2 3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53 54 55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69 70

71 72 73

# The role of trait reversal in evolutionary diversification: A test using song loss in wild crickets

Nathan W Bailey<sup>1</sup>, Sonia Pascoal<sup>2</sup>, Fernando Montealegre-Z<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of St Andrews, <sup>2</sup>University of Cambridge, <sup>3</sup>University of Lincoln

Submitted to Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America

The mechanisms underlying rapid macroevolution are controversial. One largely untested hypothesis that could inform this debate is that evolutionary reversals might release variation in vestigial traits, which then facilitate subsequent diversification. We evaluated this idea by testing key predictions about vestigial traits arising from sexual trait reversal in wild field crickets. In Hawaiian Teleogryllus oceanicus, the recent genetic loss of sound producing and amplifying structures on male wings eliminates their acoustic signals. Silence protects these 'flatwing' males from an acoustically orienting parasitoid and appears to have evolved independently more than once. Here we report that flatwing males show enhanced variation in vestigial resonator morphology under varied genetic backgrounds. Using laser Doppler vibrometry, we found that these vestigial sound-producing wing features resonate at highly variable acoustic frequencies well outside the normal range for this species. These results satisfy two important criteria for a mechanism driving rapid evolutionary diversification: sexual signal loss was accompanied by a release of vestigial morphological variants, and these could facilitate the rapid evolution of novel signal values. Widespread secondary trait losses have been inferred from fossil and phylogenetic evidence across numerous taxa, and our results suggest that such reversals could play a role in shaping historical patterns of diversification.

acoustic communication  $\mid$  diversification  $\mid$  evolutionary rate  $\mid$  sexual signal  $\mid$  trait loss

## Introduction

One of the most contentious debates to have arisen in evolutionary biology centres on the rate at which diversification proceeds (1). In particular, the mechanisms responsible for driving rapid bursts of macroevolution remain unresolved despite decades of study (2-4). Here we evaluate an overlooked mechanism that could cause rapid diversification: the release of cryptic variation following secondary loss of a mate recognition signal, which exposes a widened range of vestigial signalling structures to the action of selection. If novel or variable signal values subsequently evolve, they could play a key role in speciation.

Secondary trait losses are common (5) and in several studies have been suggested to precede diversification, for example in stick insects and in plethodontid salamanders (6, 7). Loci involved in functional traits important for diversification, such as spectral tuning of the visual system in cichlids, are known to be evolutionarily labile (8), and when such traits are lost, functionless vestigial structures or behaviours are left behind which could facilitate the re-evolution of new functions or trait values (2, 9-11). Sexual traits involved in mate recognition systems are particularly prone to reversal (12). Their reduction under pressure from countervailing natural selection is a central prediction of sexual selection theory (13, 14), and widespread sexual trait losses have been inferred phylogenetically (12). Acoustic signals play a prominent role in speciation, communication and many animal behaviours. Here we tested how their evolutionary reversal might predispose populations to diversification using a field cricket system in which the sexually-selected male acoustic signal has been recently, and abruptly, lost from multiple wild populations (15, 16).

Male crickets produce calls by stridulating: they rub modified forewings together to generate mechanical vibrations (Fig. 1A). An individual producing an advertisement, courtship, or aggressive song will draw a thickened ridge of tissue (the scraper) on one wing across a corrugated vein (the file) on the opposing wing. In many species, the resulting vibrations are amplified by resonating membranes formed from modified wing cells. When coupled with wing motor behaviours that repeat this movement in succession, the pulse rate, pattern, and carrier frequency of chirps can convey information about mate location, identity, quality, or aggressiveness. We studied the widely-distributed Austro-Pacific cricket Teleogryllus oceanicus. Hawaiian populations of this species overlap with an acoustically-orienting endoparasitoid fly (Ormia ochracea) which responds to male songs and infests them with destructive larvae. A mutation(s) showing Mendelian segregation on the X chromosome appeared in a population on the island of Kauai approximately two decades ago, and it silences males by erasing or dramatically reducing the stridulatory apparatus and sound resonators on their forewings (15). Females have undifferentiated wings and do not sing. Males carrying the *flatwing* genotype develop wings resembling those of females, so are referred to as 'flatwing males' (Fig. 1B). Flatwing males are protected against parasitoid infestation (15), and the flatwing phenotype rapidly spread and now appears on more than one Hawaiian island (16, 17). In all cases investigated, flatwing segregates as a single-locus trait on the X (16, 18), but the degree to which affected male wings are feminised varies noticeably between islands, and several lines of evidence suggest that independent *flatwing* mutations have arisen convergently (16). On Kauai, flatwing male wings tend to be almost completely feminised and lack identifiable resonators characteristic of grylline species, whereas flatwing males from the neighbouring island of

### Significance

Bursts of rapid evolutionary diversification are widely observed, but their underlying causes are controversial. We tested whether secondary loss of sexual traits could play a role in rapid diversification, by releasing variation in vestigial signalling structures which then facilitates the rapid evolution of novel signal values. We found evidence to support such an evolutionary model in the field cricket *Teleogryllus oceanicus*, which has recently lost the ability to sing. Trait reversals are widespread, and may play an underappreciated role in determining the pattern and rate of macroevolutionary change.

**Reserved for Publication Footnotes** 

1, 3 To whom correspondence may be addressed. E-mail: nwb3@st-andrews.ac.uk or fmontealegrez@lincoln.ac.uk



Fig. 1. Diversity of wing venation and acoustic signals in crickets and katydids. (A) Forewing stridulation in a normal-wing Teleogryllus oceanicus male (anterior dorsal view with cricket's directions indicated), with mirror, harp and scraper highlighted in turquoise, purple, and yellow, respectively. The dashed black line indicates the stridulatory file present on the ventral surface of the upper (right) wing, and the solid gray line indicates the direction of forewing movements during singing.(B) Representative Hawaiian T. oceanicus forewings, showing differences in the degree to which Kauai and Oahu flatwings are feminised. Resonators and corresponding vestigial structures are highlighted as above. Adapted from (16). (C) Male forewings from exemplar orthopteran species (not to scale). Sampled clades are labelled on the phylogeny (Proph. = Prophalangopsidae), and approximate carrier frequencies reported in the literature ("?" if unknown) are shown above species names. Shaded regions of the wing visually illustrate taxonomic variation in sound resonator morphology across this group. In this simplified phylogeny adapted from (30), branch lengths do not scale to divergence time. Thin branches represent groups that do not sing or are not represented here. Sources from which figures were drawn and carrier frequencies obtained: <sup>1</sup>[figure: (S. K. Sakaluk); Cf: (68)], <sup>2</sup>[figure: (69); Cf: (70)], <sup>3</sup>[figure: (28); Cf: (70)], <sup>4</sup>[figure: (33); Cf: (33)], <sup>5</sup>[figure: (S. Pascoal); Cf: (33)] <sup>6</sup>[figure: (33)] <sup>7</sup>[figure: (33)], 8[figure: (71); Cf: (72)].

Oahu retain approximately one third to one half of their harp and often possess a scraper (**Fig. 1***B*) (16).

Research examining acoustic signal function and diversity in ensiferan singing insects (crickets and katydids) has mostly focused on the behavioural components of song, i.e. the pattern of sound pulses produced during wing movement (19, 20). However, a major source of variation in acoustic signals is their carrier frequency, which is increasingly recognised as an important signal feature distinguishing closely-related species (21, 22). Frequency is primarily determined by the morphology of sound resonating structures (23, 24), and in some species can be varied by mechanically shifting between different resonant modes (25-27). Resonator morphology most likely evolved from the modification and specialisation of structural wing venation (28-30), subsequently elaborated and diversified through coevolution with receivers (31). Fig. 1C illustrates the diversity of wing resonators across taxa: morphological variation over macroevolutionary timescales shows suggestive parallels to the morphological variation observed among the wings of flatwing  $\hat{T}$ . oceanicus males from different Hawaiian islands. We took advantage of the recent, repeated loss of signalling in T. oceanicus to examine whether secondary signal loss can generate variation in morphological signal components that recapitulates this deeper macroevolutionary variation. Our study addressed two objectives focused on the early stages of such a process. The apparently different underlying genetic causes of the loss-of-function flatwing phenotype, coupled with the incomplete erasure of resonating structures in some populations, allowed us first to identify and measure the variability of vestigial structures remaining on flatwing males' wings. We specifically evaluated whether background genetics could lead to expression of decanalized variation following trait loss (32). Our

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171 172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

results indicated that trait loss is associated with the predicted increase in variation of vestigial acoustic resonators, so we next used laser Doppler vibrometry (LDV) to characterise acoustic resonances of these new wing areas and assess their potential to influence the evolution of new signal values.

### Results

Despite possessing wings that lack functional sound-producing structures, flatwing males still produce the motor patterns associated with song: they elevate their forewings and silently move them in a precise pattern characteristic of male sexual advertisement song (11). The persistence of what appear to be partiallyformed resonating structures (hereafter referred to as 'vestigial resonators') on flatwing males' forewings, coupled with the persistence of wing motor behaviour associated with song, is consistent with the idea that trait loss could potentiate the evolution of novel signal variants. The only requirement for the evolutionary origin of a new or re-evolved signal is invasion of a genotype that re-engages the residual file and scraper mechanism currently expressed in a reduced, functionless state in some flatwing males (Fig. 1B). Developmental constraints could influence signal evolution following such a reversal, but the existence of sister Teleogryllus species with different male carrier frequencies (21) suggests that such constraints would not necessarily cause reevolution of the exact original configuration of resonating structures. The existence of wide variation in song carrier frequency and wing venation suggests that such constraints are either weak, or have been broken repeatedly during the evolutionary history of many ensiferan taxa (33).

To test whether variability in flatwing vestigial resonator morphology has been released following loss of male-typical wing



Recombination could potentially occur in the resulting heterozygous  $F_1$ females. A full-sib mating was then performed to produce  $F_2$  offspring.  $F_2$ males were expected to represent either parental or recombinant (asterisks) genotypes, assessed using landmark-based geometric morphometrics. The same crossing scheme was followed using  $fw^{K}$ -sires and  $fw^{k}$  dams as a control.Two genetic scenarios are illustrated. (A) If  $fw^{K}$  and  $fw^{O}$  are sufficiently physically distant on the X (hypothetically illustrated with yellow and blue colour, respectively), rare recombinant males with a restored normal-wing phenotype might be detected in the F<sub>2</sub> generation. The phenotype of the other recombinant progeny ( $fw^{K}/fw^{O}$ ) is unknown. (B) If  $fw^{K}$  and  $fw^{O}$  are distinct loci but sufficiently tightly linked (represented by the gray region), recombination between *flatwing* loci is unlikely to occur. In this case, genomic background effects (indicated by the yellow and blue shaded chromosomes) might be expected to predominate, and recombinant  $F_2$  offspring would represent a mix of recombinant backgrounds (green shaded chromosome). Under this scenario, variation in flatwing morphology is predicted to reflect the release of cryptic genetic variation that epistatically interacts with wing venation loci, despite not producing obvious recombinant phenotypes. The two scenarios are not mutually exclusive, but make distinct predictions about whether normal-wing recombinants or release of cryptic variation should predominate patterns of variation among F2 flatwing males. (C) Exemplar flatwing male forewing showing the 16 landmarks used in this study (orange dots). Colour scheme for vestigial resonator follows Fig. 1.

structures, we performed a series of crosses with crickets known to carry *flatwing* genotypes derived from either Kauai or Oahu. We tested whether we could recover rare normal-wing recombinants in a complementation-like assay, whether the genetic background of different populations affected expression of vestigial wing structures, and whether family-level variation was detectable for flatwing morphology. The crossing design allowed us to examine two genetic scenarios. Under the first, background effects are minimal and variation following trait loss is mainly caused by the expression of independent loss-of-function *flatwing* mutations (**Fig. 24**). Under the second, background effects play a more significant role in generating variability among flatwing crickets (**Fig. 2B**).

Sex determination is female homogametic (XX/XO) in *T.* oceanicus, and in both populations used, the flatwing phenotype segregates as a single-locus trait on the X chromosome (16). Using pure-breeding Kauai lines and Oahu flatwing males, two generations of crosses were performed to introduce *flatwing*carrying X chromosomes from Kauai and Oahu populations ( $fw^K$ and  $fw^O$ , respectively) into the same female to allow potential recombination on the X ("test" condition). Simultaneously, the same crossing design using only Kauai genotypes was undertaken separately ("control" condition). We performed visual assessments for the presence or absence of scrapers and mirrors, and used landmark-based geometric morphometrics and multivariate



**Fig. 3.** Flatwing *T. oceanicus* wing venation. (A) Variable feminisation of vestigial sound-producing structures. Selected wings (i) through (v) illustrate the range of variation in F<sub>2</sub> individuals, from no scraper, no mirror and minimal harp area in (i), to prominent scraper, ca. ½ sized harp, and almost complete mirror in (v). Female and normal male wings are shown for comparison. CorelDraw v.12 was used to adjust contrast and remove background. (*B*) Principal components describing flatwing venation among the two island subtypes (data from (16)) and F<sub>2</sub> test wings. Polygons indicate the data range for each group. (C) Variability of wing venation, contrasting groups in *B*. (*D*) Principal components describing test and control F<sub>2</sub> flatwings; the former are the same samples as in *B*. Polygons indicate the data range for each group. (*E*) Variability of wing venation, contrasting groups in *D*. Asterisks indicate that group variation differed significantly (see **Table 1** for statistics).

analyses to quantify variation in wing venation among the test and control crickets (Fig. 2C).

A total of 1.067 F<sub>2</sub> test crickets and 245 F<sub>2</sub> Kauai control crickets were scored. Visual classification of scraper and mirror presence revealed that 63.7% (n = 680) of test crickets possessed a residual scraper, 1.2% (n = 13) possessed a definable, partial mirror, and a further 4.5 % (n = 48) possessed incomplete mirrorlike structures (e.g. enlarged but not completely enclosed wing cells). Examples of the range of flatwing phenotypes recovered are provided in Fig. 3A. Among Kauai control crickets, 32.2% (n = 79) possessed a vestigial scraper, and one (0.4%) possessed a partial mirror. We validated our visual scoring system by assigning a randomly-selected subset of 100 wings to a sample-blind scorer, and proportions carrying scrapers were consistent with the original dataset for both control crickets (Fisher Exact Test: p = 1.00) and test crickets (Chi-square test with Yates' correction:  $\chi^2$ 0.30, p = 0.584). Across all 100 validation samples, concordance between scorers was 96% for the presence or absence of scrapers, and 100% for mirrors.

Table 1.

Table 1. Principal components describing variation in forewing venation among groups of flatwing males (A) F <sub>2</sub> complementation test, Kauai,
Oahu (B) F <sub>2</sub> complementation test, F <sub>2</sub> Kauai controls). Explained variance and eigenvalues are given for the leading 5 components of PCAs,
and statistics are from Levene's tests for homogeneity of variances performed separately for each component. Significance is indicated by
bold text.

	Principal component	PCA variance (%)	PCA eigenvalue	F <sup>1</sup> (homogeneity)	P (homogeneity)
<b>A.</b> Test vs. Oahu and Kauai flatwings	PC1	42	0.00491	7.76	<0.001
-	PC2	20	0.00235	25.38	<0.001
	PC3	11	0.00133	4.59	0.010
	PC4	7	0.00086	2.71	0.067
	PC5	6	0.00074	10.22	<0.001
B. Test vs. Kauai control flatwings	PC1	43	0.00494	6.98	0.008
	PC2	19	0.00224	60.73	<0.001
	PC3	9	0.00112	40.53	<0.001
	PC4	8	0.00087	1.87	0.172
	PC5	7	0.00076	1.97	0.161

We recovered no obvious recombinant, i.e. normal-wing, phenotypes, though among the test crickets, the 13 males possessing partial mirrors were classified as nearly-normal. These nearlynormal forewings possessed partial to complete scrapers, reduced but clearly distinguishable mirror membranes bounded by thickened venation, and a distinctive harp that extended significantly across the wing, but did not fully reach the distal wing margin as occurs in normal-wing males. An example is given in Fig. 3A, and photographs of all 13 are provided in SI Appendix, Fig. S1. This suggests that any mutation(s) independently controlling the expression of flatwing phenotypes may be too closely linked on the X chromosome, or contained within a non-recombining region, to allow double recombinants to arise readily. However, the surprising level of morphological variation recovered from these crosses suggests that background or modifier effects are superimposed upon the effects of *flatwing* itself.

Consistent with the idea that trait loss leads to the expression of uncanalised or cryptic variation, the forewings of F2-flatwing males from the complementation test showed greater variation than those previously reported from Kauai and Oahu laboratory populations and measured using the same methods by the same scorer (S.P.) (16). The range of phenotypic variation among F-2 males fully encompassed that of both island types (Fig. 3B). Forewing morphology differed among the three groups of flatwing males (MANOVA: Wilks'  $\lambda = 0.786$ ,  $F_{10.2418} = 30.95$ , p < 0.001), and pairwise *post-hoc* tests between groups for each principal component describing landmark-based wing morphology (with eigenvalue > 1) revealed that this was largely driven by Oahu, which was involved in 12 out of 16 significant post-hoc comparisons (SI Appendix, Table S2). Crucially, the amount of variation in wing venation differed among groups, and was largest for test crickets for 4 of the 5 principal components analysed (Fig. **3C** and **Table 1**).

To exclude the possibility that minor variation in the genetic composition of lab stocks or methodology between this and the previous study could have influenced the differences we observed between test flatwings and Kauai and Oahu flatwings, we performed a separate analysis of Kauai control flatwings which were simultaneously produced using the same crossing protocol, contrasted with the same set of test flatwings. This analysis revealed patterns of variation in flatwing venation consistent with the previous result. A separate principal components analysis (PCA) showed that phenotypic variation of test crickets' wing venation exceeded that of the controls, again fully encompassing it (**Fig. 3D**). Flatwing venation was significantly different between the two groups (MANOVA: Wilks'  $\lambda = 0.849$ , F<sub>5,1306</sub> = 46.59, p < 0.001). Also as before, morphological variation was greater for test than control crickets in all 5 principal components analysed, and significantly so for the first three (**Fig. 3E** and **Table 1**). As a final analysis of the potential for background effects to interact with the *flatwing* genotype, we examined family-level variation among the test crickets. Significant family-level variation in wing shape among F<sub>2</sub> flatwing males in the complementation test provided confirmation of our interpretation of genetic background effects superimposed on different *flatwing* genotypes (MANOVA: Wilks'  $\lambda = 0.763$ , F<sub>20,3510</sub> = 14.89, p < 0.001) (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S2).

Pre-existing morphological traits that permit the evolution of new signal variants are difficult to identify and characterise, and reconstructing the sequence of evolutionary events that coupled behavioural and morphological components of signals in ancestral lineages represents a major challenge. Characterising ancestral behaviours is in many cases impossible (though see (10)), and often the critical morphological structures involved in sound production are comprised of soft tissue that does not persist in the fossil record (though see (34)). Most work on signal macroevolution has therefore relied on comparative analyses across extant taxa (35-38). An alternative approach is to predict and characterise signal values on the basis of relevant morphological features, before the signalling traits themselves evolve. To test whether vestigial harp and mirror structures that we identified on the surface of flatwing crickets are a) capable of producing acoustic resonances, b) likely to produce a more varied range of signal values than the typical 4-5 kHz carrier frequency produced by this species, and c) to characterise these acoustic resonances, we performed a second experiment using micro-scanning LDV (Fig. 44). Adult flatwing male crickets were selected from three pure-breeding Kauai flatwing lines and four pure-breeding Oahu lines that had been subsequently produced (see Methods). For comparison, we also selected adult normal-wing males from two lines from each island. The objective was to achieve a breadth of sampling across different, naturally-occurring flatwing backgrounds, rather than a design balanced across morph types. After a pilot experiment to assess the feasibility of the approach, we successfully recorded data from 16 male cricket wings.

Analysis of wing resonances revealed acoustic resonators on flatwing males' forewings, and **Fig. 4** provides examples. Our



Fig. 4. Vibration maps of male forewings obtained using LDV. (A) Diagram of experimental set-up, showing lateral view of a normal-wing male cricket, with mirror and harp of the extended left hindwing highlighted in turquoise and purple, respectively. During scans, a male is positioned in front of the laser, which is aimed perpendicular to the plane of the wings (red line). The laser scans pre-defined grid points while a broadband signal is played back. (*B-D*) Illustrative vibration maps (displacement / sound pressure) showing resonant wing areas at the frequencies indicated (not necessarily peak resonances, see Table 2) for: (*B*) Normal-wing male with typical resonant frequency at 4.8 kHz. (C) Oahu flatwing male with vestigial harp producing a resonance at 5.6 kHz. (*D*) Kauai flatwing male with a resonance at 13.8 kHz. (*E*) Enlarged grid format of data collected from an Oahu flatwing male's left forewing, with a pronounced acoustic resonance at 7.0 kHz centred over the vestigial harp area.

main analysis focused on the harp area of the wing as it is a key determinant of the carrier frequency of male song in ensiferan

Footline Author

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

insects (22). Table 2 reports the peak resonance of the harp (or 613 vestigial harp) for each measured individual. We confirmed that 614 normal-wing males produced acoustic resonances characteristic 615 of this species between ca. 4.5-5.5 kHz. In contrast, flatwing males 616 produced a large range of peak resonant frequencies that almost 617 exclusively did not overlap with normal-wing males (Fig. 5). Peak 618 resonance frequencies differed between Kauai and Oahu flatwing 619 crickets, with a higher average peak frequency in the former (left 620 forewings: t = 7.10, p < 0.001; right forewings: t = 2.88, P =621 0.016) (Figs. 5A, B). Animations of wing resonances for exemplar 622 623 flatwing and normal-wing males are provided in the SI Appendix (Movies S1-S3).

# Discussion

Sexual signals play a major role in speciation (20, 39, 40), so any factor that increases the likelihood of new signal values evolving is likely to have an impact on the rate of macroevolutionary diversification (41, 42). The morphological and functional outcomes of evolved silence in field crickets support our predictions about the role of trait loss in rapid diversification. We found that secondary loss of male song in Hawaiian *T. oceanicus* is associated with substantial variation in vestigial morphological traits, susceptible to genomic background effects. Analysis of vestigial wing structures identified a broad range of acoustic resonances, which could facilitate the evolution of new cricket songs with carrier frequencies that extend well beyond the typical narrow range centred around 5 kHz for this species [ $\bar{x} = 5.02$  kHz ± 0.017 s.e. reported in (43)].

The venation which has been left behind on the disrupted forewings of silent flatwing crickets includes a wide range of morphological features: more than one occurrence of genetic mutation appears to have driven convergent loss of song with noticeably different morphological consequences (16; Fig. 1B), and we have found that these loss-of-function *flatwing* genotype(s) also interact with background genetic variation to produce a suite of wing structures with sharp acoustic resonances but impaired signalling capability. Peak frequencies of vestigial harps on flatwing T. oceanicus wings spanned a range from approximately 4.0 – 16.5 kHz in this study. The range of morphological variation we detected among flatwings is suggestively similar to that which characterises variation in wing resonators across deep evolutionary divisions within the Ensifera (Fig. 1C). Acoustic signalling is thought to have facilitated rapid speciation and radiation in crickets and katydids, has evolved independently on multiple occasions, and has been secondarily lost in several lineages (33, 44). Our results raise the intriguing possibility that secondary losses of song through male wing feminisation could have played a key role in evolutionary radiations involving this group.

The existence of a suite of pre-existing morphological variants that could underpin the evolution of new signal values does not guarantee the evolution of such new signal values or subsequent diversification; these vestigial resonators may be best thought of as a facilitating, yet not sufficient, requirement for such a mode of diversification. For new signals to evolve, receiver structures and physiology must also coevolve. On a trivial level, that this has happened repeatedly throughout the evolution of sexually signalling taxa is demonstrated by the existence of divergent mate recognition systems across extant groups. The singing insects, for example, produce an exceptionally broad range of speciesdiagnostic carrier frequencies (27, 33, 35). One well characterised system involves the genus studied here, in which females of the sister species T. oceanicus and T. commodus filter male advertisement songs differing in carrier frequency by approximately 1 kHz, 676 to discriminate against heterospecific calls that might be experi-677 enced in sympatry (21, 45). In another group of calling insects, 678 lebinthine crickets, both signal and receiver shifts have occurred 679 not only across frequency spectra (audible to ultrasonic), but also 680 Table 2. Kauai and Oahu male wing resonances. Peak resonances are provided for the harp area<sup>1</sup> of each specimen's right and left forewing (forewings show a dominant right-over-left overlap in this species). Normal-wing males from each population are included as verifications of the technique and to aid comparison with flatwings, and full frequency spectra of all specimens are given in Fig. 5.

Origin	Morph <sup>2</sup>	PL <sup>3</sup> (mm)	RHFL <sup>3</sup> (mm)	Peak ƒ (kHz) left wing	Peak ƒ (kHz) right wing
Kauai	fw	4.23	11.45	10.80	16.54
	fw	3.90	9.80	11.09	11.64
	fw	4.04	10.82	10.35	10.37
	fw	4.09	10.65	12.86	10.69
	nw	4.24	11.61	5.66	5.16
	nw	3.85	10.65	4.58	4.78
Oahu	fw	3.95	10.06	6.13	6.77
	fw	3.94	10.44	5.13	7.66
	fw	3.87	10.05	4.06	6.53
	fw	3.75	9.93	7.05	6.14
	fw	3.83	10.83	6.05	12.8
	fw	3.75	10.50	7.89	5.16
	fw	3.92	10.30	5.66	8.35
	fw	3.85	10.28	7.08	9.24
C	nw	4.62	11.85	5.02	5.02
	nw	4.44	11.55	4.95	4.81

<sup>1</sup> in flatwings, refers to either the vestigial structure, or the area in which it would otherwise be located <sup>2</sup> fw = pure-breeding *flatwing* genotype, nw = pure-breeding *normal- wing* genotype <sup>3</sup> pronotum length (PL) and rear hind femur length (RHFL): mean of three measurements



**Fig. 5.** Wing resonance plots for flatwing males from Kauai (*A*) and Oahu (*B*) populations, with normal-wing comparators (*C*, *D*). Coloured lines indicate average spectra for each group, with  $\pm 1$  standard deviation shown in grey. Dashed lines indicate peak frequencies of normal-wing males recorded from each population to aid comparison with flatwing resonances. Sample sizes are provided in **Table 2**.

across modalities (from acoustic to vibratory mate localisation) (38). We note that although *T. oceanicus* females discriminate males on the basis of call frequency, with a selectivity peak at approximately 5 kHz, they will also respond to artificial song playbacks ranging from 2.5 to 7.0 kHz (21). The plausibility of a scenario involving co-option and elaboration of vestigial resonators via sexual selection is supported by the recent observation that female *T. oceanicus* from a population on Molokai preferentially associate with attenuated acoustic stimuli produced by some flatwing males, compared to silence (46). It is unclear whether

these flatwing males' acoustic emissions result from engagement of a residual file and scraper mechanism or friction affecting other wing structures; amplitude of the acoustic stimuli is orders of magnitude lower than that of singing normal-wing males and likely to be close to the auditory detection threshold (47), and their frequency spectra are relatively flat (46). Nevertheless, this finding confirms observations that auditory neurons in grylline crickets show broad frequency tuning (48) and suggests that female responses to novel acoustic frequencies may be less of a barrier to signal evolution than are the biomechanical constraints imposed by morphological adaptations for sound production.

The release of variation in T. oceanicus following secondary loss of song satisfies a key requirement for models of rapid diversification following trait loss (2, 3, 7). Some variation among flatwing males, for example those derived from different island populations, appears to reflect different genetic causes (16), but the background and family-level effects that we found to release further morphological variation is characteristic of decanalization under different genetic backgrounds (32). Genetic control of canalisation has been characterised in other contexts, for example the heat shock protein Hsp90 in *Drosophila melanogaster* (49), and our results support the idea that a reduction in canalisation following the evolutionary loss of song in field crickets can generate a broad phenotypic substrate of male forewing variants that could facilitate the evolution of new signals. Another intriguing, non-mutually exclusive possibility is that developmental plasticity contributes to the variation in wing morphology we observed, raising the possibility that signal diversification following trait reversal could involve a simultaneous combination of selection on genetic variation and canalization of developmentally plastic phenotypes (50). Analysis of flatwing resonances revealed that vestigial resonators have the potential to generate acoustic signals at frequencies outwith the range of ordinary calling song in T. oceanicus, and more variable. It remains to be seen (perhaps not in our lifetimes) whether a radiation of sexual signals in T.

oceanicus will evolve from this broad substrate of vestigial wing 817 818 structures and contribute to establishing new species boundaries. 819 The predictions we tested about patterns of vestigial signal traits and their design features are focused on the earliest stages of such 820 a process, and our results lend empirical support to the idea that 821 822 trait loss could precede and facilitate bursts of diversification (2, 51-53). 823

### Methods

824

825

861

867

868

869

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

880

881

882

883

884

Cricket lines and crosses. Laboratory stocks of crickets were established 826 from eggs laid by approximately 20-30 wild-caught females. Collections were 827 made in 2012 from populations near Wailua, Kauai and La'ie, Oahu. In the complementation experiment, we used Kauai lines breeding pure for 828 829 flatwing or normal-wing morphology. The establishment of these lines using two generations of standard Mendelian crosses to identify homozygous 830 flatwing and homozygous normal-wing genotypes has previously been de-831 scribed in detail (54). Crickets were reared within a temperature-controlled 832 chamber at 25 °C on a 12h:12h photo-reversed light:dark cycle following established protocols (55). They were maintained in 16L translucent plastic tubs at a density of approximately 30-50 individuals, with cardboard egg 833 834 carton for cover and ad libitum Burgess Excel Junior and Dwarf rabbit food 835 and water. Maintenance was performed twice weekly.

836 The crossing design for the complementation test followed the 837 schematic in Fig. 2A-B. We set up five individual crosses using flatwing Kauai P<sub>0</sub> dams and *flatwing* Oahu sires. We did not have pure-breeding Oahu lines 838 at the time of the complementation test, so we performed the inter-island 839 cross in one direction only. As a control, five crosses between flatwing Kauai 840 females and *flatwing* Kauai males were simultaneously performed. At the  $F_1$  generation, ten individual full-sibling crosses for the five test and three of the control crosses were performed. All offspring were reared under common 841 842 garden conditions as described above. 843

Wing morphometrics. Landmark-based geometric morphometrics was 844 performed as previously described (16, 56). For n = 1067 F<sub>2</sub> test crickets and 845 n = 245 F<sub>2</sub> control crickets, male forewings were removed and immediately 846 mounted between two slides. They were then photographed using a Leica 847 DFC295 digital camera affixed to a Leica M60 dissecting microscope. The 16 landmarks illustrated in Fig. 2C were placed using the programme tpsDIG 848 v.2.16 (57). Software from the Integrated Morphomerics Package suite of 849 morphometrics programmes (58, 59) was used to superimpose landmark data from all samples and quantify variation in wing venation shape us-850 ing Procrustes distances (60). For each comparison performed, a common 851 dataset comprising landmark data from all the individuals required for the 852 comparison was assembled and Procrustes distances were produced using 853 CoordGen6f (58). Principal components and scores for all landmark data were 854 generated using PCAgen6n (58).

Wings of Kauai and Oahu *flatwing* males from a previous study (16) were used as a reference comparison for the  $F_2$  male wings produced in the complementation crosses. The same worker (S.P.) scored wing features 855 856 857 and landmarks in both studies. We visually assessed all F2 cricket wings from 858 the complementation experiment to classify them as Kauai-like or Oahu-859 like. Given the potential subjectivity of this qualitative classification, we also recorded the presence or absence of full or partial (i.e. vestigial) scrapers 860 and mirrors. We verified this approach using a randomly selected subset of 100 wing photographs from the test and control crosses. A separate scorer 862 (N.W.B.) blinded to sample identity scored whether each of the wings in the validation subset had scraper and a mirror. The proportion of scrapers in the 863 test vs. control individuals from both datasets was compared, and the original 864 scorer (S.P.) then blindly rescored the validation subset as well. Concordance 865 between scorers was found to be highly reliable, providing confidence in our 866 method of visually classifying wing traits.

A MANOVA was run using the first 5 principal components from a PCA in which all  $F_2$  test crickets were pooled with the previously-published set of flatwing males from Kauai and Oahu, to test whether wing morphology of flatwing males arising from the test complementation crosses differed from flatwings from either or both island populations. A post-hoc homogeneity of variance analysis was performed on the MANOVA residuals for each of the five principal components, to assess whether wing variation among complementation  $F_2$  crosses differed from that of the original Kauai and Oahu flatwing males. We re-ran the PCA and MANOVA analyses to compare the same set of test crickets against the n = 245 control wings produced using the same crossing procedure. Subsequently, we ran a separate MANOVA on scores of the first n = 5 principal components from a PCA of the complementation test F2 crickets only, here assessing family-level variation in wing vena-

- 1. Simpson GG (1944) Tempo and Mode in Evolution. Columbia University Press, New York. 2 Moczek AP (2008) On the origins of novelty in development and evolution. Bioessays. 30:432-
- 447. McGuigan K, Sgrò CM (2009) Evolutionary consequences of cryptic genetic variation. Trends 3. Ecol Evol 24:305-311.
- Tanghe KB, et al. (2018) What's wrong with the modern evolutionary synthesis? A critical reply to Welch (2017). Biol Philos 33:23.

tion. The purpose of using five test families for the complementation analysis 885 was to provide a sufficient sample size of  $F_{-2}$  flatwing males for analysis 886 and identification of potential recombinant phenotypes. The crossing design 887 was insufficient to formally estimate heritability of wing patterning, but 888 quantifying family-level variation provided an indication of genetic variation 889 underlying flatwing male wing venation, as this full-sib cross design included genetic and common environmental effects (61). Statistical analyses were 890 performed in SPSS v.23. 891

Laser Doppler vibrometry. Biophysical analyses of male forewing acous-892 tic resonances were performed using an additional three pure-breeding 893 Kauai lines that had been re-established following outcrossing and re-894 crossing, plus pure-breeding Oahu lines that were later established following the same crossing procedures as described in (54). Each sampled cricket's 895 pronotum length and right hind femur length was measured to the nearest 896 0.01 mm three times and then averaged. Crickets were anaesthetized using 897 FlyNap (Carolina Biological Supply), then mounted whole with forewings extended dorso-laterally, fixed with a mixture of beeswax (Fisher Scientific) and Colophony (Sigma-Aldrich). Following Chivers et al. (62), we measured 898 899 vibrating-producing regions of the mounted wings and characterised as-900 sociated frequency spectra using a micro-scanning LDV (Polytec PSV-500; 901 Waldbronn, Germany) with a close up attachment. The wings of mounted 902 specimens were positioned perpendicular to the lens of the laser unit, and an acoustic stimulus was broadcast from a loudspeaker (Ultrasonic Dynamic 903 Speaker Vifa, Avisoft Bioacoustics, Glienicke, Germany) positioned above 904 the laser unit and facing the specimen (Fig. 4A). The stimulus consisted 905 of periodic chirps (1-50 kHz) generated using Polytec software (PSV 9.2), 906 passed to an amplifier (A-400, Pioneer, Kawasaki, Japan), and sent to the loudspeaker. We flattened the periodic chirp stimulus so that all frequencies 907 were presented at 60  $\pm$  1.5 dB (SPL re. 20  $\mu$ PA) at the position of the wings. 908 A 1/8 inch condenser microphone (Brüel & Kjær, Denmark) was positioned 909 dorsally between the outstretched wings to monitor and record the stimulus 910 as a reference. Using the laser in scan mode, the extended wings were scanned using 250-300 scan points, averaging 3 times to obtain the value 911 for each point. For each point, a fast-Fourier transform was generated using 912 a rectangular window at a sampling rate of 512,000 samples/second, a 64 ms 913 sampling time, and a frequency resolution of 15.63 Hz. Raw vibrometry data was analysed using Polytec software (v. 9.2) and 914

custom MATLAB (The MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA, USA) scripts. Vibrometry frequency spectra were normalised to the playback signal received by the microphone using a transfer function (63). To estimate the amount of unrelated noise, we also computed the magnitude-squared coherence between the vibrometer and microphone signals for each data point (64). Coherence ranges between zero and one, where one indicates no unrelated or external noise. Our aim was to identify sharply-tuned resonant peaks on crickets' forewings, which we assessed using the dimensionless index Q (65). We calculated Q by dividing the peak frequency by the bandwidth at 3 dB below the peak amplitude (66), identifying the sharpest peak (highest Q) on the surface of each pair of wings in the centre of the harp (in the case of normal-wing controls) or vestigial harp area (in flatwings) to report the dominant resonant frequency for each. Two-tailed t-tests were used to compare peak frequency differences between Kauai and Oahu flatwing male resonators. Although sample sizes were small, the large effect sizes (Cohen's D for left wing comparison = 4.75, for right wing comparison = 2.66) provide a measure of confidence in this approach (67). Right wing comparisons involved samples with heterogeneous variances so we performed a nonparametric test to verify the inference that Kauai flatwings produce higher peak resonances than Oahu flatwings (Mann-Whitney U test: U = 3, P = 0.028).

915

916

917

918

919

920

921

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934 935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

Data accessibility. Any data not presented in the SI Appendix will be archived on the Dryad Digital Repository upon acceptance.

Author contributions. N.W.B. conceived the study. N.W.B., S.P. & F.M.-Z. designed the experiments. S.P. performed complementation and morphometric experiments. N.W.B. and F.M.-Z. performed laser Dopper vibrometry experiments. All authors analysed data. N.W.B. wrote the manuscript with input from S.P. and F.M.-Z.

Competing interests. The authors declare no competing interests.

Funding. Funding support was provided by the Natural Environment Research Council to N.W.B. (NE/I027800/1, NE/G014906/1, NE/L011255/1) and from the Leverhulme Trust to F.M.-Z. (RPG-2014-284).

Acknowledgements. We are grateful for help with field collections from R. Goodwill and B. Gray. Thanks are also due to B. Chivers and T. Jonsson for assistance with laser Doppler vibrometry, D. Forbes and A. Grant for helping maintain crickets, and M. Ritchie for advice on the crossing design. Two reviewers and the editor provided helpful feedback that improved the manuscript.

- 5. Porter ML, Crandall KA (2003) Lost along the way: the significance of evolution in reverse. Trends Ecol Evol 18:541-547
- Whiting MF, Bradler S, Maxwell T (2003) Loss and recovery of wings in stick insects. Nature 6. 421:264-267.
- Chippindale PD, Bonett RM, Baldwin AS, Wiens JJ (2004) Phylogenetic evidence for a major reversal of life-history evolution in plethodontid salamanders. Evolution 58:2809-2822.
- 8. Hofmann CM, et al. (2012) Opsin evolution in damselfish: convergence, reversal and parallel

8 | www.pnas.org --- ---

Footline Author

flexible cuticular hydrocarbon expression in male field crickets (Teleogryllus oceanicus). Evolution 70:82-97. Desutter-Grandcolas L (2003) Phylogeny and the evolution of acoustic communication in extant Ensifera (Insecta, Orthoptera). Zool Script 32:525-561. 45. Moran P, et al. (2018) Opposing patterns of intraspecific and interspecific differentiation in sex chromosomes and autosomes. Molec Ecol In press Tinghitella RM, et al. (2018) Purring crickets: the evolution of a novel sexual signal. Am Nat 46. In Press. 47. Staudacher EM (2009) The auditory system of last instars in Gryllus bimaculatus DeGeer. Physiol Entomol 34:18-19. 48 Gryllus assimilis. J Exp Biol 215:2210-2219. Queitsch C, Sangster TA, Lindquist S (2002) Hsp90 as a capacitor of phenotypic variation. 49. Nature 417:618-624. 50. R Soc Lond B 278:2705 51. tionary bursts. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108:15908-15913. 52. Natl Acad Sci USA 114:13224-13229. 53. clades. J Evol Biol 31:502-515. 54. Evol Biol 29:1234-1246 55. crickets (Teleogryllus spp.) J Evol Biol 27:170-180. 56. (Teleogryllus oceanicus). Evolution 71:1614-1626. 57. Rohlf FJ (2012) tpsDIG: Digitize Landmarks and Outlines, Version 2.16 (Stony Brook: Department of Ecology and Evolution, State University of New York at Stony Brook). 58. http://www.canisius.edu/~sheets/morphsoft.html. Zelditch ML, Swiderski DL, Sheets HD (2012) Geometric morphometrics for biologists: A primer (2nd Ed.). Academic Press, London. 60 of landmarks. Systemat Zool 39:40-59. 61. Sunderland, MA. 62. 220:1112-1121. 63. J Exp Biol 208:157-168. 64. in noctuid moths. J Exp Biol 210:2637-2648. 65. tics 9:351- 359 Fletcher NH (1992) Acoustic systems in biology. Oxford University Press. Oxford, UK. 66 67. de Winter JCF (2013) Using the Student's t-test with extremely small sample sizes. Practical Assessment, Research and Evaluation. 18:1-12. 68. Morris GK, Gwynne DT (1978) Geographical distribution and biological observations of 69. Schneider Н (2015) https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Pholidoptera\_griseoaptera\_Vorderfl%C3%BCgel.png 70. Del Castillo RC, Gwynne DT (2007) Increase in song frequency decreases spermatohore niidae). J Evol Biol 20:1028-1036. Fulton BB (1915) The tree crickets of New York: life history and bionomics. Technical Bulletin New York Agricultural Experiment Station. Plate V. No. 42:3 72. genus Oecanthus, Annals Entomol Soc Amer 55:303-322

- evolution across tuning sites. J Molec Evol 75:79-91. 9. Fong DW, Kane TC, Culver DC (1995) Vestigialization and the loss of nonfunctional characters. Annu Rev Ecol Systemat 26:249-268.
- 10. Gray DA, Hormozi S, Libby FR, Cohen RW (2018) Induced expression of a vestigial sexual signal. Biol Lett 14:20180095.
- 11. Schneider WT, Rutz C, Hedwig B, Bailey NW (2018) Vestigial singing behaviour persists after the evolutionary loss of song in crickets. Biol Lett 14:20170654.
- Wiens JJ (2001) Widespread loss of sexually selected traits: how the peacock lost its spots. 12. Trends Ecol Evol 16:517-523.
- 13. Fisher RA (1915) The evolution of sexual preference. Eugenics Rev 7:184-192.
- Lande R (1981) Models of speciation by sexual selection on polygenic traits. Proc Natl Acad 14. Sci USA 78:3721-3725.
- 15. Zuk M, Rotenberry JT, Tinghitella RM (2006) Silent night: adaptive disappearance of a sexual signal in a parasitized population of field crickets. Biol Lett 2:521-524
- 16. Pascoal S, et al. (2014) Rapid convergent evolution in wild crickets. Curr Biol 24:1369-1374. Zuk M, Bailey NW, Gray B, Rotenberry JT (2018) Sexual signal loss: the link between 17.
- behaviour and rapid evolutionary dynamics in a field cricket. J Anim Ecol 87:623-633. 18. Tinghitella RM (2008) Rapid evolutionary change in a sexual signal: genetic control of the
- mutation 'flatwing' that renders male field crickets (Teleogryllus oceanicus) mute. Heredity 100:261-267.
- 19 Alexander RD (1960) Sound communication in Orthoptera and Cicadidae. In: Animal Sounds and Communication (Eds. Lanyon WE, Tavolga WN). American Institute of Biological Sciences, Washington DC.
- 20. Mendelson TC, Shaw KL (2005) Rapid speciation in an arthropod. Nature 433:375-376.
- Bailey NW, Moran PA, Hennig RM (2017) Divergent mechanisms of acoustic mate recogni-21. tion between closely related field cricket species (Teleogryllus spp.) Anim Behav 130:17-25.
- 22. Montealegre-Z F, Ogden J, Jonsson T, Soulsbury CD (2017) Morphological determinants of signal carrier frequency in katydids (Orthoptera): a comparative analysis using biophysical evidence of wing vibration. J Evol Biol 30:2068-2078.
- Elliott CJH, Koch UT (1985) The clockwork cricket. Naturwissenschaften 72:150-153. 23.
- Prestwich KN, Lenihan KM, Martin DM (2000) The control of carrier frequency in cricket calls: a refutation of the subalar-tegminal resonance/auditory feedback model. J Exp Biol 203-585-596
- 25. Robillard T, Grandcolas P, Desutter-Grandcolas L (2007) A shift toward harmonics for high-frequency calling shown with phylogenetic study of frequency spectra in Eneopterinae crickets (Orthoptera, Grylloidea, Eneopteridae). Can J Zool 85:1264-1274.
  - Mhatre N, Montealegre-Z F, Balakrishnan R, Robert D (2012) Changing resonator geometry to boost sound power decouples size and song frequency in a small insect. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109:E1444-E1452.
  - 27 Robillard T, Montealegre-Z F, Desutter-Grandcolas L, Grandcolas P, Robert D (2013) Mechanisms of high frequency song generation in brachypterous crickets and the role of ghost frequencies. J Exp Biol 216:2001-2011.
- 28. Ragge DR (1955) The wing-venation of the Orthoptera Saltatoria with notes on Dictyopteran wing-venation. British Museum (Natural History), London, UK.
- 29. Gwynne DT (1995) Phylogeny of the Ensifera (Orthoptera): a hypothesis supporting multiple origins of acoustical signalling, complex spermatophores and maternal care in crickets, katydids and weta. J Orthop Res 4:203-218.
- Jost MC, Shaw KL (2006) Phylogeny of Ensifera (Hexapoda: Orthoptera) using three ribo-30 somal loci, with implications for the evolution of acoustic communication. Molec Phylogenet Evol 38:510-530.
- 31. Alexander RD (1962) Evolutionary change in cricket acoustical communication. Evolution 16:443-467
- 32. Flatt T (2005) The evolutionary genetics of canalization. Q Rev Biol 80:287-316.
- Otte D, Alexander RD (1983) The Australian crickets (Orthoptera: Gryllida) Academy of 33. Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, Monograph 22.
- Gu J-J, et al. (2012) Wing stridulation in a Jurassic katydid (Insecta, Orthoptera) produced low-pitched musical calls to attract females. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108:3868-3872
- 35. Robillard T, Desutter-Grandcolas L (2004) Phylogeny and the modalities of acoustic diversification in extant Eneopterinae (Insecta, Orthoptera, Grylloidea, Eneopteridae). Cladistics 20:271-293.
  - Schiestl FP, Cozzolino S (2008) Evolution of sexual mimicry in the orchid subtribe orchidinae: 36. the role of preadaptations in the attraction of male bees as pollinators. BMC Evol Biol 8:27.
- 37. Tobias JA, et al. (2010) Song divergence by sensory drive in Amazonian birds. Evolution 64:2820-2839
- 38 ter Hofstede HM, Schöeneich S, Robillard T, Hedwig B (2015) Evolution of a communication system by sensory exploitation of startle behavior. Curr Biol 25:3245-3252.
  - Greenfield MD (2002) Signalers and Receivers, Oxford University Press, Oxford,
- 40. Wilkins MR, Nathalie S, Safran RJ (2013) Evolutionary divergence in acoustic signals: causes and consequences. Trends Ecol Evol 28:156-166.
  - 41. Endler JA, McLellan T (1988) The process of evolution: toward a newer synthesis. Ann Rev

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011 1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

953

954

Ecol Systemat 19:395-421 West-Eberhard MJ (1983) Sexual selection, social competition, and speciation. Q Rev Biol 58:155-183. Pascoal S, et al. (2016) Sexual selection and population divergence I: The influence of socially

42.

43.

- Vedenina VY, Pollack GS (2012) Recognition of variable courtship song in the field cricket
- Moczek AP, et al. (2011) The role of developmental plasticity in evolutionary innovation. Proc
- Uyeda JC, Hansen TF, Arnold SJ, Pienaar J (2011) The million-year wait for macroevolu-
- Landis MJ, Schraiber JG (2017) Pulsed evolution shaped modern vertebrate body sizes. Proc
- Puttick MN (2018) Mixed evidence for early bursts of morphological evolution in extant
- Pascoal S, et al. (2016) Rapid evolution and gene expression: a rapidly evolving Mendelian trait that silences field crickets has widespread effects on mRNA and protein expression. J
- 1044 Bailey NW, Macleod E (2014) Socially flexible female choice and premating isolation in field
- Pascoal S, Mendrok M, Wilson AJ, Hunt J, Bailey NW (2017) Sexual selection and population divergence II. Divergence in different sexual traits and signal modalities in field crickets
- Zelditch ML (2012) Morphometrics Software: IMP6-Integrated Morphometrics Package.
- Rohlf FJ, Slice D (1990) Extensions of the Procrustes method for the optimal superimposition
- Lynch M, Walsh B (1998) Genetics and analysis of quantitative traits. Sinauer Associates, Inc.
- Chivers BD, et al. (2017) Functional morphology of tegmina-based stridulation in the relict species Cyphoderris monstrosa (Orthoptera: Ensifera: Prophalangopsidae). J Exp Biol
- Windmill JFC, Gopfert MC, Robert D (2005) Tympanal travelling waves in migratory locusts.
- Windmill JFC, Fullard JH, Robert D (2007) Mechanics of a 'simple' ear: tympanal vibrations
- Bennet-Clark HC (1999) Which Qs to choose: questions of quality in bioacoustics? Bioacous-

- Cyphoderris (Orthoptera: Haglidae) with a description of a new species. Psyche 85:147-167.
- size: correlative evidence of a macroevolutionary trade-off in katydids (Orthoptera: Tettigo-
- Walker TJ (1962) The taxonomy and calling songs of United States tree crickets (Orthoptera: Gryllidae: Oecanthinae). I. The genus Neoxabea and the niveus and varicornis groups of the
- 1075 1076 1077 1078

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043

1045

1046

1047

1048

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

- 1079 1080
- 1081
- 1082 1083
- 1084
- 1085

  - 1086
  - 1087
  - 1088