JM, Gurman K, et al. Systematic review of interventions to improve prescribing. *Annals of Pharmacotherapy* 2009;**43**:502–13.
- Painuly N, Sharan P. Effectiveness of training of non-mental health care providers in mental health in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *Primary Care and Community Psychiatry* 2008;**13**(2):83–9.
- Pappas Y, Atherton H, Sawmynaden P, Car J. Email for clinical communication between healthcare professionals. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2012, Issue 9. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD007979.pub2
- Parker G, Spiers G, Gridley K, Atkin K, Birks Y, Lowson K, Light K. Evaluating models of care closer to home for children and young people who are ill: a systematic review. Final report. 2011. <http://php.york.ac.uk/inst/spru/pubs/1956>. Southampton: NIHR Service Delivery and Organisation programme, (accessed 7 May 2015).
- Parmelli E, Flodgren G, Fraser SG, Williams N, Rubin G, Eccles MP. Interventions to increase clinical incident reporting in health care. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2012, Issue 8. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005609.pub2
- Post PN, Wittenberg J, Burgers JS. Do specialized centers and specialists produce better outcomes for patients with chronic diseases than primary care generalists? A systematic review. *International Journal of Quality in Health Care* 2009;**21**(6):387–96.
- Pyone T, Sorensen BL, Tellier S. Childbirth attendance strategies and their impact on maternal mortality and morbidity in low-income settings: a systematic review. *Acta Obstetrica et Gynecologica Scandinavica* 2012;**91**:1029–37.
- Ranji SR, Auerbach AD, Hurd CJ, O'Rourke K, Shojania KG. Effects of rapid response systems on clinical outcomes: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Hospital Medicine* 2007;**2**:422–32.
- Ranji SR, Steinman MA, Shojania KG, Gonzales R. Interventions to reduce unnecessary antibiotic prescribing: a systematic review and quantitative analysis. *Medical Care* 2008;**46**:847–62.
- Reeves S, Perrier L, Goldman J, Freeth D, Zwarenstein M. Interprofessional education: effects on professional practice and healthcare outcomes (update). *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2013, Issue 3. DOI: 10.1002/

14651858.CD002213.pub3

Renner R M, Brahma D, Kapp N. Who can provide effective and safe termination of pregnancy care? A systematic review. *BJOG* 2013;**120**:23–31.

Rueda S, Park-Wyllie LY, Bayoumi AM, Tynan AM, Antoniou TA, Rourke SB, et al. Patient support and education for promoting adherence to highly active antiretroviral therapy for HIV/AIDS. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2006, Issue 3. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001442.pub2

Saberi P, Dong BJ, Johnson MO, Greenblatt RM, Cocohoba JM. The impact of HIV clinical pharmacists on HIV treatment outcomes: a systematic review. *Patient Preference and Adherence* 2012;**6**:297–322.

Sazawal S, Black RE, Pneumonia Case Management Trials Group. Effect of pneumonia case management on mortality in neonates, infants, and preschool children: a meta-analysis of community-based trials. *Lancet Infectious Diseases* 2003;**3**:547–56.

Schadewaldt V, Nielsen G H. Prediction of postoperative pain - beneficial to perioperative pain management?. *Pflege* 2011;**24**:125–36.

Schalk DM, Bijl ML, Halfens RJ, Hollands L, Cummings GG. Interventions aimed at improving the nursing work environment: a systematic review. *Implementation Science* 2010;**5**:34.

Shojania KG, Jennings A, Mayhew A, Ramsay CR, Eccles MP, Grimshaw J. The effects of on-screen, point of care computer reminders on processes and outcomes of care. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2009, Issue 3. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001096.pub2

Sibbald B, McDonald R, Roland M. Shifting care from hospitals to the community: a review of the evidence on quality and efficiency. *Journal of Health Services Research and Policy* 2007;**12**:110–7.

Smith AF, Kane M, Milne R. Comparative effectiveness and safety of physician and nurse anaesthetists: a narrative systematic review. *British Journal of Anaesthesia* 2004;**93**:540–5.

Smith F. Private local pharmacies in low- and middle-income countries: a review of interventions to enhance their role in public health. *Tropical Medicine & International Health* 2009;**14**:362–72.

Spaulding AB, Brickley DB, Kennedy C, Almers L, Packel L, Mirjahangir J, et al. Linking family planning with HIV/AIDS interventions: a systematic review of the evidence. *AIDS* 2009;**23**(Suppl 1):S79–88.

Tomasi E, Facchini LA, Maia MF. Health information technology in primary health care in developing countries: a literature review. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 2004;**82**:867–74.

Tsai AC, Morton SC, Mangione CM, Keeler EB. A meta-analysis of interventions to improve care for chronic illnesses. *American Journal of Managed Care* 2005;**11**:478–88.

Tudor Car L, van-Velthoven MH, Brusamento S, Elmoniry H, Car J, Majeed A, et al. Integrating prevention of

mother-to-child HIV transmission (PMTCT) programmes with other health services for preventing HIV infection and improving HIV outcomes in developing countries.

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2011, Issue 6. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008741.pub2

Tudor Car L, Gentry S, van-Velthoven M H, Car J. Telephone communication of HIV testing results for improving knowledge of HIV infection status. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013;**1**:CD009192.

Tura G, Fantahun M, Worku A. The effect of health facility delivery on neonatal mortality: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2013;**13**:18.

Uyei J, Coetzee D, Macinko J, Guttmacher S. Integrated delivery of HIV and tuberculosis services in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *Lancet Infectious Diseases* 2011;**11**:855–67.

Van Citters AD, Bartels SJ. A systematic review of the effectiveness of community-based mental health outreach services for older adults. *Psychiatric Services* 2004;**55**:1237–49.

Van Velthoven MH, Brusamento S, Majeed A, Car J. Scope and effectiveness of mobile phone messaging for HIV/AIDS care: a systematic review. *Psychology, Health & Medicine* 2013;**18**:182–202.

Van Walraven C, Oake N, Jennings A, Forster A J. The association between continuity of care and outcomes: a systematic and critical review. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice* 2010;**16**:947–56.

Van Wyk B E, Pillay-Van Wyk V. Preventive staff-support interventions for health workers. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2010, Issue 3. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003541.pub2

Villar J, Carroli G, Khan-Neelofur D, Piaggio G, Gulmezoglu M. Patterns of routine antenatal care for low-risk pregnancy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2001, Issue 4. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000934

Walsh D, Downe SM. Outcomes of free-standing, midwife-led birth centers: a structured review. *Birth* 2004;**31**:222–9.

Webster J, Hill J, Lines J, Hanson K. Delivery systems for insecticide treated and untreated mosquito nets in Africa: categorization and outcomes achieved. *Health Policy and Planning* 2007;**22**:277–93.

Wiley-Exley E. Evaluations of community mental health care in low- and middle-income countries: a 10-year review of the literature. *Social Science & Medicine* 2007;**64**:1231–41.

Wiley BA, Paintain LS, Mangham L, Car J, Schellenberg JA. Strategies for delivering insecticide-treated nets at scale for malaria control: a systematic review. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 2012;**90**:672–84E.

Wilson NW, Couper ID, De Vries E, Reid S, Fish T, Marais BJ. A critical review of interventions to redress the inequitable distribution of healthcare professionals to rural and remote areas. *Rural Remote Health* 2009;**9**:1060.

Winters BD, Pham JC, Hunt EA, Guallar E, Berenholtz S, Pronovost PJ. Rapid response systems: a systematic review.

Critical Care Medicine 2007;**35**:1238–43.

Woltmann E, Grogan-Kaylor A, Perron B, Georges H, Kilbourne AM, Bauer MS. Comparative effectiveness of collaborative chronic care models for mental health conditions across primary, specialty, and behavioral health care settings: systematic review and meta-analysis. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 2012;**169**:790–804.

Wouters E, Van Damme W, van Rensburg D, Masquillier C, Meulemans H. Impact of community-based support services on antiretroviral treatment programme delivery and outcomes in resource-limited countries: a synthetic review. *BMC Health Services Research* 2012;**12**:194.

Wu RC, Tran K, Lo V, O’Leary KJ, Morra D, Quan SD, et al. Effects of clinical communication interventions in hospitals: a systematic review of information and communication technology adoptions for improved communication between clinicians. *International Journal of Medical Informatics* 2012;**81**:723–32.

Yang L, Mullan B. Reducing needle stick injuries in healthcare occupations: an integrative review of the literature. *ISRN Nursing* 2011;**2011**:315432.

Zuurmond MA, Geary RS, Ross DA. The effectiveness of youth centers in increasing use of sexual and reproductive health services: a systematic review. *Studies in Family Planning* 2012;**43**:239–54.

Zwar N, Harris M, Griffiths R, Roland M, Dennis S, Powell Davies G, et al. A systematic review of chronic disease management. Research Centre for Primary Health Care and Equity, School of Public Health and Community Medicine, University of New South Wales. www.anu.edu.au/aphcri/Domain/ChronicDiseaseMgmt/Approved_3_Zwar.pdf (accessed 7 May 2015).

Additional references

Abdel-Aleem 2012

Abdel-Aleem H, El-Gibaly Omaira MH, EL-Gazzar Amira FE-S, Al-Attar Ghada ST. Mobile clinics for women’s and children’s health. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2012, Issue 3. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD009677; CD009677

Althabe 2008

Althabe F, Bergel E, Cafferata M L, Gibbons L, Ciapponi A, Aleman A, et al. Strategies for improving the quality of health care in maternal and child health in low- and middle-income countries: an overview of systematic reviews. *Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology* 2008;**22**(Suppl 1): 42–60.

Chopra 2008

Chopra M, Munro S, Lavis JN, Vist G, Bennett S. Effects of policy options for human resources for health: an analysis of systematic reviews. *Lancet* 2008;**371**(9613):668–74.

Curran 2007

Curran Janet A, Dartnell J, Magee K, Sinclair D, McGrath Patrick J. Organisational and professional interventions to promote the uptake of evidence in emergency care: Effects on professional practice and health outcomes. *Cochrane*

Database of Systematic Reviews 2007, Issue 2. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006557; CD006557

Currell 2000

Currell R, Urquhart C, Wainwright P, Lewis R. Telemedicine versus face to face patient care: effects on professional practice and health care outcomes. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2000, Issue 2. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002098; CD002098

Damery 2016

Damery S, Flanagan S, Combes G. Does integrated care reduce hospital activity for patients with chronic diseases? An umbrella review of systematic reviews. *BMJ Open* 2016; **6**(11):e011952.

Davoli 2006

Davoli M, Amato L, Minozzi S, Bargagli A M, Vecchi S, Perucci C A. Volume and health outcomes: an overview of systematic reviews. *Epidemiologia e prevenzione* 2006;**29**(3-4 Suppl):3–63.

Dudley 2009

Dudley L, Hviding K, Paulsen E. The effectiveness of policies promoting facility-based deliveries in reducing maternal and infant morbidity and mortality in low and middle-income countries. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2009, Issue 3. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD007918; CD007918

EPOC 2017

Cochrane Effective Practice, Organisation of Care (EPOC). EPOC Resources for review authors, 2017. Available at epoc.cochrane.org/epoc-resources-review-authors.

Franx 2008

Franx G, Kroon H, Grimshaw J, Drake R, Grol R, Wensing M. Organizational change to transfer knowledge and improve quality and outcomes of care for patients with severe mental illness: a systematic overview of reviews. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry* 2008;**53**(5):294–305.

Guyatt 2008

Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Vist GE, Falck-Ytter Y, Schünemann HJ, et al. What is “quality of evidence” and why is it important to clinicians?. *BMJ* 2008;**336**(7651): 995–8.

Herrera 2014

Herrera CA, Ciapponi A, Bastías G, Lewin S, Garcia Marti S, Okwundu CI, et al Governance arrangements for health systems in low-income countries: an overview of systematic reviews. Governance arrangements for health systems in low-income countries: an overview of systematic reviews. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2014, Issue 4. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD011085

Hisashige 2012

Hisashige A. The effectiveness and efficiency of disease management programs for patients with chronic diseases. *Global Journal of Health Science* 2012;**5**(2):27–48.

Kengne 2014

Kengne TP, Gagnon M-P, Dupéré S, Bedos C, Légaré F, Dawson Aimée B. Interventions for increasing health

- promotion practices in dental healthcare settings. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2014, Issue 2. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD010955; CD010955
- Lavis 2015**
Lavis JN, Wilson MG, Moat KA, Hammill AC, Boyko JA, Grimshaw JM, Flottorp S. Developing and refining the methods for a 'one-stop shop' for research evidence about health systems. *Health Research Policy and Systems/BioMed Central* 2015;**13**:1–10. DOI: 10.1186/1478-4505-13-10
- Lewin 2008**
Lewin S, Lavis J N, Oxman A D, Bastias G, Chopra M, Ciapponi A, et al. Supporting the delivery of cost-effective interventions in primary health-care systems in low-income and middle-income countries: an overview of systematic reviews. *Lancet* 2008;**372**(9642):928–39.
- Lopez 2012**
Lopez Analia S, Solà I, Ciapponi A, Durieux P. Interventions for reducing medication errors in hospitalised adults. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2012, Issue 7. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD009985; CD009985
- Luna 2013**
Luna D, Otero C, Marcelo A. Health informatics in developing countries: systematic review of reviews. Contribution of the IMIA Working Group Health Informatics for Development. *Yearbook of Medical Informatics* 2013;**8**:28–33.
- Martinez-Gonzalez 2014**
Martinez-Gonzalez N A, Berchtold P, Ullman K, Busato A, Egger M. Integrated care programmes for adults with chronic conditions: a meta-review. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care* 2014;**26**(5):561–70.
- Momsen 2012**
Momsen AM, Rasmussen JO, Nielsen CV, Iversen MD, Lund H. Multidisciplinary team care in rehabilitation: On overview of reviews. *Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine* 2012;**44**(11):901–12. DOI: 10.2340/16501977-1040
- Oxman 2008**
Oxman AD, Bjørndal A, Flottorp SA, Lewin S, Lindahl AK. Integrated health care for people with chronic conditions. A policy brief. Norwegian Knowledge Centre for the Health Services, 2008. www.kunnskapssenteret.no/publikasjoner/integrated-health-care-for-people-with-chronic-conditions?threepage=1 (accessed prior to 30 August 2017).
- Pantoja 2014**
Pantoja T, Opiyo N, Ciapponi A, Dudley L, Gagnon MP, Herrera CA, et al. Implementation strategies for health systems in low-income countries: an overview of systematic reviews. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2014, Issue 5. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD011086
- Rada 2013**
Rada G, Pérez D, Capurro D. Epistemonikos: a free, relational, collaborative, multilingual database of health evidence. *Studies in Health Technology and Informatics* 2013;**192**:486–90.
- Rosenbaum 2011**
Rosenbaum SE, Glenton C, Wiysonge CS, Abalos E, Mignini L, Young T, et al. Evidence summaries tailored to health policy-makers in low- and middle-income countries. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 2011;**89**:54–61.
- Schünemann 2011a**
Schünemann HJ, Oxman AD, Higgins JPT, Vist GE, Glasziou P, Guyatt GH. Chapter 11: Presenting results and 'Summary of findings' tables. In: Higgins JPT, Green S (editors), *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* Version 5.1.0 (updated March 2011). The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Available from www.cochrane-handbook.org.
- Schünemann 2011b**
Schünemann HJ, Oxman AD, Vist GE, Higgins JPT, Deeks JJ, Glasziou P, et al. Chapter 12: Interpreting results and drawing conclusions. In: Higgins JPT, Green S (editors), *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* Version 5.1.0 (updated March 2011). The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Available from www.cochrane-handbook.org.
- Soe 2013**
Soe A, Apampa B, Fernando B, Maaskant Jolanda M, Neubert A, Thayyil S, et al. Interventions for reducing medication errors in children in hospital. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2013, Issue 2. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006208.pub2; CD006208
- Wensing 2006**
Wensing M, Wollersheim H, Grol R. Organizational interventions to implement improvements in patient care: a structured review of reviews. *Implementation Science* 2006;**1**:2.
- WHO 2010**
WHO. *Increasing Access to Health Workers in Remote and Rural Areas through Improved Retention: Global Policy Recommendations*. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2010.
- Wilson 2013**
Wilson MG, Husbands W, Makoroka L, Rueda S, Greenspan NR, Eady A, et al. Counselling, case management and health promotion for people living with HIV/AIDS: an overview of systematic reviews. *AIDS and Behavior* 2013;**17**(5):1612–25.
- Wiysonge 2014**
Wiysonge CS, Herrera CA, Ciapponi A, Lewin S, Garcia Marti S, Opiyo N, et al. Financial arrangements for health systems in low-income countries: an overview of systematic reviews. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2014, Issue 4. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD011084
- World Bank 2016**
The World Bank Group. Countries and Economies. 2016. Available from data.worldbank.org/country/ (accessed prior to 7 July 2017).

References to other published versions of this review

Ciapponi 2014

Ciapponi A, Lewin S, Bastias G, Dudley L, Flottorp S, Gagnon MP, et al. Delivery arrangements for health systems in low-income countries: an overview of systematic reviews. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2014, Issue 5. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD011083

* Indicates the major publication for the study

ADDITIONAL TABLES**Table 1. Types of delivery arrangements**

Delivery arrangement	Definition
Who receives care and when	
Queuing strategies	Different ways of managing waiting lists
Group vs individual care	Providing care to groups vs individual patients
Who provides care	
Pre-licensure education	How health professionals are educated
Recruitment and retention strategies	Strategies for recruiting to and retaining health workers in specific areas or types of work
Movement of health workers between public and private care	Strategies for managing the movement of health workers between public and private organisations
Role expansion or task shifting	Expanding tasks undertaken by a cadre of health workers or shifting tasks from one cadre to another
Self-management	Shifting the provision of care to patients or their families
Co-ordination of care	
Integration	Integration of the delivery of different type of services
Packages of care	Integrated packages of care such as the Integrated Management of Childhood Illness (IMCI)
Case management	Use of individuals, often specially trained nurses, to coordinate care for patients with multiple or complex needs
Disease management	Programmes designed to manage or prevent a chronic condition using a systematic approach to care and potentially employing multiple ways of influencing patients, providers or the process of care

Table 1. Types of delivery arrangements (Continued)

Care pathways	Strategies to link evidence to practice for specific health conditions. These strategies detail the local structure, systems and time frames to address recommendations
Teams	Care provided by teams or interdisciplinary collaboration
Communication between providers	Systems ¹ or strategies for communication between healthcare providers
Referral systems	Systems ¹ for managing referrals of patients between healthcare providers
Discharge planning	Systems ¹ for planning the discharge of patients from facilities
Where care is provided	
Site of service delivery	Changes in where care is provided including home vs facility, inpatient vs outpatient, specialised vs non-specialised facility
Intermediate care	Services designed to facilitate the transition from hospital to home
Specialist outreach	Regular visits by specialist providers to primary care or rural hospital settings
Generalist outreach	Regular visits by generalist doctors to primary care or rural hospital settings
Transportation services	Arrangements for transporting patients from one site to another
Mobile units	Mobile facilities that visit and deliver services on a regular basis
Facilities and equipment	Changes in healthcare facilities or equipment
Size of organisations	Consequences of differences in the size of health service provider organisations
Procurement and distribution of supplies	Systems ¹ for procuring and distributing medicines or other supplies
Information and communication technology	
Health information systems	Health record and health management systems
Patient reminder and recall systems	Systems ¹ for recalling patients for follow-up or prevention
E-Health	The combined use of electronic communication and information technology in the health sector. This includes the use of digital data - transmitted, stored and retrieved electronically - for clinical, edu-

Table 1. Types of delivery arrangements (Continued)

	cational and administrative purposes
Quality and safety systems	
Quality monitoring and improvement systems	Systems ¹ for monitoring and improving the quality of health care
Safety monitoring and improvement systems	Systems ¹ for monitoring and improving the safety of health care
Working conditions of health workers	
Workload	Changes in the workload of health workers
Work environment	Changes in the working environment of health workers
Staff support	Provision of staff support to health workers
Health and safety systems	Systems* for protecting or promoting the health and safety of health workers

¹Systems include structures or organisational arrangements.

Table 2. Examples of how changes in delivery arrangements might work

Delivery arrangement	How this might work
Who receives care and when	
Queuing strategies	Strategies such as increasing capacity or productivity might reduce waiting times by increasing the supply of services. Strategies such as co-payments, explicit referral criteria or clinical priority scores might decrease waiting times by reducing or managing demand
Group vs individual care	Group care might expand coverage by increasing the numbers of patients health workers can see and might improve effectiveness through peer support
Who provides care	
Pre-licensure education	Strategies that help to ensure that students complete their education might improve access to care by increasing the supply of health professionals
Recruitment and retention strategies	Strategies that help to recruit health professionals to underserved areas or keep them there might improve access to care and equity
Movement of health workers between public and private care	Strategies that attract or keep health workers in the public sector might improve access to care, equity and sustainability

Table 2. Examples of how changes in delivery arrangements might work (Continued)

Role expansion or task shifting	Role expansion or task shifting from more to less specialised health workers might improve access, coverage and equity
Self-management	Shifting responsibility for care from health workers to patients might improve access for other patients, empower patients and reduce resource use
Coordination of care	
Integration	Bringing together several service functions might increase service coherence and reduce fragmentation, thereby improving access, utilisation and efficiency. On the other hand, vertical (non-integrated programmes) might improve the delivery of effective interventions, thereby improving health outcomes
Packages of care	Packages of care, such as the Integrated Management of Childhood Illnesses, might improve coverage, delivery quality and utilisation of effective interventions and thereby improve health outcomes
Case management	Case management might improve quality of care and patient compliance and efficiency by ensuring that patients are followed up and reducing fragmentation
Disease management	Disease management might improve the quality of care and efficiency by reducing fragmentation
Care pathways	An evidence-based plan of care that aims to promote organised and efficient multidisciplinary patient care might improve the quality of care and efficiency
Teams	Multidisciplinary teams of health professionals might improve the quality of care, reduce delays and fragmentation and thereby improve health outcomes
Communication between providers	Improved communication between providers might improve the quality of care and efficiency
Referral systems	Effective referral systems might improve the quality of care by helping ensure that patients who need specialised care receive it and improve efficiency by reducing inappropriate referrals
Discharge planning	Strategies that help to ensure that patients are discharged as soon as they are ready might improve efficiency by reducing unnecessary hospital utilisation. Strategies that help to ensure that patients are managed appropriately following discharge might improve the quality of care and efficiency by reducing re-hospitalisation
Where care is provided	

Table 2. Examples of how changes in delivery arrangements might work (Continued)

Site of service delivery	Providing services closer to patients (e.g. in rural areas) might improve access and utilisation
Intermediate care	Facilities that offer a transition between hospital care and home care might improve efficiency by reducing the length of hospital stays and might improve the quality of care following discharge from the hospital
Specialist outreach	Providing specialist services closer to patients (e.g. in rural areas) might improve access
Generalist outreach	Providing generalist services closer to patients (e.g. in rural areas) might improve access
Transportation services	Strategies that make it easier for patients to travel to and from health facilities might improve access and utilisation
Mobile units	Mobile units might improve utilisation by making it easier for patients to access services
Facilities and equipment	Strategies that improve the availability of facilities and equipment might improve access and utilisation
Size of organisations	Larger organisations might improve efficiency because of economies of scale. They might also improve the quality of care for procedures where there are better outcomes with a high volume. On the other hand changing the size of organisations (e.g. mergers) might reduce efficiency and quality of care during a transition period because of the need to integrate different systems. Also, very large organisations may be difficult to manage, increase administrative costs and have communication problems that might reduce efficiency and quality of care
Procurement and distribution of supplies	Strategies that improve the procurement and distribution of supplies might reduce resource use and improve the quality of care by ensuring that necessary supplies are available
Information and communication technology	
Health information systems	Health information systems might improve the quality of care and efficiency by improving communication, coordination and decision-making
Patient reminder and recall systems	Patient reminder and recall systems might increase utilisation and the quality of care by helping to ensure that patients receive effective interventions

Table 2. Examples of how changes in delivery arrangements might work (Continued)

E-Health	Electronic communication of health information might improve access to care by making it easier for patients and generalists to consult with specialists and for information to be shared between patients, providers and the health system
Quality and safety systems	
Quality monitoring and improvement systems	Monitoring systems might help to ensure that problems with the quality of care are identified and addressed. Routine, structured processes to address problems might help to improve the quality of care
Safety monitoring and improvement systems	Monitoring systems might help to ensure that problems with safety are identified and addressed. Routine, structured processes to address problems might help to improve safety
Working conditions of health workers	
Workload	Strategies to manage workloads might improve efficiency by helping to ensure health workers have an optimal amount of work. They might improve access to care by reducing burnout, absenteeism and loss of health workers
Work environment	Improvements to the work environment might improve the quality of care and efficiency by improving working conditions. They might improve access to care by helping to attract and retain health workers
Staff support	Staff support might reduce burnout, absenteeism and loss of health workers and thereby improve access to care
Health and safety systems	Health and safety systems might reduce injuries and illness among health workers and thereby improve access to care and reduce resource use needed to care for injured or ill health workers

Table 3. Included reviews

Delivery arrangement	Included reviews
Who receives care and when	
Queuing strategies	Interventions to reduce waiting times for elective procedures (Ballini 2015)
Care received by groups vs individual care	Group versus conventional antenatal care for women (Catling 2015)
Who provides care	

Table 3. Included reviews (Continued)

Pre-licensure education	Effects of changes in the pre-licensure education of health workers on health-worker supply (Pariyo 2009)
Recruitment and retention strategies	Interventions for increasing the proportion of health professionals practising in rural and other underserved areas (Grobler 2015)
Movement of health workers between public and private care	No relevant systematic review found
Role expansion or task shifting - Lay health workers: hypertension	Effectiveness of community health workers in the care of people with hypertension (Brownstein 2007)
Role expansion or task shifting - Lay health workers: delivery of community-based neonatal care packages	Community-based intervention packages for reducing maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality and improving neonatal outcomes (Lassi 2015)
Role expansion or task shifting - Lay health workers: maternal and child health and infectious diseases	Lay health workers in primary and community health care for maternal and child health and the management of infectious diseases (Lewin 2010)
Role expansion or task shifting - Midlevel health professionals: non-doctor providers for abortion care	Safety and effectiveness of termination services performed by doctors versus midlevel providers: a systematic review and analysis (Ngo 2013)
Role expansion or task shifting - Healthcare providers giving additional social support to pregnant women vs usual care	Support during pregnancy for women at increased risk of low birthweight babies (Hodnett 2010)
Role expansion or task shifting - Midlevel health professionals: midwife-led care in pregnancy	Midwife-led continuity models versus other models of care for childbearing women (Sandall 2013)
Role expansion or task shifting - Allied health professionals (paramedics, physiotherapists, occupational therapists, language therapists, radiographers)	No relevant systematic review found
Role expansion or task shifting - Clinical officers/non-physician clinicians/associate clinicians vs physician for caesarean section	A comparison of clinical officers with medical doctors on outcomes of caesarean section in the developing world: meta-analysis of controlled studies (Wilson 2011)
Role expansion or task shifting - General practice	No relevant systematic review found
Role expansion or task shifting - Non-specialist vs specialist providers for mental health	Non-specialist health worker interventions for the care of mental, neurological and substance-abuse disorders in low- and middle-income countries (Van Ginneken 2013)
Role expansion or task shifting - Specialist nursing post added to hospital nurse staffing/dietary assistants added to hospital nurse staffing	Hospital nurse staffing models and patient- and staff-related outcomes (Butler 2011)

Table 3. Included reviews (Continued)

Role expansion or task shifting - Physician-nurse substitution	Effects of physician-nurse substitution on clinical parameters: a systematic review and meta-analysis (Martínez-González 2014)
Role expansion or task shifting - Professional groups vs physician anaesthesiologists administering anaesthesia	No relevant systematic review found
Role expansion or task shifting - Pharmacists delivering non-dispensing services to patients	The effect of pharmacist-provided non-dispensing services on patient outcomes, health service utilisation and costs in low- and middle-income countries (Pande 2013)
Role expansion or task shifting - Skilled birth attendants	The effect of providing skilled birth attendance and emergency obstetric care in preventing stillbirths (Yakoob 2011)
Role expansion or task shifting - Dental health promotion	No relevant systematic review found
Role expansion or task shifting - Dental care by dental therapists	A systematic review of oral health outcomes produced by dental teams incorporating midlevel providers (Wright 2013)
Self-management	No relevant systematic review found
Coordination of care	
Care pathways - Improved pre-hospital trauma systems vs no systems	Prehospital trauma systems reduce mortality in developing countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis (Henry 2012)
Care pathways - Rapid response systems in hospitals vs no systems	() Rapid response systems: a systematic review and meta-analysis (Maharaj 2015)
Care pathways - Hospital clinical pathways vs usual care	Clinical pathways: effects on professional practice, patient outcomes, length of stay and hospital costs (Rotter 2010)
Case management - Children with pneumonia/community-based with antibiotics/hospital-based with oxygen or vitamins	The effect of case management on childhood pneumonia mortality in developing countries (Theodoratou 2010)
Case management - People living with HIV/AIDS	Setting and organisation of care for persons living with HIV/AIDS (Handford 2006)
Communication between providers - Interactive communication between primary care doctors and specialists vs usual care	Meta-analysis: effect of interactive communication between collaborating primary care physicians and specialists (Foy 2010)
Coordination of care to reduce rehospitalisation - Pre-/post discharge interventions vs usual care/transition interventions vs usual care	Interventions to reduce 30-day rehospitalisation: a systematic review (Hansen 2011)

Table 3. Included reviews (Continued)

Discharge planning - Hospital discharge planning vs usual care	Discharge planning from hospital (Gonçalves-Bradley 2016)
Disease management	No relevant systematic review found
Integration - Adding a service to an existing service vs services with no addition/integrated vs vertical delivery models	Strategies for integrating primary health services in middle- and low-income countries at the point of delivery (Dudley 2011)
Packages of care	No relevant systematic review found
Referral systems - Healthcare delivery of organisational interventions vs no intervention for referral from primary to secondary care	Interventions to improve outpatient referrals from primary care to secondary care (Akbari 2008)
Referral systems - Nurse vs physician triage systems in emergency departments	The role of triage liaison physicians on mitigating overcrowding in emergency departments: a systematic review (Rowe 2011)
Teams - Team midwifery vs standard care	Hospital nurse staffing models and patient- and staff-related outcomes (Butler 2011)
Teams - Multidisciplinary team care for people living with HIV/AIDS vs no team	Home-based care for reducing morbidity and mortality in people infected with HIV/AIDS (Young 2010)
Teams - Practice based interventions to promote collaboration vs no intervention	Interprofessional collaboration to improve professional practice and healthcare outcomes (Reeves 2017)
Where care is provided	
Facilities and equipment	No relevant systematic review found
Generalist outreach	No relevant systematic review found
Intermediate care	No relevant systematic review found
Mobile units	No relevant systematic review found
Site of service delivery - HIV voluntary counselling and testing (VCT) at an optional location vs VCT at clinic	Home-based HIV voluntary counselling and testing (VCT) for improving uptake of HIV testing (Bateganya 2010)
Site of service delivery - Units dedicated to care for people living with HIV/AIDS/institutions managing a high volume of people living with HIV/AIDS	Setting and organisation of care for persons living with HIV/AIDS (Handford 2006)

Table 3. Included reviews (Continued)

<p>Site of service delivery - Home-based care for people living with HIV/AIDS - Home-based care with multidisciplinary team care for people living with HIV/AIDS vs other delivery options</p>	Home-based care for reducing morbidity and mortality in people infected with HIV/AIDS (Young 2010)
<p>Site of service delivery Facility vs home</p>	No relevant systematic review found
<p>Site of service delivery - Home-based management of malaria (presumptive treatment of children with symptoms) vs usual care</p>	Home- or community-based programmes for treating malaria (Okwundu 2013)
<p>Site of service delivery - Strategies for increasing ownership and use of insecticide-treated bednets</p>	Strategies to increase the ownership and use of insecticide-treated bednets to prevent malaria (Augustincic 2015)
<p>Site of service delivery - Home care (different models) vs facility</p>	Systematic review of international evidence on the effectiveness and costs of paediatric home care for children and young people who are ill (Parker 2013)
<p>Site of service delivery - Maternity waiting home vs no waiting homes</p>	Maternity waiting facilities for improving maternal and neonatal outcome in low-resource countries (Van Lonkhuijzen 2012)
<p>Site of service delivery - Generalist outreach</p>	No relevant systematic review found
<p>Site of service delivery - Community-based interventions for childhood diarrhoea and pneumonia versus routine care</p>	Effect of community based interventions on childhood diarrhoea and pneumonia: uptake of treatment modalities and impact on mortality (Das 2013)
<p>Site of service delivery - Early discharge from hospital for mothers and infants born at term versus standard discharge</p>	Early postnatal discharge from hospital for healthy mothers and term infants (Brown 2007)
<p>Site of service delivery - Out-of-facility vs facility-based HIV and reproductive health services for young people</p>	Reaching youth with out-of-facility HIV and reproductive health services: a systematic review (Denno 2012)
<p>Site of service delivery - Decentralised vs centralised HIV care for initiating and maintaining anti-retroviral therapy</p>	Decentralising HIV treatment in lower- and middle-income countries (Kredo 2013)
<p>Site of service delivery - Workplace programmes for HIV and tuberculosis vs no programme</p>	Workplace programmes for HIV and tuberculosis: a systematic review to support development of international guidelines for the health workforce (Yassi 2013)
<p>Size of organisations</p>	No relevant systematic review found

Table 3. Included reviews (Continued)

Specialist outreach	No relevant systematic review found
Information and communication technology	
E-Health - Mobile phone messaging for long-term illnesses vs usual care	Mobile phone messaging for facilitating self-management of long-term illnesses (De Jongh 2012)
E-Health - Mobile phone messaging reminders for attendance at healthcare appointments vs various other interventions	Mobile phone messaging reminders for attendance at healthcare appointments (Gurool-Urganci 2013)
E-Health - Mobile phone messaging to promote adherence to antiretroviral therapy (ART): an individual patient data meta-analysis of randomised trials	Mobile phone text messages for improving adherence to antiretroviral therapy (ART): an individual patient data meta-analysis of randomised trials (Mbuagbaw 2013)
E-Health - Telemedicine vs face-to-face patient care	No relevant systematic review found
Health information systems - Women carrying their own case notes in pregnancy vs less detailed health cards	Giving women their own case notes to carry during pregnancy (Brown 2011)
Patient reminder and recall systems - Reminders for routine childhood vaccination vs usual care	Interventions for improving coverage of child immunisation in low-income and middle-income countries (Oyo-Ita 2016) Patient reminder and recall systems to improve immunisation rates (Jacobson Vann 2005)
Quality and safety systems	
Quality/safety monitoring and improvement systems - Medication review for hospitalised adult patients vs standard care	Medication review in hospitalised patients to reduce morbidity and mortality (Christensen 2016)
Quality monitoring and improvement systems - Interventions to improve antibiotic prescribing to hospital inpatients	Interventions to improve antibiotic prescribing practices for hospital inpatients (Davey 2013)
Quality monitoring and improvement systems - Decision support to improve healthcare process and health outcomes for people living with HIV/AIDS - Decision support with clinical information system to improve healthcare process and health outcomes for people living with HIV/AIDS	Chronic care model decision support and clinical information systems interventions for people living with HIV: a systematic review (Pasricha 2012)
Working conditions of health workers	
Workload	No relevant systematic review found

Table 3. Included reviews (Continued)

Staff support - Managerial supervision to improve quality of primary health care	Managerial supervision to improve primary health care in low- and middle-income countries (Bosch-Capblanch 2011)
Staff support - Staff-support interventions for health workers	No relevant systematic review found
Work environment - Improvements to nursing work environment vs no intervention	No relevant systematic review found
Health and safety systems	No relevant systematic review found
Complex interventions cutting across delivery categories and across the other overviews	
Package of multiple interventions - Emergency obstetric referral interventions	The effectiveness of emergency obstetric referral interventions in developing country settings: a systematic review (Hussein 2012.)

Table 4. Excluded reviews

Review ID	Excluded reviews	Reasons for exclusion
Arnold 2005	Interventions to improve antibiotic prescribing practices in ambulatory care	Search out of date
Black 2011	The impact of ehealth on the quality and safety of health care: a systematic overview	Addressed by De Jongh 2012 , and Pasricha 2012
Blalock 2013	Effect of community pharmacy-based interventions on patient health outcomes: a systematic review	Addressed by Pande 2013
Cabana 2004	Does continuity of care improve patient outcomes?	Major limitations
Callaghan 2010	A systematic review of task-shifting for HIV treatment and care in Africa	Addressed by Kredo 2013
Carroli 2001	WHO systematic review of randomized controlled trials of routine antenatal care	Search out of date
Darmstadt 2009	60 Million non-facility births: who can deliver in community settings to reduce intrapartum-related deaths?	Major limitations
Deglise 2012	SMS for disease control in developing countries: a systematic review of mobile health applications	Major limitations
Dolea 2010	Evaluated strategies to increase attraction and retention of health workers in remote and rural areas	Addressed by Grobler 2015

Table 4. Excluded reviews (Continued)

Dowswell 2009	Antenatal day care units versus hospital admission for women with complicated pregnancy	Limited relevance to low-income countries
Dowswell 2010	Alternative versus standard packages of antenatal care for low-risk pregnancy	Addressed by Lassi 2015
Engstrom 2001	Is general practice effective? A systematic literature review	Search out of date
Faulkner 2003	A systematic review of the effect of primary care-based service innovations on quality and patterns of referral to specialist secondary care	Search out of date
Fearon 2012	Services for reducing duration of hospital care for acute stroke patients	Limited relevance to low-income countries
Fernandez 2012	Models of care in nursing: a systematic review	Addressed by Butler 2011
Ford 2012	Safety of task-shifting for male medical circumcision: a systematic review and meta-analysis	Major limitations
Fraser 2005	Implementing electronic medical record systems in developing countries	Limited relevance to low-income countries
Fraser 2007	Information systems for patient follow-up and chronic management of HIV and tuberculosis: a life-saving technology in resource-poor areas	Major limitations
Garg 2005	Effects of computerized clinical decision support systems on practitioner performance and patient outcomes: a systematic review	Limited relevance to low-income countries
Griffiths 2007	Effectiveness of intermediate care in nursing-led in-patient units	Limited relevance to low-income countries
Gruen 2004	Specialist outreach clinics in primary care and rural hospital settings	Search out of date
Gurol-Urganci 2012	Mobile phone messaging for communicating results of medical investigations	Limited relevance to low-income countries
Harding 2011	Do triage systems in healthcare improve patient flow? A systematic review of the literature	Addressed by Rowe 2011
Hatem 2008	Midwife-led versus other models of care for child-bearing women	Addressed by Sandall 2013

Table 4. Excluded reviews (Continued)

Haws 2007	Impact of packaged interventions on neonatal health: a review of the evidence	Addressed by Lassi 2015
Heintze 2007	What do community-based dengue control programmes achieve? A systematic review of published evaluations	Govenance arrangement
Hesselink 2012	Improving patient handovers from hospital to primary care: a systematic review	Limited relevance to low-income countries
Hickam 2013	Outpatient Case Management for Adults With Medical Illness and Complex Care Needs	Limited relevance to low-income countries
Hopkins 2007	Impact of home-based management of malaria on health outcomes in Africa: a systematic review of the evidence	Addressed by Okwundu 2013
Horrocks 2002	Systematic review of whether nurse practitioners working in primary care can provide equivalent care to doctors	Search out of date
Horvath 2012	Mobile phone text messaging for promoting adherence to antiretroviral therapy in patients with HIV infection	Addressed by Mbuagbaw 2013
Hundley 2012	Are birth kits a good idea? A systematic review of the evidence	Implementation strategies
Hussein 2011	What kinds of policy and programme interventions contribute to reductions in maternal mortality? The effectiveness of primary level referral systems for emergency maternity care in developing countries	Addressed by Hussein 2012
Ioannidis 2001	Evidence on interventions to reduce medical errors: an overview and recommendations for future research	Search out of date
Jamal 2009	The impact of health information technology on the quality of medical and health care: a systematic review	Major limitations
Joshi 2006	Tuberculosis among health-care workers in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review	Major limitations
Kaboli 2006	Clinical pharmacists and inpatient medical care: a systematic review	Limited relevance to low-income countries
Kennedy 2010	Linking sexual and reproductive health and HIV interventions: a systematic review	Addressed by Dudley 2011

Table 4. Excluded reviews (Continued)

Kidney 2009	Systematic review of effect of community-level interventions to reduce maternal mortality	Addressed by Lewin 2010
Ko 2010	Patient-held medical records for patients with chronic disease: a systematic review	Major limitations
Koshman 2008	Pharmacist care of patients with heart failure: a systematic review of randomized trials	Addressed by Pande 2013
Krause 2005	Economic effectiveness of disease management programs: a meta-analysis	Major limitations
Krishna 2009	Healthcare via cell phones: a systematic review	Addressed by De Jongh 2012
Kuethe 2013	Nurse versus physician-led care for the management of asthma	Addressed by Martinez-Gonzalez 2014
Kuhlmann 2010	The integration of family planning with other health services: a literature review	Addressed by Dudley 2011
Lee 2009	Linking families and facilities for care at birth: what works to avert intrapartum-related deaths?	Major limitations
Legido-Quigley 2013	Integrating tuberculosis and HIV services in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review	Limited relevance to low-income countries
Liang 2011	Effect of mobile phone intervention for diabetes on glycaemic control: a meta-analysis	Addressed by De Jongh 2012
Lim 2009	A systematic review of the literature comparing the practices of dispensing and non-dispensing doctors	Major limitations
Lindegren 2012	Integration of HIV/AIDS services with maternal, neonatal and child health, nutrition, and family planning services	Addressed by Dudley 2011 , but it addresses a subset of types of integration that is highly relevant
Macinko 2009	The impact of primary healthcare on population health in low- and middle-income countries	Major limitations
Malarcher 2011	Provision of DMPA by community health workers: what the evidence shows	Addressed by Lewin 2010
Marcos 2012	Community strategies that improve care and retention along the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV cascade: a review	Major limitations
Marine 2006	Preventing occupational stress in healthcare workers	Outside of the scope of the overviews - focus on occupational health

Table 4. Excluded reviews (Continued)

Mattke 2007	Evidence for the effect of disease management: is \$1 billion a year a good investment?	Major limitations
McGaughey 2007	Outreach and Early Warning Systems (EWS) for the prevention of intensive care admission and death of critically ill adult patients on general hospital wards	Addressed by Maharaj 2015 .
McNeill 2013	Do either early warning systems or emergency response teams improve hospital patient survival? A systematic review	Addressed by Maharaj 2015 .
McPherson 2006	A systematic review of evidence about extended roles for allied health professionals	Search out of date
Mdege 2013	The effectiveness and cost implications of task-shifting in the delivery of antiretroviral therapy to HIV-infected patients: a systematic review	Addressed by Kredo 2013
Millard 2013	Self-management education programs for people living with HIV/AIDS: a systematic review	Limited relevance to low-income countries
Minkman 2007	Performance improvement based on integrated quality management models: what evidence do we have? A systematic literature review	Limited relevance to low-income countries
Mitchell 2008	Multidisciplinary care planning and teamwork in primary care	Not a systematic review of interventions
Mohanar 2009	Family support for reducing morbidity and mortality in people with HIV/AIDS	Uninformative empty review
Montgomery 2010	Can paraprofessionals deliver cognitive-behavioral therapy to treat anxiety and depressive symptoms?	Addressed by Van Ginneken 2013
Muthu 2004	Free-standing midwife-led maternity units: a safe and effective alternative to hospital delivery for low-risk women?	Addressed by Sandall 2013
Norris 2006	Effectiveness of community health workers in the care of persons with diabetes	Major limitations
Nyamtema 2011	Maternal health interventions in resource limited countries: a systematic review of packages, impacts and factors for change	Major limitations
Orton 2005	Unit-dose packaged medicines for treating malaria	Implementation strategies

Table 4. Excluded reviews (Continued)

Ostini 2009	Systematic review of interventions to improve prescribing	Major limitations
Painuly 2008	Effectiveness of training of non-mental health care providers in mental health in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review	Addressed by Van Ginneken 2013
Pappas 2012	Email for clinical communication between healthcare professionals	Implementation strategies
Parker 2011	Evaluating models of care closer to home for children and young people who are ill: a systematic review	Addressed by Parker 2013
Parmelli 2012	Interventions to increase clinical incident reporting in health care	Major limitations
Post 2009	Do specialized centers and specialists produce better outcomes for patients with chronic diseases than primary care generalists? A systematic review	Limited relevance to low-income countries
Pyone 2012	Childbirth attendance strategies and their impact on maternal mortality and morbidity in low-income settings: a systematic review	Addressed by Yakoob 2011
Ranji 2007	Effects of rapid response systems on clinical outcomes: systematic review and meta-analysis	Addressed by Maharaj 2015
Ranji 2008	Interventions to reduce unnecessary antibiotic prescribing: a systematic review and quantitative analysis	Implementation strategies
Reeves 2013	Interprofessional education: effects on professional practice and healthcare outcomes	Implementation strategies
Renner 2013	Who can provide effective and safe termination of pregnancy care? A systematic review	Addressed by Ngo 2013
Rueda 2006	Patient support and education for promoting adherence to highly active antiretroviral therapy for HIV/AIDS	Major limitations
Saberri 2012	The impact of HIV clinical pharmacists on HIV treatment outcomes: a systematic review	Addressed by Pande 2013
Sazawal 2003	Effect of pneumonia case management on mortality in neonates, infants, and preschool children: a meta-analysis of community-based trials	Not a systematic review of interventions

Table 4. Excluded reviews (Continued)

Schadewaldt 2011	Nurse-led clinics as an effective service for cardiac patients: results from a systematic review	Major limitations.
Schalk 2010	Interventions aimed at improving the nursing work environment: a systematic review	Search out of date
Shojania 2009	The effects of on-screen, point of care computer reminders on processes and outcomes of care	Implementation strategies. Limited relevance to low-income countries
Sibbald 2007	Shifting care from hospitals to the community: a review of the evidence on quality and efficiency	Major limitations
Smith 2004	Comparative effectiveness and safety of physician and nurse anaesthetists: a narrative systematic review	Search out of date
Smith 2009	Private local pharmacies in low- and middle-income countries: a review of interventions to enhance their role in public health	Major limitations
Spaulding 2009	Linking family planning with HIV/AIDS interventions: a systematic review of the evidence	Major limitations
Tomasi 2004	Health information technology in primary health care in developing countries: a literature review	Major limitations
Tsai 2005	A meta-analysis of interventions to improve care for chronic illnesses	Major limitations
Tudor Car 2011	Integrating prevention of mother-to-child HIV transmission (PMTCT) programmes with other health services for preventing HIV infection and improving HIV outcomes in developing countries	Addressed by Dudley 2011
Tudor Car 2013	Telephone communication of HIV testing results for improving knowledge of HIV infection status	Addressed by De Jongh 2012 ; Gurol-Urganci 2013 ; Mbuagbaw 2013
Tura 2013	The effect of health facility delivery on neonatal mortality: systematic review and meta-analysis	Major limitations
Uyei 2011	Integrated delivery of HIV and tuberculosis services in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review	Major limitations
Van Citters 2004	A systematic review of the effectiveness of community-based mental health outreach services for older adults	Limited relevance to low-income countries
Van Velthoven 2013	Scope and effectiveness of mobile phone messaging for HIV/AIDS care: a systematic review	Addressed by Mbuagbaw 2013

Table 4. Excluded reviews (Continued)

Van Walraven 2010	The association between continuity of care and outcomes: a systematic and critical review	Limited relevance to low-income countries
Van Wyk 2010	Preventive staff-support interventions for health workers	Outside of the scope of the overviews - focuses largely on occupational health
Villar 2001	Patterns of routine antenatal care for low-risk pregnancy	Search out of date
Walsh 2004	Outcomes of free-standing, midwife-led birth centers: a structured review	Addressed by Sandall 2013
Webster 2007	Delivery systems for insecticide treated and untreated mosquito nets in Africa: categorization and outcomes achieved	Major limitations
Wiley-Exley 2007	Evaluations of community mental health care in low- and middle-income countries: a 10-year review of the literature	Addressed by Van Ginneken 2013
Willey 2012	Strategies for delivering insecticide-treated nets at scale for malaria control: a systematic review	Addressed by Augustincic 2015
Wilson 2009	A critical review of interventions to redress the inequitable distribution of healthcare professionals to rural and remote areas	Addressed by Grobler 2015
Winters 2007	Rapid response systems: a systematic review	Addressed by Maharaj 2015
Woltmann 2012	Comparative effectiveness of collaborative chronic care models for mental health conditions across primary, specialty, and behavioral health care settings: systematic review and meta-analysis	Limited relevance to low-income countries
Wouters 2012	Impact of community-based support services on antiretroviral treatment programme delivery and outcomes in resource-limited countries: a synthetic review	Major limitations
Wu 2012	Effects of clinical communication interventions in hospitals: a systematic review of information and communication technology adoptions for improved communication between clinicians	Limited relevance to low-income countries
Yang 2011	Reducing needle stick injuries in healthcare occupations: an integrative review of the literature	Not a systematic review of interventions

Table 4. Excluded reviews (Continued)

Zuurmond 2012	The effectiveness of youth centers in increasing use of sexual and reproductive health services: a systematic review	Addressed by Denno 2012
Zwar 2006	A systematic review of chronic disease management	Major limitations

Table 5. Reliability of included reviews

Re-view	A. Identification, selection and critical appraisal of studies ¹						B. Analysis ²						C. Overall ³	
	1. Se-lection criteria	2. Search	3. Up-to-date	4. Study selection	5. Risk of bias	6. Over-all	1. Study characteristics	2. Analytic methods	3. Het-ero-gene-ity	4. Ap-pro-priate syn-thesis	5. Ex-plorato-ri-ans	6. Over-all	1. Other con-sider-ations	2. Re-liabil-ity of the re-view
Ak-bari 2008	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NA	+	+	+	+	+
Au-gustin-cic 2015	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Ballini 2015	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Bate-ganya 2010	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Bosch-Cap-blanch 2011	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	?	+	+	+
Brown 2007	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Brown 2011	+	?	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

Table 5. Reliability of included reviews (Continued)

Brownstein 2007	+	?	+	?	?	+	?	+	+	?	?	+	+	+
Butler 2011	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	?	+	+	+	+	+
Catling 2015	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Christensen 2016	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Das 2013	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Davey 2013	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	?	+
De Jongh 2012	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	-	+	-
Denno 2012	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	?	+	?	+	+	+
Dudley 2011	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+
Foy 2010	+	?	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Gonçalv Bradley 2016	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Grobler 2015	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	NA	+	NA	+	+	+
Gurol- Ur- ganci	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NA	+	+	+

Table 5. Reliability of included reviews (Continued)

2013														
Handford 2006	+	?	?	+	?	?	?	+	+	?	—	?	+	—
Hansen 2011	+	?	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+
Henry 2012	+	?	+	?	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hodnett 2010	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hussein 2012	+	+	?	?	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+
Jacobson Vann 2005	+	?	+	?	+	—	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	—
Kredo 2013	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Lassi 2015	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Lewin 2010	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Maharaj 2015	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Martínez González 2014	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Mbuagbaw 2013	+	—	?	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

Table 5. Reliability of included reviews (Continued)

Ngo 2013	+	?	+	?	?	—	+	+	+	+	+	NA	—	—
Ok- wundu 2013	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Oyo- Ita 2016	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Pande 2013	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	+	+
Pariyo 2009	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Parker 2013	+	?	+	?	—	+	?	+	+	+	?	—	?	—
Pas- richa 2012	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+
Reeves 2017	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Rotter 2010	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Rowe 2011	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
San- dall 2013	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Theodo- atou 2010	+	?	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Van Gin- neken 2013	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+
Van	+	+	+	+	+	+	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	+	+

Table 5. Reliability of included reviews (Continued)

Lonkhu jzen 2012														
Wil- son 2011	+	?	+	?	?	—	?	+	+	+	?	—	+	—
Wright 2013	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	+	+
Yakoob 2011	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Yassi 2013	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+
Young 2010	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Total +	51	32	47	42	43	46	40	50	43	45	35	45	47	45
Total —	0	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	6
Total NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	1	3	2	0	0
Total ?	0	18	4	9	7	2	10	0	5	5	12	1	3	0

¹**A. Identification, selection and critical appraisal of studies**

1. **Selection criteria:** were the criteria used for deciding which studies to include in the review reported? (+ yes; ? can't tell/partially; — no)
2. **Search:** was the search for evidence reasonably comprehensive? (+ yes; ? can't tell/partially; — no)
3. **Up-to-date:** is the review reasonably up-to-date? (+ yes; ? can't tell/partially; — no)
4. **Study selection:** was bias in the selection of articles avoided? (+ yes; ? can't tell/partially; — no)
5. **Risk of bias:** did the authors use appropriate criteria to assess the risk for bias in analysing the studies that are included? (+ yes; ? can't tell/partially; — no)
6. **Overall:** how would you rate the methods used to identify, include and critically appraise studies? (+ only minor limitations, — important limitations)

²**B. Analysis**

1. **Study characteristics:** were the characteristics and results of the included studies reliably reported? (+ yes; ? can't tell/partially; — no, NA not applicable; e.g. no studies or data)
2. **Analytic methods:** were the methods used by the review authors to analyse the findings of the included studies reported? (+ yes; ? can't tell/partially; — no, NA not applicable; e.g. no studies or data)
3. **Heterogeneity:** did the review describe the extent of heterogeneity? (+ yes; ? can't tell/partially; — no, NA not applicable; e.g. no studies or data)

4. **Appropriate synthesis:** were the findings of the relevant studies combined (or not combined) appropriately relative to the primary question the review addresses and the available data? (+ yes; ? can't tell/partially; – no, NA not applicable; e.g. no studies or data)

5. **Exploratory factors:** did the review examine the extent to which specific factors might explain differences in the results of the included studies? (+ yes; ? can't tell/partially; – no, NA not applicable; e.g. no studies or data)

6. **Overall:** how would you rate the methods used to analyse the findings relative to the primary question addressed in the review? (+ only minor limitations, – important limitations)

³C. Overall

1. **Other considerations:** are there any other aspects of the review not mentioned before which lead you to question the results? (+ yes; ? can't tell/partially; – no)

2. **Reliability of the review:** based on the above assessments of the methods how would you rate the reliability of the review? (+ only minor limitations, – important limitations)

Table 6. Key messages of included reviews

Delivery arrangement	Key messages
Who receives care and when	
Queuing strategies Ballini 2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Direct/open access and direct booking systems probably slightly decrease median waiting times and may decrease mean waiting times in hospital settings <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The effects of direct/open access and direct booking systems on mean waiting times in outpatient settings, and on the proportion of patients waiting less than a recommended time are uncertain. ➤ The effects of other interventions to reduce waiting times, including increasing the supply of services, are uncertain ➤ The included studies were from high-income countries.
Group vs individual care Catling 2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ In high-income countries, group compared to individual antenatal care probably reduces the number of preterm births, while having little or no effect on the number of low birthweight and small for gestational age newborns; and it may have little or no effect on perinatal mortality ➤ The applicability of the findings of this review to low-income countries is uncertain ➤ The effects, costs and cost-effectiveness of group antenatal care should be evaluated in large randomised trials in low-income countries
Who provides care	
Pre-licensure education Pariyo 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ There is little evidence of the effects of interventions to increase the capacity of health professional training institutions, reduce student dropout rates or increase the number of students recruited from other countries into health professional training institutions ➤ Academic advising programmes for minority groups may: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● increase the number of minority students enrolled in health sciences; ● slightly increase retention through to graduation;

Table 6. Key messages of included reviews (Continued)

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● decrease differences in retention levels through to graduation between minority and non-minority students in the health sciences. ➤ No studies were found of the effects of other pre-licensure measures to increase health worker supply
<p>Recruitment and retention strategies Grobler 2015</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ It is uncertain whether any of the following types of interventions to recruit or retain health professionals increase the number of health professionals practising in underserved areas <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Educational interventions (e.g. student selection criteria, undergraduate and postgraduate teaching curricula, exposure to rural and urban underserved areas). ● Financial interventions (e.g. undergraduate and postgraduate bursaries or scholarships linked to future practice location, rural allowances, increased public sector salaries). ● Regulatory strategies (e.g. compulsory community service, relaxing work regulations imposed on foreign medical graduates who are willing to work in rural or urban underserved areas). ● Personal and professional support strategies (e.g. providing adequate professional support and attending to the needs of the practitioner's family).
<p>Role expansion or task shifting - Lay health workers: hypertension Brownstein 2007</p>	<p>In people with hypertension:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Community health workers (CHWs) probably improve behavioural changes (such as appointment keeping and adherence to medication), blood pressure control, and the 5-year mortality rate ➤ CHWs may slightly improve healthcare utilisation and health systems outcomes (such as reduced hospital admissions) ➤ All the included studies took place in a high-income country but mainly in poor communities
<p>Role expansion or task shifting - Lay health workers: delivery of community-based neonatal care packages Lassi 2015</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Community mobilisation and antenatal and postnatal home visitation decreases neonatal mortality ➤ The following community-based intervention packages probably reduce neonatal mortality <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Community-support groups or women's groups. ● Community mobilisation and home-based neonatal treatment. ➤ The following community-based intervention packages may reduce neonatal mortality <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Training traditional birth attendants who make antenatal and intrapartum home visits. ● Home-based neonatal care and treatment. ● Education of mothers and antenatal and postnatal visitation. ➤ The following community-based intervention packages may reduce maternal mortality <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Community mobilisation and antenatal and postnatal

Table 6. Key messages of included reviews (Continued)

	<p>home visitation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Community-support groups or women's groups. • Community mobilisation and home-based neonatal treatment. • Training traditional birth attendants who make antenatal and intrapartum home visits.
<p>Role expansion or task shifting - Lay health workers: maternal and child health and infectious diseases Lewin 2010</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The use of lay health workers in maternal and child health programmes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • probably leads to an increase in the number of women who breastfeed; • probably leads to an increase in the number of children with up-to-date immunisation schedules; • may lead to fewer deaths among children under five years; • may lead to fewer children who suffer from fever, diarrhoea and pneumonia; • may increase the number of parents who seek help for their sick child. • No studies looked at the impact of lay health workers on maternal mortality. ➤ The use of lay health workers in tuberculosis programmes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • probably leads to an increase in the number of people with tuberculosis who are cured; • probably makes little or no difference to the number of people who complete preventive treatment for tuberculosis. ➤ Little evidence is available regarding the effectiveness of substituting lay health workers for health professionals or the effectiveness of alternative strategies for training, supporting and sustaining lay health workers ➤ Factors that need to be considered when assessing whether intervention effects are likely to be transferable to other settings include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the availability of routine data on who might benefit from the intervention; • the availability of resources for the lay health worker programme, for clinical and managerial support, and for supplies.
<p>Role expansion or task shifting - Midlevel health professionals: non-doctor providers for abortion care Ngo 2013</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Surgical aspiration abortion procedures administered by midlevel providers probably lead to little or no difference in incomplete and failed abortions, compared to doctors ➤ Surgical aspiration abortion procedures administered by midlevel providers probably lead to slightly more complications, compared to doctors ➤ Medical abortion procedures administered by midlevel providers probably lead to slightly less incomplete and failed abortions, compared to doctors ➤ Factors that need to be considered when assessing the transferability of the findings to a low-income setting include the avail-

Table 6. Key messages of included reviews (Continued)

	<p>ability of doctors to perform abortion procedures, the availability and training of midlevel providers to perform surgical and medical abortions and the abortion rates and incidence of unsafe abortion procedures</p>
<p>Role expansion or task shifting - Healthcare providers giving additional social support to pregnant women vs usual care Hodnett 2010</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Compared to usual care, providing additional social support during an at-risk pregnancy probably leads to fewer caesarean births and may lead to fewer antenatal hospital admissions ➤ Compared to usual care, providing additional social support during an at-risk pregnancy probably has little or no effect on the incidence of low birth weight, preterm births, or perinatal deaths ➤ The studies included in this review were conducted among socially disadvantaged groups in middle- and high-income countries. Disadvantaged groups in some high- and middle-income countries may share similar characteristics to disadvantaged groups in low-income countries, and the results of these studies may therefore be transferable to low-income country settings
<p>Role expansion or task shifting - Midlevel health professionals: midwife-led care in pregnancy Sandall 2013</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ In high-income countries, midwife-led care compared to other models of care for childbearing women and their infants: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● reduces preterm births (less than 37 weeks); ● reduces overall foetal loss and neonatal deaths; ● increases spontaneous vaginal births; ● reduces instrumental vaginal births (use of forceps or vacuum); <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● decreases the use of regional analgesia (epidural/spinal). ➤ In addition, midwife-led care compared to other models of care probably reduces caesarean births and increases the number of women with an intact perineum ➤ None of the included studies took place in a low-income country, and the transferability of this evidence is uncertain
<p>Role expansion or task shifting - Clinical officers vs physician for caesarean section Wilson 2011</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ It is uncertain whether there are any differences in maternal or perinatal mortality between caesarean sections performed by non-physician clinicians and by doctors ➤ Non-physician clinicians performing caesarean sections may lead to slightly more wound infections and occurrences of wound dehiscence than doctors ➤ All six studies included in this systematic review were from low-income countries
<p>Role expansion or task shifting - Non specialists vs specialists providers for mental health Van Ginneken 2013</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The use of non-specialist health workers in the care of adults with depression, anxiety or both: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● may increase the number of adults who recover two to six months after treatment; ● may reduce symptoms for mothers with depression. ➤ The use of non-specialist health workers in the care of adults with dementia: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● probably slightly improves the symptoms of people with

Table 6. Key messages of included reviews (Continued)

	<p>dementia;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● probably improves the mental well-being, burden and distress of caregivers to people with dementia. ➤ The use of non-specialist health workers may decrease the quantity of alcohol consumed in problem drinkers ➤ The use of non-specialist health workers or teachers may reduce the symptoms in adults with post-traumatic stress disorder ➤ It is uncertain whether lay health workers or teachers reduce post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms among children ➤ Most of the included studies took place in low-resource settings
<p>Role expansion or task shifting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Specialist nursing post added to hospital nurse staffing - Dietary assistants added to hospital nurse staffing <p>Butler 2011</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The addition of a specialist nursing post to staffing may decrease patient length of stay; and may lead to little or no difference in in-hospital mortality, readmissions, attendance at emergency departments within 30 days, or postdischarge adverse events ➤ Adding support staff (dietary assistants) to nurse staffing may decrease mortality in trauma units, in hospital, and at 4 months after discharge ➤ Team midwifery shortens the length of stay in special care nurseries for infants, slightly shortens the length of stay in hospital for women giving birth, and probably leads to little or no difference in perinatal deaths ➤ None of the included studies took place in a low-income country
<p>Role expansion or task shifting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Physician-nurse substitution <p>Martínez-González 2014</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Nurse-led care probably leads to a lower systolic blood pressure and lower CD4 cell counts in HIV/AIDS patients compared to physician-led care ➤ Nurse-led care compared to physician-led care probably leads to little or no difference in other clinical parameters, such as diastolic blood pressure, total cholesterol level, and glycosylated haemoglobin concentrations ➤ Most of the studies took place in high-income countries. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The applicability of the findings may be affected by cultural and economic differences, patient populations, services provided in primary care settings, and the availability and level of nurses' skills.
<p>Role expansion or task shifting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Pharmacists delivering non-dispensing services to patients <p>Pande 2013</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The provision of additional services by pharmacists targeted at patients, such as patient health education and follow-up, may lead to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● a decrease in the rate of hospitalisation, general practice visits and emergency room visits; ● a reduction in patients' medication costs; ● improvements in some clinical outcomes. ➤ The provision of additional services by pharmacists targeted at healthcare professionals, such as educational outreach visits, may improve patient outcomes ➤ The applicability of the findings to low-income countries may be limited by pharmacist numbers, patients and physicians' atti-

Table 6. Key messages of included reviews (Continued)

	tudes to pharmacists, pharmacists' training, and laws governing pharmaceutical practice
Role expansion or task shifting - Skilled birth attendants Yakoob 2011	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Skilled birth attendance may reduce stillbirths and perinatal mortality ➤ It is uncertain what the effects of alternative ways of providing emergency obstetric care are on stillbirths or perinatal mortality
Role expansion or task shifting - Dental care by dental therapists Wright 2013	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ It is uncertain whether midlevel providers decrease the incidence, prevalence or severity of dental caries, or increase treatment of caries ➤ None of the included studies took place in a low-income country
Coordination of care	
Care pathways - Improved pre-hospital trauma systems vs no systems Henry 2012	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Pre-hospital trauma systems may reduce mortality. ➤ Pre-hospital trauma systems may reduce the response time from injury to first medical contact in the field ➤ Most of the included studies took place in middle-income countries
Care pathways - Rapid response systems in hospitals vs no systems Maharaj 2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Rapid-response systems for hospitalised adults may slightly reduce hospital mortality and cardiopulmonary arrests outside of intensive care units; and may lead to little or no difference in admissions to intensive care units ➤ Rapid-response systems for hospitalised children may slightly reduce cardiopulmonary arrests outside of intensive care units, and the effects on hospital mortality and admissions to intensive care units are uncertain ➤ None of the included studies took place in a low-income country
Care pathways - Hospital clinical pathways vs usual care Rotter 2010	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Clinical pathways compared to usual care in hospitals probably decrease the length of stay and may decrease complications and hospital readmissions ➤ It is uncertain whether clinical pathways reduce in-hospital mortality or hospital costs ➤ Multifaceted interventions that include a clinical pathway probably lead to little or no difference in hospital mortality and may lead to little or no difference in length of stay or hospital costs ➤ It is uncertain whether multifaceted interventions that include a clinical pathway decrease hospital complication or readmissions ➤ Almost all the evaluations of clinical pathways have been conducted in high-income economies
Case management - Children with pneumonia - Community-based with antibiotics - Hospital-based with oxygen or Vitamin Theodoratou 2010	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Community case management of pneumonia may reduce all-cause mortality and mortality due to acute lower respiratory infection ➤ All studies took place in low- and middle-income countries

Table 6. Key messages of included reviews (Continued)

<p>Case management - People living with HIV/AIDS Handford 2006</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➔ Case management may reduce mortality and the number of emergency department visits among people living with HIV/AIDS. Other effects of case management are uncertain ➔ Computer prompts probably hasten initiation of recommended treatments for patients with HIV/AIDS. Other effects of computer prompts and information systems are uncertain ➔ The effects of multidisciplinary or multifaceted interventions are uncertain <p>All the studies reviewed took place in high-income countries</p>
<p>Communication between providers - Interactive communication between primary care doctors and specialists vs usual care Foy 2010</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➔ Interactive communication between primary care physicians and specialists probably leads to substantial improvements in patient outcomes ➔ Although the population samples in the included studies were patients with diabetes and psychiatric conditions in high-income countries, the consistency of effects suggests the potential of interactive communication to improve the effectiveness of primary care/specialist collaboration across other conditions and settings <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➔ When assessing the transferability of these findings to low-income country settings, the availability and accessibility of specialist care in these settings should be considered as well as the technology required for interactive communication
<p>Coordination of care to reduce rehospitalisation - Pre, post discharge interventions vs usual care - Transition interventions vs usual care Hansen 2011</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➔ It is uncertain whether pre-discharge interventions reduce rehospitalisation ➔ Postdischarge interventions may lead to little if any difference in rehospitalisation ➔ It is uncertain whether patient-centred discharge instructions reduce rehospitalisation ➔ Inpatient-outpatient provider continuity may slightly reduce rehospitalisation ➔ It is uncertain whether interactions between patients and nurses before and after discharge to support patient self-care reduce rehospitalisation ➔ No studies conducted in low-income countries were identified
<p>Discharge planning - Hospital discharge planning vs usual care Gonçalves-Bradley 2016</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➔ In high-income countries: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● discharge planning probably reduces unscheduled readmission rates at 3 months for patients admitted with a medical condition and the length of hospital stays. ● discharge planning may lead to increased satisfaction for patients and healthcare professionals. ● the effect of discharge planning on unscheduled readmissions for patients admitted to hospital following a fall and the costs or savings of discharge planning are uncertain. ➔ The effects of discharge planning in low-income countries are uncertain since no studies took place in these settings <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● the impacts of discharge planning on the length of hospital stays, unscheduled readmission rates, and health outcomes

Table 6. Key messages of included reviews (Continued)

	<p>might depend on the availability of community care and the capacity of health professionals in the hospital to prepare and implement discharge plans based on individual patient needs.</p>
<p>Integration - Adding a service to an existing service vs services with no addition - Integrated vs vertical delivery models Dudley 2011</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Adding family planning to other services probably increases the utilisation of family planning; but probably results in little or no difference in the number of new pregnancies ➤ Adding provider-initiated HIV counselling and testing to sexually transmitted infection services and to TB services probably increases the number of people receiving HIV testing ➤ Integrating sexually transmitted infection services for female sexual partners of truck drivers into routine primary care may reduce women's utilisation of these services and their attendance following referral ➤ Integrated community and facility provision of HIV prevention and control improves the proportion of STIs treated effectively in males but leads to little or no difference in the proportion treated effectively in females ➤ Integrated community and facility provision of HIV prevention and control results in little or no difference in sexually transmitted disease incidence or HIV incidence in the population ➤ 'Integration' is a complex intervention and is understood in different ways in different settings. Evaluations need to clearly describe the interventions being compared, including how services are integrated in practice
<p>Integration Oyo-Ita 2016</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Integrating vaccination with other healthcare services may increase DTP3 and measles vaccine coverage and may have little or no effect on BCG coverage
<p>Referral systems - Healthcare delivery of organisational interventions vs no intervention for referral from primary to secondary care Akbari 2008</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Professional education that includes guidelines, checklists, video materials and educational outreach by specialists probably improves the quantity and quality of referrals ➤ Joint primary care practitioner and consultant sessions probably result in improved patient outcomes ➤ Organisational interventions that may improve referral rates and referral appropriateness include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● the provision of physiotherapy services in primary care; ● obtaining a second, in-house assessment of referrals; ● dedicated appointment slots at secondary levels for each primary care practice. ➤ Professional education that only includes the passive dissemination of referral guidelines probably leads to little or no difference in both the quantity and quality of referrals ➤ The effects of financial incentives on referral rates are uncertain
<p>Referral systems - Nurse vs physician triage systems in emergency departments Rowe 2011</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Physician-led triage compared to nurse-led triage probably reduces emergency department length of stay, physician's initial assessment time, and the proportion of patients leaving without be-

Table 6. Key messages of included reviews (Continued)

	<p>ing seen</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ It may lead to little or no difference in the proportion of patients leaving the emergency department against medical advice ➤ None of the included studies took place in a low-income country
<p>Teams - Team midwifery vs standard care Butler 2011</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Team midwifery shortens the length of stay in special care nurseries for infants, slightly shortens the length of stay in hospital for women giving birth, and probably leads to little or no difference in perinatal deaths ➤ None of the included studies took place in a low-income country
<p>Teams - Multidisciplinary team care for people living with HIV/AIDS vs no team Young 2010</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Intensive home-based care delivered by nurses to people living with HIV and AIDS: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● probably improves their knowledge about HIV and about HIV medications and may improve adherence to medication; ● probably leads to little or no difference in their CD4 counts and viral loads and may improve their physical functioning. ➤ Multi-professional team care in the home, compared with usual care by primary care nurses, may lead to little or no difference in the quality of life, time in care or survival of people living with HIV and AIDS ➤ Information, communication and decision support via a computer in the homes of people living with AIDS may lead to little or no difference in health status or decision-making skills and confidence but may slightly reduce people's social isolation and improve their quality of life ➤ It is uncertain whether exercise at home improves the physical functioning, well-being, body composition measures or biochemical measures of people living with HIV and AIDS ➤ Home-based safe water systems probably reduce the frequency and severity of diarrhoea among people living with HIV and AIDS
<p>Teams - Practice-based interventions to promote collaboration vs no intervention Reeves 2017</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The review identified 4 types of interprofessional collaboration interventions: externally facilitated interprofessional activities, interprofessional meetings, interprofessional checklists and interprofessional rounds ➤ It is uncertain if externally facilitated interprofessional activities improve collaborative working, team communication, co-ordination, patient-assessed quality of care or continuity of care ➤ The use of externally facilitated interprofessional activities or interprofessional meetings may slightly improve adherence to recommended practices and prescription of medicines ➤ None of the included studies assessed outcomes related to patient mortality, morbidity or complication rates ➤ Interprofessional checklists, interprofessional rounds and externally facilitated interprofessional activities may slightly improve overall use of resources and slightly decrease length of hospital stay and costs ➤ The studies included in the review were very varied in terms of

Table 6. Key messages of included reviews (Continued)

	<p>the types of professionals included, the tasks performed, the degree of interaction, and the populations and health issues considered. In addition, all of the studies took place in high-income countries</p>
<p>Where care is provided</p>	
<p>Site of service delivery - HIV voluntary counselling and testing (VCT) at an optional location Bateganya 2010</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Offering people a choice of settings in which to receive VCT, including at home, may increase <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● acceptance of HIV pre-test counselling and HIV testing; and ● acceptance of HIV post-test counselling and receipt of HIV test results. ➤ People's preferred location for HIV VCT is uncertain. This outcome was not reported ➤ The review findings come from one setting in a low-income country and may not be relevant to all settings
<p>Site of service delivery - Units dedicated to care for people living with HIV/AIDS - Institutions managing a high volume of people living with HIV/AIDS Handford 2006</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Units dedicated to AIDS care and high-volume institutions may reduce mortality among people living with HIV/AIDS ➤ High volume institutions probably reduce the number of emergency department visits and the length of hospital stays among people living with HIV/AIDS ➤ The effects of other interventions related to the setting of care, such as outreach or interventions to reduce travel time to providers, are uncertain
<p>Site of service delivery - Home-based care for people living with HIV/AIDS - Home-based care with multidisciplinary team care for people living with HIV/AIDS vs other delivery options Young 2010</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Intensive home-based care delivered by nurses to people living with HIV and AIDS: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● probably improves their knowledge about HIV and about HIV medications and may improve adherence to medication; ● probably leads to little or no difference in their CD4 counts and viral loads and may improve their physical functioning. ➤ Multi-professional team care in the home, compared with usual care by primary care nurses, may lead to little or no difference in the quality of life, time in care or survival of people living with HIV and AIDS ➤ Information, communication and decision support via a computer in the homes of people living with AIDS may lead to little or no difference in health status or decision-making skills and confidence but may slightly reduce people's social isolation and improve their quality of life ➤ It is uncertain whether exercise at home improves the physical functioning, well-being, body composition measures or biochemical measures of people living with HIV and AIDS ➤ Home-based safe water systems probably reduce the frequency and severity of diarrhoea among people living with HIV and AIDS

Table 6. Key messages of included reviews (Continued)

<p>Site of service delivery - Home-based management of malaria (presumptive treatment of children with symptoms) vs usual care Okwundu 2013</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Home- or community-based programmes for treating malaria: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● probably increase the number of children who are treated promptly with an effective antimalaria medicine; ● probably reduce all-cause mortality; ● may have little or no effect on the prevalence of anaemia. ➤ The effects of home- or community-based programmes for treating malaria on hospitalisations, severe malaria, the prevalence of parasitaemia, and adverse effects are uncertain ➤ The use of rapid diagnostic tests in home- or community-based programmes for treating malaria, compared to clinical diagnosis: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● probably reduces the number of children treated with antimalarials; ● may have little or no effect on all-cause mortality and hospitalisations. ➤ The effects of using rapid diagnostic tests in home- or community-based programmes for treating malaria on treatment failures, severe malaria, the prevalence of parasitaemia, anaemia, and adverse effects are uncertain
<p>Site of service delivery - Strategies for increasing ownership and use of insecticide-treated bednets Augustincic 2015</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Providing free insecticide-treated bednets compared to providing subsidised or full market price bednets probably increases the number of pregnant women, adults and children who possess insecticide-treated bednets but probably leads to little or no difference in appropriate use of bednets ➤ Education about appropriate use of insecticide-treated bednets may increase the number of adults and children under five sleeping under bednets ➤ Providing incentives to encourage the use of insecticide-treated bednets may lead to little or no difference in use ➤ The included studies took place in rural communities in Africa, India and Iran
<p>Site of service delivery - Home care (different models) vs facility Parker 2013</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Compared with hospital care, home care may lead to little or no difference in re-admissions or the time spent by families caring for children with acute physical conditions. Home care for children with acute physical conditions probably increases healthcare costs but decreases costs incurred by families in the UK ➤ For children with traumatic brain injury, home rehabilitation compared with clinic-based rehabilitation may slightly improve mental functioning. The effects on adverse events, family and caregivers, and costs were not reported ➤ For children with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia, home chemotherapy compared with hospital chemotherapy may slightly improve their quality of life and may lead to little or no difference in adverse events or family costs. The impact on family and caregivers is uncertain ➤ None of the studies included in the review took place in low-income countries and none reported effects on mortality

Table 6. Key messages of included reviews (Continued)

<p>Site of service delivery - Maternity waiting home vs no waiting homes Van Lonkhuijzen 2012</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The effects of maternity waiting homes on perinatal and maternal mortality and morbidity in low-resource settings are uncertain <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● No studies were found that met the inclusion criteria of this review. ● Well-conducted studies are needed to evaluate the effects of maternity waiting homes in low-resource settings. ➤ Related literature suggests that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● maternity waiting homes may be a relevant option for rural populations with limited access to emergency obstetric care; ● the planning of maternity waiting homes should address barriers to access, financial costs, lack of transportation, lack of privacy, poor hygiene, a lack of basic necessities such as water and food, and the attitudes of staff.
<p>Site of service delivery - Community-based interventions for childhood diarrhoea and pneumonia vs routine care Das 2013</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Community-based interventions probably increase care seeking for diarrhoea in children, increase use of oral rehydration solution, and reduce mortality due to diarrhoea among children age 0-4 years ➤ Community-based interventions probably increase care seeking for pneumonia in children, increase use of antibiotics, and reduce mortality due to acute pneumonia among children age 0-4 years
<p>Site of service delivery - Early discharge from hospital for mothers and infants born at term vs standard discharge Brown 2007</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Early discharge may lead to little or no difference in the number of infant or maternal readmissions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Higher levels of postnatal support may influence this outcome. ➤ Early discharge may lead to little or no difference in breastfeeding rates at two months ➤ The effect of early discharge on the cost of care is uncertain <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Although the costs of hospitalisation are probably lower in the early discharge group, the postnatal costs associated with early postnatal discharge from hospital and total costs are uncertain. ➤ All the included studies took place in high-income countries <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The effects in low-income countries might be different because of differences in the availability of practical support for mothers who are discharged early, the availability of postnatal support in the community, and the quality of care in hospitals or other facilities.
<p>Site of service delivery - Out-of-facility vs facility-based HIV and reproductive health services for youth Denno 2012</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Few studies that included data comparing out-of-facility services with facility-based services took place in low- and middle-income countries ➤ Improved access to self-test kits probably leads to more young people being screened for chlamydia compared to clinic-based testing ➤ Access to emergency contraception through pharmacies without a doctor's prescription ('over-the-counter' access) may increase non-prescription emergency contraception use, but may

Table 6. Key messages of included reviews (Continued)

	<p>have mixed effects on overall use of emergency contraception with increases in some settings but not others</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The distribution of condoms and health education messages by street outreach workers may increase condom use ➤ It is uncertain whether street and youth centre-based outreach improves follow through on HIV referral for homeless or street-based youth ➤ It is uncertain whether the use of community youth programme promoters and integrated youth centres increase the use of contraceptives ➤ It is uncertain whether members of the poorest households are more likely to use home-based counselling and testing for HIV, compared to those living in wealthier households
<p>Site of service delivery - Decentralised vs centralised HIV care for initiation and maintenance of anti-retroviral therapy Kredo 2013</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Partial decentralisation of HIV treatment (starting care at hospital and then moving to health centre care) probably reduces the combined number of people who die or are lost to care at one year and may reduce the costs of travel for patients ➤ Full decentralisation of HIV treatment (starting and continuing care at a health centre) probably reduces the number of people lost to care but it is uncertain if it reduces deaths at one year ➤ Decentralisation of HIV treatment from facility to community probably leads to little or no difference in the number of people who die or are lost to care at one year ➤ Decentralisation of HIV treatment from facility to community may reduce total costs to people living with HIV and AIDS and to the health service ➤ Most of the included studies took place in low-income countries
<p>Site of service delivery - Workplace programmes for HIV and tuberculosis vs no programme Yassi 2013</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Workplace programmes for health workers may increase the uptake of HIV testing ➤ Workplace programmes for health workers may increase awareness of post-exposure prophylaxis to prevent HIV infection ➤ Onsite compared with offsite rapid HIV testing may increase the uptake of voluntary counselling and testing among workers in sectors other than health ➤ Workplace programmes offering free antiretroviral therapy may improve markers of effective antiretroviral therapy among workers living with HIV and AIDS in sectors other than health ➤ All studies included in this review took place in low- and middle-income countries
<p>Information and communication technology</p>	
<p>E-Health - Mobile phone messaging for long-term illnesses vs usual care De Jongh 2012</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Mobile phone messaging support probably leads to little or no difference in people's knowledge about their diabetes but may improve people's self-efficacy in relation to their diabetes ➤ Mobile phone messaging support probably leads to little or no difference in adherence to diabetes medication in young people

Table 6. Key messages of included reviews (Continued)

	<p>with diabetes or care plan adherence in people with asthma but probably improves medication adherence in people with hypertension</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Mobile phone messaging support for people living with diabetes probably leads to little or no difference in glycaemic control and may lead to little or no difference in diabetes complications ➤ Mobile phone messaging support for people living with asthma or hypertension may lead to little or no difference in control of these conditions ➤ It is uncertain whether mobile phone messaging support changes health service utilisation by people living with diabetes and asthma ➤ All of the studies took place in high-income countries and the applicability of the findings to low-income countries is likely to vary, depending on the availability of the technological infrastructure required and factors such as levels of patient literacy and the acceptability of this intervention among different groups
<p>E-Health - Mobile phone messaging reminders for attendance at healthcare appointments vs various other interventions Gurol-Urganci 2013</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Mobile phone text message reminders compared with no reminders probably lead to an increase in attendance at healthcare appointments ➤ Mobile phone text message reminders probably lead to little or no difference in attendance at healthcare appointments compared to phone call reminders. However, the cost per text message per attendance may be lower compared to the cost of mobile phone call reminders ➤ Mobile phone text message reminders plus postal reminders may lead to improved attendance at healthcare appointments compared to postal reminders alone
<p>E-Health - Mobile phone messaging to promote adherence to antiretroviral therapy vs usual care Mbuagbaw 2013</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Mobile phone text messages compared to standard care improve adherence to ART for up to 12 months ➤ Mobile phone text messages compared to standard care may lead to little or no difference in mortality or loss to follow-up after up to 12 months ➤ Weekly text messages probably improve adherence compared to daily text messages, and interactive text messages probably improve adherence compared to non-interactive text messages ➤ All studies took place in low-income countries in Africa.
<p>Health information systems - Women carrying their own case notes in pregnancy vs less detailed health cards Brown 2011</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Women carrying their own case notes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● may lead to an increase in assisted deliveries; ● may lead to a slight increase in epidural analgesia; ● may lead to little or no difference in miscarriages, stillbirths or neonatal deaths, breastfeeding initiation, or smoking cessation; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● probably feel more in control and involved in decision-making about their care, and want to carry their notes again in subsequent pregnancies; ● may be slightly more satisfied with antenatal care; and

Table 6. Key messages of included reviews (Continued)

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● may lead to little or no difference in availability of complete antenatal records at the time of delivery or loss of case notes. ➤ These findings are based on a few small trials in high-income countries. Factors that should be considered in applying the findings of this review to low-income country settings include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● access to and utilisation of antenatal care; ● literacy rates of women and care providers.
<p>Patient reminder and recall systems - Interventions to improve childhood vaccination vs usual care Oyo-Ita 2016 Jacobson Vann 2005</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Health education combined with reminders may increase DTP3 coverage ➤ Reminders and recall strategies probably increase routine childhood vaccination uptake <p>Related findings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Community-based health education probably improves coverage of three doses of diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis vaccine (DTP3). However, the impacts of facility-based health education on coverage of DTP3 may vary from little or no effect to potentially important benefits ➤ Household monetary incentives may have little or no effect on achieving full vaccination coverage ➤ Home visits may improve OPV3 and measles coverage.
<p>Quality and safety systems</p>	
<p>Quality/safety monitoring and improvement system - Medication review for hospitalised adult patients vs standard care Christensen 2016</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Medication review may lead to little or no difference in mortality or hospital readmissions ➤ Medication review may reduce hospital emergency department contacts ➤ None of the studies took place in a low- or middle-income country
<p>Quality monitoring and improvement systems - Interventions to improve antibiotic prescribing to hospital inpatients Davey 2013</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Restrictive interventions may improve antibiotic prescribing at one month but may lead to little or no difference in antibiotic prescribing at longer follow-up compared with persuasive interventions ➤ Interventions intended to decrease unnecessary antibiotic prescribing probably lead to little or no difference in all-cause mortality ➤ It is uncertain whether interventions intended to decrease unnecessary antibiotic prescribing affect the length of stay or readmissions ➤ Interventions intended to increase effective antibiotic prescribing for pneumonia may decrease mortality ➤ None of the included studies took place in a low-income country
<p>Quality monitoring and improvement systems - Decision support to improve healthcare process and health outcomes for people living with HIV/AIDS - Decision support with clinical information system to improve</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Decision support may improve adherence to recommended practice by health professionals and adherence to treatment by patients. It is uncertain if it improves health outcomes or healthcare utilisation

Table 6. Key messages of included reviews (Continued)

<p>healthcare process and health outcomes for people living with HIV/AIDS Pasricha 2012</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Clinical information systems probably increase the proportion of patients with a suppressed HIV load, and may increase adherence to recommended practice by health professionals and adherence to treatment by patients. It is uncertain whether they improve healthcare utilisation ➤ Combinations of decision support and clinical information systems may improve adherence to recommended practice by health professionals and adherence to treatment by patients. It is uncertain if they change at-risk behaviours, health outcomes or healthcare utilisation ➤ Few studies took place in low-income countries.
<p>Working conditions of health workers</p>	
<p>Staff support - Managerial supervision to improve quality of primary health care Bosch-Capblanch 2011</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Managerial supervision may improve provider practices and knowledge compared with no supervision ➤ It is uncertain whether managerial supervision improves medicine stock management ➤ It is uncertain whether 'enhanced' managerial supervision (e.g. increased supervision, the use of tools such as checklists) improves the performance of lay or community health workers or midwives; the proportion of children receiving adequate care; or patient and health worker satisfaction ➤ 'Less intensive' managerial supervision (e.g. fewer visits) may lead to little or no difference in the number of new family planning client visits or the number of clients that re-visit ➤ The need for additional resources for managerial supervision needs to be addressed when developing policies for and implementing supervision strategies ➤ When implementing managerial supervision, other factors such as whether the healthcare system and organisational culture of healthcare teams are centralised or decentralised should also be considered
<p>Staff support Oyo-Ita 2016</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Training vaccination managers to provide supportive supervision for healthcare provider may have little or no effect on coverage of DTP, oral polio vaccine (OPV) and hepatitis B virus (HBV) vaccine
<p>Complex interventions cutting across delivery categories and across the other overviews</p>	
<p>Package of multiple interventions - Emergency obstetric referral interventions Hussein 2012</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Emergency referral interventions may lead to a reduction in maternal mortality ➤ Emergency referrals probably lead to a reduction in neonatal mortality ➤ The effect of emergency referral interventions on stillbirths is uncertain ➤ None of the included studies reported cost outcomes; the cost implications of emergency referral interventions are therefore un-

Table 6. Key messages of included reviews (Continued)

certain ➤ The included studies took place in low- and middle-income countries and are likely applicable to other low-income country settings

Table 7. Intervention-outcome matrix

Delivery arrangement	Patient outcome	Access, coverage, utilisation	Quality of care	Resource use	Social outcomes	Impacts on equity	Health care provider outcomes	Adverse effects	Other
Who receives care and when									
Queuing strategies Ballini 2015	NR	NR	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ² ? ⊕⊕⊕⊕ 3	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Group vs individual care Catling 2015	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁴ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁵ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁶	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Who provides care									
Pre-licensure education Pariyo 2009	NR	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁷	NR	NR	NR	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁸	NR	NR	NR
Recruitment and retention strategies Grobler 2015	NR	?⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁹	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Role expansion or task shifting - Lay health workers: hypertension	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁰	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁰	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹¹	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹¹	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR

Table 7. Intervention-outcome matrix (Continued)

Brownstein 2007									
Role expansion or task shifting - Community-based intervention packages that include additional training of outreach workers Lassi 2015	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹² ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹³ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁴ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁵	NR	NS	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Role expansion or task shifting - Lay health workers: maternal and child care and infectious diseases Lewin 2010	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁶ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁷ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁸	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁹ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²⁰ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²¹	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Role expansion or task shifting - Midlevel health professionals: non-doctor providers for abortion care Ngo 2013	×⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²² ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²³	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR

Table 7. Intervention-outcome matrix (Continued)

<p>Role expansion or task shifting - Healthcare providers giving additional social support to pregnant women vs usual care Hodnett 2010</p>	<p>✓⊕⊕⊕⊕²⁴ ⊕⊕⊕⊕²⁵ ⊕⊕⊕⊕²⁶</p>	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
<p>Role expansion or task shifting - Physician-nurse substitution Martínez-González 2014</p>	<p>✓⊕⊕⊕⊕²⁷ ⊕⊕⊕⊕²⁸</p>	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
<p>Role expansion or task shifting - Midlevel health professionals: midwife-led care in pregnancy Sandall 2013</p>	<p>✓⊕⊕⊕⊕²⁹ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕³⁰</p>	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ³¹	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
<p>Role expansion or task shifting - Clinical officers/non-physi-</p>	<p>?⊕⊕⊕⊕³² ×⊕⊕⊕⊕³³</p>	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR

Table 7. Intervention-outcome matrix (Continued)

<p>cian clinicians/associate clinicians vs physician for caesarean section Wilson 2011</p>									
<p>Role expansion or task shifting - Non-specialist providers vs specialist providers for mental health Van Ginneken 2013</p>	<p>⊕⊕⊕⊕³⁴ ✓⊕⊕⊕³⁵ ✓⊕⊕⊕³⁶</p>	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
<p>Role expansion or task shifting - Specialist nursing post added to hospital nurse staffing Butler 2011</p>	<p>⊕⊕⊕⊕³⁷</p>	<p>✓⊕⊕⊕³⁸ ⊕⊕⊕⊕³⁹</p>	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
<p>- Dietary assistants added to hospital nurse staffing Butler 2011</p>	<p>⊕⊕⊕⊕⁴⁰ ✓⊕⊕⊕⁴¹</p>	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR

Table 7. Intervention-outcome matrix (Continued)

Role expansion or task shifting - Pharmacists delivering non-dispensing services to patients Pande 2013	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁴²	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁴³	NR	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁴⁴ ?⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁴⁵	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Role expansion or task shifting - Skilled birth attendant Yakoob 2011	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁴⁶ ?⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁴⁷	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Role expansion or task shifting - Dental care by dental therapists Wright 2013	?⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁴⁸	?⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁴⁹	NR	NS	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Coordination of care									
Care pathways - Improved pre-hospital trauma systems vs no systems Henry 2012	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁵⁰	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁵¹	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Care pathways - Rapid re-	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁵² ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁵³ ?⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁵⁴	⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁵⁵ ?⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁵⁶	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR

Table 7. Intervention-outcome matrix (Continued)

<p>response systems in hospitals vs no systems Maharaj 2015</p>									
<p>Care pathways - Hospital clinical pathways vs usual care Rotter 2010</p>	<p>⊕⊕⊕⊕⁵⁷ ✓⊕⊕⊕⁵⁸</p>	<p>✓⊕⊕⊕⁵⁹ ✓⊕⊕⊕⁶⁰</p>	NR	<p>?⊕⊕⊕⁶¹</p>	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
<p>Case management - Children with pneumonia Theodoratou 2010</p>	<p>✓⊕⊕⊕⁶² ✓⊕⊕⊕⁶³</p>	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
<p>Case management - People living with HIV/AIDS Handford 2006</p>	<p>✓⊕⊕⊕⁶⁴</p>	<p>✓⊕⊕⊕⁶⁵ ?⊕⊕⊕⁶⁶</p>		NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
<p>Communication between providers - Interactive communication between primary care doctors and specialists vs usual care Foy 2010</p>	<p>✓⊕⊕⊕⁶⁷</p>	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR

Table 7. Intervention-outcome matrix (Continued)

Coordination of care to reduce re-hospitalisation - Pre-/postdischarge interventions vs usual care Hansen 2011	NR	?⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁶⁸ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁶⁹	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
- Transition interventions vs usual care Hansen 2011	NR	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁷⁰ ?⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁷¹ ?⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁷²	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Discharge planning - Hospital discharge planning vs usual care Gonçalves-Bradley 2016	NR	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁷³ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁷⁴	NR	? ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁷⁵	NR	NR	NR	NR	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁷⁶
Integration - Adding a service to an existing service vs services with no addition Dudley 2011	⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁷⁷	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁷⁸	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁷⁹
- Integrated vs vertical delivery	⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁸⁰	× ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁸¹ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁸² ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁸³	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁸⁴ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁸⁵	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR

Table 7. Intervention-outcome matrix (Continued)

models Dudley 2011									
Referral systems - Organisational interventions vs no intervention for referral from primary to secondary care Akbari 2008	NR	NR	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁸⁶ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁸⁷	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Referral systems - Nurse vs physician triage systems in emergency departments Rowe 2011	NR	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁸⁸	⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁸⁹ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁹⁰ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁹¹	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Teams - Team midwifery vs standard care Butler 2011	⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁹²	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁹³ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁹⁴	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Teams - Practice-based interventions to promote collaboration vs no intervention Reeves	NR	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁹⁵	?⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁹⁶ ?⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁹⁷	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁹⁸	NR	NR	?⊕⊕⊕⊕ ⁹⁹	NR	NR

Table 7. Intervention-outcome matrix (Continued)

2017									
Where care is provided									
Site of service delivery - HIV voluntary counselling and testing (VCT) at an optional location vs VCT at clinic Bateganya 2010	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁰⁰
Site of service delivery - Units dedicated to care for people living with HIV/AIDS Handford 2006	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁰¹	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
- Institutions managing a high volume of people living with HIV/AIDS Handford 2006	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁰²	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁰³	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Site of service delivery - Inten-	⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁰⁴ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁰⁵ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁰⁶ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁰⁷	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁰⁹

Table 7. Intervention-outcome matrix (Continued)

sive home-based care delivered by nurses for people living with HIV/AIDS vs other delivery options Young 2010	⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁰⁸								
Site of service delivery - Multi-professional team care in the home for people living with HIV/AIDS vs usual care by primary care nurses Young 2010	⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹¹⁰ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹¹¹ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹¹²	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Site of service delivery - Exercise at home for people living with HIV/AIDS vs no exercise at home Young 2010	?⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹¹³	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR

Table 7. Intervention-outcome matrix (Continued)

Site of service delivery - Strategies for increasing ownership and use of insecticide-treated bednets Augustinic 2015	NR	NR	NR	NS	NS	NR	NR	NR	¹¹⁴ ¹¹⁵ ¹¹⁶ ¹¹⁷
Site of service delivery - Home- or community-based management of malaria (presumptive treatment of children with symptoms) vs usual care Okwundu 2013	¹¹⁸ ¹¹⁹ ¹²⁰	¹²¹ ¹²²	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	¹²³	NR
Site of service delivery - Use of rapid diagnostic tests in home- or community-based programmes for treating malaria vs clinical diagnosis	¹²⁴ ¹²⁵	¹²⁶ ¹²⁷	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	¹²⁸	NR

Table 7. Intervention-outcome matrix (Continued)

Okwundu 2013									
Site of service delivery - Home (different models) vs facility care for children with acute physical conditions Parker 2013	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹²⁹ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹³⁰	⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹³¹	NR	✓×⊕⊕⊕ ○ 132 ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹³³	⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹³⁴	NR	NR	⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹³⁵	
Site of service delivery - Maternity waiting home vs no waiting homes for pregnant women Van Lonkhuijzen 2012	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Site of service delivery - Community-based interventions for childhood diarrhoea and pneumonia vs routine care Das 2013	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹³⁶ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹³⁷	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹³⁸ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹³⁹	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR

Table 7. Intervention-outcome matrix (Continued)

Site of service delivery - Early discharge from hospital for mothers and infants born at term vs standard discharge Brown 2011	⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁴⁰	⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁴¹	NR	? ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁴²	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Site of service delivery - Out-of-facility vs facility-based HIV and reproductive health services for youth Denno 2012	NR	✓ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁴³ ✓ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁴⁴ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁴⁵ ✓ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁴⁶ ? ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁴⁷	? ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁴⁸	NR	NR	? ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁴⁹	NR	NR	NR
Site of service delivery - Decentralised vs centralised HIV care for initiation and maintenance of anti-retroviral therapy Kredo 2013	✓ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁵⁰ ✓ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁵¹ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁵² ? ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁵³	✓ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁵⁴ ✓ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁵⁵ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁵⁶	NR	✓ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁵⁷ ✓ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁵⁸	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR

Table 7. Intervention-outcome matrix (Continued)

Site of service delivery - Workplace programmes for HIV and tuberculosis diagnosis and treatment vs no programme Yassi 2013	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁵⁹	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁶⁰ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁶¹	NR	NR	NR	NR	NS	NR	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁶²
Information and communication technology									
E-Health - Mobile phone messaging for long-term illnesses vs usual care De Jongh 2012	⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁶³ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁶⁴ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁶⁵	⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁶⁶ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁶⁷ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁶⁸ ?⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁶⁹	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁷⁰ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁷¹
E-Health - Mobile phone messaging reminders for attendance at healthcare appointments vs various other interventions Guro-Urganci 2013	NR	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁷² ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁷³ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁷⁴	NR	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁷⁵	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR

Table 7. Intervention-outcome matrix (Continued)

<p>E-Health - Mobile phone messaging to promote adherence to antiretroviral therapy vs usual care Mbuagbaw 2013</p>	<p>0⊕⊕⊕⊕¹⁷⁶</p>	<p>NR</p>	<p>✓⊕⊕⊕⊕¹⁷⁷ ⊕⊕⊕⊕¹⁷⁸</p>	<p>NR</p>	<p>NR</p>	<p>NR</p>	<p>NR</p>	<p>NR</p>	<p>NR</p>
<p>Health information systems - Women carrying their own case notes in pregnancy vs less detailed health cards Brown 2007</p>	<p>⊕⊕⊕⊕¹⁷⁹</p>	<p>⊕⊕⊕⊕¹⁸⁰ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕¹⁸¹ ✓X⊕⊕⊕¹⁸² ⊖</p>	<p>NR</p>	<p>NR</p>	<p>NR</p>	<p>NR</p>	<p>NR</p>	<p>NR</p>	<p>⊕⊕⊕⊕¹⁸³ ⊕⊕⊕⊕¹⁸⁴ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕¹⁸⁵ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕¹⁸⁶</p>
<p>Patient reminder and recall systems - Interventions to improve childhood vaccination including reminders for routine childhood vaccination vs usual care Oyo-Ita 2016</p>	<p>NR</p>	<p>✓⊕⊕⊕⊕¹⁸⁷ ✓X⊕⊕⊕¹⁸⁸ ⊖¹⁸⁸ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕¹⁸⁹ ⊕⊕⊕⊕¹⁹⁰✓ ⊕⊕⊕⊕¹⁹¹ ⊕⊕⊕⊕¹⁹² ⊕⊕⊕⊕¹⁹³ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕¹⁹⁴ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕¹⁹⁵</p>	<p>NR</p>	<p>NR</p>	<p>NR</p>	<p>NR</p>	<p>NR</p>	<p>NR</p>	<p>NR</p>

Table 7. Intervention-outcome matrix (Continued)

Quality and safety systems									
Quality/safety monitoring and improvement systems - Medication review for hospitalised adult patients vs standard care Christensen 2016	⊕⊕⊕⊕ 196	⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁹⁷ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁹⁸	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Quality monitoring and improvement systems - Interventions to improve antibiotic prescribing to hospital inpatients Davey 2013	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ¹⁹⁹ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²⁰⁰	? ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²⁰¹	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²⁰² ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²⁰³	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Quality monitoring and improvement systems - Decision support to improve healthcare process and health	? ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²⁰⁴	? ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²⁰⁵ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²⁰⁶	✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²⁰⁷	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR

Table 7. Intervention-outcome matrix (Continued)

outcomes for people living with HIV/AIDS Pasricha 2012									
- Decision support with clinical information system to improve healthcare process and health outcomes for people living with HIV/AIDS Pasricha 2012	? ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²⁰⁸	? ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²⁰⁹ ✓ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²¹⁰	✓ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²¹¹	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	? ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²¹²
Clinical information systems to improve healthcare process and health outcomes for people living with HIV/AIDS Pasricha 2012	✓ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²¹³	✓ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²¹⁴ ? ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²¹⁵	✓ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²¹⁶						
Working conditions of health workers									
Staff support - Managerial supervision to improve	NR	? ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²¹⁷	✓ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²¹⁸ ? ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²¹⁹ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²²⁰	NR	NR	NR	? ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²²¹	NR	✓ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²²² ? ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²²³ ? ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²²⁴

Table 7. Intervention-outcome matrix (Continued)

quality of primary health care Bosch-Capblanch 2011									
Complex interventions cutting across delivery categories and across the other overviews									
Package of multi-interventions - Emergency obstetric referral interventions ¹¹² Hussein 2012	⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²²⁵ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²²⁶ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²²⁷ ⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²²⁸ ✓⊕⊕⊕⊕ ²²⁹	NR	NS	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
✓: a desirable effect, ⊕: little or no effect, ⊕?: an uncertain effect, ×: an undesirable effect, NS: no included studies, NR: not reported									

¹Ballini 2015: median waiting times in hospital settings.

²Ballini 2015: mean waiting times in hospital settings.

³Ballini 2015: mean waiting times in outpatient settings; proportion of patients waiting less than a recommended time.

⁴Catling 2015: number of preterm births.

⁵Catling 2015: number of low birthweight and small for gestational age newborns.

⁶Catling 2015: perinatal mortality.

⁷Pariyo 2009: the number of minority students enrolled in health sciences; retention through to graduation.

⁸Pariyo 2009: differences in retention levels through to graduation between minority and non-minority students in the health sciences.

⁹Grobler 2015: the number of health professionals practising in underserved areas.

¹⁰Brownstein 2007: behavioural changes (such as appointment keeping and adherence to medication), blood pressure control, and 5-year mortality rates.

¹¹Brownstein 2007: healthcare utilisation and health systems outcomes (such as reduced hospital admissions).

¹²Lassi 2015: community-support groups or women's groups - neonatal mortality.

¹³Lassi 2015: community-support groups or women's groups - maternal mortality.

¹⁴Lassi 2015: community mobilisation and antenatal and postnatal home visitation - neonatal mortality.

¹⁵Lassi 2015: community mobilisation and antenatal and postnatal home visitation - maternal mortality.

¹⁶Lewin 2010: deaths among children under 5 years.

¹⁷Lewin 2010: children who suffer from fever, diarrhoea and pneumonia.

¹⁸Lewin 2010: number of people with tuberculosis who are cured.

¹⁹Lewin 2010: number of parents who seek help for their sick child.

²⁰Lewin 2010: number of women who breastfeed; number of children with up-to-date immunisation schedules.

²¹Lewin 2010: number of people who complete preventive treatment for tuberculosis.

²²Ngo 2013: incomplete, failed abortions and complications with surgical aspiration abortion.

²³Ngo 2013: incomplete and failed abortions with medical abortion.

²⁴Hodnett 2010: number of caesarean sections.

- ²⁵Hodnett 2010: antenatal hospital admissions.
- ²⁶Hodnett 2010: incidence of low birthweight, preterm births and perinatal deaths.
- ²⁷Martínez-González 2014: systolic blood pressure and CD4 cell counts in people with HIV/AIDS.
- ²⁸Martínez-González 2014: diastolic blood pressure, total cholesterol level and glycosylated haemoglobin concentrations.
- ²⁹Sandall 2013: preterm births, overall foetal loss and neonatal deaths, increase in spontaneous vaginal births and decrease in instrumental vaginal births.
- ³⁰Sandall 2013: decrease in caesarean births, number of women with an intact perineum.
- ³¹Sandall 2013: use of regional analgesia (epidural/spinal) during labour.
- ³²Wilson 2011: maternal mortality and perinatal mortality for caesarean section.
- ³³Wilson 2011: wound infections and occurrences of wound dehiscence.
- ³⁴Van Ginneken 2013: use of lay health worker or teachers - post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms among children.
- ³⁵Van Ginneken 2013: For depression/anxiety - number of adults who recover 2-6 months after treatment, symptoms among mothers with depression. Among problem drinkers - quantity of alcohol consumed. Among adults with post-traumatic stress disorder - symptoms.
- ³⁶Van Ginneken 2013: For people with dementia - symptoms. For caregivers of people with dementia - mental well-being, burden and distress.
- ³⁷Butler 2011: in-hospital mortality, postdischarge adverse events.
- ³⁸Butler 2011: patient length of stay in hospital.
- ³⁹Butler 2011: readmission to hospital, attendance at emergency department within 30 days.
- ⁴⁰Butler 2011: mortality in trauma units, mortality in hospital.
- ⁴¹Butler 2011: mortality at 4 months after discharge.
- ⁴²Pande 2013: clinical outcomes for diabetic and hypertensive patients; e.g. reductions in fasting plasma glucose levels or systolic and diastolic blood pressure.
- ⁴³Pande 2013: rates of hospitalisation, general practice visits and emergency room visits.
- ⁴⁴Pande 2013: for pharmacist services targeted at patients - medication costs of patients with asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Other costs were not reported.
- ⁴⁵Pande 2013: pharmacist services targeted at healthcare professionals - total costs.
- ⁴⁶Yakoob 2011: skilled birth attendance - stillbirths and perinatal mortality.
- ⁴⁷Yakoob 2011: alternative ways of providing emergency obstetric care - stillbirths and perinatal mortality.
- ⁴⁸Wright 2013: incidence, prevalence or severity of dental caries.
- ⁴⁹Wright 2013: treatment of dental caries.
- ⁵⁰Henry 2012: mortality.
- ⁵¹Henry 2012: response time from injury to first medical contact in the field.
- ⁵²Maharaj 2015: adults - hospital mortality and cardiopulmonary arrests outside of intensive care units.
- ⁵³Maharaj 2015: children - cardiopulmonary arrests outside of intensive care units.
- ⁵⁴Maharaj 2015: children - hospital mortality.
- ⁵⁵Maharaj 2015: adults - admissions to intensive care units.
- ⁵⁶Maharaj 2015: children - admissions to intensive care units.
- ⁵⁷Rotter 2010: in-hospital mortality.
- ⁵⁸Rotter 2010: complications.
- ⁵⁹Rotter 2010: hospital readmissions.
- ⁶⁰Rotter 2010: length of stay.
- ⁶¹Rotter 2010: hospital costs.
- ⁶²Theodoratou 2010: all-cause mortality.
- ⁶³Theodoratou 2010: mortality due to acute lower respiratory infection.
- ⁶⁴Handford 2006: 30 day mortality.
- ⁶⁵Handford 2006: receipt of antiretrovirals (ARVs) or indicated prophylaxis.
- ⁶⁶Handford 2006: healthcare utilisation and hospitalisation.
- ⁶⁷Foy 2010: patient outcomes, e.g. depression and diabetes control.
- ⁶⁸Hansen 2011: pre-discharge interventions - re-hospitalisation.
- ⁶⁹Hansen 2011: post-discharge interventions - re-hospitalisation.
- ⁷⁰Hansen 2011: inpatient-outpatient provider continuity - rehospitalisation.
- ⁷¹Hansen 2011: patient-centred discharge instructions - rehospitalisation; interactions between patients and nurses before and after discharge to

support patient self-care - rehospitalisation.

⁷²Hansen 2011: interactions between patients and nurses before and after discharge to support patient self-care - rehospitalisation.

⁷³Gonçalves-Bradley 2016: unscheduled re-admission rates at 3 months.

⁷⁴Gonçalves-Bradley 2016: length of hospital stay.

⁷⁵Gonçalves-Bradley 2016: health service costs.

⁷⁶Gonçalves-Bradley 2016: satisfaction among patients and healthcare professionals.

⁷⁷Dudley 2011: adding family planning to other services - number of new pregnancies.

⁷⁸Dudley 2011: adding family planning to other services - utilisation of family planning.

⁷⁹Dudley 2011: adding family planning to other services - number of mothers accepting family planning services.

⁸⁰Dudley 2011: integration of HIV prevention and control - sexually transmitted disease incidence; HIV incidence in the population.

⁸¹Dudley 2011: integrating sexually transmitted infection services for female sexual partners of truck drivers into routine primary care - women's

utilisation of these services; women's attendance following referral.

⁸²Dudley 2011: adding provider initiated counselling and testing to sexually transmitted infections services - number of people receiving HIV

counselling and HIV testing.

⁸³Dudley 2011: adding provider initiated counselling and testing to TB services - number of people receiving HIV counselling and HIV testing.

⁸⁴Dudley 2011: integration of HIV prevention and control - proportion of sexually transmitted infections treated effectively in males.

⁸⁵Dudley 2011: integration of HIV prevention and control - proportion of sexually transmitted infections treated effectively in females.

⁸⁶Akbari 2008: provision of physiotherapy services at the primary care level; second opinions in-house; and dedicated appointment slots at secondary

levels for each primary care practice - referral rates and referral appropriateness.

⁸⁷Akbari 2008: practices in which physicians are trained in family medicine compared to practises in which physicians are trained in internal medicine - number of referrals and visits to acute and emergency care.

⁸⁸Rowe 2011: emergency department length of stay.

⁸⁹Rowe 2011: proportion of patients leaving the emergency departments against medical advice.

⁹⁰Rowe 2011: physician initial assessment time.

⁹¹Rowe 2011: proportion of patients leaving without being seen.

⁹²Butler 2011: perinatal deaths.

⁹³Butler 2011: length of stay in special care nursery for infants.

⁹⁴Butler 2011: length of stay in hospital for women giving birth.

⁹⁵Reeves 2017: interprofessional checklists, interprofessional rounds and externally facilitated interprofessional activities - length of hospital stay.

⁹⁶Reeves 2017: externally facilitated interprofessional activities - coordination; patient-assessed quality of care; continuity of care.

⁹⁷Reeves 2017: externally facilitated interprofessional activities or interprofessional meetings - adherence to recommended practices; prescription of medicines.

⁹⁸Reeves 2017: interprofessional checklists, interprofessional rounds and externally facilitated interprofessional activities - overall use of resources.

⁹⁹Reeves 2017: externally facilitated interprofessional activities - collaborative working, team communication.

¹⁰⁰Bateganya 2010: acceptance of HIV pre-test counselling; acceptance of HIV testing; acceptance of HIV post-test counselling; and receipt of HIV test results.

¹⁰¹Handford 2006: mortality among people living with HIV/AIDS.

¹⁰²Handford 2006: mortality among people living with HIV/AIDS.

¹⁰³Handford 2006: emergency department visits; length of hospital stays.

¹⁰⁴Young 2010: CD4 counts

¹⁰⁵Young 2010: viral loads

¹⁰⁶Young 2010: physical functioning

¹⁰⁷Young 2010: adherence to medication

¹⁰⁸Young 2010: overall functioning; depressive symptoms; mood; general health

¹⁰⁹Young 2010: knowledge of HIV and HIV medications.

¹¹⁰Young 2010: quality of life

- 111 Young 2010: time in care
- 112 Young 2010: survival of people living with HIV/AIDS
- 113 Young 2010: physical functioning; well-being; body composition measures; biochemical measures of people living with HIV/AIDS
- 114 Augustincic 2015: number of pregnant women, adults and children who possess insecticide-treated bednets
- 115 Augustincic 2015: appropriate use of bednets
- 116 Augustincic 2015: may increase the number of adults and children under five sleeping under bednets
- 117 Augustincic 2015: use of insecticide-treated bednets
- 118 Okwundu 2013: all cause mortality
- 119 Okwundu 2013: severe malaria; the prevalence of parasitaemia
- 120 Okwundu 2013: prevalence of anaemia
- 121 Okwundu 2013: hospitalisations
- 122 Okwundu 2013: number of children treated promptly with an effective antimalaria medicine
- 123 Okwundu 2013: adverse effects
- 124 Okwundu 2013: all-cause mortality
- 125 Okwundu 2013: treatment failure; severe malaria; prevalence of parasitaemia; anaemia
- 126 Okwundu 2013: number of children treated with antimalarials
- 127 Okwundu 2013: hospitalisations
- 128 Okwundu 2013: adverse effects
- 129 Parker 2013: for children with traumatic brain injury - mental functioning
- 130 Parker 2013: for children with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia - quality of life
- 131 Parker 2013: re-admissions for children with acute physical conditions
- 132 Parker 2013: for children with acute physical conditions - increases in healthcare costs; decreases in costs incurred by families (in the UK)
- 133 Parker 2013: for children with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia - costs incurred by families
- 134 Parker 2013: time spent by family caring for children with acute physical conditions
- 135 Parker 2013: for children with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia - adverse events
- 136 Das 2013: mortality due to diarrhoea among children aged 0-4 years
- 137 Das 2013: mortality due to acute pneumonia among children aged 0-4 years
- 138 Das 2013: care seeking and use of oral rehydration solution for children aged 0-4 years with diarrhoea
- 139 Das 2013: care seeking and use of antibiotics for children aged 0-4 years with acute pneumonia
- 140 Brown 2011: breastfeeding rates at two months
- 141 Brown 2011: number of infant or maternal readmissions
- 142 Brown 2011: costs of care
- 143 Denno 2012: self test kits - youth being screened for chlamydia
- 144 Denno 2012: access to emergency contraception through pharmacies without a doctor's prescription - non-prescription emergency contraception use
- 145 Denno 2012: access to emergency contraception through pharmacies without a doctor's prescription - overall use of emergency contraception
- 146 Denno 2012: distribution of condoms and health education messages by street outreach workers - condom use
- 147 Denno 2012: community youth promoters and integrated youth centres - use of contraceptives
- 148 Denno 2012: street and youth centre-based outreach - HIV referral for homeless or street-based youth
- 149 Denno 2012: whether the poorest households are more likely to use home-based counselling and testing for HIV, compared to those in wealthier households
- 150 Kredo 2013: partial decentralisation - death at one year
- 151 Kredo 2013: partial decentralisation - combined number of people who die or are lost to care at one year
- 152 Kredo 2013: decentralisation of HIV treatment from facility to community - deaths at one year; combined number of people who die or are lost to care at one year
- 153 Kredo 2013: full decentralisation - deaths at one year; combined number of people who die or are lost to care at one year
- 154 Kredo 2013: partial decentralisation - number of people lost to care at one year
- 155 Kredo 2013: full decentralisation - number of people lost to care at one year
- 156 Kredo 2013: decentralisation of HIV treatment from facility to community - number of people lost to care at one year
- 157 Kredo 2013: partial decentralisation - costs of travel for patients

- ¹⁵⁸ [Kredo 2013](#): decentralisation of HIV treatment from facility to community - total costs to people living with HIV and AIDS and to the health service
- ¹⁵⁹ [Yassi 2013](#): workplace programmes offering free antiretroviral therapy - markers of effective antiretroviral therapy among workers living with HIV and IADS in sectors other than health
- ¹⁶⁰ [Yassi 2013](#): workplace programmes for health workers - uptake of HIV testing
- ¹⁶¹ [Yassi 2013](#): onsite compared with offsite rapid HIV testing - uptake of voluntary counselling and testing among workers in sectors other than health
- ¹⁶² [Yassi 2013](#): workplace programmes for health workers - awareness of post-exposure prophylaxis to prevent HIV infection
- ¹⁶³ [De Jongh 2012](#): people living with diabetes - glycaemic control
- ¹⁶⁴ [De Jongh 2012](#): people living with diabetes - diabetes complications
- ¹⁶⁵ [De Jongh 2012](#): people living with asthma or hypertension - control of these conditions
- ¹⁶⁶ [De Jongh 2012](#): people living with diabetes - adherence to diabetes medication in young people
- ¹⁶⁷ [De Jongh 2012](#): people living with asthma - care plan adherence
- ¹⁶⁸ [De Jongh 2012](#): people living with hypertension - medication adherence
- ¹⁶⁹ [De Jongh 2012](#): people living with diabetes and asthma - health service utilisation
- ¹⁷⁰ [De Jongh 2012](#): people's self-efficacy in relation to their diabetes
- ¹⁷¹ [De Jongh 2012](#): people's knowledge about their diabetes
- ¹⁷² [Gurol-Urganci 2013](#): mobile phone text message reminders compared with no reminders - attendance at healthcare appointments
- ¹⁷³ [Gurol-Urganci 2013](#): mobile phone text message reminders plus postal reminders compared to postal reminders alone - attendance at healthcare appointments
- ¹⁷⁴ [Gurol-Urganci 2013](#): mobile phone text message reminders compared to phone call reminders - attendance at healthcare appointments
- ¹⁷⁵ [Gurol-Urganci 2013](#): mobile phone text message reminders compared to phone call reminders - cost per message
- ¹⁷⁶ [Mbuagbaw 2013](#): mortality up to 12 months
- ¹⁷⁷ [Mbuagbaw 2013](#): adherence to antiretroviral therapy at 12 months
- ¹⁷⁸ [Mbuagbaw 2013](#): loss to follow-up at 12 months
- ¹⁷⁹ [Brown 2007](#): miscarriages, stillbirths and neonatal deaths
- ¹⁸⁰ [Brown 2007](#): breastfeeding initiation
- ¹⁸¹ [Brown 2007](#): epidural anaesthesia
- ¹⁸² [Brown 2007](#): increase in assisted deliveries
- ¹⁸³ [Brown 2007](#): smoking cessation
- ¹⁸⁴ [Brown 2007](#): availability of complete antenatal records at the time of delivery; loss of case notes
- ¹⁸⁵ [Brown 2007](#): women who carry their own clinical case notes probably feel more in control and involved in decision making about their care and probably want to do so again in subsequent pregnancies
- ¹⁸⁶ [Brown 2007](#): women's satisfaction with antenatal care
- ¹⁸⁷ [Oyo-Ita 2016](#): community-based health education - coverage of three doses of Diphtheria-Tetanus-Pertussis vaccine (DTP3)
- ¹⁸⁸ [Oyo-Ita 2016](#): facility-based health education - coverage of three doses of Diphtheria-Tetanus-Pertussis vaccine (DTP3)
- ¹⁸⁹ [Oyo-Ita 2016](#): health education combined with reminders - DTP3 coverage
- ¹⁹⁰ [Oyo-Ita 2016](#): training vaccination managers - coverage of DTP3, oral polio vaccine, hepatitis B vaccine
- ¹⁹¹ [Oyo-Ita 2016](#): integrating vaccination with other healthcare services - DTP3 coverage; measles vaccine coverage
- ¹⁹² [Oyo-Ita 2016](#): integrating vaccination with other healthcare services - BCG coverage
- ¹⁹³ [Oyo-Ita 2016](#): household monetary incentives - full vaccination coverage
- ¹⁹⁴ [Oyo-Ita 2016](#): home visits - oral polio vaccine coverage; measles coverage
- ¹⁹⁵ [Oyo-Ita 2016](#): reminders and recall strategies - routine childhood vaccination uptake
- ¹⁹⁶ [Christensen 2016](#): all cause mortality
- ¹⁹⁷ [Christensen 2016](#): hospital readmissions
- ¹⁹⁸ [Christensen 2016](#): hospital emergency department contacts
- ¹⁹⁹ [Davey 2013](#): interventions intended to increase effective antibiotic prescribing for pneumonia - mortality
- ²⁰⁰ [Davey 2013](#): interventions intended to decrease unnecessary antibiotic prescribing - mortality.
- ²⁰¹ [Davey 2013](#): interventions intended to decrease unnecessary antibiotic prescribing - the length of stay; readmissions
- ²⁰² [Davey 2013](#): restrictive interventions compared with persuasive interventions - antibiotic prescribing at one month
- ²⁰³ [Davey 2013](#): restrictive interventions compared with persuasive interventions - antibiotic prescribing at longer follow-up
- ²⁰⁴ [Pasricha 2012](#): health outcomes

- 205 Pasricha 2012: healthcare utilisation
- 206 Pasricha 2012: adherence to treatment by patients
- 207 Pasricha 2012: adherence to recommended practice by health professionals
- 208 Pasricha 2012: health outcomes
- 209 Pasricha 2012: health care utilisation
- 210 Pasricha 2012: adherence to treatment by patients
- 211 Pasricha 2012: adherence to recommended practice by health professionals
- 212 Pasricha 2012: at-risk behaviours
- 213 Pasricha 2012: suppressed HIV load
- 214 Pasricha 2012: adherence to treatment by patients
- 215 Pasricha 2012: healthcare utilisation
- 216 Pasricha 2012: adherence to recommended practice by health professionals
- 217 Bosch-Capblanch 2011: enhanced managerial supervision - proportion of children receiving adequate care
- 218 Bosch-Capblanch 2011: managerial supervision - provider practices
- 219 Bosch-Capblanch 2011: enhanced managerial supervision - performance of lay health workers; performance of midwives
- 220 Bosch-Capblanch 2011: less intensive managerial supervision - number of new family planning visits; number of clients that re-visit
- 221 Bosch-Capblanch 2011: enhanced managerial supervision - health worker satisfaction
- 222 Bosch-Capblanch 2011: managerial supervision - provider knowledge
- 223 Bosch-Capblanch 2011: managerial supervision - medicine stock management
- 224 Bosch-Capblanch 2011: enhanced managerial supervision - patient satisfaction
- 225 Hussein 2012: organisational interventions to improve emergency obstetric referral - maternal mortality
- 226 Hussein 2012: organisational interventions to improve emergency obstetric referral - stillbirths
- 227 Hussein 2012: organisational interventions to improve emergency obstetric referral - neonatal mortality
- 228 Hussein 2012: structural interventions to improve emergency obstetric referral - maternal mortality; stillbirths
- 229 Hussein 2012: structural interventions to improve emergency obstetric referral - neonatal mortality

Table 8. Priorities for primary research¹ based on applicability limitations

Delivery arrangement	Systematic review	Applicability limitations	
		Findings	Interpretation
Who receives care and when			
Queuing strategies	Ballini 2015	All included studies took place in high-income countries.	The effect of the interventions included in the review would likely depend on several factors, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • waiting list length; • resource availability; • healthcare workers availability; • IT development; • health system structure.
Care received by groups vs individual care	Catling 2015	3 out of 4 studies included in the systematic review took place in a high-income country (USA, Sweden)	Local availability of resources and maternal/care providers acceptability should be considered before applying the intervention

Table 8. Priorities for primary research¹ based on applicability limitations (Continued)

Who provides care			
Pre-licensure education	Pariyo 2009	All included studies took place in high-income countries.	The challenges faced in health-care worker education in high- and low-income countries are qualitatively and quantitatively different (e.g. the availability of funds, laws regarding equity and awareness of these, job prospects including remuneration, and curricula). Appropriate interventions could be expected to have a comparatively higher impact in low-income countries where alternatives and opportunities are generally more limited than in high-income countries. However, there is no evidence regarding the effects of such interventions
Recruitment and retention strategies	Grobler 2015	No randomised trial was identified. The observational or questionnaire-based studies discussed in the reviews were carried out in various settings, including high-, middle- and low-income countries. The results suggest that some interventions could have positive effects on the recruitment and retention of health workers in underserved areas. However, these findings require further rigorous evaluation	Economic and cultural differences, differences between health system structures, and differences in state and educational institutional capacity to regulate and manage various types of interventions may limit the applicability of findings to low- and middle-income countries
Role expansion or task shifting - Physician-nurse substitution	Martínez-González 2014	Most of the studies took place in high-income countries.	The applicability of the findings may be affected by cultural and economic differences, patient populations, services provided in primary care settings, and the availability and level of nurses' skills
Role expansion or task shifting - Midlevel health professionals: midwife-led care in pregnancy	Sandall 2013	All trials included in the review took place in high-income countries. However, midwives are the primary providers of antenatal and postpartum care in most low- and middle-income countries	When assessing the transferability of these findings, the following factors should be considered: the availability and training of midwives; accessibility to each healthcare model for childbearing women; cost implications of other models of care compared to mid-

Table 8. Priorities for primary research¹ based on applicability limitations (Continued)

			wife-led care and local epidemiology of maternal and perinatal mortality
Role expansion or task shifting - Specialist nursing post added to hospital nurse staffing - Dietary assistants added to hospital nurse staffing	Butler 2011	The trials included in the review took place in high-income countries	When assessing the transferability of these findings to low-income countries the following factors should be considered: the availability and training of nurses; the acceptability, feasibility and costs of different nurse staffing models. In particular, nurse and other health professional associations may need to be consulted and the ability of the health system and hospitals to support the implementation of new nurse staffing models
Coordination of care			
Care pathways - Rapid response systems in hospitals	Maharaj 2015	Almost all the studies took place in high-income countries and were before-after studies with no contemporaneous control group	The organisational culture, the resources needed for applying the process should be considered when implementing interventions in middle- or low-income settings
Care pathways - Clinical pathways	Rotter 2010	Almost all the studies took place in high-income countries.	There are many ways in which healthcare teams in high-income and low- or middle- income countries may differ. The organisational culture, the commitment to quality and safety, the resources needed for documenting the process (e.g. electronic health records), are among the issues that need to be considered, particularly when implementing interventions in middle- or low-income settings
Communication between providers - Interactive communication between primary care doctors and specialists	Foy 2010	The studies included in the review took place in high-income countries	When assessing the transferability of these findings to low-income country settings, one needs to consider the organisation of the health system as well as the availability and accessibility of specialist care in such settings

Table 8. Priorities for primary research¹ based on applicability limitations (Continued)

<p>Coordination of care to reduce rehospitalisation -Pre-/postdischarge interventions vs usual care -Transition interventions vs usual care</p>	<p>Hansen 2011</p>	<p>All studies took place in high-income countries.</p>	<p>The applicability of the available evidence to low income countries is uncertain because the effects of interventions might depend on the capacity and type of health professionals available in the hospital to apply the interventions, the availability of community care, and the skills of the patient/family to accomplish instructions. Some of the interventions rely on a high level of communication between the hospital and the providers of services outside the hospital. This is not always available or possible in low-income settings</p>
<p>Discharge planning</p>	<p>Gonçalves-Bradley 2016</p>	<p>Almost all the studies took place in high-income countries</p>	<p>The applicability of the available evidence to low-income countries is uncertain because the effects of discharge planning might depend on the availability of community care. It may also depend on the capacity and type of health professionals available in the hospital (for example, doctors, nurses or lay health workers) to prepare and implement discharge plans based on individual patient needs. A high level of communication between the discharge planner and the providers of services outside the hospital is not always available in low-income settings</p>
<p>Referral systems -Healthcare delivery of organisational interventions for referral from primary to secondary care</p>	<p>Akbari 2008</p>	<p>Most of the included studies took place in high-income countries and within particular health systems. These systems included, for example, the publicly funded National Health System in the UK, and Medicaid in the USA</p>	<p>The studies were based in well-resourced environments in which primary care services were provided by an adequate number of practitioners, and relatively easy access was available to specialist services. Such scenarios are not necessarily available or possible in many low-income countries. The study findings therefore need to be interpreted with caution when applied to low-income countries</p>

Table 8. Priorities for primary research¹ based on applicability limitations (Continued)

<p>Teams - Team midwifery vs standard care</p>	<p>Butler 2011</p>	<p>The same considerations described in Butler 2011 - role expansion or task shifting</p>	
<p>Teams - Dental care by dental therapists</p>	<p>Wright 2013</p>	<p>Most studies evaluated schoolchildren from urban or rural areas of high-income countries</p>	<p>The provision of oral health care requires a complicated infrastructure besides workforce such as appropriate supervision, dental offices and a financing system. Therefore, the findings may not be directly applicable to low-income countries</p>
<p>Teams - Practice based interventions to promote collaboration</p>	<p>Reeves 2017</p>	<p>All the studies took place in high-income countries.</p>	<p>Healthcare teams are a multidimensional construct, and team structures and processes can vary widely according to membership, scope of work, tasks, and interactions. Some interventions, such as video and audio conferencing that have been used by some teams, may not be available in some settings. Carefully designed and rigorously conducted randomised studies of healthcare teams, measuring Patient/client or healthcare process outcomes are needed before being implemented on a large scale in low income countries</p>
<p>Where care is provided</p>			
<p>Site of service delivery - Units dedicated to care for people living with HIV/AIDS - Institutions managing a high volume of people living with HIV/AIDS</p>	<p>Handford 2006</p>	<p>All the studies took place in high income countries. None of the studies in this review took place in resource-poor settings</p>	<p>It may be difficult for policymakers to replicate the study settings and/or organisation of care in low-income countries</p>
<p>Quality and safety systems</p>			
<p>Quality monitoring and improvement systems - Medication review for hospitalised adult patients vs standard care</p>	<p>Christensen 2016</p>	<p>None of the trials took place in a low- or middle-income country</p>	<p>In addition to considering the uncertainty about the benefits of medication review found in these trials, in low-income countries the availability of resources, including pharmacists with appropriate training, and the cost of the intervention (including training)</p>

Table 8. Priorities for primary research¹ based on applicability limitations (Continued)

		should be considered
--	--	----------------------

¹Priorities for primary research based on applicability limitations to low-income countries of delivery arrangement interventions identified by the included reviews. We did not search for additional primary studies.

Table 9. Priorities for primary research based on insufficient evidence¹ for important outcomes

Delivery arrangement	Included Review	No studies	Certainty of evidence	
			Very low	Low
Who receives care and when				
Queuing strategies	Ballini 2015	Patient outcomes, coverage, utilisation	-	-
Care received by groups vs individual care	Catling 2015	Access, coverage, utilisation	-	-
Who provides care				
Pre-licensure education	Pariyo 2009	-	-	Access, coverage, utilisation
Recruitment and retention strategies	Grobler 2015	-	-	Patient outcomes; access, coverage, utilisation
Role extension or task shifting - Lay health workers: hypertension	Brownstein 2007	-	-	Patient outcomes; access, coverage, utilisation; quality of care; resource use
Role extension or task shifting - Lay health workers: delivery of community-based neonatal care packages	Lassi 2015	-	Patient outcomes	Patient outcomes, access, coverage, utilisation
Role expansion or task shifting - Lay health workers: maternal and child care and infectious diseases	Lewin 2010	-	-	Patient outcomes; access, coverage, utilisation
Role expansion or task shifting - Health-	Hodnett 2010	-	-	Patient outcomes

Table 9. Priorities for primary research based on insufficient evidence¹ for important outcomes (Continued)

care providers giving additional social support to pregnant women vs usual care				
Role expansion or task shifting - Physician-nurse substitution	Martínez-González 2014	Access, coverage, utilisation	-	-
Role expansion or task shifting - Midlevel health professionals: midwife-led care in pregnancy	Sandall 2013	-	-	Patient outcomes; access, coverage, utilisation
Role expansion or task shifting - Clinical officers/non-physician clinicians/Associate clinicians vs physician for caesarean section	Wilson 2011	-	Patient outcomes; access, coverage, utilisation	-
Role expansion or task shifting - Non-specialist providers vs. specialist providers for mental health	Van Ginneken 2013	-	Patient outcomes	Patient outcomes
Role expansion or task shifting - Specialist nursing post added to hospital nurse staffing	Butler 2011	-	-	Patient outcomes
- Dietary assistants added to hospital nurse staffing		-	-	Patient outcomes
Role expansion or task shifting - Pharmacists delivering non-dispensing services to patients	Pande 2013	-	Resource use	-
Role expansion or task shifting - Skilled birth attendant	Yakoob 2011	-	-	Patient outcomes

Table 9. Priorities for primary research based on insufficient evidence¹ for important outcomes (Continued)

Role expansion or task shifting - Dental care by dental therapists	Wright 2013	Resource use	Patient outcomes	-
Coordination of care				
Care pathways - Improved pre-hospital trauma systems vs no systems	Henry 2012	-	-	Patient outcomes; access, coverage, utilisation
Care pathways - Rapid response systems in hospitals vs no systems	Maharaj 2015	-	Patient outcomes; access, coverage, utilisation	-
Care pathways - Hospital clinical pathways vs usual care	Rotter 2010	-	Patient outcomes; resource use	Patient outcomes; access, coverage, utilisation
Case management - Children with pneumonia - Community-based with antibiotics	Theodoratou 2010	-	-	Patient outcomes
- Hospital-based with oxygen or Vitamin		-	Patient outcomes	-
Case management - People living with HIV/AIDS	Handford 2006	-	-	Patient outcomes; access, coverage, utilisation; quality of care
Coordination of care to reduce rehospitalisation - Pre-/postdischarge interventions vs usual care	Hansen 2011	-	-	Access, coverage, utilisation
- Transition interventions vs usual care		-	-	Access, coverage, utilisation
Discharge planning - Hospital discharge planning vs usual care	Gonçalves-Bradley 2016	Patient outcomes	-	-
Integration - Adding a service to an existing service vs ser-	Dudley 2011	Resource use	Quality of care	-

Table 9. Priorities for primary research based on insufficient evidence¹ for important outcomes (Continued)

vices with no addition - Integrated vs vertical delivery models		-	-	Access, coverage, utilisation
Referral systems - Healthcare delivery of organisational interventions vs no intervention for referral from primary to secondary care	Akbari 2008	Patient outcomes	-	-
Referral systems - Nurse vs physician triage systems in emergency departments	Rowe 2011	-	-	Quality of care
Teams - Practice-based interventions to promote collaboration vs no intervention	Reeves 2017	-	Patient outcomes; access, coverage, utilisation; resource use	-
Where care is provided				
Site of service delivery - HIV voluntary counselling and testing (VCT) at an optional location vs VCT at clinic	Bateganya 2010	-	-	Access, coverage, utilisation
Site of service delivery - Units dedicated to care for people living with HIV/AIDS - Institutions managing a high volume of people living with HIV/AIDS	Handford 2006	-	-	Patient outcomes; quality of care
		Patient outcomes; quality of care	-	-
Site of service delivery - Home care (different models) vs facility	Parker 2013	Access, coverage, utilisation; quality of care; resource use	Patient outcomes adverse, effects	-
Site of service delivery - Maternity waiting home vs no waiting homes	Van Lonkhuijzen 2012	Patient outcomes; access, coverage, utilisation; quality of care; resource use	-	-

Table 9. Priorities for primary research based on insufficient evidence¹ for important outcomes (Continued)

Site of service delivery - Strategies for increasing ownership and use of insecticide treated bednets	Augustincic 2015	Patient outcomes; access, coverage, utilisation; quality of care; resource use	-	-
Site of service delivery - Home-based care for people living with HIV/AIDS - Home-based care by multidisciplinary team care for people living with HIV/AIDS vs no team	Young 2010	-	Patient outcomes	Resource use
		Resource use	-	Patient outcomes
Site of service delivery - Early discharge from hospital for mothers and infants born at term vs standard discharge	Brown 2011	-	Resource use	Patient outcomes; access, coverage, utilisation
Site of service delivery - Out-of-facility vs facility-based HIV and reproductive health services for youth	Denno 2012	-	Quality of care	Quality of care
Site of service delivery - Decentralised vs centralised HIV care for initiation and maintenance of anti-retroviral therapy	Kredo 2013	-	Patient outcomes	Patient outcomes; access, coverage, utilisation
Information and communication technology				
E-Health - Mobile phone messaging for long-term illnesses vs usual care	De Jongh 2012	-	Access, coverage, utilisation	-
E-Health - Mobile phone messaging reminders for attendance at healthcare appointments vs various other interventions	Gurol-Urganci 2013	-	-	Access, coverage, utilisation

Table 9. Priorities for primary research based on insufficient evidence¹ for important outcomes (Continued)

E-Health - Decision support to improve healthcare process and health outcomes for people living with HIV/AIDS - Clinical information systems to improve healthcare process and health outcomes for people living with HIV/AIDS	Pasricha 2012	-	Patient outcomes; access, coverage, utilisation	Quality of care
		-	-	Quality of care
Health information systems - Women carrying their own case notes in pregnancy vs less detailed health cards	Brown 2007	Access, coverage, utilisation	Patient outcomes	Patient outcomes; access, coverage, utilisation; quality of care; resource use
E-Health - Mobile phone messaging to promote adherence to antiretroviral therapy vs usual care	Mbuagbaw 2013	-	-	-
Patient reminder and recall systems - Reminders for routine childhood vaccination vs usual care	Oyo-Ita 2016	Patient outcomes	-	-
Quality and safety systems				
Quality monitoring and improvement systems - Medication review for hospitalised adult patients vs standard care	Christensen 2016	-	-	Patient outcomes; access, coverage, utilisation
Quality monitoring and improvement systems - Interventions to improve antibiotic prescribing to hospital inpatients	Davey 2013	-	Access, coverage, utilisation	Patient outcomes; quality of care

Table 9. Priorities for primary research based on insufficient evidence¹ for important outcomes (Continued)

Quality monitoring and improvement systems - Decision support to improve healthcare process and health outcomes for people living with HIV/AIDS - Decision support with clinical information systems to improve healthcare process and health outcomes for people living with HIV/AIDS	Pasricha 2012	-	Patient outcomes; Access, coverage, utilisation	Quality of care
		-	-	Quality of care
Working conditions of health workers				
Staff support - Managerial supervision to improve quality of primary health care	Bosch-Capblanch 2011	-	Quality of care	Quality of care
Complex interventions cutting across delivery categories and across the other overviews				
Package of multiple interventions - Emergency obstetric referral interventions	Hussein 2012	Quality of care	Patient outcomes	Patient outcomes

¹Priorities for primary research based on the absence of evidence or low-certainty of evidence for important outcomes: patient outcomes; access, coverage, utilisation; quality of care; and resource use.

Table 10. Priorities for systematic reviews¹

Delivery arrangement	Systematic reviews needed*
Who provides care	
· Role expansion or task shifting General practice	Only supplementary review identified (Engstrom 2001)
· Role expansion or task shifting Professional groups than physician anaesthesiologists administering anaesthesia	10 years of most recent search 10 years

Table 10. Priorities for systematic reviews¹ (Continued)

· Role expansion or task shifting - Interventions for increasing health promotion practices in dental healthcare settings	Review in progress: Kengne 2014
· Role expansion or task shifting - Allied health professionals (paramedics, physiotherapists, occupational therapists, language therapists, radiographers)	No review identified
· Role expansion or task shifting - Dental health promotion	No review identified
· Self-management - Family support for reducing morbidity and mortality in people with HIV/AIDS	Only supplementary review identified (Mohanan 2009)
- Movement of health workers between public and private care	No review identified
Coordination of care	
· Disease management	No review identified
· Packages of care	Only supplementary reviews (Dowswell 2010 ; Haws 2007)
Where care is provided	
· Facilities and equipment	No review identified
· Generalist outreach	No review identified
· Intermediate care	No review identified
· Mobile units - mobile clinics for women's and children's health	Review in progress Abdel-Aleem 2012
· Site of service delivery - Facility-based deliveries in reducing maternal and infant morbidity and mortality in low- and middle-income countries	Review in progress: Dudley 2009
· Size of organisations	No review identified
· Specialist outreach	No review identified
· Transportation services	No review identified
Information and communication technology	
· E-Health - telemedicine vs face-to-face patient care: effects on professional practice and healthcare outcomes	Review update in progress: Currell 2000

Table 10. Priorities for systematic reviews¹ (Continued)

Quality and safety systems	
· Quality monitoring and improvement systems - Organisational and professional interventions to promote the uptake of evidence in emergency care	Review in progress: Curran 2007
· Quality monitoring and improvement systems - Interventions for reducing medication errors in hospitalised adults	Review in progress: Lopez 2012
· Quality monitoring and improvement systems - Interventions for reducing medication errors in children in hospital	Review in progress: Soe 2013
Working conditions of health workers	
· Workload	No review identified
· Health and safety systems	No review identified
· Staff-support interventions for health workers	No review identified

¹Priorities for systematic reviews on supporting the delivery arrangement interventions in low-income countries,

* Based on key areas in the taxonomy of delivery arrangements ([Table 1](#)) for which we did not find a finished systematic review meeting our inclusion criteria.

APPENDICES

Appendix I. SUPPORT Summaries checklist for making judgments about how much confidence to place in a systematic review

Review:
Assessed by:
Date:
Section A: <i>Methods used to identify, include and critically appraise studies</i>

(Continued)

<p>A.1 Were the criteria used for deciding which studies to include in the review reported? Did the authors specify:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Types of studies- Participants- Intervention(s)- Outcome(s) <p><i>Coding guide - check the answers above</i> <i>YES: All four should be yes</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Yes- Can't tell/partially- No
<p><i>Comments (note important limitations or uncertainty)</i></p>	
<p>A.2 Was the search for evidence reasonably comprehensive? Were the following done:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Language bias avoided (no restriction of inclusion based on language)- No restriction of inclusion based on publication status- Relevant databases searched (including Medline + Cochrane Library)- Reference lists in included articles checked- Authors/experts contacted <p><i>Coding guide - check the answers above:</i> <i>YES: All five should be yes</i> <i>PARTIALLY: Relevant databases and reference lists are both ticked off</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Yes- Can't tell/partially- No
<p><i>Comments (note important limitations or uncertainty)</i></p>	
<p>A.3 Is the review reasonably up-to-date? <i>Were the searches done recently enough that more recent research is unlikely to be found or to change the results of the review?</i></p> <p><i>Coding guide - consider how many years since the last search (e.g. if more than 10 years the review is unlikely to be up-to-date) and whether there is ongoing research</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Yes- Can't tell/not sure- No
<p><i>Comments (note important limitations or uncertainty)</i></p>	
<p>A.4 Was bias in the selection of articles avoided? Did the authors specify:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Explicit selection criteria- Independent screening of full text by at least 2 reviewers- List of included studies provided- List of excluded studies provided <p><i>Coding guide - check the above</i> <i>YES: All four should be yes</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Yes- Can't tell/partially- No
<p><i>Comments (note important limitations or uncertainty)</i></p>	

(Continued)

<p>A.5 Did the authors use appropriate criteria to assess the risk for bias in analysing the studies that are included?[†] (See Appendix for an example of criteria - Assessing Risk of Bias Criteria for EPOC Reviews)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- The criteria used for assessing the risk of bias were reported- A table or summary of the assessment of each included study for each criterion was reported- Sensible criteria were used that focus on the risk of bias (and not other qualities of the studies, such as precision or applicability) <p><i>Coding guide - check the above</i> <i>YES: All four should be yes</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Yes- Can't tell/partially- No
<p><i>Comments (note important limitations or uncertainty)</i></p>	
<p>A.6 Overall - how would you rate the methods used to identify, include and critically appraise studies?</p> <p><i>Summary assessment score A relates to the 5 questions above.</i> <i>If the "No" or "Partial" option is used for any of the questions above, the review is likely to have important limitations.</i> <i>Examples of major limitations might include not reporting explicit selection criteria, not providing a list of included studies or not assessing the risk of bias in included studies.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Major limitations (limitations that are important enough that the results of the review are not reliable and they should not be used in the policy brief)- Important limitations (limitations that are important enough that it would be worthwhile to search for another systematic review and to interpret the results of this review cautiously, if a better review cannot be found)- Reliable (only minor limitations)
<p><i>Comments (note any major limitations or important limitations).</i></p>	
<p>Section B: Methods used to analyse the findings</p>	
<p>B.1 Were the characteristics and results of the included studies reliably reported?</p> <p>Was there:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Independent data extraction by at least 2 reviewers- A table or summary of the characteristics of the participants, interventions and outcomes for the included studies- A table or summary of the results of the included studies. <p><i>Coding guide - check the answers above</i> <i>YES: All three should be yes</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Yes- Partially- No- Not applicable (e.g. no included studies)
<p><i>Comments (note important limitations or uncertainty)</i></p>	
<p>B.2 Were the methods used by the review authors to analyse the findings of the included studies reported?</p>	
<p>B.3 Did the review describe the extent of heterogeneity?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Did the review ensure that included studies were similar enough that it made sense to combine them, sensibly divide the included studies into homogeneous groups, or sensibly conclude that it did not make sense to combine or group the included studies?- Did the review discuss the extent to which there were important	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Yes- Partially- No- Not applicable (e.g. no included studies)

(Continued)

<p>differences in the results of the included studies? _If a meta-analysis was done, was the I², chi square test for heterogeneity or other appropriate statistic reported?</p>	
<p><i>Comments (note important limitations or uncertainty)</i></p>	
<p>B.4 Were the findings of the relevant studies combined (or not combined) appropriately relative to the primary question the review addresses and the available data? How was the data analysis done? _Descriptive only _Vote counting based on direction of effect _Vote counting based on statistical significance _Description of range of effect sizes _Meta-analysis _Meta-regression _Other: specify _Not applicable (e.g. no studies or no data) How were the studies weighted in the analysis? _Equal weights (this is what is done when vote counting is used) _By quality or study design (this is rarely done) _Inverse variance (this is what is typically done in a meta-analysis) _Number of participants _Other, specify: _Not clear _Not applicable (e.g. no studies or no data) Did the review address unit of analysis errors? _Yes - took clustering into account in the analysis (e.g. used intra-cluster correlation coefficient) _No, but acknowledged problem of unit of analysis errors _No mention of issue _Not applicable - no clustered trials or studies included <i>Coding guide - check the answers above</i> <i>If narrative OR vote counting (where quantitative analyses would have been possible) OR inappropriate table, graph or meta-analyses OR unit of analyses errors not addressed (and should have been) the answer is likely NO.</i> <i>If appropriate table, graph or meta-analysis AND appropriate weights AND the extent of heterogeneity was taken into account, the answer is likely YES.</i> <i>If no studies/no data: NOT APPLICABLE</i> <i>If unsure: CAN T TELL/PARTIALLY</i></p>	<p>_ Yes _ Partially _ No _ Not applicable (e.g. no included studies)</p>
<p><i>Comments (note important limitations or uncertainty)</i></p>	
<p>B.5 Did the review examine the extent to which specific factors might explain differences in the results of the included studies? _Were factors that the review authors considered as likely explanatory factors clearly described?</p>	<p>_ Yes _ Partially _ No _ Not applicable (e.g. too few studies, no important differences in</p>

(Continued)

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> _ Was a sensible method used to explore the extent to which key factors explained heterogeneity? _ Descriptive/textual _ Graphical _ Meta-regression _ Other 	<p>the results of the included studies, or the included studies were so dissimilar that it would not make sense to explore heterogeneity of the results)</p>
<p><i>Comments (note important limitations or uncertainty)</i></p>	
<p>B.6 Overall - how would you rate the methods used to analyse the findings relative to the primary question addressed in the review?</p> <p><i>Summary assessment score B relates to the 5 questions in this section, regarding the analysis.</i></p> <p><i>If the “No” or “Partial” option is used for any of the 5 preceding questions, the review is likely to have important limitations.</i></p> <p><i>Examples of fatal flaws might include not reporting critical characteristics of the included studies or not reporting the results of the included studies.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> _ Fatal flaws (limitations that are important enough that the results of the review are not reliable and they should not be used in the policy brief) _ Important limitations (limitations that are important enough that it would be worthwhile to search for another systematic review and to interpret the results of this review cautiously, if a better review cannot be found) _ Reliable (only minor limitations)
<p><i>Use comments to specify if relevant, to flag uncertainty or need for discussion</i></p>	
<p>Section C: Overall assessment of the reliability of the review</p>	
<p>C.1 Are there any other aspects of the review not mentioned before which lead you to question the results?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> _ Additional methodological concerns _ Robustness _ Interpretation _ Conflicts of interest (of the review authors or for included studies) _ Other _ No other quality issues identified
<p>C.2 Based on the above assessments of the methods how would you rate the reliability of the review?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> _ Fatal flaws (exclude); briefly (and politely) state the reasons for excluding the review by completing the following sentence: <i>This review was not included in this policy brief for the following reasons:</i> <i>Comments (briefly summarise any key messages or useful information that can be drawn from the review for policy makers or managers):</i> _ Important limitations ; briefly (and politely) state the most important limitations by editing the following sentence, if needed, and specifying what the important limitations are: <i>This review has important limitations.</i> _ Reliable ; briefly note any comments that should be noted regarding the reliability of this review by editing the following sentence, if needed: <i>This is a good quality systematic review with only minor limitations.</i> 	

Appendix 2. Search strategies

PubMed

From 2000 to present. Update: weekly

- #1. MEDLINETitle/Abstract
- #2. (systematicTitle/Abstract AND reviewTitle/Abstract)
- #3. meta analysisPublication Type
- #4. #1 OR #2 OR #3 (**Methods filter for systematic reviews -Clinical Queries-Max Specificity**)
- #5. overviewTitle AND (reviewsTitle OR systematicTitle)
- #6. meta-reviewTitle
- #7. review of reviewsTitle
- #8. reviewTitle AND systematic reviewsTitle
- #9. umbrellaTitle AND (reviewTitle OR reviewsTitle OR systematicTitle)
- #10. policyTitle AND (briefTitle OR evidenceTitle)
- #11. #5 OR #6 OR #7 OR #8 OR #9 OR #10 (**Methods filter for overviews**)
- #12. #4 OR #11 (**Methods filter for systematic reviews and for overviews**)

LILACS

From 2000 to present. Update: monthly

(TW:“revisión sistemática” OR TW:“revisão sistemática” OR TW:“systematic review” OR MH:“review literature as topic” OR MH:“meta-analysis as topic” OR PT:“meta-analysis”)

OR

(PT:revisión AND (TW:metaanal\$ OR TW:“meta-analysis” OR TW:“metaanalise” OR TW:“meta-analisis” OR TI:overview\$ OR TW:“estudio sistemático” OR TW:“systematic study” OR TW:“estudo sistemático” OR TI:review OR TI:revisão OR TI:revisión OR TI:systematic OR TI:sistemático))

OR

((TW:overview OR TW:“estudio sistemático” OR TW:“systematic study” OR TW:“estudo sistemático”) AND (TI:review OR TI:revisão OR TI:revisión OR TI:systematic OR TI:sistemático))

CINAHL (EBSCO)

From 2000 to present. Update: monthly

((TI meta analys* or AB meta analys*) or (TI systematic review or AB systematic review))

PsycINFO (EBSCO)

From 2000 to present. Update: monthly

meta-analysis OR search*

EMBASE (Ovid)

From 2000 to present. Update: monthly

meta-analysis.tw. OR systematic review.tw

Appendix 3. Characteristics of included reviews

Who receives care and when		
Queuing strategies		
Ballini 2015		
Review objective: to assess the effectiveness of interventions aimed at reducing waiting times for elective care, both diagnostic and therapeutic		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials, controlled before-after studies, and interrupted time series studies of any type of regulatory/administrative, economic, clinical or organisational intervention aimed at reducing waiting times for access to elective diagnostic or therapeutic procedures	2 cluster-randomised trials, 1 randomised trial, and 5 re-analysed interrupted time series studies of interventions: rationing or prioritising demand (1 study), expanding capacity (1 with a co-intervention), and restructuring the intake assessment/referral process (7 studies)
Participants	Healthcare providers of any discipline/area, and patients referred to any type of elective procedure	7 hospitals, 1 outpatient clinic and 135 general practices/primary care, performing elective procedures for ear-nose-throat referrals (1 study), uncomplicated spinal surgery (1 study), dermatology (1 study), elective surgery (1 study), colposcopy for abnormal cervical cytology (1 study), any paediatric clinic conditions treated in an outpatient clinic (1 study), laparoscopic sterilisation (1 study), and urological interventions (1 study)
Settings	Any setting	Studies in UK (5 studies), USA (2 studies), and Australia (1 study)
Outcomes	Number or proportion of participants whose waiting times were above or below a time threshold, mean or median waiting times, safety outcomes (mortality, morbidity, complication rates), and costs	Number and proportion of participants waiting longer (2 studies) or less (2 studies) than a recommended time to be attended or get an appointment, effects on waiting time (5 studies), direct and indirect costs (2 studies)
Date of most recent search: November 2013		
Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations		
Care received by groups vs individual care		
Catling 2015		
Review objective: to compare the effects of group antenatal care versus one-to-one care on outcomes for women and their babies		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found

(Continued)

Study designs and Interventions	Randomised and non-randomised trials of group antenatal care	4 randomised trials
Participants	Pregnant women accessing antenatal care	Pregnant women receiving antenatal care at public (3 studies) and military clinics (1 study)
Settings	Hospital, clinics or any settings delivering antenatal care worldwide	USA (2 studies), Iran (1 study), Sweden (1 study)
Outcomes	Primary: preterm births, low birthweight, small-for-gestational age, perinatal mortality Secondary: maternal satisfaction, breastfeeding, length of hospital stay, infant Apgar scores, mode of birth, induction of labour, analgesia/anaesthesia use in labour, attendance at antenatal care, care provider satisfaction, cost-effectiveness	Primary: preterm births (3 studies), low birthweight (3 studies), small for gestational age (3 studies), perinatal mortality (3 studies) Secondary: admission of baby to neonatal intensive care unit (2 studies), breastfeeding initiation (3 studies), spontaneous vaginal birth (1 study)
Date of most recent search: October 2014		
Limitations: this is well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations		
Who provides care		
Pre-licensure education		
Pariyo 2009		

(Continued)

Review objective: to assess the effect of changes in the pre-licensure education of health professionals on health-worker supply		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials, controlled before-after studies and interrupted time series studies of interventions that could increase the capacity of health professional training institutions; reduce the loss of students (and increase the likelihood that students will graduate); or increase the recruitment of students from other countries into health professional training institutions	2 controlled before-after studies of minority academic advising programmes consisting of academic, personal, financial and vocational advice, skills building, mentorships, supplementary training and annual evaluations
Participants	Health professional students prior to licensure	2 studies among minority groups and general health professional students
Settings	No restrictions	2 studies from the USA
Outcomes	Increased numbers of health workers ultimately available for recruitment into the health workforce, improved population-to-health professional ratios	2 studies of the numbers of health workers ultimately available for recruitment into the health workforce

(Continued)

Date of most recent search: February 2008		
Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations		
Recruitment and retention strategies		
Grobler 2015		
Review objective: to assess the effectiveness of interventions to increase the proportion of healthcare professionals working in rural and other underserved areas		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials, non-randomised trials, controlled before-after studies and interrupted time series studies of any intervention to increase the recruitment or retention of health professionals in underserved areas	1 interrupted time series study from Taiwan of the effects of National Health Insurance on the equality of distribution of healthcare professionals
Participants	Qualified healthcare professionals of any cadre or specialty	Physicians, doctors of Chinese medicine and dentists
Settings	All settings	Taiwan
Outcomes	Recruitment of health professionals: the proportion of health professionals who initially choose to work in rural or urban underserved	Equality of geographic distribution of healthcare professionals measured using the Gini coefficient

(Continued)

	communities as a result of being exposed to the intervention. Retention: the proportion of health-care professionals who continue to work in rural or urban underserved communities as a consequence of the intervention	
Date of most recent search: April 2014		
Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations		
Role expansion or task shifting		
Lay health workers: hypertension		
Brownstein 2007		
Review objective: to examine the effectiveness of community health workers (CHWs) for supporting the care of people with hypertension		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Any study design evaluating the effectiveness of CHWs in supporting the care of hypertensive people	8 randomised trials, 3 before-after studies, 1 non-randomised trial, 1 interrupted time-series study, and 1 survey. All studies but one focused exclusively on controlling hypertension. CHWs contacted recipients from weekly to yearly
Participants	CHWs with no formal professional designation but trained to deliver healthcare to hypertensive people	The CHWs, predominantly women with different experience in community service and training, were recruited from the community, and resembled the participants in race/ethnicity and socioeconomic background

(Continued)

Settings	Health-care or community settings	All studies took place in the USA and mainly focused on poor, urban African Americans
Outcomes	At least 1 outcome among participants	Participant satisfaction, awareness, behaviour, physiologic measures, health outcomes, and healthcare system outcomes
Date of most recent search: May 2006		
Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations		
Role expansion or task shifting		
Lay health workers: delivery of community-based neonatal care packages		
Lassi 2015		
Review objective: to assess the effectiveness of community-based intervention packages in reducing maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised or non-randomised trials evaluating the effectiveness of community-based intervention packages in reducing maternal and neonatal mortality	24 randomised and 2 non-randomised trials of intervention packages, including mainly: building community-support or women's groups (9 studies), community mobilisation and antenatal and postnatal home visitation (7 studies), community mobilisation and home-based neonatal treatment (1 study), training traditional birth attendants who made antenatal and intrapartum home visits (2 studies), home-based neonatal care and treatment (2 studies), and education of mothers and antenatal and postnatal visitation (2 studies)
Participants	Women of reproductive age, pregnant women at any period of gestation	Women of reproductive age, newborns and other family members, support groups, traditional birth attendants, community health workers, and midwives
Settings	Communities	Bangladesh (6 studies), India (6 studies), Pakistan (4 studies), Malawi (2 studies), Tanzania (1 study), Ghana (1 study), Nepal (1 study), Zambia (1 study), China (1 study), South Africa (1 study), Vietnam (1 study), and Greece (1 study)
Outcomes	Primary: maternal mortality, neonatal mortal-	Maternal mortality (11 studies), neonatal mortality (21 studies), early (11 studies) and late (11 studies) neonatal mortality, perinatal mortality (17 studies), stillbirths (15 studies), institutional deliveries (16 studies), and measures of morbidity, and quality of care

(Continued)

	ity, early neonatal mortality, and late neonatal mortality. Secondary outcomes included: perinatal mortality, stillbirths, measures of morbidity, quality of care, and institutional deliveries	
Date of most recent search: May 2014		
Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations		
Role expansion or task shifting Lay health workers: MCH and infectious diseases		
Lewin 2010		
Review objective: to assess the effects of lay health worker interventions in improving maternal and child health and tuberculosis outcomes		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials of lay health worker (paid or voluntary) interventions in maternal and child health and infectious diseases	82 trials were found. 73 trials evaluated interventions in maternal and child health, and 9 trials evaluated interventions related to tuberculosis
Participants	Lay health workers: any health worker without formal professional certification who was trained in some way in the context of the intervention. No restriction on types	Considerable differences in numbers, recruitment methods and training of lay health workers. Different recipients were targeted

(Continued)

	of patients	
Settings	All primary care and community health settings globally	54 studies took place in 6 high-income countries: Australia (1 study), Canada (3 studies), Ireland (1 study), New Zealand (1 study), UK (8 studies), and USA (40 studies). 12 studies took place in 8 middle-income countries: Brazil (2 studies), China (1 study), India (2 studies), Mexico (1 study), Philippines (1 study), Thailand (1 study), Turkey (1 study), South Africa (3 studies). 16 trials were from 10 low-income countries: Bangladesh (4 studies), Burkina Faso (1 study), Ecuador (1 study), Ethiopia (1 study), Ghana (1 study), Iraq (1 study), Jamaica (1 study), Nepal (1 study), Pakistan (2 studies), Tanzania (2 studies), Vietnam (1 study)
Outcomes	Primary outcomes: health behaviours and healthcare outcomes, including harms Secondary outcomes: utilisation of lay health worker services, consultation processes, satisfaction with care, costs, social development measures	Most studies reported multiple effect measures and many did not specify a primary outcome
Date of most recent search: February 2009		
Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations, but studies were only included up to February 2009		
Role expansion or task shifting		
Midlevel health professionals: non-doctor providers for abortion care		
Ngo 2013		
Review objective: to compare the effectiveness and safety of abortion procedures administered by midlevel providers versus procedures administered by doctors		
Types of	What the review author searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials, non-randomised trials and comparison stud-	5 studies: randomised trials (2 studies) - 1 exploring surgical aspiration procedures and the other medical abortion procedures Prospective cohort studies (3 studies) - all exploring surgical aspiration abortion procedures

(Continued)

	ies exploring effectiveness or safety of abortion procedures (surgical or medical) provided by mid-level providers and doctors	
Participants	Women seeking termination of pregnancy	Total of 8539 women seeking termination of pregnancy; women aged from < 20 to > 40 years. In the 4 studies of surgical abortion procedures, maximum gestational ages ranged from 10 to 16+ weeks. In the trial of medical abortion, women with gestational ages of up to 9 weeks were included
Settings	Any setting	South Africa and Vietnam (1 study); Nepal (1 study); USA (2 studies) and India (1 study). All studies took place in either a hospital or specialist health clinic, such as a women's health centre or sexual and reproductive health clinic
Outcomes	Effectiveness or efficacy of abortion procedures, provided by mid-level providers versus doctors, measured as <i>incomplete or failed abortion</i> . Safety of abortion procedures administered by midlevel providers versus doctors, measured as <i>adverse events and complications</i> .	Both randomised trials and 2 of the cohort studies examined effectiveness, measured as <i>incomplete or failed abortion</i> . The trial of surgical abortion and the 3 cohort studies examined safety, measured as complications (immediate and delayed)

Date of most recent search: February 2012

Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations

Role expansion or task shifting

Healthcare providers giving additional social support to pregnant women vs usual care

[Hodnett 2010](#)

Review objective: to assess the effects of programmes offering additional social support compared with routine care for pregnant women who are believed to be at high risk for giving birth to babies that are either preterm, weigh less than 2500 grams, or both, at birth

(Continued)

Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials of standardised or individualised programmes of additional social support, provided either during home visits, regular antenatal clinic visits, or by telephone on several occasions during pregnancy	17 randomised trials. 14 of the studies involved one-to-one support and the rest involved both one-to-one and group sessions
Participants	Pregnant women judged to be at risk of having babies who are preterm, growth-restricted, or both	12,264 pregnant women
Settings	Not pre-specified	Australia, Great Britain, France, Latin America, South Africa, and the USA
Outcomes	Caesarean section, gestational age < 37 weeks, birth weight < 2500 g, stillbirth/neonatal death	Caesarean section (9 studies), gestational age <37 weeks (11 studies), birth weight < 2500 g (11 studies), stillbirth/neonatal death (11 studies), antenatal hospital admission (3 studies)
Date of search: January 2010		
Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations		
Role expansion or task shifting Midlevel health professionals: midwife-led care in pregnancy		
Sandall 2013		

(Continued)

Review objective: to compare midwife-led care with other models of care for childbearing women and their infants		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials comparing midwife-led care to other models of care	15 randomised trials
Participants	Pregnant women	17,674 pregnant women recruited from both community and hospital settings. All studies included low risk pregnancies, and 5 studies also included high-risk pregnancies
Settings	Community or hospital	Australia (7 studies), UK (5 studies), Ireland (2 studies) and Canada (1 study)
Outcomes	Primary outcomes: <i>Birth and immediate postpartum</i> - regional analgesia, caesarean birth, instrumental/spontaneous vaginal birth, intact perineum; <i>Neonatal</i> - preterm birth, overall foetal loss and neonatal death Secondary outcomes: complications, procedures or medication use	All primary outcomes and secondary outcomes, such as antenatal hospitalisation, antepartum haemorrhage, induction of labour, amniotomy, augmentation/artificial oxytocin during labour, no intrapartum analgesia/anaesthesia, opiate analgesia, attendance at birth by known midwife and episiotomy
Date of most recent search: January 2016		
Limitations: this is well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations		
Role expansion or task shifting Clinical officers/non-physician clinicians/associate clinicians vs physician for caesarean section		
Wilson 2011		

(Continued)

Review objective: to determine whether key outcomes of caesarean section differ between non-physician clinicians and medical doctors		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Controlled studies that compared non-physician clinicians and medically trained doctors for caesarean section	6 non-randomised studies comparing the outcomes of caesarean section performed by non-physicians versus caesarean section performed by physicians
Participants	Women having a caesarean section	The 6 studies included adults only
Settings	Low-income countries	The studies took place in 5 African countries: Burkina Faso, Malawi (2 studies), Mozambique, Tanzania, and Zaire
Outcomes	Any clinically relevant maternal or perinatal outcomes	All 6 studies reported maternal mortality. Other reported outcomes included perinatal mortality (5 studies), wound dehiscence (3 studies), and wound infection (2 studies)

Date of most recent search: 2010 (month not specified)

Limitations: This is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations

Role expansion or task shifting

Non-specialist vs specialist providers for mental health

[Van Ginneken 2013](#)

Review objective: to assess the effectiveness of the delivery of mental, neurological and substance abuse (MNS) interventions by non-specialist health workers (NSHWs) and other professionals with health roles (OPHRs) in low-and middle-income countries

Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials, non-randomised trials, controlled before-after studies, and interrupted time	38 studies, including randomised trials (27), controlled before-after studies (9 studies) and non-randomised trials (2 studies)

(Continued)

	series studies of NSHW interventions aimed at treating patients with MNS disorders or supporting their caregivers	
Participants	Adults or children with any MNS disorder seeking primary or community care	Adults (27 studies) and children (11 studies) with depression, anxiety or both (18 studies), post-traumatic stress disorder (12 studies), dementia (2 studies), alcohol abuse (2 studies), schizophrenia (1 study), substance abuse (1 study), epilepsy (1 study), child developmental disorders (1 study)
Settings	Rural or urban settings in low- and middle-income countries	15 studies from 7 low-income countries and 23 from 15 middle-income countries. 16 studies in rural settings, 23 in urban settings, and 5 in refugee camps
Outcomes	<i>Primary outcomes:</i> improvement in symptoms, psychosocial functioning, or quality of life <i>Secondary outcomes:</i> patient satisfaction/behaviour, adverse clinical outcomes, caregiver outcomes, health service/provider delivery-related outcomes	Patient health and psychosocial functioning indicators, caregiver outcomes
Date of most recent search: June 2012		
Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations		
Role expansion or task shifting Specialist nursing post added to hospital nurse staffing		
Butler 2011		
Review objective: to determine the effect of hospital nurse staffing models on patient and staff-related outcomes		

(Continued)

Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials, non-randomised trials, controlled before-after studies, and interrupted time series studies of interventions relating to hospital nurse staffing models	15 studies (8 randomised trials, 2 non-randomised trials, and 5 controlled before-after studies). 4 studies assessed primary nursing, self-scheduling, and team midwifery; and 11 studies related to nursing skill-mix (9 examining the addition of specialist nurses to usual staffing; 2 examining increases in the proportion of support staff versus usual nursing staff)
Participants	Patients and nursing staff	<i>Nursing staff:</i> midwives; surgical, medical and gynaecological ward nurses; nurse case managers; clinical nurse specialists; nursing assistants; advance practice nurses <i>Patients:</i> pregnant women; women scheduled for surgery; women admitted with hip fractures; people with breast cancer, diabetes, mental health problems, multiple sclerosis, myocardial infarctions
Settings	Hospital settings worldwide	USA (7 studies), UK (4 studies), Australia (1 study), the Netherlands (2 studies), and Canada (1 study)
Outcomes	Any objective measure of patient or staff-related outcome	Staff-related outcomes: absenteeism, staff retention and staff turnover; Patient outcomes: patient falls, medication errors and adverse incidents, length of stay, patient mortality, re-admission and attendance at the emergency department post-discharge Costs

Date of most recent search: May 2009

Limitations: This is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations

Role expansion or task shifting

- Physician-nurse substitution

[Martínez-González 2014](#)

Review objective: to assess the impact of physician-nurse substitution in primary care on clinical parameters

Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials that examined physi-	11 randomised trials. Nurses had full clinical autonomy to manage patients' disease (1 trial); Nurses made independent decisions for several tasks, but still needed minor support or short communication with the physicians (10 trials). In all trials, the physicians performed standard

(Continued)

	cian-nurse substitution	care
Participants	Patients of all ages seeking first contact or undergoing care for all conditions including mental health and addiction restricted to primary care	32,247 participants with mean age ranging between 11.2 to 67.1 years. 35% of the population were males (10 trials) and females only (1 trial) Patients showed up with a range of complex conditions including cerebrovascular disease, hypertension, heart failure, diabetes mellitus, asthma, incontinence, Parkinson's disease and HIV
Settings	General practices, community or ambulatory care settings with no geographical limitation	The studies took place in the UK (2 studies), the Netherlands (4 studies), USA (2 studies), South Africa (2 studies) and Russia (1 study)
Outcomes	Clinical parameters that detected changes in the clinical status or physiological capability of patients in relation to various forms of disease Measures of quality of life, satisfaction, mortality, hospital admissions, progression of disease, and process of care were excluded	Changes in blood pressure (5 studies), cholesterol and triglycerides concentration (4 studies), glycosylated haemoglobin level (4 studies), lung and kidney function (1 study), various parameters of cardiac function (1 study), frequency of incontinent episodes (1 study), mobility stand-up test and bone sustaining fracture in patients with Parkinson's disease (1 study), and CD4 cell counts in HIV/AIDS patients (1 study)

Date of most recent search: August 2012

Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations, restricted to English language and published studies

Role expansion or task shifting

Pharmacists delivering non-dispensing services to patients

(Continued)

Pande 2013		
Review objective: to examine the effectiveness of services provided by pharmacists on patient outcomes and health service utilisation and costs in low- and middle-income countries		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Any health or medicine-related, patient-targeted service delivered by pharmacists (other than medicine compounding and dispensing, and excluding other services such as the selling of cosmetics or other non-pharmaceutical products) evaluated in a randomised trial, non-randomised trial, controlled before-after study, or interrupted time series study	12 randomised trials in middle-income countries were included. 11 examined pharmacist interventions targeted at patients, and 1 evaluated a pharmacist intervention targeted at healthcare professionals. All the included studies compared pharmacist-provided services with usual care
Participants	Pharmacists (or pharmacies) delivering services in outpatient settings other than, or in addition to, medicine compounding and dispensing	Practising pharmacists and research pharmacists
Settings	Outpatient settings	Sudan (1 study), India (2 studies), Egypt (1 study), Paraguay (1 study), Thailand (2 studies), Chile (2 studies), Bulgaria (2 studies), and South Africa (1 study)

(Continued)

Outcomes	Objective measurement of patient outcomes and process outcomes such as health service utilisation and costs	Process outcomes (4 studies), rate of hospitalisation (2 studies), number of visits to private clinics or outpatient clinics and emergency rooms in hospitals (1 study), medication costs of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and asthma (1 study), the number of visits to general practitioners (2 studies), clinical and humanistic outcomes (11 studies), patient outcomes (7 studies), asthma score (1 study)
Date of most recent search: March 2010		
Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with minor limitations. There were few evaluations of impact that allowed robust conclusions to be drawn, particularly as many of the studies did not take all the costs involved into account		
Role expansion or task shifting		
Skilled birth attendants		
Yakoob 2011		
Review objective: to determine the effect of provision of skilled birth attendance as well as basic and emergency obstetric care on stillbirths		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised and non-randomised trials; and observational studies evaluating the provision of skilled birth attendance and emergency obstetric care	21 studies: 13 for skilled birth attendance (10 before-after or non-randomised studies and 3 observational studies) and 9 historical or ecological studies for emergency obstetric care
Participants	Pregnant women and newborns	Most women were from rural areas, but some were also from suburbs and mixed areas
Settings	Community-based settings in any country	Most skilled birth attendance studies were from low- and middle-income countries (Bangladesh, Bolivia, China, Guatemala, Indonesia, Malawi, Mexico, Mozambique, Nigeria, Papua New Guinea, Sudan, and Tanzania). 3 studies were from high-income countries (Netherlands, Norway and Sweden)
Outcomes	Stillbirths and perinatal mortality	2 (uncontrolled) before-after studies reported stillbirths and 4 reported perinatal mortality and were included in the primary analysis

(Continued)

Date of most recent search: March 2010		
Limitations: this is reasonably well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations such as the incomplete reporting of included studies' characteristics		
Role expansion or task shifting Dental care by dental therapists		
Wright 2013		
Review objective: to determine the effect of a model of provision of dental care that utilises midlevel providers compared to no care or care by dentists		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Experimental, observational and descriptive studies evaluating the provision of irreversible and surgical procedures by midlevel providers	18 retrospective or cross-sectional studies
Participants	People of any age	School children (15 studies), Indian communities (2 studies), military servicemen (1 study)
Settings	Urban or rural	The studies took place in Australia (6 studies), Canada (3 studies), Hong Kong (3 studies), New Zealand (5 studies) and the USA (3 studies)
Outcomes	Dental disease incidence, prevalence, or severity; untreated disease; and cost-effectiveness	Caries, diagnostic procedures, treatment planning, irreversible or surgical procedures
Date of most recent search: February 2012		
Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations		
Coordination of care		
Care pathways Improved pre-hospital trauma systems vs no systems		

(Continued)

Henry 2012		
Review objective: to assess the effectiveness of pre-hospital trauma systems in developing countries		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials, non-randomised trials, controlled before-after studies, uncontrolled before-after studies and cohort studies assessing the effectiveness of pre-hospital trauma systems	14 included studies of which 8 were included in a meta-analysis (3 non-randomised trials, 4 before-after studies and 1 retrospective cohort study)
Participants	Community members and professionals delivering pre-hospital trauma care for communities	Communities of rural areas (4 studies) and urban areas (4 studies)
Settings	Developing countries (International Monetary Fund's World Economic Outlook Report 2010)	Mexico (2 studies), Iran (2 studies) and 1 each from Afghanistan, Brazil, Cambodia, Iraq, and Trinidad and Tobago
Outcomes	Mortality (primary outcome), injury severity, physiologic severity, and pre-hospital time	Mortality and pre-hospital time analysed by injury severity
Date of most recent search: December 2010		
Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations		

(Continued)

Care pathways Rapid response systems in hospitals vs no systems		
Maharaj 2015		
Review objective: to assess the effect of the rapid response system on hospital mortality and cardiopulmonary arrest outside the intensive care unit		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Comparisons between a control cohort and intervention (rapid response system) cohort that provided quantitative data about mortality rates or cardiopulmonary arrests	29 studies met the inclusion criteria: cluster-randomised trials (2 studies), interrupted time series studies (2 studies), controlled before-after study (1 study), and before-after studies with no contemporaneous control group (24 studies)
Participants	Hospitalised patients	Hospitalised adults (21 studies) and children (8 studies)
Settings	Hospitals	Academic teaching hospitals (22 studies) and community hospitals (6 studies) in the USA (11 studies), Australia (7 studies), Canada (3 studies), the UK (2 studies), Pakistan, Portugal, Saudi Arabia, South Korea, Sweden, the Netherlands (1 each)
Outcomes	Hospital mortality (primary outcome); non-intensive care unit cardiopulmonary arrest, and intensive care unit admissions (secondary outcomes)	Hospital mortality (27 studies), cardiopulmonary arrests (26 studies), intensive care unit admissions (10 studies)
Date of most recent search: December 2013		
Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations		
Care pathways Hospital clinical pathways vs usual care		

(Continued)

Rotter 2010		
Review objective: to assess the effect of clinical pathways on professional practice, patient outcomes, length of stay and hospital costs		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials, non-randomised trials, controlled before-after studies and interrupted time series studies evaluating clinical pathways	19 randomised trials, 4 controlled before-after studies and 2 interrupted time series studies. 20 studies compared a stand-alone clinical pathway to usual care and 7 compared a multifaceted intervention that included a clinical pathway to usual care
Participants	Health professionals in a hospital setting, hospitalised patients, and hospitals	Health professionals, hospitalised patients and hospitals
Settings	Hospitals	General acute ward (15 studies), extended stay facility (4 studies), intensive care unit (4 studies), emergency department (3 studies) and mental health outpatient clinic (1 study). Only 1 study was conducted in a middle-income country (Thailand). All the other studies took place in high income economies: USA (13 studies), Australia (4 studies), Japan (3 studies), UK (2 studies), Canada (2 studies), (1 study), Taiwan (1 study) and Norway (1 study)
Outcomes	Patient outcomes, professional practice, length of stay and hospital costs	Complications (6 studies), readmission to hospital (8 studies), length of stay (17 studies), in-hospital mortality (5 studies), and hospital costs (11 studies)
Date of most recent search: April 2008		
Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations		
Case management Children with pneumonia/community-based with antibiotics/hospital-based with oxygen or vitamins		
Theodoratou 2010		

(Continued)

Review objective: to assess the effectiveness of pneumonia case management on mortality and morbidity from childhood pneumonia		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and interventions	Randomised trials, cluster-randomised trials, quasi-experimental studies, and observational studies investigating the effect of community and hospital case management (including antibiotics, oxygen, zinc and vitamin A supplements)	14 studies were included for community case management: quasi-experimental (1 study), before-after study (2 studies), concurrent cohort studies (8 studies), other observational studies (3 studies). 10 studies were included for hospital case management with antibiotics: before-after studies (2 studies), case series (mostly arms of randomised trials) (8 studies). 1 before-after study of oxygen treatment and 11 randomised trials of supplements
Participants	Under 5-year-old children with pneumonia	Children from rural and urban areas
Settings	Community and hospital	India (8 studies), Pakistan (4 studies), Papua New Guinea (3 studies), Bangladesh (2 studies), Nepal (2 studies), Tanzania (2 studies). 1 each from: Malaysia, Philippines, South Africa, Mozambique, Yemen, Brazil, Ecuador, Guatemala, Peru, Uruguay and Vietnam. 3 multi-country: Colombia; Ghana; India; Mexico; Pakistan; South Africa; Vietnam; Zambia; India; Bangladesh; Ecuador; Mexico; Yemen, Fiji and China
Outcomes	Mortality, morbidity and health-care utilisation	All-cause mortality of children with pneumonia; treatment failure rates; length of hospitalisation, time to resolution of severe illness, lethargy, inability to eat, low oxygen saturation, chest indrawing and tachypnoea
Date of most recent search: August 2008		
Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations, but the search was done in 2008		
Case management People living with HIV/AIDS		
Handford 2006		

(Continued)

Review objective: to determine the effects of the setting of care and the organisation of care on medical, immunological/virological, psychosocial and/or economic outcomes for persons living with HIV/AIDS

Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials, non-randomised trials, cohort studies, case control studies, cross-sectional studies, and controlled before-after studies that evaluated the settings and organisation of care	1 randomised trial, 1 non-randomised trial, 5 prospective cohort studies, and 21 retrospective cohort studies
Participants	People (men, women and children) known to be infected with HIV/AIDS	39,776 HIV-positive participants
Settings	All settings	Clinical trial settings; hospitals and clinics in high-income country settings
Outcomes	Medical outcomes, immunological or virological outcomes, psychosocial outcomes, economic outcomes	Mortality (12 studies), receipt of antiretrovirals or indicated prophylaxis as an outcome (10 studies), hospitalisation (5 studies), functional status (1 study), healthcare utilisation outcomes (16 studies)

Date of most recent search: December 2002

Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations. However, it has not been updated since the last search in December 2002

Communication between providers

Interactive communication between primary care doctors and specialists vs usual care

[Foy 2010](#)

(Continued)

Review objective: to assess the effects of interactive communication between collaborating primary care physicians and key specialists on outcomes for patients receiving ambulatory care		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Intervention studies with concurrent comparison groups (randomised and non-randomised trials and controlled before-after studies) and without concurrent comparison groups (time-series analyses), as well as uncontrolled before-after designs	11 randomised trials (6 cluster and 5 patient-level), 1 non-randomised trial, 3 controlled before-after studies, and 8 uncontrolled before-after studies
Participants	Primary care physicians and specialists who work collaboratively as individuals or within clinical teams in psychiatry, endocrinology, and oncology	18 studies of primary care collaborations with mental health services and 5 with primary care collaborations with endocrinology (all of which addressed diabetes). No studies of primary care collaborations with oncology
Settings	Out-patient and community primary care in countries where the main attributes of the healthcare system were broadly known and generalisable to the context of the USA (for example, countries in	Integrated healthcare systems such as the US Veterans Health Administration or the UK's National Health Service (12 studies), and other non-integrated healthcare systems (11 studies)

(Continued)

	Western Europe, or Australia and Canada)	
Outcomes	Patient, process, and economic outcomes	Patient outcome data, e.g. depression outcomes and improvement in HbA1c haemoglobin test results (23 studies)
Date of most recent search: June 2008		
Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations		
Coordination of care to reduce rehospitalisation		
Pre-/postdischarge interventions vs usual care/transition interventions vs usual care		
Hansen 2011		
Review objective: to estimate the effectiveness of interventions to reduce 30-day rehospitalisation		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials, cohort studies, or uncontrolled before-after studies assessing interventions delivered around the time of discharge and applicable to general medical adult populations (rather than disease-specific approaches)	43 included studies: 16 randomised trials, 14 non-randomised trials, and 13 uncontrolled before-after studies. Most studies (56%) tested a single-component intervention
Participants	General medical adult acute inpatient populations. Studies of paediatric, obstetric, and psychiatric populations were excluded	Most studies focused on people admitted to general medicine wards or people with heart failure or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

(Continued)

Settings	Hospital, ambulatory care and patients' homes	USA (28 studies), UK (2 studies), Canada (2 studies), Hong Kong (2 studies), and 1 study in each of the following countries: Australia, Belgium, Denmark, Ireland, Israel, Netherlands, New Zealand, Portugal, and Taiwan
Outcomes	30-day rehospitalisation	30-day rehospitalisation
Date of most recent search: January 2011		
Limitations: this is well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations		
Discharge planning		
Hospital discharge planning vs usual care		
Gonçalves-Bradley 2016		
Review objective: to determine the effectiveness of planning the discharge of patients from hospital to home compared to usual care		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials of planned discharge that included: pre-admission assessment, case finding on admission, inpatient assessment and preparation of a discharge plan based on the individual needs of a patient, implementation of the discharge plan consistent with the assessment and documentation of the discharge planning process, and monitoring	30 randomised trials that evaluated broadly similar interventions that included all 5 components, although 7 of the trials did not describe a monitoring phase

(Continued)

Participants	All patients in hospital irrespective of age, sex or condition	21 trials recruited patients with a medical condition (6 of them heart failure patients), 5 trials with a mix of medical and surgical conditions, 2 trials recruited older people (> 65 years), and 2 from an acute psychiatric ward. The average age of patients recruited to 10 of the trials was > 75 years; between 70 and 75 years in 7 trials, and < 70 years in the remaining trials. They were < 50 years in the 2 trials recruiting participants for a psychiatric hospital
Settings	Acute, rehabilitation or community hospitals	USA (13 trials), UK (5 studies), Canada (3 studies), France (2 studies), Australia (1 study), Denmark (1 study), the Netherlands (1 study), Slovenia (1 study), Sweden (1 study), Switzerland (1 study), and Taiwan (1 study)
Outcomes	Length of stay in hospital, readmission rate to hospital, complication rate, place of discharge, mortality rate, patient health/psychological status, patient/caregiver satisfaction, psychological health of caregivers, cost of community care/healthcare, use of medications	Length of stay in hospital (15 trials), readmission rate to hospital (18 studies), place of discharge (3 studies), mortality rate (9 studies), patient health/psychological status (14 studies), patient/caregiver satisfaction (4 studies), cost of community care/healthcare (7 studies), use of medications (2 studies). Follow-up times varied between 2 weeks and 9 months

Date of most recent search: October 2015

Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations

Integration

Adding a service to an existing service vs services with no addition - integrated vs vertical delivery models

Dudley 2011

Review objective: to determine whether strategies that aim to integrate primary health services or strengthen linkages at the point of delivery in low- and middle-income countries produce a more coherent product and improve healthcare delivery and/or health outcomes

Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Any management or organisational change	5 randomised trials and 4 controlled before-after studies. - Adding a family planning clinic to: an expanded programme of immunisation (1 study), a maternal and child health service (2 studies), and a voluntary HIV counselling and testing

(Continued)

	strategy applied to existing systems that aimed to increase integration at the service delivery level in primary health. The review included randomised trials, non-randomised trials, controlled before-after studies, and interrupted time series studies	service (1 study) - Different forms of integration of nutrition and infectious disease control (1 study) - Integrating sexually transmitted infection, HIV/AIDS and TB health services with routine services (4 studies)
Participants	Users and providers in primary healthcare facilities in low- and middle-income countries	Individual patients, couples, households, and communities using primary healthcare services; and providers of primary healthcare services
Settings	Primary healthcare facilities in low- and middle-income countries	India (2 studies), South Africa (2 studies), Nepal, Tanzania, Togo, Zambia, Zimbabwe
Outcomes	Healthcare delivery, healthcare received, and health behaviour and status outcomes	Processes and outputs of healthcare delivery (9 studies) Health status (5 studies) Knowledge and behaviours of service users (3 studies) Users' perceptions of the service (1 study)

Date of most recent search: September 2010

Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations

Referral Systems

Healthcare delivery of organisational interventions vs no intervention for referral from primary to secondary care

[Akbari 2008](#)

Review objective: to assess the effects of interventions to change primary care outpatient referral rates or improve outpatient referral appropriateness

(Continued)

Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials, non-randomised trials, controlled before-after studies, and interrupted time series studies of interventions to change outpatient referral rates or improve outpatient referral appropriateness	17 studies were found, of which 9 evaluated professional educational interventions, 4 evaluated organisational interventions, and 4 evaluated financial interventions. Of the 17 studies identified, 10 were randomised trials, 1 was a non-randomised trial, 5 were controlled before-after studies, and 1 was an interrupted time series study
Participants	Primary care physicians, including general practitioners, family doctors, family physicians, family practitioners, and other physicians working in primary health-care settings, who fulfil primary health-care tasks Specialist physicians working in hospitals or community outpatient settings	Primary care physicians and specialist physicians
Settings	Primary care and hospitals	Studies conducted in the UK (12 studies), the USA (2 studies), and 1 each in the Netherlands, Palestine, and Finland
Outcomes	Objectively measured provider performance in a healthcare setting (for exam-	Number of primary care visits, referral rates, appropriateness of referrals, case mix of referrals, appropriateness of specialist investigations, costs of prescriptions

(Continued)

	ple, referral rates or appropriateness of referral) or health outcomes	
Date of most recent search: October 2007		
Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations		
Referral Systems		
Nurse vs physician triage systems in emergency departments		
Rowe 2011 .		
Review objective: to estimate the effectiveness of triage systems in reducing emergency department (ED) overcrowding		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Parallel or cluster-randomised trials, non-randomised trials, cohort studies, interrupted time series studies, case-control studies, and before-after studies assessing the effect of physician-led triage systems	28 included studies: 2 randomised trials, 7 non-randomised trials, 1 interrupted time series study, 16 before-after studies, and 2 prospective cohort studies. The studies compared nurse-led triage with triage teams (20 studies) or emergency physicians (8 studies)
Participants	Adult or mixed (children and adult) patients seeking healthcare	All studies took place in single emergency departments
Settings	Emergency departments	USA (17 studies), UK (4 studies), Australia (2 studies), Canada (2 studies), Hong Kong (2 studies), Singapore (1 study)
Outcomes	ED length of stay, time from patient arrival/triage to physically leaving the	ED length of stay (19 studies), physician initial assessment time from patient arrival (9 studies), proportion of patients leaving the ED without being seen (12 studies) and leaving the ED against medical advice (2 studies)

(Continued)

	ED, physician initial assessment time from patient arrival, proportion of patients leaving the ED without being seen and leaving the ED against medical advice	
Date of most recent search: May 2009		
Limitations: this is well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations, but the last search was conducted in 2009		
Teams		
Team midwifery vs standard care		
Butler 2011 .		
See under “Who provides care/role expansion or task shifting/specialist nursing post added to hospital nurse staffing”		
Teams		
Multidisciplinary team care for people living with HIV/AIDS vs no team		
Young 2010		
Review objective: to assess the effectiveness of home-based care to reduce morbidity and mortality in people with HIV/AIDS		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised and non-randomised trials of home-based care, provided by family, lay and/or professional people, including all forms of treatment, care and support	11 randomised trials: home-based nursing compared with usual care (3 studies); multi-professional team compared with an independent primary nurse (2 studies); computer-based information compared with brochures or usual care (2 studies); home total parenteral nutrition compared with dietary counselling (1 study); home-based water chlorination and safe storage compared with education only (1 study); home-based exercise programme compared with usual care (2 studies)
Participants	Male and female individuals living with HIV,	10 trials included both men and women, and 1 trial included children only

(Continued)

	including adults and children	
Settings	Homes of people living with HIV/AIDS	All interventions were delivered in the homes of people living with HIV/AIDS in communities in the USA (9 studies), France (1 study) and Uganda (1 study). In addition, 2 ongoing trials in Uganda were identified
Outcomes	<p><i>Primary outcomes:</i> progression to HIV/AIDS, death</p> <p><i>Secondary outcomes:</i> psychosocial outcomes, quality of care, quality of life, number of inpatient days, number and type of opportunistic infections</p>	<p><i>Primary outcomes:</i> viral load and CD4 counts; level of function; and health status, including physical functioning and well-being, changes in body composition (e.g., weight, waist circumference), biochemical measures</p> <p><i>Secondary outcomes:</i> a range of outcomes were measured including people's knowledge of HIV and medications; emotional distress and health-related quality of life; costs; risk behaviours; and health service utilisation</p>
Date of most recent search: September 2008		
Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations		
Teams		
Practice based interventions to promote collaboration vs no intervention		
Reeves 2017		
Review objective: to assess the impact of practice-based interventions to improve collaboration between professionals on patient satisfaction, health outcomes and the effectiveness and the efficiency of the healthcare provided		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials that evaluate practice-based interventions that are designed to improve collaboration between 2 or more health and/or social care profes-	9 randomised trials: 8 studies compared an interprofessional collaboration (IPC) intervention with no intervention and evaluated the effects of different practice-based IPC interventions: externally facilitated interprofessional activities (4 studies), interprofessional rounds (2 studies), interprofessional meetings (1 study), and interprofessional checklists (1 study). 1 study compared 1 type of interprofessional meeting with another type of interprofessional meeting

(Continued)

	sionals	
Participants	Healthcare teams/groups composed of more than 1 type of health and social care professional, in any patient population	Teams/groups involving a combination of doctors, nurses, pharmacists, nutritionists/dietitians, social workers, case managers, physical therapists, speech pathologists, occupational therapists, service support staff and managers
Settings	Any health or social care setting	Acute care or general hospital care (6 studies), telemetry unit of a community hospital (technology that allows remote measurement and reporting of information) (1 study), nursing home (1 study) and family medicine practices (1 study) Country settings: Australia (2 studies), Belgium (1 study), Sweden (1 study), USA (4 studies) and the UK(1 study)
Outcomes	Patient/client health measures (e.g. mortality, cure rates); healthcare process outcomes (e.g. readmission rates, continuity of care, use of resources; patient or family satisfaction; inter-professional collaboration)	All studies reported at least 1 patient/client or healthcare process outcome. 4 studies assessed collaborative behaviour
Date of most recent search: November 2015		
Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations		
Where care is provided		
Site of service delivery HIV voluntary counselling and testing (VCT) at an optional location vs VCT at clinic		
Bateganya 2010		
Review objective: to assess the effectiveness of home-based HIV VCT in improving the uptake of HIV testing		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found

(Continued)

Study designs and interventions	Randomised trials of home-based HIV VCT with any of the following features - The provision of pre-test counselling in the home followed by rapid HIV testing, or the collection of specimens sent later to laboratories for HIV testing - The provision of HIV test results and post-test counselling in the home - Referral of patients tested at home who had HIV-positive test results	1 published randomised trial in which VCT for HIV was offered at an alternative location, including patients' homes
Participants	Adults aged ≥ 15 years who were either HIV negative or unaware of their HIV status and were screened for HIV infection after giving informed consent	Male and female household members aged ≥ 15 years
Settings	Low- and middle-income countries with a score of < 0.9 on the Human Development Index	Community setting in Lusaka, Zambia

(Continued)

Outcomes	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Acceptance of HIV pre-test counselling by people 2. Whether HIV post-test counselling was offered and the test results received by people 3. Number of cases of HIV infection diagnosed based on rapid tests 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Acceptability to participants of HIV pre-test counselling alone 2. Acceptability to participants of HIV pre-test counselling and HIV testing 3. Proportion of people who received HIV post-test counselling and their HIV test results
Date of most recent search: December 2008		
Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations, including some methodological problems		
Site of service delivery		
Units dedicated to care for people living with HIV/AIDS Institutions managing a high volume of people living with HIV/AIDS		
Handford 2006		
<i>See under "Coordination of care/case management/people living with HIV/AIDS"</i>		
Site of service delivery		
Home-based care for people living with HIV/AIDS Home-based care for people living with HIV/AIDS vs other delivery options		
Young 2010.		
<i>See under "Teams/multidisciplinary team care for people living with HIV/AIDS"</i>		
Site of service delivery		
Home-based management of malaria		
Okwundu 2013		
Review objective: to evaluate home- and community-based management strategies for treating malaria		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Inter-	Randomised trials, non-	7 randomised trials and 3 controlled before-after studies. In all 10 studies, the intervention involved training low-level health workers or mothers to give antimalarials provided free or at

(Continued)

Interventions	randomised trials, controlled before-after studies, and interrupted time series studies that evaluated the effects of a home- or community-based programme for treating malaria	a highly-subsidised cost 8 studies compared presumptive treatment of all episodes of fever to standard (facility-based) care 2 studies compared home- or community-based programmes using rapid diagnostic tests to confirm malaria with programmes using presumptive treatment
Participants	People living in a malaria endemic setting	7 studies targeted children aged less than 6 years, and 3 studies treated all age groups
Settings	Malaria endemic settings	Kenya (2 studies), Tanzania (2 studies), Uganda (2 studies); Burkina Faso, DR Congo, Ethiopia, Zambia (1 study in each country)
Outcomes	Primary: all-cause mortality Secondary: malaria-specific mortality, hospitalisations, severe malaria, recommended treatment within 24 hours, any antimalarial treatment, parasitaemia, anaemia, adverse events	For home- or community-based programmes versus facility-based care: all-cause mortality (1 study), hospitalisations (1 study), prompt treatment (2 studies), parasitaemia (2 studies), anaemia (3 studies) For using rapid diagnostic tests versus clinical diagnosis: all-cause mortality (2 studies), hospitalisations (1 study), treatment with an antimalarial (2 studies), treatment failure at day 7 (2 studies)

Date of most recent search: September 2012

Limitations: this was a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations

Site of service delivery

- Strategies for increasing ownership and use of insecticide-treated bednets

[Augustincic 2015](#)

Review objective: to assess the evidence on the effectiveness and equity of strategies to increase ownership and proper use of insecticide-treated bednets (ITNs)

(Continued)

Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials, non-randomised trials, controlled before-after studies and interrupted time series studies evaluating interventions to increase ITN ownership and use	10 randomised trials: 4 studies used a combination of strategies focusing on ITN delivery to increase ITN ownership and appropriate ITN use; 2 studies focused on ITN delivery strategies only; and 7 studies examined appropriate use strategies
Participants	Individuals (children and adults) in malaria endemic areas	Adults, children under 5 years, pregnant women, mothers of children under 5 years, rural cotton farmers
Settings	Not specified	Rural communities in Africa, India, and Iran
Outcomes	ITN ownership, ITN use and a range of secondary outcomes including (among others) equity ratio of household ITN ownership and adverse effects	ITN ownership, ITN use, and malaria morbidity
Date of most recent search: February 2013		
Limitations: this was a well-conducted review with only minor limitations		
Site of service delivery Home care (different models) vs facility		
Parker 2013		
Review objective: to identify recent evidence on effectiveness and costs of care closer to home (CCTH) for children with long-term conditions, including evidence on CCTH for children with short-term health needs and those with palliative or end-of-life care needs		

(Continued)

Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials and other comparative studies with health economic data that assess any model of care that brings CCTH by preventing immediate inpatient admission and/or reducing length of stay of children, published since 1990	11 randomised trials and 15 health economic studies were included. The studies include 7 types of CCTH: for very low birthweight babies, for long-term conditions, for mental health problems, for acute medical conditions, home chemotherapy, home-based alternative to clinic-based care and telemedicine support
Participants	Children with acute, chronic, complex or palliative care needs	Diverse populations of children included, depending upon the health condition studied
Settings	Any home and hospital setting	Studies were from USA (3 studies), UK (3 studies) and 1 each from Canada, Finland, Germany, Australia and Brazil
Outcomes	Any measure of effectiveness, cost or cost-effectiveness	Depending on the intervention: mortality, morbidity outcomes, costs

Date of most recent search: April 2007

Limitations: this is a well-conducted review with minor limitations. However, the last search was in 2007

Site of service delivery

Maternity waiting home vs no waiting homes

[Van Lonkhuijzen 2012](#)

Review objective: to assess the effects of maternity waiting facilities on maternal and perinatal health in low-resource settings

Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
----------	--------------------------------------	-------------------------------

(Continued)

Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials of maternity waiting homes; i.e. facilities within a 1 km or a 10-minute walk from a medical facility designated for the lodging of pregnant women awaiting labour	No randomised trials of maternity waiting facilities in low-resource settings were found
Participants	Pregnant women	None
Settings	Low-resource countries	None
Outcomes	Indices of perinatal morbidity and mortality, maternal morbidity and mortality, obstetric intervention and maternal satisfaction	None

Date of most recent search: January 2012

Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations

Site of service delivery

Community-based interventions for childhood diarrhoea and pneumonia versus routine care

[Das 2013](#)

Review objective: to estimate the effect of community-based interventions including community case management on the coverage of various commodities and on mortality due to diarrhoea and pneumonia

Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials, quasi-experimental and observational studies of community-based interventions	24 studies were found, including randomised trials, quasi-experimental and observational studies
Participants	Impacts on children under 5 years	Children under 5 years

(Continued)

Settings	Community-based settings in any country	Asia and Africa: India, Bangladesh, Pakistan, Malaysia, Nepal, Tanzania, China, Fiji, Zambia, Mali, Mozambique, Thailand, Uganda
Outcomes	Care seeking rates, use of oral rehydration solutions and zinc for diarrhoea, antibiotics use and treatment failure rates for diarrhoea and pneumonia; and for case management studies: incidence of moderate or severe episodes of acute lower respiratory infection, diarrhoea-specific mortality, pneumonia-specific mortality, and all-cause mortality	Use of oral rehydration solution in childhood diarrhoea, use of zinc in childhood diarrhoea, care seeking rates for diarrhoea, care-seeking rates for pneumonia (12 studies); pneumonia case management outcomes (12 studies); diarrhoea case management outcomes (2 studies)

Date of most recent search: November 2012

Limitations: this review has important limitations. It does not provide any information on risk of bias. In addition, it does not report how studies were weighted in the analysis

Site of service delivery

Early discharge from hospital for mothers and infants born at term versus standard discharge

[Brown 2007](#)

Review objective: to assess the safety, impact and effectiveness of a policy of early discharge for healthy mothers and term infants, with respect to the health and well-being of mothers and babies

Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials that evaluate a policy of early postnatal discharge from hospital for healthy mothers and infants born at term	10 trials of early discharge were included in the review. Early discharge defined as a discharge after < 48 hours (5 studies), < 60 hours (1 study), and after periods ranging from 12 to 72 hours (4 studies)
Participants	Women who give birth in a hospital to a healthy infant that weighs at least 2500 g at term (37 to 42 weeks) and are deemed eligible for 'early discharge'	Women were recruited after the birth (4 studies) or during pregnancy (6 studies)
Settings	Hospital based	Studies were undertaken in the USA (3 studies), Canada (3 studies), the UK (1 study), Spain (1 study), Sweden (1 study) and Switzerland (1 study)
Outcomes	Infant or maternal readmissions (and duration of the later), maternal emotional well-being, breastfeeding problems, satisfaction and costs of care	Infant readmissions (8 studies), maternal readmissions (8 studies), maternal emotional well-being (5 studies), breastfeeding problems (8 studies), satisfaction with care (4 studies), and costs of care (4 studies)

(Continued)

Date of most recent search: December 2008		
Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations		
Site of service delivery Out-of-facility vs facility-based HIV and reproductive health services for youth		
Denno 2012		
Review objective: to estimate the effectiveness of out-of facility HIV and reproductive health services in increasing HIV and reproductive health service use by young people		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials, non-randomised trials, controlled observational studies, interrupted time series and studies examining the percentage of a target population reached with out-reach-based services	20 studies met the inclusion criteria, including 10 containing comparative data (1 randomised trial, 2 non-randomised trials, 2 interrupted time series studies, 2 controlled and 1 uncontrolled before-after studies and 2 cross-sectional studies). 5 of the 20 studies investigated the delivery of emergency contraception through community-based pharmacies. Other interventions were community youth programme promoters, integrated youth centres, mail-based interventions or distributing commodities. Many studies included some health education component
Participants	Adolescents and/or young adults	Most patients were between 10 and 24 years old.
Settings	Out-of-health facility locations, including pharmacies, detention centers, on the street, in parks, and in community centers. School-based outreach was excluded from the review	USA (8 studies), UK (3 studies), the Netherlands (3 studies), Canada (1 study), Denmark (1 study), France (1 study), Malawi (1 study), Mexico (1 study), Zambia (1 study)
Outcomes	Use of HIV or reproductive health services or receipt/use of related commodities	Proportion screened for chlamydia (4 studies); proportion following through on HIV-related referral (1 study); counselling and testing (1 study); emergency contraception use (5 studies); number of contraceptive users over time (1 study); condom use at last sexual encounter (1 study)
Date of most recent search: March 2010		
Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations		
Site of service delivery Decentralised vs centralised HIV care for initiation and maintenance of anti-retroviral therapy		
Kredo 2013		
Review objective: to assess the effects of decentralised HIV care in relation to initiation and maintenance of antiretroviral therapy (ART)		

(Continued)

Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised and non-randomised trials, controlled before-after studies and well-designed cohort studies assessing any form of decentralised care delivery model for the initiation of ART, continuation of ART, or both	16 included studies: 2 cluster trials, 2 prospective cohorts and 12 retrospective cohort studies The studies examined partial decentralisation (6 studies), full decentralisation (7 studies), and decentralisation from facility to community (3 studies)
Participants	HIV-infected patients at the point of initiating treatment, and patients already on treatment requiring maintenance and follow-up	HIV infected patients. 3 included children only, 2 included adults and children and the rest included adults only
Settings	Community, health centre and hospital settings.	Studies from rural and urban areas in South Africa (4 studies), Malawi (3 studies), Ethiopia (2 studies), Uganda (2 studies), Kenya (1 study), Swaziland (1 study), and Thailand (1 study). 1 study examined data from 5 countries in Africa (Kenya, Lesotho, Mozambique, Rwanda and Tanzania)
Outcomes	<i>Primary:</i> lost to care at 1 year, death, and a composite outcome of both <i>Secondary:</i> time to starting antiretroviral therapy, new diagnoses of tuberculosis co-infection, virologic and immunologic response to ART, new AIDS-defining illness, patient satisfaction with care, and cost to the provider	All primary outcomes, virologic and immunologic response to ART, costs to people living with HIV/AIDS and costs to the health service, and patient satisfaction with care

Date of most recent search: March 2013

Limitations: this is well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations

Site of service delivery

- Workplace programmes for HIV and tuberculosis versus no programme

[Yassi 2013](#)

Review objective: to determine the effectiveness of workplace programmes for the diagnosis or treatment of HIV or tuberculosis (TB)

Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials, quasi-experimental or comparative observational studies assessing workplace programmes to diagnose	3 studies among healthcare workers (1 controlled before-after study, 1 uncontrolled before-after study, and 1 descriptive study) and 7 among employees in other sectors (1 cluster-randomised trial, 2 interrupted time series, 4 qualitative/quantitative descriptive studies)

(Continued)

	and/or manage HIV and/or TB, and analytical studies if none of the previous designs was found	
Participants	Health workers and employees in any sector (including private and public workplaces)	Health workers at hospitals and medical centres. Small- and medium-sized businesses
Settings	Workplaces (all countries)	South Africa (7 studies), Botswana (jointly with South Africa), Rwanda, Zambia and Zimbabwe (1 each)
Outcomes	Incidence of infection, absenteeism, worker retention, uptake of voluntary counselling and testing, uptake of treatment, morbidity, mortality, working conditions, cost (or cost-benefit), discrimination or stigma, job loss, services to the community	Mortality, active TB cases, adverse effects of medicines, uptake of HIV testing and appropriate treatment, adoption of HIV-preventative behaviours, knowledge and attitudes about HIV, stigma and morale

Date of most recent search: 2009

Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations

Information and communication technology

E-Health

Mobile phone messaging for long-term illnesses vs usual care

[De Jongh 2012](#)

Review objective: to assess the effects of mobile phone messaging applications designed to facilitate self-management of long-term illnesses, on health outcomes and the capacity of patients to self-manage their conditions

Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials, non-randomised trials, controlled before-after studies, or interrupted time series studies with at least 3 time points before and after the intervention	4 randomised trials were included. Text messaging was used as an intervention in all the included studies. Multimedia message services (MMS) were not used in any of the included studies 2 studies of interventions for diabetes and hypertension respectively used one-way communication between an automated system and the study participants. 1 study about diabetes used 2-way communication between patients and an automated system, and 1 study about asthma used 2-way communication between patients and their physicians

(Continued)

Participants	Patients with long-term illnesses	182 participants: people with diabetes aged between 8 and 25 years (2 studies, N = 99); people over 18 years with hypertension (1 study, N = 67); people of any age with asthma (1 study, N = 16)
Settings	Any	Outpatient services in the USA, the UK, Spain and Croatia
Outcomes	<p><i>Primary outcomes:</i> health outcomes as a result of the intervention, including physiological measures (e.g. blood pressure) and capacity to self-manage long-term conditions (e.g. lifestyle modification)</p> <p><i>Secondary outcomes:</i> user (patient, caregiver or healthcare provider) evaluation of the intervention (e.g. satisfaction); health service utilisation following the intervention; costs (direct and indirect) of the intervention; user perceptions of safety; potential harms or adverse effects of the intervention, such as misreading or misinterpretation of data</p>	<p><i>Primary outcomes:</i> glycaemic control (Hb1Ac) in people with diabetes (2 trials); diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) (1 trial), severe hypoglycaemia (1 trial), systolic and diastolic blood pressure (1 trial), forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) and forced vital capacity (FVC) in people with asthma (1 trial). The following outcomes were also evaluated across the 4 trials: self-efficacy for diabetes, diabetes social support interview, diabetes knowledge scale, hypertension treatment adherence at 6 months, diabetes treatment adherence, adherence of people with asthma to peak expiratory flow measurement</p> <p><i>Secondary outcomes:</i> participant evaluation of the intervention and health services utilisation were evaluated in 1 trial</p>

Date of most recent search: June 2009

Limitations: this is well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations

E-Health

Mobile phone messaging reminders for attendance at healthcare appointments vs various other interventions

[Guroi-Urganci 2013](#)

Review objective: to assess the effects of mobile phone messaging reminders for attendance at healthcare appointments

Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials evaluating the use of reminders for healthcare appointments sent from a healthcare provider to a patient using SMS or MMS compared with no intervention, or other modes of communication	8 randomised trials involving a total of 6615 people evaluated a text messaging intervention compared to usual practice (in 7 studies, the usual practice was no reminders). The messages were sent 24 to 72 hours before the appointment and included the participant's name and appointment details. 2 studies included instructions (i.e. to call a specified number if the patient was unable to attend), and 2 emphasised the importance of attending the appointment. 3 studies used a web-based platform to send the messages, 1 used a modem linked to electronic medical records, and 3 did not describe the platform used. In 1 study, messages were sent either

(Continued)

		manually or through an automated delivery system
Participants	Any type of participants regardless of age, gender and ethnicity; patients with any type and stage of disease	Patients that required an appointment in the clinic or practice (3 studies), middle- and high- income employees or owners of local companies (1 study)
Settings	Any setting	Australia (1 study), China (2 studies), Kenya (1 study), Malaysia (2 studies) and the UK (UK) (2 studies). The settings were: 1 hospital health promotion centre; 1 inner-city general practice; 6 ENT clinics (in 1 hospital); 9 primary care clinics; and 12 governmental health clinics
Outcomes	<i>Primary outcome:</i> rate of attendance at healthcare appointments <i>Secondary outcomes:</i> health outcomes (e.g. blood pressure, clinical assessments), user evaluation of the intervention, user perceptions of safety, costs, and potential harms	All studies reported attendance rates at healthcare appointments. The costs of the interventions were reported in 2 studies. None of the included studies reported health outcomes, user perceptions of safety, or potential harms of the intervention. Only 1 study measured some form of user evaluation (proportion of participants contacted who had a mobile phone and who were willing to be contacted by SMS)

Date of most recent search: August 2012

Limitations: this is well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations

E-Health

Mobile phone messaging to promote adherence to antiretroviral therapy vs usual care

[Mbuagbaw 2013](#)

Review objective: to determine whether mobile phone text-messaging is efficacious in enhancing adherence to ART in people with HIV infection

Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials in which patients receiving ART or their caregivers (for children) were provided with mobile phone text messages to promote adherence to ART	3 randomised trials comparing text messaging to a control condition. In 2 studies, weekly text messages reminders were compared to standard care. In the other study, short or long text messages, either daily or weekly, were compared to the provision of a cell phone, but without study-related communication
Participants	Adults or children receiving ART	The studies included adults only
Settings	Any setting	Kenya (2 studies) and Cameroon (1 study)

(Continued)

Outcomes	The primary outcomes were adherence to ART and viral load suppression. The secondary outcomes were quality of life, mortality, losses to follow-up, transfers and withdrawals	All studies reported adherence to ART at 48 or 52 weeks and viral load suppression at 52 weeks. 1 study reported mortality, losses to follow-up, transfers and withdrawals. 1 study reported quality of life
----------	---	--

Date of most recent search: this review included 3 studies, which were the only published studies of which the authors were aware that met their selection criteria up until September 2013

Limitations: this is a well-conducted review that analysed individual patient data from 3 randomised trials. However, a systematic search for other relevant studies was not undertaken

Health information systems

Women carrying their own case notes in pregnancy vs less detailed health cards

[Brown 2011](#)

Review objective: to evaluate the effects of women carrying their own case notes during pregnancy

Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials of interventions in which pregnant women were given their own case notes to carry during pregnancy	3 trials in which pregnant women were given their complete antenatal records to carry and control groups were given a co-op card (short summary card with no clinical progress information)
Participants	Pregnant women	Pregnant women recruited at their first antenatal booking visit
Settings	Antenatal care services	Antenatal care services within the public health sector in the UK (2 studies) and Australia (1 study)
Outcomes	Primary: maternal satisfaction and control, administrative efficiency Secondary: partner involvement, health-related behaviours, clinical outcomes	Primary: maternal satisfaction and control (3 studies), administrative efficiency information (2 studies) Secondary: breastfeeding initiation (1 study), smoking cessation (2 studies), and clinical outcomes (1 study)

Date of most recent search: March 2011

Limitations: this is a well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations. An updated version of this review found 1 additional randomised trial, which did not change the conclusions of the review (see related literature). This summary has not yet been updated to incorporate the additional trial

Patient reminder and recall systems

Interventions to improve childhood vaccination including reminders for routine childhood vaccination vs usual care

[Oyo-Ita 2016](#)

Review objective: to assess the effectiveness of intervention strategies to improve immunisation coverage in LMICs

(Continued)

Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials, non-randomised trials, controlled before-after studies (CBAs) and interrupted time series studies that evaluate patient-oriented (health education or incentives), provider-oriented (audit and feedback, reminders) or health system-oriented (outreach programmes, interventions oriented to improve quality) interventions to increase immunisation coverage	14 studies were included: 10 cluster-randomised trials and 4 individually randomised trials. Interventions included health education (6 studies), monetary incentives (4 studies), health education plus parent reminders (2 studies), provider-oriented interventions (1 study), home visits (1 study), integration of immunisation services with intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in infants (1 study), regular immunisation outreach sessions (1 study) and a combination of provider training and quality assurance (1 study). Several studies evaluated more than 1 intervention
Participants	Healthcare personnel who deliver immunisation. Children under 5 years who receive immunisation or their caregivers	Children birth to 4 years (10 studies), primary healthcare workers (1 study), general adult population (1 study), and pregnant and postpartum women (2 studies)
Settings	Low- and middle-income countries	Ambulatory care settings in: Georgia (1 study), Ghana (1 study), Honduras (1 study), India (2 studies), Mali (1 study), Mexico (1 study), Nepal (1 study), Nicaragua (1 study), Pakistan (4 studies) and Zimbabwe (1 study)
Outcomes	<i>Primary outcomes:</i> proportion of children who received DTP3 by 1 year; proportion of children who received all recommended vaccinations by 2 years of age <i>Secondary outcomes:</i> occurrence of vaccine-preventable diseases, number of under-fives immunised, costs, attitudes of caregivers and clients to vaccination, adverse events	DTPs coverage (6 studies), proportion of the target population that was fully immunised (11 studies), percentage change in immunisation coverage over time (2 studies). Other outcomes reported were coverage for specific vaccines (3 studies), costs (1 study), received at least 1 vaccine (1 study), completion of schedule (1 study). None of the studies provided data on the attitudes of caregivers and clients to vaccination

Date of most recent search: May 2016 for most databases

Limitations: this is well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations

Quality and safety systems

Quality/safety monitoring and improvement systems

Medication review for hospitalised adult patients vs standard care

[Christensen 2016](#)

Review objective: to assess whether medication review improves health outcomes of hospitalised adult patients

Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
----------	--------------------------------------	-------------------------------

(Continued)

Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials, including cluster-randomised trials, assessing medication review	10 randomised trials were included. The medication review was performed by a pharmacist (4 trials), by a team of pharmacists and pharmacy technicians (1 trial), by a physician (2 trials), by a pharmacist or a physician (1 trial) and by a team of pharmacists and physicians (2 trials). The medication review ended with a written recommendation to the prescribing physicians, sometimes combined with medicines counselling, patient education and telephone follow-up. 7 trials provided additional interventions besides medication review
Participants	Hospitalised adult patients receiving medication review by a physician, pharmacist or other healthcare professional	Participants were elderly with a mean age around 80 years in all trials except 3, in which the mean participant age was 59, 61 and 70 years
Settings	Hospital setting, worldwide	USA (2 studies) and Europe (Belgium, Denmark, Ireland, Northern Ireland, and Sweden) (8 studies)
Outcomes	Mortality, hospital readmission, hospital emergency department contacts (all-cause and due to adverse medicines events), and adverse medicine events	Mortality (9 trials), hospital readmissions (7, with 1 due to adverse medicine events), hospital emergency department contacts (4, with 1 due to adverse medicine events), and adverse medicine events (1 study)
Date of most recent search: May 2015		
Limitations: this is well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations		
Quality monitoring and improvement systems Interventions to improve antibiotic prescribing to hospital inpatients		
Davey 2013		
Review objective: to estimate the effectiveness of professional interventions that, alone or in combination, are effective in antibiotic stewardship for hospital inpatients		
Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found

(Continued)

Study designs and Interventions	Randomised trials, non-randomised trials, controlled before-after studies and interrupted time series studies of interventions directed to antibiotic stewardship	89 included studies (95 interventions): 25 randomised trials, 3 non-randomised trials, 5 controlled before-after studies, and 56 interrupted time series studies. 84% of the interventions targeted the antibiotic prescribed and the remaining 16% aimed to change exposure of patients to antibiotics by targeting the decision to treat or the duration of treatment
Participants	Healthcare professionals who prescribe antibiotics to hospital inpatients	Interventions were delivered by multidisciplinary teams (39%), specialist physicians in infectious diseases or microbiology (33%), pharmacists (20%), and department physicians (8%)
Settings	Hospital settings worldwide	USA (48), UK (12 studies), Netherlands (6 studies), Canada (4 studies), Switzerland (3 studies), Australia (3 studies), Thailand (2 studies), Colombia (2 studies), France (2 studies), Germany (2 studies), Spain (2 studies), Israel (2 studies), Austria (1 study), Belgium (1 study), Brazil (1 study), Hong Kong (1 study), Italy (1 study), Norway (1 study), and Sweden (1 study)
Outcomes	Antibiotic prescribing process measures (decision to treat, choice of medicine, dose, route or duration of treatment); clinical outcome measures (mortality, length of hospital stay); microbial outcome measure (colonisation or infection with clostridium difficile or antibiotic-resistant bacteria)	Appropriate prescribing of antibiotics, microbial outcomes, patient outcomes (mortality), length of stay, readmissions

Date of most recent search: February 2009

Limitations: this is well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations

Quality monitoring and improvement systems

Decision support to improve healthcare process and health outcomes for people living with HIV/AIDS

Decision support with clinical information system to improve healthcare process and health outcomes for people living with HIV/AIDS

[Pasricha 2012](#)

Review objective: to assess the effectiveness of decision support (DS) and clinical information system (CIS) interventions for people living with HIV

(Continued)

Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Comparative studies that examined the impact of DS and CIS interventions compared to usual care, another (non- chronic care model) intervention, or both	16 studies were included in the review: 2 randomised trials, 1 non-randomised trial, 4 prospective and 5 retrospective cohorts, 1 cross-sectional study, 2 time series, and 1 prospective time-motion study
Participants	Healthcare providers caring for individuals known to be living with HIV	Ambulatory patients. Participants in 1 study were children and the rest were adults (mostly men under 50 years)
Settings	Ambulatory setting	USA (10 studies), UK (1 study), France (1 study), Switzerland (1 study), South Africa (1 study), Zambia (1 study) and Uganda (1 study)
Outcomes	Immunologic or virologic outcomes such as CD4 count or viral load; medical outcomes such as mortality, progression to AIDS, opportunistic infections, adherence to medication, and risk behaviours; psychosocial outcomes such as quality of life or psychological health and well-being; economic outcomes such as healthcare utilisation (length of stay, visits), costs; and healthcare process/provider performance outcomes	Process and health outcome measures

Date of most recent search: February 2011

Limitations: this is well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations

Working conditions of health workers

Staff support

Managerial supervision to improve quality of primary health care

[Bosch-Capblanch 2011](#)

Review objective: to summarise opinions on the definition of supervision of primary healthcare; to compare these definitions to supervision in practice; and to appraise the evidence of effects of supervision on sector performance

Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Routine supervision visits by health staff from a centre (such as a district office) to primary health care (PHC) staff in both urban and rural areas. Randomised trials, non-randomised trials, controlled before-after studies, and interrupted time series studies	5 cluster-randomised trials and 4 controlled before-after studies. The interventions were: routine supervision, enhanced supervision, less in-

(Continued)

		tensive supervision, and no supervision
Participants	Healthcare units (health centres) or providers (including lay health workers) at the PHC level	Studies took place in Africa (Benin, Ethiopia, Kenya, South Africa, Zimbabwe), Asia (Nepal, the Philippines, Thailand) and Latin America (Brazil)
Settings	Health services, rural or urban, in low- and middle-income countries	Rural areas (5 studies) and settings that were both rural and urban (3 studies). 1 study did not specify the study area
Outcomes	Service quality measures, including changes in provider practice, adherence to guidelines or service coverage. Also, population or patient satisfaction, change in provider knowledge and provider satisfaction with supervision	Service quality, user satisfaction, provider knowledge and satisfaction. Other outcomes included the cost of supervision and service utilisation

Date of most recent search: March 2011

Limitations: this is well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations

Complex interventions cutting across delivery categories and across the other overviews

Package of multiple interventions

Emergency obstetric referral interventions

[Hussein 2012](#)

Review objective: to assess the effects of referral interventions that enable pregnant women to reach health facilities during an emergency after the decision to refer has been made

Types of	What the review authors searched for	What the review authors found
Study designs and Interventions	Any randomised trial or quasi-experimental studies looking at phase II (delays in reaching an appropriate facility) interventions to improve referral of emergency obstetric conditions	19 studies: cluster-randomised trials (4 studies), before-after studies (9 studies), and observational cohort studies (6 studies) 14 interventions: organisational interven-

(Continued)

		tions (6 studies), structural interventions (7 studies), mixed interventions (structural and organisational) (1 study)
Participants	Pregnant and postpartum women with an obstetric complication	Pregnant women and postpartum women with obstetric complications
Settings	Low- and middle-income countries	Rural settings in low- and middle-income countries: Bangladesh (6 studies), Zimbabwe (4 studies), Guatemala (1 study), Pakistan (1 study), India (1 study), Nepal (1 study), Indonesia (1 study), Zambia (1 study), Malawi (1 study), Burkina Faso (2 studies)
Outcomes	Maternal and neonatal mortality and stillbirths	Maternal mortality (7 studies), neonatal mortality (6 studies), and stillbirths (7 studies). 1 study reported on both neonatal and stillbirths
Date of most recent search: November 2010		
Limitations: this is well-conducted systematic review with only minor limitations		

Appendix 4. Included reviews by categories

Included reviews by publication status in the Cochrane Library (n=50)

Cochrane Reviews (N = 32)

Akbari 2008; Augustincic 2015; Ballini 2015; Bateganya 2010; Bosch-Capblanch 2011; Brown 2007; Brown 2011; Butler 2011; Catling 2015; Christensen 2016; Davey 2013; De Jongh 2012; Dudley 2011; Gonçalves-Bradley 2016; Grobler 2015; Gurol-Urganci 2013; Handford 2006; Hodnett 2010; Jacobson Vann 2005; Kredo 2013; Lassi 2015; Lewin 2010; Okwundu 2013; Oyo-Ita 2016; Pande 2013; Pariyo 2009; Reeves 2017; Rotter 2010; Sandall 2013; Van Ginneken 2013; Van Lonkhuijzen 2012; Young 2010.

Non-Cochrane reviews (N = 19)

Brownstein 2007; Das 2013; Denno 2012; Foy 2010; Hansen 2011; Henry 2012; Hussein 2012; Maharaj 2015; Martínez-González 2014; Mbuagbaw 2013; Ngo 2013; Parker 2013; Pasricha 2012; Rowe 2011; Theodoratou 2010; Wilson 2011; Wright 2013; Yakoob 2011; Yassi 2013.

Included reviews published in the last five years (2013 to 2017) (N = 24)

Augustincic 2015; Ballini 2015; Catling 2015; Christensen 2016; Das 2013; Davey 2013; Gonçalves-Bradley 2016; Grobler 2015; Gurol-Urganci 2013; Kredo 2013; Lassi 2015; Maharaj 2015; Martínez-González 2014; Mbuagbaw 2013; Ngo 2013; Okwundu 2013; Oyo-Ita 2016; Pande 2013; Parker 2013; Reeves 2017; Sandall 2013; Van Ginneken 2013; Wright 2013; Yassi 2013.

Included reviews that searched for costs and cost-effectiveness of interventions (N = 22)

Reviews found at least one study reporting effects of intervention on costs and cost-effectiveness outcomes (N = 17)

Akbari 2008; Augustincic 2015; Ballini 2015; Bosch-Capblanch 2011; Brown 2007; Butler 2011; Christensen 2016; Gonçalves-Bradley 2016; Gurol-Urganci 2013; Kredo 2013; Oyo-Ita 2016; Pande 2013; Reeves 2017; Rotter 2010; Yassi 2013; Young 2010.

Reviews that did not find any study reporting on costs and cost-effectiveness outcomes (N = 5)

Catling 2015; De Jongh 2012; Lewin 2010; Pasricha 2012; Wright 2013.

Included reviews by income of the countries where primary studies took place (N = 50)

Low-income countries/most low-income countries (N = 11)

Augustincic 2015; Bateganya 2010; Bosch-Capblanch 2011; Das 2013; Dudley 2011; Hussein 2012; Kredo 2013; Lassi 2015; Mbuagbaw 2013; Okwundu 2013; Wilson 2011.

Middle-income countries/most middle-income countries (N = 7)

Gurol-Urganci 2013; Henry 2012; Oyo-Ita 2016; Pande 2013; Theodoratou 2010; Van Ginneken 2013; Yassi 2013.

High-income countries/most high-income countries (N = 29)

Akbari 2008; Ballini 2015; Brown 2007; Brown 2011; Brownstein 2007; Butler 2011; Catling 2015; Christensen 2016; Davey 2013; De Jongh 2012; Denno 2012; Foy 2010; Gonçalves-Bradley 2016; Grobler 2015; Handford 2006; Hansen 2011; Hodnett 2010; Lewin 2010; Maharaj 2015; Martínez-González 2014; Pariyo 2009; Parker 2013; Pasricha 2012; Reeves 2017; Rotter 2010; Rowe 2011; Sandall 2013; Wright 2013; Young 2010.

Mix of the three categories but most low- and middle-income countries (N = 2)

[Ngo 2013](#); [Yakoob 2011](#).

No included studies but with additional information of a review including most studies from low-income countries (N = 1)

[Van Lonkhuijzen 2012](#).

Included reviews by setting of their included primary studies (N = 50)

Family, work, home or community (N = 13)

[Augustincic 2015](#); [Bateganya 2010](#); [Brown 2011](#); [Das 2013](#); [De Jongh 2012](#); [Gurol-Urganci 2013](#); [Henry 2012](#); [Lassi 2015](#); [Mbuagbaw 2013](#); [Okwundu 2013](#); [Parker 2013](#); [Yassi 2013](#); [Young 2010](#).

Primary care (N = 8)

[Akbari 2008](#); [Bosch-Capblanch 2011](#); [Brownstein 2007](#); [Dudley 2011](#); [Foy 2010](#); [Lewin 2010](#); [Van Ginneken 2013](#); [Wright 2013](#).

Hospitals or health centres and (N = 16)

[Ballini 2015](#); [Brown 2007](#); [Butler 2011](#); [Catling 2015](#); [Christensen 2016](#); [Davey 2013](#); [Denno 2012](#); [Gonçalves-Bradley 2016](#); [Handford 2006](#); [Maharaj 2015](#); [Ngo 2013](#); [Pande 2013](#); [Reeves 2017](#); [Rotter 2010](#); [Rowe 2011](#); [Wilson 2011](#)

Mix of settings (N = 11)

[Hansen 2011](#); [Hodnett 2010](#); [Hussein 2012](#); [Kredo 2013](#); [Oyo-Ita 2016](#); [Pariyo 2009](#); [Pasricha 2012](#); [Reeves 2017](#); [Sandall 2013](#); [Theodoratou 2010](#); [Yakoob 2011](#).

Appendix 5. Supplementary reviews

Recruitment and retention strategies

Increasing access to health workers in remote and rural areas through improved retention: global policy recommendations ([WHO 2010](#))

Site of service delivery - maternity waiting home vs no waiting homes

The effectiveness of emergency obstetric referral interventions in developing country settings: a systematic review ([Hussein 2012](#))

Appendix 6. Reviews awaiting classification

Likely included reviews

- Abdel-Aleem H, El-Gibaly OMH, El-Gazzar AFS, Al-Attar GST. Mobile clinics for women's and children's health. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2016;8:CD009677.
- Akl EA, El-Jardali F, Bou Karroum L, El-Eid J, Brax H, Akik C, et al. Effectiveness of Mechanisms and Models of Coordination between Organizations, Agencies and Bodies Providing or Financing Health Services in Humanitarian Crises: A Systematic Review. *PloS one*. 2015;10(9):e0137159.
- Algie CM, Mahar RK, Wasiak J, Batty L, Gruen RL, Mahar PD. Interventions for reducing wrong-site surgery and invasive clinical procedures. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2015;3(3):CD009404.
- Ambia J, Mandala J. A systematic review of interventions to improve prevention of mother-to-child HIV transmission service delivery and promote retention. *Journal of the International AIDS Society*. 2016;19(1):20309.
- Ballini L, Negro A, Maltoni S, Vignatelli L, Flodgren G, Simeri I, et al. Interventions to reduce waiting times for elective procedures. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2015;2(2):CD005610.
- Barnard S, Kim C, Park MH, Ngo TD. Doctors or mid-level providers for abortion. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2015;7(7):CD011242.
- Basu S, Andrews J, Kishore S, Panjabi R, Stuckler D. Comparative performance of private and public healthcare systems in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *PLoS medicine*. 2012;9(6):e1001244.
- Blacklock C, Gonçalves Bradley DC, Mickan S, Willcox M, Roberts N, Bergström A, et al. Impact of Contextual Factors on the Effect of Interventions to Improve Health Worker Performance in Sub-Saharan Africa: Review of Randomised Clinical Trials. *PloS one*. 2016; 11(1):e0145206.
- Byrne A, Hodge A, Jimenez-Soto E, Morgan A. What works? Strategies to increase reproductive, maternal and child health in difficult to access mountainous locations: a systematic literature review. *PloS one*. 2014;9(2):e87683.
- Coast E, Jones E, Lattof SR, Portela A. Effectiveness of interventions to provide culturally appropriate maternity care in increasing uptake of skilled maternity care: a systematic review. *Health policy and planning*. 2016;31(10):1479-91.
- Cornish F, Priego-Hernandez J, Campbell C, Mburu G, McLean S. The impact of Community Mobilisation on HIV Prevention in Middle and Low Income Countries: A Systematic Review and Critique. *AIDS and behavior*. 2014;18(11):2110-34.
- Dawson A, Tran NT, Westley E, Mangiaterra V, Festin M. Improving access to emergency contraception pills through strengthening service delivery and demand generation: a systematic review of current evidence in low and middle-income countries. *PloS one*. 2014; 9(10):e109315.
- de Jongh TE, Gurol-Urganci I, Allen E, Zhu NJ, Atun R. Integration of antenatal care services with health programmes in low- and middle-income countries: systematic review. *Journal of global health*. 2016;6(1):010403.
- Dyer TA, Brocklehurst P, Glennly AM, Davies L, Tickle M, Issac A, et al. Dental auxiliaries for dental care traditionally provided by dentists. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2014;8(8):CD010076.
- Ehiri JE, Gunn JK, Center KE, Li Y, Rouhani M, Ezeanolue EE. Training and deployment of lay refugee/internally displaced persons to provide basic health services in camps: a systematic review. *Global health action*. 2014;7:23902.
- Emdin CA, Chong NJ, Millson PE. Non-physician clinician provided HIV treatment results in equivalent outcomes as physician-provided care: a meta-analysis. *Journal of the International AIDS Society*. 2013;16(no pagination):18445.
- Fernandez Turienzo C, Sandall J, Peacock JL. Models of antenatal care to reduce and prevent preterm birth: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ open*. 2016;6(1):e009044.
- Feyissa GT, Lockwood C, Munn Z. The effectiveness of home-based HIV counseling and testing in reducing stigma and risky sexual behavior among adults and adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JBHI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports*. 2015;13(6):318-72.
- Fiander M, McGowan J, Grad R, Pluye P, Hannes K, Labrecque M, et al. Interventions to increase the use of electronic health information by healthcare practitioners to improve clinical practice and patient outcomes. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2015;3(3):CD004749.
- Flodgren G, Rachas A, Farmer AJ, Inzitari M, Shepperd S. Interactive telemedicine: effects on professional practice and health care outcomes. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2015;9(9):CD002098.
- Gaitonde R, Oxman AD, Okebukola PO, Rada G. Interventions to reduce corruption in the health sector. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2016;8:CD008856.
- George AS, Branchini C, Portela A. Do Interventions that Promote Awareness of Rights Increase Use of Maternity Care Services? A Systematic Review. *PloS one*. 2015;10(10):e0138116.

Gera T, Shah D, Garner P, Richardson M, Sachdev HS. Integrated management of childhood illness (IMCI) strategy for children under five. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2016, Issue 6. Art. No.: CD010123.

Ghada About El S, Therese D, Hatem AM. Planned home versus hospital care for preterm prelabour rupture of the membranes (PPROM) prior to 37 weeks' gestation. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2014;4(4):CD008053.

Giedion U, Alfonso EA, Diaz Y. The Impact of Universal Coverage Schemes in the Developing World: A Review of the Existing Evidence. *Universal Health Coverage (UNICO) studies series; no. 25*. Washington D.C.: The Worldbank. 2013.

Handford CD, Tynan AM, Agha A, Rzeznikewicz D, Glazier RH. Organization of care for persons with HIV-infection: a systematic review. *AIDS care*. 2016;1-10.

Health Quality Ontario. Interventions to Improve Access to Primary Care for People Who Are Homeless: A Systematic Review. *Ontario health technology assessment series*. 2016;16(9):1-50.

Hensen B, Taoka S, Lewis JJ, Weiss HA, Hargreaves J. Systematic review of strategies to increase men's HIV-testing in sub-Saharan Africa. *AIDS (London, England)*. 2014;28(14):2133-45.

Hernández AV, Pasupuleti V, Benites-Zapata V, Velásquez-Hurtado E, Loyola-Romaní J, Rodríguez-Calviño Y, et al. [Systematic review of the effectiveness of community-based interventions to decrease neonatal mortality]. *Revista peruana de medicina experimental y salud pública*. 2015;32(3):532-45.

Hesslink G, Berben S, Beune T, Schoonhoven L. Improving the governance of patient safety in emergency care: a systematic review of interventions. *BMJ open*. 2016;6(1):e009837.

Hopkins U, Itty AS, Nazario H, Pinon M, Slyer J, Singleton J. The effectiveness of delegation interventions by the registered nurse to the unlicensed assistive personnel and their impact on quality of care, patient satisfaction, and RN staff satisfaction: a systematic review. *JBIC Library of Systematic Reviews*. 2012;10(15):895-934.

Hoyler M, Hagander L, Gillies R, Riviello R, Chu K, Bergström S, et al. Surgical care by non-surgeons in low-income and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *Lancet (London, England)*. 2015;385 Suppl 2:S42.

Joshi R, Alim M, Kengne AP, Jan S, Maulik PK, Peiris D, et al. Task shifting for non-communicable disease management in low and middle income countries--a systematic review. *PloS one*. 2014;9(8):e103754.

Kengne Talla P, Gagnon MP, Dupéré S, Bedos C, Légaré F, Dawson AB. Interventions for increasing health promotion practices in dental healthcare settings (Protocol). *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2014, Issue2. Art. No.: CD010955.

Kien C, Reichenpfader U, Nußbaumer B, Rohleder S, Punz P, Christof C, et al. [Comparative effectiveness and safety of screening and counselling interventions conducted by non-physicians and physicians: A systematic review]. *Zeitschrift für Evidenz, Fortbildung und Qualität im Gesundheitswesen*. 2015;109(1):18-27.

Kilpatrick K, Kaasalainen S, Donald F, Reid K, Carter N, Bryant-Lukosius D, et al. The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of clinical nurse specialists in outpatient roles: a systematic review. *Journal of evaluation in clinical practice*. 2014;20(6):1106-23.

Kim K, Choi JS, Choi E, Nieman CL, Joo JH, Lin FR, et al. Effects of Community-Based Health Worker Interventions to Improve Chronic Disease Management and Care Among Vulnerable Populations: A Systematic Review. *American journal of public health*. 2016;106(4):e1-e26.

Kredo T, Adeniyi FGB, Bateganya M, Pienaar ED. Task shifting from doctors to non-doctors for initiation and maintenance of antiretroviral therapy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2014;7(7):CD007331.

Lassi ZS, Musavi NB, Maliqi B, Mansoor N, de Francisco A, Toure K, et al. Systematic review on human resources for health interventions to improve maternal health outcomes: evidence from low- and middle-income countries. *Human resources for health*. 2016;14(1):10.

Lavender T, Richens Y, Milan SJ, Smyth RMD, Dowswell T. Telephone support for women during pregnancy and the first six weeks postpartum. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2013;7(7):CD009338.

Lawrence D, Fedorowicz Z, van Zuuren EJ. Day care versus in-patient surgery for age-related cataract. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2015;11(11):CD004242.

Lewin S, et al. Lay health workers in primary and community health care for chronic diseases. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* (UPDATE IN PROGRESS). 2017.

Liu G, Jack H, Piette A, Mangezi W, Machando D, Rwafa C, et al. Mental health training for health workers in Africa: a systematic review. *The lancet Psychiatry*. 2016;3(1):65-76.

Maaskant JM, Vermeulen H, Apampa B, Fernando B, Ghaleb MA, Neubert A, Thayyil S, Soe A. Interventions for reducing medication errors in children in hospital. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2015, Issue 3. Art. No.: CD006208.

MacPherson P, Munthali C, Ferguson J, Armstrong A, Kranzer K, Ferrand RA, et al. Service delivery interventions to improve adolescents' linkage, retention and adherence to antiretroviral therapy and HIV care. *Tropical medicine & international health : TM & IH*. 2015; 20(8):1015-32.

Maharaj R, Raffaele I, Wendon J. Rapid response systems: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Critical care* (London, England). 2015;19(1):254.

Mbuagbaw L, Medley N, Darzi AJ, Richardson M, Habiba Garga K, Ongolo-Zogo P. Health system and community level interventions for improving antenatal care coverage and health outcomes. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2015;12(12):CD010994.

Mdege ND, Chindove S. Bringing antiretroviral therapy (ART) closer to the end-user through mobile clinics and home-based ART: systematic review shows more evidence on the effectiveness and cost effectiveness is needed. *The International journal of health planning and management*. 2013;29(1):e31-47.

Moraros J, Lemstra M, Nwankwo C. Lean interventions in healthcare: do they actually work? A systematic literature review. *International journal for quality in health care : journal of the International Society for Quality in Health Care / ISQua*. 2016;28(2):150-65.

Nunan M, Duke T. Effectiveness of pharmacy interventions in improving availability of essential medicines at the primary healthcare level. *Tropical medicine & international health : TM & IH*. 2011;16(5):647-58.

Oluoch T, Santas X, Kwaro D, Were M, Biondich P, Bailey C, et al. The effect of electronic medical record-based clinical decision support on HIV care in resource-constrained settings: a systematic review. *International journal of medical informatics*. 2012;81(10):e83-92.

Palmer KS, Agoritsas T, Martin D, Scott T, Mulla SM, Miller AP, et al. Activity-based funding of hospitals and its impact on mortality, readmission, discharge destination, severity of illness, and volume of care: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS one*. 2014;9(10):e109975.

Pega F, Liu SY, Walter S, Lhachimi SK. Unconditional cash transfers for assistance in humanitarian disasters: effect on use of health services and health outcomes in low- and middle-income countries. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2015;9(9):CD011247.

Penazzato M, Davies MA, Apollo T, Negussie E, Ford N. Task shifting for the delivery of pediatric antiretroviral treatment: a systematic review. *Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes (1999)*. 2014;65(4):414-22.

Pollaris G, Sabbe M. Reverse triage: more than just another method. *European journal of emergency medicine : official journal of the European Society for Emergency Medicine*. 2015;23(4):240-7.

Polus S, Lewin S, Glenton C, Lerberg PM, Rehfuess E, Gülmezoglu AM. Optimizing the delivery of contraceptives in low- and middle-income countries through task shifting: a systematic review of effectiveness and safety. *Reproductive health*. 2015;12(1):27.

Rashidian A, Omidvari AH, Vali Y, Sturm H, Oxman AD. Pharmaceutical policies: effects of financial incentives for prescribers. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2015;8(8):CD006731.

Reichow B, Servili C, Yasamy MT, Barbui C, Saxena S. Non-specialist psychosocial interventions for children and adolescents with intellectual disability or lower-functioning autism spectrum disorders: a systematic review. *PLoS medicine*. 2013;10(12):e1001572.

Reisman J, Arlington L, Jensen L, Louis H, Suarez-Rebling D, Nelson BD. Newborn Resuscitation Training in Resource-Limited Settings: A Systematic Literature Review. *Pediatrics*. 2016;138(2):1-16.

Robyn PJ, Sauerborn R, Bärnighausen T. Provider payment in community-based health insurance schemes in developing countries: a systematic review. *Health policy and planning*. 2013;28(2):111-22.

Salam RA, Das JK, Lassi ZS, Bhutta ZA. Impact of community-based interventions for the prevention and control of malaria on intervention coverage and health outcomes for the prevention and control of malaria. *Infectious diseases of poverty*. 2014;3(1):25.

Sandall J, Soltani H, Gates S, Shennan A, Devane D. Midwife-led continuity models versus other models of care for childbearing women. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2015;9(9):CD004667.

Schmidt E, Goldhaber-Fiebert SN, Ho LA, McDonald KM. Simulation exercises as a patient safety strategy: a systematic review. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2013;158(5 Pt 2):426-32.

Sharon RL, Amanda N, Andrew FS, Phil A. Physician anaesthetists versus non-physician providers of anaesthesia for surgical patients. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2014;7(7):CD010357.

Sondaal SF, Browne JL, Amoakoh-Coleman M, Borgstein A, Miltenburg AS, Verwijs M, et al. Assessing the Effect of mHealth Interventions in Improving Maternal and Neonatal Care in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review. *PLoS one*. 2016;11(5):e0154664.

Spaan E, Mathijssen J, Tromp N, McBain F, ten Have A, Baltussen R. The impact of health insurance in Africa and Asia: a systematic review. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 2012;90(9):685-92.

Sunguya BF, Poudel KC, Mlunde LB, Urassa DP, Yasuoka J, Jimba M. Nutrition training improves health workers' nutrition knowledge and competence to manage child undernutrition: a systematic review. *Frontiers in public health*. 2013;1:37.

Susan FM, Benjamin MH, Ramila B, Tim E, Debra B. Demand-side financing measures to increase maternal health service utilisation and improve health outcomes: a systematic review of evidence from low- and middle-income countries. *JB Library of Systematic Reviews*. 2012;10(58):4165-567.

Thomas SM, Jeyaraman M, Hodge WG, Hutnik C, Costella J, Malvankar-Mehta MS. The effectiveness of teleglaucoma versus in-patient examination for glaucoma screening: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS one*. 2014;9(12):e113779.

Tibingana-Ahimbisibwe B, Katabira C, Mpalampa L, Harrison RA. The effectiveness of adolescent-specific prenatal interventions on improving attendance and reducing harm during and after birth: a systematic review. *International journal of adolescent medicine and health*. 2016.

Till SR, Everetts D, Haas DM. Incentives for increasing prenatal care use by women in order to improve maternal and neonatal outcomes. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2015;12(12):CD009916.

Watterson JL, Walsh J, Madeka I. Using mHealth to Improve Usage of Antenatal Care, Postnatal Care, and Immunization: A Systematic Review of the Literature. *BioMed research international*. 2015;2015(no pagination):153402.

Weeks G, George J, Maclure K, Stewart D. Non-medical prescribing versus medical prescribing for acute and chronic disease management in primary and secondary care. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2016;11:CD011227.

Wiysonge CS, Abdullahi LH, Ndze VN, Hussey GD. Public stewardship of private for-profit healthcare providers in low- and middle-income countries. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2016;8(8):CD009855.

Wong WC, Luk CW, Kidd MR. Is there a role for primary care clinicians in providing shared care in HIV treatment? A systematic literature review. *Sexually transmitted infections*. 2012;88(2):125-31.

Likely excluded reviews

Bassili A, Fitzpatrick C, Qadeer E, Fatima R, Floyd K, Jaramillo E. A systematic review of the effectiveness of hospital- and ambulatory-based management of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene*. 2013;89(2):271-80.

Bhageerathy R, Nair S, Bhaskaran U. A systematic review of community-based health insurance programs in South Asia. *The International journal of health planning and management*. 2016.

Blaya JA, Fraser HS, Holt B. E-health technologies show promise in developing countries. *Health affairs (Project Hope)*. 2010;29(2):244-51.

Callese TE, Richards CT, Shaw P, Schuetz SJ, Paladino L, Issa N, et al. Trauma system development in low- and middle-income countries: a review. *The Journal of surgical research*. 2015;193(1):300-7.

Davy C, Bleasel J, Liu H, Tchan M, Ponniah S, Brown A. Effectiveness of chronic care models: opportunities for improving healthcare practice and health outcomes: a systematic review. *BMC health services research*. 2015;15(1):194.

Dawson AZ, Walker RJ, Campbell JA, Egede LE. Effective Strategies for Global Health Training Programs A Systematic Review of Training Outcomes in Low and Middle Income Countries. *Global journal of health science*. 2016;8(11):56719.

Higgs ES, Goldberg AB, Labrique AB, Cook SH, Schmid C, Cole CF, et al. Understanding the role of mHealth and other media interventions for behavior change to enhance child survival and development in low- and middle-income countries: an evidence review. *Journal of health communication*. 2014;19 Suppl 1:164-89.

Hubert GJ, Müller-Barna P, Audebert HJ. Recent advances in TeleStroke: a systematic review on applications in prehospital management and Stroke Unit treatment or TeleStroke networking in developing countries. *International journal of stroke : official journal of the International Stroke Society*. 2014;9(8):968-73.

Margaret Elizabeth K, Denis P, Peter CR, Wim Van L. The contribution of primary care to health and health systems in low- and middle-income countries: A critical review of major primary care initiatives. 2010.

Pannick S, Davis R, Ashrafian H, Byrne BE, Beveridge I, Athanasiou T, et al. Effects of Interdisciplinary Team Care Interventions on General Medical Wards: A Systematic Review. *JAMA internal medicine*. 2015;175(8):1288-98.

Schiavo R, May Leung M, Brown M. Communicating risk and promoting disease mitigation measures in epidemics and emerging disease settings. *Pathogens and global health*. 2014;108(2):76-94.

Zulfiqar AB, Zohra SL, Nadia M. Systematic review on human resources for health interventions to improve maternal health outcomes: Evidence from developing countries. 2010.

Uncertain reviews

Acheampong F, Anto BP, Koffuor GA. Medication safety strategies in hospitals--a systematic review. *The International journal of risk & safety in medicine*. 2014;26(3):117-31.

Alkhenizan A, Shaw C. Impact of accreditation on the quality of healthcare services: a systematic review of the literature. *Annals of Saudi medicine*. 2011;31(4):407-16.

Al-Mallah MH, Farah I, Al-Madani W, Bdeir B, Al Habib S, Bigelow ML, et al. The Impact of Nurse-Led Clinics on the Mortality and Morbidity of Patients with Cardiovascular Diseases: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *The Journal of cardiovascular nursing*. 2015;31(1):89-95.

Bakitas MA, Elk R, Astin M, Ceronsky L, Clifford KN, Dionne-Odom JN, et al. Systematic Review of Palliative Care in the Rural Setting. *Cancer control : journal of the Moffitt Cancer Center*. 2015;22(4):450-64.

Balfour J, Abdulcadir J, Say L, Hindin MJ. Interventions for healthcare providers to improve treatment and prevention of female genital mutilation: a systematic review. *BMC health services research*. 2016;16(1):409.

- Bannan DF, Tully MP. Bundle interventions used to reduce prescribing and administration errors in hospitalized children: a systematic review. *Journal of clinical pharmacy and therapeutics*. 2016;41(3):246-55.
- Bashshur RL, Howell JD, Krupinski EA, Harms KM, Bashshur N, Doarn CR. The Empirical Foundations of Telemedicine Interventions in Primary Care. *Telemedicine journal and e-health : the official journal of the American Telemedicine Association*. 2016;22(5):342-75.
- Boccia D, Hargreaves J, Lönnroth K, Jaramillo E, Weiss J, Uplekar M, et al. Cash transfer and microfinance interventions for tuberculosis control: review of the impact evidence and policy implications. *The international journal of tuberculosis and lung disease : the official journal of the International Union against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*. 2011;15 Suppl 2:S37-49.
- Booth A, Cantrell A, Preston L, Chambers D, Goyder E. What is the evidence for the effectiveness, appropriateness and feasibility of group clinics for patients with chronic conditions? A systematic review 2015 2015/12/None.
- Brocklehurst P, Mertz B, Jerković -Ć osić K, Littlewood A, Tickle M. Direct access to midlevel dental providers: an evidence synthesis. *Journal of public health dentistry*. 2014;74(4):326-35.
- Candy B, France R, Low J, Sampson L. Does involving volunteers in the provision of palliative care make a difference to patient and family wellbeing? A systematic review of quantitative and qualitative evidence. *International journal of nursing studies*. 2014;52(3):756-68.
- Chapman SM, Wray J, Oulton K, Peters MJ. Systematic review of paediatric track and trigger systems for hospitalised children. *Resuscitation*. 2016;109:87-109.
- Coxeter P, Del Mar CB, McGregor L, Beller EM, Hoffmann TC. Interventions to facilitate shared decision making to address antibiotic use for acute respiratory infections in primary care. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2015;11(11):CD010907.
- Damiani G, Pinnarelli L, Sommella L, Vena V, Magrini P, Ricciardi W. The Short Stay Unit as a new option for hospitals: a review of the scientific literature. *Medical science monitor : international medical journal of experimental and clinical research*. 2011;17(6):SR15-9.
- Gentry S, van Velthoven MHMMT, Tudor Car L, Car J. Telephone delivered interventions for reducing morbidity and mortality in people with HIV infection. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2013;5(5):CD009189.
- Harding R, Albertyn R, Sherr L, Gwyther L. Pediatric palliative care in sub-saharan Africa: a systematic review of the evidence for care models, interventions, and outcomes. *Journal of pain and symptom management*. 2014;47(3):642-51.
- Hastings SE, Armitage GD, Mallinson S, Jackson K, Suter E. Exploring the relationship between governance mechanisms in healthcare and health workforce outcomes: a systematic review. *BMC health services research*. 2014;14(1):479.
- Hines S, Munday J, Kynoch K. Effectiveness of nurse-led preoperative assessment services for elective surgery: a systematic review update. *JB I database of systematic reviews and implementation reports*. 2015;13(6):279-317.
- Hotchkiss DR, Diana ML, Foreit KG. How can routine health information systems improve health systems functioning in low- and middle-income countries? Assessing the evidence base. *Advances in health care management*. 2012;12:25-58.
- Housden L, Wong ST, Dawes M. Effectiveness of group medical visits for improving diabetes care: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *CMAJ : Canadian Medical Association journal = journal de l'Association medicale canadienne*. 2013;185(13):E635-44.
- Ireland S, Kent B. Telephone pre-operative assessment for adults: a comprehensive systematic review. *JB I Library of Systematic Reviews*. 2012;10(25):1452-503.
- Kågesten A, Parekh J, Tunçalp O, Turke S, Blum RW. Comprehensive adolescent health programs that include sexual and reproductive health services: a systematic review. *American journal of public health*. 2014;104(12):e1-e14.
- Lazarus JV, Safreed-Harmon K, Nicholson J, Jaffar S. Health service delivery models for the provision of antiretroviral therapy in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *Tropical medicine & international health : TM & IH*. 2014;19(10):1198-215.
- Leidy Johanna Rueda D, Diná Lopes Monteiro da C. The efficacy of telephone use to assist and improve the wellbeing of family caregivers of persons with chronic diseases: a systematic review. *JB I Library of Systematic Reviews*. 2015;12(12):106-40.
- McCormack L, Sheridan S, Lewis M, Boudewyns V, Melvin CL, Kistler C, et al. Communication and dissemination strategies to facilitate the use of health-related evidence. *Evidence report/technology assessment*. 2013(213):1-520.
- Meid AD, Lampert A, Burnett A, Seidling HM, Haefeli WE. The impact of pharmaceutical care interventions for medication underuse in older people: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *British journal of clinical pharmacology*. 2015;80(4):768-76.
- Mengistu TA, Tafere TE. Effect of antenatal care on institutional delivery in developing countries: a systematic review. *JB I Library of Systematic Reviews*. 2011;9(35):1447-70.
- Mitchell GK, BurrIDGE L, Zhang J, Donald M, Scott IA, Dart J, et al. Systematic review of integrated models of health care delivered at the primary?secondary interface: how effective is it and what determines effectiveness? *Australian journal of primary health*. 2015; 21(4):391-408.

- Palmas W, March D, Darakjy S, Findley SE, Teresi J, Carrasquillo O, et al. Community Health Worker Interventions to Improve Glycemic Control in People with Diabetes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 2015;30:1004-12.
- Pérez-Escamilla R, Martínez JL, Segura-Pérez S. Impact of the Baby-friendly Hospital Initiative on breastfeeding and child health outcomes: a systematic review. *Maternal & child nutrition*. 2016;12(3):402-17.
- Rinke ML, Bundy DG, Velasquez CA, Rao S, Zerhouni Y, Lobner K, et al. Interventions to Reduce Pediatric Medication Errors: A Systematic Review. *Pediatrics*. 2014;134(2):338-60.
- Rudge MV, Lima SA, El Dib RP, Marini G, Magalhães C, Calderon Ide M. Effect of ambulatory versus hospital treatment for gestational diabetes or hyperglycemia on infant mortality rates: a systematic review. *São Paulo medical journal = Revista paulista de medicina*. 2013;131(5):331-7.
- Sabater-Hernández D, Sabater-Galindo M, Fernandez-Llimos F, Rotta I, Hossain LN, Durks D, et al. A Systematic Review of Evidence-Based Community Pharmacy Services Aimed at the Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease. *Journal of managed care & specialty pharmacy*. 2016;22(6):699-713.
- Salmoiraghi A, Hussain S. A Systematic Review of the Use of Telepsychiatry in Acute Settings. *Journal of psychiatric practice*. 2015;21(5):389-93.
- Santos MT, Moura SC, Gomes LM, Lima AH, Moreira RS, Silva CD, et al. Telehealth application on the rehabilitation of children and adolescents. *Revista paulista de pediatria : órgão oficial da Sociedade de Pediatria de São Paulo*. 2014;32(1):136-43.
- Saxon RL, Gray MA, Opreescu FI. Extended roles for allied health professionals: an updated systematic review of the evidence. *Journal of multidisciplinary healthcare*. 2014;7((Saxon R.L., robyn.saxon@health.qld.gov.au; Gray M.A.; Ioprescu F.) School of Health and Sports Sciences, University of the Sunshine Coast, Sippy Downs, Australia):479-88.
- Stokes J, Panagioti M, Alam R, Checkland K, Cheraghi-Sohi S, Bower P. Effectiveness of Case Management for 'At Risk' Patients in Primary Care: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *PloS one*. 2015;10(7):e0132340.
- Suksomboon N, Poolsup N, Nge YL. Impact of phone call intervention on glycemic control in diabetes patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. *PloS one*. 2014;9(2):e89207.
- Tao D, Xie L, Wang T, Wang T. A meta-analysis of the use of electronic reminders for patient adherence to medication in chronic disease care. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*. 2015;21(1).
- Tricco AC, Antony J, Ivers NM, Ashoor HM, Khan PA, Blondal E, et al. Effectiveness of quality improvement strategies for coordination of care to reduce use of health care services: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *CMAJ : Canadian Medical Association journal = journal de l'Association medicale canadienne*. 2014;186(15):E568-78.
- Pitt V, Lowe D, Hill S, Prictor M, Hetrick SE, Ryan R, et al. Consumer-providers of care for adult clients of statutory mental health services. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2013;3(3):CD004807.
- Weaver MS, Lönnroth K, Howard SC, Roter DL, Lam CG. Interventions to improve adherence to treatment for paediatric tuberculosis in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 2015;93(10):700-11B.
- Wekesah FM, Mbada CE, Muula AS, Kabiru CW, Muthuri SK, Izugbara CO. Effective non-drug interventions for improving outcomes and quality of maternal health care in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *Systematic reviews*. 2016;5(1):137.
- Willey B, Smith Paintain L, Mangham-Jefferies L, Car J, Armstrong Schellenberg J. Effectiveness of interventions to strengthen national health service delivery on coverage, access, quality and equity in the use of health services in low and lower middle income countries. 2013 2013.
- World Health Organization, University of California SF. Task shifting - physicians (doctors) versus non-physicians (nurses or clinical officers) for initiation and maintenance of antiretroviral therapy. *World Health Organization*. 2013.
- Yasmin F, Banu B, Zakir SM, Sauerborn R, Ali L, Souares A. Positive influence of short message service and voice call interventions on adherence and health outcomes in case of chronic disease care: a systematic review. *BMC medical informatics and decision making*. 2016;16:46.
- Zhai YK, Zhu WJ, Hou HL, Sun DX, Zhao J. Efficacy of telemedicine for thrombolytic therapy in acute ischemic stroke: a meta-analysis. *Journal of telemedicine and telecare*. 2015;21(3):123-30.
- Zhou K, Fitzpatrick T, Walsh N, Kim JY, Chou R, Lackey M, et al. Interventions to optimise the care continuum for chronic viral hepatitis: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *The Lancet Infectious diseases*. 2016.
- Zwanikken PA, Dieleman M, Samaranayake D, Akwataghibe N, Scherpber A. A systematic review of outcome and impact of master's in health and health care. *BMC medical education*. 2013;13:18.

Likely supplemental reviews

- Abdulwahid MA, Booth A, Kuczawski M, Mason SM. The impact of senior doctor assessment at triage on emergency department performance measures: systematic review and meta-analysis of comparative studies. *Emergency medicine journal : EMJ*. 2015;33(7):504-13.
- Adebayo EF, Uthman OA, Wiysonge CS, Stern EA, Lamont KT, Ataguba JE. A systematic review of factors that affect uptake of community-based health insurance in low-income and middle-income countries. *BMC health services research*. 2015;15(1):543.
- Alghamdi M, Gashgari H, Househ M. A Systematic Review of Mobile Health Technology Use in Developing Countries. *Studies in health technology and informatics*. 2015;213:223-6.
- Alkhaled L, Kahale L, Nass H, Brax H, Fadlallah R, Badr K, et al. Legislative, educational, policy and other interventions targeting physicians' interaction with pharmaceutical companies: a systematic review. *BMJ open*. 2014;4(7):e004880.
- Altowajiri A, Phillips CJ, Fitzsimmons D. A systematic review of the clinical and economic effectiveness of clinical pharmacist intervention in secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease. *Journal of managed care pharmacy : JMCP*. 2013;19(5):408-16.
- Amouzou A, Morris S, Moulton LH, Mukanga D. Assessing the impact of integrated community case management (iCCM) programs on child mortality: Review of early results and lessons learned in sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of global health*. 2014;4(2):020411.
- Aziz H, Hatah E, Makmor Bakry M, Islahudin F. How payment scheme affects patients' adherence to medications? A systematic review. *Patient preference and adherence*. 2016;10:837-50.
- Bailey C, Blake C, Schriver M, Cubaka VK, Thomas T, Martin Hilber A. A systematic review of supportive supervision as a strategy to improve primary healthcare services in Sub-Saharan Africa. *International journal of gynaecology and obstetrics: the official organ of the International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics*. 2015;132(1):117-25.
- Baxter PE, Hewko SJ, Pfaff KA, Cleghorn L, Cunningham BJ, Elston D, et al. Leaders' experiences and perceptions implementing activity-based funding and pay-for-performance hospital funding models: A systematic review. *Health policy (Amsterdam, Netherlands)*. 2015;119(8):1096-110.
- Bbosa GS, Wong G, Kyegombe DB, Ogwal-Okeng J. Effects of intervention measures on irrational antibiotics/antibacterial drug use in developing countries: A systematic review. *Health*. 2014;6.
- Bellows B, Bulaya C, Inambwae S, Lissner CL, Ali M, Bajracharya A. Family Planning Vouchers in Low and Middle Income Countries: A Systematic Review. *Studies in family planning*. 2016;47(4):357-70.
- Bellows BW, Conlon CM, Higgs ES, Townsend JW, Nahed MG, Cavanaugh K, et al. A taxonomy and results from a comprehensive review of 28 maternal health voucher programmes. *Journal of health, population, and nutrition*. 2013;31(4 Suppl 2):106-28.
- Benishek LA, Dugosh KL, Kirby KC, Matejkowski J, Clements NT, Seymour BL, et al. Prize-based contingency management for the treatment of substance abusers: a meta-analysis. *Addiction (Abingdon, England)*. 2014;109(9):1426-36.
- Beratarrechea A, Lee AG, Willner JM, Jahangir E, Ciapponi A, Rubinstein A. The impact of mobile health interventions on chronic disease outcomes in developing countries: a systematic review. *Telemedicine journal and e-health : the official journal of the American Telemedicine Association*. 2014;20(1):75-82.
- Blank L, Baxter S, Woods HB, Goyder E, Lee A, Payne N, et al. What is the evidence on interventions to manage referral from primary to specialist non-emergency care? A systematic review and logic model synthesis. *Health services and delivery research*. 2015.
- Bloomfield GS, Vedanthan R, Vasudevan L, Kithei A, Were M, Velazquez EJ. Mobile health for non-communicable diseases in Sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review of the literature and strategic framework for research. *Globalization and health*. 2014;10(1):49.
- Boksmati N, Butler-Henderson K, Anderson K, Sahama T. The Effectiveness of SMS Reminders on Appointment Attendance: a Meta-Analysis. *Journal of medical systems*. 2016;40(4):90.
- Borchard A, Schwappach DL, Barbir A, Bezzola P. A systematic review of the effectiveness, compliance, and critical factors for implementation of safety checklists in surgery. *Annals of surgery*. 2012;256(6):925-33.
- Braet A, Weltens C, Sermeus W. Effectiveness of discharge interventions from hospital to home on hospital readmissions: a systematic review. *JBHI database of systematic reviews and implementation reports*. 2016;14(2):106-73.
- Brata C, Gudka S, Schneider CR, Clifford RM. A review of the provision of appropriate advice by pharmacy staff for self-medication in developing countries. *Research in social & administrative pharmacy: RSAP*. 2014;11(2):136-53.
- Byrne A, Morgan A. How the integration of traditional birth attendants with formal health systems can increase skilled birth attendance. *International journal of gynaecology and obstetrics: the official organ of the International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics*. 2011;115(2):127-34.
- Campanella P, Vukovic V, Parente P, Sulejmani A, Ricciardi W, Specchia ML. The impact of Public Reporting on clinical outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC health services research*. 2016;16(1):296.
- Carter EB, Temming LA, Akin J, Fowler S, Macones GA, Colditz GA, et al. Group Prenatal Care Compared With Traditional Prenatal Care: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Obstetrics and gynecology*. 2016;128(3):551-61.

Chhina HK, Bhole VM, Goldsmith C, Hall W, Kaczorowski J, Lacaille D. Effectiveness of academic detailing to optimize medication prescribing behaviour of family physicians. *Journal of pharmacy & pharmaceutical sciences : a publication of the Canadian Society for Pharmaceutical Sciences, Société canadienne des sciences pharmaceutiques*. 2013;16(4):511-29.

Chin WY, Lam CL, Lo SV. Quality of care of nurse-led and allied health personnel-led primary care clinics. *Hong Kong medical journal = Xianggang yi xue za zhi / Hong Kong Academy of Medicine*. 2011;17(3):217-30.

Chishinga N, Godfrey-Faussett P, Fielding K, Ayles H. Effect of home-based interventions on virologic outcomes in adults receiving antiretroviral therapy in Africa: a meta-analysis. *BMC public health*. 2014;14(1):239.

Clark CE, Smith LF, Taylor RS, Campbell JL. Nurse led interventions to improve control of blood pressure in people with hypertension: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ (Clinical research ed)*. 2010;341(7771):c3995.

Cobos Muñoz D, Merino Amador P, Monzon Llamas L, Martinez Hernandez D, Santos Sancho JM. Decentralization of health systems in low and middle income countries: a systematic review. *International journal of public health*. 2016.

Conn VS, Ruppert TM, Enriquez M, Cooper PS, Chan KC. Healthcare provider targeted interventions to improve medication adherence: systematic review and meta-analysis. *International journal of clinical practice*. 2015;69(8):889-99.

Davis R, Parand A, Pinto A, Buetow S. Systematic review of the effectiveness of strategies to encourage patients to remind healthcare professionals about their hand hygiene. *The Journal of hospital infection*. 2014;89(3):141-62.

Davy C, Bleasel J, Liu H, Tchan M, Ponniah S, Brown A. Factors influencing the implementation of chronic care models: A systematic literature review. *BMC family practice*. 2015;16:102.

Decroo T, Rasschaert F, Telfer B, Remartinez D, Laga M, Ford N. Community-based antiretroviral therapy programs can overcome barriers to retention of patients and decongest health services in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *International health*. 2013;5(3):169-79.

Dempsey E, Pammi M, Ryan AC, Barrington KJ. Standardised formal resuscitation training programmes for reducing mortality and morbidity in newborn infants. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2015;9(9):CD009106.

Devi BR, Syed-Abdul S, Kumar A, Iqbal U, Nguyen PA, Li YC, et al. mHealth: An updated systematic review with a focus on HIV/AIDS and tuberculosis long term management using mobile phones. *Computer methods and programs in biomedicine*. 2015;122(2):257-65.

do Amaral JJE, Victora CG. The effect of training in Integrated Management of Childhood Illness (IMCI) on the performance and healthcare quality of pediatric healthcare workers: a systematic review. *Revista Brasileira de Saúde Materno Infantil*. 2008;8(2):151-62.

Druetz T, Siekmans K, Goossens S, Ridde V, Haddad S. The community case management of pneumonia in Africa: a review of the evidence. *Health policy and planning*. 2013;30(2):253-66.

Dzakpasu S, Powell-Jackson T, Campbell OM. Impact of user fees on maternal health service utilization and related health outcomes: a systematic review. *Health policy and planning*. 2014;29(2):137-50.

Eichler R, Agarwal K, Askew I, Iriarte E, Morgan L, Watson J. Performance-based incentives to improve health status of mothers and newborns: what does the evidence show? *Journal of health, population, and nutrition*. 2013;31(4 Suppl 2):36-47.

Elder E, Johnston AN, Crilly J. Review article: Systematic review of three key strategies designed to improve patient flow through the emergency department. *Emergency medicine Australasia : EMA*. 2015;27(5):394-404.

Evans BA, Porter A, Gammon B, Mayes RH, Poulden M, Rees N, et al. A systematic review of rapid access models of care and their effects on delays in emergency departments. *Emergency medicine journal : EMJ*. 2015;32(6):e15-6.

Free C, Phillips G, Galli L, Watson L, Felix L, Edwards P, et al. The effectiveness of mobile-health technology-based health behaviour change or disease management interventions for health care consumers: a systematic review. *PLoS medicine*. 2013;10(1):e1001362.

Gielen SC, Dekker J, Francke AL, Mistiaen P, Kroezen M. The effects of nurse prescribing: A systematic review. *International journal of nursing studies*. 2013;51(7):1048-61.

Gillespie BM, Chaboyer W, Thalib L, John M, Fairweather N, Slater K. Effect of Using a Safety Checklist on Patient Complications after Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Anesthesiology*. 2014;120(6):1380-9.

Gilmore B, McAuliffe E. Effectiveness of community health workers delivering preventive interventions for maternal and child health in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *BMC public health*. 2013;13(1):847.

Gogia S, Sachdev HP. Home-based neonatal care by community health workers for preventing mortality in neonates in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *Journal of perinatology : official journal of the California Perinatal Association*. 2016;36 Suppl 1(S1):S55-73.

Gogia S, Sachdev HS. Home visits by community health workers to prevent neonatal deaths in developing countries: a systematic review. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 2010;88(9):658-66B.

Govindasamy D, Meghij J, Kebede Negussi E, Clare Baggaley R, Ford N, Kranzer K. Interventions to improve or facilitate linkage to or retention in pre-ART (HIV) care and initiation of ART in low- and middle-income settings - a systematic review. *Journal of the International AIDS Society*. 2014;17(1):19032.

Hamine S, Gerth-Guyette E, Faulx D, Green BB, Ginsburg AS. Impact of mHealth Chronic Disease Management on Treatment Adherence and Patient Outcomes: A Systematic Review. *Journal of medical Internet research*. 2015;17(2):e52.

Hecht L, Buhse S, Meyer G. Effectiveness of training in evidence-based medicine skills for healthcare professionals: a systematic review. *BMC medical education*. 2016;16(1):103.

Hurt K, Walker RJ, Campbell JA, Egede LE. mHealth Interventions in Low and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review. *Global journal of health science*. 2016;8(9):54429.

Jia L, Meng Q, Yuan B, Fang L. Effects of drug cost sharing policy on the drug use, financial risks and moral hazard for the health insurance beneficiaries. *Value in Health*. 2014;17(7):A795.

Kamarudin G, Penm J, Char B, Moles R. Educational interventions to improve prescribing competency: a systematic review. *BMJ open*. 2013;3(8):e003291.

Kanters S, Park JJ, Chan K, Socias ME, Ford N, Forrest JI, et al. Interventions to improve adherence to antiretroviral therapy: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *The lancet HIV*. 2016.

Ke KM, Blazeby JM, Strong S, Carroll FE, Ness AR, Hollingworth W. Are multidisciplinary teams in secondary care cost-effective? A systematic review of the literature. *Cost effectiveness and resource allocation : C/E*. 2013;11(1):7.

Khanal S, Burgon J, Leonard S, Griffiths M, Eddowes LA. Recommendations for the Improved Effectiveness and Reporting of Telemedicine Programs in Developing Countries: Results of a Systematic Literature Review. *Telemedicine journal and e-health : the official journal of the American Telemedicine Association*. 2015;21(11):903-15.

Kok MC, Dieleman M, Taegtmeier M, Broerse JE, Kane SS, Ormel H, et al. Which intervention design factors influence performance of community health workers in low- and middle-income countries? A systematic review. *Health policy and planning*. 2014;30(9):1207-27.

Kondo KK, Damberg CL, Mendelson A, Motu'apuaka M, Freeman M, O'Neil M, et al. Implementation Processes and Pay for Performance in Healthcare: A Systematic Review. *Journal of general internal medicine*. 2016;31 Suppl 1:61-9.

Korachais C, Macouillard E, Meessen B. How User Fees Influence Contraception in Low and Middle Income Countries: A Systematic Review. *Studies in family planning*. 2016;47(4):341-56.

Körner M, Bütof S, Müller C, Zimmermann L, Becker S, Bengel J. Interprofessional teamwork and team interventions in chronic care: A systematic review. *Journal of interprofessional care*. 2015;30(1):1-14.

Kurtzman ET, Greene J. Effective presentation of health care performance information for consumer decision making: A systematic review. *Patient education and counseling*. 2015;99(1):36-43.

Lee IH, Bloor K, Hewitt C, Maynard A. International experience in controlling pharmaceutical expenditure: influencing patients and providers and regulating industry - a systematic review. *Journal of health services research & policy*. 2014;20(1):52-9.

Lee SH, Nurmatov UB, Nwaru BI, Mukherjee M, Grant L, Pagliari C. Effectiveness of mHealth interventions for maternal, newborn and child health in low- and middle-income countries: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of global health*. 2016;6(1):010401.

Lehnbom EC, Stewart MJ, Manias E, Westbrook JI. Impact of medication reconciliation and review on clinical outcomes. *The Annals of pharmacotherapy*. 2014;48(10):1298-312.

L'Engle KL, Mangone ER, Parcesepe AM, Agarwal S, Ippoliti NB. Mobile Phone Interventions for Adolescent Sexual and Reproductive Health: A Systematic Review. *Pediatrics*. 2016;138(3):1-16.

Lin Y, Yin S, Huang J, Du L. Impact of Pay for performance on Behavior of Primary Care Physicians and Patient Outcomes. *Journal of evidence-based medicine*. 2015;9(1):8-23.

Liu X, Dou L, Zhang H, Sun Y, Yuan B. Analysis of context factors in compulsory and incentive strategies for improving attraction and retention of health workers in rural and remote areas: a systematic review. *Human resources for health*. 2015;13:61.

Liu X, Hotchkiss DR, Bose S. The effectiveness of contracting-out primary health care services in developing countries: A review of the evidence. *Health Policy and Planning*. 2007; 23(1): 1-13.

Luangasanatip N, Hongsuwan M, Limmathurotsakul D, Lubell Y, Lee AS, Harbarth S, et al. Comparative efficacy of interventions to promote hand hygiene in hospital: systematic review and network meta-analysis. *BMJ (Clinical research ed)*. 2015;351:h3728.

Mann BS, Barnieh L, Tang K, Campbell DJ, Clement F, Hemmelgarn B, et al. Association between drug insurance cost sharing strategies and outcomes in patients with chronic diseases: a systematic review. *PloS one*. 2014;9(3):e89168.

Martínez-González NA, Djalali S, Tandjung R, Huber-Geismann F, Markun S, Wensing M, et al. Substitution of physicians by nurses in primary care: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC health services research*. 2014;14:214.

Martínez-González NA, Rosemann T, Tandjung R, Djalali S. The effect of physician-nurse substitution in primary care in chronic diseases: a systematic review. *Swiss medical weekly*. 2015;145(no pagination):w14031.

Martínez-González NA, Tandjung R, Djalali S, Rosemann T. The impact of physician-nurse task shifting in primary care on the course of disease: a systematic review. *Human resources for health*. 2015;13:55.

Mbuagbaw L, Sivaramalingam B, Navarro T, Hobson N, Keepanasseril A, Wilczynski NJ, et al. Interventions for Enhancing Adherence to Antiretroviral Therapy (ART): A Systematic Review of High Quality Studies. *AIDS patient care and STDs*. 2015;29(5):248-66.

McCullum R, Gomez W, Theobald S, Taegtmeier M. How equitable are community health worker programmes and which programme features influence equity of community health worker services? A systematic review. *BMC public health*. 2016;16(1):419.

McCulloch P, Rathbone J, Catchpole K. Interventions to improve teamwork and communications among healthcare staff. *The British journal of surgery*. 2011;98(4):469-79.

McGrady ME, Ryan JL, Gutiérrez-Colina AM, Fredericks EM, Towner EK, Pai AL. The impact of effective paediatric adherence promotion interventions: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Child: care, health and development*. 2015;41(6):789-802.

McMillan SS, Kendall E, Sav A, King MA, Whitty JA, Kelly F, et al. Patient-centered approaches to health care: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Medical care research and review : MCRR*. 2013;70(6):567-96.

Mijovic H, McKnight J, English M. What does the literature tell us about health workers' experiences of task-shifting projects in sub-Saharan Africa? A systematic, qualitative review. *Journal of clinical nursing*. 2016;25(15-16):2083-100.

Montagu D, Goodman C, Berman P, Penn A, Visconti A. Recent trends in working with the private sector to improve basic healthcare: a review of evidence and interventions. *Health policy and planning*. 2016;31(8):1117-32.

Musa BM, Iliyasu Z, Yusuf SM, Uloko AE. Systematic review and metanalysis on community based interventions in tuberculosis care in developing countries. *Nigerian journal of medicine: journal of the National Association of Resident Doctors of Nigeria*. 2014;23(2):103-17.

Mwai GW, Mburu G, Torpey K, Frost P, Ford N, Seeley J. Role and outcomes of community health workers in HIV care in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *Journal of the International AIDS Society*. 2013;16(1):18586.

Nazar H, Nazar Z, Portlock J, Todd A, Slight SP. A systematic review of the role of community pharmacies in improving the transition from secondary to primary care. *British journal of clinical pharmacology*. 2015;80(5):936-48.

Nguyen DT, Leung KK, McIntyre L, Ghali WA, Sauve R. Does integrated management of childhood illness (IMCI) training improve the skills of health workers? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PloS one*. 2013;8(6):e66030.

Nijmeijer KJ, Fabbriotti IN, Huijsman R. Is franchising in health care valuable? A systematic review. *Health policy and planning*. 2014;29(2):164-76.

Nilsson C, Lundgren I, Smith V, Vehvilainen-Julkunen K, Nicoletti J, Devane D, et al. Women-centred interventions to increase vaginal birth after caesarean section (VBAC): A systematic review. *Midwifery*. 2015;31(7):657-63.

Ofek Shlomai N, Rao S, Patole S. Efficacy of interventions to improve hand hygiene compliance in neonatal units: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *European journal of clinical microbiology & infectious diseases : official publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology*. 2015;34:887-97.

Ogbechie OA, Hsu J. Systematic review of benefit designs with differential cost sharing for prescription drugs. *The American journal of managed care*. 2015;21(5):e338-48.

Olisemeke B, Chen YF, Hemming K, Girling A. The Effectiveness of Service Delivery Initiatives at Improving Patients' Waiting Times in Clinical Radiology Departments: A Systematic Review. *Journal of digital imaging*. 2014;27(6):751-78.

Owusu-Addo E, Cross R. The impact of conditional cash transfers on child health in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *International journal of public health*. 2014;59(4):609-18.

Pallas SW, Minhas D, Pérez-Escamilla R, Taylor L, Curry L, Bradley EH. Community Health Workers in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: What Do We Know About Scaling Up and Sustainability? *American journal of public health*. 2013;103(7):e74-82.

Patel J, Ahmed K, Guru KA, Khan F, Marsh H, Shamim Khan M, et al. An overview of the use and implementation of checklists in surgical specialities - a systematic review. *International journal of surgery (London, England)*. 2014;12(12):1317-23.

Rashidian A, Omidvari AH, Vali Y, Mortaz S, Yousefi-Nooraie R, Jafari M, et al. The effectiveness of regionalization of perinatal care services--a systematic review. *Public health*. 2014;128(10):872-85.

Robinson DJ. An integrative review: triage protocols and the effect on ED length of stay. *Journal of emergency nursing: JEN : official publication of the Emergency Department Nurses Association*. 2013;39(4):398-408.

Roque MD, Herdeiro MT, Soares SI, Teixeira Rodrigues A, Granadeiro LA, Gusman AF. Educational interventions to improve prescription and dispensing of antibiotics: a systematic review. *BMC public health*. 2014;14(1):1276.

Ruizendaal E, Dierickx S, Peeters Grietens K, Schallig HD, Pagnoni F, Mens PF. Success or failure of critical steps in community case management of malaria with rapid diagnostic tests: a systematic review. *Malaria journal*. 2014;13(1):229.

Russ S, Rout S, Sevdalis N, Moorthy K, Darzi A, Vincent C. Do safety checklists improve teamwork and communication in the operating room? A systematic review. *Annals of surgery*. 2013;258(6):856-71.

Ruth Lv, Francke AL, Mistiaen P. Effects of nurse prescribing of medication: a systematic review. *The Internet Journal of Healthcare Administration*. 2008;5(2).

Sacks GD, Shannon EM, Dawes AJ, Rollo JC, Nguyen DK, Russell MM, et al. Teamwork, communication and safety climate: a systematic review of interventions to improve surgical culture. *BMJ quality & safety*. 2015;24(7):458-67.

Santschi V, Chiolero A, Colosimo AL, Platt RW, Taffé P, Burnier M, et al. Improving blood pressure control through pharmacist interventions: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Journal of the American Heart Association*. 2014;3(2):e000718.

Schepman S, Hansen J, de Putter ID, Batenburg RS, de Bakker DH. The common characteristics and outcomes of multidisciplinary collaboration in primary health care: a systematic literature review. *International journal of integrated care*. 2015;15:e027.

Schmutz J, Manser T. Do team processes really have an effect on clinical performance? A systematic literature review. *British journal of anaesthesia*. 2013;110(4):529-44.

Schweizer ML, Reisinger HS, Ohl M, Formanek MB, Blevins A, Ward MA, et al. Searching for an optimal hand hygiene bundle: a meta-analysis. *Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America*. 2014;58(2):248-59.

Suwannakeeree W, Pichansathian W. Strategies to Promote Adherence to Treatment by Pulmonary Tuberculosis Patients: A systematic review. *JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports*. 2012;10(11):615.

Sweeney S, Obure CD, Maier CB, Greener R, Dehne K, Vassall A. Costs and efficiency of integrating HIV/AIDS services with other health services: a systematic review of evidence and experience. *Sexually transmitted infections*. 2012;88(2):85-99.

Thakkar J, Kurup R, Laba TL, Santo K, Thiagalingam A, Rodgers A, et al. Mobile Telephone Text Messaging for Medication Adherence in Chronic Disease: A Meta-analysis. *JAMA internal medicine*. 2016;176(3):340-9.

Trehan A, Maruthappu M, Barnett-Vanes A, Carty M, McCulloch P. Does feedback of surgical outcome data improve surgical performance? A systematic review. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*. 2014;219(4):e148.

Tripathi A, Kabra SK, Sachdev HP, Lodha R. Home visits by community health workers to improve identification of serious illness and care seeking in newborns and young infants from low- and middle-income countries. *Journal of perinatology : official journal of the California Perinatal Association*. 2016;36 Suppl 1(S1):S74-82.

Tshiananga JK, Kocher S, Weber C, Erny-Albrecht K, Berndt K, Neeser K. The effect of nurse-led diabetes self-management education on glycosylated hemoglobin and cardiovascular risk factors: a meta-analysis. *The Diabetes educator*. 2011;38(1):108-23.

Tsiachristas A, Wallenburg I, Bond CM, Elliot RF, Busse R, van Exel J, et al. Costs and effects of new professional roles: Evidence from a literature review. *Health policy (Amsterdam, Netherlands)*. 2015;119(9):1176-87.

Turcotte-Tremblay AM, Spagnolo J, De Allegri M, Ridde V. Does performance-based financing increase value for money in low- and middle- income countries? A systematic review. *Health economics review*. 2015;6(1):30.

Uyei J, Coetzee D, Macinko J, Guttmacher S. Integrated delivery of HIV and tuberculosis services in Sub-Saharan Africa: A systematic review. *International Initiative for Impact Evaluation (3ie)*. 2011.

Van Camp YP, Van Rompaey B, Elseviers MM. Nurse-led interventions to enhance adherence to chronic medication: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *European journal of clinical pharmacology*. 2013;69(4):761-70.

van Velthoven MHMMT, Tudor Car L, Gentry S, Car J. Telephone delivered interventions for preventing HIV infection in HIV-negative persons. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2013;5(5):CD009190.

Wagner B, Filice GA, Drekonja D, Greer N, MacDonald R, Rutks I, et al. Antimicrobial stewardship programs in inpatient hospital settings: a systematic review. *Infection control and hospital epidemiology : the official journal of the Society of Hospital Epidemiologists of America*. 2014;35(10):1209-28.

Wald DS, Butt S, Bestwick JP. One-way versus two-way text messaging on improving medication adherence: meta-analysis of randomized trials. *The American journal of medicine*. 2015;128(10):1139.e1-5.

Wallace AS, Ryman TK, Dietz V. Experiences integrating delivery of maternal and child health services with childhood immunization programs: systematic review update. *The Journal of infectious diseases*. 2012;205 Suppl 1:S6-19.

Wallace J, Byrne C, Clarke M. Improving the uptake of systematic reviews: a systematic review of intervention effectiveness and relevance. *BMJ open*. 2014;4(10):e005834.

Watson SJ, Aldus CF, Bond C, Bhattacharya D. Systematic review of the health and societal effects of medication organisation devices. *BMC health services research*. 2016;16(1):202.

Wilcher R, Hoke T, Adamchak SE, Cates W. Integration of family planning into HIV services: a synthesis of recent evidence. *AIDS (London, England)*. 2013;27 Suppl 1:S65-75.

World Health Organization, University of California SF. Electronic reminders for promoting adherence to ART among people living with HIV. World Health Organization. 2013.

World Health Organization, University of California SF. Integration of HIV and TB services. World Health Organization. 2013.

Yamada J, Shorkey A, Barwick M, Widger K, Stevens BJ. The effectiveness of toolkits as knowledge translation strategies for integrating evidence into clinical care: a systematic review. *BMJ open*. 2015;5(4):e006808.

Zulu JM, Kinsman J, Michelo C, Hurtig AK. Integrating national community-based health worker programmes into health systems: a systematic review identifying lessons learned from low-and middle-income countries. *BMC public health*. 2014;14(1):987.

CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

All of the authors contributed to drafting and revising the overview.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Simon Lewin, Cristian A Herrera, Newton Opiyo, Tomas Pantoja, Elizabeth Paulsen, Gabriel Rada, Claire Glenton, Signe Flottorp, and Andrew D Oxman are editors of the Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care (EPOC) Group. Simon Lewin, Andrew D Oxman, Charles S Wiysonge, Charles I Okwundu, and Lilian Dudley are authors of some of the included reviews. Agustín Ciapponi, Gabriel Bastías, Marie-Pierre Gagnon, Sebastian Garcia Marti, Blanca Peñaloza, and Fatima Suleman have no relevant conflicts to declare.

SOURCES OF SUPPORT

Internal sources

- Department of Family Medicine, School of Medicine, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile.
- Institute for Clinical Effectiveness and Health Policy, Buenos Aires, Argentina.
- Norwegian Knowledge Centre for the Health Services, Oslo, Norway.
- University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa.

External sources

- Norwegian Agency for Development Cooperation (Norad), Oslo, Norway.
- Effective Health Care Research Consortium, UK.

The Effective Health Care Research Consortium which is funded by UK aid from the UK Government for the benefit of developing countries.

INDEX TERMS

Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)

*Developing Countries; *Review Literature as Topic; Critical Pathways; Delivery of Health Care [*methods; *organization & administration]; Information Technology; National Health Programs [*organization & administration]; Outcome Assessment (Health Care); Workplace [standards]

MeSH check words

Humans