1

Social effects of territorial neighbours on the timing of spring breeding in North American red squirrels

5 D.N Fisher, A.J. Wilson, S. Boutin, B. Dantzer, J.E. Lane, D.W. Coltman, J.C. Gorrell, A.G. McAdam

6

4

7

8 Abstract

9 Organisms can affect one another's phenotypes when they socially interact. Indirect genetic effects 10 occur when an individual's phenotype is affected by genes expressed in another individual. These 11 heritable effects can enhance or reduce adaptive potential, thereby accelerating or reversing evolutionary change. Quantifying these social effects is therefore crucial for our understanding of 12 13 evolution, yet estimates of indirect genetic effects in wild animals are limited to dyadic interactions. 14 We estimated indirect phenotypic and genetic effects, and their covariance with direct effects, for 15 the date of spring breeding in North American red squirrels (Tamiasciurus hudsonicus) living in an 16 array of territories of varying spatial proximity. Additionally, we estimated indirect effects and the 17 strength of selection at low and high population densities. Social effects of neighbours on the date of 18 spring breeding were different from zero at high population densities but not at low population densities. Indirect phenotypic effects accounted for a larger amount of variation in the date of 19 20 breeding than differences attributable to the among-individual variance, suggesting social 21 interactions are important for determining breeding dates. The genetic component to these indirect 22 effects was however not statistically significant. We therefore showcase a powerful and flexible 23 method that will allow researchers working in organisms with a range of social systems to estimate 24 indirect phenotypic and genetic effects, and demonstrate the degree to which social interactions can 25 influence phenotypes, even in a solitary species.

26 Key words: evolution, indirect genetic effects, selection, social interactions, Tamiasciurus

27

28 Introduction

- 30 An individual's phenotype is influenced by both its genotype and the environment it experiences. As
- organisms mate, compete and cooperate with conspecifics (Frank 2007), an important component of
- 32 the environment they experience is social, and partly made up of the phenotypes of other

33 individuals. This can allow an individual to influence others, and if their influence is partly genetic-34 based, then a portion of any organism's phenotype will be influenced by the genes of those with 35 whom it interacts (Griffing 1967). These are known as indirect genetic effects ("IGEs"), of which maternal genetic effects are the best known example (Moore et al. 1997; Wolf et al. 1998; McAdam 36 37 et al. 2014). With maternal genetic effects, the genes of a mother influence the traits of her offspring 38 beyond those directly inherited (e.g. a mammal's genes affecting milk production may influence the 39 growth rate of her offspring; Koch 1972; María et al. 1993). In the same way, the genes affecting the 40 aggression level of an individual (so a direct genetic effect, "DGE") may influence the aggression of 41 any rival in a dominance contest (an IGE, e.g.: Wilson et al. 2009). Additionally, when competing for 42 limited resources, the genes for resource acquisition of one individual are expected to negatively influence the resource acquisition, and so resource dependent traits, of individuals with which it 43 44 competes (Wilson 2014). Therefore, IGEs can be expected in almost any system where conspecifics 45 interact with each other (McAdam et al. 2014).

46 Indirect genetic effects contribute additional heritable variation within a population 47 alongside DGEs (Moore et al. 1997; Bijma and Wade 2008). Similar to a genetic correlation between 48 any two traits (Lande 1979; Kirkpatrick 2009), an individual's own phenotype for some focal trait and 49 its indirect effect on that trait expressed by neighbours can be genetically correlated (a DGE-IGE 50 correlation). When IGEs are positively correlated with DGEs, such as the example with aggression 51 above, IGEs can enhance that trait's response to directional selection. This happens because the 52 standard response of the focal trait to selection results in a correlated evolutionary change in the 53 social environment. This in turn causes further change in focal trait mean - in the same direction -54 through a plastic response to the social environment (Moore et al. 1997).

55 Conversely, if IGEs are negatively correlated with DGEs then the population response to selection can be reduced, removed, or even reversed (Bijma and Wade 2008; Wilson 2014). Negative 56 57 correlations are expected for focal traits that are themselves dependent on the outcome of 58 competition for limited resources (Wilson 2014). For instance, Wade (1976) observed a decrease in 59 mean reproductive output across generations in flour beetles (Tribolium castaneum) that were 60 under individual selection for increased reproduction. This was presumably due to a negative IGE-61 DGE correlation that caused each subsequent generation to be composed of individuals that more 62 strongly suppressed the reproduction of others through competitive interactions. Similarly, Costa e Silva et al. (2013) observed a strong negative DGE-IGE covariance for diameter at breast height in 63 64 eucalyptus trees (*Eucalyptus globulus*). This meant that, despite tree growth rates being heritable in the traditional sense (i.e. subject to DGEs), the total heritable variation in the population was near 65 66 zero, preventing a response to selection. Estimates of DGEs alone might, therefore, provide a poor

67 measure of the potential for a trait to respond to natural selection, yet most estimates of response 68 to selection or evolvability in the wild only consider DGEs (Houle 1992). More specifically, to the 69 extent to which resources are limited in nature, we might expect DGEs to consistently overestimate 70 the adaptive potential of resource-dependent traits because of negatively covarying IGEs (Wilson 71 2014). As such, IGEs arising from competition represent one possible explanation for the "paradox of 72 stasis", in which natural selection on heritable traits often leads to stasis rather than evolutionary 73 change (Merilä et al. 2001), yet IGEs are very rarely quantified in the wild.

74 To date, empirical studies of IGEs in animals have focused on scenarios in which within 75 group interactions can be considered (approximately) uniform, and among-group interactions are 76 absent. This allows IGEs to be estimated from the covariance between phenotypes of group mates, 77 provided pedigree data spanning groups are available (Bijma 2010a). This approach is well suited to 78 dyadic interactions, but also to larger discrete groups (n > 2) of captive animals, where all individuals 79 within a pen are assumed to interact equally, but no interactions occur between individuals in 80 different pens. It has now been applied in a variety of taxa, such as mussels (Mytilus 81 galloprovincialis; Brichette et al. 2001), flour beetles (T. castaneum; Ellen et al. 2016), Nile tilapia 82 (Oreochromis niloticus; Khaw et al. 2016), domesticated chickens (Gallus domesticus; Muir 2005; 83 Brinker et al. 2015) mink (Neovison vison; Alemu et al. 2014), and domestic rabbits (Oryctolagus 84 cuniculus; Piles et al. 2017). This work has helped establish the importance of IGEs for trait evolution 85 (see: Ellen et al. 2014, for a review in livestock), and has led to growing interest in studying IGEs in

87 Studies of IGEs in free-living animal populations, however, have thus far been confined to 88 dyadic interactions. For example, Wilson et al. (2011) demonstrated that the tendency to win one-89 on-one fights in wild red deer (Cervus elaphus) is subject to both DGEs and IGEs that are perfectly 90 negatively correlated, resulting in a total heritable variation of zero. This reconciles quantitative 91 genetic predictions with a common sense approach that sees that the tendency to win cannot evolve 92 at the population level, as each contest must always have one winner and one loser (see also: Wilson 93 et al. 2009; Sartori and Mantovani 2013). Other estimates for IGEs have focused on maternal genetic 94 effects (McAdam and Boutin 2004; McFarlane et al. 2015) or influences of male partner on female 95 bird laying dates (Brommer and Rattiste 2008; Caro et al. 2009; Teplitsky et al. 2010; Liedvogel et al. 96 2012; Germain et al. 2016). Studies on social interactions in groups of wild animals larger than two 97 are, however, absent.

86

wild populations.

98 For social processes in wild populations it is often problematic to identify and define discrete 99 groups when individuals interact with multiple individuals but not all at equal intensity. Some 100 interactions are frequent or strong while other interactions are brief or weak, and interactions may

101 be indirect e.g. competition for resources (Lusseau et al. 2003; Croft et al. 2004, 2008). Organisms 102 interacting in larger groups may have generally weaker indirect effects on each of their group mates, 103 as a consequence of their phenotype being "diluted" among more group members (Muir 2005; 104 Hadfield and Wilson 2007; Bijma 2010b). However, within a continuous population (i.e. one in which 105 distinct groups cannot be identified) the net effect of one individual on the phenotype of any other 106 may depend on distance or other factors (e.g. time associating) that mediate interaction intensity or 107 frequency (Muir 2005; Cappa and Cantet 2008). To model these situations, variation in interaction 108 strengths can be incorporated as "dilution" or "intensity of competition" factors in IGE models (Muir 109 2005; Cappa and Cantet 2008; Bijma 2010b). Here we refer to "intensity of association" factors, 110 since social interactions are not always competitive. In eucalyptus trees the inverse of the distance 111 between pairs of trees was used as the intensity of association factors to estimate DGEs, IGEs, and 112 their covariance, on growth traits in (Costa e Silva et al. 2013, 2017). The important premise here is that each focal individual has a potential indirect genetic effect on the phenotype of all its social 113 114 partners, but the degree to which each partner experiences that effect depends on its distance from the focal individual. Incorporating intensity of association factors should be equally useful for animal 115 focused IGE models, as this allows us to account for animals interacting with multiple different 116 117 individuals, in groups of varying sizes, and with different individuals at different strengths; a realistic 118 representation of social interactions in the natural world (Fisher and McAdam 2017).

119 Here we used intensity of association factors to model IGEs amongst multiple neighbours for 120 the first time in a wild animal (but see Formica et al. 2011 and; Farine and Sheldon 2015 who 121 weighted interacting phenotypes by distance to estimate social selection). We applied this 122 framework to a population of North American red squirrels (Tamiasciurus hudsonicus, hereafter "red 123 squirrels") that have been continuously studied since 1987. We looked at a resource-dependent, but also heritable ($h^2 = 0.14$; Lane et al. 2018) life-history trait: parturition date (the date in the spring on 124 125 which a female squirrel gives birth to a litter; Réale et al. 2003; Boutin et al. 2006; Kerr et al. 2007; 126 Lane et al. 2018), which could depend on competition for limited resources and, therefore, 127 incorporate IGEs. Red squirrels of both sexes in this population live on individual exclusive territories 128 based around a central cache of white spruce (Picea glauca) cones called a "midden". The seeds 129 from stored spruce cones represents their main food source during reproduction in the spring 130 (Fletcher et al. 2013a). Individuals make territorial calls ("rattles") to delineate territory boundaries (Lair 1990) and deter intruders (Siracusa et al. 2017) from stealing cached resources (Gerhardt 2005; 131 132 Donald and Boutin 2011). Previous analyses have shown that selection favours earlier parturition 133 dates (Réale et al. 2003), while a food supplementation experiment advanced the timing of spring 134 breeding (Kerr et al. 2007). Note, however, that females can upregulate reproduction prior to a

resource pulse (Boutin et al. 2006), and so typically are reproducing below capacity (Boutin et al.

- 136 2013). Therefore, although reproduction is food dependent, they can still increase reproductive
- 137 output if necessary (see also: Dantzer et al. 2013). Still, if neighbours compete for food resources, we
- 138 expect superior competitors to have access to more food and breed earlier. Conversely,
- 139 competitively inferior individuals are expected to acquire less food and so breed later.

Population density is a key demographic parameter that we expect will influence the magnitude of IGEs. Selection on birth dates is particularly strong in years of high density (Williams et al. 2014; Fisher et al. 2017; although not found in Dantzer et al. 2013). Furthermore, red squirrels respond behaviourally to both real and perceived increases in density (Dantzer et al. 2012), while mothers adaptively increase the growth rates of their offspring under high density conditions (Dantzer et al. 2013). Taken together, these findings are consistent with the expectation that, all else

- being equal, high density means increased competition.
- 147

148 In light of the above, we had the following predictions:

- We expected individuals to have indirect effects on the parturition dates of their neighbours,
 and that the covariance between direct and indirect effects would be negative. That is,
 superior competitors will both breed earlier and cause their neighbours to breed later
 (following Costa e Silva et al. 2013; see also: Piles et al. 2017).
- Parturition dates depend on resource acquisition and possess direct genetic variance, so we
 expected the indirect effects to possess genetic variance (i.e. to be an IGE; McAdam et al.
 2014; Wilson 2014).
- 156 3) Increased competition in years of high density should result in stronger indirect effects in
 157 high-density years, both in the magnitude of the indirect effects and the strength of the
 158 negative covariance (Fisher and McAdam 2019).
- 159
- 160

161 Methods

162

163 Data collection

164 All data were collected as part of the Kluane Red Squirrel Project (KRSP) in the southwest Yukon,

165 Canada. Since 1987 we have monitored two adjacent and unmanipulated 40 ha. study areas ("Kloo"

- and "Sulphur"), bisected by the Alaska highway. Red squirrels of both sexes defend exclusive
- resource-based territories of around 0.3 ha (LaMontagne et al. 2013), centred around a midden, an
- aggregation of discarded white spruce cone scales underneath which red squirrels cache intact white

169 spruce cones. Each study area is staked at 30m intervals in a grid system and we recorded the x- and 170 y-coordinates of the centre of each midden (to the nearest tenth of a coordinate point, giving 171 distances to the nearest 3m). In the spring of each year we live trapped (Tomahawk Live Trap, 172 Tomahawk, WI, USA) new individuals and gave them unique ear tags in each ear. We also located 173 females (based on vocalizations at known and new territory locations), monitored them for signs of 174 pregnancy and ear tagged their pups once they were born. Based on the previously identified stages 175 of female pregnancy and the body mass of the pups once they were located, we then estimated the 176 female's parturition date. We analyse this date as the number of days since the 1st January in the 177 calendar year. We also conducted censuses twice yearly (once in spring, once in autumn) using 178 complete enumeration to ascertain the location of all individuals holding a territory, and so estimate 179 population density. See McAdam et al. (2007) for further details on the study system.

180 Red squirrels collect food throughout the summer and autumn, cache it in their middens and rely on it to survive over winter (Fletcher et al. 2013a). The number of cached cones is positively 181 182 associated with overwinter survival (juveniles: Larivée et al. 2010; juveniles and adults: LaMontagne 183 et al. 2013). Squirrels primarily forage close to their midden, with occasional forays further afield, 184 including small amounts of theft from other red squirrels' hoards (Donald and Boutin 2011). We 185 define the individuals a red squirrel potentially competes with as its *n* nearest neighbours (*n* was set 186 at 6 for the majority of this analysis, but see below for explorations with different numbers of 187 neighbours). We defined neighbourhoods and population densities based on our autumn census 188 (August) rather than our spring census (May), because autumn is when squirrels are potentially 189 competing for resources to hoard, and conception occurs well before May in most years. Gestation 190 varies little around 35 days (Lair 2014), hence parturition dates cannot be influenced by conditions 191 after conception. Squirrels occasionally defend a second adjacent midden, but as they rarely store 192 food in secondary middens we considered each squirrel's location to be the location of its primary 193 midden. We then analysed each female's parturition date the following spring as influenced by her 194 own genes (the DGE), and the identities and genotypes (the IGE) of those competing individuals as 195 identified in the autumn census. Some females gave birth in multiple years, in which case they were 196 included each year they did so, with an updated set of nearest neighbours as necessary. Females 197 may attempt multiple litters in years of high resources, or if their first litter fails (Boutin et al. 2006; 198 McAdam et al. 2007; Williams et al. 2014), but we limited our analyses to each female's first litter of 199 each year (e.g. Dantzer et al. 2013).

We tagged pups while they were still on their mother's territory, so maternity was known
for all non-immigrants. Male red squirrels provide no parental care. From 2003 onwards, paternities
were, therefore, assigned by collecting tissues samples from the ears of adults and neonatal pups.

203 We used these tissue samples to genotype all adults and pups since 2003 at 16 microsatellites (Gunn 204 et al. 2005) analysed with 99% confidence using CERVUS 3.0 (Kalinowski et al. 2007; see Lane et al. 205 2007, 2008 for further details). This method gives an estimated error rate of paternities, based on 206 mismatches between known mother-offspring pairs, of around 2% (Lane et al. 2008), which we 207 consider acceptable. Approximately 90% of yearly pups are assigned paternities with known males 208 while the remaining 10% are analysed further in Colony 2.0 (Jones and Wang 2010) to determine 209 whether they might still be full or half siblings from unknown sires using 95% confidence in 210 maximum likelihoods.

211

212 Data analysis

Data on the locations of squirrel territories were available from the autumns in 1991-2015, and so we looked at parturition dates in the following springs (i.e. 1992-2016). All squirrels identified as holding a territory in an autumn census were included in this analysis, including females that did not attempt a litter in the following spring, and males. These individuals had missing values entered for their parturition dates. As all males have missing values, we did not include an effect of sex. Their inclusion was nonetheless necessary as they acted as potential competitors during the autumn for those squirrels that did have a litter.

220 We initially fitted two mixed-effects linear models to our data, the first to estimate indirect 221 effects (the "phenotypic model"), and second to split these indirect effects into genetic and nongenetic components (the "genetic model"). All models we fitted in the software "ASReml" ver 4.1; 222 223 (Gilmour et al. 2015). We divided raw parturition dates by the standard deviation of all observations, 224 giving a sample with a variance of 1, making the variance components easier to interpret (Schielzeth 225 2010). In each model we included the fixed effects of study area (a two-level factor), year (to test for a continuous linear trend), whether or not the spruce trees "masted" (produced a super-abundance 226 227 of cones; Silvertown 1980; Kelly 1994; LaMontagne and Boutin 2007) in the year of the autumn 228 census (a two-level factor), age and age² of the squirrel, and the separate random effects of year and 229 squirrel identity, to account for repeated measures within each year and within each squirrel 230 respectively. If the age of the squirrel was not known, the mean age of all other squirrels in that 231 breeding season was entered. Estimating the squirrel identity random effect allowed the calculation 232 of the (conditional) repeatability of individual squirrel parturition dates (Nakagawa and Schielzeth 2010). Additionally, while we predicted a negative covariance between neighbours due to 233 234 competition for resources (especially during high-density conditions), this could be masked by 235 positive spatial autocorrelation in resource availability within a study-area. This would generate a 236 net signal of positive phenotypic covariance among-neighbours (Stopher et al. 2012; Regan et al.

2016; Thomson et al. 2018). To avoid this, we fitted a term (hereafter referred to as the "square
term" or differences among "squares") to control for spatial auto-correlation (see Supplementary
materials for details, and Table S1 for results of varying the scale at which we modelled this).

240 To estimate indirect effects, we added the identities of the six nearest squirrels as six 241 random effects (see below for our explorations of other possible neighbourhood sizes). However, 242 unlike most mixed effect models, these six random effects were assumed to come from the same 243 distribution, with a mean of zero and a single variance which we estimated. This allowed us to 244 estimate a single indirect phenotypic effect, and the covariance between this term and the direct 245 effect of squirrel identity. We based "nearest" on location of the primary midden during the autumn census. We associated each neighbour () of each focal individual () with variable intensity of 246 247 association factors (f_{ii}) . This allowed the indirect effect of each neighbour *j* actually experienced by 248 *i* to be mediated by their spatial proximity, with $f_{ij} = 1/(1 + \text{distance})$, where distance was the 249 Euclidean distance between the center of individuals' territories, measured in units of 30m. This 250 value is bounded between 0 and 1, with low values representing individuals that were far apart and high values representing individual that were close. We used the inverse of distance here, but any 251 252 biologically relevant measure representing intensity of social interaction could be used (Fisher and 253 McAdam 2017). To weight the strength of the indirect effects, we replaced all 1s in the indirect 254 effect design matrix with these terms (Muir 2005; Cappa and Cantet 2008). These terms link and 255 scale indirect effects of individuals with the phenotypes of the focal individuals. All individuals 256 farther than the 6 nearest neighbours were not modelled as having an indirect effect (but see 257 below). The phenotypic model therefore used the following form, with a population mean accounting for the fixed effects for $i(\mu_{Fi})$, a direct phenotypic effect (P_{Di}) and a total indirect 258 259 influence arising from the sum of competitor specific indirect effects (P_{Si}) for the 6 nearest 260 neighbours. Note, a single variance for the indirect effect is estimated, from a distribution made up of all competitor effects (see above). Additionally, there are multiple measures per squirrel across 261 262 years, hence we include the random effect for the year $t(K_t)$. Our model predicts a parturition date for the *i*th individual in a given year (y_{it}) and so the residual term is specific to an individual in a year 263 264 (e_{it}).

265

266

$$y_{it} = \mu_{Fi} + P_{Di} + \sum_{i \neq j}^{n} f_{ij}(P_{Sj}) + K_t + e_{it}$$

267

This phenotypic model estimated the variance among squirrels in their parturition dates, the consistent variance in parturition dates associated with the identity of the neighbour, and the covariance within-individuals between their own parturition date and how they influence their neighbours (*Cov(P_{Di}, P_{Si})*). For our genetic model, we split these phenotypic effects into additive
genetic and permanent environment effects (consistent differences among-individuals not due to
additive genetic differences) by the incorporation of a pedigree (Kruuk 2004; Wilson et al. 2010). We
estimated the DGEs and IGEs on parturition dates, their covariance, and the equivalent terms for the
permanent environmental effects:

- 276
- 277 278

$$y_{it} = \mu_{Fi} + A_{Di} + PE_{Di} + \sum_{i \neq j}^{n} f_{ij} (A_{Sj} + E_{Sj}) + K_t + e_{it}$$

279 Where individual *I*'s parturition date in year *t*, is comprised of the fixed effect mean, a direct additive 280 genetic effect (A_{Di}) , a direct permanent environmental effect (PE_{Di}) , both the additive genetic (A_{Sj}) 281 and non-additive genetic (E_{Sj}) indirect effects of all the *n* neighbours (*j*) that *i* interacts with, a year 282 term (K_t) , and an individual by year specific residual term (e_{it}) .

283 This model has not been applied to wild animals before, and we fully acknowledge that our 284 choice to consider only the 6 nearest neighbours here is somewhat arbitrary, as indeed is the scaling of f_{ij} . Therefore, we also explored different numbers of neighbours, and different methods for 285 286 defining our f_{ij} terms. We then monitored how this influenced the estimates of the variance parameters, to determine whether the model was particularly sensitive to altering these factors (see 287 288 also: Costa e Silva *et al.* 2017). We present results using $f_{ij} = 1/(1 + \text{distance}^2)$ in the supplementary materials (Table S1). In the supplementary materials we also present results where we defined the 289 290 competitors as all those within 60, 130 or 200 metres, without weighting by distance, up to 24 291 competitors (Table S1), and investigations with varying numbers of neighbours 1-5, 9, 12, 15, 18 & 292 24; Table S2). Neither changing the number of neighbours nor rescaling intensity of association 293 terms changed the number of model parameters estimated (either a single indirect phenotypic 294 effect, or both genetic and permanent environmental indirect effects, and their respective 295 covariances). Therefore, information criteria-based approaches for comparing model fits were not 296 appropriate as biological complexity (e.g. number of neighbours) changed but the degree of 297 penalisation did not (i.e. still one neighbour variance estimated). Additionally, we were primarily 298 interested in our ability to estimate, and the magnitude and significance of, certain parameters (our 299 indirect effects), hence finding the most parsimonious model of parturition date was not a goal of 300 ours. Instead we simply assessed the change in variance components, noting the size of the parameter estimates and size of the standard errors. We focus on the results with the 6 closest 301 302 neighbours, as this seemed the median result among the variations we tried. Using the inverse of distance² squared led to a large increase in the standard errors of the DGE estimate, which only 303 304 occurred in this model, hence we considered simply the inverse of distance as more appropriate.

Nevertheless, we direct readers to the supplementary material to view the range of possible resultsdepending on the model specification.

307 We tested the significance of the direct-indirect phenotypic covariance in the phenotypic 308 model using a likelihood ratio-test (LRT) between a model with the covariance freely estimated and 309 one with it fixed to zero, and tested the significance of the indirect phenotypic effect using a LRT 310 between the model with the indirect effect (and a zero covariance) and a model without it. With the genetic model, we tested the significance of the DGE-IGE covariance, and the IGE variance, in the 311 312 same way, in models that still estimated the full direct-indirect phenotypic covariance matrix. We 313 assumed the LRT statistic was distributed as a 50:50 mixture of χ^2_1 and χ^2_0 when testing single variance components (following Self and Liang 1987) but as χ^2_1 when testing covariances. We report 314 315 correlations, although if the variance of either the direct or indirect effect was very small (<0.0001), 316 then we assumed it was essentially zero, and so then we report the correlation as "undefined". Although they were not directly relevant to the biological hypotheses being tested, the statistical 317 318 significance of the fixed effects in the genetic model was tested using conditional Wald tests (see: 319 Gilmour et al. 2015). This approach to testing the significance of fixed effects in mixed linear models 320 performs well in situations with limited sample sizes (Kenward and Roger 1997). We then calculated 321 partial R² for each fixed effect, following Edwards et al. (2008), using the residual degrees of freedom 322 as calculated by ASReml (1174 for the genetic model).

323

324 Influence of population density on indirect effects

325 We consider population density during the resource caching period to be key to resource 326 acquisition. Consequently, for any given year of parturition the relevant measure of density was 327 obtained from the census in the autumn of the year prior to parturition, i.e. at the same time as 328 when the territory ownership was defined. As the study area has grown marginally since the start of 329 the project, we restricted counts to individuals holding a territory within a defined 38ha area that 330 has been constant throughout the entire study period. Across both study areas in all years the median population density in the autumn was 1.69 squirrels ha⁻¹ (Fig. 1). We, therefore, labelled 331 332 each study area within each year with a density higher than this as "high density" (1994, 1998-2000, 333 2006 and 2015 for both study areas, 1991-1993, 1995-1997, 2001 and 2002 for Sulphur only and 334 2011-2014 for Kloo only), and so the remainder as "low density" There were, therefore, 26 instances of low density conditions, and 24 instances of high density conditions. There are several instances of 335 336 study areas having exactly the median density, hence why there are more low- than high-density 337 conditions.

338 For both the phenotypic and the genetic models, we fitted an interaction between population density (low or high) and each random effect. This gave us separate density-specific 339 340 estimates of each of the variances (DGEs, IGES, and non-genetic versions) and covariances, the among-year variances and the among-square variances for low- and high-density study areas. To 341 342 obtain stable model convergence in the genetic model, we were required to fix the direct permanent 343 environment effect in low-density years to 0.1×10^{-4} , but since this term was estimated to be very 344 small in the model across all years, this is likely not problematic. There was a single residual variance 345 in each model. We also included density as two-level factor in the fixed effects, and an interaction 346 between this term and each of the other fixed effects, to allow them to vary between low- and high-347 density conditions. We tested for significance of indirect effects in both low- and high-density 348 conditions in the same way as for the full models. When testing the significance of terms for low-349 density, we maintained the full model structure (e.g. IGEs and their covariance with DGEs, and the 350 equivalent permanent environmental effects in the genetic model) for high-density conditions, and 351 vice versa for when testing the significance of terms for low-density.

352

353 Calculating total variance parameters

354 When traits are influence by social effects, their total variance (both phenotypic among-individual 355 variance and genetic variance) is not only defined by direct effects. How individuals' influence those 356 they interact with, and how this social effect covaries with their own trait values, must be 357 incorporated. These composite values represent how much individuals vary in their effect (both direct and indirect) on trait values, and how much genetic variance (including direct and indirect 358 359 effects) there is for selection to act on (Bijma 2011). To this end, we estimated the total variance in individuals' phenotypic effects on the population mean parturition date ($\hat{\sigma}_{PE}^2$, incorporating both 360 361 consistent direct and indirect phenotypic effects; for the phenotypic model), and the total variance in individuals' heritable influence on the population mean parturition date ($\hat{\sigma}_{H}^{2}$; for the genetic 362 model, commonly referred to as the "total heritable variance"). Following Bijma (2011) and Costa e 363 364 Silva et al. (2013) these are:

365

$$\hat{\sigma}_{PE}^2 = V_{PD} + 2n\overline{f_{ij}}Cov(P_D, P_I) + (n\overline{f_{ij}})^2 V_{PI}$$
3

367

$$\hat{\sigma}_{H}^{2} = V_{AD} + 2n\overline{f_{IJ}} Cov(A_{D}, A_{I}) + (n\overline{f_{IJ}})^{2} V_{AI}$$

$$4$$

369

368

Where *n* is the number of neighbours (excluding the focal individual, so 6), $\overline{f_{ij}}$ is the mean intensity of association factor, V_{PD} and V_{AD} are the direct phenotypic and additive genetic variances respectively, $Cov(P_D, P_I)$ and $Cov(A_D, A_I)$ are the phenotypic and genetic direct-indirect covariances respectively, and V_{PI} and V_{AI} are the indirect phenotypic and additive genetic variances respectively. The $\overline{f_{IJ}}$ was calculated as 0.330 across the whole dataset, 0.298 at low densities and 0.352 at high densities, which means a squirrel's 6 nearest neighbours were on average, 60.9m, 70.7m and 55.2m from it across the whole dataset, at low densities, or at high densities respectively. Note that $\hat{\sigma}_{H}^{2}$, unlike traditional heritability, can exceed 1; see Bijma (2011) for the mathematical demonstration of this, and Ellen *et al.* (2014) for empirical examples in livestock.

379

380 Results

381

382 In total, 1862 unique red squirrels were recorded a total of 4362 times in autumn censuses as 383 holding territories, and so were included in the analysis. There were 555 unique females that had at 384 least one litter, with a mean of 2.1 (range = 1-8, standard deviation = 1.3) recorded parturition dates 385 each. The median date of first litters was 23rd April, with interquartile ranges of 6th April to 11th 386 May. There were 364 females that had no recorded parturition dates, and 943 males. 1196 squirrels 387 had a known mother, and 498 had a known father, with 481 of those having both parents known. 388 Parturition dates differed greatly among years and less so among squares, with variance 389 among years accounting for 32.0% of the variance in the genetic model, while variance among 390 squares accounted for 4.0% of the total variance (all variance component estimates are shown in 391 Table 1, with fixed effect estimates shown in Table 2). While there was no linear trend across years, 392 parturition dates were significantly earlier following mast years by approximately 40 days. 393 Alongside these environmental effects, individuals showed some degree of consistency in 394 their parturition dates, with the direct variance among-individuals in parturition date in the phenotypic model accounting for 3.8% of the phenotypic variance. Indirect phenotypic effects of 395 neighbours were significant (V_{PI} = 0.076, LRT, $\chi^2_{0,1}$ = 13.755, p < 0.001), but the covariance between 396 the direct and indirect phenotypic effects was not (cor = -0.094, LRT, χ^2_1 = 0.111, p = 0.739), 397 398 indicating that individuals that give birth earlier do not influence their neighbours in any particular 399 direction relative to their own parturition date. Individuals' consistent differences in their own 400 phenotypes and consistent effects on neighbours ($\hat{\sigma}_{PE}^2$ from eq. 3) was calculated as 31.4% of the 401 phenotypic variation, much larger because each individual affects multiple neighbours and indicating 402 that social effects account for a large amount of the variation in parturition date. Alongside this 403 consistency, individuals showed a degree of plasticity, with older squirrels having earlier parturition 404 dates, while the positive quadratic effect indicates a nonlinear effect of age in which squirrels began 405 to breed later at older ages.

406 Parturition date showed direct heritability, with V_{AD} in the genetic model accounting for 407 4.8% of the phenotypic variance (note this differs from previous estimates of h^2 for this trait in this 408 system as here we include the among-year variation and the variance attributable to fixed effects in 409 V_P , see also Wilson (2008)). The estimate for the IGEs was not different from zero (V_{AI} < 0.001, LRT, $\chi^{2}_{0,1}$ = 0.003, p = 0.480), as was the DGE-IGE covariance (cor = undefined, LRT, χ^{2}_{1} = 0.119, p = 0.729). 410 411 We calculated the total heritable variance of parturition date, $\hat{\sigma}_{H}^{2}$ from eq. 4, as 6.8%, a modest increase over V_{AD}. The very small DGE-IGE covariance indicated that genotypes for early parturition 412 413 dates did not affect their neighbours in any consistent direction relative to their own parturition 414 date.

415

416 Low vs high density comparison

In low density conditions, both the variance in indirect phenotypic effects ($V_{PI} = 0.031$, LRT, $\chi^2_{0,1} = 0.808$, p = 0.184) and the direct-indirect phenotypic covariance (cor = 0.737, LRT, $\chi^2_1 = 0.1.206$, p = 0.272) were not significantly different from zero. At high densities there were significant phenotypic indirect effects ($V_{PI} = 0.078$, LRT, $\chi^2_{0,1} = 9.523$, p = 0.001), although the covariance was not different from zero (cor = -0.023, LRT, $\chi^2_1 = 0.004$, p = 0.952). We note here that size of the standard errors suggests that the indirect phenotypic effects at low and high densities are not different from each other, but we did not test this formally.

424 Given that we detected no phenotypic indirect effects in low-density conditions, it is unsurprising that the IGEs ($V_{AI} < 0.001$, LRT, $\chi^2_{0,1} = 0.000$, p = 0.500) and the DGE-IGE covariance in 425 426 these conditions were also not different from zero (cor = undefined, LRT, χ^2_1 = 0.566, p = 0.452). For 427 high densities, IGEs were considerably stronger than across the whole dataset, and more than one 428 standard error from zero, although still not significantly different from zero (V_{AI} = 0.038, LRT, $\chi^2_{0,1}$ = 0.607, p = 0.218). The covariance between DGEs and IGEs was negative but not different from zero 429 (cor = -0.401, LRT, χ^2_1 = 0.688, p = 0.407). Although we reiterate that neither covariance was 430 statistically significant, based on our parameter estimates in low-density conditions $\hat{\sigma}_{H}^{2}$ was 14.3%, 431 which was higher than V_{AD}, as this calculation includes the positive DGE-IGE covariance estimate 432 433 (despite the lack of variance in IGEs rendering the correlation undefined). In high-density conditions 434 $\hat{\sigma}_{H}^{2}$ was 14.2%, much higher than with direct genetic effects alone due to the additional genetic variance from IGEs. We stress that, as the estimates for the IGEs and their covariances with the DGEs 435 were not significantly different from zero, the estimates of $\hat{\sigma}_{H}^{2}$ should be interpreted with caution. 436 437 Variation attributable to spatial location accounted for 4.2% of the variation in parturition 438 dates in low-density, and 3.1% in high-density conditions (from the genetic model split between low 439 and high densities). Finally, there was also substantial among-year variance in both conditions,

440 accounting for 32.2% and 38.4% for the observed variance in low and high-density conditions

respectively. We present estimates for fixed effects at low and high densities from the genetic model

in the supplemental materials (Table S3); for the calculation of partial R²s, we calculated the residual

443 degrees of freedom to be 1169.

- 444
- 445

446 Discussion

447

448 Indirect effects are present and change with population density

449 Red squirrels live in territories surrounded by conspecifics, with whom they engage in social 450 interactions through vocalizations, competition for resources, and mating interactions. Our analyses 451 show that these interactions can lead to substantial indirect effects on female squirrel reproductive traits. These are detected here as a repeatable influence of competitor identity on the parturition 452 453 date of focal individuals - which accounted for a much greater amount of variation in parturition 454 date than direct effects of individual identity alone. Our results also suggest that these indirect 455 effects are significant determinants of focal phenotypes at high densities, but they are not at low 456 densities. Specifically, at high densities, there is significant variation in the extent to which squirrels 457 influence each other's parturition dates, but this is not the case at low densities.

458 The social effects on parturition date we documented indicate that much more of an 459 individual's phenotype is under the control of those it socially interacts with than is determined by its own identity ($\hat{\sigma}_{PE}^2$ was large compared to within-individual repeatability), even in a solitary and 460 461 territorial species. Work on eucalyptus trees (Costa e Silva et al. 2013) implicated competition for limited resources as the source of indirect effects, and our results are broadly consistent with this 462 463 idea. Highly competitive red squirrels may acquire larger amounts of resources from the 464 environment, leaving less for other individuals. Earlier studies have shown that red squirrel females 465 may be food limited to some degree, aside from in years following a mast event. For example, earlier parturition dates and lower levels of oxidative protein damage and higher levels of antioxidants 466 467 were found when food was supplemented (Kerr et al. 2007; Fletcher et al. 2013b; Williams et al. 468 2014), and individuals are more likely to survive over winter with a larger food cache (Larivée et al. 469 2010; LaMontagne et al. 2013), suggesting that not all individuals have enough stored food. 470 However, female squirrels appear to reproduce below capacity in non-mast years, and upregulate 471 their reproduction before pulsed resources are available (Boutin et al. 2006, 2013), and so they are 472 likely not completely food-limited. The additional insight from the current study is that, for focal

individuals, competitive effects on phenotype depend not simply on high density, but also on the
identities – and so phenotypes - of their nearest neighbours.

475 Our analysis did not explore the specific mechanism (or trait(s)) that mediate indirect 476 phenotypic effects from competition, hence we have not confirmed that red squirrels are competing 477 for limited food resources, although this explanation seems likely. While direct physical interactions 478 are rare (Dantzer et al. 2012) and thus an unlikely mechanism, red squirrels might instead influence 479 each other's parturition dates through acoustic territorial interactions. Red squirrels give territorial 480 calls ("rattles"), to which neighbours behaviourally respond (Shonfield et al. 2012; Wilson et al. 481 2015) and which function to maintain their territory from conspecifics (Smith 1978; Lair 1990; 482 Siracusa et al. 2017). Additionally, red squirrels rattle more when they have a higher local population 483 density (Dantzer et al., 2012; Shonfield et al. 2012), while red squirrel mothers increase the growth 484 rate of their pups when playback of territorial vocalizations leads to the perception of higher local 485 population density (Dantzer et al. 2013). This is through upregulation of maternal glucocorticoids 486 (Dantzer et al. 2013), part of the stress axis. Other life history traits, such as parturition date, may be 487 influenced by rattles at high densities, allowing individuals to influence each other's parturition 488 dates. Therefore, acoustic interactions among-neighbours, which enable neighbours to influence 489 each other's reproduction, may be a source of indirect effects, particularly in high-density 490 conditions.

491

492 Indirect effects with a limited heritable basis

While our analyses provide statistical support for considerable indirect effects of competitors on a
focal individual's parturition date, we did not conclusively demonstrate that these indirect effects
were underpinned by genetic variation. Estimated effect sizes were larger at high densities, in line
with our predictions and the phenotypic effects, but standard errors remained quite wide.
Therefore, while the point estimates of predicted change indicate IGEs are potentially strong enough
to make a meaningful difference to evolutionary dynamics, they were estimated with high

499 uncertainty so should be interpreted with caution.

500 Previous work on livestock has shown that IGEs negatively correlated with DGEs can reduce 501 or even reverse the expected response to selection (Costa e Silva et al. 2013; Muir et al. 2013; Ellen 502 et al. 2014). The evolutionary stasis of heritable traits under directional selection is a well-known 503 observation in need of an explanation in the study of trait evolution in wild populations (Merilä et al. 504 2001; Kokko et al. 2017; Pujol et al. 2018). The negative DGE-IGE covariance found here at high 505 densities would counteract selection responses (compared to a DGE-only scenario) and so reduce 506 evolutionary change. Whether this is a general explanation for evolutionary stasis remains to be explored (Wilson 2014). In our study population, despite phenotypic selection on parturition dates
(which as noted above are heritable), we have observed no evolution in this trait over 20 years (Lane
et al. 2018). However, Lane et al (2018) found that the association between parturition date and
fitness was entirely a residual correlation, rather than a genetic one, so no alternative explanation
for evolutionary stasis (such as IGEs) is required.

512 If IGEs are not different from zero and so all social effects are solely phenotypic, then the 513 expected response to selection will not differ from that predicted by the breeder's equation (Bijma 514 and Wade 2008). We note that the non-significance of our IGE variance estimates may have been 515 driven by a high degree of uncertainty (large standard errors), rather than the magnitude of the 516 effect, as in high density years the V_{AI} was quite close in absolute size to V_{AD} , and their contribution 517 to total heritable variance was large. By demonstrating this possibly important but uncertain effect, 518 we hope to stimulate others to estimate more precisely these parameters, and so help the field 519 achieve a general understanding of their importance.

520

521 Altering competition indices and neighbourhood size

522 Varying the intensity of association factors (i.e. how strongly we weighted neighbours at different 523 distances) and the size of the neighbourhood did alter the balance between the estimated direct and 524 indirect effects, as well as estimated relative contribution of genetic and environmental influences 525 (see Tables S1-2 in the supplementary materials). Weighting the closest individuals more strongly, by only including the 1-3 nearest neighbours, or using the inverse of distance or distance², or by only 526 527 including individuals within 60 m, gave similar results. In all these versions, the variance arising from 528 DGEs increased marginally compared to the model where all neighbours were weighted equally. This effect was more pronounced when using the inverse of distance² to define the intensity of 529 association factors. We note that the standard errors of estimates for direct additive genetic 530 531 variance (V_{AD}) in the model using the inverse of distance² were greatly increased, causing the 532 estimate to be within two standard errors of zero (i.e. nominally non-significant). This was the only 533 model explored where this occurred. Weighting farther individuals as strongly as close individuals, 534 either by not including any intensity of association factors for the 6 closest individuals, or by 535 including all individuals within 200 m and weighting them equally, gave very low estimates for the 536 IGEs. This could suggest that individuals at greater distances do not influence their neighbours as 537 much as close individuals.

Increasing the number of neighbours considered in the analysis beyond six led to larger
estimates for the variance arising from the non-genetic indirect effects (V_{Pl}). A larger estimate for
the V_{Pl} was also present in the model before the square term was added (not shown). This suggests

541 the apparent non-genetic influence of neighbours at large spatial scales, as indicated by V_{Pl} , may be driven by shared environmental factors at the larger scale causing sets of neighbours to be 542 543 consistently different from other sets, rather than by social interactions of the focal individual causing their neighbours to be consistently different. Decreasing the number of neighbours tended 544 545 to increase the variance attributed to the DGE, while IGEs showed a non-linear trend, peaking in 546 magnitude with 4 neighbours and then falling back down towards zero. At these neighbourhood 547 sizes, V_{PI} was typically estimated near zero, but grew in size once 5 or more neighbours were 548 considered. Overall, these results do not indicate that inferences from our model with the six closest 549 neighbours, weighted by the inverse of distance, are inappropriate for the system.

550 The approach we used, based on the work of Muir (2005) and Cappa and Cantet (2008) can 551 be applied to organisms in a range of social structures. Due to the relatively recent increase in usage 552 of techniques such as social network analysis (Krause et al. 2007, 2014; Croft et al. 2008), estimates of pairwise associations within populations of animals have been made in many systems. These 553 554 values can be used as the intensity of association factors, as we used the inverse of distance, to scale indirect effects (Fisher and McAdam 2017). To estimate IGEs, this must be twinned with information 555 556 on the phenotypes and relatedness of the individuals in the population. We had a large dataset with 557 good information on phenotypes and relatedness of individuals, yet high uncertainty around 558 moderately large estimates of IGEs did not distinguish them from zero. The requirement to 559 phenotype, genotype and assess the social relationships of many individuals within a population may well limit the range of study systems this approach can be used in (Kruuk and Wilson 2018). 560 561 Simulations to provide guidelines for sample sizes my well be useful. However, with decreases in the 562 cost of tracking technologies and in the cost of assessing the genetic relatedness of animals (Bérénos 563 et al. 2014), more study systems will begin to be able to apply this and similar models, increasing the number of estimates for these difficult-to-estimate quantitative genetic parameters, which could 564 565 then be aggregated in a meta-analysis to detect general patterns (Reid 2012), such as that by Wilson 566 and Réale (2005) for the direct-maternal genetic correlation.

567

568 Conclusions

Previous to this study, IGEs had only ever been estimated for wild animals in the context of pairwise (dyadic) social interactions. We extended this to estimate IGEs on a life-history trait with links to fitness in a population of wild animals that do not interact in discretely defined groups. We also incorporated varying strengths of closeness of association between individuals to more accurately represent the heterogeneous and complex nature of social interactions in the natural world. We found that indirect effects of neighbours were a significant contributor to parturition dates at high

- 575 densities, and this effect may have a heritable component. However, the point estimates for genetic
- 576 parameters are characterised by high uncertainty and, as noted, we cannot exclude the possibility
- 577 that the indirect effects have a non-genetic basis. Nonetheless, significant indirect phenotypic
- 578 effects were detected and appear to increase in importance at high density. This is consistent with
- 579 competition for limited food resources being the source of neighbour influences on focal life-history
- traits. Exactly how this competition is mediated remains to be determined. The estimation of
- 581 indirect effects, and IGEs specifically, should be extended to more systems where densities and
- resource availabilities vary (either naturally or artificially) to determine whether the patterns we
- 583 have observed are general. While we did not conclusively demonstrate IGEs are present, we think
- 584 wider estimation of effect sizes is useful even if power is limiting to make strong inferences in any
- single case. The method we have used is flexible enough to be applied to alternative systems, hence
- 586 we look forward to the accumulation of more estimates of IGEs in the wild to detect general
- 587 patterns.
- 588

589 References

- Alemu, S. W., P. Bijma, S. H. Møller, L. Janss, and P. Berg. 2014. Indirect genetic effects contribute
 substantially to heritable variation in aggression-related traits in group-housed mink (Neovison
 vison). Genet. Sel. Evol. 46:30. BioMed Central Ltd.
- Bérénos, C., P. A. Ellis, J. G. Pilkington, and J. M. Pemberton. 2014. Estimating quantitative genetic
 parameters in wild populations: a comparison of pedigree and genomic approaches. Mol. Ecol.
 23:3434–51. Wiley-Blackwell.
- Bijma, P. 2011. A general definition of the heritable variation that determines the potential of a
 population to evolve. Genetics 189:1347–1359.
- Bijma, P. 2010a. Estimating indirect genetic effects: precision of estimates and optimum designs.
 Genetics 186:1013–28.
- Bijma, P. 2010b. Multilevel selection 4: Modeling the relationship of indirect genetic effects and
 group size. Genetics 186:1029–31. Genetics Society of America.
- Bijma, P., and M. J. Wade. 2008. The joint effects of kin, multilevel selection and indirect genetic
 effects on response to genetic selection. J. Evol. Biol. 21:1175–88.
- Boutin, S., A. McAdam, and M. Humphries. 2013. Anticipatory reproduction in squirrels can succeed
 in the absence of extra food. New Zeal. J. Zool. 40:337–339. Taylor & Francis.
- Boutin, S., L. A. Wauters, A. McAdam, M. Humphries, G. Tosi, and A. Dhondt. 2006. Anticipatory
 reproduction and population growth in seed predators. Science (80-.). 314:1928–1930.
- 608 Brichette, I., M. I. Reyero, and C. García. 2001. A genetic analysis of intraspecific competition for 609 growth in mussel cultures. Aquaculture 192:155–169.
- Brinker, T., E. D. Ellen, R. F. Veerkamp, and P. Bijma. 2015. Predicting direct and indirect breeding
 values for survival time in laying hens using repeated measures. Genet. Sel. Evol. 47:75.
 BioMed Central.

- 613 Brommer, J. E., and K. Rattiste. 2008. "Hidden" reproductive conflict between mates in a wild bird 614 population. Evolution 62:2326–33.
- Cappa, E. P., and R. J. C. Cantet. 2008. Direct and competition additive effects in tree breeding:
 Bayesian estimation from an individual tree mixed model. Silvae Genet. 57:45–56.
- 617 Caro, S. P., A. Charmantier, M. M. Lambrechts, J. Blondel, J. Balthazart, and T. D. Williams. 2009.
 618 Local adaptation of timing of reproduction: females are in the driver's seat. Funct. Ecol.
 619 23:172–179.
- 620 Costa e Silva, J., B. M. Potts, P. Bijma, R. J. Kerr, and D. J. Pilbeam. 2013. Genetic control of
 621 interactions among individuals: Contrasting outcomes of indirect genetic effects arising from
 622 neighbour disease infection and competition in a forest tree. New Phytol. 197:631–641.
- Costa e Silva, J., B. M. Potts, A. R. Gilmour, and R. J. Kerr. 2017. Genetic-based interactions among
 tree neighbors: identification of the most influential neighbors, and estimation of correlations
 among direct and indirect genetic effects for leaf disease and growth in Eucalyptus globulus.
 Heredity (Edinb)., doi: 10.1038/hdy.2017.25.
- 627 Croft, D. P., R. James, and J. Krause. 2008. Exploring Animal Social Networks. Princeton University
 628 Press, Oxford.
- 629 Croft, D. P., J. Krause, and R. James. 2004. Social networks in the guppy (Poecilia reticulata). Proc.
 630 Biol. Sci. 271 Suppl:S516-9.
- Dantzer, B., S. Boutin, M. M. Humphries, and A. G. McAdam. 2012. Behavioral responses of
 territorial red squirrels to natural and experimental variation in population density. Behav.
 Ecol. Sociobiol. 66:865–878.
- Dantzer, B., A. E. M. Newman, R. Boonstra, R. Palme, S. Boutin, M. M. Humphries, and A. G.
 McAdam. 2013. Density triggers maternal hormones that increase adaptive offspring growth in a wild mammal. Science (80-.). 340:1215–1217.
- Donald, J. L., and S. Boutin. 2011. Intraspecific cache pilferage by larder-hoarding red squirrels
 (Tamiasciurus hudsonicus). J. Mammal. 92:1013–1020.
- Edwards, L. J., K. E. Muller, R. D. Wolfinger, B. F. Qaqish, and O. Schabenberger. 2008. An R2 statistic
 for fixed effects in the linear mixed model. Stat. Med. 27:6137–57. NIH Public Access.
- Ellen, E. D., K. Peeters, M. Verhoeven, R. Gols, J. A. Harvey, M. J. Wade, M. Dicke, and P. Bijma. 2016.
 Direct and indirect genetic effects in life-history traits of flour beetles (Tribolium castaneum).
 Evolution 70:207–17.
- Ellen, E. D., T. B. Rodenburg, G. A. A. Albers, J. E. Bolhuis, I. Camerlink, N. Duijvesteijn, E. F. Knol, W.
 M. Muir, K. Peeters, I. Reimert, E. Sell-Kubiak, J. A. M. van Arendonk, J. Visscher, and P. Bijma.
 2014. The prospects of selection for social genetic effects to improve welfare and productivity
 in livestock. Front. Genet. 5:377. Frontiers Research Foundation.
- Farine, D. R., and B. C. Sheldon. 2015. Selection for territory acquisition is modulated by social
 network structure in a wild songbird. J. Evol. Biol. 28:547–56.
- Fisher, D. N., S. Boutin, B. Dantzer, M. M. Humphries, J. E. Lane, and A. G. McAdam. 2017. Multilevel
 and sex-specific selection on competitive traits in North American red squirrels. Evolution (N.
 Y). 71:1841–1854.
- Fisher, D. N., and A. G. McAdam. 2019. Indirect genetic effects clarify how traits can evolve even
 when fitness does not. Evol. Lett., doi: 10.1002/evl3.98. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

- Fisher, D. N., and A. G. McAdam. 2017. Social traits, social networks, and evolutionary biology. J.
 Evol. Biol. 30:2088–2103.
- Fletcher, Q. E., M. Landry-Cuerrier, S. Boutin, A. G. McAdam, J. R. Speakman, and M. M. Humphries.
 2013a. Reproductive timing and reliance on hoarded capital resources by lactating red
 squirrels. Oecologia 173:1203–1215.
- Fletcher, Q. E., C. Selman, S. Boutin, A. G. McAdam, S. B. Woods, A. Y. Seo, C. Leeuwenburgh, J. R.
 Speakman, and M. M. Humphries. 2013b. Oxidative damage increases with reproductive
 energy expenditure and is reduced by food-supplementation. Evolution (N. Y). 67:1527–1536.
- Formica, V. A., J. W. McGlothlin, C. W. Wood, M. E. Augat, R. E. Butterfield, M. E. Barnard, and E. D.
 Brodie. 2011. Phenotypic assortment mediates the effect of social selection in a wild beetle
 population. Evolution (N. Y). 65:2771–2781.
- 666 Frank, S. A. 2007. All of life is social. Curr. Biol. 17:R648–R650.
- 667 Gerhardt, F. 2005. Food pilfering in larder-hoarding red squirrels (Tamiasciurus hudsonicus). J.
 668 Mammal. 86:108–114.
- Germain, R. R., M. E. Wolak, P. Arcese, S. Losdat, and J. M. Reid. 2016. Direct and indirect genetic
 and fine-scale location effects on breeding date in song sparrows. J. Anim. Ecol. 85:1613–1624.
 Wiley/Blackwell (10.1111).
- Gilmour, A. R., B. J. Gogel, B. R. Cullis, S. J. Welham, and R. Thompson. 2015. ASReml User Guide
 Release 4.1 Structural Specification.
- 674 Griffing, B. 1967. Selection in reference to biological groups. I. Individual and group selection applied 675 to populations of unordered groups. Aust. J. Biol. Sci. 20:127–39.
- Gunn, M. R., D. A. Dawson, A. Leviston, K. Hartnup, C. S. Davis, C. Strobeck, J. Slate, and D. W.
 Coltman. 2005. Isolation of 18 polymorphic microsatellite loci from the North American red
 squirrel, Tamiasciurus hudsonicus (Sciuridae, Rodentia), and their cross-utility in other species.
 Mol. Ecol. Notes 5:650–653. Blackwell Science Ltd.
- Hadfield, J. D., and A. J. Wilson. 2007. Multilevel selection 3: Modeling the effects of interacting
 individuals as a function of group size. Genetics 177:667–668. Genetics.
- 682 Houle, D. 1992. Comparing evolvability and variability of quantitative traits. Genetics 130.
- Jones, O. R., and J. Wang. 2010. COLONY: a program for parentage and sibship inference from
 multilocus genotype data. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 10:551–555.
- Kalinowski, S. T., M. L. Taper, and T. C. Marshall. 2007. Revising how the computer program CERVUS
 accommodates genotyping error increases success in paternity assignment. Mol. Ecol.
 16:1099–1106.
- 688 Kelly, D. 1994. The evolutionary ecology of mast seeding. Trends Ecol. Evol. 9:465–470.
- Kenward, M. G., and J. H. Roger. 1997. Small sample inference for fixed effects from restricted
 maximum likelihood. Biometrics 53:983.
- Kerr, T. D., S. Boutin, J. M. LaMontagne, A. G. McAdam, and M. M. Humphries. 2007. Persistent
 maternal effects on juvenile survival in North American red squirrels. Biol. Lett. 3:289–291.
- Khaw, H. L., R. W. Ponzoni, H. Y. Yee, M. A. bin Aziz, and P. Bijma. 2016. Genetic and non-genetic
 indirect effects for harvest weight in the GIFT strain of Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus).
 Aquaculture 450:154–161.

- Kirkpatrick, M. 2009. Patterns of quantitative genetic variation in multiple dimensions. Genetica
 136:271–284. Springer Netherlands.
- Koch, R. M. 1972. The role of maternal effects in animal breeding: VI. Maternal effects in beef cattle.
 J. Anim. Sci. 35:1316. The American Society of Animal Science.
- Kokko, H., A. Chaturvedi, D. Croll, M. C. Fischer, F. Guillaume, S. Karrenberg, B. Kerr, G. Rolshausen,
 and J. Stapley. 2017. Can evolution supply what ecology demands?
- Krause, J., D. P. Croft, and R. James. 2007. Social network theory in the behavioural sciences:
 potential applications. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 62:15–27. Springer-Verlag.
- Krause, J., R. James, D. W. Franks, and D. P. Croft. 2014. Animal Social Networks. Oxford University
 Press, Oxford.
- Kruuk, L. E. B. 2004. Estimating genetic parameters in natural populations using the "animal
 model". Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 359:873–90. The Royal Society.
- Kruuk, L. E. B., and A. J. Wilson. 2018. The challenge of estimating indirect genetic effects on
 behavior: A comment on Bailey et al. Oxford University Press.
- Lair, H. 2014. Length of gestation in the red squirrel, Tamiasciurus hudsonicus. J. Mammal. 66:809–
 810. Oxford University Press.
- Lair, H. 1990. The calls of the red squirrel a contextual analysis of function. Behaviour 115:254–
 282.
- LaMontagne, J. M., and S. Boutin. 2007. Local-scale synchrony and variability in mast seed
 production patterns of Picea glauca. J. Ecol. 95:991–1000. Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- LaMontagne, J. M., C. T. Williams, J. L. Donald, M. M. Humphries, A. G. McAdam, and S. Boutin. 2013.
 Linking intraspecific variation in territory size, cone supply, and survival of North American red
 squirrels. J. Mammal. 94:1048–1058. American Society of Mammalogists.
- Lande, R. 1979. Quantitative genetic analysis of multivariate evolution , applied to brain : body size
 allometry. Evolution (N. Y). 33:402–416.
- Lane, J. E., S. Boutin, M. R. Gunn, J. Slate, and D. W. Coltman. 2008. Female multiple mating and
 paternity in free-ranging North American red squirrels. Anim. Behav. 75:1927–1937.
- Lane, J. E., S. Boutin, M. R. Gunn, J. Slate, and D. W. Coltman. 2007. Genetic relatedness of mates
 does not predict patterns of parentage in North American red squirrels. Anim. Behav. 74:611–
 619.
- Lane, J., A. G. McAdam, E. McFarlane, C. Williams, M. M. Humphries, D. Coltman, J. Gorrell, and S.
 Boutin. 2018. Phenological shifts in North American red squirrels: disentangling the roles of
 phenotypic plasticity and microevolution. J. Evol. Biol., doi: 10.1111/jeb.13263.
- Larivée, M. L., S. Boutin, J. R. Speakman, A. G. McAdam, and M. M. Humphries. 2010. Associations
 between over-winter survival and resting metabolic rate in juvenile North American red
 squirrels. Funct. Ecol. 24:597–607. Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- Liedvogel, M., C. K. Cornwallis, and B. C. Sheldon. 2012. Integrating candidate gene and quantitative
 genetic approaches to understand variation in timing of breeding in wild tit populations. J. Evol.
 Biol. 25:813–823.
- Lusseau, D., K. Schneider, O. J. Boisseau, P. Haase, E. Slooten, and S. M. Dawson. 2003. The
 bottlenose dolphin community of Doubtful Sound features a large proportion of long-lasting

- 737 associations. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 54:396–405.
- María, G. A., K. G. Boldman, and L. D. Van Vleck. 1993. Estimates of variances due to direct and
 maternal effects for growth traits of Romanov sheep. J. Anim. Sci. 71:845–849.
- McAdam, A. G., and S. Boutin. 2004. Maternal effects and the response to selection in red squirrels.
 Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 271:75–79. The Royal Society.
- McAdam, A. G., S. Boutin, A. K. Sykes, and M. M. Humphries. 2007. Life histories of female red
 squirrels and their contributions to population growth and lifetime fitness. Ecoscience 14:362.
- McAdam, A. G., D. Garant, and A. J. Wilson. 2014. The effects of others' genes: maternal and other
 indirect genetic effects. P. *in* A. Charmantier, D. Garant, and L. E. B. Kruuk, eds. Quantitative
 Genetics in the Wild. Oxford University Press.
- McFarlane, S. E., J. C. Gorrell, D. W. Coltman, M. M. Humphries, S. Boutin, and A. G. McAdam. 2015.
 The nature of nurture in a wild mammal's fitness. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 282:20142422–
 20142422.
- Merilä, J., B. C. Sheldon, and L. E. Kruuk. 2001. Explaining stasis: microevolutionary studies in natural
 populations. Genetica 112–113:199–222.

Moore, A. J., E. D. I. Brodie, and J. B. Wolf. 1997. Interacting phenotypes and the evolutionary
 process: I. Direct and indirect genetic effects of social interactions. Evolution (N. Y). 51:1352–
 1362.

- Muir, W. M. 2005. Incorporation of competitive effects in forest tree or animal breeding programs.
 Genetics 170:1247–1259.
- Muir, W. M., P. Bijma, and A. Schinckel. 2013. Multilevel selection with kin and non-kin groups,
 experimental results with japanese quail (Coturnix japonica). Evolution (N. Y). 67:1598–1606.
- Nakagawa, S., and H. Schielzeth. 2010. Repeatability for Gaussian and non-Gaussian data: a practical
 guide for biologists. Biol. Rev. 85:935–956.
- Piles, M., I. David, J. Ramon, L. Canario, O. Rafel, M. Pascual, M. Ragab, and J. P. Sánchez. 2017.
 Interaction of direct and social genetic effects with feeding regime in growing rabbits. Genet.
 Sel. Evol. 49:58.
- Pujol, B., S. Blanchet, A. Charmantier, E. Danchin, B. Facon, P. Marrot, F. Roux, I. Scotti, C. Teplitsky,
 C. E. Thomson, and I. Winney. 2018. The missing response to selection in the wild. Elsevier
 Current Trends.
- Réale, D., D. Berteaux, A. G. McAdam, and S. Boutin. 2003. Lifetime selection on heritable life-history
 traits in a natural population of red squirrels. Evolution (N. Y). 57:2416–2423.
- Regan, C. E., J. G. Pilkington, C. Bérénos, J. M. Pemberton, P. T. Smiseth, and A. J. Wilson. 2016.
 Accounting for female space sharing in St. Kilda Soay sheep (Ovis aries) results in little change in heritability estimates. J. Evol. Biol., doi: 10.1111/jeb.12990.
- Reid, J. M. 2012. Predicting evolutionary responses to selection on polyandry in the wild: additive
 genetic covariances with female extra-pair reproduction. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 279:4652–
 4660.
- Sartori, C., and R. Mantovani. 2013. Indirect genetic effects and the genetic bases of social
 dominance: evidence from cattle. Heredity (Edinb). 110:3–9. Nature Publishing Group.
- 777 Schielzeth, H. 2010. Simple means to improve the interpretability of regression coefficients.

778 Methods Ecol. Evol. 1:103–113.

- Self, S. G., and K. Y. Liang. 1987. Asymptotic properties of maximum likelihood estimators and
 likelihood ratio tests under nonstandard conditions. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 82:605–610. Taylor &
 Francis, Ltd.American Statistical Association.
- Shonfield, J., R. W. Taylor, S. Boutin, M. M. Humphries, and A. G. Mcadam. 2012. Territorial defence
 behaviour in red squirrels is influenced by local density. Behaviour 149:369–390.
- Silvertown, J. W. 1980. The evolutionary ecology of mast seeding in trees. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 14:235–
 250.
- Siracusa, E., M. Morandini, S. Boutin, M. M. Humphries, B. Dantzer, J. E. Lane, and A. G. McAdam.
 2017. Red squirrel territorial vocalizations deter intrusions by conspecific rivals. Behaviour
 154:1259–1273.
- Smith, C. C. 1978. Structure and function of the vocalizations of tree squirrels (Tamiasciurus). J.
 Mammal. 59:793–808. The Oxford University Press.
- Stopher, K. V, C. A. Walling, A. Morris, F. E. Guinness, T. H. Clutton-Brock, J. M. Pemberton, and D. H.
 Nussey. 2012. Shared spatial effects on quantitative genetic parameters: accounting for spatial
 autocorrelation and home range overlap reduces estimates of heritability in wild red deer.
 Evolution 66:2411–26.
- Teplitsky, C., J. A. Mills, J. W. Yarrall, and J. Merilä. 2010. Indirect genetic effects in a sex-limited trait:
 The case of breeding time in red-billed gulls. J. Evol. Biol. 23:935–944. Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- Thomson, C. E., I. S. Winney, O. Salles, and B. Pujol. 2018. A guide to using a multiple-matrix animal
 model to disentangle genetic and nongenetic causes of phenotypic variance. bioRxiv 318451.
 Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.
- Wade, M. J. 1976. Group selection among laboratory populations of Tribolium. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
 73:4604–4607.
- Williams, C. T., J. E. Lane, M. M. Humphries, A. G. McAdam, and S. Boutin. 2014. Reproductive
 phenology of a food-hoarding mast-seed consumer: Resource- and density-dependent benefits
 of early breeding in red squirrels. Oecologia 174:777–788. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Wilson, A. 2014. Competition as a source of constraint on life history evolution in natural
 populations. Heredity (Edinb). 112:70–8. The Genetics Society.
- Wilson, A. J. 2008. Why h2 does not always equal VA/VP? J. Evol. Biol. 21:647–650. Blackwell
 Publishing Ltd.
- Wilson, A. J., U. Gelin, M.-C. Perron, and D. Réale. 2009. Indirect genetic effects and the evolution of
 aggression in a vertebrate system. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 276:533–41.
- Wilson, A. J., L. E. B. Kruuk, and D. W. Coltman. 2005. Ontogenetic patterns in heritable variation for
 body size: using random regression models in a wild ungulate population. Am. Nat. 166:E177 192.
- Wilson, A. J., M. B. Morrissey, M. J. Adams, C. A. Walling, F. E. Guinness, J. M. Pemberton, T. H.
 Clutton-Brock, and L. E. B. Kruuk. 2011. Indirect genetics effects and evolutionary constraint:
 An analysis of social dominance in red deer, Cervus elaphus. J. Evol. Biol. 24:772–783. Blackwell
 Publishing Ltd.
- Wilson, A. J., D. Réale, M. N. Clements, M. M. Morrissey, E. Postma, C. a Walling, L. E. B. Kruuk, and
 D. H. Nussey. 2010. An ecologist's guide to the animal model. J. Anim. Ecol. 79:13–26.

- Wilson, D. R., A. R. Goble, S. Boutin, M. M. Humphries, D. W. Coltman, J. C. Gorrell, J. Shonfield, and
 A. G. McAdam. 2015. Red squirrels use territorial vocalizations for kin discrimination. Anim.
- 822 Behav. 107:79–85.
- Wolf, J. B., E. D. Brodie III, J. M. Cheverud, A. J. Moore, and M. J. Wade. 1998. Evolutionary
 consequences of indirect genetic effects. Trends Ecol. Evol. 13:64–69.

825

827 Figure legends

828

- 829 Figure 1. Estimated population densities across both study areas in our study ("Kloo" is the solid line,
- 830 "Sulphur" the dashed line). Points above the line (the median density: 1.69 squirrels ha.⁻¹) were
- 831 counted as "high density", points at or below the line as "low density".

832

833

Table 1. Variance component estimates (with their approximate standard errors in brackets) for each element of the variance-covariance structure from
 our models. Terms that were bound to values very close to zero will not have a standard error estimated, and so have "-" instead. Models without a given

837 term have "NA" entered in that cell. Terms highlighted in bold were >2 times greater than their standard errors, while terms underlined were between 1

and 2 times greater than their standard errors. Variance in direct genetic effects are indicated by V_{AD}, in indirect genetic effects by V_{AI}, and their covariance

by Cov(A_D,A_I). Equivalent notation with "P" instead of "A" refers to variance in purely phenotypic effects for the phenotypic model, and permanent

840 environment effects in the genetic model. V_s is the among-square variance (with squares of size 150mx150m), V_Y is the among-year variance, and V_R is the

841 residual variance.

Model		V_{AD}	V _{AI}	Cov(A _D ,A _I)	V_{PD}	V _{PI}	Cov(P _D ,P _I)	Vy	Vs	V _R
Whole dataset										
Phenotypic model		NA	NA	NA	0.038	0.076	-0.005	0.317	0.038	0.197
					(0.012)	(0.023)	(0.014)	(0.098)	(0.014)	(0.017)
Genetic model		0.048	< 0.001	0.005	< 0.001	0.063	-0.018	0.320	0.040	0.192
		(0.012)	(-)	(0.015)	(-)	(0.023)	(0.020)	(0.099)	(0.014)	(0.016)
			Low v	s. high density	<mark>/ comp</mark> ari	son				
Phenotypic model split	Low	NA	NA	NA	<u>0.043</u>	0.031	0.027	0.316	<u>0.040</u>	0.205
by density	density				(0.022)	(0.046)	(0.024)	(0.123)	<u>(0.024)</u>	(0.019)
	High	NA	NA	NA	0.040	0.078	-0.001	0.380	<u>0.028</u>	
	density				(0.019)	(0.030)	(0.022)	(0.150)	(0.178)	
Genetic model split by	Low	0.047	< 0.001	0.027	<0.001	0.021	-0.003	0.322	0.042	0.189
density	density	(0.020)	(-)	(0.030)	(-)	(0.045)	(0.036)	(0.126)	(0.024)	(0.017)
	High	0.053	0.038	-0.018	<0.001	0.033	-0.001	0. 384	<u>0.031</u>	
	density	(0.018)	(0.037)	(0.023)	(-)	(0.046)	(0.026)	(0.151)	(0.018)	

- 843 **Table 2**. Estimates and relevant statistics for fixed effects from final model with all years. Study area
- 844 was a two-level factor, with "Kloo" as the reference level, hence the shown estimate is for the
- 845 deviation of the "Sulphur" study area. Following a mast was a two-level factor, with not following a
- 846 mast as the default, hence the estimate is for the deviation in parturition dates following a mast
- 847 year. The denominator degrees of freedom are indicated by df_d, while the numerator degrees of
- 848 freedom were 1 in all cases.

Term	Estimate	Standard error	df_d	F-statistic	P-value	R ²
Intercept	-6.684	32.355	23.3	0.06	0.810	NA
Study area (SU)	0.176	0.054	5.72	10.68	0.002	0.009
Year	0.006	0.016	23.1	0.16	0.693	< 0.001
Following a mast (yes)	-1.71	0.288	22	35.57	< 0.001	0.029
Age	-0.002	< 0.001	1091.5	145.85	< 0.001	0.111
Age ²	< 0.001	< 0.001	1164.0	95.59	< 0.001	0.075

Social effects of territorial neighbours on the timing of spring breeding in North American red squirrels: Supplementary materials

Spatial autocorrelation

We predicted a negative covariance (either genetic or solely phenotypic) between neighbours for parturition date due to competition for resources (especially during high-density conditions). However, this could be masked by positive spatial autocorrelation in resource availability within a study-area. This would generate a net signal of positive phenotypic covariance among-neighbours (Stopher et al. 2012; Regan et al. 2016; Thomson et al. 2018). To control for this, we fitted a term accounting for (non-socially determined) environmental heterogeneity in resource abundance. In our multiyear data set we were unable to obtain convergence from our data with a model in which a separate spatial autocorrelation term for each year was fitted (since the spatial distribution of territory quality is not consistent year-to-year; LaMontagne et al. 2013). As a simpler alternative, we assigned each red squirrel within each year to one 150 m x 150 m square within a grid of non-overlapping squares that encompassed the study area (hereafter referred to as "squares"). Each square was given a unique label comprising its location and the year, and so by fitting this as a random effect we could account for any similarity among red squirrels within each 150 m x 150 m area in each year. This is similar to the approach of Germain et al (2016), who found that an equivalent "grid" term fitted their data better than a matrix of local overlap (c.f. Stopher et al. 2012), or a modelling spatial autocorrelation in the residuals (c.f. Costa e Silva et al. 2013). We repeated this analysis with squares of 75 m x 75 m or 300m x 300 m. These results were qualitatively similar to the analysis with the intermediate size squares, and so are presented below (Table S1).

References

- Costa e Silva, J., B. M. Potts, P. Bijma, R. J. Kerr, and D. J. Pilbeam. 2013. Genetic control of interactions among individuals: Contrasting outcomes of indirect genetic effects arising from neighbour disease infection and competition in a forest tree. New Phytol. 197:631–641.
- Germain, R. R., M. E. Wolak, P. Arcese, S. Losdat, and J. M. Reid. 2016. Direct and indirect genetic and fine-scale location effects on breeding date in song sparrows. J. Anim. Ecol. 85:1613–1624. Wiley/Blackwell (10.1111).
- LaMontagne, J. M., C. T. Williams, J. L. Donald, M. M. Humphries, A. G. McAdam, and S. Boutin. 2013. Linking intraspecific variation in territory size, cone supply, and survival of North American red squirrels. J. Mammal. 94:1048–1058. American Society of Mammalogists.

- Regan, C. E., J. G. Pilkington, C. Bérénos, J. M. Pemberton, P. T. Smiseth, and A. J. Wilson. 2016. Accounting for female space sharing in St. Kilda Soay sheep (Ovis aries) results in little change in heritability estimates. J. Evol. Biol., doi: 10.1111/jeb.12990.
- Stopher, K. V, C. A. Walling, A. Morris, F. E. Guinness, T. H. Clutton-Brock, J. M. Pemberton, and D. H. Nussey. 2012. Shared spatial effects on quantitative genetic parameters: accounting for spatial autocorrelation and home range overlap reduces estimates of heritability in wild red deer. Evolution 66:2411–26.
- Thomson, C. E., I. S. Winney, O. Salles, and B. Pujol. 2018. A guide to using a multiple-matrix animal model to disentangle genetic and nongenetic causes of phenotypic variance. bioRxiv 318451. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.

Model variations

<u>Key</u>	
VAD	additive direct genetic variance (DGEs)
VAI	additive indirect genetic variance (IGEs)
C-AD,AI	additive direct-indirect genetic covariance (DGE-IGE covariance)
VPED	Permanent environmental direct effect
VPEI	Permanent environmental indirect effect
C-PED,PEI	direct-indirect permanent environment covariance
Year	among year variance
Square	among square variance

Point estimates are provided in the tables, with the standard error of the estimate in parentheses

Table S1. Variance components from models with modifications from the "genetic model" presented in the text, as well as in line 1 of this table. The "75m square" and "300m square" models use smaller or larger areas respectively to define the term controlling for spatial autocorrelation. The "6 closest, no f_{ij} " model includes the 6 nearest neighbours, but does not scale their effects by any factor. The "6 closest, inverse of dist²" model includes the 6 nearest neighbours and scales their effects by the inverse of the square of the distance between the two squirrels. The "within XXm" models include all squirrels with 60, 130 or 200 metres of the focal individual respectively, without scaling their effect by any factor, up to a maximum of 24 individuals.

Model	VAD	VAI	C-AD,AI	VPED	VPEI	C-PED,PEI	Year	Square	Residual	Notes
150m square	0.0480	< 0.0001	0.0051	< 0.0001	0.0626	-0.0177	0.3199	0.0402	0.1922	Genetic
	(0.0124)	(0)	(0.0145)	(0)	(0.0227)	(0.0198)	(0.0991)	(0.0139)	(0.0164)	model
75m square	0.0442	0.0078	< 0.001	0	0.0765	-0.00576	0.3167	0.0491	0.1744	
	(0.0123)	(0.0259)	(0.0020)	(0)	(0.0364)	(0.02057)	(0.0981)	(0.0237)	(0.0242)	
300m square	0.0479	0.0026	0.0022	0	0.0723	-0.0135	0.3144	0.0423	0.1890	
	(0.0121)	(0.0258)	(0.0154)	(0)	(0.0349)	(0.0195)	(0.0979)	(0.0111)	(0.0145)	
6 closest, no f _{ij}	0.0399	< 0.0001	0.0018	< 0.001	0.0121	-0.0079	0.3187	0.0335	0.1805	
	(0.0117)	(0)	(0.0053)	(0)	(0.0030)	(0.0072)	(0.9900)	(0.0131)	(0.0156)	
6 closest, inverse	<u>0.0676</u>	0.0064	0.00311	0.0184	0.00427	-0.0248	0.3222	0.0539	0.2133	
of dist ²	<u>(0.0598)</u>	(0.0320)	(0.0388)	(0.0593)	(0.0475)	(0.0487)	(0.0998)	(0.0139)	(0.0170)	
within 60m	0.0535	< 0.0001	0.0092	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-0.0054	0.3244	0.0535	0.2140	
	(0.0123)	(0)	(0.0069)	(0)	(0)	(0.0877)	(0.1004)	(0.0135)	(0.0156)	
within 130m	0.0439	0.0006	0.0021	< 0.0001	0.0026	-0.0022	0.3205	0.0255	0.2021	
	(0.0121)	(0.0015)	(0.0038)	(0)	(0.0056)	(0.0056)	(0.0995)	(0.0139)	(0.0160)	
within 200m	0.0445	< 0.0001	0.0020	< 0.0001	0.00397	-0.00162	0.3157	0.0187	0.2012	
	(0.0120)	(0)	(0.0030)	(0)	(0.0010)	(0.00463)	(0.0993)	<u>(0.0129)</u>	(0.0154)	

Number of	VAD	VAI	C-AD,AI	VPED	VPEI	C-PED,PEI	Year	Square	Residual	Notes
neighbours										
1	0.0562	< 0.0001	0.0183	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-0.0093	0.3285	0.0539	0.2132	
	(0.0123)	(0)	(0.0265)	(0)	(0)	(0.0275)	(0.1003)	(0.0135)	(0.0155	
2	0.0560	< 0.0001	0.0091	<0.0001	0.0140	-0.0099	0.3233	0.0539	0.2085	
	(0.0126)	(0)	(0.0199)	(0)	(0.0341)	(0.0231)	(0.1001)	(0.0127)	(0.0173)	
3	0.05545	0.0009	0.0139	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-0.0241	0.3234	0.0569	0.2091	
	(0.0126)	(0.0186)	(0.0174)	(0)	(0)	(0.0221)	(0.1001)	(0.0140)	(0.0162)	
4	0.0513	0.0107	0.0142	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-0.0206	0.3217	0.0499	0.2038	
	(0.0125)	(0.0290)	(0.0169)	(0)	(0)	(0.0108)	(0.0996)	(0.0140)	(0.0168)	
5	0.0518	0.0018	0.0038	< 0.0001	<u>0.0416</u>	-0.0131	0.3205	0.0463	0.1984	
	(0.0126)	(0.0255)	(0.0159)	(0)	<u>(0.0358)</u>	(0.0202)	(0.0992)	(0.0141)	(0.0166)	
6	0.0480	< 0.0001	0.0051	<0.0001	0.0626	-0.0177	0.3199	0.0402	0.1922	Genetic
	(0.0124)	(0)	(0.0145)	(0)	(0.0227)	(0.0198)	(0.0991)	(0.0139)	(0.0164)	model
9	0.0421	< 0.0001	0.0070	<0.0001	0.0756	-0.0294	0.3168	0.0311	0.1877	
	(0.0119)	(0)	(0.0136)	(0)	(0.01980)	(0.0196)	(0.0984)	(0.0136)	(0.0156)	
12	0.0435	0.0041	0.0081	<0.0001	0.0551	-0.0201	0.3203	0.0284	0.1970	
	(0.0120)	(0.0177)	(0.0132)	(0)	(0.0266)	(0.0192)	(0.0998)	(0.0138)	(0.0160)	
15	0.0447	< 0.0001	0.0064	<0.0001	0.0476	-0.0101	0.3202	0.0291	0.2041	
	(0.0121)	(0)	(0.0119)	(0)	(0.0156)	(0.0183)	(0.0998)	(0.0139)	(0.0161)	
18	0.04580	< 0.0001	0.0006	<0.0001	0.0573	0.0031	0.3171	0.0194	0.2067	
	(0.0121)	(0)	(0.0112)	(0)	(0.0160)	(0.0182)	(0.0991)	(0.0136)	(0.0161)	
24	0.0450	< 0.0001	0.0067	<0.0001	0.0536	-0.0120	0.3189	0.0206	0.2047	
	(0.0120)	(0)	(0.0115)	(0)	(0.0146)	(0.0184)	(0.0100)	(0.0135)	(0.0159)	

Table S2. Variance components from models with varying numbers of neighbours, with the "genetic model" used in the main text in row 6.

Table S3. Estimates of the fixed effects, including interactions with density. The intercept and main effects are for low densities, with the main effect of density, and the interaction between density and other fixed effects (interactions indicated with colons), indicating the difference at high densities.

Name	Effect estimate	Numerator degrees	Denominator degrees	F (conditional)	p value	R ²
		of freedom	of freedom			
Intercept	-40.71	1	29.3	0	0.97	NA
density (high)	19.58	1	28.9	0.57	0.457	0.0005
mast (true)	-1.713	1	25.3	38.67	< 0.001	0.0320
study area (Sulphur)	0.1341	1	119.3	18.05	< 0.001	0.0152
gr:density (Sulphur at high density)	0.1867	1	126.7	2.56	0.112	0.0022
year	0.0235	1	28.4	1.67	0.207	0.0014
year:density (high)	-0.1000	1	28.6	0.12	0.731	0.0001
age	-0.0181	1	1007	140.2	< 0.001	0.1071
age:density (high)	0.0037	1	1151	1.88	0.17	0.0016
age ²	< 0.001	1	1030.2	89.8	< 0.001	0.0713
age ² :density (high)	> -0.001	1	1151	1.77	0.184	0.0015

ASREML Code

Code for indirect effects on red squirrel parturition date analysis

#This incorporates multiple neighbours through the use of "and(neigh2..." and scales by distance by interacting neighbour ID with the *inverse* of distance "neigh1.dist1"

animal !P #unique ID of each individual to link to the pedigree gr !A #grid or study area year !A !L 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2013 2012 2014 2015 #loading year to be fitted as a random effect yearp1 #continuous effect of year part date !/23.32 #dividing by the standard deviation age mast !A !L FALSE TRUE neigh1 !P #unique ID of closest neighbouring individual to link to the pedigree #inverse of the distance between neighbour 1's territory and focal squirrel's territory dist1 neigh2 !P #and so on for neighbours 2-6 dist2 neigh3 !P dist3 neigh4 !P dist4 neigh5 !P dist5 neigh6 !P dist6 square !A spr_density

high_density !A !L FALSE TRUE

age2 !=age !*age

SQped.ped!ALPHA!SKIP 1#loading the pedigreeSQpart.data.neigh.txt!SKIP 1!maxit 100!FCON !DDF 2#loading the data file

part_date ~ mu gr yearp1 mast age age2 +

!r year square + #set up parturition date, influenced by fixed effects, and random effects of year and square animal + #animal term estimates additive genetic variance neigh1.dist1 and(neigh2.dist2) and(neigh3.dist3) + #neighbours 1-6 estimate indirect effects and(neigh4.dist4) and(neigh5.dist5) and(neigh6.dist6) + ide(animal) + #ide(animal) term estimates permanent environmental effect ide(neigh1).dist1 and(ide(neigh2).dist2) + #non-genetic indirect effects and(ide(neigh3).dist3) and(ide(neigh4).dist4) + and(ide(neigh5).dist5) and(ide(neigh6).dist6) !f mv

114

00 IDV 0.8 !S2==1

year 1 year 0 IDV 0.1

square 1

square 0 IDV 0.1

#covariance matrix for direct-indirect genetic effects and covariance

anim 2 2 0 US #!GUUU 0.4E-01 0.1E-02 0.1E-02 anim 0 AINV

#covariance matrix for direct-indirect non-genetic effects and covariance

ide(animal) 2 2 0 US !GPUU 0.1E-5 0.01 0.01 ide(animal) 0