

Natamba, Barnabas K; Achan, Jane; Arbach, Angela; Oyok, Thomas O; Ghosh, Shibani; Mehta, Saurabh; Stoltzfus, Rebecca J; Griffiths, Jeffrey K; Young, Sera L (2014) Reliability and validity of the center for epidemiologic studies-depression scale in screening for depression among HIV-infected and -uninfected pregnant women attending antenatal services in northern Uganda: a cross-sectional study. BMC psychiatry, 14 (1). 303-. ISSN 1471-244X DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-014-0303-y

Downloaded from: http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/4651979/

DOI: 10.1186/s12888-014-0303-y

Usage Guidelines

Please refer to usage guidelines at http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html or alternatively contact researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.

Available under license: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.5/

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access

Reliability and validity of the center for epidemiologic studies-depression scale in screening for depression among HIV-infected and -uninfected pregnant women attending antenatal services in northern Uganda: a cross-sectional study

Barnabas K Natamba^{1,2,3*}, Jane Achan⁴, Angela Arbach⁵, Thomas O Oyok^{6^}, Shibani Ghosh⁷, Saurabh Mehta¹, Rebecca J Stoltzfus¹, Jeffrey K Griffiths^{7,8} and Sera L Young¹

Abstract

Background: There are limited data on the prevalence and approaches to screening for depression among pregnant women living in resource poor settings with high HIV burden.

Methods: We studied the reliability and accuracy of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D) scale in 123 (36 HIV-infected and 87 -uninfected) pregnant women receiving antenatal care at Gulu Regional Referral Hospital, Uganda. CES-D scores were compared to results from the psychiatrist-administered Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) for current major depressive disorder (MDD), a "gold standard" for assessing depression. We employed measures of internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha), and criterion validity [Area Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve (AUROC), sensitivity (Se), specificity (Sp), and positive predictive value (PPV)] to evaluate the reliability and validity of the CES-D scale.

Results: 35.8% of respondents were currently experiencing an MDD, as defined from outputs of the MINI-depression module. The CES-D had high internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha = 0.92) and good discriminatory ability in detecting MINI-defined current MDDs (AUROC = 0.82). The optimum CES-D cutoff score for the identification of probable MDD was between 16 and 17. A CES-D cutoff score of 17, corresponding to Se, Sp, and PPV values of 72.7%, 78.5%, and 76.5%, is proposed for adoption in this population and performs well for HIV-infected and -uninfected women. After adjusting for baseline differences between the HIV subgroups (maternal age and marital status), HIV-infected pregnant women scored 6.2 points higher on the CES-D than HIV-uninfected women (p = 0.032).

Conclusions: The CES-D is a suitable instrument for screening for probable major depression among pregnant women of mixed HIV status attending antenatal services in northern Uganda.

Keywords: Pregnancy, Major depression, Prenatal depression, Uganda, Africa, Screening

Deceased

¹Division of Nutritional Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA ²Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Gulu University, Gulu, Uganda

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2014 Natamba et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: bkn6@cornell.edu

Background

Since the first Global Burden of Disease (GBD) report was released in 1996 [1], the impacts that HIV infection and major depressive disorders (MDDs) have had on medical and public health systems have greatly increased. The 2010 GBD report indicated that HIV infection has risen from being the 33rd to the 5th contributor to the global burden of disease, while MDDs have risen from being the 15th leading cause to being the 11th [2]. While there has been a surge of information and strategies for managing or preventing HIV infection, practical strategies for managing MDDs remain limited, particularly in resource poor settings.

In Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), the reported prevalence of MDDs among adult people living with HIV (PLHIV) ranges broadly, from 71.3% in Zambia [3] to 47% in Uganda [4], 43.7% in South Africa [5], and 30% in Zimbabwe [6]. A number of biological and psychosocial factors and psychiatric co-morbidities help explain why persons with HIV are at a higher risk of depression [7]. Moreover, depression has been associated with increased rates of HIV disease progression and mortality [8], inequity in decision-making and relationship power among heterosexual couples [9], and AIDS-related stigma [10].

Reports indicate that as many as 30% of PLHIV develop MDDs during the course of their illness [11,12]. The majority of studies reporting the prevalence or incidence of depressive symptoms among PLHIV in SSA have not compared rates among PLHIV to those among HIVnegative persons. Both depression [13-15] and HIV [7,16] disproportionately affect more women than men. Limited data from SSA settings [17] and recent data from the US [18] have examined the cross-sectional linkage between maternal HIV infection and depression prevalence during pregnancy. No study has examined the differences in the trajectory of depressive symptoms among HIV-infected and -uninfected pregnant women. In the sole crosssectional study that compared the prevalence of depressive symptoms among newly tested HIV-positive and HIV-negative pregnant women in South Africa, no association between HIV infection status and depressive symptoms severity was found [17]. A similar result of no difference in depressive symptoms by HIV serostatus was reported for US women attending an obstetrics/gynecology clinic in urban Philadelphia [18]. It is, therefore, unclear whether the prevalence or incidence of major depression or depressive symptoms differs between HIV-infected and -uninfected pregnant women in SSA. Integrating screening, referral, and treatment for major depression into programs targeting HIV-infected and -uninfected pregnant women in SSA could help fill this gap.

Screening for depression among pregnant women is of specific interest, because this would facilitate early detection of depressive symptoms and the referral and treatment of women with probable major depression. Depression may affect pregnant women's functionality, capacity to care for themselves, and ability to utilize household and community level resources. Depressed women may not be able to (1) acquire and prepare food, (2) attend antenatal care (ANC) services, and, for those that are HIV positive, (3) utilize services aimed at preventing mother to child transmission of HIV (PMTCT). Depression during pregnancy is a risk factor for postnatal depression [19] and may have direct [20] or indirect (through its influence on postnatal depression; [21]) impacts on mother-child interactions and the infants' emotional and behavioral development. It is important that pregnant women with or at risk of depression are screened and appropriately treated before it becomes too late to intervene. Antenatal care (ANC) clinics in regional, district, and lower level health centers in resource poor settings, such as northern Uganda, provide potential entry points for screening, referral and treatment of pregnant women with or at risk of depression.

In order to appropriately identify pregnant women with or at risk of depression, there is a need for a reliable and valid screening tool. A recent review of depression screening tools used in low and middle-income countries did not recommend any specific instrument [22]. The Center for Epidemiologic Studies-Depression (CES-D) [23] is an attractive screening tool for depression because it covers all of the common symptoms of major depression, i.e. depressive mood, feelings of guilt and worthlessness, psychomotor retardation, loss of appetite, and sleep disturbance. The CES-D consists of 20 items that are designed to measure self-reported depressive symptoms in the prior two weeks. Each of the 20 items is assigned a value of 0-3, with four items positively worded and reverse scored. The total score is computed by summing the 20 items, such that the range of scores is 0 to 60. A score of ≥ 16 in the general US population is used to suggest the presence of probable MDD. Elsewhere, the cutoff will vary based on the prevalence of each of the 20 items in the population of interest, the method of the scale's administration (whether as a self report or interviewer administered), and the extent of adaptation (e.g. translation into another language before administration) that goes into making the CES-D contextually relevant. Hence, it is important that the scale be validated before it is used in new populations.

Within SSA the CES-D has been validated among adult populations in Zambia [3] and South Africa [5] by comparing results of the CES-D to those obtained using a 'gold standard' for depression assessment, the depression module of the Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI-D). The MINI (and the MINI-D component as well) is a short, structured diagnostic interview that was developed in 1990 by psychiatrists and clinicians in the United States and Europe for DSM-IV psychiatric disorders [24]. Although the CES-D has been used among PLHIV in Uganda [4,25] we did not find studies documenting the scales validity in this context. Furthermore, these two studies were conducted among HIV-infected adults only, making it difficult to compare findings from such populations to those of HIV-uninfected populations. Thus, the aim of this study was to assess the reliability and validity of the CES-D as a relatively simple screening tool for probable major depression among HIV-infected and -uninfected pregnant women in northern Uganda.

Methods

Design, setting and participants

Data were collected from the 10th of October 2012 to the 21st of December 2012, within the context of the Prenatal Nutrition and Psychosocial Health Outcomes (PreNAPs) study. The PreNAPs study is a longitudinal observational study that seeks to describe the prevalence and trajectory of depressive symptoms among HIV-infected and -uninfected pregnant women attending ANC services in northern Uganda.

HIV-infected and -uninfected participants were consecutively and separately recruited from the ANC clinic of Gulu Regional Referral Hospital (GRRH) in Gulu, northern Uganda, a busy primary care clinic that receives more than 400 initial antenatal visits monthly. As at other public medical facilities in Uganda, all services at GRRH, including medications, care for pregnant women with HIV, etc., are offered free of charge. Consistent with Ugandan national policy, all HIV-infected women received prophylactic ARVs.

HIV-infected and -uninfected pregnant women who presented at ANC between 10 and 26 weeks of gestation and resided within 30 km of GRRH were invited to participate. HIV infected women were oversampled to achieve a minimum ratio of 1 HIV infected: 2 HIV uninfected participants, thus our sample has a higher proportion of HIV infected women than the 10.3% age adjusted prevalence of HIV previously observed at ANC clinics in northern Uganda [26].

Data collection

All study instruments were translated by local research staff into Acholi and Langi, the two predominant and closely related Luo languages that are spoken in the study communities. The questionnaires were then back-translated into English by the same team, and discrepancies in conceptual and semantic equivalence were resolved through discussion involving all the translators, the research assistants, and the GRRH psychiatrist (TOO).

Maternal HIV status was determined at the ANC clinic based on the Ugandan government's HIV counseling and testing guidelines [27] and prior to enrollment into this study. Gestational age at recruitment was based on first day of the last month of menstrual period. Wealth was operationalized based on the pregnant women's report of their total household income divided by the number of household members.

The CES-D was administered by members of the PreNAPs study team (either a nutritionist, midwife, or general nurse) after baseline health and demographics data had been collected. Then, on the same day, respondents underwent a diagnostic interview by the GRRH psychiatrist (TOO), who was blinded to the results of the CES-D screening interview, using the MINI-D.

One hundred and thirty-five consecutive pregnant women were approached to participate in the MDD substudy of PreNAPs, and 125 (92.6%) consented to participate. Ten participants did not participate in the study upon request due to "lack of time." Of the 125 participants who agreed to take part in the study, two had incomplete survey data and were excluded from the final analysis; the rest (n = 123, 36 HIV-infected and 87 HIV-uninfected) participated in both the CES-D and MINI-D interviews.

Analysis

Data were analyzed using STATA version 12 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX). We compared HIV-infected and -uninfected pregnant women with regard to measured baseline demographic and socioeconomic variables. To test for baseline differences between HIV -infected and -uninfected pregnant women, we used Pearson's chisquared test for categorical variables, Student's t-tests, and the nonparametric equality-of-medians test for continuous variables. Study participants were categorized into cases and non-cases of currently experiencing a MDD based on the MINI-D module outputs. Median total scores on the CES-D were then compared against the MINI-D diagnoses of current MDD using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. We fit a linear regression model, adjusting for baseline correlates of maternal HIV infection status, to determine differences in baseline CES-D scores between HIVinfected and -uninfected pregnant women.

We assessed the reliability of the CES-D scale using the Cronbach's test for internal consistency. Cronbach's alpha coefficients of 0.7 or greater were considered to be reliable [28]. We determined the criterion validity of the CES-D, i.e. the extent to which measurements obtained using the CES-D concurred with those determined with an established diagnostic test or gold standard [29], by comparing scores on the CES-D with psychiatrist administered MINI-D outputs. Further, we used a non-parametric method, Area Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve (AUROC) analysis, to test the CES-D's criterion validity. We selected the optimum CES-D cutoff scores that concurrently maximized sensitivity (Se), specificity (Sp) and positive predictive values (PPV) when compared to the psychiatrist administered MINI-D assessment.

Results

Characteristics of the study participants

Characteristics of the studied sample are presented in Table 1. HIV-infected and -uninfected women did not differ in terms of their gestational age at assessment, the predominant language they spoke, level of education, occupation, or monthly household income per capita. HIV-infected participants were 3.2 years older (p = 0.001) and 21.9% less likely to be in a married or cohabiting relationship (p = 0.002) than HIV-uninfected participants. Based on the results of the MINI-D interview, a greater proportion of HIV-infected participants (52.9%) were more likely to be classified as having an MDD than HIV-uninfected participants (28.7%; p = 0.011). After adjusting for maternal age and marital status, HIV-infected pregnant women scored 6.2 points higher on the CES-D than HIV-uninfected participants (p = 0.032).

Reliability and validity of the CES-D scale

The internal consistency of the CES-D was high (Cronbach's alpha = 0.92). As expected, CES-D scores were higher among MINI-defined current MDD cases than non-MDD cases (Figure 1, P <0.001). Furthermore, the CES-D discriminated well between current MDD cases and non-cases (Figure 2, AUROC = 0.82).

Se, Sp, and PPV at each CES-D score indicated that the optimum CES-D cutoff score for current MDD was somewhere between 16 and 17 (Additional file 1: Table S1 & Figure 3). With a cutoff of 16, the corresponding Se, Sp and PPV values of 79.6%, 75.9%, and 77.2% were obtained. When a cutoff of 17 was selected, Sp increased by 2.6% to 78.5%, PPV dropped slightly by 0.7% to 76.5%, and Se drops by 7.1% to 72.7%.

Among HIV-infected participants, selecting a CES-D score cutoff value of either 16 or 17 did not affect

the estimated prevalence of probable major depression (58.3%; Figure 4). On the other hand, selecting a cutoff value of 16 or 17 affected the estimated prevalence of depression among HIV-uninfected women. At the CES-D cutoff value of 16, the estimated prevalence of probable major depression was 9.2% higher than results obtained with the MINI-D (37.9% vs. 28.7%; Figure 4). A cutoff value of 17, however, provided a result that was much closer to results from the psychiatric assessment (32.2% vs. 28.7%; Figure 4).

Discussion

Overall, the interviewer-administered CES-D scale, as validated in this study, was a reliable and valid instrument for screening for prenatal depression among pregnant women attending ANC services in northern Uganda.

The high Cronbach's alpha (0.92) indicated that subjects in this study were consistent in their responses. This Cronbach value is similar to that reported by the original author of the self-reported CES-D [23] and is slightly higher than those reported among other studies documenting the reliability of interviewer-administered CES-D scales in other African settings [3,5]. For instance, among patients with tuberculosis (TB) and HIV in primary care in Zambia, the Cronbach alpha for an interviewer-administered CES-D was 0.84 [3].

The AUROC, a measure of the accuracy of discriminating between two groups, was 0.82, allowing us to use the CES-D to differentiate women with current MDDs from those without this condition (Figure 2). Our result is similar to AUROC values reported from CES-D validation studies conducted among HIV infected persons in South Africa (AUROC = 0.76; [5]) and TB and HIV patients in Zambia (AUROC = 0.78; [3]).

52.9%

23.2 (2.21)

21.5 (2.33)

0.011

0.001

0.032

Variable	Estimate	Overall (n = 123)	HIV- (n = 87)	HIV + (n = 36)	HIV- vs. HIV + p value
Gestational age at interview, weeks	Mean (s.e.)	18.3 (0.36)	18.3 (0.43)	18.5 (0.66)	n.s.***
Participant speaks Acholi or Langi	%	91.9%	91.9%	91.7%	n.s.
Participants' age, years	Mean (s.e.)	24.3 (0.44)	23.3 (0.49)	26.5 (0.76)	0.001
Woman identifies as married or cohabiting	%	87.0%	93.1%	71.2%	0.002
Primary or no education	%	53.7%	49.4%	63.9%	n.s.
Woman identifies as housewife	%	50.4%	51.7%	47.2%	n.s.
Monthly household income per capita (in Uganda Shillings)*	Mean (s.e.)	87,005 (9,229)	93,105 (10,991)	72,433 (16,988)	n.s.

28.7%

14.6 (1.42)

15.3 (1.44)

Table 1 Characteristics pregnant women participating in the study to validate the Center for EpidemiologicStudies-Depression (CES-D) scale in northern Uganda, October 2012-December 2012

35.8%

n/a

17.1 (1.24)

*At the time the study was done, 1 US Dollar was equivalent to 2,500 Ugandan Shillings.

MINI-defined current major depressive disorder

Unadjusted CES-D score

Adjusted CES-D score**

Differences in CES-D scores between HIV-infected and -uninfected pregnant women after adjusting for age and marital status. *n.s. refers to non-significant.

Mean (s.e.)

Mean (s.e.)



A highly sensitive test is needed for screening examinations in routine clinical care to identify potential cases, while a highly specific test is preferred for confirmatory purposes [30]. Good screening tests are expected to minimize the number and proportion of false positive results and, as such, have a high PPV. A test with high PPV is in part valued, because it reduces the expense and risks related to further evaluation of false positive tests, especially in settings with limited resources [30]. We employed a combination of Se, Sp, and PPV to arrive at the optimum CES-D cutoff score for probable major depression in our sample, a value that was between 16 and 17 (Figure 3).

A cutoff score of 16 had a relatively high Se and slightly higher PPV values than a cutoff score of 17 (Se 79.6% vs. 72.7%, PPV 77.2% vs. 76.5%; Additional file 1: Table S1). Using a cutoff of 16 in future studies, therefore, may help maximize the rate of truly positive results, permitting



appropriate classification of a higher proportion of persons that actually have depression. On the other hand, a cutoff score of 16 had a lower Sp than a cutoff score of 17 (Sp 75.9 vs. 78.5; Additional file 1: Table S1).

As noted above, a CES-D cutoff score of 17 provided a higher Sp than the cutoff of 16 and, thus, greater ability to minimize the proportion of false positive cases of probable major depression. This cutoff also allowed us to attain prevalence rates that were closer to those identified through a psychiatrist-administered MINI-D interview. The CES-D cutoff of 17 resulted in 39.8% of the women to be classified as having a probable MDD, versus 43.9% using the cutoff score of 16. The percentage of women with probable depression at the 17 cutoff value (39.8%) was much closer to the prevalence of current MDD









(35.8%) obtained with the MINI-D assessment (Figure 4). However, as shown in Figure 4, selection of a cutoff score of 17 appeared most helpful when screening HIV-negative respondents. Among HIV-positive respondents, the same percentage of respondents with a probable MDD (58.3%) was reached irrespective of whether a cutoff of 16 or 17 was used. Our information, therefore, suggests that using a cutoff of 17 is appropriate for both HIV-infected and -uninfected pregnant women, and we plan to adopt this cutoff for our ongoing study activities and reporting of the prevalence and incidence of probable major depression in the PreNAPs cohort.

The cutoff score of 17 for this interviewer administered CES-D scale is comparable to the cutoff score of 16 for the self-reported CES-D suggested by Radloff for the general US population [23]. In contrast, our cutoff value is much lower than scores obtained with the interviewer-administered CES-D study among HIV and TB patients in Zambia. In the Zambia study, a cutoff value of 22 was indicated to have a Se of 73% and PPV value of 76% when compared to MINI-D outputs [3]. The higher cutoff value obtained in that study may relate to the fact that their population, unlike ours that was predominantly HIV-uninfected, was only composed of HIV and TB infected participants. Furthermore, the MINI-D module for our study was administered by a medical psychiatrist (TOO), and mental health clinical assistants administered the MINI-D in the Zambia study.

Our data do not support the findings of others in South Africa [17] and the US [18], where depressive symptoms do not differ by pregnant women's HIV status. After adjusting for maternal age and marital status, HIVinfected pregnant women in our study scored 6.2 points higher on the CES-D than HIV uninfected participants (Table 1, p = 0.032). However, the focus of this study was on validation of the CES-D instrument, and we caution against over-interpretation of this finding. We note that the HIV-infected women may have had adverse life experiences, such as the loss of their partners or family members due to HIV, or may have suffered from stigma, and these factors may be more powerful proximal determinants of differences in CES-D scores than the HIV infection itself. Given the number of HIV-infected women (n = 36) compared to HIV-uninfected participants (n = 87)in this study, we did not have sufficient power to fully test the hypothesis that HIV-infected women scored higher on the CES-D than -uninfected participants. In the future, larger studies will need to examine the hypothesis that CES-D scores and the prevalence and incidence rate of depression differ by pregnant women's HIV-status. Such studies will also need to adjust for other correlates of depression, in addition to maternal age and marital status, such as food insecurity and women's access to different forms of social support [31].

Our study has some limitations. For one, the generalizability of our findings may be limited. As noted above, it is possible that the CES-D performs differently among HIV-infected and -uninfected pregnant women. Our data, however, do suggest that an identical cutoff value of 17 is appropriate for the CES-D screening tool in both HIV-infected and -uninfected populations. We also note that the CES-D was administered to women at about 18 weeks of gestation (Table 1), and we cannot comment on how the cutoff scoring might have changed through the entire course of pregnancy. In addition, although the MINI-D module has been used successfully among different subpopulations in Uganda [32-34], we found no studies that, as recommended by its inventors [24], aimed to validate or culturally adapt it in ways that go beyond language translation. Furthermore, this study was done at a higher-level health facility, and pregnant women attending GRRH may differ from the general northern Uganda population (in terms of education, rural vs. urban residence, access to information, and other factors), such that our findings may not be widely generalizable.

In spite of these limitations, these data suggest that the CES-D as used in the PreNAPs study is a reliable and valid measure of depressive symptoms in this population. To expand the applicability of the CES-D within this context, future studies could assess the reliability and validity of the CES-D in the general northern Uganda population. We believe there would be merit in using a relatively large sample size that can permit separate assessment of the CES-D screening tool's reliability and validity among HIV-infected and -uninfected pregnant women.

In the short term, interventions to mitigate prenatal depression in this vulnerable population are needed, given the high prevalence of psychiatrist diagnosed major depression (28.7% among HIV-uninfected, vs. 52.9% in HIV-infected subgroups) and the likely adverse impacts of depression on women [19] and their infants [20,21]. Modifications to current mental health screening policies, especially among PMTCT attendees, may help with identification and treatment of depressed pregnant women. Most Ugandan regional referral hospitals have mental health departments. However, opportunities for screening, referral and follow-up of affected patients are usually missed due to limited staffing, remuneration, motivation, and retention of skilled health workers. Current national ANC guidelines are silent about integrating screening for mental health problems such as depression. The high prevalence of MDD that we observed in this study suggests the need for revision of existing Ugandan ANC guidelines to incorporate screening, treatment and referral of pregnant women for depression. The World Health Organisation (WHO) recommends integrating mental health activities into ANC programs [35], and approaches for doing this in resource-poor settings have been identified, for example through the use of WHO mental health Gap Action Program guidelines [36]. The CES-D is a brief, valid, and simple screening tool that could be used to identify pregnant women with or at risk of depression.

Conclusions

The CES-D scale, administered by non-psychiatrists, was found to be a reliable and valid screening tool in a population of women of mixed HIV status receiving ANC, when compared to a psychiatrist-administered and structured MINI-D interview. Given the high prevalence (35.8%) of psychiatrist-diagnosed depression in this population, there is need to adopt a reliable and valid screening tool for depression (such as the CES-D), further evaluation, and the treatment of pregnant women with elevated depressive symptoms. More research will be needed, however, to assess the time demands and overall programmatic experience of integrating care for depression into current ANC service delivery platforms.

Ethical considerations

The study protocol was approved by Cornell University Institutional Review Board and Gulu University Institutional Review Committee. Permission to conduct the study in Uganda was obtained from the Ugandan National Council for Science and Technology. Written informed consent was obtained from all study subjects before enrollment. Patients identified to be severely depressed, per the MDD module of the MINI, were referred for further assessment and treatment at GRRH.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Table S1. Sensitivity, specificity, and positive predictive values for probable major depression at various CES-D cutoff scores of HIV-infected and -uninfected pregnant women (n 123) attending antenatal care clinics in northern Uganda, October 2012–December 2012.

Abbreviations

ANC: Antenatal care; AUROC: Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; CES-D: Center for epidemiologic studies- depression scale; GBD: Global burden of disease; GRRH: Gulu Regional Referral Hospital; MDD: Major depressive disorder; MINI-D: Mini-international neuropsychiatric interview-depression module; PMTCT: Prevention of mother to child transmission of HIV; PPV: Positive predictive value(s); PreNAPs: Prenatal nutrition and psychosocial health outcomes study; Se: Sensitivity; Sp: Specificity; SSA: Sub-Saharan Africa; WHO: World Health Organisation.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

BKN, SLY, JA, SM, RJS, and JKG conceived and designed the study. TOO conducted MINI Depression diagnostic interviews. BKN and AA supervised data collection. BKN performed the data analyses, and JA, SM, SG, JKG, and SLY helped with the interpretation of the data. BKN wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to revising the manuscript critically and approved submission of this version.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the support of the Gulu Regional Referral Hospital (GRRH) administration in allowing us to conduct the study at their hospital's ANC clinic and providing space for the research team within the hospital buildings. We would also like to thank the mental health staff at the Mental Health Unit of GRRH, especially Mary Grace Lanyero, for their willingness to provide appropriate care for pregnant women scoring high on the CES-D scale. We also thank Sophie Becky Ajok, the coordinator for PMTCT services at GRRH ANC clinic for helping us recruit both HIV-infected and -uninfected pregnant women for this study. PRENAPS Uganda (www.prenaps.org) staff that collected the data for this study including Stella Adoch, Hillary Kilama, Gladys Akello, and Eunice Asiimwe are also highly appreciated. We gratefully acknowledge Patsy Brannon for comments on earlier drafts. Lastly, we warmly thank the mothers for the time they generously gave to the study.

Funding

Funding for this study was provided by the USAID Feed the Future Innovation Laboratory for Collaborative Research in Nutrition for Africa (Award Number AID-OAA-L-10-00006 to Tufts University). SLY was supported by K01 MH098902 from the National Institute of Mental Health. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of USAID or the National Institute of Mental Health or the National Institutes of Health.

Obituary

Our colleague, Dr. Thomas Okello Oyok, RIP, passed away on 6th August 2014. Dr. Oyok, a retired senior psychiatrist at GRRH, conducted all the diagnostic interviews for this study and was a committed member of the study team. The authors of this paper would like to extend our sincere condolences, thoughts, and prayers to Dr. Oyok's family.

Author details

¹ Division of Nutritional Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA. ²Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Gulu University, Gulu, Uganda. ³PRENAPS Uganda, Kampala, Uganda. ⁴Department of Pediatrics and Child Health, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda. ⁵Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY 10021, USA. ⁶Department of Mental Health, Faculty of Medicine, Gulu University, Gulu, Uganda. ⁷Friedman School of Nutrition Science and Policy, Tufts University, Boston, MA 02155, USA. ⁸Department of Public Health and Community Medicine, School of Medicine, Tufts University, Boston, MA 02155, USA.

Received: 29 May 2014 Accepted: 16 October 2014 Published online: 22 November 2014

References

- Murray CJL, Lopez AD, World Health Organization, Harvard School of Public Health, World Bank: Global Health Statistics-a Compendium of Incidence, Prevalence, and Mortality Estimates for Over 200 Conditions. Boston: Harvard Sch of Public Health; 1996.
- Murray CJL, Vos T, Lozano R, Naghavi M, Flaxman AD, Michaud C, Ezzati M, Shibuya K, Salomon JA, Abdalla S, Aboyans V, Abraham J, Ackerman I, Aggarwal R, Ahn SY, Ali MK, Alvarado M, Anderson HR, Anderson LM, Andrews KG, Atkinson C, Baddour LM, Bahalim AN, Barker-Collo S, Barrero LH, Bartels DH, Basáñez M-G, Baxter A, Bell ML, Benjamin EJ, *et al*: Disabilityadjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2010. *Lancet* 2012, 380:2197–2223.
- Chishinga N, Kinyanda E, Weiss HA, Patel V, Ayles H, Seedat S: Validation of brief screening tools for depressive and alcohol use disorders among TB and HIV patients in primary care in Zambia. *BMC Psychiatry* 2011, 11:75.
- Kaharuza FM, Bunnell P, Moss S, Purcell DW, Bikaako-Kajura W, Wamai N, Downing R, Solberg P, Coutinho A, Mermin J: Depression and CD4 cell count among persons with HIV infection in Uganda. *AIDS Behav* 2006, 10:105–111.
- Myer LL, Smit JJ, Le LL, Roux L, Parker SS, Stein DJD, Seedat SS: Common mental disorders among HIV-infected individuals in South Africa: prevalence, predictors, and validation of brief psychiatric rating scales. *AIDS Patient Care STDS* 2008, 22:147–158.
- Chibanda D, Mangezi W, Tshimanga M, Woelk G, Rusakaniko P, Stranix-Chibanda L, Midzi S, Maldonado Y, Shetty AK: Validation of the Edinburgh postnatal

depression scale among women in a high HIV prevalence area in urban Zimbabwe. Arch Womens Ment Health 2009, 13:201–206.

- Arseniou S, Arvaniti A, Samakouri M: HIV infection and depression. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2013, 68:96–109.
- Antelman G, Kaaya S, Wei R, Mbwambo J, Msamanga GI, Fawzi WW, Smith Fawzi MC: Depressive symptoms increase risk of HIV disease progression and mortality among women in Tanzania. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2007, 44:470–477.
- Hatcher AM, Tsai AC, Kumbakumba E, Dworkin SL, Hunt PW, Martin JN, Clark G, Bangsberg DR, Weiser SD: Sexual relationship power and depression among HIV-infected women in rural Uganda. *PLoS ONE* 2012, 7:e49821.
- Simbayi LC, Kalichman S, Strebel A, Cloete A, Henda N, Mqeketo A: Internalized stigma, discrimination, and depression among men and women living with HIV/AIDS in Cape Town, South Africa. Soc Sci Med 2007, 64:1823–1831.
- Ciesla JA, Roberts JE: Meta-analysis of the relationship between HIV infection and risk for depressive disorders. Am J Psychiatry 2001, 158:725–730.
- Nakimuli-Mpungu E, Bass JK, Alexandre P, Mills EJ, Musisi S, Ram M, Katabira E, Nachega JB: Depression, alcohol use and adherence to antiretroviral therapy in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *AIDS Behav* 2012, 16:2101–2118.
- Bennett HA, Einarson A, Taddio A, Koren G, Einarson TR: Prevalence of depression during pregnancy: systematic review. Obstet Gynecol 2004, 103:698–709.
- 14. Roberts B, Ocaka K, Browne J, Oyok T, Sondorp E: Factors associated with post-traumatic stress disorder and depression amongst internally displaced persons in northern Uganda. *BMC Psychiatry* 2008, **8**:38.
- Weissman MM, Bland RC, Canino GJ, Faravelli C, Greenwald S, Hwu HG, Joyce PR, Karam EG, Lee CK, Lellouch J, Lépine JP, Newman SC, Rubio-Stipec M, Wells JE, Wickramaratne PJ, Wittchen H, Yeh EK: Cross-national epidemiology of major depression and bipolar disorder. JAMA 1996, 276:293–299.
- Olley BO, Seedat S, Nei DG, Stein DJ: Predictors of major depression in recently diagnosed patients with HIV/AIDS in South Africa. *AIDS Patient Care STDS* 2004, 18:481–487.
- Rochat TJ, Richter LM, Doll HA, Buthelezi NP, Tomkins A, Stein A: Depression among pregnant rural South African women undergoing HIV testing. JAMA 2006, 295:1376–1378.
- Bonacquisti A, Geller PA, Aaron E: Rates and predictors of prenatal depression in women living with and without HIV. *AIDS Care* 2014, 26:100–106.
- Siu BW, Leung SS, Ip P, Hung SF, O'Hara MW: Antenatal risk factors for postnatal depression: aprospective study of chinese women at maternaland child health centres. *BMC Psychiatry* 2012, 12:22.
- Glover V: Maternal depression, anxiety and stress during pregnancy and child outcome; what needs to be done. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2014, 28:25–35.
- Avan B, Richter LM, Ramchandani PG, Norris SA, Stein A: Maternal postnatal depression and children's growth and behaviour during the early years of life: exploring the interaction between physical and mental health. *Arch Dis Child* 2010, 95:690–695.
- 22. Akena D, Joska J, Obuku EA, Amos T, Musisi S, Stein DJ: Comparing the accuracy of brief versus long depression screening instruments which have been validated in low and middle income countries; a systematic review. *BMC Psychiatry* 2012, **12**:187.
- 23. Radloff LS: The CES-D Scale: a self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Appl Psychol Meas* 1977, 1:385–401.
- Sheehan DV, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan KH, Amorim P, Janavs J, Weiller E, Hergueta T, Baker R, Dunbar GC: The mini-international neuropsychiatric interview (MINI): the development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. J Clin Psychiatry 1998, 59:22–33.
- Nakasujja N, Skolasky RL, Musisi S, Allebeck P, Robertson K, Ronald A, Katabira E, Clifford DB, Sacktor N: Depression symptoms and cognitive function among individuals with advanced HIV infection initiating HAART in Uganda. *BMC Psychiatry* 2010, 10:44.
- Fabiani M, Nattabi B, Pierotti C, Ciantia F, Opio AA, Musinguzi J, Ayella EO, Declich S: HIV-1 prevalence and factors associated with infection in the conflict-affected region of North Uganda. *Confl Health* 2007, 1:3.

- 27. Ugandan Ministry of Health: Uganda National Policy Guidelines for HIV Counseling and Testing. Kampala: Ministry of Health; 2005.
- 28. Santos J: Cronbach's alpha: a tool for assessing the reliability of scales. *J Ext* 1999, **37**:1–5.
- 29. Porta MS, Greenland S, Hernán M, Santos Silva Dos I, Last JM: A Dictionary of Epidemiology. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014.
- Patel W, Araya RR, Chowdhary NN, King MM, Kirkwood BB, Nayak SS, Simon GG, Weiss HAH: Detecting common mental disorders in primary care in India: a comparison of five screening questionnaires. *Psychol Med* 2008, 38:221–228.
- Tsai AC, Bangsberg DR, Frongillo EA, Hunt PW, Muzoora C, Martin JN, Weiser SD: Food insecurity, depression and the modifying role of social support among people living with HIV/AIDS in rural Uganda. Soc Sci Med 2012, 74:2012–2019.
- Ertl V, Pfeiffer A, Saile R, Schauer E, Elbert T, Neuner F: Validation of a mental health assessment in an African conflict population. International Perspectives in Psychology: Research, Practice, Consultation 2011, 1(5):19–27.
- Nakimuli-Mpungu E, Mojtabai R, Alexandre PK, Katabira E, Musisi S, Nachega JB, Bass JK: Cross-cultural adaptation and validation of the self-reporting questionnaire among HIV + individuals in a rural ART program in southern Uganda. *HIV* 2012, 4:51–60.
- Muhwezi WW, Agren H, Neema S, Maganda AK, Musisi S: Life events associated with major depression in Ugandan primary healthcare (PHC) patients: issues of cultural specificity. Int J Soc Psychiatry 2008, 54:144–163.
- World Health Organization: WHO Antenatal Care Randomized Trial: Manual for the Implementation of the New Model. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2002.
- World Health Organization, Mental Health Gap Action Programme: mhGAP Intervention Guide for Mental, Neurological and Substance Use Disorders in Non-Specialized Health Settings: Version 1.0. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2010.

doi:10.1186/s12888-014-0303-y

Cite this article as: Natamba *et al.*: Reliability and validity of the center for epidemiologic studies-depression scale in screening for depression among HIV-infected and -uninfected pregnant women attending antenatal services in northern Uganda: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Psychiatry* 2014 14:303.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit