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#### Abstract

A well known class of objects in combinatorial design theory are group divisible designs. Here, we introduce the $q$-analogs of group divisible designs. It turns out that there are interesting connections to scattered subspaces, $q$-Steiner systems, packing designs and $q^{r}$-divisible projective sets.

We give necessary conditions for the existence of $q$-analogs of group divisible designs, construct an infinite series of examples, and provide further existence results with the help of a computer search.

One example is a $(6,2,3,2)_{2}$ group divisible design over $\mathrm{GF}(2)$ which is a packing design consisting of 180 blocks that such every 2 -dimensional subspace in $\operatorname{GF}(2)^{6}$ is covered at most twice.


## 1 Introduction

The classical theory of $q$-analogs of mathematical objects and functions has its beginnings as early as in the work of Euler [Eul53]. In 1957, Tits [Tit57] further suggested that combinatorics of sets could be regarded as the limiting case $q \rightarrow 1$ of combinatorics of vector spaces over the finite field GF $(q)$. Recently, there has been an increased interest in studying $q$-analogs of combinatorial designs from an applications' view. These $q$-analog structures can be useful in network coding and distributed storage, see e.g. [GPe18].

It is therefore natural to ask which combinatorial structures can be generalized from sets to vector spaces over $\operatorname{GF}(q)$. For combinatorial designs, this question was first studied by Ray-Chaudhuri [BRC74], Cameron [Cam74a, Cam74b] and Delsarte [Del76] in the early 1970s.

Specifically, let $\mathrm{GF}(q)^{v}$ be a vector space of dimension $v$ over the finite field $\operatorname{GF}(q)$. Then a $t-(v, k, \lambda)_{q}$ subspace design is defined as a collection of

[^0]$k$-dimensional subspaces of $\operatorname{GF}(q)^{v}$, called blocks, such that each $t$-dimensional subspace of $\mathrm{GF}(q)^{v}$ is contained in exactly $\lambda$ blocks. Such $t$-designs over $\operatorname{GF}(q)$ are the $q$-analogs of conventional designs. By analogy with the $q \rightarrow 1$ case, a $t$ - $(v, k, 1)_{q}$ subspace design is said to be a $q$-Steiner system, and denoted by $S(t, k, v)_{q}$.

Another well-known class of objects in combinatorial design theory are group divisible designs [MG07]. Considering the above, it therefore seems natural to ask for $q$-analogs of group divisible designs.

Quite surprisingly, it turns out that $q$-analogs of group divisible designs have interesting connections to scattered subspaces which are central objects in finite geometry, as well as to coding theory via $q^{r}$-divisible projective sets. We will also discuss the connection to $q$-Steiner systems $\left[\mathrm{BEO}^{+} 16\right]$ and to packing designs [EZ18].

Let $k, g$, and $\lambda$ be positive integers. A $(v, g, k, \lambda)$-group divisible design of index $\lambda$ and order $v$ is a triple $(V, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$, where $V$ is a finite set of cardinality $v$, $\mathcal{G}$, where $\# \mathcal{G}>1$, is a partition of $V$ into parts (groups) of cardinality $g$, and $\mathcal{B}$ is a family of subsets (blocks) of $V$ (with $\# B=k$ for $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ) such that every pair of distinct elements of $V$ occurs in exactly $\lambda$ blocks or one group, but not both.

See-for example-[Han75, MG07] for details. We note that the "groups" in group divisible designs have nothing to do with group theory.

The $q$-analog of a combinatorial structure over sets is defined by replacing subsets by subspaces and cardinalities by dimensions. Thus, the $q$-analog of a group divisible design can be defined as follows.

Definition 1 Let $k, g$, and $\lambda$ be positive integers. A $q$-analog of a group divisible design of index $\lambda$ and order $v-$ denoted as $(v, g, k, \lambda)_{q}$-GDD - is a triple $(V, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$, where

- $V$ is a vector space over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ of dimension $v$,
$-\mathcal{G}$ is a vector space partition ${ }^{1}$ of $V$ into subspaces (groups) of dimension $g$, and
- $\mathcal{B}$ is a family of subspaces (blocks) of $V$,
that satisfies

1. $\# \mathcal{G}>1$,
2. if $B \in \mathcal{B}$ then $\operatorname{dim} B=k$,
3. every 2-dimensional subspace of $V$ occurs in exactly $\lambda$ blocks or one group, but not both.

In the sequel, we will only consider so called simple group divisible designs, i.e. designs without multiple appearances of blocks.

In finite geometry a partition of the 1-dimensional subspaces of $V$ in subspaces of dimension $g$ is known as $(g-1)$-spread.

This notation respects the well-established usage of the geometric dimension $(g-1)$ of the spread elements. Nevertheless, for the rest of the paper we think

[^1]of the elements of a $(g-1)$-spread as subspaces of algebraic dimension $g$ of a $v$-dimensional vector space $V$. Similarly, 2-dimensional subspaces of $V$ will sometimes be called lines.

A possible generalization would be to require the last condition in Definition 1 for every $t$-dimensional subspace of $V$, where $t \geq 2$. For $t=1$ such a definition would make no sense.

An equivalent formulation of the last condition in Definition 1 would be that every block in $\mathcal{B}$ intersects the spread elements in dimension of at most one. The $q$-analog of concept of a transversal design would be that every block in $\mathcal{B}$ intersects the spread elements exactly in dimension one. But for $q$-analogs this is only possible in the trivial case $g=1, k=v$. However, a related concept was defined in [ES13].

Another generalization of Definition 1 which is well known for the set case is:

Let $K$ and $G$ be sets of positive integers and let $\lambda$ be a positive integer. A triple $(V, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ is called $(v, G, K, \lambda)_{q}-G D D$, if $V$ is a vector space over $\operatorname{GF}(q)$ of dimension $v, \mathcal{G}$ is a vector space partition of $V$ into subspaces (groups) whose dimensions lie in $G$, and $\mathcal{B}$ is a family of subspaces (blocks) of $V$, that satisfies

1. $\# \mathcal{G}>1$,
2. if $B \in \mathcal{B}$ then $\operatorname{dim} B \in K$,
3. every 2-dimensional subspace of $V$ occurs in exactly $\lambda$ blocks or one group, but not both.

Then, a $(v,\{g\}, K, \lambda)_{q}$-GDD is called $g$-uniform.
An even more general definition - which is also studied in the set case is a $\left(v, G, K, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)_{q}-G D D$ for which condition 3 . is replaced by

3'. every 2-dimensional subspace of $V$ occurs in $\lambda_{1}$ blocks if it is contained in a group, otherwise it is contained in exactly $\lambda_{2}$ blocks.

Thus, a $q$-GDD of Definition 1 is a $(v,\{g\},\{k\}, 0, \lambda)_{q^{-}}$-GDD in the general form.
Among all 2-subspaces of $V$, only a small fraction is covered by the elements of $\mathcal{G}$. Thus, a $(v, g, k, \lambda)_{q}$-GDD is "almost" a $2-(v, k, \lambda)_{q}$ subspace design, in the sense that the vast majority of the 2 -subspaces is covered by $\lambda$ elements of $\mathcal{B}$. From a slightly different point of view, a $(v, g, k, \lambda)_{q^{-}}$GDD is a $2-(v, g, k, \lambda)_{q}$ packing design of fairly large size, which are designs where the condition "each $t$-subspace is covered by exactly $\lambda$ blocks" is relaxed to "each $t$-subspace is covered by at most $\lambda$ blocks" [BKW18a]. In Section 6 we give an example of a $(6,2,3,2)_{2}$-GDD consisting of 180 blocks. This is the largest known $2-(6,3,2)_{2}$ packing design.

We note that a $q$-analog of a group divisible design can be also seen as a special graph decomposition over a finite field, a concept recently introduced in [BNW18]. It is indeed equivalent to a decomposition of a complete $m$ partite graph into cliques where: the vertices are the points of a projective space $\operatorname{PG}(n, q)$; the parts are the members of a spread of $\operatorname{PG}(n, q)$ into subspaces of a suitable dimension; the vertex-set of each clique is a subspace of $\mathrm{PG}(n, q)$ of a suitable dimension.

## 2 Preliminaries

For $1 \leq m \leq v$ we denote the set of $m$-dimensional subspaces of $V$, also called Grassmannian, by $\left[\begin{array}{l}V \\ m\end{array}\right]_{q}$. It is well known that its cardinality can be expressed by the Gaussian coefficient

$$
\#\left[\begin{array}{c}
V \\
m
\end{array}\right]_{q}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
v \\
m
\end{array}\right]_{q}=\frac{\left(q^{v}-1\right)\left(q^{v-1}-1\right) \cdots\left(q^{v-m+1}-1\right)}{\left(q^{m}-1\right)\left(q^{m-1}-1\right) \cdots(q-1)} .
$$

Definition 2 Given a spread in dimension $v$, let $\left[\begin{array}{c}V \\ k\end{array}\right]_{q}^{\prime}$ be the set of all $k$ dimensional subspaces in $V$ that contain no 2-dimensional subspace which is already covered by the spread.

The intersection between a $k$-dimensional subspace $B \in\left[\begin{array}{c}V \\ 2\end{array}\right]_{q}^{\prime}$ and each element of the spread is at most one-dimensional. In finite geometry such a subspace $B \in\left[\begin{array}{l}V \\ k\end{array}\right]_{q}^{\prime}$ is called scattered subspace with respect to $\mathcal{G}$, see [BBL00, BL00].

In case $g=1$, i.e. $\mathcal{G}=\left[\begin{array}{l}V \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$, no 2-dimensional subspace is covered by this trivial spread. Then, $(V, \mathcal{B})$ is a $2-(v, k, \lambda)_{q}$ subspace design. See [BKW18a, BKW18b] for surveys about subspace designs and computer methods for their construction.

Let $g \cdot s=v$ and $V=\operatorname{GF}(q)^{v}$. Then, the set of 1-dimensional subspaces of $\mathrm{GF}\left(q^{g}\right)^{s}$ regarded as $g$-dimensional subspaces in the $q$-linear vector space $\operatorname{GF}(q)^{v}$, i.e.

$$
\mathcal{G}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{GF}\left(q^{g}\right)^{s} \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q^{g}},
$$

is called Desarguesian spread.
A $t$-spread $\mathcal{G}$ is called normal or geometric, if $U, V \in \mathcal{G}$ then any element $W \in$ $\mathcal{G}$ is either disjoint to the subspace $\langle U, V\rangle$ or contained in it, see e.g. [Lun99]. Since all normal spreads are isomorphic to the Desarguesian spread [Lun99], we will follow [Lav16] and denote normal spreads as Desarguesian spreads.

If $s \in\{1,2\}$, then all spreads are normal and therefore Desarguesian. The automorphism group of a Desarguesian spread $\mathcal{G}$ is $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}\left(s, q^{g}\right)$.
"Trivial" $q$-analogs of group divisible designs. For subspace designs, the empty set as well as the the set of all $k$-dimensional subspaces in $\operatorname{GF}(q)^{v}$ always are designs, called trivial designs. Here, it turns out that the question if trivial $q$-analogs of group divisible designs exist is rather non-trivial.

Of course, iff $g \mid v$, there exists always the trivial $(v, g, k, 0)_{q}$-GDD $(V, \mathcal{G},\{ \})$. But it is not clear if the set of all scattered $k$-dimensional subspaces, i.e. $\left(V, \mathcal{G},\left[\begin{array}{l}V \\ k\end{array}\right]_{q}^{\prime}\right)$, is always a $q$-GDD. This would require that every subspace $L \in\left[\begin{array}{c}V \\ 2\end{array}\right]_{q}$ that is not covered by the spread, is contained in the same number $\lambda_{\max }$ of blocks of $\left[\begin{array}{c}V \\ k\end{array}\right]_{q}^{\prime}$. If this is the case, we call $\left(V,\left[\begin{array}{l}V \\ k\end{array}\right]_{q}^{\prime}, \mathcal{G}\right)$ the complete $\left(v, g, k, \lambda_{\max }\right)_{q}$-GDD.

If the complete $\left(v, g, k, \lambda_{\max }\right)_{q}$-GDD exists, then for any $(v, g, k, \lambda)_{q}$-GDD $(V, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ the triple $\left(V, \mathcal{G},\left[\begin{array}{l}V \\ 2\end{array}\right]_{q}^{\prime} \backslash \mathcal{B}\right)$ is a $\left(v, g, k, \lambda_{\max }-\lambda\right)_{q}$-GDD, called the supplementary $q$-GDD.

For a few cases we can answer the question if the complete $q$-GDD exists, or in other words, if there is a $\lambda_{\max }$. In general, the answer depends on the choice of the spread. In the smallest case, $k=3$, however, $\lambda_{\max }$ exists for all spreads.

Lemma 1 Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a $(g-1)$-spread in $V$ and let $L$ be a 2-dimensional subspace which is not contained in any element of $\mathcal{G}$. Then, $L$ is contained in

$$
\lambda_{\max }=\left[\begin{array}{l}
v-2 \\
3-2
\end{array}\right]_{q}-\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q}\left[\begin{array}{l}
g-1 \\
3-2
\end{array}\right]_{q}
$$

blocks of $\left[\begin{array}{c}V \\ 3\end{array}\right]_{q}^{\prime}$.
Proof. Every 2-dimensional subspace $L$ is contained in $\left[\begin{array}{c}v-2 \\ 3-2\end{array}\right]_{q}$ 3-dimensional subspaces of $V$. If $L$ is not contained in any spread element, this means that $L$ intersects $\left[\begin{array}{c}2 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ different spread elements and the intersections are 1-dimensional. Let $S$ be one such spread element. Now, there are $\left[\begin{array}{c}g-1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ choices among the 3 -dimensional subspaces in $\left[\begin{array}{c}V \\ 3\end{array}\right]_{q}$ which contain $L$ to intersect $S$ in dimension two. Therefore, $L$ is contained in

$$
\lambda_{\max }=\left[\begin{array}{l}
v-2 \\
3-2
\end{array}\right]_{q}-\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q}\left[\begin{array}{l}
g-1 \\
3-2
\end{array}\right]_{q}
$$

blocks of $\left[\begin{array}{l}V \\ 3\end{array}\right]_{q}^{\prime}$.
In general, the existence of $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ may depend on the spread. This can be seen from the fact that the maximum dimension of a scattered subspace depends on the spread, see [BL00]. However, for a Desarguesian spread and $g=2, k=4$, we can determine $\lambda_{\text {max }}$.

Lemma 2 Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a Desarguesian 1-spread in $V$ and let $L$ be a 2-dimensional subspace which is not contained in any element of $\mathcal{G}$. Then, $L$ is contained in

$$
\lambda_{\max }=\left[\begin{array}{l}
v-2 \\
4-2
\end{array}\right]_{q}-1-q\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q}\left[\begin{array}{c}
v-4 \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q}-\left[\begin{array}{l}
v \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q} /\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q}+\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q} /\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q}
$$

blocks of $\left[\begin{array}{c}V \\ 4\end{array}\right]_{q}^{\prime}$.
Proof. Every 2-dimensional subspace $L$ is contained in $\left[\begin{array}{c}v-2 \\ 4-2\end{array}\right]_{q} 4$-dimensional subspaces. If $L$ is not covered by the spread this means that $L$ intersects $\left[\begin{array}{c}2 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ spread elements $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{q+1}$, which span a subspace $F$. Since the spread is Desarguesian, the dimension of $F$ is equal to 4 . All other spread elements are disjoint to $L$. Since $L \leq F$, we have to subtract one possibility. For each $1 \leq i \leq q+1,\left\langle S_{i}, L\right\rangle$ is contained in $q\left[\begin{array}{c}v-4 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ 4-dimensional subspaces with a 3-dimensional intersection with $F$. All other spread elements $S^{\prime}$ of $F$ satisfy $\left\langle S^{\prime}, L\right\rangle=F$. If $S^{\prime \prime}$ is one of the $\left[\begin{array}{l}v \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q} /\left[\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}-\left[\begin{array}{l}4 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q} /\left[\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ spread elements disjoint from $F$, then $F^{\prime \prime}:=\left\langle S^{\prime \prime}, L\right\rangle$ intersects $F$ in dimension 2. Moreover, $F^{\prime \prime}$ does not contain any further spread element, since otherwise $F^{\prime \prime}$ would be partitioned into $q^{2}+1$ spread elements, where $q+1$ of them have to intersect $L$. Thus, $L$ is contained in exactly $\lambda_{\max }$ elements from $\left[\begin{array}{l}V \\ 4\end{array}\right]_{q}^{\prime}$.

## 3 Necessary conditions on $(v, g, k, \lambda)_{q}$

The necessary conditions for a $(v, g, k, \lambda)$-GDD over sets are $g \mid v, k \leq v / g$, $\lambda\left(\frac{v}{g}-1\right) g \equiv 0(\bmod k-1)$, and $\lambda \frac{v}{g}\left(\frac{v}{g}-1\right) g^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod k(k-1))$, see [Han75].

For $q$-analogs of GDDs it is well known that $(g-1)$-spreads exist if and only if $g$ divides $v$. A $(g-1)$-spread consists of $\left[\begin{array}{l}v \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q} /\left[\begin{array}{c}g \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ blocks and contains

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
g \\
2
\end{array}\right]_{q} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
v \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q} /\left[\begin{array}{l}
g \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q}
$$

2-dimensional subspaces.
Based on the pigeonhole principle we can argue that if $B$ is a block of a $(v, g, k, \lambda)_{q} q$-GDD then there cannot be more points in $B$ than the number of spread elements, i.e. if $\left[\begin{array}{c}k \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q} \leq\left[\begin{array}{c}v \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q} /\left[\begin{array}{c}g \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$. It follows that (see [BL00, Theorem 3.1])

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \leq v-g \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the $q$-analog of the restriction $k \leq v / g$ for the set case.
If $\mathcal{G}$ is a Desarguesian spread, it follows from [BL00, Theorem 4.3] for the parameters $(v, g, k, \lambda)_{q}$ to be admissible that

$$
k \leq v / 2 .
$$

By looking at the numbers of 2-dimensional subspaces which are covered by spread elements we can conclude that the cardinality of $\mathcal{B}$ has to be

$$
\# \mathcal{B}=\lambda \frac{\left[\begin{array}{c}
v  \tag{2}\\
2
\end{array}\right]_{q}-\left[\begin{array}{c}
g \\
2
\end{array}\right]_{q} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
v \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q} /\left[\begin{array}{c}
g \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q}}{\left[\begin{array}{c}
k \\
2
\end{array}\right]_{q}}
$$

A necessary condition on the parameters of a $(v, g, k, \lambda)_{q}$-GDD is that the cardinality in (2) is an integer number.

Any fixed 1-dimensional subspace $P$ is contained in $\left[\begin{array}{c}v-1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ 2-dimensional subspaces. Further, $P$ lies in exactly one block of the spread and this block covers $\left[\begin{array}{c}g-1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ 2-dimensional subspaces through $P$. Those 2-dimensional subspaces are not covered by blocks in $\mathcal{B}$. All other 2-dimensional subspaces containing $P$ are covered by exactly $\lambda k$-dimensional blocks. Such a block contains $P$ and there are $\left[\begin{array}{c}k-1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ 2-dimensional subspaces through $P$ in this block. It follows that $P$ is contained in exactly

$$
\lambda \frac{\left[\begin{array}{c}
v-1  \tag{3}\\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q}-\left[\begin{array}{c}
g-1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q}}{\left[\begin{array}{c}
k-1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q}}
$$

$k$-dimensional blocks and this number must be an integer. The number (3) is the replication number of the point $P$ in the $q$-GDD.

Up to now, the restrictions (1), (2), (3), as well as $g$ divides $v$, on the parameters of a $(v, g, k, \lambda)_{q}$-GDD are the $q$-analogs of restrictions for the set case. But for $q$-GDDs there is a further necessary condition whose analog in the set case is trivial.

Given a multiset of subspaces of $V$, we obtain a corresponding multiset $\mathcal{P}$ of points by replacing each subspace by its set of points. A multiset $\mathcal{P} \subseteq\left[\begin{array}{l}V \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ of
points in $V$ can be expressed by its weight function $w_{\mathcal{P}}$ : For each point $P \in V$ we denote its multiplicity in $\mathcal{P}$ by $w_{\mathcal{P}}(P)$. We write

$$
\# \mathcal{P}=\sum_{P \in V} w_{\mathcal{P}}(P) \quad \text { and } \quad \#(\mathcal{P} \cap H)=\sum_{P \in H} w_{\mathcal{P}}(P)
$$

where $H$ is an arbitrary hyperplane in $V$.
Let $1 \leq r<v$ be an integer. If $\# \mathcal{P} \equiv \#(\mathcal{P} \cap H)\left(\bmod q^{r}\right)$ for every hyperplane $H$, then $\mathcal{P}$ is called $q^{r}$-divisible. ${ }^{2}$ In [KK17, Lemma 1] it is shown that the multiset $\mathcal{P}$ of points corresponding to a multiset of subspaces with dimension at least $k$ is $q^{k-1}$-divisible.

Lemma 3 ([KK17, Lemma 1]) For a non-empty multiset of subspaces of $V$ with $m_{i}$ subspaces of dimension $i$ let $\mathcal{P}$ be the corresponding multiset of points. If $m_{i}=0$ for all $0 \leq i<k$, where $k \geq 2$, then

$$
\# \mathcal{P} \equiv \#(\mathcal{P} \cap H) \quad\left(\bmod q^{k-1}\right)
$$

for every hyperplane $H \leq V$.
Proof. We have $\# \mathcal{P}=\sum_{i=0}^{v} m_{i}\left[\begin{array}{c}v \\ i\end{array}\right]_{q}$. The intersection of an $i$-subspace $U \leq V$ with an arbitrary hyperplane $H \leq V$ has either dimension $i$ or $i-1$. Therefore, for the set $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ of points corresponding to $U$, we get that $\# \mathcal{P}=\left[\begin{array}{l}i \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ and that $\#\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime} \cap H\right)$ is equal to $\left[\begin{array}{l}i \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ or $\left[\begin{array}{c}i-1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$. In either case, it follows from $\left[\begin{array}{c}i \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q} \equiv\left[\begin{array}{c}i-1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ $\left(\bmod q^{i-1}\right)$ that

$$
\#\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime} \cap H\right) \equiv\left[\begin{array}{l}
i \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q} \quad\left(\bmod q^{i-1}\right)
$$

Summing up yields the proposed result.
If there is a suitable integer $\lambda$ such that $w_{\mathcal{P}}(P) \leq \lambda$ for all $P \in V$, then we can define for $\mathcal{P}$ the complementary weight function

$$
\bar{w}_{\lambda}(P)=\lambda-w(P)
$$

which in turn gives rise to the complementary multiset of points $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$. In [KK17, Lemma 2] it is shown that a $q^{r}$-divisible multiset $\mathcal{P}$ leads to a multiset $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ that is also $q^{r}$-divisible.

Lemma 4 ([KK17, Lemma 2]) If a multiset $\mathcal{P}$ in $V$ is $q^{r}$-divisible with $r<v$ and satisfies $w_{\mathcal{P}}(P) \leq \lambda$ for all $P \in V$ then the complementary multiset $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ is also $q^{r}$-divisible.

Proof. We have

$$
\# \overline{\mathcal{P}}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
v \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q} \lambda-\# \mathcal{P} \quad \text { and } \quad \#(\overline{\mathcal{P}} \cap H)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
v-1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q} \lambda-\#(\mathcal{P} \cap H)
$$

for every hyperplane $H \leq V$. Thus, the result follows from $\left[\begin{array}{c}v \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q} \equiv\left[\begin{array}{c}v-1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ $\left(\bmod q^{r}\right)$ which holds for $r<v$.

[^2]These easy but rather generally applicable facts about $q^{r}$-divisible multiset of points are enough to conclude:

Lemma 5 Let $(V, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ be a $(v, g, k, \lambda)_{q}-G D D$ and $2 \leq g \leq k$, then $q^{k-g}$ divides $\lambda$.

Proof. Let $P \in\left[\begin{array}{l}V \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ be an arbitrary point. Then there exists exactly one spread element $S \in \mathcal{G}$ that contains $P$. By $\mathcal{B}_{P}$ we denote the elements of $\mathcal{B}$ that contain $P$. Let $S^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{P}^{\prime}$ denote the corresponding subspaces in the factor space $V / P$.

We observe that every point of $\left[\begin{array}{c}S^{\prime} \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ is disjoint to the elements of $\mathcal{B}_{P}^{\prime}$ and that every point in $\left[\begin{array}{c}V / P \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q} \backslash\left[\begin{array}{c}S^{\prime} \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ is met by exactly $\lambda$ elements of $\mathcal{B}_{P}^{\prime}$ (all having dimension $k-1$ ). We note that $\mathcal{B}_{P}^{\prime}$ gives rise to a $q^{k-2}$-divisible multiset $\mathcal{P}$ of points. So, its complement $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$, which is the $\lambda$-fold copy of $S^{\prime}$, also has to be $q^{k-2}$-divisible. For every hyperplane $H$ not containing $S^{\prime}$, we have $\#(\overline{\mathcal{P}} \cap H)=$ $\lambda\left[\begin{array}{c}g-2 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ and $\# \overline{\mathcal{P}}=\lambda\left[\begin{array}{c}g-1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$. Thus, $\lambda q^{g-2}=\# \overline{\mathcal{P}}-\#(\overline{\mathcal{P}} \cap H) \equiv 0\left(\bmod q^{k-2}\right)$, so that $q^{k-g}$ divides $\lambda$.

We remark that the criterion in Lemma 5 is independent of the dimension $v$ of the ambient space. Summarizing the above we arrive at the following restrictions.

Theorem 1 Necessary conditions for a $(v, g, k, \lambda)_{q}-G D D$ are

1. $g$ divides $v$,
2. $k \leq v-g$,
3. the cardinalities in (2), (3) are integer numbers,
4. if $2 \leq g \leq k$ then $q^{k-g}$ divides $\lambda$.

If these conditions are fulfilled, the parameters $(v, g, k, \lambda)_{q}$ are called admissible.
Table 1 contains the admissible parameters for $q=2$ up to dimension $v=14$. Column $\lambda_{\Delta}$ gives the minimum value of $\lambda$ which fulfills the above necessary conditions. All admissible values of $\lambda$ are integer multiples of $\lambda_{\Delta}$. In column $\# \mathcal{B}$ the cardinality of $\mathcal{B}$ is given for $\lambda=\lambda_{\Delta}$. Those values of $\lambda_{\max }$ that are valid for the Desarguesian spread only are given in italics, where the values for $(v, g, k)=(8,4,4)$ and $(9,3,4)$ have been checked by a computer enumeration.

For the case $\lambda=1$, the online tables [HKKW16]

```
http://subspacecodes.uni-bayreuth.de
```

may give further restrictions, since $\mathcal{B}$ is a constant dimension subspace code of minimum distance $2(k-1)$ and therefore

$$
\# \mathcal{B} \leq A_{q}(v, 2(k-1) ; k)
$$

The currently best known upper bounds for $A_{q}(v, d ; k)$ are given by [HHK ${ }^{+} 17$, Equation (2)] referring back to partial spreads and $A_{2}(6,4 ; 3)=77$ [HKK15], $A_{2}(8,6 ; 4)=257\left[\mathrm{HHK}^{+} 17\right]$ both obtained by exhaustive integer linear programming computations, see also [KK17].

Table 1: Admissible parameters for $(v, g, k, \lambda)_{2}$-GDDs with $v \leq 14$.

| $v$ | $g$ | $k$ | $\lambda_{\Delta}$ | $\lambda_{\max }$ | \# $\mathcal{B}$ | $\# \mathcal{G}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 180 | 21 |
| 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 252 | 9 |
| 8 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 60 | 3060 | 85 |
| 8 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 480 | 1224 | 85 |
| 8 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 42 | 10200 | 17 |
| 8 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 14 | 2040 | 17 |
| 9 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 118 | 6132 | 73 |
| 9 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 1680 | 12264 | 73 |
| 10 | 2 | 3 | 14 | 252 | 347820 | 341 |
| 10 | 2 | 4 | 28 | 10080 | 139128 | 341 |
| 10 | 2 | 5 | 8 |  | 8976 | 341 |
| 10 | 5 | 3 | 21 | 210 | 507408 | 33 |
| 10 | 5 | 4 | 35 |  | 169136 | 33 |
| 10 | 5 | 5 | 15 |  | 16368 | 33 |
| 12 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1020 | 797940 | 1365 |
| 12 | 2 | 4 | 28 | 171360 | 2234232 | 1365 |
| 12 | 2 | 5 | 40 |  | 720720 | 1365 |
| 12 | 2 | 6 | 16 |  | 68640 | 1365 |
| 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1014 | 1195740 | 585 |
| 12 | 3 | 4 | 2 |  | 159432 | 585 |
| 12 | 3 | 5 | 1860 |  | 33480720 | 585 |
| 12 | 3 | 6 | 248 |  | 1062880 | 585 |
| 12 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1002 | 397800 | 273 |
| 12 | 4 | 4 | 7 |  | 556920 | 273 |
| 12 | 4 | 5 | 62 |  | 1113840 | 273 |
| 12 | 4 | 6 | 124 |  | 530400 | 273 |
| 12 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 930 | 393120 | 65 |
| 12 | 6 | 4 | 1 |  | 78624 | 65 |
| 12 | 6 | 5 | 155 |  | 2751840 | 65 |
| 12 | 6 | 6 | 31 |  | 131040 | 65 |
| 14 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4092 | 12778740 | 5461 |
| 14 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2782560 | 5111496 | 5461 |
| 14 | 2 | 5 | 248 |  | 71560944 | 5461 |
| 14 | 2 | 6 | 496 |  | 34076640 | 5461 |
| 14 | 2 | 7 | 32 |  | 536640 | 5461 |
| 14 | 7 | 3 | 21 | 3906 | 133161024 | 129 |
| 14 | 7 | 4 | 35 |  | 44387008 | 129 |
| 14 | 7 | 5 | 465 |  | 133161024 | 129 |
| 14 | 7 | 6 | 651 |  | 44387008 | 129 |
| 14 | 7 | 7 | 63 |  | 1048512 | 129 |

## $4 \quad q$-GDDs and $q$-Steiner systems

In the set case the connection between Steiner systems $2-(v, k, 1)$ and group divisible designs is well understood.

Theorem 2 ([Han75, Lemma 2.12]) $A 2-(v+1, k, 1)$ design exists if and only if $a(v, k-1, k, 1)-G D D$ exists.

There is a partial $q$-analog of Theorem 2 :
Theorem 3 If there exists a $2-(v+1, k, 1)_{q}$ subspace design, then a $(v, k-$ $\left.1, k, q^{2}\right)_{q}-G D D$ exists.

Proof. Let $V^{\prime}$ be a vector space of dimension $v+1$ over $\operatorname{GF}(q)$. We fix a point $P \in\left[\begin{array}{c}V^{\prime} \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ and define the projection

$$
\pi: \mathrm{PG}\left(V^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{PG}\left(V^{\prime} / P\right), \quad U \mapsto(U+P) / P .
$$

For any subspace $U \leq V^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}(\pi(U))= \begin{cases}\operatorname{dim}(U)-1 & \text { if } P \leq U \\ \operatorname{dim}(U) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Let $\mathcal{D}=\left(V^{\prime}, \mathcal{B}^{\prime}\right)$ be a $2-(v+1, k, 1)_{q}$ subspace design. The set

$$
\mathcal{G}=\left\{\pi(B) \mid B \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}, P \in B\right\}
$$

is the derived design of $\mathcal{D}$ with respect to $P$ [KL15], which has the parameters $1-(v, k-1,1)_{q}$. In other words, it is a $(k-2)$-spread in $V^{\prime} / P$. Now define

$$
\mathcal{B}=\left\{\pi(B) \mid B \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}, P \notin B\right\} \text { and } V=V^{\prime} / P .
$$

We claim that $(V, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ is a $\left(v, k-1, k, q^{2}\right)_{q}$-GDD.
In order to prove this, let $L \in\left[\begin{array}{c}V \\ 2\end{array}\right]_{q}$ be a line not covered by any element in $\mathcal{G}$. Then $L=E / P$, where $E \in\left[\begin{array}{c}V^{\prime} \\ 3\end{array}\right]_{q}, P \leq E$ and $E$ is not contained in a block of the design $\mathcal{D}$. The blocks of $\mathcal{B}$ covering $L$ have the form $\pi(B)$ with $B \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ such that $B \cap E$ is a line in $E$ not passing through $P$. There are $q^{2}$ such lines and each line is contained in a unique block in $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$. Since these $q^{2}$ blocks $B$ have to be pairwise distinct and do not contain the point $P$, we get that there are $q^{2}$ blocks $\pi(B) \in \mathcal{B}$ containing $L$.

Since there are 2-( $13,3,1)_{2}$ subspace designs $\left[\mathrm{BEO}^{+} 16\right]$, by Theorem 3 there are also $(12,2,3,4)_{2}$-GDDs.

The smallest admissible case of a $2-(v, 3,1)_{q}$ subspace design is $v=7$, which is known as a $q$-analog of the Fano plane. Its existence is a notorious open question for any value of $q$. By Theorem 3, the existence would imply the existence of a $\left(6,2,3, q^{2}\right)_{q}$-GDD, which has been shown to be true in [EH18] for any value of $q$, in the terminology of a "residual construction for the $q$ Fano plane". In Theorem 4, we will give a general construction of $q$-GDDs covering these parameters. The crucial question is if a $\left(6,2,3, q^{2}\right)_{q}$-GDD can be "lifted" to a $2-(7,3,1)_{q}$ subspace design. While the GDDs with these parameters constructed in Theorem 4 have a large automorphism group, for the binary case
$q=2$ we know from [BKN16, KKW18] that the order of the automorphism group of a putative $2-(7,3,1)_{2}$ subspace design is at most two. So if the lifting construction is at all possible for the binary $(6,2,3,4)_{2}$-GDD from Theorem 4, necessarily many automorphisms have to "get destroyed".

In Table 2 we can see that there exists a $(8,2,3,4)_{2}$-GDD. This might lead in the same way to a $2-(9,3,1)_{2}$ subspace design, which is not known to exist.

## 5 A general construction

A very successful approach to construct $t-(v, k, \lambda)$ designs over sets is to prescribe an automorphism group which acts transitively on the subsets of cardinality $t$. However for $q$-analogs of designs with $t \geq 2$ this approach yields only trivial designs, since in [CK79, Prop. 8.4] it is shown that if a group $G \leq \operatorname{P\Gamma L}(v, q)$ acts transitively on the $t$-dimensional subspaces of $V, 2 \leq t \leq v-2$, then $G$ acts transitively also on the $k$-dimensional subspaces of $V$ for all $1 \leq k \leq v-1$.

The following lemma provides the counterpart of the construction idea for $q$-analogs of group divisible designs. Unlike the situation of $q$-analogs of designs, in this slightly different setting there are indeed suitable groups admitting the general construction of non-trivial $q$-GDDs, which will be described in the sequel. Itoh's construction of infinite families of subspace designs is based on a similar idea [Ito98].

Lemma 6 Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a $(g-1)$-spread in $\mathrm{PG}(V)$ and let $G$ be a subgroup of the stabilizer $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}(v, q)_{\mathcal{G}}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ in $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}(v, q)$. If the action of $G$ on $\left[\begin{array}{c}V \\ 2\end{array}\right]_{q} \backslash \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{G}}\left[\begin{array}{c}S \\ 2\end{array}\right]_{q}$ is transitive, then any union $\mathcal{B}$ of $G$-orbits on the set of $k$-subspaces which are scattered with respect to $\mathcal{G}$ yields a $(v, g, k, \lambda)_{q}-G D D(V, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ for a suitable value $\lambda$.

Proof. By transitivity, the number $\lambda$ of blocks in $\mathcal{B}$ passing through a line $L \in\left[\begin{array}{c}V \\ 2\end{array}\right]_{q} \backslash \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{G}}\left[\begin{array}{l}S \\ 2\end{array}\right]_{q}$ does not depend on the choice of $L$.

In the following, let $V=\operatorname{GF}\left(q^{g}\right)^{s}$, which is a vector space over $\operatorname{GF}(q)$ of dimension $v=g s$. Furthermore, let $\mathcal{G}=\left[\begin{array}{l}V \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q^{g}}$ be the Desarguesian $(g-1)$ spread in $\operatorname{PG}(V)$. For every $\operatorname{GF}(q)$-subspace $U \leq V$ we have that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{GF}\left(q^{g}\right)}\left(\langle U\rangle_{\mathrm{GF}\left(q^{g}\right)}\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{GF}(q)}(U)
$$

In the case of equality, $U$ will be called fat. Equivalently, $U$ is fat if and only if one (and then any) $\operatorname{GF}(q)$-basis of $U$ is $\operatorname{GF}\left(q^{g}\right)$-linearly independent. The set of fat $k$-subspaces of $V$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{k}$.

We remark that for a fat subspace $U$, the set of points $\left\{\langle x\rangle_{\mathrm{GF}\left(q^{g}\right)}: x \in U\right\}$ is a Baer subspace of $V$ as a $\operatorname{GF}\left(q^{g}\right)$-vector space.

## Lemma 7

$$
\# \mathcal{F}_{k}=q^{(g-1)\binom{k}{2}} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{q^{g(s-i)}-1}{q^{k-i}-1}
$$

Proof. A sequence of $k$ vectors in $V$ is the $\mathrm{GF}(q)$-basis of a fat $k$-subspace if and only if it is linearly independent over $\operatorname{GF}\left(q^{g}\right)$. Counting the set of those
sequences in two ways yields

$$
\# \mathcal{F}_{k} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(q^{k}-q^{i}\right)=\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(\left(q^{g}\right)^{s}-\left(q^{g}\right)^{i}\right),
$$

which leads to the stated formula.
We will identify the unit group GF $(q)^{*}$ with the corresponding group of $s \times s$ scalar matrices over $\operatorname{GF}\left(q^{g}\right)$.

Lemma 8 Consider the action of $\mathrm{SL}\left(s, q^{g}\right) / \mathrm{GF}(q)^{*}$ on the set of the fat $k$ subspaces of $V$. For $k<s$, the action is transitive. For $k=s, \mathcal{F}_{k}$ splits into $\frac{q^{g}-1}{q-1}$ orbits of equal length.

Proof. Let $U$ be a fat $k$-subspace of $V$ and let $B$ be an ordered GF(q)-basis of $U$. Then $B$ is an ordered $\operatorname{GF}\left(q^{g}\right)$-basis of $\langle U\rangle_{\mathrm{GF}\left(q^{g}\right)}$.

For $k<s, B$ can be extended to an ordered $\operatorname{GF}\left(q^{g}\right)$-basis $B^{\prime}$ of $V$. Let $A$ be the $(s \times s)$-matrix over $\operatorname{GF}\left(q^{g}\right)$ whose rows are given by $B^{\prime}$. By scaling one of the vectors in $B^{\prime} \backslash B$, we may assume $\operatorname{det}(A)=1$. Now the mapping $V \rightarrow V, x \mapsto x A$ is in $\mathrm{SL}\left(s, q^{g}\right)$ and maps the fat $k$-subspace $\left\langle e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}\right\rangle$ to $U\left(e_{i}\right.$ denoting the $i$-th standard vector of $V)$. Thus, the action of $\operatorname{SL}\left(s, q^{g}\right) / \operatorname{GF}(q)^{*}$ is transitive on $\mathcal{F}_{k}$.

It remains to consider the case $k=s$. Let $A$ be the $(s \times s)$-matrix over GF $\left(q^{g}\right)$ whose rows are given by $B$. As any two $\operatorname{GF}(q)$-bases of $U$ can be mapped to each other by a $\operatorname{GF}(q)$-linear map, we see that up to a factor in $\operatorname{GF}(q)^{*}, \operatorname{det}(A)$ does not depend on the choice of $B$. Thus,

$$
\operatorname{det}(U):=\operatorname{det}(A) \cdot \operatorname{GF}(q)^{*} \in \mathrm{GF}\left(q^{g}\right)^{*} / \mathrm{GF}(q)^{*}
$$

is invariant under the action of $\operatorname{SL}\left(s, q^{g}\right)$ on $\mathcal{F}_{k}$. It is readily checked that every value in $\operatorname{GF}\left(q^{g}\right)^{*} / \operatorname{GF}(q)^{*}$ appears as the invariant $\operatorname{det}(U)$ for some fat $s$-subspace $U$, and that two fat $s$-subspaces having the same invariant can be mapped to each other within $\operatorname{SL}\left(s, q^{g}\right)$. Thus, the number of orbits of the action of $\operatorname{SL}\left(s, q^{g}\right)$ on $\mathcal{F}_{s}$ is given by the number $\#\left(\operatorname{GF}\left(q^{g}\right)^{*} / \operatorname{GF}(q)^{*}\right)=\frac{q^{g}-1}{q-1}$ of invariants. As $\mathrm{SL}\left(s, q^{g}\right)$ is normal in $\mathrm{GL}\left(s, q^{g}\right)$ which acts transitively on $\mathcal{F}_{s}$, all orbits have the same size. Modding out the kernel $\mathrm{GF}(q)^{*}$ of the action yields the statement in the lemma.

Theorem 4 Let $V$ be a vector space over $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ of dimension $g$ s with $g \geq 2$ and $s \geq 3$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a Desarguesian $(g-1)$-spread in $\mathrm{PG}(V)$.

1. For $k \in\{3, \ldots, s-1\},\left(V, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}_{k}\right)$ is a $(g s, g, k, \lambda)_{q}-G D D$ with

$$
\lambda=q^{\left.(g-1)\binom{k}{2}-1\right)} \prod_{i=2}^{k-1} \frac{q^{g(s-i)}-1}{q^{k-i}-1} .
$$

2. For each $\alpha \in\left\{1, \ldots, \frac{q^{g}-1}{q-1}\right\}$, the union $\mathcal{B}$ of any $\alpha$ orbits of the action of $\mathrm{SL}\left(s, q^{g}\right) / \mathrm{GF}(q)^{*}$ on $\mathcal{F}_{s}$ gives a $(g s, g, s, \lambda)_{q}-G D D(V, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ with

$$
\lambda=\alpha q^{\left.(g-1)\binom{s}{2}-1\right)} \prod_{i=2}^{s-2} \frac{q^{g i}-1}{q^{i}-1} .
$$

Proof. We may assume $V=\operatorname{GF}\left(q^{g}\right)^{s}$ and $\mathcal{G}=\left[\begin{array}{l}V \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q^{g}}$. The lines covered by the elements of $\mathcal{G}$ are exactly the non-fat $\operatorname{GF}(q)$-subspaces of $V$ of dimension 2.

Part 1: By Lemma 6 and Lemma 8, $\left(V, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}_{k}\right)$ is a GDD. Double counting yields $\# \mathcal{F}_{2} \cdot \lambda=\# \mathcal{F}_{k} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}k \\ 2\end{array}\right]_{q}$. Using Lemma 7 , this equation transforms into the given formula for $\lambda$.

Part 2: In the case $k=s$, by Lemma 8 , each union $\mathcal{B}$ of $\alpha \in\left\{1, \ldots, \frac{q^{g}-1}{q-1}\right\}$ orbits under the action of $\mathrm{SL}(s, q) / \mathrm{GF}(q)^{*}$ on $\mathcal{F}_{s}$ yields a GDD with

$$
\lambda=\alpha q^{\left.(g-1)\binom{s}{2}-1\right)} \frac{q-1}{q^{g}-1} \prod_{i=2}^{s-1} \frac{q^{g(s-i)}-1}{q^{s-i}-1}=\alpha q^{\left.(g-1)\binom{s}{2}-1\right)} \prod_{i=2}^{s-2} \frac{q^{g i}-1}{q^{i}-1} .
$$

Remark 1 In the special case $g=2, k=s=3$ and $\alpha=1$ the second case of Theorem 4 yields $\left(6,2,3, q^{2}\right)_{q}$-GDDs. These parameters match the "residual construction for the $q$-Fano plane" in [EH18].

Example 1 We look at the case $g=2, k=s=3$ for $q=3$. The ambient space is the $\mathrm{GF}(3)$-vector space $V=\mathrm{GF}(9)^{3} \cong \mathrm{GF}(3)^{6}$. We will use the representation $\mathrm{GF}(9)=\mathrm{GF}(3)(a)$, where $a$ is a root of the irreducible polynomial $x^{2}-x-1 \in$ $\mathrm{GF}(3)[x]$.

By Lemma 7, out of the $\left[\begin{array}{l}6 \\ 3\end{array}\right]_{3}=33880$ 3-dimensional GF(3)-subspaces of $V$,

$$
\# \mathcal{F}_{3}=3^{3} \cdot \frac{3^{6}-1}{3^{3}-1} \cdot \frac{3^{4}-1}{3^{2}-1} \cdot \frac{3^{2}-1}{3-1}=27 \cdot 28 \cdot 10 \cdot 4=30240
$$

are fat. According to Lemma 8, the action of $\mathrm{SL}(3,9) / \mathrm{GF}(3)^{*}$ splits these fat subspaces $U$ into 4 orbits of equal size $30240 / 4=7560$. The orbits are distinguished by the invariant
$\operatorname{det}(U) \in \operatorname{GF}(9)^{*} / \operatorname{GF}(3)^{*}=\{\{1,-1\},\{a,-a\},\{a+1,-a-1\},\{a-1,-a+1\}\}$.
The four orbits will be denoted by $O_{1}, O_{a}, O_{a+1}$ and $O_{a-1}$, accordingly.
As a concrete example, we look at the GF(3)-row space $U$ of the matrix

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a & 0 & a+1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -a+1 & a
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{GF}(9)^{3 \times 3}
$$

Then $\operatorname{det}(A)=a^{2}=a+1$, so $\operatorname{det}(U)=(a+1) \cdot \mathrm{GF}(3)^{*}=\{a+1,-a-1\}$ and thus $U \in O_{a+1}$. Using the ordered GF(3)-basis $(1, a)$ of $\mathrm{GF}(9)$, $\mathrm{GF}(9)$ may be identified with $\mathrm{GF}(3)^{2}$ and $V$ may be identified with $\mathrm{GF}(3)^{6}$. The element $1 \in \mathrm{GF}(9)$ turns into $(1,0) \in \mathrm{GF}(3)^{2}$, a turns into $(0,1)$, a -1 turns into $(-1,1)$, etc. The subspace $U$ turns into the row space of the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{GF}(3)^{3 \times 6}
$$

By Theorem 4, any disjoint union of $\alpha \in\{1,2,3,4\}$ orbits in $\left\{O_{1}, O_{a}, O_{a+1}, O_{a-1}\right\}$ is a $(6,2,3,9 \alpha)_{3}-G D D$ with respect to the Desarguesian line spread given by all 1-dimensional GF(9)-subspaces of $V$ (considered as 2-dimensional GF(3)subspaces).

Remark 2 fat $k$-subspace $(k \in\{3, \ldots, s\})$ is always scattered with respect to the Desarguesian spread $\left[\begin{array}{c}V \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q^{g}}$. The converse is only true for $g=2$. Thus, Theorem 4 implies that the set of all scattered $k$-subspaces with respect to the Desarguesian line spread of $\mathrm{GF}(q)^{2 s}$ is a $\left(2 s, 2, k, \lambda_{\max }\right)_{q}-G D D$.

## 6 Computer constructions

An element $\pi \in \operatorname{P\Gamma L}(v, q)$ is an automorphism of a $(v, g, k, \lambda)_{q^{-}}$GDD if $\pi(\mathcal{G})=\mathcal{G}$ and $\pi(\mathcal{B})=\mathcal{B}$.

Taking the Desarguesian $(g-1)$-spread and applying the Kramer-Mesner method [KM76] with the tools described in [BKL05, BKW18b, BKW18a] to the remaining blocks, we have found $(v, g, k, \lambda)_{q}$-GDDs for the parameters listed in Tables 2, 3. In all cases, the prescribed automorphism groups are subgroups of the normalizer $\langle\sigma, \phi\rangle$ of a Singer cycle group generated by an element $\sigma$ of order $q^{v}-1$ and by the Frobenius automorphism $\phi$, see [BKW18a]. Note that the presented necessary conditions for $\lambda_{\Delta}$ turn out to be tight in several cases.

The $q$-GDDs computed with the Kramer-Mesner approach are available in electronic form at $\left[\mathrm{BKK}^{+} 18\right]$. The downloadable zip file contains for each parameter set $(v, k, g, q)$ a bzip2-compressed file storing the used spread and the blocks of the $q$-GDDs for all values of $\lambda$ in the data format JSON.

Table 2: Existence results for $(v, g, k, \lambda)_{q}$-GDD for $q=2$.

| $v$ | $g$ | $k$ | $\lambda_{\Delta}$ | $\lambda_{\max }$ | $\lambda$ | comments |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 4 | $[$ EH18] |
|  |  |  |  |  | $2,4, \ldots, 12$ | $\left\langle\sigma^{7}\right\rangle$ |
| 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 | $4, \alpha=1,2,3$ | Thm. 4 |
| 8 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 60 | 2,58 | $\left\langle\sigma^{21}\right\rangle$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $4,6, \ldots, 54,56,60$ | $\left\langle\sigma, \phi^{4}\right\rangle$ |
| 8 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 480 | $20,40, \ldots, 480$ | $\langle\sigma, \phi\rangle$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $160 \alpha, \alpha=1,2,3$ | $\langle\sigma, \phi\rangle$ |
| 8 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 42 | $7,21,35$ | Thm. 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $14,28,42$ | $\langle\sigma\rangle$ |
| 8 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 14 | 14 | $\langle\sigma, \phi\rangle$ |
| 9 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 118 | $2,3, \ldots, 115,116,118$ | $\langle\sigma, \phi\rangle$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $16 \alpha, \alpha=1, \ldots, 16$ | Thm. 4 |
| 9 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 1680 | $30,60, \ldots, 1680$ | $\langle\sigma, \phi\rangle$ |
| 10 | 2 | 3 | 14 | 252 | $14,28, \ldots, 252$ | $\langle\sigma, \phi\rangle$ |
| 10 | 2 | 5 | 8 |  | $23040 \alpha, \alpha=1, \ldots, 3$ | Thm. 4 |
| 10 | 5 | 3 | 21 | 210 | 105,210 | $\left\langle\sigma, \phi{ }^{2}\right\rangle$ |
| 12 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1020 | 4 | $\left[\right.$ BEÖ $\left.{ }^{+} 16\right]$ |
| 12 | 2 | 6 | 16 |  | $12533760 \alpha, \alpha=1, \ldots, 3$ | Thm. 4 |
| 12 | 3 | 4 | 2 |  | $21504 \alpha, \alpha=1, \ldots, 7$ | Thm. 4 |
| 12 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1002 | $64 \alpha, \alpha=1, \ldots, 15$ | Thm. 4 |

Example 2 We take the primitive polynomial $1+x+x^{3}+x^{4}+x^{6}$, together

Table 3: Existence results for $(v, g, k, \lambda)_{q}$-GDD for $q=3$.

| $v$ | $g$ | $k$ | $\lambda_{\Delta}$ | $\lambda_{\max }$ | $\lambda$ | comments |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 36 | 9 | $[$ EH18] |
|  |  |  |  |  | $9 \alpha, \alpha=1, \ldots, 4$ | Thm. 4 |
|  |  |  |  | $12,18,24,36$ | $\left\langle\sigma^{13}, \phi\right\rangle$ |  |
| 6 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 12,24 | $\left\langle\sigma^{14}, \phi^{2}\right\rangle$ |  |
| 8 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 9720 | $2430 \alpha, \alpha=1, \ldots, 4$ | Thm. 4 |
| 8 | 4 | 3 | 13 | 312 | $52,104,156,208,260,312$ | $\langle\sigma, \phi\rangle$ |
| 9 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1077 | $81 \alpha, \alpha=1, \ldots, 13$ | Thm. 4 |
| 10 | 2 | 5 | 27 | 22044960 | $5511240 \alpha, \alpha=1, \ldots, 4$ | Thm. 4 |
| 12 | 2 | 6 | 81 | 439267872960 | $109816968240 \alpha, \alpha=1, \ldots, 4$ | Thm. 4 |
| 12 | 3 | 4 | 3 |  | $5373459 \alpha, \alpha=1, \ldots, 13$ | Thm. 4 |
| 12 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 29472 | $729 \alpha, \alpha=1, \ldots, 40$ | Thm. 4 |

with the canonical Singer cycle group generated by

$$
\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{l}
010000 \\
001000 \\
000100 \\
000010 \\
000001 \\
110110
\end{array}\right)
$$

For a compact representation we will write all $\alpha \times \beta$ matrices $X$ over $\operatorname{GF}(q)$ with entries $x_{i, j}$, whose indices are numbered from 0 , as vectors of integers

$$
\left[\sum_{j} x_{0, j} q^{j}, \ldots, \sum_{j} x_{\alpha-1, j} q^{j}\right]
$$

i.e. $\sigma=[2,4,8,16,32,27]$.

The block representatives of a $(6,2,3,2)_{2}-G D D$ can be constructed by prescribing the subgroup $G=\left\langle\sigma^{7}\right\rangle$ of the Singer cycle group. The order of $G$ is $9, a$ generator is $[54,55,53,49,57,41]$. The spread is generated by $[1,14]$, under the action of $G$ the 21 spread elements are partitioned into 7 orbits. The blocks of the $G D D$ consist of the $G$-orbits of the following 20 generators.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[3,16,32],[15,16,32],[4,8,32],[5,8,32],[19,24,32],[7,24,32],[10,4,32],} \\
& {[18,28,32],[17,20,32],[1,28,32],[17,10,32],[25,2,32],[13,6,32],[29,30,32] \text {, }} \\
& {[33,12,16],[38,40,16],[2,36,16],[1,36,16],[11,12,16],[19,20,8]}
\end{aligned}
$$
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ A set of subspaces of $V$ such that every 1-dimensional subspace is covered exactly once is called vector space partition.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Taking the elements of $\mathcal{P}$ as columns of a generator matrix gives a linear code of length $\# \mathcal{P}$ and dimension $k$ whose codewords have weights being divisible by $q^{r}$.

